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#### Abstract

Temporal patterns in abundance of Triaenophorus crassus Forel in relation to patterns in abundance of species composition of pike (Esox lucius), lake whitefish (Coregonus clupeaformis Mitchill), and lake herring or cisco (Leucicthys tullibee Richardson) in 35 commercially fished Manitoba lakes were studied for the period 1973-1983. Patterns in fishing effort, annual production, differences in body size of lake whitefish, lake sizes and their geographical locations and differences in value between lake whitefish and walleye (Stizostedion vitreum vitreum) were also examined. It was concluded that changes in species composition, annual production levels, fishing effort, and differences in body size of lake whitefish affected abundance of T. crassus in lake whitefish. High flow rates from Churchill, Nelson, and Hayes watersheds were correlated with high annual catch levels. Overfishing or underfishing may have occurred in some of the lakes which may have affected recruitment and density and abundance of $\underline{T}$ crassus due to an increase or decrease in smaller lake whitefish. Most of the lakes were located in the north and north-central regions of Manitoba. The southern lakes had lower abundances of T. crassus. Patterns in abundance of T. crassus could not be explained by lake size or location of lakes according to watershed. Although walleye was more valuable than lake whitefish, there was no evidence that they were fished preferentially, nor was there a direct correlation between harvest of lake whitefish and walleye and abundances of $T$. crassus. The general


trend was towards a change in lake classification to a lower category of lake whitefish i.e. higher abundances of T. crassus. However, inconsistent sampling of lakes for $T$. crassus, particularly lakes classified as high grade (i.e. with low abundances of $T$. crassus) such as Patridge Crop, Natawahunan, Guthrie, and Sabomin, contributed to the difficulty in predicting long term trends.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

(a) Taxonomy

The genus Triaenophorus, Order Pseudophyllidea, contains at least three species common in Canadian lakes, namely Triaenophorus crassus Forel, Triaenophorus stizostediun Miller, and Triaenophorus nodulosus Pallas (Miller, 1945b and 1945c). The taxonomy of Triaenophorus crassus Forel is outlined in Appendix $A$.

## (b) Life Cycle

Although there are three species of Triaenophorus common in Canadian fish, Triaenophorus crassus is the only one considered to be of economic importance (Ekbaum, 1936; Hjortland, 1927; Miller, 1943a, 1943b and 1943c).

Miller (1943a) showed that adults of the parasite occur in the intestine of pike (Esox lucius), the definitive host. Miller (1943a) further showed that the first larval stage, the procercoid, is found in the copepod (Cyclops bicuspidatus). The procercoid develops in a copepod and if then eaten by any one of the whitefish family, it develops into a plerocercoid (Miller, 1943a, 1943b, 1945b and 1952).

Miller (1943a, 1943b and 1945b) found that lake herring or cisco (Leucicthys tullibee Richardson) is the natural host for the plerocercoid which occurs as the familiar cyst stage in the flesh of coregonids. Appendix $B$ shows the life cycle of $T$. crassus.

These cysts are pathogenically harmless to mammals but they are obnoxious and objectionable from a consumer's point of view (Bishop, 1968; Dechtair, 1972; Miller, 1952; Nicholson, 1932).

## (c) Historical Background

T. crassus, was first described by Forel (1880) in Switzerland (Ekbaum, 1936). Cooper (1918) found cysts of the worm in the muscles of Leucicthys artedii LeSueur and adults in the intestine of pike from North America. The same species was reported later from Minnesota in cisco and pike (Hjortland, 1927). In a survey of Manitoba fishes, Newton (1932) reported cysts of $T$. crassus in cisco, Leucicthys zenthicus Jordan and Everman, Leucicthys nipigon Koelz, Leucicthys nigripinis Gill and lake whitefish (Coregonus clupeaformis Mitchill).

Wardle (1932) reported $T$. crassus and T. nodulosus but referred to them at the time as $T$. tricuspidatus, [Morpha megadentatus and Morpha microdentatus]. Nicholson (1932) investigated the pathogenicity of these worms from Lake Winnipeg and found them harmless to humans.

Ekbaum (1936 and 1937) studied Canadian material available up to that time and compared it with European descriptions. She concluded that the Canadian material was $T$. crassus and T. nodulosus. Miller (1945c) found Triaenophorus stizostedion Miller in fish from Lesser Slave Lake.

The economic importance of $T$. crassus led to the search for methods of control of the parasite. An experimental fishery was established at Heming Lake, Manitoba to determine if levels of T. crassus in coregonids could be controlled (Lawler, 1951a and c, 1952, 1953, 1954, 1960a, b, and c; Lawler and McBurney, 1952; Watson, 1963; Watson and Lawler 1965). Similar investigations were carried out at Lesser Slave Lake (Libin, 1953; Miller, 1952, 1953; Miller and Watkins, 1946) and at Square Lake (Miller and Johnson, 1952).

Rosen (1983) assessed factors affecting growth, differentiation and infectivity of procercoid by experimentally infecting cyclops bicuspidatus thomasi and showed that the intensity of infection was a factor which influenced procercoid size, differentiation and infectivity to the second intermediate host.

The interrelationship of pike, coregonid fishes and Cyclops bicuspidatus is considered to be an important factor in determining the presence or absence of Triaenophorus infection in any particular lake (Miller, 1952). Miller and Johnson (1952) concluded that biological relationship between the three hosts are involved in the presence or absence of the parasites. Lawler (1951a) reported that lake whitefish infection with $T$. crassus was related to the abundance of pike.

## (d) Objectives

The objectives of this study were:
1.) to describe spatial and temporal patterns in abundance and species composition of commercially exploited stocks of pike, lake whitefish and ciscoes in northern Manitoba lakes relative to different infection levels of $\underline{T}$. crassus;
2.) to examine whether or not fishing effort by commercial fishermen affects infection trends;
3.) to examine whether or not differences in body size distributions of northern lake whitefish stocks are related to infection trends;
4.) to determine if the abundance of $T$. crassus in lake whitefish could be correlated with lake size, flow rates of rivers affecting the lakes and anthropogenic effects;
5.) to account for the economic importance of lake whitefish relative to yields of other economically important species, such as walleye.

The data were sufficient to enable me to study:
1.) various lakes in different watersheds for the same period of time;
2.) the Triaenophorus problem in a commercial setting.

This was made possible because the system of collection and compilation of data utilises uniform methods and is managed by a single agency for all of western Canada.
II. MATERIALS AND METHODS
(a) Location of Study Lakes

Thirty-five lakes were studied from three watersheds as follows:

| Nelson River watershed: | Armstrong <br> Bruneau <br> Butterfly <br> Cedar <br> Cormorant <br> Halfway <br> Herblet <br> Guthrie <br> Kiski <br> Landing <br> Natawahunan <br> Pakwa <br> Patridge Crop <br> Playgreen <br> Setting <br> Sipiwesk <br> St. Martin <br> Wekusko <br> Walker <br> William <br> Wintering <br> Witchai <br> Wuskwatim <br> Yawningstone |
| :---: | :---: |
| Churchill River watershed: | Barrington <br> Granville <br> Kipahigan <br> Kisseynew <br> Northern Indian <br> Opachuanau <br> Sisipuk <br> Southern Indian |
| Hayes River watershed: | Dafoe <br> Gods <br> Sabomin |

Lakes were chosen on the availability and consistency of pertinent data.

Figure 1. Distribution of lakes in study area.

| Number in Figure 1 | Lake Name | Location |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Latitude | Longitude |  |
|  |  | (0') |  | (0") |
| 1 | Armstrong | $96^{\circ} 55^{\prime}$ | $55^{\circ}$ | $43^{\prime}$ |
| 2 | Bruneau | $97^{\circ} 33^{\prime}$ | $55^{\circ}$ | $01^{\prime}$ |
| 3 | Landing | $97^{\circ} 26^{\prime}$ | $55^{\circ}$ | 171 ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| 4 | Dafoe | $96^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | $55^{\circ}$ | $44^{\prime}$ |
| 5 | Patridge Crop | $97^{\circ} 29^{\prime}$ | $55^{\circ}$ | $38^{\prime}$ |
| 6 | Sabomin | $97^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | $55^{\circ}$ | $18^{\prime}$ |
| 7 | Natawahunan | $97^{\circ} 09^{\prime}$ | $55^{\circ}$ | $42^{\prime}$ |
| 8 | Sipiwesk | $97^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ | $55^{\circ}$ | $05^{\prime}$ |
| 9 | Wintering | $97^{\circ} 43^{\prime}$ | $55^{\circ}$ | $23^{\prime}$ |
| 10 | Butterfly | $97^{\circ} 16^{\prime}$ | $54^{\circ}$ | $26^{\prime}$ |
| 11 | Playgreen | $97^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ | $54^{\circ}$ | $16^{\prime}$ |
| 12 | Walker | $96^{\circ} 57^{\prime}$ | $54^{\circ}$ | 42' |
| 13 | William | $99^{\circ} 21^{\prime}$ | $53^{\circ}$ | $54^{\prime}$ |
| 14 | St. Martin | $98^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ | $51^{\circ}$ | $40^{\prime}$ |
| 15 | Cedar | $100^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ | $53^{\circ}$ | $30^{\prime}$ |
| 16 | Cormorant | $100^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ | $54^{\circ}$ | $14^{\prime}$ |
| 17 | Guthrie | $100^{\circ} 38^{\prime}$ | $55^{\circ}$ | $17^{\prime}$ |
| 18 | Kisseynew | $101^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ | $54^{\circ}$ | $58^{\prime}$ |
| 19 | Yawningstone | $100^{\circ} 51^{\prime}$ | $54^{\circ}$ | $21^{\prime}$ |
| 20 | Kipahigan | $101^{\circ} 55^{\prime}$ | $55^{\circ}$ | $20^{\prime}$ |
| 21 | Sisipuk | $101^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ | $55^{\circ}$ | 45' |
| 22 | Barrington | $100^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | $56^{\circ}$ | $55^{\prime}$ |
| 23 | Granville | $100^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ | $56^{\circ}$ | $18^{\prime}$ |
| 24 | Halfway | $98^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | $55^{\circ}$ | 03' |
| 25 | Setting | $98^{\circ} 38^{\prime}$ | $55^{\circ}$ | $00^{\prime}$ |
| 26 | Wuskwatim | $98^{\circ} 32^{\prime}$ | $55^{\circ}$ | $32^{\prime}$ |
| 27 | Wekusko | $96^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ | $56^{\circ}$ | $30^{\prime}$ |
| 28 | Witchai | $96^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ | $56^{\circ}$ | $00^{\prime}$ |
| 29 | South Indian | $98^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ | $57^{\circ}$ | $10^{\prime}$ |
| 30 | North Indian | $97^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ | $57^{\circ}$ | $20^{\prime}$ |
| 31 | Herblet | $99^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ | $54^{\circ}$ | $56^{\prime}$ |
| 32 | Pakwa | $98^{\circ} 53^{\prime}$ | $54^{\circ}$ | $51^{\prime}$ |
| 33 | Kiski | $98^{\circ} 55^{\prime}$ | $54^{\circ}$ | $16^{\prime}$ |
| 34 | Gods | $94^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | $54^{\circ}$ | $40^{\prime}$ |
| 35 | Opachuanau | $99^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ | $56^{\circ}$ | $44^{\prime}$ |



## (b) Data Sources

Commercial catch records for the period 1973-1983 were obtained from the Economics Branch and lake class (a randomly chosen fish sample is filleted and sliced to expose cysts, the number of which determine lake class) data from the Inspection and Surveys Branch, both of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) in Winnipeg. Lake class data was not available by season.

Commercial catch records and lake parameters for the same lakes for the period 1973-1982 were obtained from the Province of Manitoba, Department of Natural Resources (Peters and Wall, 1983), and for the period 1977-1982 (Thompson, personal communication).

Spring monthly mean flow rates of the three major rivers (Nelson, Churchill and Hayes) were obtained from records published by the Waters Resource Branch, Environment Canada.

Summer "initial" prices (\$/lb.) records for 1973-1983 were obtained from the Freshwater Fish Marketing Corporation (FFMC) (Popko, Personal Communication).

## (c) Inspection of Commercial Catches

Lake whitefish and cisco from Canadian lakes are inspected by DFO for cysts of $T$. crassus prior to exporting to the USA, Europe and interprovincial markets.

Various procedures have been devised for the purpose of inspection of catches since 1946. Kennedy (1946) discussed the method used at the time. According to this method sample sizes were fixed and depended only on the size of the lake. Such a sampling plan was later considered unsatisfactory (Kennedy, 1946; Oakland, 1950). Consequently the idea of
"sufficient" samples was proposed to eventually replace the fixed sample technique.

Kennedy (1946) defined a sufficient sample as one in which statistical analysis showed that there is not more than one chance in 100 that the rate of infection in the lake exceeds 50 cysts $/ 100$ pounds of fish. The maximum infection tolerance limit was set at 50 cysts/100 pounds for export lake whitefish. For this purpose, the average number of cysts/fish and the standard error were derived (Kennedy, 1946). The fiducial limits of the average for 99\% were calculated for these data. These fiducial limits were multiplied by 100 and divided by the average weight of the fish in the sample to give an unbiased estimate of the fiducial limits of the average number of cysts/100 pounds of fish (Kennedy, 1946).

A "sufficient" sample, by definition, is one where the upper limit is less than 50 cysts $/ 100$ pounds of fish (Kennedy, 1946). With this method Kennedy (1946) noted that more than 50 cysts/ 100 pounds in the sample was "sufficient" to show that a lake was not suitable for providing fish for export. He also found that samples with 40 or more cysts/100 pounds of fish may not be sufficient unless it consisted of more than 200 fish. Kennedy (1946) noted that as the rate of infection approached 50 cysts/ 100 pounds of fish, the size of the required sample approached infinity.

Oakland (1949 and 1950) described the use of sequential sampling of fish to determine infection (Wald, 1945). This methodology was developed to determine infection levels in various sizes of lake whitefish and for lake whitefish that are marketed as fillets, dressed or round (total weight) fish (Oakland, 1949). The number of fish to be
sampled from a shipment was read directly from charts for a lake whose infection rate was known. The fish selected at random were examined for the presence of $T$. crassus. If the number of cysts/fish extended beyond the upper rejection line, which was calculated according to Wald (1945), the lot was rejected. If the number of cysts/fish was below the lower acceptance line, the lot was accepted for export (Oakland, 1950). Oakland (1950) noted that to apply this sampling procedure, it was necessary to quantitatively describe the distribution of the parasite in the sample. The number of cysts/fish from a given sample was found to be distributed in a negative binomial fashion.

The inspection procedure in operation at the present time was devised in 1973 by the Inspection and Surveys Branch of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (McGregor, personal communication). It is based on the number of samples rejected relative to the risk of rejection of a shipment of known size. The procedure requires that shipments of lake whitefish from Canadian freshwater lakes are to be sampled in order to determine infection rates at least once a year.

The number of fish to be sampled from any shipment depends on the total size of shipment (Table 1).

The required number of individual fish is "randomly" chosen from a shipment and each fish is filleted and sliced to expose cysts. The rate of infection (RI) is calculated as follows:
[1] RI (number of cysts/100 lbs. = total number of cysts $x 100$ of lake whitefish) total weight of the sample (lbs.)

These infection estimates are used to classify lakes as shown in
Table 2.

Table 1. Sampling schedule used by the Inspection and Surveys Branch of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans.

| Total Number of Containers | Sample Size <br> (number of fish) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 1 | may be waived |
| 2 | 2 |
| $3-10$ | 3 |
| $11-50$ | 5 |
| $51-100$ | 8 |
| $101-201$ | 12 |
| 201 and over | 16 |

Source: Surveys and Inspection Branch, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Winnipeg.

Table 2. RI values of lake classification.

Classification
RI
A "export"
$\leq 40$
B "continental"
C "cutter"
40-80
$>80$

Source: Surveys and Inspection Branch, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Winnipeg.

Fish produced from "export" lakes can be exported to the USA. Catches from these lakes are required to be sampled and inspected at least once a year. Fish produced from "continental" lakes can be shipped interprovincially or exported to Europe. For export of fish from continental to the lakes to the USA, each shipment from the lakes should be cut to ensure a cyst count of less than 40 cysts/ 100 lbs. of
fish. Fish from "cutter" lakes are processed into fish meal.
The classification programme is intended to minimize sampling. Newly exploited lakes are sampled as of ten as possible until sufficient data to classify them are accumulated.

## (d) Standardization of Data

The annual catch records obtained from the Economics Branch of the DFO were separated by fish species, seasonal records, and size categories of jumbo, large, medium and small whitefish. Size categories of "cutter" quality catches of lake whitefish were not recorded.

Summer season catches of a particular year, winter season catches of the same year and winter season catches of the following year were treated as catches of one year. For example, catches of the year 1973 include catches of the summer 1973, winter 1973-74 (November and December 1973 and January to March 1974).

Due to minor discrepancies between lake class and commercial catch data from DFO and the Fisheries Branch, Province of Manitoba, Department of Natural Resources, lake classifications of DFO were used for the sake of consistency.

All catch records were converted to metric units. Except for the commercial catch records obtained from the Fisheries Branch, Department of Natural Resources, all other commercial catch records were converted to round weight. For this purpose, the marketed values were multiplied by conversion factors according to the Manitoba Fisheries Fact Book (Peters and Wall, 1983). The factors were derived from results from FFMC processing or provincial regulations. They are the same factors used by DFO.

Jumbo, large, medium and small size classes of lake whitefish were used as a measure of size distributions.

Abundance of $T$. crassus:
The term abundance is used here as the product of prevalence $x$ mean intensity, according to Margolis et al., (1982) .

## (e) Calculations

Routine statistical analysis were performed according to Snedecor and Cochran (1967).

Ratios of lake whitefish size classes from individual lakes and means of large and medium size classes of lake whitefish for the three watersheds (Nelson, Churchill and Hayes) were determined.

Fishing effort, yield/unit of surface area and catch/effort (CUE) were calculated as follows:
i) Fishing Effort:
[2] Fishing effort = total number of deliveries total number of fishermen (no. of deliveries) (N.D.) (no. of fishermen) F

Example: Armstrong Lake, for the year 1973:
Number of deliveries $=23$
Number of fishermen $=1$
Fishing effort $\quad=\frac{23}{1} \quad \frac{\text { N.D. }}{F}$

A delivery is a transaction completed by a fisherman in a particular period, usually one week. The number of deliveries is the only available index of fishing effort. The number of deliveries is divided by the number of fishermen in order to account for the number of operating fishermen. In northern

Manitoba lakes, this index approximates the effort of a fisherman who sets 1400 meters of 108 and/or 134 mm mesh gill nets each day for one week (Anon., 1981); 41/4 inch and 53/4 inch mesh nets are used by all fishermen.
ii) Yield per Unit of Surface Area of Lake (yield/surface area):
[3] Yield/surface area $=\frac{\text { total catch }}{\text { surface area of lake }} \frac{\mathrm{kg}}{\mathrm{ha}}$

Example: Armstrong Lake, for the year 1973:

$$
\text { Total catch } \quad=6,875 \mathrm{~kg}
$$

Surface area of lake $=2,859 \mathrm{ha}$
Yield/surface area $\quad=\frac{6,875}{2,859}=2.4 \frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{ha}}$
iii) Catch per Unit Effort (CUE):
[4] CUE $=\frac{\text { total catch }}{\text { fishing effort }} \frac{\mathrm{kg}}{\text { no. of del./fisherman }}(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{F})$
Example: Armstrong Lake, for the year 1973:
Total catch $\quad=6,875 \mathrm{~kg}$
Fishing effort $=23$ N.D.
CUE
$=\frac{6,875}{23}=298.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}$
(f) Temporal Changes in Relative Proportions of Lake Whitefish and Walleye in Commercial Catches and Landed Values

Initial prices for summer catches were used and were the prices first paid to the fishermen before the final sale of their fish by FFMC.

Summer prices were more stable and the majority of catches were delivered to Freshwater Fish Marketing Corporation during this time.

## III. RESULTS

(a) Species Composition of Cisco, Lake Whitefish and Pike in Commercial Catches of Lakes

Table 3 lists classifications of all lakes used in this analysis. Table 4 lists lakes according to geographical location (northern, north-central and southern regions). Appendix $C$ gives annual production of cisco, lake whitefish and pike for the period 1973-1983. Appendices D, F, G, H indicate temporal changes of cisco, lake whitefish and pike over time. Appendix $E$ lists lakes according to size categories of small (lakes with areas less than $10,000 \mathrm{ha}$ ), intermediate (lakes with areas between 10,000 and $30,000 \mathrm{ha}$ ) and large (lakes with areas above 30,000 ha) lakes.

Appendices $C$ and $D$, and Table 3 showed that increase in levels of T. crassus, as indicated by a change of lake class from "B" class to "C" class, occurred with the presence of cisco in 37.1\% (13 lakes) of the lakes (Armstrong, Bruneau, Cormorant, Kiski, Landing, Pakwa, Setting, Granville, Kipahigan, Opachuanau, Kisseynew, South Indian and Walker). Of these lakes nine (Armstrong, Bruneau, Cormorant, Kiski, Landing, Pakwa, Setting and Walker) were located in the north-central region of the Nelson River watershed, three lakes (Granville, Opachuanau and South Indian) were in the northern region and Kisseynew was in the southern region of the Churchill River watershed (Table 4). Six of the lakes (Armstrong, Bruneau, Granville, Kisseynew, Opachuanau and Pakwa) were small lakes. Five others (Kipahigan, Landing, Kiski, Setting and Walker) were intermediate lakes and two lakes (Cormorant and South Indian) were large lakes (Appendix E).

In 37.7\% (13 lakes) of the lakes (Butterfly, Cedar, Guthrie, Halfway, Natawahunan, North Indian, Playgreen, Sabomin, Sipiwesk, Sisipuk, Wekusko, Wintering and Wuskwatim) abundance of $\mathrm{T}_{\text {. }}$ crassus did not change with the presence of cisco in commercial catches (Appendix $F$; Table 3). Eight of these lakes (Butterfly, Halfway, Guthrie, Natawahunan, Playgreen, Sipiwesk, Wintering and Wuskwatim) were located in the north-central and one lake (Cedar Lake) in the southern region of the Nelson River watershed. North Indian Lake was located in the northern region of Churchill River watershed and Sabomin was in the north-central region of Hayes River watershed (Appendix E).

Change in abundance of $T$. crassus occurred with absence of cisco in commercial catch records in 11.43\% (4 lakes) of the lakes (Barrington, Dafoe, Gods and Herblet) (Appendix G). Barrington was located in the northern region of Churchill, and Dafoe and Gods were in the north-central region of Hayes River watershed. Herblet was in the north-central region of Nelson River watershed (Table 4). Herblet and Dafoe were small lakes, Barrington an intermediate lake and Gods a large lake (Appendix E).

Abundance of $T$. crassus remained unchanged (lake classes remained "A") with absence of cisco in 14.29\% (5 lakes) of the lakes (Patridge Crop, St. Martin, William, Witchai and Yawningstone) (Appendix H). William, St. Martin and Yawningstone were located in the southern region, Witchai in the northern region and Patridge Crop in the north-central region of the Nelson River watershed (Table 4). Three of the lakes (Patridge Crop, Yawningstone, and Witchai) were small lakes, one lake (William) was intermediate and the size of St. Martin Lake was not known (Appendix E).

Table 3. Annual production of all species (kg round weight), fishing effort (ND/F), ${ }^{1}$ CUE, 2 ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}$ ), 3 yield unit surface ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) for the period 1973-1983.

| Watershed | Lake | Year | Lake Class | Total <br> Catch <br> (kgs) | Fishing Effort (ND/F) | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { CUE } \\ \frac{\mathrm{kg}}{\mathrm{effort}} \\ (\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ND} / F) \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Yield }}{\text { area }} \\ & (\text { kg }) \\ & \text { (hect) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nelson | Armstrong | 1973 |  | 6,875 | 23 | 289.90 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1974 |  | 17,808 | 25 | 712.32 | 6.0 |
|  |  | 1975 | B | 4,574 | 8 | 571.75 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1976 |  | 7,048 | 8 | 881.00 | 3.0 |
|  |  | 1977 | B | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1978 | B | 1,168 | 5 | 232.00 | 0.4 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 11,131 | 23 | 483.00 | 4.0 |
|  |  | 1980 | B | 10,824 | 27 | 400.00 | 4.0 |
|  |  | 1981 | B | 8,756 | 17 | 515.00 | 3.0 |
|  |  | 1982 | C | 6,999 | 16 | 437.30 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1983 | C | 2,774 | 16 | 483.89 | 1.8 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 7,796 | 16 | 483.89 | 2.4 |
|  | Bruneau | 1973 |  | 5,943 | 19 | 321 | 4.0 |
|  |  | 1974 | B | 8,625 | 14 | 602 | 6.0 |
|  |  | 1975 | B | 6,156 | 7 | 879 | 4.0 |
|  |  | 1976 |  | 4,870 | 19 | 256 | 3.0 |
|  |  | 1977 | C | 3,885 | 13 | 299 | 3.0 |
|  |  | 1978 | C | 971 | 6 | 162 | 1.0 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 5,014 | 9 | 557 | 4.0 |
|  |  | 1980 | B | 7,819 | 10 | 782 | 5.0 |
|  |  | 1981 | C | 2,508 | 8 | 334 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1982 | C | 3,615 | 10 | 381 | 3.0 |
|  |  | 1983 | C | 1,874 | 12 | 156 | 1.0 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 4,661 | 11 |  | 3.0 |
|  | Butterfly | 1973 |  | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1974 |  | 3,524 | 10 | 352.4 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1975 |  | 5,080 | 8 | 635.0 | 3.0 |
|  |  | 1976 |  | 10,393 | 14 | 742.4 | 6.0 |
|  |  | 1977 | A | 5,404 | 16 | 337.8 | 3.0 |
|  |  | 1978 | A | 952 | 4 | 238.0 | 0.5 |
|  |  | 1979 | A | 13,797 | 28 | 492.8 | 7.0 |
|  |  | 1980 | A | 4,702 | 16 | 293.8 | 3.0 |

Table 3. Annual production of all species (kg round weight), fishing effort (ND/F), 1 CUE, ${ }^{2}$ ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}$ ), ${ }^{3}$ yield unit surface ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) for the period 1973-1983.

| Watershed | Lake | Year | Lake Class | Total <br> Catch <br> (kgs) | Fishing Effort (ND/F) | $\begin{gathered} \text { CUE } \\ \frac{k g}{\mathrm{effort}} \\ (\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Yield }}{\text { area }} \\ & \left(\frac{\text { kg }}{}\right. \text { (hect) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nelson | Butterfly | 1981 | A | 3,458 | 10 | 345.8 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1982 | A | 6,172 | 19 | 324.8 | 3.0 |
|  |  | 1983 |  | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Mean |  | 5,942 | 14 | 418.0 | 3.3 |
|  | Cedar | 1973 |  | 582,024 | 3.85 | $151,175$ | 0.5 |
|  |  | 1974 |  | 685,162 | 19.18 | $35,722$ | 5.4 |
|  |  | 1975 | A | 608,705 | 50.91 | 11,956 | 5.0 |
|  |  | 1976 | A | 781,223 | 50.88 | 15,354 | 6.2 |
|  |  | 1977 | A | 838,731 | 41.98 | 19,979 | 7.6 |
|  |  | 1978 | A 9 | 963,296 | 40.69 | 23,764 | 7.7 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 976,444 | 43.88 | 22,293 | 8.3 |
|  |  | 1980 | A 1,0 | 047,135 | 49.79 | 21,031 | 6.9 |
|  |  | 1981 | A | 875,174 |  | - |  |
|  |  | 1982 | A 5 | 592,026 | 25.00 | 23,681 | 4.5 |
|  |  | 1983 |  | 536,336 | $\underline{29.16}$ | 18,392 | 4.2 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 771,478 | 33 | 34,326 | 5.6 |
|  | Cormorant | $1973$ |  | $19,278$ | $8.0$ | $1,752$ | 0.6 |
|  |  | $1974$ |  | $17,870$ | $18.0$ | $993$ | $0.5$ |
|  |  | $1975$ | B | 7,224 | $6.0$ | 1,204 | 0.2 |
|  |  | $1976$ |  | 12,525 | 17.0 | 737 | 0.4 |
|  |  | $1977$ | C | 12,657 | 15.0 | 844 | 0.4 |
|  |  | 1978 | C | 14,007 | 25.0 | $560$ | 0.4 |
|  |  | $1979$ |  | 42,594 | 46.0 | 926 | 1.3 |
|  |  | 1980 | C | 77,889 | 44.0 | 1,770 | 2.0 |
|  |  | $1981$ | C | $21,198$ | $32.0$ | 662 | 0.6 |
|  |  | $1982$ | C | $41,334$ | 29.0 | 1,450 | 1.0 |
|  |  | 1983 | C | - | - |  | - |
|  |  | Mean |  | 26,657 | 24.0 | 1,089 | 0.74 |

Table 3. Annual production of all species (kg round weight), fishing effort (ND/F), ${ }^{1}$ CUE, $2(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}), 3$ yield unit surface ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) for the period 1973-1983.

| Watershed | Lake | Year | Lake Class | Total <br> Catch <br> (kgs) | Fishing Effort (ND/F) | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\text { CUE }}{\mathrm{kg}} \\ (\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Yield }}{\text { area }} \\ & (\text { kg }) \\ & \text { (hect) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nelson | Guthrie | 1973 |  | 14,128 | 15 | 942 | 4 |
|  |  | 1974 |  | 13,965 | 15 | 931 | 4 |
|  |  | 1975 | A | 16,930 | 17 | 996 | 5 |
|  |  | 1976 |  | 15,838 | 11 | 1,439 | 5 |
|  |  | 1977 | A | 20,155 | 4 | 4,742 | 6 |
|  |  | 1978 | A | 18,985 | 5 | 3,797 | 5 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 17,161 | 15 | 1,144 | 5 |
|  |  | 1980 | A | 15,243 | 12 | 1,270 | 4 |
|  |  | 1981 | A | 11,513 | 18 | 639 | 3 |
|  |  | 1982 | A | 6,407 | 18 | 356 | 2 |
|  |  | 1983 | A | 6,629 | 9 | 737 | $\underline{2}$ |
|  |  | Mean |  | 14,629 | 13 | 1,545 | 4 |
|  | Halfway | $1973$ |  | $39,819$ | 16 | $2,568$ | $14$ |
|  |  | $1974$ |  | $33,348$ | $60$ | $556$ | $11$ |
|  |  | 1975 | A | 19,649 | 34 | 578 | 7 |
|  |  | 1976 | A | 32,396 | 31 | 1,045 | 11 |
|  |  | 1977 | A | 20,561 | 10 | 2,056 | 7 |
|  |  | 1978 | A | 4,302 | 9 | 478 | 2 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 19,323 | 45 | 429 | 7 |
|  |  | 1980 | A | 19,749 | 18 | 1,097 | 7 |
|  |  | 1981 | A | 14,607 | 25 | 584 | 5 |
|  |  | 1982 | A | 17,502 | 13 | 908 | 6 |
|  |  | 1983 | A | 16,507 | 7 | 229 | 6 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 21,615 | 24 | 957 | 8 |
|  | Herblet | $1973$ |  | 5,090 | 6 | 848 | 2 |
|  |  | $1974$ |  | $6,259$ | 8 | $782$ | 2 |
|  |  | $1975$ | B | 9,947 | 11 | $904$ | 3 |
|  |  | $1976$ |  | $11,408$ | 12 | 950 | 4 |
|  |  | 1977 | B | 12,526 | 8 | 1,002 | 4 |
|  |  | 1978 | B | $13,493$ | 15 | 899 | 5 |
|  |  | $1979$ |  | $11,196$ | 11 | 1,007 | 4 |
|  |  | 1980 | A | 11,980 | 11 | 1,089 | 4 |

Table 3. Annual production of all species (kg round weight), fishing effort (ND/F), ${ }^{1}$ CUE, ${ }^{2}$ ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}$ ), ${ }^{3}$ yield unit surface ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) for the period 1973-1983.

| Watershed | Lake | Year | Lake <br> Class | Total <br> Catch <br> (kgs) | Fishing Effort (ND/F) | $\frac{\text { CUE }}{\frac{\mathrm{kg}}{\text { effort }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Yield }}{\text { area }} \\ & \left(\frac{\mathrm{kg}}{}\right) \\ & (\text { hect }) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nelson | Herblet | 1981 | A | 16,925 | 15 | 1,128 | 6 |
|  |  | 1982 | B | 231 | 1 | 231 | 5 |
|  |  | 1983 | C | 15,450 | 8 | 2,017 | 5 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 10,409 | 10 | 1,806 | 4 |
|  | Kiski | 1973 |  | 7,039 | 9 | 782 | 0.3 |
|  |  | 1974 | B | 9,662 | 15 | 644 | 0.4 |
|  |  | 1975 | B | 6,818 | 12 | 593 | 0.3 |
|  |  | 1976 | B | 4,119 | 10 | 412 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 1977 | B | 6,058 | 8 | 757 | 0.3 |
|  |  | 1978 | B | 4,609 | 7 | 709 | 0.8 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 8,986 | 4 | 2,075 | 0.4 |
|  |  | 1980 | B | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1981 | c | 4,007 | 6 | 667 | 0.1 |
|  |  | 1982 |  | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1983 |  | 6,480 | 8 | 2,492 | 0.1 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 6,420 | 9 | 1,015 | 0.32 |
|  | Landing | 1973 |  | 29,371 | 14 | 2,077 | 3.0 |
|  |  | 1974 |  | 26,477 | 13 | 2,106 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1975 |  | 25,776 | 12 | 2,216 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1976 |  | 1,217 | 2 | 608 | 0.1 |
|  |  | 1977 | B | 24,607 | 11 | 2,237 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1978 | C | 10,279 | 4 | 2,705 | 0.1 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 25,263 | 8 | 3,008 | 2.1 |
|  |  | 1980 | c | 9,937 | 7 | 1,526 | 0.8 |
|  |  | 1981 | c | 19,126 | 7 | 2,694 | 1.6 |
|  |  | 1982 | c | 41,192 | 6 | 7,245 | 3.4 |
|  |  | 1983 | C | 19,585 | 8 | 2,382 | 1.6 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 21,166 | 8 | 2,619 | 1.7 |

Table 3. Annual production of all species (kg round weight), fishing effort (ND/F), ${ }^{1}$ CUE, ${ }^{2}$ ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}$ ), ${ }^{3}$ yield unit surface ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) for the period 1973-1983.

| Watershed | Lake | Year | Lake Class | Total <br> Catch <br> (kgs) | Fishing Effort (ND/F) | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\mathrm{CUE}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \\ (\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Yield }}{\text { area }} \\ & (\text { hect }) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nelson | Natawahunan | 1973 |  | 333 | 1 | 333 | 0.07 |
|  |  | 1974 | A | 12,831 | 23 | 558 | 3 |
|  |  | 1975 |  | 7,393 | 16 | 462 | 2 |
|  |  | 1976 | A | 5,767 | 15 | 384 | 1 |
|  |  | 1977 | A | 8,297 | 17 | 488 | 2 |
|  |  | 1978 |  | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 16,633 | 9 | 1,900 | 4 |
|  |  | 1980 | A | 18,532 | 18 | 1,011 | 4 |
|  |  | 1981 | A | 13,362 | 11 | 1,252 | 3 |
|  |  | 1982 | B | 15,992 | 13 | 1,199 | 4 |
|  |  | 1983 |  | 14,939 | 7 | 2,038 | 3 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 11,408 | 13 | 963 | 3 |
|  | Pakwa | 1973 |  | 17,132 | 21 | 836 | 4 |
|  |  | 1974 |  | 19,915 | 21 | 948 | 5 |
|  |  | 1975 | B | 21,515 | 18 | 1212 | 5 |
|  |  | 1976 |  | 19,790 | 26 | 769 | 5 |
|  |  | 1977 | C | 17,788 | 18 | 975 | 3 |
|  |  | 1978 | C | 13,563 | 18 | 831 | 2 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 9,447 | 12 | 787 | 4 |
|  |  | 1980 | C | 16,442 | 18 | 913 | 4 |
|  |  | 1981 | C | 16,550 | 30 | 552 | 4 |
|  |  | 1982 | C | 18,479 | 27 | 692 | 5 |
|  |  | 1983 | C | - | 二 |  | = |
|  |  | Mean |  | 17,062 | 21 | 852 | 4 |
|  | Patridge | 1973 |  | 10,198 | 24 | 66 | 1.0 |
|  | Crop | 1974 |  | 15,557 | 41 | 379 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1975 | A | 13,624 | 32 | 426 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1976 | A | 10,723 | 28 | 383 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1977 |  | 11,168 | 11 | 508 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1978 | A | 3,210 | 8 | 401 | 0.4 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 3,754 | 6 | 626 | 0.5 |
|  |  | 1980 | A | 4,386 | 7 | 626 | 0.6 |

Table 3. Annual production of all species (kg round weight), fishing effort (ND/F), ${ }^{1}$ CUE, $2(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}), 3$ yield unit surface ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) for the period 1973-1983.

| Watershed | Lake | Year | Lake Class | Total <br> Catch <br> (kgs) | Fishing Effort (ND/F) | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\mathrm{CUE}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \\ (\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Yield }}{\text { area }} \\ & \left(\begin{array}{l} \text { kg }) \end{array}\right. \\ & \text { (hect }) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nelson | Patridge | 1981 | A | 2,489 | 6 | 415 | 0.3 |
|  | Crop | 1982 | A | 4,417 | 9 | 490 | 0.6 |
|  |  | 1983 | A | 4,155 | 5 | 831 | 0.6 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 4,607 | 17 | 514 | 1.0 |
|  | Setting | 1973 |  | 28,397 | 41 | 693 | 2 |
|  |  | 1974 |  | 33,327 | 54 | 623 | 3 |
|  |  | 1975 | B | 38,480 | 23 | 1,337 | 3 |
|  |  | 1976 | C | 39,198 | 42 | 940 | 3 |
|  |  | 1977 | C | 39,941 | 31 | 1,278 | 3 |
|  |  | 1978 | C | 37,850 | 17 | 2,226 | 3 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 50,840 | 26 | 2,226 | 4 |
|  |  | 1980 | C | 16,008 | 14 | 1,945 | 1 |
|  |  | 1981 | C | 12,294 | 17 | 1,143 | 0.9 |
|  |  | 1982 | C | 8,461 | 7 | 710 | 0.6 |
|  |  | 1983 | C | 12,703 | 12 | 1,104 | 0.9 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 28,863 | 27 | 1,196 | 2.2 |
|  | Sipiwesk | 1973 |  | 22,629 | 25 | 917 | 0.6 |
|  |  | 1974 |  | 72,078 | 27 | 2,645 | 0.6 |
|  |  | 1975 | A | 68,662 | 19 | 3,552 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1976 | A | 20,163 | 19 | 1,050 | 0.5 |
|  |  | 1977 | A | 8,787 | 19 | 475 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 1978 | A | 58,704 | 16 | 3,531 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 65,651 | 32 | 2,061 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1980 | A | 88,501 | 32 | 2,673 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1981 | A | 114,046 | 48 | 2,376 | 3.0 |
|  |  | 1982 | A | 60,929 | 41 | 1,498 | 3.0 |
|  |  | 1983 | A | 56,629 | 33 | 1,695 | 1.0 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 57,889 | 28 | 2,044 | 1.4 |

Table 3. Annual production of all species (kg round weight), fishing effort (ND/F), ${ }^{1}$ CUE, ${ }^{2}(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}),^{3}$ yield unit surface ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) for the period 1973-1983.

| Watershed | Lake | Year | Lake Class | Total <br> Catch <br> (kgs) | Fishing Effort (ND/F) | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\mathrm{CUE}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \\ (\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Yield }}{\text { area }} \\ & (\text { kg }) \\ & \text { (hect }) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nelson | Wekusko | 1973 |  | 176 | 1 | 176 | . 008 |
|  |  | 1974 | B | 43,352 | 14 | 3,053 | 2.000 |
|  |  | 1975 | A | 72,339 | 22 | 3,288 | 3.300 |
|  |  | 1976 | A | 72,389 | 15 | 4,771 | 3.300 |
|  |  | 1977 | A | 73,902 | 16 | 4,506 | 3.300 |
|  |  | 1978 | A | 68,559 | 20 | 3,485 | 3.300 |
|  |  | 1979 | A | 102,626 | 17 | 6,037 | 3.100 |
|  |  | 1980 | A | 69,736 | 26 | 2,717 | 4.600 |
|  |  | 1981 | A | 74,536 | 19 | 3,923 | 3.100 |
|  |  | 1982 | A | 73,810 | 19 | 3,818 | 3.300 |
|  |  | 1983 | A | - | - | - |  |
|  |  | Mean |  | 65,143 | 17 | 3,577 | 3 |
|  | William | 1973 |  | 52,954 | 7 | 7,708 | 5 |
|  |  | 1974 |  | 59,641 | 5 | 11,928 | 5 |
|  |  | 1975 | A | 27,106 | 8 | 3,200 | 2 |
|  |  | 1976 | A | 34,406 | 7 | 5,074 | 3 |
|  |  | 1977 | A | 57,512 | 8 | 6,954 | 5 |
|  |  | 1978 | A | 55,355 | 6 | 8,744 | 5 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 63,786 | 5 | 13,288 | 5 |
|  |  | 1980 | A | 81,295 | 4 | 2,309 | 7 |
|  |  | 1981 | A | 46,595 | 13 | 3,727 | 4 |
|  |  | 1982 | A | 58,412 | 13 | 4,672 | 5 |
|  |  | 1983 | A | 58,585 | 16 | 3,661 | 5 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 54,150 | 8 | 6,879 | 5 |
|  | St. Martin | 1973 |  | 113,444 | 10 | 11,768 | $?$ |
|  |  | 1974 |  | 184,504 | 15 | 12,628 | ? |
|  |  | 1975 | A | 207,023 | 8 | 26,172 | ? |
|  |  | 1976 | A | 266,535 | 12 | 21,740 | ? |
|  |  | 1977 | A | 263,014 | 15 | 17,652 | ? |
|  |  | 1978 | A | 391,049 | 15 | 25,938 | ? |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 575,032 | 22 | 26,486 | ? |

Table 3. Annual production of all species (kg round weight), fishing effort (ND/F), ${ }^{1}$ CUE, ${ }^{2}(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}),{ }^{3}$ yield unit surface ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) for the period 1973-1983.

| Watershed | Lake | Year | Lake Class | Total <br> Catch <br> (kgs) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fishing } \\ \text { Effort } \\ \text { (ND/F) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CUE } \\ \frac{\mathrm{kg}}{\mathrm{effort}} \\ (\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Yield }}{\text { area }} \\ & \text { ( kg ) } \\ & \text { (hect) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nelson | St. Martin | 1980 | A 4 | 475,900 | 13 | 36,383 | ? |
|  |  | 1981 | A 4 | 483,301 | 12 | 39,102 | ? |
|  |  | 1982 | A 1 | 150,415 | 5 | 30,951 | ? |
|  |  | 1983 | A | - | - | , | $?$ |
|  |  | Mean |  | 310,995 | 13 | 24,882 |  |
|  | Walker | 1973 |  | 34,721 | 10 | 3,339 | 2.5 |
|  |  | 1974 |  | 29,752 | 23 | 1,294 | 2.2 |
|  |  | 1975 | C | 4,127 | 4 | 1,159 | 0.3 |
|  |  | 1976 |  | 16,166 | 9 | 1,848 | 1.2 |
|  |  | 1977 | B | 31,063 | 12 | 2,823 | 2.3 |
|  |  | 1978 | C | - |  | - | - |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 30,959 | 19 | 1,644 | 4.6 |
|  |  | 1980 | C | 63,762 | 20 | 3,130 | 4.6 |
|  |  | 1981 | C | 63,191 | 34 | 1,864 | 4.6 |
|  |  | 1982 | C | 26,574 | 4 | 6,779 | 1.9 |
|  |  | 1983 | C | 7,114 | 5 | 1,355 | 0.5 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 27,948 | 14 | 2,524 | 2 |
|  | Wintering | 1973 |  | 29,127 | 17 | 1,713 | 3.0 |
|  |  | $1974$ |  | $29,259$ | $22$ | $1,320$ | $3.0$ |
|  |  | $1975$ | A | 24,338 | 16 | $1,509$ | 2.0 |
|  |  | $1976$ | A | $21,043$ | 11 | 1,978 | 2.0 |
|  |  | $1977$ | A | $19,670$ | 9 | $2,235$ | 2.0 |
|  |  | $1978$ | A | $7,531$ | 4 | 1,719 | 0.7 |
|  |  | $1979$ |  | 3,105 | 4 | 717 | 1.0 |
|  |  | $1980$ | A | $14,077$ | 7 | 2,110 | 1.0 |
|  |  | 1981 | A | $48,737$ | 11 | 4,390 | 5.0 |
|  |  | $1982$ | A | $44,715$ | 15 | $2,915$ | $4.0$ |
|  |  | 1983 | A | 37,005 | 7 | 5,286 | 4.0 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 25,328 | 11 | 2,353 | 2.5 |

Table 3. Annual production of all species (kg round weight), fishing effort (ND/F), 1 CUE, 2 ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}$ ), 3 yield unit surface ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) for the period 1973-1983.

| Watershed | Lake | Year | Lake <br> Class | Total <br> Catch <br> (kgs) | Fishing Effort (ND/F) | $\begin{gathered} \text { CUE } \\ \frac{\mathrm{kg}}{\text { effort }} \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Yield }}{\text { area }} \\ & (\text { kg }) \\ & (\text { hect }) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nelson | Witchai | 1973 |  | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1974 |  | 5,571 | 7 | 796 | 2 |
|  |  | 1975 | A | 11,000 | 10 | 110 | 3 |
|  |  | 1976 | A | 13,653 | 21 | 650 | 4 |
|  |  | 1977 | A | 11,631 | 22 | 540 | 3 |
|  |  | 1978 | A | 10,309 | 13 | 793 | 3 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 6,442 | 13 | 496 | 2 |
|  |  | 1980 | A | 13,256 | 16 | 829 | 4 |
|  |  | 1981 | A | 10,242 | 17 | 602 | 3 |
|  |  | 1982 | A | 12,329 | 28 | 440 | 3 |
|  |  | 1983 | A | -510 | $\underline{27}$ | 19 | . 14 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 9,494 | 17 | 528 | 3 |
|  | Wuskwatim | 1973 |  | 14,274 | 9 | 1,573 | 2 |
|  |  | 1974 | B | 41,287 | 4 | 1,009 | 6 |
|  |  | 1975 | B | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1976 |  | 19,493 | 18 | 1,076 | 3 |
|  |  | 1977 | A | 14,632 | 18 | 1,076 | 3 |
|  |  | 1978 | A | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1979 |  | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1980 | A | 18,375 | 26 | 716 | 3 |
|  |  | 1981 | A | 21,890 | 30 | 742 | 3 |
|  |  | 1982 | A | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1983 | A | 26,710 | 12 | 2,308 | $\underline{4}$ |
|  |  | Mean |  | 22,380 | 15 | 1,288 | 3 |
|  | Yawning- | 1973 |  | 2,306 | 6 | 407 | 2.0 |
|  | stone | 1974 |  | 820 | 7 | 117 | 0.7 |
|  |  | 1975 | A | 946 | - 3 | 315 | 0.8 |
|  |  | 1976 |  | 5,220 | 10 | 522 | 0.4 |
|  |  | 1977 | A | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1978 | A | 229 | 2 | 115 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 3,357 | 9 | 373 | 3.0 |

Table 3. Annual production of all species (kg round weight), fishing effort ( $\mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}$ ), ${ }^{1}$ CUE, ${ }^{2}(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}),{ }^{3}$ yield unit surface ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) for the period 1973-1983.

| Watershed | Lake | Year | Lake Class | Total <br> Catch <br> (kgs) | Fishing Effort (ND/F) | $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \text { CUE } \\ \text { effort } \\ (k g / N D / F) \end{array}}{\frac{k g}{}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Yield }}{\text { area }} \\ & \text { ( kg }) \\ & \text { (hect) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nelson | Yawningstone | 1980 | A | 678 | 6 | 113 | 0.6 |
|  |  | 1981 | A | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1982 |  | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1983 |  | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Mean |  | 1,937 | 6 | 280 | 2 |
| Churchill | Barrington | 1973 |  | 19,874 | 29 | 685 | 1 |
|  |  | 1974 |  | 6,950 | 12 | 579 | 0.4 |
|  |  | 1975 | C | 18,713 | 6 | 1,100 | 1 |
|  |  | 1976 | B | 29,927 | 35 | 855 | 2 |
|  |  | 1977 | B | 21,105 | 30 | 704 | 1.3 |
|  |  | 1978 | B | 32,200 | 41 | 785 | 2 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 25,702 | 57 | 451 | 1 |
|  |  | 1980 | C | 21,162 | 27 | 784 | 0.6 |
|  |  | 1981 | C | 9,231 | 18 | 512 | 2 |
|  |  | 1982 | C | 25,710 | 4 | 7,005 | 2 |
|  |  | 1983 | C | 36,431 | 67 | -543 | 2 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 22,455 | 30 | 1,273 | 1.4 |
|  | Granville | 1973 |  | 30,790 | 8 | 3,801 | 7 |
|  |  | 1974 |  | 13,832 | 5 | $2,766$ | 3 |
|  |  | 1975 | B | 27,527 | 21 | 1,332 | 6 |
|  |  | 1976 | B | 79,278 | 209 | 379 | 17 |
|  |  | 1977 | B | 95,685 | 24 | 4,045 | 21 |
|  |  | 1978 | B | 51,228 | 6 | 3,659 | 11 |
|  |  | 1979 | C | 84,934 | 30 | 2,831 | 19 |
|  |  | 1980 | B | 62,497 | 15 | 4,310 | 14 |
|  |  | 1981 | C | 63,154 | 14 | 4,429 | 14 |
|  |  | 1982 | C | 90,096 | 14 | 6,491 | 20 |
|  |  | 1983 | C | 11,273 | 4 | 3,100 | $\underline{2}$ |
|  |  | Mean |  | 55,481 | 32 | 3,377 | 12 |

Table 3. Annual production of all species ( kg round weight), fishing effort (ND/F), ${ }^{1}$ CUE, ${ }^{2}$ ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}$ ), ${ }^{3}$ yield unit surface ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) for the period 1973-1983.

| Watershed | Lake | Year | Lake Class | Total <br> Catch <br> (kgs) | Fishing Effort (ND/F) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Yield }}{\text { area }} \\ & \left(\frac{\text { kg })}{(\text { hect })}\right. \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Churchill | Kipahigan | 1973 |  | 57,557 | 15 | 3,837 | 6.0 |
|  |  | 1974 | B | 60,779 | 18 | 3,315 | 6.0 |
|  |  | 1975 |  | 46,713 | 9 | 5,190 | 5.0 |
|  |  | 1976 | C | 41,642 | 13 | 3,203 | 4.0 |
|  |  | 1977 | c | 35,899 | 20 | 4,487 | 4.0 |
|  |  | 1978 | C | 29,760 | 17 | 1,750 | 3.0 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 18,657 | 13 | 1,435 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1980 | C | 20,578 | 20 | 1,028 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1981 | C | 17,521 | 18 | 973 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1982 | C | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1983 |  | 15,011 | 3 | 2,492 | 0.56 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 34,412 | 15 | 2,771 | 3.5 |
|  | Kisseynew | 1973 |  | 26,073 | 26 | 1,003 |  |
|  |  | 1974 |  | 42,551 | 25 | 1,702 | 6 |
|  |  | 1975 | B | 33,392 | 19 | 1,757 | 4 |
|  |  | 1976 | C | 24,031 | 16 | 1,502 | 3 |
|  |  | 1977 | C | 23,029 | 17 | 1,354 | 3 |
|  |  | 1978 | C | 45,206 | 8 | 5,650 | 6 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 40,029 | 14 | 2,859 | 5 |
|  |  | 1980 | c | 31,280 | 12 | 2,720 | 4 |
|  |  | 1981 | C | 19,044 | 32 | 595 | 3 |
|  |  | 1982 | c | 41,836 | 39 | 1,072 | 5 |
|  |  | 1983 | C | 30,392 | $\underline{29}$ | 1,066 | 4 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 32,441 | 21 | 1,935 | 4 |
|  | N. Indian | 1973 |  | 50,547 | 29 | 1,763 | 3.0 |
|  |  | 1974 |  | 65,820 | 13 | 506 | 0.3 |
|  |  | 1975 |  | 65,431 | 7 | 9,183 | 3.0 |
|  |  | 1976 | A | 59,967 | 23 | 2,476 | 3.0 |
|  |  | 1977 |  | , | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1978 |  | 51,962 | 18 | 2,922 | 3.0 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 5,324 | 10 | 532 | 3.0 |

Table 3. Annual production of all species (kg round weight), fishing effort (ND/F), 1 CUE, $2(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}), 3$ yield unit surface ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) for the period 1973-1983.

| Watershed | Lake | Year | Lake Class | Total Catch (kgs) | Fishing Effort (ND/F) | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\mathrm{CUE}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \\ \frac{\mathrm{effor}}{\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ND} / F)} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Yield }}{\text { area }} \\ & \text { ( kg }) \\ & \text { (hect) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Churchill | N. Indian | 1980 | A | 45,443 | 80 | 568 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1981 | A | 27,022 | 13 | 2,119 | 1.0 |
|  |  | 1982 | A | 38,226 | 7 | 5,791 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1983 |  | 17,336 | $\underline{26}$ | 6,556 | 0.9 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 36,484 | 23 | 3,242 | 2 |
|  | Opachuanau | 1973 |  | 16,081 | 15 | 1,084 | 2 |
|  |  | 1974 |  |  | - | 1,084 | - |
|  |  | 1975 | B | 16,636 | 3 | 5,315 | 2 |
|  |  | 1976 | B | 28,158 | 20 | 1,351 | 4 |
|  |  | 1977 | B | 23,371 | 10 | 2,460 | 3 |
|  |  | 1978 | B | 32,075 | 9 | 3,695 | 4 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 11,461 | 12 | 944 | 1 |
|  |  | 1980 | B | 22,620 | 10 | 2,249 | 3 |
|  |  | 1981 | C | 22,869 | 13 | 1,781 | 3 |
|  |  | 1982 | C | 45,936 | 19 | 2,376 | 6 |
|  |  | 1983 | C | 12,965 | 10 | 1,297 | $\underline{2}$ |
|  |  | Mean |  | 23,217 | 12 | 2,385 | 3 |
|  | Sisipuk | 1973 |  | 620 | 1 | 620 | . 04 |
|  |  | $1974$ | A | 6,690 | 7 | 955 | . 40 |
|  |  | 1975 |  | 2,966 | 14 | 1,816 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1976 | A | - | - | 1,816 | - |
|  |  | 1977 | A | 2,671 | 4 | 668 | . 20 |
|  |  | 1978 | A | 20,691 | 8 | 2,364 | 1.30 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 16,011 | 11 | 1,515 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1980 | A | 42,947 | 8 | 5,577 | 2.70 |
|  |  | 1981 | A | 40,510 | 8 | 5,114 | 2.50 |
|  |  | 1982 | A | 23,510 | 14 | 6,717 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 1983 | A | 25,947 | -8 | 3,382 | 1.60 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 18,256 | 8 | 2,873 | 1.3 |

Table 3. Annual production of all species (kg round weight), fishing effort (ND/F), ${ }^{1}$ CUE, $2(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}), 3$ yield unit surface ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) for the period 1973-1983.

| Watershed | Lake | Year | Lake Class | Total <br> Catch <br> (kgs) | Fishing Effort (ND/F) | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\text { CUE }}{\mathrm{kg}} \\ (\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Yield }}{\text { area }} \\ & \left(\frac{\mathrm{kg}}{}\right. \text { ) } \\ & \text { (hect) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Churchill | S. Indian | 1973 |  | 354,181 | 28 | 12,599 | 2 |
|  |  | 1974 |  | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1975 |  | 359,632 | 28 | 12,964 | 2 |
|  |  | 1976 | A | 537,343 | 36 | 14,677 | 3 |
|  |  | 1977 | A | 526,713 | 30 | 17,734 | 3 |
|  |  | 1978 | A | 471,619 | 35 | 13,467 | 2 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 542,239 | 33 | 16,362 | 3 |
|  |  | 1980 | B | 484,118 | 33 | 14,841 | 2 |
|  |  | 1981 | B | 388,335 | 34 | 11,375 | 2 |
|  |  | 1982 | C | 179,559 | 17 | 10,771 | 0.9 |
|  |  | 1983 | C | 191,652 | 17 | 11,240 | 0.9 |
|  |  | Mean |  | 403,539 | 29 | 13,603 | 2 |
| Hayes | Dafoe | 1973 |  | 2,603 | 8 | 325 | 1 |
|  |  | 1974 |  | , |  | , | 1 |
|  |  | 1975 | B | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1976 | B | 7,353 | 16 | 460 | 3 |
|  |  | 1977 | B | 6,835 | 10 | 707 | 3 |
|  |  | 1978 | C | 11,991 | 24 | 500 | 4 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 9,810 | 20 | 491 | 4 |
|  |  | 1980 | B | 8,096 | 18 | 450 | 3 |
|  |  | 1981 |  | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1982 |  |  | - | _ | - |
|  |  | 1983 |  | - | - | - | ニ |
|  |  | Mean |  | 7,781 | 16 | 489 | 3 |
|  | Gods | 1973 |  | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1974 |  | 166,926 | 20 | 8,560 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 1975 | B 1 | 109,965 | 21 | 5,343 | 1.0 |
|  |  | 1976 | B | 53,595 | 16 | 3,372 | 0.5 |
|  |  | 1977 | C | 90,176 | 25 | 3,578 | 0.9 |
|  |  | 1978 | B | 69,502 | 27 | 2,568 | 0.7 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 51,665 | 20 | 2,429 | 0.5 |

Table 3. Annual production of all species (kg round weight), fishing effort (ND/F), ${ }^{1}$ CUE, ${ }^{2}$ ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}$ ), ${ }^{3}$ yield unit surface ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) for the period 1973-1983.

| Watershed | Lake | Year | Lake <br> Class | Total <br> Catch <br> (kgs) | Fishing Effort (ND/F) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Yield }}{\text { area }} \\ & \left(\frac{\mathrm{kg}}{}\right. \text { ) } \\ & (\mathrm{hect}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hayes | Gods | 1980 | c | 98,066 | 29 | 3,340 | 0.9 |
|  |  | 1981 | c | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1982 | C | 59,206 | 24 | 2,449 | 0.6 |
|  |  | 1983 | C | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Mean |  | 87,387 | 23 | 3,954 | 1 |
|  | Sabomin | 1973 |  | 3,007 | 5 | 668 | 2 |
|  |  | 1974 |  | 4,010 | 30 | 134 | 3 |
|  |  | 1975 | A | 1,435 | 8 | 179 | 1 |
|  |  | 1976 | A | 1,854 | 9 | 206 | 1 |
|  |  | 1977 | A | 1,661 | 4 | 415 | 1 |
|  |  | 1978 | A | 4,050 | 4 | 1,012 | 3 |
|  |  | 1979 |  | 6,021 | 7 | 860 | 4 |
|  |  | 1980 | A | 4,288 | 5 | 858 | 3 |
|  |  | 1981 | A | 9,653 | 6 | 1,608 | 7 |
|  |  | 1982 | A | 4,362 | 6 | 727 | 3 |
|  |  | 1983 | A | 6,710 | 13 | 516 | $\underline{5}$ |
|  |  | Mean |  | 4,277 | 9 | 653 | 3 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F} \quad$ Number of deliveries per fisherman |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ CUE Catch per unit effort |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}} \mathrm{Kilograms}$ per number of deliveries per fisherman |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lak | Class: A | Export |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | B | Contin | ntal |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Cutter |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Distribution of lakes according to geographical region (ie., northern, north-central and southern)

| ```Northern Lakes (lakes above Lat. 56 %)``` | North-central Lakes (lakes between Lat. $54^{\circ}$ and $56^{\circ}$ ) | Southern Lakes <br> (Lakes below Lat. $54^{\circ}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barrington <br> Granville <br> Opachuanau <br> Wekusko <br> North Indian <br> Witchai | Bruneau <br> Armstrong <br> Halfway <br> Kipahigan <br> Setting <br> Landing <br> Cormorant <br> Pakwa <br> Kiski <br> Butterfly <br> Playgreen <br> Patridge Crop <br> Sabomin <br> Walker <br> Dafoe <br> Sisipuk <br> Natawahunan <br> Sipiwesk <br> Wintering <br> Wuskwatim <br> Gods <br> Herblet <br> Guthrie | Kisseynew <br> Cedar <br> St. Martin <br> William <br> Yawningstone |

According to Green and Derksen (1984).
(b) Changes in Size Proportions of Lake Whitefish as a Function of CUE Appendix I lists lake whitefish size proportions of jumbo, large, medium and small, for lakes used in this analysis. Table 3 lists total CUE for individual lakes. Appendices D, F, G and H show temporal changes of cisco, pike and lake whitefish over time, 1973-1983. Appendix E lists lakes according to size categories of small, intermediate and large. Table 4 lists lakes according to geographical location (northern, north-central and southern regions).

Linear regression analysis of relative proportion of large and medium lake whitefish as a function of total CUE (Appendices $K, L$ ) revealed three general trends as shown in Figures 3, 4 and 5.

Figure 2 shows examples of lakes where in relation to CUE, proportions of large and medium whitefish diverged (mediums increased as the large decreased). $22.9 \%$ of the lakes (Cormorant, Guthrie, Kisseynew, Patridge Crop, Landing, Opachuanau, Wintering, Witchai and Wuskwatim) showed this trend (Figure 2; Appendix K). Cormorant, Guthrie, Landing, Wintering, Wuskwatim and Patridge Crop were in the north-central region and Witchai in the northern region of the Nelson River watershed. Opachuanau is in the northern region and Kisseynew in the southern region of Churchill River watershed (Appendix E). Yields of large lake whitefish for all the above lakes had negative slopes. The slopes for medium lake whitefish were negative for Guthrie and Patridge Crop and were positive for the remaining lakes (Cormorant, Kisseynew, Landing, Opachuanau, Wintering, Witchai and Wuskwatim lakes) (Appendix L). In four of the lakes (Cormorant, Kisseynew, Landing and Opachuanau) the abundance of $T$. crassus increased with an increase in
cisco in commercial catches (Appendix D; Table 3). In three lakes (Guthrie, Wintering and Wuskwatim lakes), no change in abundance of $T_{\text {. }}$ crassus occurred with the presence of cisco (Appendix F; Table 3). In two lakes (Patridge Crop and Witchai) no change in abundance of $T$. crassus occurred with absence of cisco (Appendix $H$; Table 3).

Four of the lakes (Cormorant, Kisseynew, Landing, Opachuanau) had high abundances of $\underline{T}$ crassus and five lakes (Guthrie, Wintering, Patridge Crop, Wuskwatim and Witchai) had low abundances of $T$. crassus (Table 3). In Cormorant, Landing and Patridge Crop lakes, proportions of large size lake whitefish were high in early 1970 's and decreased with increasing CUE over time. Medium size lake whitefish were low during the same period and increased with increasing CUE and a decreasing large class of whitefish (Appendix K). Guthrie, Kisseynew, Opachuanau, Wintering and Landing were intermediate lakes and Cormorant was a large lake.

Figure 4 gives examples of lakes, where, in relation to CUE, large and medium whitefish classes converged (as mediums decreased the large size class increased). 40\% (14 lakes) of the lakes (Armstrong, Barrington, Cedar, Granville, Halfway, Herblet, Natawahunan, Playgreen, Sabomin, Setting, Sisipuk, Sipiwesk, Walker and Wekusko) showed this trend (Appendix K; Figure 3). Natawahunan, Halfway, Herblet, Playgreen, Setting, Sipiwesk and Walker were in the north-central region, Wekusko was in the northern region and Cedar was in the southern region of Nelson River watershed. Barrington, Sisipuk and Granville were located in the north-central region of Churchill River watershed (Table 4). Sabomin is in the north-central region of Hayes River watershed (Table 4). Eight of the lakes (Sisipuk, Halfway, Sipiwesk,

Cedar, Natawahunan, Wekusko, Sabomin, and Playgreen) had low abundances of $T$. crassus. Six of the lakes (Granville, Armstrong, Barrington, Herblet, Setting and Walker) were lakes with high abundances of the parasite (Table 3). Low abundances of T. crassus occurred when low yields of medium lake whitefish and high yields of large lake whitefish occurred in Cormorant, Bruneau, Patridge Crop and Landing lakes.

Abundances of $T$. crassus increased with increasing yields of medium and decreasing yields of large whitefish in these lakes (Appendices $J, K$ ). Presence of cisco in commercial catches correlated with increasing abundances of $T$. crassus in four of the lakes (Armstrong, Granville, Setting and Walker) (Appendix D; Table 3). In eight of the lakes in this category (Sisipuk, Halfway, Sipiwesk, Cedar, Natawahunan, Wekusko, Sabomin, and Playgreen), presence of cisco did not affect abundances of T. crassus (Appendix F; Table 3).

Examples of lakes where no apparent correlation occurred between the two size classes (the two size classes were parallel) are shown in Figure 5. 14.3\% (5 lakes) (Gods, Kiski, Kipahigan, William, Yawningstone lakes) showed this trend (Appendices K, L). Yields of the large classes in three of these lakes (Kipahigan, William and Yawningstone) had negative slopes and two lakes (Kiski and Gods) had positive slopes. For medium classes of lake whitefish, Kipahigan and William had negative slopes while Yawningstone, Kiski and Gods had positive slopes. Yawningstone was a small lake, Kiski, Kipahigan and William were intermediate lakes and Gods was a large lake (Appendix E). Three lakes in this category (Kiski, Gods and Kipahigan) had high abundances of $T$. crassus and two lakes (William and Yawningstone) had low abundances of $T$. crassus.

In 20\% (7 lakes) of the lakes (Dafoe, Butterfly, Bruneau, Pakwa, North Indian, South Indian and St. Martin), there was no consistent trend between the two size classes (Appendix I). Yields of large whitefish for four of these lakes (Dafoe, Pakwa, South Indian and St. Martin) had positive slopes and three of the lakes (Butterfly, Bruneau and North Indian) had negative slopes (Appendix L). For medium classes, Bruneau, Dafoe and South Indian lakes had positive slopes while Pakwa, St. Martin, Butterfly and North Indian lakes had negative slopes. Butterfly, Pakwa and Bruneau lakes were in the north-central and St. Martin in the southern region of the Nelson River watershed. North Indian and South Indian lakes were in the northern region of the Churchill River watershed. Dafoe was in the north-central region of Hayes River watershed (Table 4). Dafoe, Butterfly, Pakwa, Bruneau and South Indian lakes had high abundances of T. crassus (Table 3). Butterfly, North Indian and St. Martin lakes had low abundances of $T$. crassus (Table 3).

In three of the lakes (Bruneau, Pakwa and South Indian), presence of cisco was correlated with increasing abundances of $T$. crassus (Appendix D; Table 3). Presence of cisco did not affect abundances of T. crassus in two of the lakes (Butterfly and North Indian) (Appendix $F$; Table 3). In Dafoe, abundances of $T$. crassus fluctuated but returned to original levels in the absence of cisco (Appendix G; Table 3). In St. Martin Lake, no cisco was recorded in commercial catches and abundance of $T$. crassus remained unchanged (Appendix $H$; Table 3).

Size Distribution According to Watershed:
Appendix $M$ gives mean proportions of large and medium lake whitefish over time, 1973-1983, in the Hays, Churchill

## Figure 2

## Examples of concomitant changes in proportions of medium and large size lake whitefish as a function of CUE (kg/N.D/F)

Note: Medium size lake whitefish increased as large size lake whitefish

## Legend

(A) Proportions of medium size lake whitefish
(B) Proportions of large size lake whitefish

1 Guthrie Lake
2 Kisseynew Lake.
3 Sabomin Lake




## Figure 3

# Concomitant changes in proportions of medium and large size lake whitefish as a function of CUE ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{F}$ ) 

Note: Medium size lake whitefish decreased as large size lake whitefish increased.

## Legend

(A) Proportions of medium size lake whitefish
(B) Proportions of large size lake whitefish

1 Barrington Lake
2 Granville Lake
3 Walker Lake




## Figure 4

# Concomitant changes in proportions of medium and large <br> size lake whitefish as a function of CUE (kg/N.D/F) 

Note: No correlation occurred between the two size classes.

## Legend

(A) Proportions of medium size lake whitefish
(B) Proportions of large size lake whitefish

1 William Lake
2 Kiski Lake
3 Gods Lake



and Nelson watersheds. Appendix $N$ shows temporal changes in large and medium classes of lake whitefish in commercial catches from lakes in the Hayes, Churchill and Nelson River watersheds. Appendix 0 shows concomitant changes in large and medium sized lake whitefish over time in the three watersheds. Appendix $P$ gives the regressions of large and medium size lake whitefish in the three watersheds.

Appendix $M$ shows that yields of medium lake whitefish were highest in the Hayes River watershed, intermediate in the Churchill River watershed and lowest in the Nelson River watershed.

Linear regression analysis indicated that as large sized lake whitefish increased, medium sized lake whitefish decreased (Appendix 0). The slopes for whitefish classes for all three watersheds were negative. Hayes River watershed had a correlation coefficient of $0.610, F$ value of 504.62 and 1 and 10 degrees of freedom. Nelson had a correlation coefficient of $0.610, F$ value of 1.38 and 1 and 10 degrees of freedom. Churchill had a correlation coefficient of $0.826, F$ value of 12.85 and 7 degrees of freedom.

## (c) Spatial and Temporal Trends in Catch, Effort and CUE

Table 3 lists classifications of all lakes used in this analysis. Table 4 lists lakes according to geographical location (northern, north-central and southern). Appendix $Q$ gives species composition (in marketed weight) of lake whitefish, pike, walleye and others for lakes, during the period 1973-1982. Appendix $R$ shows temporal changes in total
yield by species. Figure 5 shows examples of lakes where decline in production was correlated with an increase in the abundance of $T$. crassus. Appendix $S$ shows temporal changes in catch/delivery (kg/number of deliveries). Appendix $E$ lists lakes according to lake sizes of small, intermediate and large. Appendices D, F, G and H show temporal changes in species proportions of cisco, lake whitefish and pike. Appendix $S$ gives lake size categories of small, intermediate and large lakes used in this analysis.
i) Catch:

There were irregular fluctuations in total annual production in 60\% (21 lakes) of the lakes (Armstrong, Barrington, Butterfly, Cedar, Dafoe, Granville, Halfway, Herblet, Kiski, Kisseynew, Natawehunan, North Indian, Opachuanau, Patridge Crop, Sabomin, Sisipuk, Sipiwesk, Walker, William, Witchai and Yawningstone) (Appendix Q). Wider fluctuations occurred in nine of the above lakes (Butterfly, Cedar, Kisseynew, Barrington, Armstrong, Sisipuk, Sabomin, North Indian and Yawningstone) (Appendix Q). Cedar and Yawningstone lakes were located in the southern region, and Armstrong and Butterfly lakes in the north-central region of the Nelson River watershed (Table 4). Barrington and North Indian Lakes were in the northern, Sisipuk in the north-central and Kisseynew were in the southern region of the Churchill River watershed (Table 4).

Total annual catches were higher in the early 1970's in 34.3\% (12 lakes) of the lakes (Bruneau, Gods, Kipahigan, Cormorant, Guthrie, Halfway, Kiski, Landing, Pakwa, Patridge

Crop, South Indian and Cedar) (Appendix Q). Abundance of $T$. crassus were lower in those lakes in the same period (Table 3). Production declined with increasing abundances of the parasite in eight of these lakes (Cormorant, Bruneau, Gods, Kiski, Kipahigan, Landing, South Indian and Pakwa) (Appendix $M$; Table 3). Abundance of $T$. crassus remained unchanged in Cedar, Guthrie, Halfway and Patridge Crop lakes with similar downward trends in production (Appendices $Q, R$; Table 3). Lakes in this category were located in the north and north-central regions of the Nelson River watershed (Bruneau, Cormorant, Kiski and Pakwa) and Churchill River watershed (Gods, Kipahigan and South Indian) (Table 4).

Total catches were higher in the mid 1970's in 34.3\% (12 lakes) of the lakes studied (Setting, Herblet, St. Martin, Natawahunan, Kisseynew, Opachuanau, Playgreen, Sisipuk, Wekusko, William, Barrington and Granville) (Appendices $\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R}$ ). This was a period when abundances of $T$. crassus started to increase in six of the lakes (Setting, Kisseynew, Herblet, Barrington, Granville and Opachuanau) (Appendices $Q, R$ ). Annual catches declined in these lakes with increasing abundances of $T$. crassus. These lakes were located in the north-central regions of Nelson River watershed (Setting, Granville, Playgreen, Herblet, Wekusko and William) and Churchill River watershed (Barrington and Sisipuk). Kisseynew Lake was located in the southern region of the Churchill River watershed (Table 4).

Annual total catches progressively increased from

## Figure 5

Temporal changes in total yield (in marketed weight), 1973-1983

Note: Total yield declined with increasing abundance of $T$. crassus Forel

Legend
Total yield
Yield of lake whitefish
Yield of walleye
Yield of pike
Yield of other species
1 South Indian Lake
2 Kipahigan Lake


:
1973-1980 in five lakes (Setting, Granville, Herblet, Playgreen and St. Martin) (Appendices Q, R). Granville, Herblet and Setting had high abundances of $T$. crassus, and Playgreen and St. Martin lakes had low abundances of the parasite (Table 3). Setting, Herblet and Playgreen lakes were in the north-central region and St. Martin was in the southern region of the Nelson River watershed. Granville Lake was located in the northern region of Churchill River watershed (Table 4).

Annual total catches progressively declined from 1973-1983 in Guthrie, Halfway, Kiski, Landing, Kipahigan, South Indian, Gods, Bruneau, Pakwa, Patridge Crop and Wekusko (Figure 5; Appendices $Q, R$ ). Six of these lakes (Guthrie, Halfway, Pakwa, Patridge Crop, Kiski, Landing) were located in the north-central region of Nelson River watershed, two lakes (South Indian and Kipahigan) were in the north-central region of Churchill watershed and one (Gods Lake) in north-central region of Hayes River watershed. Kiski, Pakwa and Landing had high abundances of $T$. crassus and Guthrie, Halfway, Patridge Crop, and Wekusko had low abundances of the parasite.

Catch/delivery declined with increasing number of deliveries in $60 \%$ ( 21 lakes) of the lakes studied (Sipiwesk, North Indian, Setting, Dafoe, Witchai, Sabomin, South Indian, Wintering, Wuskwatim, Natawahunan, Patridge Crop, Kisseynew, Gods, Playgreen, St. Martin, Granville, Opachuanau, Pakwa, Guthrie, and Barrington) (Appendix S). Catch/delivery increased with increasing number of deliveries in 17.1\% (6
lakes) of the lakes studied (Cedar, Cormorant, Herblet, Kipahigan, Wekusko and Yawningstone). Catch/delivery was
irregular in seven lakes (Halfway, Bruneau, Kisseynew, Butterfly, Landing, Walker and Sisipuk).
ii) Lake Parameters:

Twelve of the small lakes (Armstrong, Bruneau, Butterfly, Guthrie, Halfway, Herblet, Natawahunan, Pakwa, Patridge Crop, Witchai, Wuskwatim and Yawningstone) were located in the Nelson River watershed, two lakes (Dafoe and Sabomin) were located in the Hayes River watershed, and three lakes (Granville, Kisseynew and Opachunanau) were located in the Churchill River watershed (Appendix E). The six intermediate size lakes (Kiski, Landing, Setting, Walker, William, Wintering and Wekusko) were in the Nelson River watershed. Four lakes, Barrington, Kipahigan, North Indian and Sisipuk lakes were in the Churchill River watershed. The large lakes, Cedar, Cormorant, Playgreen and Sipiwesk lakes were in the Nelson River watershed. One lake (Gods) was in the Hayes and one lake (South Indian) was in the Churchill River watershed.

The small lakes had a mean surface area of 3,927 ha, mean CUE per unit of surface area of $0.28(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{ha})$, mean effort of 14.3 (N.D/F), mean production for lake whitefish was $68,051 \mathrm{~kg}$; for cisco was $42,317 \mathrm{~kg}$; and for pike was 27,130 kg. The intermediate lakes had a mean surface area of 15,297 ha; mean CUE per unit of surface area of $0.19(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{ha})$; mean effort of 15 (N.D/F); mean production of lake whitefish
was $148,407 \mathrm{~kg}$; mean production of cisco was $16,510 \mathrm{~kg}$ and mean production of pike was $50,000 \mathrm{~kg}$. The large lakes had a mean surface area of 95,055 ha; mean CUE per unit of surface area of $0.10(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{F})$; mean effort of 29 (N.D/F); mean production for lake whitefish was $943,322 \mathrm{~kg}$; mean production of cisco was $25,144 \mathrm{~kg}$; and mean production of pike was $613,173 \mathrm{~kg}$ (Appendix E).
iii) Mean Flow Rates for the Month of May as a Factor in Total Production:

Appendix $T$ gives the flow rates $\left(m^{3} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}\right)$ for May and mean annual yield (kg), 1973-1983, for the Churchill, Hayes and Nelson River watersheds. Mean flow rates for early 1970 's were plotted against mean annual catches of the mid $1970^{\prime}$ s with a five year lag. For example the flow rate for the year 1973 was plotted against the mean annual catch of 1978. This was because most whitefish stocks are recruited into the fishable stock at age 5 (Rackozy, 1983). Appendix U lists regressions for the flow rates of the month of May versus mean annual catches $(\mathrm{kg})$ for the three watersheds, with a five year lag. Figure 6 shows the concomitant changes in annual catches as a function of flow rates $[y=f$ (flow rate)].

Appendix $T$ shows that mean May flow rates were generally higher in the early 1970 's. Mean annual catches were correspondingly higher in mid 1970 's for all three watersheds.

Regression analysis ( $y=a+b x$ ) for the three watersheds produced a correlation coefficient of $0.260, F$ value of 0.29 with (1, 4) degrees of freedom for Churchill River watershed,

## Figure 6

Concomitant changes in annual yield (kg) as a function of mean flow rates $\left(m^{3} \sec ^{-1}\right)$ for the month of May for Churchill (A), Hayes (B), and Nelson (C)

correlation coefficient of $0.851, F$ value of 5.25 with $(1,2)$ degrees of freedom for Hayes watershed and a correlation coefficient of $0.933, F$ value of 26.71 with $(1,4)$ degrees of freedom for Nelson watershed. The slopes for all three watersheds were positive (Appendix $U$ ).
iv) Fishing Effort:

Table 3 lists the lakes used to determine annual fishing effort in this analysis. Appendix $V$ shows temporal changes in fishing effort over time, 1973-1983. Examples of lakes with decreasing, increasing and irregular effort are given in Figures 7, 8 and 9 respectively.

Figure 7 and Appendix $V$ show that effort declined over time in $25.7 \%$ of the lakes (Natawahunan, Landing, Patridge Crop, Kiski, Halfway, Bruneau, Sabomin, Wintering and Setting). Natawahunan, Landing, Patridge Crop, Halfway, Bruneau, Wintering, Setting and Kiski lakes were located in the north-central region of the Nelson River watershed and Sabomin was in the north-central region of Hayes River watershed (Table 4). The presence of cisco was correlated with an increase in abundance of $T$. crassus in four of the lakes (Landing, Kiski, Bruneau, and Setting) (Table 4;

Appendix D). Presence of cisco did not effect abundance of T. crassus in four of the lakes (Natawahunan, Halfway, Sabomin and Wintering) (Appendix F; Table 4). Five of the lakes (Bruneau, Natawahunan, Patridge Crop, Halfway and Wintering) were small size and four (Landing, Kiski, Setting and Sabomin) were intermediate size lakes (Appendix E).

Effort increased over time in 11 lakes (Herblet, Kipahigan, Cormorant, Witchai, Wuskwatim, Playgreen, Wekusko, Gods, Dafoe, Sisipuk and Cedar) (Figure 8; Appendix V). In two of these lakes, (Cormorant and Kipahigan), presence of cisco was correlated with an increase in abundance of $T$. crassus (Appendix D; Table 3). In Wuskwatim, Playgreen, Wekusko, Sisipuk and Cedar, cisco was present but abundance of T. crassus did not change Appendix E; Table 3). In Herblet, Gods and Dafoe, change in abundance of $T$. crassus occurred in the absence of cisco (Appendix F; Table 3).

Effort was irregular in 42.9\% of the lakes (Kisseynew, William, Pakwa, Yawningstone, Armstrong, Guthrie, Butterfly, South Indian, St. Martin, Opachuanau, North Indian, Granville, Barrington, Walker and Sipiwesk) (Figure 9; Appendix V). Pakwa, Armstrong, Guthrie, Butterfly, Walker and Sipiwesk lakes were located in the north-central and Yawningstone and St. Martin in the southern region of the Nelson River watershed. South Indian, North Indian, Opachuanau, Granville, and Barrington were in the northern region and Kisseynew in the southern region of the Churchill River watershed (Table 3). In seven of the lakes (Pakwa, Armstrong, South Indian, Opachuanau, Walker, Kiski and Granville), presence of cisco was correlated with an increase in abundance of $T$. crassus (Appendix D; Table 3). In four lakes (Guthrie, Butterfly, North Indian and Sipiwesk) cisco was present but abundance of T. crassus did not change (Appendix F; Table 3). Change in abundance of $T$. crassus occurred in Barrington Lake in the

Figures 7, 8 and 9

Temporal changes in fishing effort (N.D/F), 1973-1983

## Figure 7

Setting Lake

Note: Fishing effort increased over time.


## Figure 8

Dafoe Lake

Note: Fishing effort decreased over time.


## Figure 9

Playgreen Lake Note: Fishing effort was irregular.

absence of cisco (Appendix $F$; Table 3). In two lakes (St. Martin and William), cisco was absent and no change in abundance of $T$. crassus occurred. Eight of the lakes (Kisseynew, Pakwa, Yawningstone, Armstrong, Guthrie, Butterfly, Opachuanau and Granville) were small size lakes. William, North Indian, Barrington were intermediate size lakes. Sipiwesk and South Indian were large size lakes (Appendix E).
v) Catch Per Unit of Effort (CUE)

Appendix $W$ shows temporal changes in CUE. Examples of lakes with decreasing, increasing and inconsistent fishing efforts are shown in Figures 10,11 and 12 respectively.

The calculation of CUE was refined by dividing catch/delivery by number of fishermen, to take into account the number of men operating in the fishery in any given year. CUE decreased over time, 1973-1983, in $40 \%$ of the lakes (Butterfly, Cedar, Wuskwatim, Armstrong, Yawningstone, Opachuanau, Playgreen, Pakwa, Sipiwesk, Walker, Cormorant, Gods, South Indian and Kipahigan) (Appendix W; Figure 10). Butterfly, Wuskwatim, Armstrong, Playgreen, Pakwa, Sipiwesk, Walker, Cormorant were in the north-central, Cedar and Yawningstone were in the southern region of the Nelson River watershed (Appendix E). Opachuanau and South Indian lakes were in the northern and Kipahigan Lake in the north-central region of the Churchill River watershed (Table 4). In nine of the lakes (Armstrong, Opachuanau, Playgreen, Pakwa, Walker, Cormorant, Gods, South Indian and Kipahigan)
abundances of $T$. crassus correlated with presence of cisco (abundance of parasite increased with presence of cisco) (Appendix D; Table 3). In four lakes (Butterfly, Cedar, Wuskwatim and Sipiwesk), presence of cisco did not affect abundance of $T$. crassus (Table 3; Appendix D). In Yawningstone Lake, no change in abundance of $T$. crassus occurred and cisco was absent in commercial catches. Nine of those lakes (Opachuanau, Pakwa, Walker, Cormorant, Gods, South Indian, Kipahigan, Armstrong and Wuskwatim) had high abundances of $T$. crassus (Table 3). Five lakes (Butterfly, Cedar, Yawningstone, Playgreen and Sipiwesk) had low abundances of the parasite (Table 3). Six of the lakes (Butterfly, Wuskwatim, Armstrong, Yawningstone, Opachuanau and Pakwa) were small size lakes (Appendix E). Six others (South Indian, Gods, Sipiwesk, Playgreen, Cedar and Cormorant) were large size lakes (Appendix E). Walker and Kipahigan were intermediate size lakes (Appendix E).

CUE increased over time in $34.3 \%$ of the lakes studied (William, Granville, Landing, Wintering, Wekusko, Patridge Crop, Witchai, Sisipuk, Sabomin, Natawahunan, St. Martin and Herblet) (Figure 11; Appendix W). Herblet, Landing, Wintering, Patridge Crop and Natawahunan were in the north-central, St. Martin in the southern, and Witchai and Wekusko in the northern regions of Nelson River watershed (Table 4). Sisipuk was in the north-central and Granville in the northern region of Churchill River watershed (Table 4). Sabomin was in the north-central region of Hayes River
watershed (Table 4). In Granville and Landing, presence of cisco correlated with an increase in abundance of T. crassus. In five lakes (Wintering, Wekusko, Sisipuk, Sabomin and Natawahunan), the presence of cisco did not affect abundance of T. crassus (Appendix F; Table 3). In Herblet Lake, levels of $\underline{T}$. crassus fluctuated in the absence of cisco (Appendix $G$; Table 3). In St. Martin and William, cisco was absent and levels of the parasite remained constant (Appendix $H$; Table 3). Nine of the lakes (William, Wintering, Wekusko, Patridge Crop, Witchai, Sisipuk, Sabomin, Natawahunan and St. Martin) had low abundances of T. crassus and three (Granville, Landing and Herblet) had high abundances of the parasite (Table 3). Six of the lakes were small size lakes (Granville, Patridge Crop, Witchai, Sabomin, Natawahunan and Herblet) (Appendix E). Five lakes (William, Landing, Wekusko, Wintering and Sisipuk) were intermediate size lakes. The area of St. Martin was not known (Appendix E).

No consistent change in CUE over time, 1973-1983, occurred in $25.7 \%$ of the lakes studied (Barrington, Bruneau, Halfway, Guthrie, Setting, Kiski, North Indian, Dafoe and Kisseynew) (Figure 11; Appendix W). In five of these lakes (Bruneau, Setting, Kiski, Kisseynew and Halfway), presence of cisco was correlated with an increase in abundance of T. crassus (Appendix D; Table 3). In Guthrie and North Indian, presence of cisco did not affect the abundance of $T$. crassus (Appendix F; Table 3). In Dafoe and Kisseynew, abundances of T. crassus changed in the absence of cisco. of these lakes,

Bruneau, Halfway, Guthrie, Setting and Kiski were located in the north-central region of Nelson River watershed (Table 4). Barrington and North Indian lakes were in the northern and Kisseynew in the southern regions of Churchill River watershed (Table 4). Dafoe was in the north-central region of Hayes River watershed (Table 4).

Five of the lakes (Bruneau, Halfway, Guthrie, Dafoe and Kisseynew) were small size lakes. Four lakes (Barrington, Setting, Kiski and North Indian) were intermediate size lakes. Barrington, Halfway, Bruneau, Setting, Kiski, Dafoe and Kisseynew had high abundances of $T$ crassus (Table 3). Three lakes (Halfway, Guthrie and North Indian) had low abundances of T . crassus (Table 3 ).

CUE declined with increasing effort in five lakes (Kipahigan, Cormorant, Wuskwatim, Playgreen and Gods) (Appendices V, W). Cisco was present in all these lakes except in Gods Lake (Appendix C). All these lakes were large size lakes except Wuskwatim Lake (Table 4).

CUE increased with decreasing effort in Landing, Patridge Crop, Natawahunan, Sabomin and Wintering lakes (Appendices $V$, W). All those lakes had low abundances of T. crassus except Landing Lake (Table 3).

CUE increased with increasing effort in Herblet, Witchai and Wekusko lakes (Appendices V, W). Herblet and Witchai had high abundances of $T$. crassus and Wekusko had low abundances of the parasite (Table 3).

## Figures 10,11 and 12

Temporal changes in CUE (kg/N.D/F), 1973-1983

## Figure 10

## Playgreen Lake

Note: CUE decreased over time.


## Figure 11

## Sabomin Lake

Note: CUE increased over time.


## Figure 12

## Patridge Crop Lake

Note: CUE was irregular over time.

(d) Temporal Changes in Relative Proportions of Lake Whitefish and Walleyes in Commercial Catches and Landed Values

Appendix $Q$ lists species composition of lake whitefish, walleye, pike and others (in marketed value) for lakes used in this analysis. Appendix $R$ shows temporal changes in yields of the above species over time, 1973-1982. Examples of concomitant changes in lake whitefish and walleye yields in selected lakes are shown in Appendix $X$. Appendix $Y$ gives regressions of lake whitefish and walleye. Appendix $Z$ lists annual initial summer prices (\$/lb.) for the above species offered to fishermen by FFMC.

Appendices $X$ and $Y$ show that there was no consistent correlation between yields of lake whitefish and walleye over time.

Between 1973-1982 the initial summer prices for headless and dressed walleye rose from . 49 \$/lb. to $1.34 \$ / 1 \mathrm{~b}$. (an increase of .85 \$/lb.). During the same period the initial summer prices for dressed walleye increased from . $44 \$ / \mathrm{lb}$. to $1.10 \$ / \mathrm{lb}$. (an increase of .66 \$/lb.). Prices for export lake whitefish for the same period rose from $.29 \$ / 1 b$. to $.43 \$ / 1 b$. (an increase of $.14 \$ / 1 b$. ). The prices for continental lake whitefish rose from . $23 \$ / 1 \mathrm{~b}$. to $.31 \$ / 1 \mathrm{~b}$. (an increase of $.04 \$ / 1 b$.$) . Prices for cutter lake whitefish rose from . 15$ to $.20 \$ / 1 b$. (an increase of $.05 \$ / 1 b$.$) .$

## IV DISCUSSION

a) Species Composition of Cisco, Lake Whitefish, and Pike

Leong and Holmes (1975) noted that the exchange of parasites between species indicated that size of population of other related host species is an important factor in determining the sizes of parasite populations. Interaction of fish species of cisco, lake whitefish and pike has been known to affect abundance of $T$. crassus levels in lakes (Doan 1945a and b, 1946, 1947 and 1949; Kennedy 1953 and 1954; Kennedy and Doan, 1949; Lawler 1950a, 1950d, 1951a and c, 1952, 1953, 1956, 1957, 1959a, b, and c; Miller 1950 and 1952), primarily because of the nature of the life history of the parasite (Appendix B). Table 5 lists a summary of the major factors studied.

Correlation of presence of cisco in 13 lakes (Appendix $C, D ;$ Table 3) with an increase in abundance of $T$. crassus and lack of correlation between the two variables in the other 13 lakes (Appendix $C, F ;$ Table 3) suggests that species composition, though an important factor was not the only possible cause for increased abundance of $T$. crassus. Perhaps other unknown factors were equally relevant here, considering the wide range of biotic and abiotic features that occur in the lakes.

It is also important to recognize that commercial catch records may not accurately indicate the true species composition in the lakes. Lower grade whitefish and non-quota species (including cisco) are less favourable with fishermen due to poor market prices and could be discarded after being caught (Green and Derksen 1984). This fact could make it difficult to correlate species composition with abundance of $T$. crassus.

Table 5. Sumary of factors in individual lakes, 1873-1983.
Lakes where abundance of T. crassus changed over time, 1973-1983.

| Lake Name | A | B | c | D | E | P | G | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armstrong | - | - | + | - | 1 | + | - | 1 |
| Barrington | - | - | * | - | 2 | + | - | 2 |
| Bruneau | - | - | + | - | 1 | + | - | 1 |
| Cormorant | + | - | + | + | 3 | + | + | 2 |
| Dafoe | + | - | * | $\dagger$ | 1 | + | - | 1 |
| Herblet | - | + | * | - | 1 | + | - | 2 |
| Pakwa | - | - | + | $\dagger$ | 1 | + | - | 2 |
| Kiski | - | - | + | - | 2 | + | - | 2 |
| Landing | - | + | + | + | 2 | + | - | 1 |
| Setting | - | - | + | - | 2 | + | - | 2 |
| Walker | - | - | + | - | 2 | + | - | 2 |
| Kipanigan | + | - | + | - | 2 | + | - | 3 |
| Kisseynew | - | + | + | + | 1 | + | - | 3 |
| Granville | - | - | + | - | 1 | + | - | 3 |
| Opachuanau | - | - | + | + | 1 | + | - | 2 |
| South Indian | - | - | + | $\dagger$ | 3 | + | - | 3 |
| Gods | + | - | * | - | 3 | - | - | 3 |

Table 5 (cont'd). Lakes where abundance of I. crassus did not change over tine, 1973-1983

| Lake Name | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Butterfly | - | - | - | $\dagger$ | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Cedar | + | - | - | - | 3 | - | + | 3 |
| Guthrie | - | - | - | + | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| Halfway | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| Natamahunan | - | + | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| Patridge Crop | - | + | - | + | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Playgreen | + | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 2 |
| Sipinesk | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 3 |
| St. Martin | - | + | - | + | ? | - | - | 3 |
| Wakusko | + | + | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 |
| Willian | - | + | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 |
| Wintering | - | + | - | + | 2 | - | - | 2 |
| Wuskwatim | + | - | - | + | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| Yawningstone | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Sisipuk | + | + | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 |
| North Indian | - | - | - | + | 2 | - | - | 3 |
| Sabomin | - | + | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Itchai | + | + | - | + | 1 | - | - | 2 |

Table 5 (cont'd). Legend.

A Changes in Fishing Effort

+ lakes in which effort increased over time
- lakes in which effort decreased over time
- irregular fishing effort

B CUE

+ lakes in which CUE increased over time
- lakes in which CUE decreased over time

C Species composition of lake whitefish, pike, and cisco

+ abundance of T. crassus changed (increased) with presence of cisco
- abundance of $T$. crassus did not change with presence of cisco
- abundance of $T$. crassus did not change with absence of cisco
* abundance of $T$. crassus changed with absence of cisco

D Changes in large and medium size proportions of lake whitefish

+ medium sizes increased with decreasing large sizes
- medium sizes decreased with increasing large sizes
- neither of the size classes changed
$\dagger$ none of the above

E Lake size categories
1 small
2 intermediate
3 large

F Changes in abundance of $T$. crassus

+ lakes in which changes in abundance occurred
- lakes in which no change in abundance occurred

G Changes in catches of lake whitefish and walleye

+ lake whitefish increased with decreasing walleye
- lake whitefish decreased with increasing walleye
- no relationship

H Mean annual productions of lakes
1 low annual production (below $10,000 \mathrm{ha})$
2 intermediate ( $10,000-30,000 \mathrm{ha})$
3 high (above $30,000 \mathrm{ha}$ )

However, these lower grade fish were more likely to be discarded since they had greater abundance of $T$. crassus. Consequently, abundances reported from the catches should not have been affected, in fact, $T$. crassus would appear lower if wormy fish were discarded. The practice of discarding lower grade whitefish would be unlikely to be a problem in lakes with low levels of the parasite since these lakes would be producing more valuable fish.

Geographical location or lake size did not seem to be important factors influencing the response of abundances of $T$. crassus with regards to species composition. Of the 13 lakes where presence of cisco correlated with levels of the parasite ten lakes were located in the north and north central regions of the Nelson River Watershed (Table 4). Nine of the 13 lakes which had a positive correlation between presence of cisco and changes in abundance of $T$. crassus were also in the north and north central region of the Nelson River Watershed. Five of the 13 lakes were small lakes.
b) Spatial and Temporal Change in Annual Catch, Effort, CUE, and Lake Whitefish Size Classes
i) Annual Production

Total production of a fishery has sometimes been used as an index of a species (Smith and Krefting 1954). Hile et al. (1951b) observed that production statistics indicated but did not measure changes in abundance of some stocks of Great Lakes.

In this study, irregular fluctuations occurred in $60 \%$ of the lakes (Appendix $P, Q$ ). Historical catch records for other fisheries like Lesser Slave Lake (Bell et al., 1977), Lake Winnipeg (Davidoff et al., 1973), Georgia Bay (Cucin and Regier, 1966) and Lake Superior (Rackozy,
1983), also showed that whitefish catches fluctuated dramatically from year to year. The usual explanation is that the age structure was driven towards the younger age with increased exploitation.

Although records prior to 1970 were not available, one may assume that the mean weight of exploited lake whitefish in all the 35 lakes changed over time because of the dominance of medium sizes of the fish and very low or complete absence of jumbo sizes in commercial catches. This is because legal mesh sizes for lake whitefish (4 $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}, 51 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ and $53 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ ) have not changed during the period (Anon, 1983). Size selectivity of gillnets could, therefore, be assumed to have unchanged. It is also probable that abundance of lake whitefish or the other species of fish have decreased in these lakes.

Decline in catches with increasing abundances of $T$. crassus in 16 lakes (Armstrong, Barrington, Cormorant, Dafoe, Granville, Kiski, Kisseynew, Landing, Opachuanan, Pakwa, Setting, Walker, Kipahigan, South Indian, Gods, and Bruneau lakes) may indicate that with a shift to lower grade lake whitefish, effort and consequently total catches declined. There was support for this as all the lakes, except Kisseynew, were located in the north and north-central regions (Table 4) where the exploitation of lower grade lake whitefish is not a viable proposition due to lower market prices and high transportation costs (Green and Derksen 1984; Bruce Popko, personal communication). Furthermore, the four lakes with the highest catches (Cedar, St. Martin, William, Playgreen and South Indian lakes) are lakes with low abundances of $T$. crassus except South Indian lake where abundance of $T$. crassus increased in the early $1980^{\prime}$ s. Fluctuations in annual catches may have also been affected by opportunities or tradition in the north (Gislason et al.,
1982).

If illegal mesh sizes were in wide use in the lakes, as they have been known to be in Lake Winnipeg (Pollard 1976) then it could partly contribute to the fluctuations in annual catches observed. However, there was no information available on use of illegal mesh sizes.
ii) Fishing effort, CUE and lake whitefish size classes

It is generally thought that after initial exploitation of a fish population, the population stabilises at a new lower level (Beverton and Holt 1957; Ricker 1940). Usually the catch, CUE, increases with increasing effort for the initial phase of exploitation, then it levels off and starts to decline with continued increase in effort (Ricker, 1940).

In this study CUE declined from 1973-1983 in $40 \%$ of the lakes (Butterfly, Cedar, Wuskwatim, Armstrong, Yawningstone, Opachuanau, Playgreen, Pakwa, Sipiwesk, Walker, Cormorant, Gods, South Indian, and Kipahigan lakes). In Kipahigan, Cormorant, Wuskwatim, Playgreen, and Gods, CUE decreased with increasing effort. CUE decreased with inconsistent fishing effort in the remaining nine lakes (Appendix $W$ ). Catch/delivery in relation to numbers of delivery showed similar patterns in the above lakes. These trends, along with inconsistent fluctuations of annual yield, suggest that the lakes have already gone through their profitable phase of exploitation.

Whether overfishing has occurred or not, the lake whitefish fishing in all 35 lakes studied heavily depends on medium sized lake whitefish with little or no jumbo sized whitefish (Appendix $H, I$. It was also observed that medium sized lake whitefish increased in catch records
with a corresponding decrease in large sized lake whitefish. Medium sized lake whitefish decreased in catch records as large sized lake whitefish increased. These observations suggest that the fish population was compensating in response to exploitation. Perhaps medium sized lake whitefish grew to larger sizes as the fishery recovered from exploitation. As the large sizes are fished out, their numbers decreased in the catches and once again the medium sized lake whitefish increased in numbers.

An increase in growth rate of whitefish following an increase in exploitation is not unusual. It has been documented for Pigeon Lake, Alberta (Miller 1947). In Pigeon Lake whitefish were 5 cm larger at age 4 after an increase in fishing effort (Miller 1947). Healey (1975) observed rapid growth in heavily exploited fish. Trends in Lake Winnipeg whitefish populations towards increased rates of growth and higher annual rates of total mortality (natural and fishing) were believed to be largely the result of progressively intensified fishing effort (Rybicki and Doan 1966). Miller (1947, 1947, 1956, and 1978), Sopuck (1968), and $0^{\prime}$ Connor (1982) noted that when whitefish were exploited, they grew faster.

From the economic point of view, because natural resources are limited, as the size of a population increases, supplies for individuals decrease. The reverse situation is observed when the population size decreases (Sauvy 1966). The increase and decrease of medium and large sized lake whitefish may be explained by this theory. Perhaps, with a decrease in fishing pressure, the fish population increased as indicated by CUE. Consequently, fewer resources were available per individual fish, which resulted in a decrease in feeding rate. This led to the
dominance of the medium sizes in commercial catches. The decrease in numbers of the medium sized lake whitefish and corresponding increase in large sized lake whitefish could be interpreted as medium sizes growing to larger sizes with an increase in feeding rate. Since maturation is a size specific process rather than an age specific process (Lysack 1980), the fish may have matured at different ages, which in itself could partly explain the irregular fluctuations observed in commercial catch records. However, without age data, this is largely speculation.

Assuming that the plankton on which the fish fed were infected with T. crassus, then a faster rate of feeding of 0-4 year old lake whitefish could increase the risk of infection with the parasite, because of the feeding habit of lake whitefish (Miller 1952). This situation probably occurred in Armstrong, Opachuanan, Sipiwesk, Walker, Cormorant, Gods, South Indian, and Kipahigan Lakes. All eight of these lakes had relatively high proportions of medium size lake whitefish with declining CUE and also had high abundances of $T$. crassus. However, five of the lakes (Guthrie, Wintering, Patridge Crop, Wuskwatim, and Witchai lakes) had the same conditions, but abundances of $T$. crassus were low in these lakes.

Lack of a correlation between abundances of $T$. crassus, lake whitefish sizes and CUE in Butterfly, Cedar, Yawningstone, Playgreen, Pakwa, Sipiwesk, William, Gods, and Kipaligan lakes may be due to lack of sufficient compensatory response on the part of the fish or lack of the fishing pressure that would induce the response.

Errors in the reporting of catches, sampling, the possibility to change catches from different lakes may all add to the $\because, f \quad$ fall statistical agreement in the data. The sampling and inspection of lake
whitefish for T. crassus by DFO are based on the rate of rejection of shipments delivered to FFMC. As a result lake classification may not be based on annual data. For example, Guthrie Lake was last sampled for T. crassus in 1979, Bruneau, Cormorant, Wuskwatim, and Natawahunan in 1980, Patridge Crop in 1976, Sabomin in 1979 and Dafoe in 1981. In Guthrie, Cormorant, Wuskwatim, and Patridge Crop lakes, medium sized lake whitefish increased as large sizes decreased. CUE decreased in four lakes (Wuskwatim, Guthrie and Cormorant Lakes Partridge Crop). It is probable that Wuskwatim and Guthrie (lakes currently classified as quality "A" lakes) may have higher abundances of T. crassus even though shipments from the lakes have not been rejected from 1974-1983. In Sabomin and Natawahunan lakes, mediums decreased as large lake whitefish increased. CUE decreased with decreasing effort in the three lakes indicating probably that overfishing has not occurred in them. This does not mean that abundances of $T$. crassus did not increase in the two lakes. In addition, cisco, lake whitefish, and pike were present in all the catches of these lakes.
iii) Mean flow rates for the month of May (early 1970's) as a factor in commercial catch levels in the mid 1970's

Studies of factors affecting the abundance of fish populations showed the importance of conditions during the early stages of year classes (Derksen 1966). Correlations obtained between May flow rates in the early 1970's and high yields in the mid 1970's, in the three watersheds are in agreement with other findings. Ricker (1954) noted that contributions of a year class of fish to a fishery could depend upon environmental conditions present during spawning, incubation, and fry stages for that year class. Derksen (1966) correlated discharges
from the Saskatchewan River in May and June 1955 with subsequent yields (six years later) in walleye catches in Cedar and Moose Lakes. Derksen (1966) associated poor catches in 1959 with weak classes in 1953 when discharges were low and concluded that the success of commercial fishery in the two lakes was related to the effect of discharge on year class strength of walleyes.

The effect of flow rates on the success of a year class may depend on the availability of more spawning area (Eschmeyer, 1950), dispersion of juveniles, thus avoiding overcrowding and severe competition for food (Webster, 1954), moderation of water temperatures (Johnson, 1961; Christie, 1963; Lawler, 1965), increase in levels of dissolved oxygen (Derksen, 1966; Stone, 1963). Years of high spring flows may be associated with early ice break-up due to earlier high temperatures (Derksen 1966; Derback, 1947). Hill (1941) suggested that heavy rainfall promoted good first-year growth of rock bass in Nelson Lake, Wisconsin, by necessary organic materials and nutrient salts in the system. These factors favour production, and by increasing the level of production in a year, fish born in that year are favoured.

Although limnological data were not available and it is not sure what caused the high flow rates recorded in the early $1970^{\prime}$ s in the three watersheds, the positive correlations strongly suggest a hydrological influence on the catches of the mid 1970's.
iv) Lake parameters

The size of a lake is considered to be one measure of island size for fishes and their parentes (Leong, 1975). In this study eight of the 17 small size lakes (lakes with areas less than 10,000 ha) had high
abundances of $T$. crassus (Appendix $E$, Table 3). Seven of the ten intermediate size lakes had high abundance of $T$. crassus and the remaining three lakes had low abundances. It is difficult to determine from this information the role of lake size in determining the abundance of T. crassus.

The higher yield from large size lakes were correlated with higher effort expended in these lakes. Other factors thought to contribute to the better yields in these lakes were their good potential and convenient access (Green and Derksen, 1984). The higher fishing intensity in the small size lakes (Appendix E) was associated with ease in exploitation per unit of surface area (Ryder et al. 1974).
c) Geographical Distribution of Lakes

Oakland (1949) observed significant differences in levels of $T$. crassus in lake whitefish samples collected nine miles apart. In South Indian Lake, Sunde (1963) observed similar differences in samples collected two miles apart.

Most of the lakes in this study (29 lakes) were located in the north (7) and north-central (22 lakes) regions. The six lakes in the southern region had low levels of $T$. crassus. The northern lakes comprised 5 lakes with high levels of $T$. crassus and two with low levels of the parasite. The data showed that north or north-central lakes were more heavily infested, in general. Distribution of lakes according to watershed was not in itself an apparent factor in determining abundance of $T$. crassus.
d) Differential Value of Lake Whitefish and Walleye

No consistent relationship in the annual yield of lake whitefish
and walleye were observed. This could be due to the fact that lake whitefish stocks responded to a greater extent and faster to exploitation than walleye stocks. Lysack (1982) observed this situation in Cedar Lake. As a result, although walleye may be preferred over lake whitefish as indicated by the price structure (Appendix $Z$ ), this did not seem to be the case in the commercial yield of the two species. Other socioeconomic factors such as cost of food, alternate sources of income (construction, hydroelectric developments) and cost of gasoline undoubtedly would have a substantial influence on the intensity of fishing of a lake in a given year. Nevertheless, the most significant effect on fishing effort over the time period analysed appeared to be a shift of lake classification to a lower grade.

## V. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Several factors are involved in determining abundance of Triaenophorus crassus in commercially fished lakes, i.e. size distribution of lake whitefish, fishing effort, annual production levels and species composition of cisco, lake whitefish and pike. Fishing effort was thought to affect size distribution of lake whitefish and annual production levels, which in turn were related to abundance of $T$. crassus. A decline in fishing effort was correlated with a decline in annual production levels and an increase in T. crassus. Irregular fluctuations in annual production levels were related to infection levels probably as a result of removal of jumbo and large classes of lake whitefish. Medium sized lake whitefish increased as large sized lake whitefish decreased and large sized lake whitefish increased as medium sized lake whitefish decreased. These changes in size structure were correlated to fishing effort and abundances of $T$. crassus. By changing the size structure in the commercial catches, effort affected abundance of $T$. crassus. It is recommended that quotes be reduced in lakes with substantial and irregular fluctuations in production and an increase in abundance of $T$. crassus. This could produce annual production levels and a size structure that could reduce abundance of $T$. crassus in commercial catches. Cisco is known to be more heavily infected than lake whitefish and it was expected that with large numbers of cisco in commercial catches, abundance of $T$. crassus would be higher. The data did not confirm this to be the case in commercially fished lakes because the harvesting records for cisco, especially in those
lakes with high abundances of T. crassus, were inaccurate.
2. To further strengthen findings of this study, it is imperative to closely monitor lake classes by sampling lakes more frequently, using standard gangs to determine which size and age classes are acquiring $T$. crassus. By determining the age structure of the fish, it is possible to determine if the larger sizes are older or simply faster growing younger fish. Since fast growing younger fish may be less heavily infected, i.e. numbers of cysts/unit weight of fish, this information may help explain infection trends and suggest rates of harvesting the different size classes of whitefish.
3. Fishermen generally prefer walleye to lake whitefish in their catch but there is no conclusive evidence that trends in harvesting the two species depends heavily on differences in their values;
4. The general trend was towards a change in lake classification to a lower category of lake whitefish. It is difficult to predict which lakes will change to a lower class, given the current data available and the spotty nature of sampling the commercial catches. It is therefore important to determine the age structure and infection levels according to age groups, at least every 3-5 years in lakes with high value whitefish. This is particularly true for Guthrie, Sabomin, Patridge Crop, Cormorant, and Natawahunan Lakes, which have high productivity. It is difficult to "second guess" what happened in a specific lake after its classification has changed. A careful monitoring of selected lakes (age and size classes of fish and parasite levels ) would certainly improve our ability to predict changes. Perhaps altering fishing patterns, either decreasing or increasing the harvest, could dampen fluctuations in parasite abundances that appear to be tied to
proportions of medium and large lake whitefish in a given lake.
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## Appendix A

# Taxonomy of Triaenophorus crassus 

| Phylum | Platyhelminthes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Class | Cestoda |
| Subclass | Eucestoda |
| Order | Pseudophyllidea |
| Family | Triaenophoridea Lonnberg, 1889 |
| Genus | Triaenophorus Rudolphi, 1793 |
| Syn. | Tricuspidaria Rudolphi, 1793 |
| Species | T. crassus Forel, 1868 |
| Syn. | T. robustus Olsson, 1893 |
| Syn. | T. tricuspidatus Morpha megadentatus Warlde, 1932 |

## Appendix B

Life Cycle of Triaenophorus crassus Forel


WHITEFISH (second
intermediate host)
Plerocercoid (cyst) stage


PLANKTER (first intermediate host)
Proceroid stage

Eggs of the worm


Coracidia (Embryo) Free-living stage


## Appendix C

Fish grade composition of lake whitefish, cisco and pike by lake, 1973-1983

Fish grade composition of lake whitefish, cisco, and pike (kg round weight)
by lake for the period 1973-1983

| Lake | $\frac{\text { Time }}{\text { (year) }}$ | Species Composition (kg round weight) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pike |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Whitefish |  |  |  |  | Cisco |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Export | Continental | Qutter | roe | Total | Export | Continental | Qutter | Total |  |
| Armstrong | 1973, 1973/74 | - | 6,049 | - | - | 6,049 | - | - | - | - | 623 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | 4,569 | - | - | 4,569 | 2,374 | 4,269 | - | 6,643 | 2,880 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | 755 | - | - | 755 | - | 2,055 | - | 2,055 | -850 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | - | 1,039 | - | - | 1,039 | - | - | 2,678 | 2,678 | 892 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,68 | 2,678 | 8 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | 434 | - | - | 434 | - | - | 85 | 85 | 285 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | - | 5,071 | - | - | 5,071 | - | - | 2,274 | 2,274 | 1,370 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | 6,392 | - | - | 6,392 | - | - | 2,558 | 2,558 | 1,427 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | 4,784 | - | - | 4,784 | - | - | 1,689 | 1,689 | 2,220 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | 4,855 | - | - | 4,855 | - | - | 1,68 | 1, | 1,165 |
|  | 1983 | - | 1,974 | - | - | 1,974 | - | - | - | - | 7,729 |
| Barrington | 1973, 1973/74 | - | 8,468 | 22 | - | 8,490 | - | - | - | - | 6,512 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | 2,399 | - | - | 2,399 | - | - | - | - | 1,261 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 1,077 | 6,316 | - | - | 7,388 | - | - | - | - | 3,572 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | - | 18,028 | - | - | 18,028 | - | - | - | - | 3,357 |
|  | $\text { 1977, } 1977 / 78$ | - | 11,866 | - | - | 11,866 | - | - | - | - | 2,286 |
|  | $1978,1978 / 79$ | - | 16,853 | - | - | 16.853 | - | - | - | - | 6,386 |
|  | $1979,1979 / 80$ | - | 15,675 | - | - | 15,675 | - | - | - | - | 3,114 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 |  | - | 9,074 | - | 9,074 | - | - | - | - | 9,274 |

Continued on page 108

Species Composition (kg round weight)

| Lake | Time | Whitefish |  |  |  |  | Cisco |  |  |  | Pike |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (year) | Export | Continental | Gutter | roe | Total | Export | Continental | Qutter | Total |  |
| Barrington (cont'd) | 1981, 1981/82 | - | - | 2,961 | - | 2,961 | - | - | - | - | 5,160 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | 11,503 | 114 | 11,614 | - | - | - | - | 7,216 |
| Bruneau | 1973, 1973/74 | 637 | - | - | - | 637 | - | - | - | - | 1,336 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 3,456 | - | - | - | 3,456 | - | 576 | - | 576 | 2,741 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 1,449 | - | - | - | 1,449 | - | - | 5 | 728 | 3,157 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 878 | - | - | - | 878 | - | - | 5 | 5 | 2,866 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 296 | - | - | - | 296 | - | - | 9 | 9 | 2,957 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 537 | - | - | - | 537 | - | - | 81 | 81 | - |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 2,281 | - | - | - | 2,281 | - | - | 116 | 116 | 1,284 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | 564 | - | - | 564 | - | - | - | - | 6,787 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | 876 | - | - | 876 | - | - | 50 | 50 | 1,071 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | 231 | - | - | 231 | - | 73 | - | 73 | 3,064 |
|  | 1983 | - | 250 | - | - | 250 | - | 133 | - | 133 | 1,206 |
| Butterfly | 1973, 1973/74 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | 124 | - | - | 124 | - | 97 | - | 97 | 856 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | 577 | - | - | 577 | 172 | - | - | 172 | 824 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | - | 4,442 | - | - | 4,442 | - | 1,657 | - | 1,657 | 330 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | 2,037 | - | - | 2,037 | - | 575 | - | 575 | 157 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 220 | - | - | - | 220 | - | - | - | - | 291 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 1,585 | - | - | - | 1,585 | - | 174 | - | 174 | 1,247 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 395 | - | - | - | 395 | 331 | - | - | 331 | 664 |

Species Composition (kg round weight)

| Lake | Time | Whitefish |  |  |  |  | Cisco |  |  |  | Pike |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (year) | Export | Continental | Qutter | roe | Total | Export | Continental | Qutter | Total |  |
| Butterfly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (cont'd) | 1981, 1981/82 | 931 | - | - | - | 931 | 6 | - | - | 6 | 48 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 945 | - | - | - | 945 | - | - | - | - | 83 |
|  | 1983 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cedar | 1973, 1973/74 | 97,712 | - | - | - | 97,712 | 19,912 | 56,801 | - | 75,993 | 255,009 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 87,349 | - | - | - | 87,349 | 86,003 | 15,286 | - | 101,289 | 239,045 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 56,733 | - | - | - | 56,733 | 29,681 | 901 | - | 30,582 | 259,650 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 93,547 | - | - | - | 93,547 | 77,573 | 98 | - | 77,671 | 358,809 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 137,662 | - | - | - | 137,662 | 168,840 | 159 | - | 168,999 | 378,018 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 202,703 | - | - | - | 202,703 | 233,537 | - | - | 233,537 | 376,595 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 183,175 | - | - | - | 183, 175 | 171,059 | 57 | - | 171,116 | 396,823 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 159,866 | - | - | - | 159,866 | 201,106 | - | - | 201,106 | 370,523 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 71,809 | - | - | - | 71,809 | 146,456 | - | - | 146,456 | 234,193 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 70,886 | - | - | 96 | 70,982 | 105,143 | - | - | 105,143 | 265,835 |
|  | 1983 | 59,144 | - | - | 474 | 59,619 | 75,165 | - | - | 75,165 | 33,651 |
| Cormorant | 1973, 1973/74 | - | - | 10,538 | - | 10,538 | - | 127 | - | 127 | 2,289 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - | 2,198 | - | 2,198 | - | 3,006 | - | 3,006 | 3,041 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | - | 463 | - | 463 | - | - | - | 3,006 | 2,136 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | - | - | 2,273 | - | 2,273 | - | 390 | - | 390 | 2,637 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | - | 906 | - | 906 | - | - | - | - | 4,757 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | 7,540 | - | - | 7,540 | - | - | - | - | 4,132 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | - | 12,634 | 4,506 | - | 17,140 | - | - | - | - | 5,985 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | 10,786 | 8,093 | - | 18,879 | - | - | - | - | 4,928 |

Continued on page 110

Species Composition (kg rouund weight)
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Species Composition (kg round weight)


Contimued on page 112

Species Composition (kg round weight)

| Lake | Time | Whitefish |  |  |  |  | Cisco |  |  |  | Pike |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (year) | Export | Continental | Qutter | roe | Total | Export | Continental | Qutter | Total |  |
| Guthrie (cont'd) | 1982, 1982/83 | 235 | - | - | - | 235 | - | - | - | - | 4,357 |
|  | 1983 | 1,480 | - | - | - | 1,480 | - | - | - | - | 2,675 |
| Halfway | 1973, 1973/74 | 19,531 | - | - | - | 19,531 | 5,105 | - | - | 5,105 | 1,615 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 11,444 | - | - | - | 11,444 | 5,439 | 15,373 | - | 20,812 | 1,082 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 2,097 | - | - | - | 2,097 | 10,527 | 5,897 | - | 16,424 | 1,118 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 3,798 | - | - | - | 3,798 | 20,458 | 3,482 | - | 23,940 | 4,614 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 6,461 | - | - | 566 | 6,461 | 9,402 | - | - | 9,402 | 4,273 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 867 | - | - | - | 867 | 1,289 | - | - | 1,289 | 2,112 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 4,672 | - | - | - | 4,672 | 10,058 | - | - | 10,058 | 3,433 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 10,863 | - | - | 20 | 10,883 | 2,311 | 2,653 | - | 4,964 | 3,025 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 6,358 | - | - | - | 6,358 | 6,504 | , | - | 6,504 | 1,692 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 12,658 | - | - | - | 12,658 | 3,774 | - | - | 3,774 | 898 |
|  | 1983 | 9,758 | - | - | - | 9,758 | 6,074 | - | - | 6,074 | 675 |
| Herblet | 1973, 1973/74 | - | 3,853 | - | - | 3,853 | - | - | - | - | 1,236 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | 4,670 | - | - | 4,670 | - | - | - | - | 1,589 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | 6,993 | - | - | 6,993 | - | - | - | - | 2,076 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | - | 9,613 | - | - | 9,613 | - | - | - | - | 1,762 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | 7,612 | - | - | 7,612 | - | - | - | - | 4,914 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | 9,897 | - | - | 9,897 | - | - | - | - | 3,596 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 830 | 6,417 | - | - | 6,417 | - | - | - | - | 4,778 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 8,830 | - | - | - | 8,830 | - | - | - | - | 3,078 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 15,230 | - | - | - | 15,230 | - | - | - | - | 1,695 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 76 | - | - | - | 76 | - | - | - | - | -155 |
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Species Composition (kg round weight)

| Lake | Time | Whitefish |  |  |  |  | Cisco |  |  |  | Pike |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (year) | Export | Continental | Cutter | roe | Total | Export | Continental | Qutter | Total |  |
| Herblet ( cont $^{\prime}$ d) | 1983 | - | 14,116 | - | 378 | 14,494 | - | - | - | - | 956 |
| Kipahigan | 1973, 1973/74 | - | 28,483 | - | - | 28,483 | - | - | - | - | 7,022 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | 21,764 | - | - | 21,764 | - | 19,564 | - | 19,564 | 5,648 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | 22,444 | - | - | 22,444 | - | 8,981 | - | 8,981 | 3,427 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | - | 27,548 | - | - | 27,548 | - | 5,822 | - | 5,822 | 1,900 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | 22,481 | - | - | 22,481 | - | 266 | - | 266 | 1,728 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | 17,624 | - | - | 17,624 | - | - | - | - | 1,041 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | - | 5,500 | - | - | 5,500 | - | 5,342 | - | 5,342 | 3,130 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 375 | - | 375 | 2,542 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,529 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1983 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,687 |
| Kiski | 1973, 1973/74 | 457 | - | - | - | 457 | - | 51 | - | 51 | 2,288 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 547 | - | - | - | 547 | - | 656 | - | 656 | 2,108 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 142 | - | - | - | 142 | - | - | - | - | 1,828 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 55 | - | - | - | 55 | - | 48 | - | 48 | 322 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 190 | - | - | - | 190 | - | 183 | - | 183 | 1,675 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 388 | - | - | - | 388 | - | 212 | - | 212 | 916 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 201 | - | - | - | 201 | 83 | 2 | - | 85 | 316 |
|  | 1980, 1981/82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | 757 | - | - | 757 | - | 742 | - | 742 | 633 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Species Composition (kg round weight)

| Lake | $\frac{\text { TYme }}{\text { (year) }}$ | Whitefish |  |  |  |  | Cisco |  |  |  | Pike |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Export | Continental | Cutter | roe | Total | Export | Continental | Qutter | Total |  |
| Kisiki (cont'd) | 1983 | - | 294 | - | - | 294 | - | 344 | - | 344 | 1,330 |
| Kisseynew | 1973, 1973/74 | - | 2,630 | - | - | 2,630 | 59 | 5,665 | - | 5,724 | 3,336 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | 4,337 | - | - | 4,337 | - | - | - | - | 4,927 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | 9,847 | - | - | 9,847 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3,246 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | - | 5,066 | - | - | 5,066 | - | 4,865 | - | 4,865 | 6,151 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | 17,317 | - | - | 17,317 | - | 439 | - | 439 | 810 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | 16,344 | - | - | 16,344 | - | 2,340 | - | 2,340 | 5,903 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | - | 10,808 | - | - | 10,808 | - | 5,885 | - | 5,885 | 5,521 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | 4,425 | 60 | - | 4,485 | - | 6,156 | - | 6,156 | 6,593 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | 5,066 | - | 62 | 5,128 | - | - | - | - | 3,468 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | 10,225 | - | - | 10,225 | - | 433 | - | 433 | 7,250 |
|  | 1983 | - | 7,024 | - | - | 7,024 | - | - | - | - | 4,846 |
| Landing | 1973, 1973/74 | - | 12,964 | - | - | 12,964 | - | - | - | - | 7,127 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | 13,865 | - | - | 13,865 | - | - | - | - | 5,357 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | 9,477 | - | - | 9,477 | - | - | - | - | 4,118 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 89 | - | 89 | 492 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | 17,354 | - | - | 17,354 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | 5,620 | - | - | 5,620 | - | 31 | - | 31 | 1,452 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | - | 13,433 | - | - | 13,433 | - | 1,188 | - | 1,188 | 3,837 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | 3,705 | - | - | 3,705 | - | 772 | - | 772 | 4,532 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | 4,277 | - | - | 4,277 | - | 1,431 | - | 1,431 | 7,207 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | 17,598 | - | - | 17,598 | - | 3,264 | - | 3,264 | 9,079 |
|  | 1983 | - | 1,376 | - | - | 1,376 | - | - | - | - | 3,264 |

Continued on page 115

## Species Composition (kg round weight)

| Lake | Time | Whitefish |  |  |  |  | Cisco |  |  |  | Pike |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (year) | Export | Continental | Qutter | roe | Total | Export | Continental | Cutter | Total |  |
| Natawahunan | 1973, 1973/74 | 321 | - | - | - | 321 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 5,644 | - | - | - | 5,644 | - | - | - | - | 1,618 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 3,967 | - | - | - | 3,967 | - | - | - | - | 1,033 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 4,694 | - | - | - | 4,694 | - | - | - | - | 322 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 4,669 | - | - | - | 4,669 | - | - | - | - | 1,031 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 8,962 | - | 88 | - | 9,050 | - | 515 | - | 515 | 1,804 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 6,988 | - | - | - | 6,988 | - | 26 | - | 26 | 4,146 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 2,204 | - | - | - | 2,204 | - | - | - | - | 2,350 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 5,058 | - | - | - | 5,058 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1,905 |
|  | 1983 | 5,793 | - | - | - | 5,793 | 229 | - | - | 229 | 343 |
| Northern Indian | 1973, 1973/74 | 48,786 | - | - | - | 48,786 | - | - | - | - | 47 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 6,190 | - | - | - | 6,190 | - | - | - | - | 392 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | 913 | - | - | 913 | - | - | - | - | 57,374 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 40,183 | - | - | - | 40,183 | - | - | - | - | 13,092 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 45,153 | - | - | - | 45,153 | - | - | - | - | 4,208 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 3,960 | - | - | 15 | 3,975 | - | - | - | - | 1,252 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | - | - | - | 4,284 | - | $-$ | - | - | 2,144 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 23,511 | - | - | - | 23,511 | 16 | 67 | - | 83 | 3,122 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 7,767 | - | - | 204 | 7,971 | - | 540 | - | 540 | 14,244 |
|  | 1983 | 7,332 | - | - | - | 7,332 | - | 830 | - | 830 | 5,040 |
| Opachuanau | 1973, 1973/74 | - | 5,397 | - | - | 5,397 | - | - | - | - | 1,929 |

Contimued on page 116

| Lake | Tlme | Species Composition (kg round weight) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pike |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Whitefish |  |  |  |  | Cisco |  |  |  |  |
|  | (year) | Export | Continental | Qutter | roe | Total | Export | Continental | Qutter | Total |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Opachuanau } \\ & \text { (cont'd) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | 7,960 | - | - | 7,960 | - | - | - | - | 1,170 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | - | 9,611 | - | - | 9,611 | - | - | - | - | 3,971 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | 16,116 | - | - | 16,116 | - | - | - | - | 1,803 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | 18,225 | - | - | 18,225 | - | 137 | - | 137 | 5,603 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | - | 5,893 | - | - | 5,893 | - | 844 | - | 844 | 1,763 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | 10,521 | - | - | 10,521 | - | 1,520 | - | 1,520 | 6,027 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | 7,341 | - | - | 7,341 | - | 1,797 | - | 1,797 | 5,842 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | 18,869 | - | 1,458 | 20,328 | 2,104 | - | - | 2,104 | 11,917 |
|  | $1983$ | - | 3,811 | - | - | 3,811 | - | 2,017 | - | 2,017 | 3,266 |
| Pakwa | 1973, 1973/74 | - | 12,744 | - | - | 12,744 | - | - | - | - | 2,140 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | 12,907 | - | - | 12,907 | - | 107 | - | 107 | 1,737 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | 10,441 | - | - | 10,441 | - | 3,372 | - | 3,372 | 2,273 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | - | 8,420 | - | - | 8,420 | - | 4,217 | - | 4,217 | 1,783 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | 1,879 | - | - | 1,879 | - | 4,813 | - | 4,813 | 4,162 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | 7,086 | - | - | 7,086 | - | 2,190 | - | 2,190 | 1,904 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | - | 4,314 | - | - | 4,314 | - | 1,734 | - | 1,734 | 1,498 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | 8,302 | - | - | 8,302 | - | 2,248 | - | 2,248 | 928 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | 6,370 | - | - | 6,370 | - | 3,117 | - | 3,117 | 2,299 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | 7,886 | - | - | 7,886 | - | 4,681 | - | 4,681 | 2,398 |
|  | 1983 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Patridge Crop | 1973, 1973/74 | 3,671 | 3,991 | - | - | 7,662 | - | - | - | - | 1,526 |

Continued on page 117

Species Composition (kg round weight)

| Lake | Time | Whitefish |  |  |  |  | Cisco |  |  |  | Pike |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (year) | Export | Continental | Qutter | roe | Total | Export | Continental | Qutter | Total |  |
| Patridge Crop (cont'd) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 9,893 | - | - | - | 9,893 | - | - | - | - | 2,747 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 7,167 | - | - | - | 7,167 | - | - | - | - | 3,367 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 6,690 | - | - | - | 6,690 | - | - | - | - | 2,160 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 8,300 | - | - | - | 8,300 | - | - | - | - | 1,393 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 1,350 | - | - | - | 1,360 | - | - | - | - | 1,091 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 1,571 | - | - | - | 1,571 | - | - | - | - | 727 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 1,229 | - | - | - | 1,229 | - | - | - | - | 937 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 519 | - | - | - | 519 | - | - | - | - | 724 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | 1,176 | - | - | 1,176 | - | - | - | - | 1,585 |
|  | 1983 | 2,037 | - | - | - | 2,037 | - | - | - | - | 900 |
| Playgreen | 1973, 1973/74 | 9,712 | - | 180,412 | - | 190,124 | 7,250 | 1,760 | - | 8,010 | 12,863 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 20,840 | - | 159,017 | - | 179,857 | - | 2,276 | - | 2,276 | 21,145 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 29,459 | - | 161,292 | - | 190,759 | - | 2,946 | - | 2,946 | 7,828 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 24,126 | 183,948 | - | - | 208,074 | 7,233 | 858 | - | 8,091 | 15,238 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 21,507 | 200,322 | - | - | 221,829 | - | 2,114 | - | 2,114 | 6,136 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 31,597 | 208,685 | - | - | 240,282 | 790 | - | - | 790 | 11,210 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 19,883 | 224,793 | - | - | 244,676 | - | - | - | - | 25,894 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 14,321 | 224,793 | - | 36 | 239,150 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | 20,300 | - | - | 20,300 | 667 | - | - | 667 | 44,642 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 19,846 | 177,603 | - | - | 197,450 | 754 | - | - | 754 | 37,159 |
|  | 1983 | 16,311 | 224,526 | - | - | 240,837 | 5,794 | - | - | 5,794 | 47,918 |
| Sabomin | 1973, 1973/74 | 1,837 | - | - | - | 1,837 | - | - | - | - | 294 |

Contimued on page 118

| Lake | Time | Species Composition (kg round weight) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pike |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Whitefish |  |  |  |  | Clisco |  |  |  |  |
|  | (year) | Export | Continental | Qutter | roe | Total | Export | Continental | Qutter | Total |  |
| Sabomin (cont'd) | 1974, 1974/75 | 2,909 | - | - | - | 2,909 | - | - | - | - | 367 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 646 | - | - | - | 646 | - | - | - | - | 451 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 670 | - | - | - | 670 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 1,615 | - | - | - | 1,615 | - | - | - | - | 6 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 3,808 | - | - | - | 3,807 | 20 | 7 | - | 27 | 59 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 5,291 | - | - | - | 5,291 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 4,106 | - | - | - | 4,106 | - | - | - | - | 140 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 4,198 | - | - | - | 4,198 | - | 2,288 | - | 2,288 | 540 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 3,957 | - | - | - | 3,957 | - | 152 | - | 152 | 8 |
|  | 1983 | 6,014 | - | - | - | 6,014 | - | - | - | 1 | 325 |
| Setting | 1973, 1973/74 | - | 19,810 | - | - | 19,810 | - | 57 | - | 57 | 3,208 |
|  | $1974,1974 / 75$ | - | 13,211 | - | - | 13,211 | - | - | - | - | 4,860 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | 15,450 | - | - | 15,450 | - | - | - | - | 2,784 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | - | 12,696 | - | - | 12,696 | - | 10,347 | - | 10,347 | 4,766 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | 11,488 | - | - | 11,488 | - | 12,104 | - | 12,104 | 5,620 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | 12,552 | 2,986 | - | 15,528 | - | 8,089 | - | 8,089 | 6,542 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 302 | , | 13,658 | - | 13,960 | 24 | 13,788 | - | 13,812 | 6,940 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | - | 1,428 | 2 | 1,430 | - | 3,795 | - | 3,795 | 5,858 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | - | 4,341 | - | 4,341 | - | , | - | , | 3,380 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | 18 | - | 18 | - | - | - | - | 3,985 |
|  | $1983$ | - | - | 2,258 | - | 2,258 | - | - | 97 | 97 | 5,667 |
| Sipiwesk | 1973, 1973/74 | 16,465 | - | - | - | 16,645 | - | - | - | - | 3,859 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 14,388 | - | - | - | 14,388 | 299 | 2,990 | - | 3,289 | 16,289 |



Continued on page 120

Species Composition (kg round weight)

| Lake |  | Whitefish |  |  |  |  | Cisco |  |  |  | Pike |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (year) | Export | Continental | Cutter | roe | Total | Export | Continental | Gutter | Total |  |
| South Indian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (cont'd) | 1976, 1976/77 | 417,765 | 2,148 | - | - | 419,913 | - | - | - | - | 29,899 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 429,302 | 765 | - | - | 430,067 | - | 104 | - | 104 | 44,643 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 232,053 | 166,123 | - | - | 398,176 | - | 6 | - | 6 | 47,278 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 152,603 | 276,093 | - | - | 428,696 | - | 15,122 | - | 15,122 | 75,043 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 271,817 | 153,209 | - | - | 425,026 | 5 | 8,849 | - | 8,854 | 33,713 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 13,279 | 297,473 | - | - | 310,829 | 33 | 13,871 | - | 13,904 | 38,283 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 134,350 | - | - | 1,188 | 135,538 |  | 566 | - | 566 | 13,826 |
|  | 1983 | 172,184 | - | - | 6 | 172,189 | - | 3,616 | - | 3,616 | 10,015 |
| St. Martin | 1973, 1973/74 | 50,698 | - | - | - | 50,698 | - | 4 | - | 4 | 26,575 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 34,523 | - | - | - | 34,523 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 46,305 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 41,031 | - | - | - | 41,031 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 46,085 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 125,355 | - | - | - | 125,355 | - | - | - | - | 66,188 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 133,046 | - | - | - | 133,046 | - | - | - | - | 50,328 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 223,828 | - | 38 | - | 223,886 | - | - | - | - | 55,251 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 393,109 | - | - | - | 393,109 | - | - | - | - | 64,008 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 323,636 | - | - | - | 323,636 | - | - | - | - | 56,280 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 315,074 | - | - | - | 315,074 | - | - | - | - | 45,651 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 106,354 | - | - | - | 106,354 | - | - | - | - | 10,242 |
|  | 1983 | , | - | - | - | , | - | - | - | - | - |
| Walker | 1973, 1973/74 | - | 9,328 | - | - | 9,328 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | 15,046 | - | - | 15,046 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | 2,100 | - | - | 2,100 | - | - | - | - | 450 |
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Species Composition (kg round weight)

| Lake | Time | Whitefish |  |  |  |  | Cisco |  |  |  | Pike |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (year) | Export | Continental | Cutter | roe | Total | Export | Continental | Qutter | Total |  |
| Walker (cont'd) | 1976, 1976/77 | - | 9,200 | - | - | 9,200 | - | - | - | - | 1,663 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | 11,301 | - | - | 11,301 | - | 49 | - | 49 | 15,044 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | - | - | - | 11, | $\rightarrow$ | - | - | - | , |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | - | 13,617 | - | - | 13,617 | - | - | - | - | 4,097 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | - | 29,611 | - | 29,611 | - | 309 | - | 309 | 16,414 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 30,749 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10,984 |
|  | 1983 | - | - | 28 | - | 28 | - | - | - | - | 3,597 |
| Wekusko | 1973, 1973/74 | 44 | - | - | - | 44 | - | - | - | - | 108 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 31,458 | - | - | - | 31,458 | 678 | 4,291 | - | 4,969 | 5,312 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 40,199 | - | - | - | 40,199 | 6,260 | 38 | - | 6,298 | 15,451 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 31,113 | - | - | - | 31,113 | - | 2,631 | - | 2,631 | 27,031 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 32,545 | - | - | - | 32,545 | - | 4,529 | - | 4,529 | 21,418 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 46,804 | - | - | - | 46,804 | - | 2,532 | - | 2,532 | 13,960 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 42,067 | - | - | - | 42,067 | - | 2,455 | - | 2,455 | 16,167 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 29,990 | - | - | - | 29,990 | - | , | - | - | 23,869 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 24,834 | - | - | - | 24,834 | - | - | - | - | 25,391 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 44,517 | - | - | - | 44,517 | - | - | - | - | 26,188 |
|  | 1983 | - | - | - | - | , | - | - | - | - | - |
| Witchai | 1973, 1973/74 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 4,442 | - | - | - | 4,442 | - | - | - | - | 667 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 9,934 | - | - | - | 9,934 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 13,334 | - | - | - | 13,334 | - | - | - | - | - |

Continued on page 122

| Lake | Time | Species Composition (kg round weight) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pike |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Whitefish |  |  |  |  | Cisco |  |  |  |  |
|  | (year) | Export | Continental | Gutter | roe | Total | Export | Continental | Qutter | Total |  |
| Witchai (cont'd) | 1977, 1977/78 | 10,536 | - | - | - | 10,536 | - | - | - | - | 161 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 8,815 | - | - | - | 8,815 | - | - | - | - | 237 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 5,745 | - | - | - | 5,745 | - | - | - | - | 165 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 12,040 | - | - | - | 12,040 | - | - | - | - | 211 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 10,233 | - | - | - | 10,233 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 10,757 | - | - | - | 10,757 | - | - | - | - | 324 |
|  | $1983$ | 412 | - | - | - | 412 | - | - | - | - | 64 |
| Wuskwatin | 1973, 1973/74 | - | 5,098 | - | - | 5,098 | - | - | - | - | 252 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | 36,329 | - | - | 36,329 | - | - | - | - | 47 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | - | 10,228 | - | - | 10,228 | - | 278 | - | 278 | - |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | 10,739 | - | - | 10,739 | - | 192 | - | 192 | 367 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 15,452 | - | - | - | 15,452 | 36 | - | - | 36 | 2,711 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 20,204 | - | - | - | 20,204 | 32 | - | - | 32 | 730 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | , | - | - | - | , | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1983 | 11,637 | - | - | - | 11,637 | - | 5,052 | - | 5,052 | - |
| William | 1973, 1973/74 | 52,336 | - | - | - | 52,336 | - | - | - | - | 618 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 59,346 | - | - | - | 59,346 | - | - | - | - | 295 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 27,078 | - | - | - | 27,078 | - | - | - | - | 28 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 33,865 | - | - | - | 33,865 | - | - | - | - | 541 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 56,301 | - | - | 791 | 57,092 | - | - | - | - | 418 |



Continued on page 124
$\qquad$

Species Composition (kg round weight)


Source: Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Winnipeg.

## Appendix D <br> Temporal changes of cisco, pike and lake whitefish, 1973-1983

Note: Changes in fish species composition (presence of cisco) occurred without changes in abundance of $T$. crassus.

Legend
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# List of Lakes in Appendix D 

1. Armstrong
2. Bruneau
3. Cormorant
4. Granville
5. Kipahigan
6. Kisseynew
7. Landing
8. Opachuanua
9. Pakwa
10. South Indian
11. Setting
12. Walker



## Appendix E

Lake size categories (ha) average CaE per unit surface area ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}$ )*, production of lake whitefish, cisco and pike (kg) and average effort (ND/F) for individual lakes for the period 1973-1983

| Lake Size Category (ha) | Lake Name | Surface Area (ha) | Av. CUE per unit surface area (kg/N.D/F/ha)* | Average Effort (N.D/F) | Production (kg) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lakewhite Fish | Cisco | Pike |
| Small(`10,000 ha) | Armstrong | 2,859 | . 32 | 16 | 35,922 | 17,982 | 12,341 |
|  | Bruneau | 1,452 | . 31 | 13.9 | 11,455 | 1,655 | 26,466 |
|  | Butterfly | 1,855 | . 23 | 11.4 | 11,256 | 3,021 | 3,500 |
|  | Guthrie | 3,508 | . 44 | 12.5 | 43,752 | 21,592 | 39,095 |
|  | Halfway | 2,942 | . 32 | 25.2 | 88,727 | 108,345 | 24,537 |
|  | Herblet | 2,984 | . 33 | 9.0 | 87,685 | - | 25,962 |
|  | Natawahunan | 4,516 | . 21 | 13.4 | 48,398 | 771 | 14,552 |
|  | Pakwa | 3,978 | . 22 | 20.0 | 80,398 | 26,479 | 23,962 |
|  | Patridge Crop | 7,389 | . 07 | 16.0 | 47,604 | - | 17,157 |
|  | Witchat | 3,574 | . 15 | 17.4 | 86,248 | - | 1,829 |
|  | Wuskwatim | 6,465 | . 12 | 15.3 | 109,687 | 506 | 4,107 |
|  | Yawingstone | 1,160 | . 24 | 6.09 | 4,123 | - | 3,578 |
|  | Dafoe | 2,460 | . 12 | 15.9 | 17,957 | 759 | 9,241 |
|  | Sabomin | 1,450 | . 45 | 8.8 | 35,050 | 2,524 | 2,190 |
|  | Granville | 4,559 | . 74 | 15.3 | 250,445 | 9,644 | 157,353 |
|  | Kisseynew | 7,662 | . 25 | 20.5 | 93,211 | 25,843 | 52,051 |

Continued on page 130

Appendix E (Cont'd)

> Lake size categories (ha) average aEe per unit surface area ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F})^{*}$, production of lake whitefish, cisco and pike (kg) and average effort (ND/F) for individual lakes for the period 1973-1983

| Lake Size <br> Category <br> (ha) | Lake Name | Surface Area (ha) | Av. COE per unit surface area (kg/N.D/F/ha)* | Average Effort (N.D/F) | Production (kg) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lakewhite Fish | Cisco | Pike |
| Small (cont'd) | Opachuanau | 7,952 | . 28 | 12.3 | 105,203 | 8,419 | 43,291 |
| (`10,000 ha) | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 3,927 | . 28 | 14.3 | 68,051 | 42,317 | 27,130 |
| Intemediate | Kiski | 21,550 | . 05 | 9 | 3,031 | 1,977 | 11,416 |
| (10,000 - | Landing | 11,928 | . 22 | 8 | 93,212 | 25,843 | 52,051 |
| 30,000 ha) | Setting | 13,427 | . 09 | 26 | 110,191 | 48,296 | 53,610 |
|  | Walker | 13,755 | . 18 | 14 | 90,231 | 358 | 82,998 |
|  | William | 11,893 | . 54 | 8 | 592,098 | 795 | 2,922 |
|  | Wintering | 10,609 | . 22 | 11 | 78,337 | 50,172 | 26,446 |
|  | Barrington | 16,504 | . 08 | 30 | 9,486 | - | 4,376 |
|  | Kipahigan | 10,111 | . 27 | 16 | 145,844 | 40,350 | 30,654 |
|  | North Indian | 20,223 | . 16 | 23 | 184,014 | 1,453 | 98,771 |
|  | Sisipuk | 16,058 | . 12 |  | 30,464 | 2,845 | 69,308 |
|  | Wekusko | 22,180 | . 16 | 17 | 295,571 | 9,516 | 117,492 |
|  | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 15,294 | . 19 | 15 | 148,407 | 16,510 | 50,004 |

Lake size categories (ha) average CUE per unit surface area ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}$ )*, production of lake whitefish, cisco and pike (kg) and average effort (ND/F)
for individual lakes for the period 1973-1983

| Lake Size Category (ha) | Lake Name | Surface Area (ha) | Av. CUE per unit surface area (kg/N.D/F/ha)* | Average Effort (N.D/F) | Production (kg) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lakewhite Fish | Cisco | Pike |
| Large | Cedar | 126,147 | . 27 | 39 | 1,129,157 | 1,387,057 | 3,030,373 |
| ( $30,000 \mathrm{ha}$ ) | Cormorant | 32,780 | . 03 | 24 | 66,313 | 4,184 | 46,367 |
|  | Playgreen | 68,896 | . 14 | 32 | 2,356,038 | 31,442 | 20,912 |
|  | Sipiwesk | 39,327 | . 05 | 28 | 171,005 | 44,411 | 149,839 |
|  | Gods | 104,763 | . 04 | 23 | 610,831 | , | 104,763 |
|  | South Indian | 198,421 | . 07 | 29 | 3,347,587 | 42,169 | 326,783 |
|  | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 95,055 | . 10 | 29 | 943,322 | 25,322 | 613,173 |

* kilogram per number of deliveries per fisheman per hectare


## Comments:

- Source of data on surface area: Fisheries Branch, Province of Manitoba, Department of Natural Resources
- St. Martin Lake excluded because its area is not available.


## Appendix $F$

Temporal changes of cisco, pike and lake whitefish, 1973-1983

Note: Presence of cisco occurred without change in abundance of $T$. crassus.

Legend
ACisco

- Lake Whitefish
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List of Lakes in Appendix F

1. Halfway
2. Wuskwatim
3. Guthrie
4. Cedar
5. Butterfly
6. Wekusko
7. Sipiwesk
8. Natawahunan
9. Sisipuk
10. North Indian
11. Playgreen
12. Wintering
13. Sabomin




# Appendix G <br> Temporal changes of cisco, pike <br> and lake whitefish, 1973-1983 

Note: Change in abundance of T. crassus occurred without presence of cisco.

Legend
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$$
\text { List of Lakes in Appendix } G
$$

1. Dafoe
2. Herblet
3. Barrington
4. Gods


## Appendix H

Temporal changes of cisco, pike
and lake whitefish, 1973-1983

Note: No consistent change in either fish species composition and in abundance of $T$. crassus

Legend
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## List of Lakes in Appendix $H$

1. Patridge Crop
2. William
3. Witchai
4. Yawningstone
5. St. Martin

```
Appendix I
Proportions of lake whitefish size classes from total catch (kg), 1973-1983
```


## Appendix I

Average CUE (kg/N.D/F) of lake whitefish size categories of jumbo, large, medium and small from total catch (kgs), 1973-1983

| Lake | Time | Whitefish Size Categories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Jumbo |  | Large |  | Medium |  | Small |  |
|  | (Year) | Ratio |  | Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.U.E. } \\ & / F) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\text { C.U.E. }}{\text { D/F) }}$ | $\overline{\text { Ratio }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.U.E. } \\ & \text { F) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Amstrong | 1973, 1973/74 | . 002 | 1 | . 113 | 60 | . 783 | 418 | . 102 | 54 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | . 002 | 1 | . 0016 | 7 | . 982 | 430 | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 208 | - | - |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | - | - | . 058 | 17 | . 942 | 270 | - | - |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | - | . 012 | 2 | . 985 | 189 | . 002 | . 4 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | - | - | . 033 | 8 | . 951 | 224 | . 029 | 4 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | - | . 049 | 13 | . 950 | 235 | . 001 | 245 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 20 | 54 | . 039 | 7 | . 731 | 200 | . 03 | 12 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | . 008 | 2 | . 991 | 218 | - | - |
|  | 1983 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Barrington | 1973, 1973/74 | . 002 | . 7 | . 078 | 100 | . 75 | 626 | . 17 | 441 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - | . 038 | 17 | . 962 | 424 | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 021 | 65 | . 170 | 461 | . 769 | 2,088 | . 037 | 101 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 017 | 19 | . 104 | 118 | . 827 | 940 | . 052 | 59 |

Whitefish Size Categories

| Lake | $\frac{\text { Time }}{\text { (Year) }}$ | Jumbo |  | Large |  | Medium |  | Small |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ratio Av. C.U.E. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  | Ratio Av. C.U.E. |  |
| Barrington (cont'd) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 028 | 25 | . 131 | 114 | . 781 | 681 | . 06 | 52 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 029 | 26 | . 149 | 135 | . 771 | 699 | . 051 | 46 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 021 | 6 | . 209 | 58 | . 751 | 216 | . 027 | 8 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1983 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bruneau | 1973, 1973/74 | . 395 | 30 | . 427 | 33 | . 178 | 14 | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | . 163 | 107 | . 555 | 366 | . 260 | 172 | . 021 | 14 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 135 | 62 | . 317 | 145 | . 519 | 237 | . 029 | 13 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 121 | 12 | . 248 | 25 | . 602 | 61 | . 028 | 3 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 037 | 2 | . 318 | 16 | . 630 | 32 | . 015 | . 8 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 146 | 29 | . 564 | 111 | . 290 | 57 | - | - |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 368 | 98 | . 265 | 97 | . 244 | 65 | . 022 | 6 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 299 | 18 | . 292 | 17 | . 410 | 24 | . 001 | 6 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 321 | 374 | . 364 | 43 | . 311 | 36 | . 004 | 12 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | . 008 | 2 | . 991 | 218 | . | . 48 |
|  | $1983$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Butterfly | 1973, 1973/74 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | . 600 | 2 | . 354 | 8 | . 047 | 1 | - | - |

Continued on page 146

## Appendix I (cont'd)

Whitefish Size Categories

| Lake | $\frac{\text { Time }}{\text { (Year) }}$ | Jumbo |  | Large |  | Medium |  | Small |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\substack{\text { Av. C.U.E. } \\(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{F})}}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. }}{\substack{(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F})}}$ |  |
| Butterfly (cont'd) | 1975, 1975/76 | . 840 | 133 | . 114 | 18 | . 046 | 7 | - | - |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 722 | 505 | . 210 | 146 | . 064 | 45 | . 005 | 4 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 622 | 175 | . 241 | 68 | . 135 | 38 | . 002 | . 6 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | - | . 146 | 18 | . 085 | 10 | . 770 | 93 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 151 | 9 | . 618 | 37 | . 230 | 14 | . 001 | . 1 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 387 | 10 | . 507 | 13 | . 099 | 3 | . 005 | . 1 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 201 | 19 | . 733 | 68 | . 066 | 61 | - | - |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | . 099 | 4 | . 850 | 38 | . 050 | 2 | - | - |
|  | 1983 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cedar | 1973, 1973/74 | . 318 | 16,937 | . 413 | 21,924 | . 224 | 11,924 | . 044 | 2,351 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | . 179 | 24,307 | . 134 | 25,337 | . 569 | 163,836 | . 115 | 7,635 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 348 | 854 | . 116 | 284 | . 423 | 1,034 | . 113 | 277 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 150 | 605 | . 124 | 502 | . 471 | 1,905 | . 255 | 1,031 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 021 | 152 | . 126 | 912 | . 694 | 5,017 | . 158 | 1,144 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 028 | 308 | . 196 | 2,132 | . 720 | 7,823 | . 055 | 602 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 062 | 268 | . 270 | 177 | . 530 | 2,308 | . 139 | 2,308 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 098 | - | . 233 | - | . 548 | , | . 121 | , |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 106 | - | . 159 | - | . 610 | - | . 125 | - |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | . 277 | 784 | . 243 | 688 | . 397 | 1,126 | . 083 | 237 |
|  | 1983 | . 316 | 649 | . 207 | 424 | . 442 | 908 | . 028 | 57 |

## Appendix I (cont'd)

Whitefish Size Categories

| Lake | $\frac{\text { Time }}{\text { (Year) }}$ | Jumbo |  | Large |  | Medium |  | Snall |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\overline{\text { Ratio }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.U.E. } \\ & \text { F) } \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\text { Ratio }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.U.E. } \\ & \text { (F) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.U.E. } \\ & \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{F}) \end{aligned}$ | Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.U.E. } \\ & (F) \end{aligned}$ |
| Cormorant | 1973, 1973/74 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2,036 | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 350 | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 028 | 17 | . 098 | 58 | . 420 | 248 | . 454 | 268 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 001 | . 3 | . 029 | 8 | . 962 | 278 | . 008 | 29 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | - | . 01 | 3 | . 817 | 210 | . 172 | 374 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | - | . 874 | 65 | . 035 | 3 | . 091 | 7 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1983 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dafoe | 1973, 1973/74 | . 019 | 5 | . 437 | 112 | . 544 | 139 | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 008 | 2 | . 177 | 43 | . 815 | 197 | - | - |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 040 | 31 | . 422 | 332 | . 539 | 424 | - | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 023 | 9 | . 418 | 158 | . 555 | 210 | . 005 | 2 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 021 | 4 | . 503 | 75 | . 469 | 84 | . 008 | 1 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 010 | 234 | . 365 | 80 | . 592 | 129 | . 032 | 7 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 129 |
|  | 1983 | . 010 | 41 | . 170 | 133 | . 780 | 197 | . 041 | 2 |
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Appendix I (cont'd)

Whitefish Size Categories

| Lake | $\frac{\text { Time }}{\text { (Year) }}$ | Jumbo |  | Large |  | Medium |  | Small |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\overbrace{(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{F})}^{\text {Av. C.U.E. }}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. }}{\substack{(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F})}}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \underset{(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F})}{\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{U} . E_{0}} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Gods | 1973, 1973/74 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 013 | 228 | . 069 | 1,225 | . 918 | 16,255 | . 003 | 5 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 004 | 79 | . 057 | 1,315 | . 935 | 11,800 | . 004 | - |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 006 | 212 | . 492 | 6,368 | . 491 | 4,844 | - | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 003 | 24 | . 005 | 44 | . 992 | 8,781 | - | - |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 005 | 28 | . 039 | 191 | . 945 | 4,822 | . 01 | 66 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 005 | 10 | . 029 | 61 | . 956 | 1,986 | . 01 | 20 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 016 | 58 | . 043 | 159 | . 938 | 3,495 | . 044 | 14 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | . 012 | 67 | . 987 | 539 | - | - |
|  | 1983 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Halfway | 1973, 1973/74 | . 120 | 233 | . 680 | 1,830 | . 200 | 539 | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | . 121 | 57 | . 331 | 138 | . 532 | 221 | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 143 | 19 | . 226 | 31 | . 624 | 85 | . 006 | 1 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 136 | 37 | . 185 | 50 | . 671 | 181 | . 001 | 2 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 130 | 170 | . 260 | 340 | . 610 | 798 | - | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 054 | 12 | . 150 | 32 | . 784 | 167 | . 012 | 2 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 048 | 5 | . 253 | 28 | . 695 | 76 | . 004 | . 4 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 036 | 19 | . 119 | 60 | . 820 | 416 | . 024 | 12 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 028 | 7 | . 083 | 50 | . 896 | 197 | . 004 | 1 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | . 083 | 39 | . 215 | 101 | . 702 | 322 | - | - |
|  | 1983 | . 083 | 116 | . 149 | 208 | . 767 | 1,069 | - | - |

Continued on page 149

Whitefish Size Categories

| Lake | Tume |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | (Year) |
| Herblet |  |
|  | $1973,1973 / 74$ |
|  | $1974,1974 / 75$ |
|  | $1975,1975 / 76$ |
|  | $1976,1976 / 77$ |
|  | $1977,1977 / 78$ |
|  | $1978,1978 / 79$ |
|  | $1979,1979 / 80$ |
|  | $1980,1988 / 81$ |
|  | $1981,1981 / 82$ |
|  | $1982,1982 / 83$ |
|  | 1983 |
| Granville | $1973,1973 / 74$ |
|  | $1974,1974 / 75$ |
|  | $1975,1975 / 76$ |
|  | $1976,1976 / 77$ |
|  | $1977,1977 / 78$ |
|  | $1978,1978 / 79$ |
|  | $1979,1979 / 80$ |
|  | $1980,1980 / 81$ |
|  | $1981,1981 / 82$ |
|  | $1982,1982 / 83$ |


| Jumbo | Large | Medium | Small |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Ratio }_{\substack{\text { Av. C.U.E. }}}^{(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F})}}{}$ | $\underbrace{\mathrm{R}_{2}}_{\substack{\text { Av. C.U.E. } \\(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F})}}$ | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\substack{\text { Av. C.U.E. }}}$ | $\frac{\operatorname{Ration}_{(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F})}^{\text {Av. C.U.E. }}}{}$ |


| - | - | - | - | 1.0 | 1,416 | - | - |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| - | - | - | - | 1.0 | 360 | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | .906 | 1,270 | .094 | 132 |
| - | - | .008 | 17 | .947 | 1,672 | .053 | 94 |
| - | - | - | - | .992 | 2,378 | - | - |
| - | - | .005 | 3 | .892 | 1,450 | .003 | 5 |
| - | - | .798 | 669 | .203 | 171 | .103 | - |
| - | - | - | .913 | 927 | .087 | 882 |  |
| - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 76 | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | .963 | 1,699 | .037 | 65 |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .029 | 123 | .255 | 1,098 | .528 | 2,273 | .183 | 812 |
| .007 | 28 | .134 | 478 | .820 | 2,929 | .039 | 140 |
| .014 | 27 | .145 | 279 | .732 | 1,412 | .109 | 209 |
| .039 | 16 | .125 | 50 | .785 | 312 | .053 | 20 |
| .073 | 381 | .200 | 1,052 | .702 | 3,692 | .025 | 131 |
| .055 | 389 | .208 | 1,483 | .683 | 4,863 | .055 | 390 |
| .039 | 46 | .212 | 248 | .700 | 820 | .049 | 57 |
| .090 | 116 | .205 | 262 | .618 | 790 | .087 | 112 |
| .071 | 719 | .182 | 210 | .697 | 805 | .05 | 58 |
| .028 | 10 | .139 | .3 | .833 | .4 | .662 | 2,230 |
| .001 | .6 | .055 | 34 | .881 | 543 | .063 | 3 |
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## Appendix I (cont'd)

Whitefish Size Categories

| Lake | Time | Jumbo |  | Large |  | Medium |  | Snall |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Year) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av• C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\substack{\text { Av. C.U.E. } \\(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{F})}}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\frac{\operatorname{Ration}_{(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F})}^{\mathrm{Av} \cdot \text { C.U.E. }}}{}$ |  |
| Guthrie | 1973, 1973/74 | - | - | . 052 | 10 | . 948 | 175 | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - | - | 10 | -9 | 182 | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 475 | - | - |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 004 | 8 | . 012 | 27 | . 984 | 2,125 | - | - |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 009 | 52 | . 002 | 12 | . 989 | 5,851 | - | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 025 | 14 | - | - | . 975 | 562 | - | - |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 004 | . 2 | . 470 | 17 | . 524 | 19 | . 002 | - |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 027 | 12 | . 091 | 38 | . 845 | 356 | . 037 | 16 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 096 | 15 | . 578 | 189 | . 334 | 110 | . 042 | 14 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | . 036 | - | - | - |  | 13 | - | - |
|  | 1983 | - | - | - | - | . 978 | 161 | . 022 | 4 |
| Kipahigan | 1973, 1973/74 | . 0003 | 1 | . 032 | 100 | . 930 | 2,934 | . 037 | 119 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - | - | - | . 899 | 1,602 | . 101 | 206 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | - | . 003 | - | . 862 | 4,699 | . 132 | 732 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | - | - | . 005 | 25 | . 994 | 4,645 | - | - |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 2,478 | - | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 0008 | 2 | - | - | . 997 | 1,937 | . 002 | 5 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | - | - | . 119 | 52 | . 881 | 390 | - | - |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | . 004 | 1 | . 026 | 22 | . 970 | 677 | - | - |
|  | 1983 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Continued on page 151

Whitefish Size Categories

| Lake | $\frac{\text { TYme }}{\text { (Year) }}$ | Jumbo |  | Large |  | Medium |  | Small |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \overline{\text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. }} \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Kisseynew | 1973, 1973/74 | . 331 | 102 | . 384 | 119 | . 284 | 88 | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | . 005 | 8 | . 505 | 250 | . 479 | 237 | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 110 | 131 | . 363 | 414 | . 523 | 598 | - | - |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | - | - | - | - | . 999 | 697 | . 002 | 1 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | - | - | - | 1.000 | 2,245 | - | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 030 | 138 | . 018 | 85 | . 936 | 4,326 | . 016 | 75 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 074 | 160 | . 459 | 992 | . 465 | 1,005 | . 002 | 5 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 076 | 10 | . 246 | 30 | . 426 | 34 | . 252 | 33 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 225 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1983 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kiski | 1973, 1973/74 | . 005 | 1 | . 145 | 16 | . 850 | 75 | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | . 009 | 1 | . 142 | 12 | . 658 | 53 | . 190 | 15 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 05 | 1 | . 120 | 3 | . 779 | 18 | . 188 | 66 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 041 | 1 | . 106 | 1 | . 756 | 9 | . 100 | 1 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 012 | 1 | . 176 | 9 | . 729 | 38 | . 083 | 4 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 069 | 8 | . 396 | 42 | . 536 | 65 | - | - |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 068 | 3 | . 249 | 13 | . 643 | 34 | . 04 | 2 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 268 | 25 | . 133 | 17 | . 474 | 45 | . 125 | 16 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1983 | . 132 | 4 | . 163 | 5 | . 722 | 24 | - | - |

Continued on page 152

Whitefish Size Categories

| Lake | Time | Jumbo |  | Large |  | Medium |  | $9 \mathrm{mal1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Year) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \underset{(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F})}{ } . \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Landing | 1973, 1973/74 | . 007 | 22 | . 061 | 184 | . 932 | 1,593 | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | . 078 | 193 | . 183 | 454 | . 739 | 1,831 | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 006 | 12 | . 275 | 479 | . 715 | 1,245 | . 003 | 6 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 04 | 165 | . 660 | 2,752 | . 300 | 1,252 | - | - |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 024 | 83 | . 129 | 447 | . 848 | 2,948 | - | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 067 | 133 | . 316 | 840 | . 607 | 1,092 | . 010 | 30 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 046 | 37 | . 312 | 444 | . 547 | 430 | - | - |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 018 | 10 | . 185 | 102 | . 769 | 426 | . 023 | 16 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 159 | 2 | . 112 | 46 | . 714 | 378 | . 009 | 4 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 293 |
|  | 1983 | . 018 | 31 | . 089 | 147 | . 893 | 1,494 | - | - |
| Natawahunan | 1973, 1973/74 | - | - | . 185 | 132 | . 808 | 576 | . 017 | 5 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - | . 125 | 28 | . 874 | 197 | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 001 | 4 | . 199 | 109 | . 799 | 434 | - | - |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 101 | 70 | . 300 | 206 | . 600 | 414 | - | - |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 174 | 105 | . 489 | 296 | . 337 | 204 | - | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 324 | 338 | . 423 | 442 | . 246 | 256 | . 006 | 7 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 141 | 57 | . 257 | 105 | . 589 | 239 | .020) | 44 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 051 | 10 | . 015 | 31 | . 738 | 152 | . 059 | 12 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | . 010 | 4 | . 045 | 18 | . 927 | 334 |  | - |
|  | 1983 | . 010 | 8 | . 017 | 14 | . 967 | 800 | . 006 | 6 |

Continued on page 153

Whitefish Size Categories

| Lake | $\frac{\text { Time }}{\text { (Year) }}$ | Jumbo |  | Large |  | Medium |  | Snall |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C. C.U.E. } \\ & \text { W/F) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{C.U.E.E} \\ & \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \cdot \mathrm{C.U.E} \\ & \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{F}) \end{aligned}$ |
| Northern Indian | 1973, 1973/74 | . 031 | 114 | . 157 | 580 | . 812 | 3,001 | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | . 005 | 5 | . 140 | 147 | . 853 | 895 | . 002 | 2 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 002 | 134 | . 090 | 6,087 | . 854 | 58,014 | . 054 | 3,685 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 0001 | 4 | . 013 | 48 | . 988 | 3,789 | . 004 | 14 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 020 | 110 | . 361 | 1,998 | . 600 | 3,315 | . 019 | 107 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 007 | 3 | . 229 | 95 | . 758 | 314 | . 006 | 3 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 005 | . 3 | . 043 | 24 | . 777 | 436 | . 180 | 101 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | - | . 017 | 31 | . 752 | 1,359 | . 231 | 418 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | - | . 003 | 5 | . 770 | 1,171 | . 227 | 345 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1982, 1982/83 } \\ & 1983 . \end{aligned}$ | - | - | . 003 | - | . 713 | 201 | . 284 | 80 |
| Opachuanau | 1973, 1973/74 | . 007 | 5 | . 141 | 327 | . 852 | 1,971 | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 003 | 16 | . 087 | 510 | . 909 | 5,342 | - | - |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 005 | 5 | . 110 | 111 | . 864 | 872 | . 021 | . 21 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 079 | 284 | . 3142 | 1,118 | . 594 | 2,115 | . 012 | 42 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 106 | 474 | . 215 | 961 | . 648 | 2,891 | . 031 | 139 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 119 | 61 | . 281 | 144 | . 590 | 303 | . 010 | 5 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 074 | 83 | . 234 | 257 | . 670 | 739 | . 022 | 230 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 046 | 26 | . 246 | 151 | . 690 | 422 | . 018 | 10 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1983 | . 120 | 44 | . 011 | 4 | . 811 | 31 | . 060 | 23 |

Contimued an page 154

Appendix I (cont'd)

Whitefish Size Categories

| Lake | $\frac{\text { Time }}{\text { (Year) }}$ | Jumbo |  | Large |  | Medium |  | Small |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. }}{\substack{\text { (kg/ND/F) }}}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avatio C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\overline{\text { Ratio }} \underset{(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F})}{\text { Av. C.U.E. }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Pakwa | 1973, 1973/74 | . 018 | 24 | . 086 | 115 | . 873 | 1,169 | . 023 | 30 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | . 008 | 7 | . 035 | 42 | . 795 | 945 | . 197 | 234 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 004 | 4 | . 014 | 18 | . 735 | 939 | . 247 | 316 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 006 | 5 | . 028 | 20 | . 830 | 593 | . 136 | 97 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 004 | 1 | . 038 | 8 | . 702 | 162 | . 257 | 59 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 005 | 13 | . 036 | 36 | . 913 | 890 | . 046 | 60 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | - | - | . 100 | 4 | . 778 | 294 | . 212 | 78 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 007 | 3 | . 042 | 20 | . 0786 | 380 | . 165 | 80 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 005 | 1 | . 013 | 3 | . 936 | 199 | . 045 | 10 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
|  | 1983 | - | - | . 0134 | - | . 624 | - | . 363 | - |
| Patridge Crop | 1973, 1973/74 | . 012 | 16 | . 449 | 614 | . 526 | 719 | . 018 | 18 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | . 041 | 14 | . 471 | 158 | . 016 | 6 | . 471 | 151 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 057 | 28 | . 499 | 246 | . 436 | 215 | . 008 | 4 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 110 | 56 | . 540 | 285 | . 347 | 163 | . 007 | 4 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 067 | 112 | . 321 | 533 | . 612 | 1,081 | . | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 023 | 9 | . 294 | 110 | . 683 | 256 | - | - |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 179 | 49 | . 396 | 109 | . 421 | 116 | . 002 |  |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 036 | 5 | . 204 | 38 | . 757 | 139 | . 011 | 2 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 072 | 6 | . 242 | 21 | . 677 | 59 | . 009 | 1 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | . 012 | 2 | . 138 | 18 | . 850 | 22 | - | - |
|  | 1983 | . 048 | 20 | . 024 | 10 | . 928 | 378 | - | - |

Continued on page 155

| Lake |  | Whitefish Size Categories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Jumbo |  | Large |  | Medium |  | Sma11 |  |
|  | (Year) | Ratio | $\frac{\text { C.U.E. }}{(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{F})}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{\text { Ve C.U.E. }} \\ & \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{F}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & V_{\cdot} \text { C.U.E. } \\ & \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{F}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.U.E. } \\ & \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{F}) \end{aligned}$ |
| Playgreen | 1973, 1973/74 | . 016 | 121 | . 097 | 731 | . 743 | 5,615 | . 144 | 1,092 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | . 184 | 224 | . 816 | 996 | - | - | - | , |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 056 | 981 | . 098 | 1,725 | . 808 | 14,158 | . 038 | 30 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 043 | 1,032 | . 091 | 2,198 | . 793 | 19,146 | . 073 | 1,736 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 018 | 455 | - | - | . 912 | 23,113 | . 078 | 1,915 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 019 | 420 | . 091 | 2,031 | . 779 | 17,185 | . 112 | 2,463 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 012 | 70 | . 087 | 496 | . 820 | 4,680 | . 081 | 464 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 018 | 127 | . 080 | 569 | . 860 | 615 | . 042 | 301 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 040 | 457 | . 480 | 5,592 | . 445 | 5,193 | . 034 | 400 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | . 09 | 26 | . 396 | 272 | . 514 | 352 |
|  | 1983 | . 0124 | - | . 042 | - | . 890 | - | . 055 | - |
| Sabomin | 1973, 1973/74 | . 005 | 4 | . 082 | 65 | . 914 | 740 | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - | . 161 | 23 | . 839 | 122 | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | - | . 236 | 412 | . 764 | 136 | - | - |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 004 | 1 | . 245 | 40 | . 751 | 123 | - | - |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 075 | 67 | . 396 | 353 | . 529 | 471 | - | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 031 | 65 | . 407 | 854 | . 562 | 183 | - | - |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 014 | 12 | . 204 | 161 | . 795 | 582 | . 047 | 37 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 003 | 3 | . 102 | 87 | . 891 | 766 | . 004 | 3 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 006 | 1 | . 031 | 22 | . 968 | 677 | - | - |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - |  | - |  |  | - | - |
|  | 1983 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Continued on page 156

Whitefish Size Categories

| Lake | $\frac{\text { TYme }}{\text { (Year) }}$ | Jumbo |  | Large |  | Medium |  | Smal1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. }}{(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F})}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio Ave C.U.E. }}{\substack{\text { Ave } \\(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F})}}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\substack{\text { Av. C.U.E. }}}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{N} \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Setting | 1973, 1973/74 | . 006 | 7 | . 044 | 278 | . 924 | 5,672 | . 025 | 156 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - | . 244 | 60 | . 241 | 5, 59 | . 515 | 127 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 007 | 10 | . 025 | 37 | . 778 | 1,149 | . 192 | 285 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 007 | 5 | . 023 | 15 | . 800 | 533 | . 770 | 113 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 001 | 1 | . 004 | 4 | . 626 | 511 | . 368 | 300 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 002 | 3 | . 020 | 32 | . 858 | 1,397 | . 120 | 195 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 012 | 10 | . 035 | 107 | . 834 | 731 | . 120 | 105 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 219 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1983 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sipiwesk | 1973, 1973/74 | . 462 | 653 | . 107 | 152 | . 431 | 610 | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | . 075 | 88 | . 280 | 321 | . 644 | 756 | . 001 | 1 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 038 | 134 | . 168 | 442 | . 780 | 2,696 | . 031 | 46 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 094 | 180 | . 312 | 599 | . 580 | 1,114 | . 051 | 29 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 165 | 39 | . 41 | 106 | . 426 | 262 | . |  |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 205 | 473 | . 414 | 1,013 | . 376 | 919 | . 004 | 12 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 274 | 127 | . 433 | 201 | . 225 | 128 | . 018 | 7 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 247 | 199 | . 306 | 235 | . 413 | 316 | . 034 | 26 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 149 | 584 | . 109 | 42 | . 686 | 392 | . 057 | 33 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | . 129 | 30 | . 177 | 43 | . 688 | 165 | . 012 | 3 |
|  | 1983 | . 112 | 40 | . 255 | 91 | . 594 | 213 | . 040 | 14 |

Continued on page 157

Whitefish Size Categories

| Lake | THme |  |  |  | ge |  | ium |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Year) | Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.U.E. } \\ & \hline \text { F) } \end{aligned}$ | Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { V. C.U.E. } \\ & \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{F}) \end{aligned}$ | Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N. C.U.E. } \\ & \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{F}) \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\text { Ratio }}$ | C.U.E. |
| Sisipuk | 1973, 1973/74 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - | - | - | . 80 | 286 | . 20 | 72 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 038 | 10 | . 05 | 70 | . 702 | 86 | . 232 | 285 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 113 | 450 | . 257 | 260 | . 616 | 2,457 | . 014 | 57 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 006 | 18 | . 108 | 54 | . 517 | 266 | . 374 | 21 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 049 | 16 | . 149 | 48 | . 742 | 240 | . 060 | 192 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 500 | 82 | . 067 | 100 | . 389 | 590 | . 04 | 78 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 018 | 12 | . 321 | 203 | . 579 | 418 | . 082 | 52 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | . 096 | 21 | . 889 | 19 | . 015 | 17 | - | - |
|  | 1983 | . 009 | 17 | . 802 | 141 | . 189 | 33 | - | - |
| South Indian |  | . 017 | 509 | . 056 | 165 | . 645 | 19,054 | . 282 | 8,349 |
|  | $1974,1974 / 75$ | - | - | - | - | - | 19,054 | - | 8,318 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 007 | 146 | . 056 | 1,255 | . 931 | 24,351 | . 006 | 27 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 011 | 269 | . 057 | 1,434 | . 879 | 7,496 | . 053 | 522 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 003 | 88 | . 100 | 3,045 | . 868 | 26,798 | . 030 | 934 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | .009 | 99 | . 061 | 1,676 | . 947 | 24,882 | . 009 | 426 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 022 | 320 | . 170 | 2,508 | . 760 | 11,200 | . 048 | 706 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 005 | 154 | . 036 | 488 | . 903 | 2,206 | . 050 | 668 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 165 | 168 | . 047 | 484 | . 753 | 7,664 | . 035 | 352 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | . 629 | 24 | . 086 | 3 | . 280 | 10 | . 006 | . 2 |
|  | 1983 | . 005 | 48 | . 030 | 305 | . 888 | 8,993 | . 077 | 783 |

Contimued on page 158

Whitefish Size Categories

| Lake |  | Jumbo |  | Lange |  | Medium |  | Small |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Year) | $\frac{\operatorname{Ratio}_{(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F})}^{\text {Av. C.U.E. }}}{}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. }}{(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F})}$ |  | $\frac{\operatorname{Ratio}_{(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F})}^{\text {Av. C.U.E. }}}{}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Av. C.U.E. }}{\substack{\text { Ag } / \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{F})}}$ |  |
| St. Martin | 1973, 1973/74 | - | - | . 002 | 2 | . 873 | 8,610 | . 124 | 1,626 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | . 002 | 1 | . 007 | 33 | . 917 | 4,563 | . 076 | 380 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 001 | 12 | . 049 | 969 | . 502 | 8,049 | . 448 | 25,520 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 0002 | 1 | . 028 | 285 | . 233 | 18,867 | . 739 | 5,291 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 0009 | 1 | . 012 | 321 | . 772 | 19,355 | . 216 | 16,523 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 0002 | 1 | . 0009 | 137 | . 588 | 1,060 | . 402 | 7,972 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 0008 | 5 | . 007 | 345 | . 567 | 14,309 | . 462 | 11,412 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 0002 | 1 | . 013 | 75 | . 549 | 11,271 | . 438 | 11,912 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 00082 | . 4 | . 003 | 1 | . 485 | 9,951 | . 512 | 11,319 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | - | - | . 468 | 9,951 | . 532 | -1,39 |
|  | 1983 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Walker | 1973, 1973/74 | . 047 | 250 | . 215 | 1,150 | . 744 |  | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | . 046 | 53 | . 400 | 463 | . 552 | 639 | . 002 | 2 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 109 | 245 | . 805 | 1,814 | . 086 | 194 |  | - |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 191 | 396 | . 490 | 1,017 | . 318 | 289 | . 001 | - |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | - | - | 1, | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 218 | 164 | . 781 | 589 | . 0004 | . 3 | - | - |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1983 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Continued on page 159

Whitefish Size Categories

| Lake | $\frac{\text { THme }}{\text { (Year) }}$ | Jumbo |  | Large |  | Medium |  | Snall |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. }}{(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F})}$ |  |
| Wekusko | 1973, 1973/74 | - | - | - | - | 1.0 | 98 | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - | . 143 | 712 | . 740 | 3,666 | . 116 | 576 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 004 | 16 | . 192 | 775 | . 716 | 2,884 | . 088 | 350 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | - | - | . 140 | 639 | . 777 | 3,554 | . 084 | 380 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | - | . 442 | 551 | . 392 | 401 | . 166 | 299 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | - | . 014 | 74 | . 703 | 3,627 | . 015 | 789 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | - | - | . 028 | 376 | . 836 | 1,886 | . 136 | 308 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | - | . 094 | 113 | . 736 | 889 | . 170 | 205 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | - | . 023 | 31 | . 745 | 974 | . 239 | 303 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | . 076 | 179 | . 721 | 1,689 | . 203 | 475 |
|  | 1983 | - | - | - | - |  | - | . 2 |  |
| William | 1973, 1973/74 | - | - | . 021 | 349 | . 978 | 16,127 | . 0004 | 5 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - | . 008 | 236 | . 992 | 2,596 | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | - | . 012 | 89 | . 988 | 6,554 | - | - |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 0005 | 4 | . 008 | 74 | . 990 | 9,271 | . 002 | 17 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | - | . 0006 |  | . 999 | 30,768 | - | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 12,203 | - | - |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 21,907 | - | - |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | - | . 034 | 686 | . 954 | 20,248 | - | - |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 2,845 | - | - |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | 4,368 | - | - |
|  | 1983 | - | - | . 144 | 494 | . 856 | 2,932 | - | - |

Continued on page 160

| Lake | Tlue | Whitefish Size Categories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Jumbo |  | Large |  | Medium |  | Small |  |
|  | (Year) | Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\text { C.U.E.E. }} \\ & \text { F) } \end{aligned}$ | Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.U.E. } \\ & (F) \end{aligned}$ | Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & V_{\cdot} C_{0} U_{\cdot} E_{\bullet} \\ & N / F) \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\text { Ratio }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.U.E. } \\ & \hline \text { F) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Wintering | 1973, 1973/74 | . 0014 | 2 | . 050 | 87 | . 941 | 1,617 | . 006 | 10 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - | . 031 | 34 | . 969 | 1,051 | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 005 | 6 | . 052 | 54 | . 943 | 988 | - | - |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 005 | 6 | . 082 | 107 | . 913 | 1,187 | - | - |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 005 | 58 | . 061 | 733 | . 937 | 551 | - | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | . 021 | 52 | . 154 | 384 | . 825 | 2,052 | - | - |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 500 | 342 | . 047 | 32 | . 450 | 308 | - | - |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 500 | 37 | . 126 | 9 | . 374 | 28 | - | - |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 002 | 0.8 | . 056 | 28 | . 940 | 422 | . 022 | 2 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | . 0002 | 2 | . 062 | 50 | . 932 | 32,316 | - | - |
|  | 1983 | .0002 | - | . 002 | - | . 998 | - | - | - |
| Witchai | $1973,1973 / 74$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | $1974,1974 / 75$ | - | - | . 047 | 65 | . 953 | 1,321 | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | - | . 297 | 652 | . 703 | 1,539 | - | - |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 002 | 3 | . 073 | 102 | . 924 | 1,294 | . 0002 | - |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 002 | 3 | . 160 | 169 | . 837 | 884 | . 0004 | 521 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | .0005 | 1 | . 252 | 377 | . 745 | 1,114 | . 002 | 3 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | . 102 | 47 | . 678 | 314 | . 220 | 102 | - | - |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | .0007 | 1 | . 135 | 131 | . 858 | 832 | . 006 | 6 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 001 | 1 | . 196 | 118 | . 810 | 630 | . 004 | 3 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | . 174 | 73 | . 824 | 346 | . 002 | 1 |
|  | 1983 | . 002 | 1 | . 022 | 7 | . 964 | 289 | . 01 | 3 |
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Whitefish Size Categories

| Lake | $\frac{\text { THme }}{\text { (Year) }}$ | Jumbo |  | Large |  | Medium |  | Small |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\frac{\operatorname{Ratio}_{(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{F})}}{\mathrm{Av}_{2} \text { C.U.E. }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio Av. C.U.E. } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{F}) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Wuskwatim | 1973, 1973/74 | . 004 | 5 | . 019 | 216 | . 973 | 10,822 | . 006 | 72 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - | . 014 | 278 | . 828 | 16,572 | . 156 | 3,173 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | - | - | . 003 | 3 | . 983 | 1,068 | . 016 | 816 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | - | . 25 | 8 | . 50 | 16 | . 25 | 8 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | . 010 | 6 | . 740 | 149 | . 240 | 469 | . 010 | 7 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | . 003 | 2 | . 170 | 47 | . 920 | 620 | . 006 | 4 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | . 001 | - | . 161 | - | . 835 | - | . 003 | - |
|  | 1983 | . 011 | 12 | . 097 | 94 | . 892 | 865 | - | - |
| Yawningstone | 1973, 1973/74 | - | - | . 459 | 22 | . 542 | 26 | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | . 040 | 10 | . 058 | 6 | . 924 | 247 | - | - |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | . 085 | 40 | . 229 | 122 | . 67 | 356 | . 012 | 7 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | . 002 | - | . 071 | - | . 840 | - | . 088 | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | - | . 25 | 36 | . 500 | 725 | . 25 | 36 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | - | - | . 078 | 6 | . 922 | 66 | - | - |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | - | . 051 | 4 | . 805 | 66 | . 144 | 12 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1983 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


#### Abstract

Appendix J

Temporal changes of lake whitefish size classes, percent frequency (\% F) of jumbo, large, medium and small, 1973-1983, for all lakes.


Legend<br>Jumbo size class<br>Large size class<br>Medium size class<br>Small size class

1. Armstrong
2. Barrington
3. Butterfly
4. Bruneau
5. Cedar
6. Cormorant
7. Opachuanau
8. Pakwa
9. Patridge Crop
10. Playgreen
11. Sabomin
12. Setting
13. Kipahigan
14. Kiski
15. Kisseynew
16. Landing
17. Natawahunan
18. North Indian
19. Dafoe
20. Gods
21. Granville
22. Guthrie
23. Halfway
24. Herblet
25. Sisipuk
26. Sipiwesk
27. South Indian
28. St. Martin
29. Walker
30. Wekusko
31. Willima
32. Wintering
33. Witchai
34. Wuskwatim
35. Yawningstone







## Appendix K

Concomitant changes in proportions of medium and large sized lake whitefish as a function of CUE (kg/N.D/F)

Legend

A medium size lake whitefish
B large size lake whitefish

## List of Lakes in Appendix $K$

1. Patridge Crop
2. Armstrong
3. Butterfly
4. Pakwa
5. Herblet
6. Bruneau
7. South Indian
8. Wekusko
9. Playgreen
10. North Indian
11. William
12. St. Martin
13. Wuskwatim
14. Setting
15. Landing
16. Walker
17. Natawahunan
18. Barrington
19. Yawningstone
20. Opachuanau
21. Witchai
22. Kipahigan
23. Dafoe
24. Wintering
25. Cormorant
26. Sisipuk
27. Halfway
28. Cedar






## Appendix L

Regressions of change in proportions of large and medium versus catch per unit effort ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{F}$ ) + in the Nelson, Churchill and Hayes River watersheds

| Watershed | Lake Name | $\frac{\text { Linear Regression }}{(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bx})}$ | Correlation Coefficient | Test $F$ Value and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nelson | Armstrong | 1. $Y=0.083-272909 \mathrm{X}$ <br> 2. $Y=0.862+993349 x$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.066 \\ & 0.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 0.03 & (1,6) \\ 0.25 & (1,6) \end{array}$ |
|  | Bruneau | 1. $Y=0.434-10807 \mathrm{X}$ <br> 2. $Y=0.368+2.75658 \mathrm{X}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.242 \\ & 0.041 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 0.43 & (1,7) \\ 0.01 & (1,7) \end{array}$ |
|  | Butterfly | 1. $Y=0.498-13224 \mathrm{X}$ <br> 2. $Y=0.122-2.57247 X$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.541 \\ & 0.394 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 2.49 & (1,6) \\ 1.10 & (1,6) \end{array}$ |
|  | Cedar | 1. $Y=.146+0 X$ <br> 2. $Y=.577-0 X$ | $\begin{aligned} & .799 \\ & .24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 14.5 & (1,8) \\ 5.11 & (1,8) \end{array}$ |
|  | Cormorant | 1. $Y=.522-0 X$ <br> 2. $Y=.199+0 X$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.484 \\ & .610 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1.22 & (1,4) \\ 2.37 & (1,4) \end{array}$ |
|  | Guthrie | 1. $Y=0.103-196001 X$ <br> 2. $Y=0.776-486346 \mathrm{X}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.199 \\ & 0.34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .33(1,8) \\ & .82(1,8) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Halfway | 1. $Y=0.131+909831 X$ <br> 2. $Y=0.788-102912 X$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.463 \\ & 0.458 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 2.45 & (1,9) \\ 2.38 & (1,9) \end{array}$ |
|  | Herblet | 1. $Y=0.228+46521 X$ <br> 2. $Y=0.962-707813 X$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.060 \\ & 0.127 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.06(1,9) \\ .15(1,9) \end{array}$ |
|  | Kiski | 1. $Y=0.160+211802 \mathrm{X}$ <br> 2. $Y=05.99+358072 X$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.172 \\ & 0.111 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .21(1,7) \\ 0.09(1,7) \end{array}$ |
|  | Landing | 1. $Y=0.577-16829.4 X$ <br> 2. $Y=0.447+12842 X$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.654 \\ .199 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.99(1,8) \\ .33(1,8) \end{array}$ |
|  | Pakwa | 1. $Y=.051+1742370 X$ <br> 2. $Y=1.126-37472 X$ | $\begin{array}{r} .007 \\ .668 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 0.0 & (1,8) \\ 6.44 & (1,8) \end{array}$ |

## Appendix L (cont'd)

Regressions of change in proportions of large and medium versus catch per unit effort (kg/N.D/F)+
in the Nelson, Churchill and Hayes River watersheds

| Watershed | Lake Name | $\frac{\text { Linear Regression }}{(\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bx})}$ | Correlation Coefficient | Test F <br> Value and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nelson | Patridge | 1. $Y=0.509-32497 \mathrm{X}$ | . 421 | 1.93 (1,9) |
|  | Crop | 2. $\mathrm{Y}=0.515-518219 \mathrm{X}$ | . 039 | . $01(1,9)$ |
|  | Playgreen | 1. $\mathrm{Y}=0.251-2481720 \mathrm{X}$ | 0.207 | . $40(1,9)$ |
|  |  | 2. $Y=0.478+1.551 \mathrm{X}$ | 0.161 | . $24(1,9)$ |
|  | Setting | 1. $\mathrm{Y}=0.110-499076 \mathrm{X}$ | . 375 | . $98(1,6)$ |
|  |  | 2. $\mathrm{Y}=0.644+933928 \mathrm{X}$ | . 238 | . $36(1,6)$ |
|  | Sipiwesk | 1. $Y=0.266+150920 \mathrm{X}$ | . 013 | $0.0(1,9)$ |
|  |  | 2. $Y=0.465+4106270 X$ | $0.021$ | $0.0(1,9)$ |
|  | St. | 1. $\mathrm{Y}=0.01+770,347,000 \mathrm{X}$ | $X 0.005$ | $0.0(1,8)$ |
|  | Martin | 2. $\mathrm{Y}=0.948-141532 \mathrm{X}$ | . 398 | . $398(1,8)$ |
|  | Wekusko | 1. $Y=.049+219285 \mathrm{X}$ | . 266 | . $61(1,8)$ |
|  |  | 2. $Y=.881-407755 \mathrm{X}$ | . 430 | $1.82(1,8)$ |
|  | William | 1. $\mathrm{Y}=0.023-3400160 \mathrm{X}$ | $.049$ | $0.02(1,9)$ |
|  |  | 2. $Y=0.979-15,314,100$ | $.022$ | $0(1,9)$ |
|  | Wintering | 1. $\mathrm{Y}=.164-2583580 \mathrm{X}$ | . 253 | . $62(1,9)$ |
|  |  | 2. $Y=.696+6202940 \mathrm{X}$ | . 388 | $1.59(1,9)$ |
|  | Witchai | 1. $Y=.223-3765470 \mathrm{X}$ | . 056 | . $02(1,8)$ |
|  |  | 2. $\mathrm{Y}=.758+487260 \mathrm{X}$ | . 063 | . $03(1,8)$ |
|  | Walker | 1. $Y=0.8653-15124 \mathrm{X}$ | . 534 | $1.2(1,3)$ |
|  |  | 2. $Y=-1145+1570 \mathrm{X}$ | . 452 | . $77(1,3)$ |
|  | Wuskwatim | 1. $Y=.393-448042 \mathrm{X}$ | . 381 | . $85(1,5)$ |
|  |  | 2. $Y=.440+331825 \mathrm{X}$ | . 266 | . $38(1,5$ ) |

Continued on page 179

Appendix L (cont'd)

Regressions of change in proportions of large and medium versus catch per unit effort (kg/N.D/F)+
in the Nelson, Churchill and Hayes River watersheds

| Watershed | Lake Name | Linear Regression $(Y=a+b x)$ | Correlation Coefficient | Test F Value and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nelson | Yawingstone | 1. $Y=.268-32774 X$ <br> 2. $Y=.614+45998 \mathrm{X}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .384 \\ .463 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .69(1,4) \\ 1.09(1,4) \end{array}$ |
| Churchill | Barrington | 1. $Y=.107+0.00 X$ <br> 2. $Y=.854-0 X$ | $\begin{aligned} & .304 \\ & .305 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} .51 & (1,5) \\ .51 & (1,5) \end{array}$ |
|  | Granville | 1. $Y=.1062+214713 X$ <br> 2. $Y=.823-355071 X$ | $\begin{array}{r} .491 \\ .467 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 2.55 & (1,8) \\ 2.23 & (1,8) \end{array}$ |
|  | Kipahigan | 1. $\mathrm{Y}=.083-185814 \mathrm{X}$ <br> 2. $Y=.947-5839020 X$ | $\begin{aligned} & .568 \\ & .111 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.38(1,5) \\ .06(1,5) \end{array}$ |
|  | Kisseynew | 1. $Y=.263-199460 \mathrm{X}$ <br> 2. $Y=.623+246996 \mathrm{X}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .135 \\ & .122 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} .13(1,7) \\ .11 & (1,7) \end{array}$ |
|  | N. Indian | 1. $Y=.163-212993 X$ <br> 2. $Y=.814-9,860,300 X$ | $\begin{aligned} & .384 \\ & .208 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.39(1,8) \\ .36(1,8) \end{array}$ |
|  | Opachuanau | 1. $Y=.196-6,023,870 X$ <br> 2. $Y=.701+159,609 X$ | $\begin{aligned} & .086 \\ & .186 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .086(1,7) \\ .25(1,7) \end{array}$ |
|  | Sisipuk | 1. $Y=.041+819094 \mathrm{X}$ <br> 2. $Y=.763-784699 \mathrm{X}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .557 \\ & .752 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 3.5 & (1,7) \\ 9.1 & (1,7) \end{array}$ |
|  | S. Indian | 1. $Y=.139+19,083,900 \mathrm{X}$ <br> 2. $Y=.728+3441290 X$ | $\begin{aligned} & .004 \\ & .081 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0.0 & (1,8) \\ .05 & (1,8) \end{array}$ |
| Hayes | Dafoe | 1. $Y=.130+380698 \mathrm{X}$ <br> 2. $Y=.469+14136 \mathrm{X}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .233 \\ & .061 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .35(1,6) \\ & .02(1,6) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Gods | 1. $Y=.0945+8446860 \mathrm{X}$ <br> 2. $Y=.805+5359870 \mathrm{X}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .011 \\ & .054 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0.0 & (1,6) \\ .02 & (1,6) \end{array}$ |

Continued on page 180

Appendix L (cont'd)

Regressions of change in proportions of large and medium versus catch per unit effort (kg/N.D/F)+
in the Nelson, Churchill and Hayes River watersheds

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Watershed | Lake Name | Linear Regression <br> $(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bx})$ | Correlation <br> Coefficient | Test F <br> Value and |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hayes | Sabomin | 1. $\mathrm{Y}=.256-414151 \mathrm{X}$ <br> 2. $\mathrm{Y}=.723+421529 \mathrm{X}$ | .127 | .117 |

1.) Regression equation for proportions of large versus CUE.
2.) Regression equation for proportions of medium versus CUE.
$+\quad \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{F}$. Kilograms per number of deliveries per fisherman.

## Appendix M

Mean proportions of large and medium lake whitefish proportions in commercial catches from lakes in the Hayes, Churchill and Nelson River watersheds, 1973-1983

## Appendix M

Mean Proportions of large and medium sized lake whitefish in commercial catches from lakes
in the Churchill, Nelson and Hayes River watershed, 1973-1983

| Watershed | Time (year) * | Proportions + |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Large | Medium |
| Hayes | 1973 | 0.260 | 0.729 |
|  | 1974 | 0.115 | 0.879 |
|  | 1975 | 0.168 | 0.828 |
|  | 1976 | 0.303 | 0.685 |
|  | 1977 | 0.274 | 0.687 |
|  | 1978 | 0.288 | 0.687 |
|  | 1979 | 0.245 | 0.720 |
|  | 1980 | 0.170 | 0.807 |
|  | 1981 | 0.031 | 0.968 |
|  | 1982 | 0.012 | 0.987 |
|  | 1983 | 0.170 | 0.780 |
|  | 区 | 0.185 | 0.7896 |
| Churchill | 1973 | 0.171 | 0.681 |
|  | 1974 | 0.200 | 0.773 |
|  | 1975 | 0.160 | 0.756 |
|  | 1976 | 0.052 | 0.917 |
|  | 1977 | 0.131 | 0.811 |
|  | 1978 | 0.124 | 0.763 |
|  | 1979 | 0.225 | 0.711 |
|  | 1980 | 0.095 | 0.631 |
|  | 1981 | 0.093 | 0.592 |
|  | 1982 | 0.122 | 0.133 |
|  | 1983 | 0.212 | 0.606 |
|  | x | 0.144 | 0.667 |
| Nelson | 1973 | 0.172 | 0.676 |
|  | 1974 | 0.172 | 0.563 |
|  | 1975 | 0.144 | 0.657 |
|  | 1976 | 0.188 | 0.654 |
|  | 1977 | 0.170 | 0.575 |
|  | 1978 | 0.160 | 0.633 |
|  | 1979 | 0.268 | 0.555 |
|  | 1980 | 0.246 | 0.567 |
|  | 1981 | 0.190 | 0.572 |
|  | 1982 | 0.089 | 0.418 |
|  | 1981 | 0.090 | 0.489 |
|  | x | 0.172 | 0.578 |

[^0]
## Appendix N

Temporal changes in medium and large classes of lake whitefish in commercial catches from lakes in the Churchill (A), Nelson (B) and Hayes (C) River watersheds

Legend
1 medium classes of lake whitefish
2 large classes of lake whitefish




## Appendix 0

## Concomitant changes in proportions of medium and large size lake whitefish for Churchill (A), Nelson (B) and Hayes (C) River watersheds

Legend
(A) Churchill River watershed
(B) Nelson River watershed
(c) Hayes River watershed


# Appendix P <br> Regressions of proportions of large and medium size <br> lake whitefish for Churchill, Nelson and Hayes watersheds, 1973-1983 

|  | Regression Equation <br> $(Y=A+B X)$ | Correlation <br> Coefficient | Test F <br> vatue at dxf |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hayes | $Y=0.742-.720 \mathrm{X}$ | 0.610 | $504.62(1,9)$ |
| Nelson | $Y=0.742-.720 X$ | 0.610 | $1.38(1,9)+$ |
| Churchill | $Y=.966-1.341 \mathrm{X}$ | 0.826 | $12.85(1,6)$ |

$Y=$ Proportions of medium
$X=$ Proportions of large
$+=$ Non significant at $P=0.05$

## Appendix Q

Fish species composition (kg marketed weight) by lake, 1973-1983

| Lake | Time (year) | Species Composition (kgs) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WF | Walleye | Pike | Lake Trout | Others | Total |
| Armstrong | 1973, 1973/74 | 5,091 | 474 | 214 | - | - | 8,449 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 3,802 | 3,620 | 2,181 | - | 5,544 | 15,148 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 577 | 784 | 587 | 55 | 1,452 | 3,455 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 863 | 2,423 | 739 | - | 2,235 | 6,260 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 362 | 327 | 236 | - | 189 | 1,114 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 4,226 | 2,019 | 1,132 | - | 1,895 | 9,272 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 5,327 | 390 | 1,133 | - | 3,153 | 6,850 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 3,859 | 63 | 1,741 | - | 1,408 | 7,071 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 3,468 | 792 | 973 | - | - | 5,233 |
| Barrington | 1973, 1973/74 | 6,274 | 3,212 | 4,556 | 896 | - | 14,938 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 1,999 | 2,578 | 919 | 179 | - | 6,171 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 5,260 | 4,672 | 2,662 | 192 | - | 12,800 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 15,023 | 3,849 | 2,742 | 2,638 | - | 24,284 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 9,889 | 4,533 | 1,891 | 1,227 | - | 17,540 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 14,044 | 3,503 | 5,314 | 3,962 | - | 26,822 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 13,063 | 3,338 | 2,400 | 2,516 | - | 21,317 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 6,482 | 1,433 | 7,395 | 138 | - | 15,448 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 2,115 | 768 | 4,292 | 30 | - | 7,204 |
|  | 1982 | 8,299 | 4,240 | 5,626 | 1,568 | - | 15,571 |

Continued on page 192

Species Composition (kgs)

| Lake | Time (year) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Butterfly | 1973, 1973/74 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 |
|  | 1982 |
| Bruneau | 1973, 1973/74 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 |
|  | 1981 |
|  | 1982 |
| Cedar | 1973, 1973/74 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 |
|  | 1977 |

Continued on page 193

| Lake | Time (year) | Species Composition (kgs) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WF | Walleye | Pike | Lake Trout | Others | Total |
| Cedar (cont'd) | 1978, 1978/79 | 168,708 | 113,337 | 288,405 | - | 198,597 | 765,047.6 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 152,848 | 184,646 | 300,755 | - | 157,447 | 795,695 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 132,877 | 235,815 | 279,495 | - | 181,818 | 830,006 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 59,840 | 364,754 | 174,239 | 50 | 134,395 | 733,229.9 |
|  | 1982 | 57,200 | 406,867 | 193,867 | - | 36,915 | 763,328 |
| Cormorant | 1973, 1973/74 | 7,585 | 7,399 | 187 | - | - | 16,856 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 3,038 | 7,188 | 2,067 | - | 3,383 | 15,660 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 335 | 4,881 | 2,506 | - | 449 | 8,163 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 1,771 | 7,229 | 1,883 | - | 325 | 11,207 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 648 | 6,267 | 3,640 | - | 727 | 11,287 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 6,183 | 2,334 | 3,129 | - | - | 11,647 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 13,748 | 3,128 | 4,645 | - | - | 23,333.8 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 14,7701 | 6,283 | 3,706 | - | 3,426 | 28,185.8 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 1,788 | 5,457 | 4,538 | - | 5,585 | 17,368 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 3,265 | 7,346 | 8,008 | - | 17,199 | 35,818 |
| Dafoe | 1973, 1973/74 | 652 | 110 | 557 | - | 416 | 1,734 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 1,466.7 | 2,168 | 1,789 | - | 898 | 6,322 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 2,972 | 2,165 | 510 | - | - | 5,649 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 3,438 | 1,879 | 2,041 | - | 2,595 | 9,855 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 2,842 | 644 | 1,696 | - | 2,978 | 8,160 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 3,473 | 1,631 | 737 | - | 1,026 | 6,868 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | - | - | - |  | - |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 108 | 33 | 145 | - | 118 | 404 |

```
Appendix Q (cont'd)
```

| Lake | Time (year) | Species Composition (kgs) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WF | Walleye | Pike | Lake Trout | Others | Total |
| Granville | 1973, 1973/74 | 13,583 | 9,941 | 1,083 | - | - | 24,607 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 7,180 | 1,806 | 2,810 | - | 12 | 11,809 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 16,020 | 6,202 | 1,444 | - | - | 23,673 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 32,188 | 24,333 | 7,344 | - | 64 | 63,865 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 476,829 | 17,293 | 13,137 | - | 17 | 78,137 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 16,150 | 11,276 | 14,991 | - | - | 42,426 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 29,949 | 14,223 | 21,699 | - | 3,294 | 69,165 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 15,278 | 12,349 | 18,278 | - | 2,272 | 48,182 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 13,127 | 23,040 | 13,333 | - | 2,239 | 51,744 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 22,486 | 22,458 | 44,596 | - | 160 | 89,700 |
| Guthrie | 1973, 1973/74 | 1,052 | 7,284 | 3,356 | - | - | 11,692 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 2,553 | 5,580 | 3,174 | - | - | 11,295 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 3,253 | 5,937 | 2,203 | - | 3,208 | 14,586 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 8,979 | 3,157 | 683 | - | 834 | 13,652 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 8,988 | 1,520 | 686 | - | 5,728 | 16,921 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 1,089 | 4,454 | 5,634 | - | 4,409 | 15,586 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 434 | 5,702 | 4,504 | - | 3,955 | 14,595 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 4,020 | 4,264 | 3,395 | - | 1,116 | 12,796 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 4,915 | 3,151 | 1,643 | - | - | 9,709 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 196 | 1,602 | 3,321 | - | - | 5,1190 |
| Halfway | 1973, 1973/74 | 12,852 | - | 1,292 | - | 17,357 | 31,500 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 9,834 | - | 986 | - | 17,357 | 28,177 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 1,873 | - | 827 | - | 12,906 | 15,606 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 3,174 | - | 3,307 | - | 9,998 | 26,452 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 4,990 | - | 3,065 | - | 7,835 | 16,226 |


| Lake | Time (year) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Halfway (cont'd) | 1978, 1978/79 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 |
| Herblet | 1973, 1973/74 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 |
|  | 1982 |
| Kipahigan | 1973, 1973/74 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 |
|  | 1982 |


| WF | Walleye | Pike | Lake Trout | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 723 | 13 | 1,561 | - | 1,095 | 3,392 |
| 3,894 | - | 2,543 | - | 9,218 | 15,656 |
| 9,052 | - | 2,266 | - | 10,608 | 16,131 |
| 5,229 | - | 1,309 | - | 5,473 | 12,080 |
| 10,548 | - | 658 | - | 3,292 | 14,449 |
| 3,803 | - | 1,546 | - | - | 5,349 |
| 3,895 | - | 1,285 | - | - | 5,179 |
| 5,829 | - | 1,664 | - | - | 8,119 |
| 8,014 | - | 1,436 | - | - | 9,450 |
| 9,896 | - | 5,359 | - | - | 15,255 |
| 8,248 | - | 2,930 | - | - | 11,178 |
| 5,348 | - | 3,876 | - | - | 9,224 |
| 7,359 | - | 2,499 | - | - | 9,858 |
| 12,692 | - | 1,339 | - | - | 14,031 |
| 63 | - | 35 | - | - | 98 |
| 12,742 | 16,921 | 4,869 | - | - | 34,533 |
| 14,516 | 10,529 | 4,290 | - | 13,996 | 43,331 |
| 13,026 | 8,967 | 2,238 | - | 7,147 | 31,463 |
| 22,013 | 5,032 | 1,299 | - | 4,123 | 32,468 |
| 18,735 | 11,423 | 1441 | - | 222 | 31,820 |
| 15,544 | 7,629 | 868 | - | - | 24,041 |
| 5,947 | 3,723 | 8,725 | - | 5,361 | 17,756 |
| - | 17,080 | 1,819 | - | 313 | 19,212 |
| 2,445 | 12,316 | 1,132 | - | 10 | 15,903 |
| 5,992 | 11,190 | 2,442 | - | - | 19,624 |

Continued on page 196

| Lake | TYme (year) | Species Composition (kgs) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WF | Walleye | Pike | Lake Trout | Others | Total |
| Kiski | 1973, 1973/74 | 383 | 3,408 | 1,460 | - | 525 | 5,251 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 454 | 3,195 | 1,598 | - | 2,310 | 7,572 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 119 | 3,624 | 1,334 | - | 358 | 5,426 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 48 | 3,082 | 231 | - | 51 | 3,394 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 159 | 3,409 | 1,222 | - | 2 | 4,944 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 324 | 2,574 | 682 | - | 4 | 3,761 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 168 | 1,399 | 236 | - | 4,861 | 6,664 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | - | - | - | - |  | - |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 341 | 831 | 308 | - | 1,431 | 2,910 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | - | - | - |  | , |
| Kisseynew | 1973, 1973/74 | 1,298 | 11,621 | 2,809 | - | 5,555 | 21,284 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 3,017 | 6,159 | 3,702 | - | 18,229 | 31,107 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 8,220 | 4,862 | 2,525 | - | 11,283 | 26,863 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 4,152 | 6,823 | 4,991 | - | 3,509 | 19,494 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 14,486 | 1,722 | 642 | - | 2,353 | 19,203 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 13,620 | 6,470 | 4,864 | - | 11,385 | 36,339 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 9,684 | 7,257 | 2,992 | - | 10,949 | 30,881 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 2,887 | 5,766 | 4,460 | - | 10,554 | 23,668 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 10,049 | 4,643 | 2,773 | - | - | 17,465 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 7,755 | 6,939 | 5,585 | - | 11,724 | 31,575 |
| Landing | 1973, 1973/74 | 10,536 | 7,608 | 5,832 | - | - | 23,995 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 11,705 | 6,374 | 4,605 | - | - | 22,684 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 7,812 | 10,220 | 2,911 | - | - | 20,944 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 11,616 | 7,787 | 1,291 | - | 465 | 21,159 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 14,462 | 6,045 | - | - | - | 20,506 |

[^1]| Lake | Time (year) | Species Composition (kgs) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WF | Walleye | Pike | Lake Trout | Others | Total |
| Landing (cont'd) | 1978, 1978/79 | 4,682 | 2,558 | 1,072 | - | 137 | 962 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 10,598 | 5,311 | 2,658 | - | 221 | 18,789 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 3,088 | 856 | 2,963 | - | 715 | 7,622 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 3,475 | 5,462 | 5,463 | - | 1,193 | 15,613 |
|  | 1982 | 12,570 | 8,035 | 7,563 | - | 2,720 | 30,888 |
| Natavahunan | 1973, 1973/74 | 268 | 10 | - | - | - | 278 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 4,705 | 4,040 | 1,159 | - | - | 9,903 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 3,306 | 1,716 | 737 | - | - | 5,759 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 3,913 | 513 | 238 | - | - | 4,664 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 3,891 | 2,508 | 813 | - | - | 7,213 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - |  | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 7,543 | 4,377 | 1,438 | - | 431 | 13,791 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 5,824 | 6,022 | 3,173 | - | 46 | 15,065 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 1,837 | 7,193 | 1,822 | - | , | 10,858 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 4,222 | 7,390 | 2,127 | - | 42 | 13,781 |
| Pakwa | 1973, 1973/74 | 10,624 | 1,982 | 1,433 | - | 14 | 14,053 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 10,849 | 2,212 | 1,269 | - | 1,936 | 16,266 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 7,104 | 5,247 | 1,780 | - | 1,362 | 15,477 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 7,021 | 4,384 | 1,278 | - | 3,494 | 16,178 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 1,566 | 5,553 | 3,312 | - | 4,302 | 14,734 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 5,905 | 1,978 | 1,503 | - | 4,080 | 11,237 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 3,595 | 1,355 | 1,123 | - | 1,648 | 7,721 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 7,229 | 640 | 683 | - | 4,843 | 13,391 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 5,309 | 3,332 | 1,725 | - | 3,635 | 14,001 |
|  | 1982 | 5,633 | 2,200 | 1,790 | - | 4,525 | 14,148 |

Contimued on page 198

| Lake | Time (year) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Patridge Crop | 1973, 1973/74 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 |
|  | 1982 |
| Playgreen | 1973, 1973/74 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 |
| Sabomin | 1973, 1973/74 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 |


| WF | Walleye | Pike | Lake Trout | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6,386 | 844 | 1,128 | - | - | 8,358 |
| 8,154 | 2,421 | 1,970 | - | - | 12,545 |
| 7,470 | 2,583 | 2,418 | - | 272 | 12,726 |
| 5,947 | 1,571 | 1,562 | - | - | 9,080 |
| 6,917 | 1,184 | 1,156 | - | - | 9,261 |
| 1,134 | 692 | 840 | - | - | 2,666 |
| 1,310 | 1,211 | 604 | - | - | 3,129 |
| 1,025 | 2,204 | 670 | - | - | 3,913 |
| 898 | 1,055 | 724 | - | - | 2,723 |
| 1,543 | 1,518 | 1,431 | - | - | 4,531 |
| 155,341 | 11,398 | 9,192 | - | 7,537 | 183,835 |
| 146,774 | 20,106 | 13,891 | - | 1,827 | 182,782 |
| 158,562 | 13,906 | 5,780 | - | 2,528 | 180,595 |
| 172,819 | 12,695 | 11,262 | - | 8,191 | 204,762 |
| 185,751 | 15,175 | 4,390 | - | 1,768 | 207,084 |
| 205,322 | 10,106 | 8,041 | - | 651 | 224,127 |
| 177,207 | 23,440 | 19,473 | - | - | 220,120 |
| 200,178 | 28,434 | 20,219 | - | 3,499 | 252,334 |
| 176,429 | 44,591 | 32,993 | - | 557 | 254,509 |
| 164,540 | 56,675 | 27,720 | - | 629 | 249,764 |
| 1,485 | 680 | 246 | - | - | 2,411.0 |
| 2,427 | 610 | 279 | - | - | 3,316 |
| 614 | 302 | 254 | - | - | 1,170 |
| 673 | 905 | 64 | - | - | 1,642 |
| 1,347 | 27 | 5 | - | 13 | 1,391 |


| Lake | Time (year) | Species Composition (kgs) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WF | Walleye | Pike | Lake Trout | Others | Total |
| Sabomin (cont'd) | 1978, 1978/79 | 3,172 | 112 | 50 | - | 23 | 3,357 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 4,410 | 607 | - | - | - | 5,071 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 3,422 | 34 | 100 | - | - | 3,557 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 3,499 | 2,190 | 409 | - | 1,907 | 8,005 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 3,313 | 188 | 7 | - | 127 | 3,635 |
| Northern Indian | 1973, 1973/74 | 39,846 | 1,065 | - | 41 | - | 40,952 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 5,605 | - | 138 | - | - | 5,743 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 179,972 | 5,300 | 44,705 | 231 | 31 | 230,439 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 34,177 | 2,434 | 11,074 | 48 | - | 47,733 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 37,628 | 2,097 | 3,374 | 26 | 5 | 43,730 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 3,300 | 22 | 1,010 | 58 | - | 4,390 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 35,728 | 232 | 1,614 | 111 | 23 | 37,709 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 20,200 | 24 | 587 | - | 98 | 21,615 |
|  | 1982 | 9,048 | 4,531 | 11,823 | - | 482 | 25,884 |
| Opachuanau | 1973, 1973/74 | 5,397 | 7,510 | 2,084 | - | - | 14,991 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - |  | - | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 6,013 | 8,621 | 706 | - | - | 15,340 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 7,600 | 9,775 | 2,947 | - | - | 20,322 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 13,430 | 3,895 | 1,349 | - | - | 18,674 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 15,188 | 5,989 | 4,340 | - | 115 | 25,632 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 4,912 | 2,195 | 1,394 | - | 704 | 9,204 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 8,768 | 3,251 | 4,593 | - | 1,266 | 17,880 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 6,259 | 6,485 | 4,694 | - | 1,523 | 18,959 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 14,519 | 9,811 | 9,200 | - | 1,834 | 35,364 |

```
Appendix Q (cont'd)
```

| Lake | Time (year) | Species Composition (kgs) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WF | Walleye | Pike | Lake Trout | Others | Total |
| Setting | 1973, 1973/74 | 16,496 | 4,246 | 2,427 | - | 163 | 23,332 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 11,192 | 8,117 | 3,425 | - | 4,234 | 26,968 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 12,886 | 8,427 | 1,907 | - | 7,566 | 30,754 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 10,567 | 9,126 | 3,426 | - | 8,890 | 32,021 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 9,574 | 8,617 | 4,302 | - | 10,366 | 32,864 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 12,572 | 5,992 | 5,208 | - | 7,098 | 30,889 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 11,130 | 6,098 | 6,195 | - | 18,326 | 40,750 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 710 | 2,895 | 4,228 | - | 1,241 | 9,072 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 3,101 | 3,438 | 2,806 | - | 493 | 9,839 |
|  | 1982 | 12 | 3,712 | 2,858 | - | - | 6,583 |
| Sipiwesk | 1973, 1973/74 | 13,428 | 1,770 | 3,010 | 37 | - | 18,245 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 11,988 | 23,131 | 11,830 | 581 | 5,281 | 52,812 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 13,982 | 23,568 | 9,215 | 1,695 | 4,555 | 52,962 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 13,199 | - |  | 696 | 1,578 | 15,473 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 6,058 | - | 623 | 268 | 210 | 7,130 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 14,801 | 8,579 | 1,680 | 819 | 351 | 48,390 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 11,819 | 19,550 | 10,893 | 2,634 | 7,880 | 52,784 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 20,126 | 13,908 | 20,210 | 3,263 | 12,437 | 70,005 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 18,307 | 34,046 | 29,923 | 3,043 | 6,961 | 92,283 |
|  | 1982 | 8,216 | 20,991 | 14,118 | 1,328 | 4,146 | 48,799 |
| Sisipuk | 1973, 1973/74 | 2,243 | 9,309 | 3,108 | - | - | 14,659 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 2,147 | 972 | 573 | - | - | 3,696 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 5,404 | 7,875 | 4,498 | - | - | 17,777 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 78 | 836 | 1,820 | - | - | 2,735 |

Continued on page 201

| Lake | Time (year) | Species Composition (kgs) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WF | Walleye | Pike | Lake Trout | Others | Total |
| Sisipuk (cont'd) | 1978, 1978/79 | 2,012 | 20,552 | 2,745 | - | - | 25,310 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 2,533 | 6,662 | 2,440 | - | - | 11,637 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 4,819 | 11,585 | 13,990 | - | - | 32,583 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 4,767 | 15,248 | 20,619 | - | - | 40,746 |
|  | 1982 | 2,520 | 15,610 | 16,630 | - | - | 34,868 |
| Southern Indian | 1973, 1973/74 | 319,086 | 12,880 | 11,850 | - | - | 343,816 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 275,593 | 8,255 | 5,792 | - | - | 289,641 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 349,928 | 63,144 | 23,379 | - | - | 436,451 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 359,601 | 37,069 | 34,239 | 66 | 84 | 431,059 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 331,854 | 18,940 | 36,878 | 33 | 5 | 287,710 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 357,247 | 16,739 | 57,325 | 20 | 12,339 | 443,669 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 354,195 | 11,913 | 25,212 | - | 7,376 | 398,700 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 256,773 | 18,615 | 26,275 | - | 11,566 | 315,171 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 96,457 | 16,820 | 10,329 | - | 778 | 131,437 |
| St. Martin | 1973, 1973/74 | 47,212 | 11,803 | 23,699 | - | 10,223 | 92,937 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 29,856 | 38,749 | 42,863 | - | 21,232 | 132,702 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 31,352 | 61,549 | 28,029 | - | 28,029 | 148,959 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 104,427 | 53,674 | 48,733 | - | 17,742 | 224,959 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 112,356 | 50,401 | 28,127 | - | 8,189 | 199,075 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 176,920 | 73,601 | 32,422 | - | 11,106 | 294,050 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 338,895 | 46,615 | 41,855 | - | 40,729 | 468,094 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 273,491 | 60,679 | 39,264 | - | 27,429 | 400,863 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 69,830 | 13,632 | 18,222 | - | 22,859 | 124,543 |
|  | 1982 | 86,717 | 10,183 | 6,841 | - | 18,963 | 122,704 |


| Lake | Time (year) | Species Composition (kgs) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WF | Walleye | Pike | Lake Trout | Others | Total |
| Walker | 1973, 1973/74 | 7,787 | 21,160 | - | - | - | 28,947 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 12,547 | 12,103 | - | - | - | 24,650 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 1,750 | 1,304 | 351 | - | - | 3,405 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 5,532 | 3,270 | 1,386 | - | - | 10,188 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 9,418 | 4,007 | 11,751 | - | - | 25,256 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 11,348 | 10,914 | 3,381 | - | 104 | 25,748 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 21,151 | 15,201 | 12,003 | - | 48 | 48,402 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | 27,114 | 23,111 | - | 48 | 50,273 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | - | 12,978 | 8,413 | - | 11 | 21,402 |
| hekusko | 1973, 1973/74 | 20,003 | 10,485 | 9,567 | - | 8,262 | 48,317 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 41,390 | 3,562 | 9,948 | - | 7,799 | 61,410 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 33,710 | 9,478 | 11,508 | - | 12,968 | 67,693 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 29,560 | 12,054 | 20,270 | - | 14,895 | 76,780 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 27,469 | 12,498 | 16,517 | - | 10,772 | 67,255 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 39,363 | 6,067 | 10,943 | - | 3,135 | 59,507 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 35,228 | 10,652 | 12,533 | - | 31,437 | 89,851 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 25,462 | 14,371 | 18,574 | - | 1,950 | 60,358 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 20,443 | 14,856 | 18,839 | - | 7,119 | 61,450 |
|  | 1982 | 37,098 | 2,712 | 17,007 | - | 207 | 57,024 |
| William | 1973, 1973/74 | 44,717 | - | - | - | - | 44,717 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 49,072 | - | 245 | - | - | 49,319 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 22,573 | - | 23 | - | - | 22,596 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 28,913 | - | 410 | - | - | 29,323 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 46,919 | - | 338 | - | - | 47,257 |


| Lake | Time (year) | Species Composition (kgs) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WF | Walleye | Pike | Lake Trout | Others | Total |
| William (cont'd) | 1978, 1978/79 | 46,130 | - | - | - | - | 46,130 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 49,685 | - | 181 | - | - | 49,866 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 66,635 | - | - | - | - | 66,635 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 36,983 | - | 27 | - | - | 37,010 |
|  | 1982 | 47,315 | - | 642 | - | - | 47,957 |
| Wintering | 1973, 1973/74 | 10,120 | 7,467 | 4,106 | - | - | 22,193 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 9,190 | 8,378 | 4,572 | - | 1,091 | 23,230 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 6,286 | 13,084 | 2,031 | - | 1, | 21,380 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 5,044 | 10,637 | 354 | - | 1,681 | 17,699 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 6,769 | 9,540 | - | - | - | 16,393 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 3,761 | 2,484 | 32 | - | - | 6,276 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 1,258 | 1,194 | 70 | - | - | 2,523 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 8,456 | 41 | 357 | - | 2,861 | 11,716 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 2,761 | 2,148 | 5,086 | - | 11,604 | 40,932 |
|  | 1982 | 5,662 | 9,986 | 4,132 | - | 16,184 | 35,964 |
| Witchai | 1973, 1973/74 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 3,700 | 463 | 557 | - | - | 4,719 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 8,283 | 1,055 | - | - | - | 9,338 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 11,112 | 320 | - | - | - | 11,432 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 8,780 | 819 | 131 | - | 8 | 9,738 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 7,346 | 1,043 | 195 | - | 5 | 8,589 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 4,708 | 443 | 139 | - | - | 5,371 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 10,034 | 937 | 172 | - | 43 | 11,193 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 8,528 | 6 | 1 | - | - | 8,535 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 9,798 | 206 | 257 | - | - | 10,261 |

Continued on page 204

## Appendix Q (cont'd)

| Lake | Time (year) | Species Composition (kgs) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WF | Walleye | Pike | Lake Trout | Others | Total |
| Walker | 1973, 1973/74 | 4,738 | 6,392 | 204 | - | - | 11,334 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 15,354 | 1,823 | 17 | - | - | 17,194 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 8,521 | 7,729 | - | - | 404 | 15,549 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | 8,950 | 2,524 | 291 | - | 187 | 11,950 |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 12,877 | 2,539 | 197 | - | 39 | 15,654 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | 16,837 | 704 | 569 | - | 30 | 18,206 |
|  | 1982, 1982/83 | 6,315 | 805 | 1,714 | - | 36 | 8,870 |
| Yawningstone | 1973, 1973/74 | 109 | 1,304 | 560 | - | - | 2,012 |
|  | 1974, 1974/75 | 158 | 315 | 231 | - | - | 703 |
|  | 1975, 1975/76 | 146 | 429 | 227 | - | - | 802 |
|  | 1976, 1976/77 | 1,976 | 1,583 | 845 | - | 441 | 4,410 |
|  | 1977, 1977/78 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1978, 1978/79 | 119 | 20 | 79 | - | - | 193 |
|  | 1979, 1979/80 | 524 | 310 | 610 | - | 1,653 | 3,099 |
|  | 1980, 1980/81 | 389 | 59 | 124 | - | - | 572 |
|  | 1981, 1981/82 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1982 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Appendix R
Temporal changes in total yield (kg) (marketed weight)
by species by lake, 1973-1983
Legend

- Total yield
- Yield of lake whitefish
- Yield of walleye
* Yield of pike
o Yield of other species


## List of Lakes in Appendix $R$

| 1. | Sipiwesk | 17. | Kisseynew |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | Granville | 18. | St. Martin |
| 3. | Kiski | 19. | Walker |
| 4. | Butterfly | 20. | Kipahigan |
| 5. | Dafoe | 21. | Cedar |
| 6. | Sabomin | 22. | Wekusko |
| 7. | Pakwa | 23. | William |
| 8. | Guthrie | 24. | Sisipuk |
| 9. | Herblet | 25. | Setting |
| 10. | Natawahunan | 26. | South Indian |
| 11. | Armstrong | 27. | Barrington |
| 12. | Patridge Crop | 28. | Hal fway |
| 13. | Cormorant | 29. | Landing |
| 14. | Opachuanau | 30. | Witchai |
| 15. | Bruneau | 31. | North Indian |
| 16. | Yawningstone | 32. | Playgreen |
|  |  | 33. | Gods |








## Appendix S

Temporal changes in catch/number of deliveries (kg/N.D) for all lakes, 1973-1983

## List of Lakes in Appendix $S$

1. Halfway
2. Patridge Crop
3. Bruneau
4. Sabomin
5. Dafoe
6. Kisseynew
7. Sipiwesk
8. Cedar
9. Kiski
10. Armstrong
11. Granville
12. St. Martin
13. Cormorant
14. William
15. Herblet
16. Barrington
17. Kipahigan
18. Wekusko
19. Opachuanau
20. Gods
21. Yawningstone
22. South Indian
23. Playgreen
24. Pakwa
25. Wuskwatim
26. Natawahunan
27. Wintering
28. Sisipuk
29. Walker
30. Witchai
31. Guthrie
32. Setting
33. Butterfly
34. North Indian
35. Landing








YEAR



## Appendix T

Mean flow rates ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ ) for the month of May and mean annual yield ( kg ) for the Churchill, Hayes and Nelson watersheds for the period 1973-1983

Yield $=f$ (flow rate) 5 year lag*

| Watershed | Time (Year) | ```Mean Flow Rate for May (m}\mp@subsup{}{}{3}\mp@subsup{\textrm{sec}}{}{-1}``` | ```Mean Annual Yield (kg)``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Churchill | 1973 | 985 | 15,664 |
|  | 1974 | 1,190 | 18,967 |
|  | 1975 | 1,180 | 76,761 |
|  | 1976 | 885 | 128,885 |
|  | 1977 | 1,220 | 117,754 |
|  | 1978 | 979 | 98,505 |
|  | 1979 | 963 | 100,618 |
|  | 1980 | 1,010 | 99,909 |
|  | 1981 | 888 | 81,234 |
|  | 1982 | 783 | 67,172 |
|  | 1983 | 783 | 44,374 |
| Hayes | 1973 | - | 2,805 |
|  | 1974 | 1,250 | 85,468 |
|  | 1975 | 1,320 | 55,702 |
|  | 1976 | - | 20,935 |
|  | 1977 | 650 | 32,891 |
|  | 1978 | 1,210 | 28,514 |
|  | 1979 | 1,360 | 22,499 |
|  | 1980 | 1,020 | 36,817 |
|  | 1981 | 1,390 | 9,653 |
|  | 1982 | 1,880 | 31,784 |
|  | 1983 | 1,450 | 6,710 |
| Nelson | 1973 | 2,400 | 58,451 |
|  | 1974 | 3,330 | 65,847 |
|  | 1975 | 3,380 | 60,915 |
|  | 1976 | 3,150 | 67,959 |
|  | 1977 | 922 | 77,214 |
|  | 1978 | 1,680 | 90,465 |

## Appendix $T$ (cont'd)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mean flow rates }\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}\right) \text { for the month of May } \\
& \text { and mean annual yield }(\mathrm{kg}) \text { for the Churchill, Hayes and } \\
& \text { Nelson watersheds for the period 1973-1983 } \\
& \text { Yield }=\mathrm{f} \text { (flow rate) } 5 \text { year lag* }
\end{aligned}
$$

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Watershed | Time (Year) | Mean Flow Rate <br> for May <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}\right)$ | Mean Annual <br> Yield <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ |
| Nelson |  |  |  |
|  | 1979 | 3,490 | 98,916 |
|  | 1980 | 1,160 | 101,213 |
|  | 1981 | 1,040 | 92,653 |
|  | 1982 | 2,160 | 65,589 |
|  |  |  |  |

* 1973-1978 Mean May flow rates were plotted against 1978-1983 Mean annual yield (see Figure 8).


## Appendix U

Regressions of mean flow rates for the month of May versus mean annual catches ( kg ) of all lakes in each watershed per year, with a 5-year lag

|  | Linear Regression <br> $(Y=a+b x)$ | Correlation <br> Coefficient | Test F Value <br> and d.f. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Nelson | $Y=46185.458+15.741 \mathrm{X}$ | .9333 | $26.71(1,4)$ |
| Churchill | $Y=36905.223+41.991 X$ | .260 | $.29(1,4)+$ |
| Hayes | $Y=6725.827+26.942 X$ | .851 | $5.25(1,2)$ |

+ Non-significant at $\mathrm{P}=0.05$


## Appendix V

Temporal changes in fishing effort (N.D/F) for individual lakes, 1973-1983

## List of Lakes in Appendix V

1. Armstrong
2. Bruneau
3. Butterfly
4. Cormorant
5. Gods
6. Guthrie
7. Halfway
8. North Indian
9. Kiski
10. Opachuanau
11. Playgreen
12. Sabomin
13. Sisipuk
14. Cedar
15. Witchai
16. Wuskwatim
17. Yawningstone
18. St. Martin
19. South Indian
20. Kisseynew
21. Kipahigan
22. Natawahunan
23. Patridge Crop
24. Pawka
25. Dafoe
26. Wekusko
27. Wintering
28. Setting
29. Sipiwesk
30. Walker
31. William
32. Herblet
33. Landing
34. Barrington
35. Granville







## Appendix W

Temporal changes in CUE (kg/N.D/F)
in individual lakes, 1973-1983

## List of Lakes in Appendix $W$

1. Butterfly
2. Bruneau
3. Herblet
4. Natawahunan
5. Wekusko
6. Wintering
7. Barrington
8. Pakwa
9. Kiski
10. Cormorant
11. Halfway
12. Guthrie
13. Wuskwatim
14. Yawningstone
15. Witchai
16. Armstrong
17. Setting
18. Barrington
19. Gods
20. Landing
21. William
22. South Indian
23. Cedar
24. Kipahigan
25. Granville
26. Opachuanau
27. Sipiwesk
28. North Indian
29. Walker
30. Sisipuk
31. Kisseynew
32. St. Martin


















## Appendix X

Examples of concomitant changes
in lake whitefish and walleye, 1973-1983

Legend
(A) Yield of lake whitefish
(B) Yield of walleye

## List of Lakes in Appendix $X$

1. Armstrong
2. Butterfly
3. Cedar
4. Granville
5. Sisipuk
6. South Indian


## Appendix Y

Regressions of lake whitefish and walleye yields,
$1973-1983$

| Lake | Linear Regression $(Y=a+b x)$ | Correlation Coefficient | Test $F$ Value and d.f. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armstrong | 1. $\mathrm{Y}=-4920.246+102.947 \mathrm{X}$ | . 170 | . 21 (1,7) |
|  | 2. $Y=13520.595-158.730 \mathrm{X}$ | . 423 | $1.52(1,7)$ |
| Granville | 1. $\mathrm{Y}=228367.6364-2117.273 \mathrm{X}$ | . 044 | . 02 (1,8) |
|  | 2. $Y=105799.5515+1549.570 X$ | . 626 | $5.14(1,8)$ |
| South Indian | 1. $\mathrm{Y}=1227835.558-11966.621 \mathrm{X}$ | $\mathrm{X} \quad .419$ | 1.49 (1, 7) |

1. Regression equation for lake whitefish over time.
2. Regression equation for walleye over time.

## Appendix Z

Initial price per pound (\$/lb.) for lake whitefish and walleye, 1973-1983

| Species | Size |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Category |  |  |  |  |

Lake
Whitefish

| Export: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Small | . 15 | . 18 | . 22 | . 23 | . 25 | . 18 | . 28 | . 30 | . 30 | . 27 | . 25 |
| Medium | . 26 | . 27 | . 31 | . 35 | . 37 | . 30 | . 38 | . 40 | . 40 | . 37 | . 39 |
| Large | . 35 | . 37 | . 41 | . 45 | . 47 | . 40 | . 48 | . 48 | . 48 | . 42 | . 38 |
| Jumbo | . 40 | . 42 | . 46 | . 55 | . 57 | . 50 | . 58 | . 55 | . 55 | . 47 | . 39 |
| X | . 29 | . 31 | . 35 | . 40 | . 42 | . 35 | . 43 | . 43 | . 43 | . 38 | . 35 |
| Continental: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small | . 12 | . 15 | . 18 | . 19 | . 19 | . 15 | . 21 | . 26 | . 26 | . 30 | . 25 |
| Medium | . 22 | . 24 | . 27 | . 29 | . 29 | . 25 | . 31 | . 31 | . 31 | . 30 | . 28 |
| Large | . 26 | . 27 | . 30 | . 29 | . 29 | . 25 | . 31 | . 31 | . 31 | . 30 | . 28 |
| Jumbo | . 30 | . 32 | . 35 | . 39 | . 39 | . 35 | . 41 | . 36 | . 31 | . 30 | . 28 |
| X | . 23 | . 25 | . 28 | . 29 | . 29 | . 25 | . 31 | . 31 | . 31 | . 30 | . 27 |
| Cutter: | . 15 | . 15 | . 16 | . 16 | . 10 | . 13 | . 20 | . 20 | . 20 | . 22 | . 22 |

Walleye

| Dressed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\quad$ Small | .41 | .41 | .44 | .61 | .73 | .62 | .62 | .63 | .97 | .76 | .71 |
| $\quad$ Medium | .46 | .46 | .49 | .61 | .73 | .62 | .78 | .77 | 1.17 | .82 | .77 |
| Large | .46 | .46 | .49 | .61 | .73 | .62 | .83 | .77 | 1.17 | .82 | .71 |
| X | .44 | .44 | .47 | .61 | .73 | .62 | .74 | .72 | 1.10 | .80 | .73 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headless and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ dressed: | .44 | .44 | .47 | .71 | .85 | .72 | .80 | .80 | 1.14 | .85 | .88 |
| $\quad$ Small | .51 | .51 | .54 | .71 | .85 | .72 | .90 | .98 | 1.44 | 1.00 | .95 |
| Medium | .51 | .51 | .54 | .71 | .85 | .72 | .95 | .98 | 1.44 | 1.00 | .88 |
| Large | .49 | .49 | .50 | .71 | .85 | .72 | .88 | .92 | 1.34 | .98 | .90 |


[^0]:    * $\quad$ Time $=X$
    + Proportions $=Y$

[^1]:    Continued on page 197

