



(from Boonstra et al. 2001)

**Small Mammal Response to Habitat Change Following Fire
in the Taiga of Southeastern Manitoba**

By

Monica Reid-Wong

**A Thesis
Submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of**

MASTER OF SCIENCE

**Department of Zoology
University of Manitoba
Winnipeg, MB**

© January, 2003

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA
FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES

COPYRIGHT PERMISSION PAGE**

**SMALL MAMMAL RESPONSE TO HABITAT CHANGE FOLLOWING FIRE IN THE
TAIGA OF SOUTHEASTERN MANITOBA**

BY

MONICA REID-WONG

A Thesis/Practicum submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies of The University

of Manitoba in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree

of

Master of Science

MONICA REID-WONG © 2003

Permission has been granted to the Library of The University of Manitoba to lend or sell copies of this thesis/practicum, to the National Library of Canada to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film, and to University Microfilm Inc. to publish an abstract of this thesis/practicum.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither this thesis/practicum nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.

Abstract

Small Mammal Response to Habitat Change Following Fire in the Taiga of Southeastern Manitoba

by

Monica Reid-Wong

The influence of fire on small mammal populations was investigated in the taiga of southeastern Manitoba. Small mammals were sampled by annual removal trapping in six different habitats over twenty-five years at Taiga Biological Station (TBS). Changes in temporal patterns of short-term abundance and long-term population synchronicity were investigated for fluctuating numbers of small mammals. The southern red-backed vole (*Clethrionomys gapperi*), the deer mouse (*Peromyscus maniculatus*), and the masked shrew (*Sorex cinereus*), were the three most common small mammals captured.

Examination of population fluctuations revealed that while fire-induced changes in food availability, cover and moisture were likely responsible for differences in small mammal abundance, populations of individual species were alternatively affected by unknown, large-scale, synchronizing influences. This discovery became evident through the common occurrence of similar peak abundance years for *C. gapperi*, regardless of habitat-type or distance between sampling sites. Additionally, the examination of annual combined small mammal biomass revealed a distinct pattern, with a repetitive maxima occurring every 3- to 4- yrs at TBS across all six sites.

The response of small mammal communities to habitat succession was assessed through changes in species richness, diversity and trophic structure over time. Species richness and diversity among the small mammal communities increased during the initial five years following fire, but declined during subsequent years across most sites. The granivore-omnivore, *P. maniculatus*, and to a lesser extent the grazer-omnivore, *C. gapperi*, were very responsive to the recently burned habitat through their rapid increases in numbers on several of the sites. The insectivore, *S. cinereus*, responded to conditions on severely burned sites through a reduction in its numbers across many of the plots, for several years following the fire.

Finally, the influence of biotic and abiotic factors on small mammal distribution and abundance was examined. Individual microhabitat variables surrounding each trapping station were identified and quantified through percent cover estimates to determine if they had an effect on small mammal capture rates. While several microhabitat features appeared to be associated with a particular small mammal species, overall macrohabitat (i.e., the entire area encompassed by the trapping grid) characteristics were better determinants of species presence and abundance.

Acknowledgements

This project became a reality through the encouragement, advice and assistance it received from a variety of sources. I would like to express my gratitude to Dr. W. O. Pruitt, Jr., my primary advisor, for providing both the spark and incentive to study in the boreal forest, and for the opportunity to experience living and working in the taiga of Manitoba. Members of my committee offered helpful advice on the study design and presentation of the project. Help with plant identification was kindly provided by Elizabeth Punter, University of Manitoba. Karen Johnson, Manitoba Museum, offered informative descriptions of the changing landscape around TBS following the 1980 fire. Dennis Murphy, University of Manitoba, provided statistical service and support.

My initiation into field research began with the deposition of Brian my son, Sasha my dog, and me, along with all of our food and equipment at the mouth of the Blind River in late April, by Bill Conley and his ATV. Bill's parting comment after assessing the dubious nature of the river ice (and after providing Brian with a long pole to test the ice before he took another step) was, "good luck lady".

To the many visitors I have had during my time at the station, thank-you for your good company and cheerful help with the myriad of camp chores. To my family, thank-you for your patience and support. Financial assistance for this project was provided by the Taiga Biological Station Research Trust, the Orville Erickson Memorial Scholarship Fund (Canadian Wildlife Federation), and the Richard C. Goulden Memorial Scholarship (Manitoba Chapter of the Wildlife Society).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	i
Acknowledgements.....	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	iv
LIST OF TABLES.....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	x
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	xii
General Introduction.....	1
Thesis background and objectives.....	3
 SECTION I: Patterns in the distribution and abundance of small mammals	
.....	6
Abstract.....	7
Introduction.....	8
Literature review.....	10
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	20
Study area.....	20
Trapping.....	23
Data analysis.....	27
RESULTS.....	29
Trapping summaries.....	29
Species response shortly after the fire.....	36
Key observations from long-term population dynamics.....	37

DISCUSSION.....	51
Trapping summaries.....	51
Species response shortly after the fire	52
Key observations from long-term population dynamics	56
SUMMARY	64
REFERENCES	67

SECTION II: Small mammal community response to habitat change

.....	78
Abstract	79
Introduction.....	80
Literature Review.....	82
MATERIALS AND METHODS	87
Trapping	87
Data analysis	87
RESULTS	96
Relative abundance and biomass.....	96
Species-abundance models	106
Trophic composition and contribution.....	106
Species diversity and similarity.....	108
Species richness and evenness	110
DISCUSSION.....	116
Relative abundance and biomass.....	116
Species-abundance models	124

Trophic composition and contribution	125
Species diversity and similarity	127
Species richness and evenness	131
SUMMARY	134
REFERENCES	136

SECTION III: Factors influencing the local distribution of small mammals

.....	142
Abstract	143
Introduction.....	144
Literature Review.....	146
MATERIALS AND METHODS	155
Vegetation survey and sampling methods.....	155
Comparing habitat variables with rates of capture.....	158
Comparing small mammal responses to trap-type	159
Measuring interspecific association	160
RESULTS (Vegetation data)	165
Comparison of plant surveys	165
Low resolution (macrohabitat) variables and capture rate	165
High resolution (microsite) variables.....	169
Coarse woody debris and small mammals	171
RESULTS (Mammal data)	175
Characteristics of trapped animals	175

Species and gender response to trapping	177
Mean body mass and gender response to trap-type	180
Interspecific associations among the species.....	184
DISCUSSION (Vegetation data)	188
Comparison of plant surveys	188
Low resolution (macrohabitat) variables and small mammals	190
High resolution (microsite) variables and small mammals.....	194
Associations with coarse woody debris	197
DISCUSSION (Mammal data)	201
Trap influence on small mammals.....	201
Mean body mass and gender response to trapping.....	203
Interspecific associations among the species.....	205
Experimental factors affecting captures.....	208
SUMMARY	211
REFERENCES	213
RECOMMENDATIONS.....	219
APPENDICES.....	222

LIST OF TABLES

Section I.

Table 1. Number and diversity of small mammals found at TBS from 1977 through 2001	30
Tables 2a-2c. Percentage of the small mammal species during different time intervals for the ATB, ASP, BSB	32
Tables 2d-2f. Percentage of the small mammal species during different time intervals for the ECO, JPR, JPSP	33
Table 3. Annual biomass totals of combined small mammal species compared across six sites at TBS	34
Table 4. A comparison of <i>Clethrionomys gapperi</i> peak abundance years across regions of Manitoba	35

Section II.

Tables 1a-1b. Small mammal communities by trophic category during different time intervals on the ATB and ASP	111
Tables 1c-1d. Small mammal communities by trophic category during different time intervals on the BSB and ECO.....	112
Tables 1e-1f. Small mammal communities by trophic category during different time intervals on the JPR and JPSP	113
Tables 2a-2b. Jaccard community similarity coefficients for the small mammal species across six habitats	114
Tables 3a-3b. Jaccard community similarity coefficients for the plant species across six habitats	115

Section III.

Tables 1a-1c. Mean percent cover values of 19 habitat variables compared across three categories of capture on the ATB, ASP, BSB	167
Tables 1d-1f. Mean percent cover values of 19 habitat variables compared across three categories of capture on the ECO, JPR, JPSP	168

**Tables 2a-2f. Wilcoxon test results of trap-type response to gender
and median body mass of small mammals across six habitats 183**

**Table 3. Measurements of interspecific association for the small
mammals species at TBS 187**

LIST OF FIGURES

Section I.

Figure 1. The Wallace Lake study area and location of Taiga Biological Station in Manitoba.....	21
Figure 2. Distribution and year of occurrence of fires for the area surrounding Wallace-Aikens Lakes	22
Figure 3. Locations of the six small mammal study plots along the Blind River	24
Figure 4. An example of a trapping grid layout located on the Blackspruce Bog study plot.....	25
Figure 5a. Frequency distribution of small mammals on the ATB plot over time represented as smooth lines.....	38
Figure 5b. Frequency distribution of small mammals on the ASP plot over time represented as smooth lines.....	39
Figure 5c. Frequency distribution of small mammals on the BSB plot over time represented as smooth lines.....	40
Figure 5d. Frequency distribution of small mammals on the ECO plot over time represented as smooth lines.....	41
Figure 5e. Frequency distribution of small mammals on the JPR plot over time represented as smooth lines.....	42
Figure 5f. Frequency distribution of small mammals on the JPSP plot over time represented as smooth lines.....	43
Figure 6a. Comparison of <i>Clethrionomys gapperi</i> distributions across six habitats over time	44
Figure 6b. Comparison of <i>Sorex cinereus</i> distributions across six habitats over time.....	45
Figure 6c. Comparison of <i>Peromyscus maniculatus</i> distributions across six habitats over time.....	46
Figure 6d. Comparison of <i>Peromyscus maniculatus</i> distributions across six habitats without 1983 JPR captures.....	47

Figure 7. Combined small mammal biomass distribution compared across six habitats over twenty-five years.....	48
---	----

Section II.

Figures 1a-1b. Relative abundance of small mammals captured in the ATB and ASP during different time intervals	98
--	----

Figures 1c-1d. Relative abundance of small mammals captured in the BSB and ECO during different time intervals.....	99
---	----

Figures 1e-1f. Relative abundance of small mammals captured in the JPR and JPSP during different time intervals.....	100
--	-----

Figures 2a-2b. Total relative abundances of small mammals captured during different time intervals (with and without sciurids)	101
--	-----

Figure 3. Comparison of biomass totals (with and without sciurids) across six habitats accumulated over time.....	102
---	-----

Figure 4. Whittaker plot of small mammal abundance data with all habitats and species combined.....	103
---	-----

Figure 5. Individual Whittaker plots of small mammal abundance data for six habitats.....	104
---	-----

Figure 6. Species richness (including all small mammal species) compared across six habitats during different time intervals	105
--	-----

Section III.

Figures 1a-1b. Associations between coarse woody debris and capture rate of <i>Clethrionomys</i> and <i>Sorex</i> on the ASP and ECO plots.....	172
---	-----

Figure 2. Locations of <i>Clethrionomys</i> and <i>Sorex</i> capture sites and log distributions across the ASP trapping grid.....	173
--	-----

Figure 3. Locations of <i>Clethrionomys</i> and <i>Sorex</i> capture sites and log distributions across the ECO trapping grid	174
---	-----

LIST OF APPENDICES

Section I.

Table A.1a Summary of all small mammals captured on the ATB	223
Table A.1b Summary of all small mammals captured on the ASP	224
Table A.1c Summary of all small mammals captured on the BSB	225
Table A.1d Summary of all small mammals captured on the ECO	226
Table A.1e Summary of all small mammals captured on the JPR	227
Table A.1f Summary of all small mammals captured on the JPSP	228
Table A.2a Biomass (g) accumulation of small mammals on the ATB.....	229
Table A.2b Biomass (g) accumulation of small mammals on the ASP.....	230
Table A.2c Biomass (g) accumulation of small mammals on the BSB.....	231
Table A.2d Biomass (g) accumulation of small mammals on the ECO	232
Table A.2e Biomass (g) accumulation of small mammals on the JPR.....	233
Table A.2f Biomass (g) accumulation of small mammals on the JPSP	234
Figure A.1a Frequency distribution bars of small mammals on the ATB.....	235
Figure A.1b Frequency distribution bars of small mammals on the ASP	236
Figure A.1c Frequency distribution bars of small mammals on the BSB.....	237
Figure A.1d Frequency distribution bars of small mammals on the ECO.....	238
Figure A.1e Frequency distribution bars of small mammals on the JPR.....	239
Figure A.1f Frequency distribution bars of small mammals on the JPSP.....	240
Appendix A.1 Pre- and post-fire habitat descriptions	241
Appendix A.2 Boreal forest development and history of fire at TBS	264

Section II.

Tables B.1a-b Relative abundance of ATB and ASP small mammals	273
Tables B.1c-d Relative abundance of BSB and ECO small mammals.....	274
Tables B.1e-f Relative abundance of JPR and JPSP small mammals.....	275
Tables B.2a-b Summaries of small mammal distribution and biomass by habitat (with and without sciurids).....	276
Tables B.3a-b Abundance of small mammals during different time intervals on the ATB plot (with and without sciurids).....	277
Tables B.4a-b Abundance of small mammals during different time intervals on the ASP plot (with and without sciurids)	278
Tables B.5a-b Abundance of small mammals during different time intervals on the BSB plot (with and without sciurids)	279
Tables B.6a-b Abundance of small mammals during different time intervals on the ECO plot (with and without sciurids).....	280
Tables B.7a-b Abundance of small mammals during different time intervals on the JPR plot (with and without sciurids).....	281
Tables B.8a-b Abundance of small mammals during different time intervals on the JPSP plot (with and without sciurids).....	282
Tables B.9-10 Shannon Index, species richness, evenness, relative abundance and biomass values compared across six habitats during different time intervals (with and without sciurids)	283

Section III.

Tables C.1a-b Comparison of vegetation surveys on the ATB and ASP	285
Tables C.1c-d Comparison of vegetation surveys on the BSB and ECO.....	286
Tables C.1e-f Comparison of vegetation surveys on the JPR and JPSP.....	287
Table C.2 Field data sheets for the most recent vegetation survey	288
Tables C.3a-d Distribution of median logs found in different capture categories on the ASP and ECO plots.....	296

Tables C.4a-d Distribution of all log sizes found in different capture categories on the ASP and ECO plots.....	298
Table C.5a Individual habitat variables found with different capture rates of <i>Clethrionomys</i> on the ATB	300
Table C.5b Individual habitat variables found with different capture rates of <i>Sorex</i> on the ATB	305
Table C.5c Individual habitat variables found with different capture rates of <i>Clethrionomys</i> on the ASP.....	310
Table C.5d Individual habitat variables found with different capture rates of <i>Sorex</i> on the ASP	315
Table C.5e Individual habitat variables found with different capture rates of <i>Clethrionomys</i> on the BSB.....	320
Table C.5f Individual habitat variables found with different capture rates of <i>Sorex</i> on the BSB.....	325
Table C.5g Individual habitat variables found with different capture rates of <i>Clethrionomys</i> on the ECO	330
Table C.5h Individual habitat variables found with different capture rates of <i>Sorex</i> on the ECO	335
Table C.5i Individual habitat variables found with different capture rates of <i>Clethrionomys</i> on the JPR	340
Table C.5j Individual habitat variables found with different capture rates of <i>Peromyscus</i> on the JPR.....	345
Table C.5k Individual habitat variables found with different capture rates of <i>Clethrionomys</i> on the JPSP	350
Table C.5l Individual habitat variables found with different capture rates of <i>Peromyscus</i> on the JPSP.....	355
Table C.6a Summary of the most common small mammal captures by trap-type and gender on the ATB	360
Table C.6b Summary of the most common small mammal captures by trap-type and gender in the ASP	361
Table C.6c Summary of the most common small mammal captures by	

trap-type and gender in the BSB	362
Table C.6d Summary of the most common small mammal captures by trap-type and gender in the ECO.....	363
Table C.6e Summary of the most common small mammal captures by trap-type and gender in the JPR.....	364
Table C.6f Summary of the most common small mammal captures by trap-type and gender in the JPSP.....	365
Tables C.7a-c Male and female mean body mass compared in two different trap-types on the ATB, ASP, BSB.....	366
Tables C.7d-f Male and female mean body mass compared in two different trap-types on the ECO, JPR, JPSP	367
Table C.8 Chi-square distribution of reproductive female <i>Clethrionomys</i> compared across six sites	368
Tables C.9a-c Frequency of capture-combinations for the most common small mammal species found on the ATB, ASP, BSB	369
Tables C.9d-f Frequency of capture-combinations for the most common small mammal species found on the ECO, JPR, JPSP.....	370
Tables C.10a-d Observed capture-combinations on the ATB.....	371
Tables C.11a-f Conditional tables representing small mammal interspecific associations on the ATB.....	372
Tables C.12a-d Observed capture-combinations on the ASP.....	373
Tables C.13a-f Conditional tables representing small mammal interspecific associations on the ASP.....	374
Tables C.14a-d Observed capture-combinations on the BSB.....	375
Tables C.15a-f Conditional tables representing small mammal interspecific associations on the BSB.....	376
Tables C.16a-d Observed capture-combinations on the ECO	377
Tables C.17a-f Conditional tables representing small mammal interspecific associations on the ECO	378

Tables C.18a-d Observed capture-combinations on the JPR	379
Tables C.19a-f Conditional tables representing small mammal interspecific associations on the JPR	380
Tables C.20a-d Observed capture-combinations on the JPSP	381
Tables C.21a-f Conditional tables representing small mammal interspecific associations on the JPSP	382
Tables C.22a-b Wilcoxon test results of trap-type response with small mammal median body mass on the ATB and ASP	383
Tables C.22c-d Wilcoxon test results of trap-type response with small mammal median body mass on the BSB and ECO	384
Tables C.22e-f Wilcoxon test results of trap-type response with small mammal median body mass on the JPR and JPSP	385
Tables C.23a-c Chi-square test results of male and female small mammals captured in different trap-types on the ATB, ASP, BSB.....	386
Tables C.23d-e Chi-square test results of male and female small mammals captured in different trap-types on the ECO, JPR, JPSP	387
Figure C.1a-b Structural classes for standing dead and fallen trees.....	388
Figure C.2a Cumulative captures of <i>Clethrionomys</i> from each trapping station compared across six habitats.....	389
Figure C.2b Cumulative captures of <i>Sorex</i> from each trapping station compared across six habitats.....	390
Figure C.2c Cumulative captures of <i>Peromyscus</i> from each trapping station compared across six habitats.....	391
Figure C.2d Cumulative captures of <i>Microtus</i> from each trapping station compared across six habitats.....	392
Figure C.2e Cumulative captures of all species (except sciurids) from each trapping station compared across six habitats	393

General Introduction

The boreal forest or taiga forms a broad circumpolar belt between 47° to 70° north latitude encompassing an area of 14.7 million km², approximately 11% of the earth's land surface and about 35% of Canada's land area (Kimmins and Wein 1986; Bonan and Shugart 1989; Bourgeau-Chavez et al. 2000). The North American segment of this circumboreal forest is the most extensive forest ecosystem of our continent and probably the least understood, especially in terms of the effects from perturbations on the structural and compositional complexity of the forest (Schmiegelow et al. 1997). A mixture of coniferous and deciduous tree species, the boreal forest covers an east-west region from Newfoundland, across central and northern Canada, westward to the Rocky Mountains and northwestward to Alaska (Rowe 1972; Scotter 1972). Much of this biome is populated by inherently flammable plant material (Auclair 1983) providing fire an evolutionary opportunity in boreal ecosystem development (Mutch 1970; Rowe 1983).

Fire influences floristic and faunistic diversity through ecological disturbance of the landscape (Rowe and Scotter 1973). Disturbance regimes created by fire operate at different spatial and temporal scales and provide heterogeneity to the environment through the production of stand patches of different age and size, vegetation structure and floristic composition (Heinselman 1970; Morneau and Payette 1989; Payette et al. 1989). Plant and animal species' distribution are often modified by such disturbance regimes (Payette 1992) and many changes may occur in the community ecology of small mammals during

post-fire vegetative succession (Fox 1983). Difficulty arises in any attempt to pinpoint cause and effect relationships between the action of fire and the response of small mammals to habitat change, in part, because of the multitude of variables in the environment that have now been altered (Bendell 1974).

Boreal forest small mammals have evolved in an environment characterized by periodic ecosystem disturbances. Species will respond positively or negatively to habitat change, depending upon whether changes in the physical and biological environment are towards or away from the creature's optima, in terms of its survival requirements (Kirkland 1990).

This thesis investigates the effects of fire on patterns of small mammal abundance, distribution and community composition through time, following the May 1980 forest fire at Taiga Biological Station, Wallace Lake, MB.

Thesis Background and Objectives

Small mammals comprise a significant proportion of the faunal biomass in forest communities (Hamilton and Cook 1940) and are an integral base of the forest consumer food chain (Golley 1960). The small mammal community is an important component of the forest ecosystem in its consumption of primary production and for its contribution to secondary production as producers of animal protein for higher trophic levels (Pruitt 1966; Maser et al. 1978; Rose and Birney 1985).

An advantage of research on small mammals is that their populations can be readily sampled with standard trapping techniques and they provide many different opportunities for measuring species responses to habitat change (Tevis 1956; Ahlgren 1966; Naylor and Bendell 1982; Martell 1984; Clough 1987). Small mammals such as the southern red-backed vole (*Clethrionomys gapperi*) have been used as indicator species for old-growth (stable-aged) forests (Nordyke and Buskirk 1991) and in the assessment of habitat required by animal species classified as *sensitive*. Some of these sensitive species include the northern flying squirrel, *Glaucomys sabrinus* (Payne et al. 1989), the hoary bat, *Lasiurus cinereus* (Franklin et al. 1981) and amphibian species such as the giant salamanders, *Dicamptodon spp.* (Blaustein et al. 1995). Therefore, small mammals allow us to enhance our understanding of forest ecosystems through their association with a particular habitat type and/or condition.

The six study plots for small mammal research were originally established in 1977 at Taiga Biological Station (TBS) to track species and populations over time. They have been used also for studies on the effects of subnivean CO₂ on small mammals by Penny (1978). The morphometric data on the small mammals presented in this thesis were collected over this period of twenty-five years by a dedicated group of volunteers with varying degrees of trap-setting experience. The vegetation data presented are from plant surveys conducted during three separate time periods (1976, 1982 and 2000) of TBS history. Major emphasis was placed on plant data collected during the most recent vegetation survey of the small mammal study plots. However, the primary focus of this thesis will be on the small mammal communities inhabiting the six study plots at TBS.

The main goals of the thesis are:

- (i.) to investigate the long-term response of small mammal populations after fire through the changing patterns of species distribution and abundance over twenty-five years;
- (ii.) to examine the influence of habitat succession following fire on small mammal community structure across sequentially shorter intervals of time;
- (iii.) to identify factors within the microsite or immediate trapping area that may affect small mammal activities and capture rates.

The information obtained in this thesis is largely the result of a retrospective survey of small mammal trapping records collected over twenty-five years. The analyses of the data are intended to be exploratory. Cause and effect

relationships (as derived through controlled experimentation) cannot be shown between habitat variables and population response. However, it may be possible to describe factors that are important in predicting structure and diversity of small mammal communities (Carey and Johnson 1995) and relating those measurements of habitat properties to the distribution and abundance of the communities at TBS.

SECTION I.

Patterns in the Distribution and Abundance of Small Mammals

Section I. Abstract

Populations of small mammals were sampled annually by removal trapping in six different habitats over a twenty-five year period (1977-2001) near Wallace Lake, Manitoba. A total of 2,384 small mammals and 179 sciurids representing 14 species were captured in 43,800 trap-nights. The three most common species captured, in descending order of abundance, were *Clethrionomys gapperi* (southern red-backed vole), *Sorex cinereus* (masked shrew) and *Peromyscus maniculatus* (deer mouse). Changes in small mammal populations following fire were observed over time and the temporal patterns of short-term fluctuation and long-term synchronicity were examined.

Deer mice increased in number within the first few years following the burn to population levels above pre-fire levels; subsequent trapping sessions were unable to duplicate these early post-fire capture levels for *P. maniculatus*. Red-backed voles also increased in abundance within three months after the fire on most sites, but they soon experienced a rapid decline in numbers until their short-term recovery during the mid- to late- 1980's.

Similarities in the fluctuating abundance of red-backed voles were noted across several of the sampling plots at TBS, providing some evidence to suggest that extrinsic agents are affecting *C. gapperi* populations through the synchronous occurrence of peak abundance years. Additionally, evidence of synchrony in small mammal biomass production was noted, with peak accumulations every 3- to 4- yrs among the fauna at TBS.

Section I. Patterns in the Distribution and Abundance of Small Mammals

Introduction

Population variations or cycles occur when the temporal abundances of small mammals change. Temporal abundance is influenced by spatial patterns of distribution. Small mammals are often distributed in clumps or patches of aggregations - the result of response by the animals to habitat differences, stochastic events, reproductive patterns and social behaviour (Smith 1996). The field records at Taiga Biological Station suggest that populations of small mammals fluctuate considerably over time and space with little evidence of periodicity (regularity) in most species. Studies of microtine rodent (voles and lemmings) cycles showing regular and/or extreme density fluctuations have been well documented in the past (Elton 1942; Kalela 1962; Koshkina 1965; Fuller 1969). In central and northern Fennoscandia (Hansson and Henttonen 1985; Marcstrom et al. 1990) and in the coastal tundra of Barrow, Alaska (Batzli et al. 1980), vole populations are considered to be cyclic with a 3- to 4-year periodicity.

A number of theories have been advanced regarding rodent cycles because "of their enigmatic appeal to ecologists since such phenomena seem to violate balance and equilibrium" (Sandell et al. 1991, p 281), and because of the controversy surrounding the origin of forces behind cycling hypotheses in small mammals (Krebs 1996). Distinctions occur between the factors affecting population increase in small mammals: theories that stress extrinsic agents

(weather, food supply, predators, parasites) and theories that stress intrinsic agents (hormonal, genotypical, behavioural) (Krebs et al. 1973; Begon et al. 1990).

The primary aim of this section is to investigate the response of small mammal populations after fire, through the examination of species distribution and abundance in six different habitats over twenty-five years. Specifically, this section will (1) compare long-term small mammal population fluctuations among study plots to check for any apparent patterns of co-occurrence of periodicity between species; (2) attempt to identify large-scale patterns of synchronicity among individual species.

Literature Review

Temporal and spatial processes:

Temporal and spatial processes that impact population demography and determine species abundance, assemblage and distribution are still poorly understood (Brown and Heske 1990; Steen et al. 1996; Peles et al. 1999). Most organisms live in spatially heterogeneous environments (Diffendorfer et al. 1999) that are distributed neither uniformly nor randomly, but instead, often form aggregates called patches (Legendre and Fortin 1989; Bowers and Matter 1997). Consequently, the temporal abundance of small mammals can exert a strong influence on the community in which they live, and in turn, on the breeding success and survival of many of the terrestrial and avian predators dependent upon this food base (Hamilton and Cook 1940).

Small mammal distribution across the landscape is affected by their selection of habitat. The distribution patterns of northern small mammals depend in large part on differential habitat use (Adler and Wilson 1987; Wywiałowski 1987; Barry et al. 1990). These small-bodied, short-lived species, with high reproductive rates and high habitat specificity, when found in small patches of disturbed forest, often show strong, short-term density responses to fragmented landscapes (Martell and Radvanyi 1977; Monthey and Soutiere 1985; Sullivan et al. 1999).

Understanding the methods by which animals select habitat may be useful in predicting changes in community structure that follow alterations of