

EVALUATION OF GRASS ESTABLISHMENT, DEVELOPMENT AND SURVIVAL
UNDER SOD-SEEDING CONDITIONS IN THE DRY SUBHUMID PRAIRIES

by

Suzanne M. Gobin

A thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies
in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Plant Science
University of Manitoba
Winnipeg, Manitoba

© November, 1994



National Library
of Canada

Acquisitions and
Bibliographic Services Branch

395 Wellington Street
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0N4

Bibliothèque nationale
du Canada

Direction des acquisitions et
des services bibliographiques

395, rue Wellington
Ottawa (Ontario)
K1A 0N4

Your file *Votre référence*

Our file *Notre référence*

THE AUTHOR HAS GRANTED AN IRREVOCABLE NON-EXCLUSIVE LICENCE ALLOWING THE NATIONAL LIBRARY OF CANADA TO REPRODUCE, LOAN, DISTRIBUTE OR SELL COPIES OF HIS/HER THESIS BY ANY MEANS AND IN ANY FORM OR FORMAT, MAKING THIS THESIS AVAILABLE TO INTERESTED PERSONS.

L'AUTEUR A ACCORDE UNE LICENCE IRREVOCABLE ET NON EXCLUSIVE PERMETTANT A LA BIBLIOTHEQUE NATIONALE DU CANADA DE REPRODUIRE, PRETER, DISTRIBUER OU VENDRE DES COPIES DE SA THESE DE QUELQUE MANIERE ET SOUS QUELQUE FORME QUE CE SOIT POUR METTRE DES EXEMPLAIRES DE CETTE THESE A LA DISPOSITION DES PERSONNE INTERESSEES.

THE AUTHOR RETAINS OWNERSHIP OF THE COPYRIGHT IN HIS/HER THESIS. NEITHER THE THESIS NOR SUBSTANTIAL EXTRACTS FROM IT MAY BE PRINTED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED WITHOUT HIS/HER PERMISSION.

L'AUTEUR CONSERVE LA PROPRIETE DU DROIT D'AUTEUR QUI PROTEGE SA THESE. NI LA THESE NI DES EXTRAITS SUBSTANTIELS DE CELLE-CI NE DOIVENT ETRE IMPRIMES OU AUTREMENT REPRODUITS SANS SON AUTORISATION.

ISBN 0-315-99113-5

Canada

**EVALUATION OF GRASS ESTABLISHMENT, DEVELOPMENT AND
SURVIVAL UNDER SOD-SEEDING CONDITIONS IN THE DRY SUBHUMID PRAIRIES**

BY

SUZANNE M. GOBIN

**A Thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies of the University of Manitoba
in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of**

MASTER OF SCIENCE

© 1995

**Permission has been granted to the LIBRARY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA
to lend or sell copies of this thesis, to the NATIONAL LIBRARY OF CANADA to
microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film, and LIBRARY
MICROFILMS to publish an abstract of this thesis.**

**The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive
extracts from it may be printed or other-wise reproduced without the author's written
permission.**

Abstract

Evaluation of Grass Establishment, Development and Survival Under Sod-Seeding Conditions in the Dry Subhumid Prairies

Gobin, Suzanne M. M.Sc., Department of Plant Science, University of Manitoba. Major Advisor: Dr. M.H. Entz.

In order to increase the nesting potential of pastures and rangelands, increases in the proportion of high quality dense nesting cover (DNC) grasses in the sward are required. Sod-seeding is a system where seeds are placed into an undisturbed pasture or rangeland sod, and this system may be an effective way to establish DNC grasses, especially on fragile soils where pre-seeding tillage is not desirable. Different management practises will affect the degree of success achieved with sod-seeded grass stands. It is not known at the present time if all candidate DNC grass species respond similarly to sod-seeding.

The objectives of this study were 1) to evaluate different sod-suppression techniques for the establishment of tall wheatgrass [Thinopyrum ponticum (Podp.) Barkw. & D.R. Dewey] under two types of resident vegetation and 2) to compare the relative response of switchgrass (Panicum virgatum L.), green needlegrass (Stipa viridula Trin.), tall wheatgrass, and northern wheatgrass [Elymus lanceolatus (Scribner & J.G. Smith) Gould] to seedbed preparation techniques. Field studies were conducted in 1991 and 1992 at

Portage La Prairie and Gladstone, MB and a controlled environment study was conducted in 1992. Grass seedling emergence, development and survival were monitored throughout the growing season, and in some cases, the following season as well. Environmental measurements and competition from the resident vegetation were measured.

Results of the first experiment indicated that under conditions of intense competition from rhizomatous resident vegetation, such as with bromegrass (Bromus inermis L.) and Poa species at Gladstone, chemical suppression of the resident vegetation was critical for successful establishment, development and survival of tall wheatgrass plants. At Portage, satisfactory population establishment and survival were achieved without any suppression of the resident vegetation; however, greater morphological development of the plants, both in the first and second season, occurred when chemical suppression was used.

Results of the second experiment indicated that suppression of the resident vegetation increased plant density, development and survival of all four sod-seeded grasses over the untreated control. The tall and northern wheatgrasses were the most conducive to sod-seeding, and the switchgrass and green needlegrass the most difficult grasses to establish successfully.

Table of Contents	Page
Table of Contents	i
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	xii
1.0 Introduction	1
2.0 Literature Review	4
2.1 Sod-Seeding	4
2.2 Dense Nesting Cover Assessment	8
2.3 Grass Species Characteristics	9
2.3.1 Tall Wheatgrass	9
2.3.2 Green Needlegrass	10
2.3.3 Northern Wheatgrass	11
2.3.4 Switchgrass	12
2.4 Plant Establishment Under Sod-Seeding	14
2.4.1 Shoot Development	14
2.4.2 Root Development	16
2.5 Factors Affecting Sod-Seeded Grasses	17
2.5.1 Environmental Factors	17
2.5.1.1 Temperature	18
2.5.1.2 Available Moisture	20

2.5.1.3	Light Interception	22
2.5.2	Surface Residue from the Resident Vegetation	23
2.5.3	Nutrient Availability	24
2.6	Suppression of the Resident Vegetation	25
2.6.1	Chemical Suppression	25
2.6.1.1	Glyphosate	27
2.6.1.2	Sethoxydim	28
2.6.1.3	Paraquat	29
2.6.1.4	2,4-D	30
2.6.2	Mechanical Suppression	31
2.7	Conclusions	33
3.0	Evaluation of Sod-Suppression Techniques for Establishment of Sod-Seeded Tall Wheatgrass	34
3.1	Introduction	34
3.2	Methodology	34
3.2.1	Field Trial Establishment and Management	34
3.2.2	Measurements	38
3.2.2.1	Environmental Conditions	38
3.2.2.2	Resident Vegetation	39

3.2.2.3	Plant Establishment, Development and Survival	40
3.2.2.4	Second-Year Measurements	41
3.2.3	Statistical Analysis	42
3.3	Results and Discussion	43
3.3.1	Environmental Conditions	43
3.3.1.1	Air Temperature	43
3.3.1.2	Precipitation	48
3.3.1.3	Soil Moisture	48
3.3.2	Competition From the Resident Vegetation	54
3.3.2.1	Competition for Light	54
3.3.2.2	Resident Vegetation Aerial Dry Matter Accumulation	62
3.3.3	Tall Wheatgrass Establishment	67
3.3.4	Tall Wheatgrass Development	75
3.3.4.1	Haun Stage Measurement	75
3.3.4.2	Tall Wheatgrass Height	81
3.3.5	In-season Tall Wheatgrass Survival Rates	85
3.3.6	Year After Establishment Measurements	87

3.3.6.1	Overwinter Survival	88
3.3.6.2	Year After Establishment Plant Population Density	91
3.3.6.3	Year After Establishment Plant Leaf Number	93
3.3.6.4	Year After Establishment Plant Height	95
3.3.6.5	Robel Pole Measurements	97
3.4	Conclusions	99
4.0	Evaluation of Sod-Seeded Grasses	100
4.1	Introduction	100
4.2	Methodology - Controlled Environment Study	100
4.2.1	Trial Establishment	100
4.2.2	Measurements	102
4.2.3	Statistical Analysis	103
4.3	Methodology - Field Study	103
4.3.1	Trial Establishment and Management	103
4.3.2	Measurements	106
4.3.2.1	Environmental Conditions	106
4.3.2.2	Resident Vegetation	106
4.3.2.3	Plant Establishment, Development, Growth and Survival	107
4.3.3	Statistical Analysis	107

4.4	Results and Discussion	108
4.4.1	Environmental Conditions	108
4.4.1.1	Air Temperature	108
4.4.1.2	Precipitation	109
4.4.1.3	Soil Moisture	110
4.4.2	Competition From the Resident Vegetation	110
4.4.2.1	Competition for Light	110
4.4.2.2	Resident Vegetation Aerial Dry Matter Accumulation	113
4.4.3	Plant Establishment	115
4.4.3.1	Controlled Environment Study	115
4.4.3.2	Field Study	118
4.4.4	Plant Development	128
4.4.4.1	Haun Stage Measurement	128
4.4.4.1.1	Controlled Environment Study	128
4.4.4.1.2	Field Study	128
4.4.4.2	Plant Height	135
4.4.4.2.1	Controlled Environment Study	135
4.4.4.2.2	Field Study	135

4.4.5	Grass Aerial Dry Matter Accumulation	138
4.4.5.1	Controlled Environment Study	138
4.4.5.2	Field Study	140
4.4.6	Root Development	143
4.4.6.1	Controlled Environment Study	143
4.4.6.2	Field Study	144
4.4.7	In-Season Plant Survival Rates	147
4.5	Conclusions	152
5.0	Summary and Conclusions	153
5.1	Evaluation of Sod-Suppression Techniques	153
5.2	Evaluation of Sod-Seeded Grasses	155
5.3	Conclusions	157
6.0	Future Research	160
7.0	Literature Cited	162
	Appendix 1 - Environmental Conditions	172
	Appendix 2 - Evaluation of Sod Suppression Techniques - Analysis of Variance	174

Appendix 3 - Evaluation of Sod-Seeded Grasses - Analysis of Variance Controlled Environment Study	182
Appendix 4 - Evaluation of Sod-Seeded Grasses - Analysis of Variance Field Study	185
Appendix 5 - Sod-Seeded Grass Heights	190

List of Tables	Page
Table 3.1 Herbicides applied in tall wheatgrass experiment in 1991 and 1992 at Portage La Prairie and Gladstone, MB.	37
Table 3.2 Insecticides applied in tall wheatgrass experiment in 1991 and 1992 at Portage La Prairie and Gladstone, MB.	37
Table 3.3 Monthly mean air temperature, precipitation and long-term average at Portage La Prairie, MB in 1991 and 1992.	44
Table 3.4 Monthly mean air temperature, precipitation and long-term average at Gladstone, MB in 1991 and 1992.	45
Table 3.5 Influence of scarification and suppression treatment on tall wheatgrass density (plants m ⁻²) at Portage La Prairie, MB in 1991 and 1992.	69
Table 3.6 Correlation coefficients between year of establishment parameters at Portage La Prairie, MB in 1991.	70
Table 3.7 Correlation coefficients between year of establishment parameters at Portage La Prairie, MB in 1992.	70
Table 3.8 Influence of scarification and suppression treatment on tall wheatgrass density (plants m ⁻²) at Gladstone, MB in 1991 and 1992.	71
Table 3.9 Correlation coefficients between year of establishment parameters at Gladstone, MB in 1991.	74
Table 3.10 Correlation coefficients between year of establishment parameters at Gladstone, MB in 1992.	74
Table 3.11 Influence of scarification and suppression treatment on tall wheatgrass development (Haun stage) at Portage La Prairie, MB in 1991 and 1992.	76
Table 3.12 Influence of scarification and suppression treatment on tall wheatgrass development (Haun stage) at Gladstone, MB in 1991 and 1992.	77
Table 3.13 Influence of scarification and suppression treatment on tall wheatgrass height (cm) at Portage La Prairie, MB in 1991 and 1992.	82

Table 3.14 Influence of scarification and suppression treatment on tall wheatgrass height (cm) at Gladstone, MB in 1991 and 1992.	83
Table 3.15 Influence of scarification and suppression treatment on in-season survival (%) between the dates shown at Portage La Prairie and Gladstone, MB in 1992.	86
Table 3.16 Correlation coefficients between in-season survival and Haun stage in year of establishment at Portage La Prairie and Gladstone, MB.	87
Table 3.17 Influence of scarification and suppression treatment on overwinter survival (%) at Portage La Prairie and Gladstone, MB in 1993.	88
Table 3.18 Correlation between establishment year Haun stage and performance of tall wheatgrass in year after establishment (data combined over sites and years).	89
Table 3.19 Influence of scarification and suppression treatment on year after establishment tall wheatgrass density (plants m ⁻²) at Portage La Prairie and Gladstone, MB in 1992 and 1993.	92
Table 3.20 Influence of scarification and suppression treatment on year after establishment tall wheatgrass development (Haun stage) at Portage La Prairie and Gladstone, MB in 1992 and 1993.	94
Table 3.21 Influence of scarification and suppression treatment on year after establishment tall wheatgrass height (cm) at Portage La Prairie and Gladstone, MB in 1992 and 1993.	96
Table 4.1 Pesticides applied in field experiments at Portage La Prairie and Gladstone, MB in 1992.	105
Table 4.2 Influence of soil type and grass species on plant population density (plants pot ⁻¹) in a controlled environment study using the Portage La Prairie soil.	116
Table 4.3 Influence of soil type and grass species on plant population density (plants pot ⁻¹) in a controlled environment study using the Gladstone soil.	117
Table 4.4 Influence of suppression treatment and grass species on plant population density (plants m ⁻²) in a field study at Portage La Prairie, MB in 1992.	119

Table 4.5 Influence of suppression treatment and grass species on plant population density (plants m ⁻²) in a field study at Gladstone, MB in 1992.	121
Table 4.6 Correlation coefficients between establishment parameters in a controlled environment study using the Portage La Prairie soil.	125
Table 4.7 Correlation coefficients between establishment parameters in a controlled environment study using the Gladstone soil.	125
Table 4.8 Correlation coefficients between year of establishment parameters in a field study at Portage La Prairie, MB in 1992.	126
Table 4.9 Correlation coefficients between year of establishment parameters in a field study at Gladstone, MB in 1992.	127
Table 4.10 Influence of soil type and grass species on plant development (Haun stage) in a controlled environment study using the Portage La Prairie soil.	129
Table 4.11 Influence of soil type and grass species on plant development (Haun stage) in a controlled environment study using the Gladstone soil.	130
Table 4.12 Influence of suppression treatment and grass species on plant development (Haun stage) in a field study at Portage La Prairie, MB in 1992.	131
Table 4.13 Influence of suppression treatment and grass species on plant development (Haun stage) in a field study at Gladstone, MB in 1992.	132
Table 4.14 Influence of soil type and grass species on plant height (cm) in controlled environment studies using the Portage La Prairie and Gladstone soils.	136
Table 4.15 Influence of suppression treatment and grass species on plant height (cm) in field studies at Portage La Prairie and Gladstone, MB in 1992.	137
Table 4.16 Influence of soil type on aerial dry matter accumulation of four grass species (g plant ⁻¹) in controlled environment studies using the Portage La Prairie and Gladstone soils.	139

Table 4.17 Influence of suppression treatment on aerial dry matter accumulation of four grass species (g plant^{-1}) in field studies at Portage La Prairie and Gladstone, MB in 1992.	141
Table 4.18 Influence of soil type and grass species on root frequency (% of plants with greater than 4 roots) in controlled environment studies using the Portage La Prairie and Gladstone soils.	144
Table 4.19 Influence of suppression treatment and grass species on root frequency (% of plants with greater than 4 roots) in field studies at Portage La Prairie and Gladstone, MB in 1992.	145
Table 4.20 Influence of suppression treatment and grass species on in-season survival (%) in a field study at Portage La Prairie, MB in 1992.	148
Table 4.21 Influence of suppression treatment and grass species on in-season survival (%) in a field study at Gladstone, MB in 1992.	149
Table 4.22 Correlation coefficients between in-season survival and Haun stage in field studies at Portage La Prairie and Gladstone, MB in 1992.	151
Table 4.23 Correlation coefficients between root frequency (% of plants with greater than 4 roots) and in-season survival in field studies at Portage La Prairie and Gladstone, MB in 1992.	151

List of Figures	Page
Figure 3.1 Maximum, minimum and mean air temperature at Portage La Prairie, MB in 1991.	46
Figure 3.2 Maximum, minimum and mean air temperature at Portage La Prairie, MB in 1992.	46
Figure 3.3 Maximum, minimum and mean air temperature at Gladstone, MB in 1991.	47
Figure 3.4 Maximum, minimum and mean air temperature at Gladstone, MB in 1992.	47
Figure 3.5 Seasonal precipitation at Portage La Prairie, MB in 1991.	49
Figure 3.6 Seasonal precipitation at Portage La Prairie, MB in 1992.	49
Figure 3.7 Seasonal precipitation at Gladstone, MB in 1991.	50
Figure 3.8 Seasonal precipitation at Gladstone, MB in 1992.	50
Figure 3.9 Influence of scarification on volumetric soil water content (cm) to a 10 cm depth at Portage La Prairie and Gladstone, MB in a.) 1991 and b.) 1992. Vertical bars indicate $LSD_{(0.10)}$.	51
Figure 3.10 Influence of scarification (SC) and chemical suppression (CS) on volumetric soil water content (cm) to a 10 cm depth in 1991 at a.) Portage La Prairie and b.) Gladstone, MB. Vertical bars indicate $LSD_{(0.10)}$.	52
Figure 3.11 Influence of scarification (SC) and chemical suppression (CS) on volumetric soil water content (cm) to a 10 cm depth in 1992 at a.) Portage La Prairie and b.) Gladstone, MB. Vertical bars indicate $LSD_{(0.10)}$.	53
Figure 3.12 Influence of a.) scarification and b.) suppression treatment on light interception (%) of the resident vegetation at Portage La Prairie, MB in 1991. Vertical bars indicate $LSD_{(0.10)}$.	56
Figure 3.13 Influence of a.) scarification and b.) suppression treatment on light interception (%) of the resident vegetation at Gladstone, MB in 1991. Vertical bars indicate $LSD_{(0.10)}$.	57

- Figure 3.14** Influence of a.) scarification and b.) suppression treatment on light interception (%) of the resident vegetation at Portage La Prairie, MB in 1992. Vertical bars indicate $LSD_{(0.10)}$. 59
- Figure 3.15** Influence of a.) scarification and b.) suppression treatment on light interception (%) of the resident vegetation at Gladstone, MB in 1992. Vertical bars indicate $LSD_{(0.10)}$. 60
- Figure 3.16** Influence of scarification and suppression treatment on aerial dry matter accumulation of the resident vegetation ($kg\ ha^{-1}$) in 1991 a.) 96 DAS at Portage La Prairie and b.) 101 DAS at Gladstone, MB. Vertical bars indicate $LSD_{(0.10)}$. 64
- Figure 3.17** Influence of scarification and suppression treatment on aerial dry matter accumulation of the resident vegetation ($kg\ ha^{-1}$) in 1992 a.) 95 DAS at Portage La Prairie and b.) 86 DAS at Gladstone, MB. Vertical bars indicate $LSD_{(0.10)}$. 65
- Figures 3.18** Relationship between tall wheatgrass fall Haun stage (establishment year) and overwinter survival. 90
- Figure 3.19** Influence of scarification and suppression treatment on Robel pole measurements in 1992 at Portage La Prairie, MB. Vertical bars indicate $LSD_{(0.10)}$. 98
- Figure 4.1** Influence of resident vegetation suppression on volumetric soil water content (cm) to a 10 cm depth at a.) Portage La Prairie and b.) Gladstone, MB in 1992. Vertical bars indicate $LSD_{(0.10)}$. 111
- Figure 4.2** Influence of resident vegetation suppression on light interception (%) of the resident vegetation at a.) Portage La Prairie and b.) Gladstone, MB in 1992. Vertical bars indicate $LSD_{(0.10)}$. 112
- Figure 4.3** Influence of resident vegetation suppression on aerial dry matter accumulation of the resident vegetation a.) 62 DAS with the Portage La Prairie soil and 48 DAS with the Gladstone soil in indoor studies ($g\ pot^{-1}$) and b.) 82 DAS at Portage La Prairie and 85 DAS at Gladstone, MB in 1992 field studies ($kg\ ha^{-1}$). Vertical bars indicate $LSD_{(0.10)}$. 114

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Many practises used in agriculture over the years have resulted in declines in waterfowl populations. Wetlands, sloughs and potholes have been drained to increase land available for crops. For many waterfowl species, upland nesting sites are as important as wetlands. However, upland areas have been seeded to annual crops, which, for the most part, do not provide high quality nesting cover (Prairie Habitat Joint Venture, 1989). The loss of original habitat suitable for nesting waterfowl has been implicated as a major cause for declining waterfowl populations in the Prairies between 1970 and 1990, from approximately 20 million to 10 million ducks (Prairie Habitat Joint Venture, 1989).

While upland pastures and rangelands provide some potential nesting sites, intensive grazing practises and high stocking rates (continuous grazing at higher than recommended stocking rates) have led to changes in the botanical composition of pastures. Tall, native species have been replaced by invader species such as smooth brome grass (Bromus inermis L.) and Kentucky bluegrass (Poa pratensis L.) (Waller and Schmidt, 1983). These do not grow as tall and thus provide only poor quality nesting cover.

In order to increase the nesting potential of pastures and rangelands, increases in the proportion of high quality dense nesting cover (DNC) grasses in the sward are required.

Successful nesting occurs with stands of tall, dense cover; in fact, waterfowl seem to prefer this type of cover (Duebbert and Lokemoen, 1976; Duebbert et al., 1981; Higgins, 1977; Kirsch et al., 1978). Providing the tallest and most dense vegetation possible has been recommended as the goal of pasture management for DNC (Kirsch et al., 1978). However, since different waterfowl prefer different grasses and different sward heights, a mix of several grasses, differing in height, would be preferable. There are a number of different grasses that could be used for establishing DNC. Tall wheatgrass [Thinopyrum ponticum (Podp.) Barkw. & D.R. Dewey] is an introduced grass with excellent potential for tall DNC. In addition to providing DNC, re-establishing native grasses into existing pastures may allow producers to develop a multiple-land use system, in which late-season grazing could occur after the nesting period was over (that is, after mid-July).

Sod-seeding may be an effective way to establish DNC. It is less expensive and maintains ground cover during the establishment period (compared to tillage). Several factors can affect the successful establishment of plants that have been sod-seeded. The species, cultivar, method of seeding, soil fertility, competition from resident vegetation, occurrence of diseases and pests, and weather will all influence establishment and survival (Bryan, 1985). It is not

known at the present time if all candidate DNC grass species respond similarly to sod-seeding.

Sod-seeding native grasses does present certain challenges. Environmental conditions, such as temperature, soil moisture, precipitation and type of resident vegetation, greatly affect sod-seeded grass establishment, development and survival. Stand failures often occur because of competition from the resident vegetation. Different management practises will affect the degree of success achieved with sod-seeded grass stands. Suppression of the resident vegetation has been recognized as an important component of sod-seeding management systems.

This study was conducted to determine the feasibility of establishing stands of dense nesting cover (DNC) through sod-seeding. The objectives were 1) to evaluate different sod-suppression techniques for the establishment of tall wheatgrass under two types of resident vegetation and 2) to compare the relative response of different perennial grass species to seedbed preparation techniques.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Sod-Seeding

Sod-seeding refers to a practise where a crop is seeded into vegetation in pastures and rangelands, with only partial disturbance of the resident vegetation (Hervey, 1960). Since no preseeding tillage occurs, seeding equipment for sod-seeding must be able to effectively penetrate the surface residue and the soil without plugging, and create seed grooves that are even in depth to provide good seed placement (adequate seed to soil contact) with adequate cover to prevent the seed from drying out (Baker, 1973). Reducing competition for light, soil, nutrients and water by the resident vegetation greatly increases the establishment of sod-seeded plants.

There are several advantages to sod-seeding compared to the more traditional method involving pre-seeding cultivation. Sod-seeding is well suited to fragile land where erosion, stones or salinity make cultivation difficult or impossible (Harris, 1990; Marshall and Naylor, 1984a; Sprague, 1960). Because less soil disturbance occurs in the sod-seeding system compared to cultivation, the soil is less prone to wind and water erosion (Bowes and Zentner, 1992; Bryan et al., 1984; Hervey, 1960). Sod-seeding also requires less time, labour and energy than conventional seedbed preparation, making it

less expensive (Bowes and Zentner, 1992; Rogers et al., 1983; Waddington and Bowren 1976). Although some fragile lands that may once have been broken will slowly revert back to native vegetation, sod-seeding is a much quicker method of revegetation than the process of natural succession (Hart et al., 1985; Hervey, 1960).

Establishing stands of dense-nesting cover for waterfowl nesting requires a high level of management for several years. This not only requires intensive labour, but also means fields are out of production for several years. Duebbert et al. (1981) report that fields containing quackgrass [Agropyron repens (L.) Beauv.], smooth brome grass, Canada thistle [Cirsium arvense (L.) Scop.], leafy spurge (Euphorbia podperae L.) or perennial weeds require combinations of herbicides and intensive cultivation for one or more years for proper control prior to grass establishment. Intensive cultivation, however, can be detrimental to the soil. Herbicides can be as effective as tillage in controlling weeds and would reduce some of these negative requirements associated with establishing DNC in a conventional manner.

Despite the potential advantage of sod-seeding over conventional establishment techniques, establishment can still be variable (Hervey, 1960). For example, while establishment of big bluestem (Andropogon gerardii Vitman), indiagrass [Sorghastrum nutans (L.) Nash], and switchgrass (Panicum virgatum L.) was superior under sod-seeding compared with

conventional seeding into a Kentucky bluegrass, blue grama [Bouteloua gracilis (H.B.K.) Lag. ex Steud.], western wheatgrass [Pascopyrum smithii Rydb. (Love)] and buffalograss [Buchole dactyloides (Nutt.) Englem.] sod, establishment of side-oats grama [Bouteloua curtipendula (Michx.) Torr.] was unaffected by establishment method (Hart et al., 1985). Superior establishment of certain grasses under sod-seeding was attributed to better seed-to-soil contact (Hart et al., 1985). Emergence of Italian ryegrass (Lolium multiflorum) and perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) was greater in sod-seeded plots (Squires and Elliott, 1975). Hervey (1960) attempted to seed tall wheatgrass, by sod-seeding and by conventional methods, into a sand dropseed (Sporobolus cryptandrus)/forb sod, and found poor survival resulted in all cases. The author attributed this to inadequate soil surface cover that led to erosion (Hervey, 1960). Comparable establishment of sod-seeded bromegrass, Russian wild ryegrass [Psathyrostachys juncea (Fischer) Nevski] and alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.) was found between sod-seeded and rotovated plots (Waddington and Bowren, 1976). The rotovated plots suppressed the sward of dandelion (Taraxacum officinale L.), alfalfa, alsike clover (Trifolium hybridum L.), creeping red fescue (Festuca rubra L.), bromegrass and crested wheatgrass [Agropyron cristatum (L.) Gaertner] to a greater degree than herbicides, but produced a looser seedbed and

allowed for dandelion re-infestation (Waddington and Bowren, 1976).

Poorer establishment of sod-seeded perennial ryegrass, sand bluestem [Andropogon gerardii var. paucipilus (Nash) Fern.], switchgrass, smooth bromegrass and intermediate wheatgrass [Thinopyrum intermedium (Host) Barkw. & D.R. Dewey] as compared to conventional seedbed preparation has been reported in studies on sites consisting mainly of bunchgrasses (King et al., 1989; Marshall and Naylor, 1984a). Poor establishment was attributed to possible herbicide residues and leachates from the plant residue (Marshall and Naylor, 1984a), low precipitation and competition for moisture, poorer seed-to-soil contact and increased weed competition (King et al., 1989), and the physical impedance of the trash layer (King et al., 1989; Marshall and Naylor, 1984a).

Roth et al. (1985) reported higher alfalfa yields in the year of establishment and in the following year when sod-seeded after chemical suppression of the resident vegetation using either glyphosate or paraquat as compared to conventional seeding methods. In contrast, Hart et al. (1985) found that although big bluestem, indiangrass and switchgrass had greater establishment under sod-seeding as compared to discing, total vegetative yields (including the Kentucky bluegrass, blue grama, western wheatgrass and buffalograss resident vegetation) and seeded species yields were not different between treatments after four years. This led the

authors to conclude that the advantage of sod-seeding was a more rapid rate of establishment (Hart et al., 1985). Conventional seedings took longer to establish, but did eventually produce comparable dry matter yields (Hart et al., 1985).

Management practises will influence the success of sod-seeded grass establishment. Careful selection of the grass species and seeding equipment used and the application of pesticides will affect stand establishment. The competition from the resident vegetation should be reduced or eliminated (Bryan et al., 1984). In fact, Panciera and Jung (1984) concluded that factors such as the cultivar, planting date and weed control affect the successful establishment of switchgrass more than tillage.

2.2 Dense Nesting Cover Assessment

Nesting cover quality of a sward can be assessed using a Robel pole (Robel et al., 1970). The Robel pole consists of a pole that is marked every 5 to 10 cm. The height at which 100% visual obstruction occurs is measured; that is, the height at which the pole is no longer visible. Robel pole measurements give an accurate estimation of the density (as well as the height) of a stand and are a useful measure of the nesting potential of a sward (Duebbert et al., 1981; Robel et al., 1970).

Height measurements alone as an estimate of the DNC potential of a stand are not adequate, as other features such as foliar density are not taken into consideration (Jones, 1968). Robel pole measurements have been correlated to the density and weight of vegetation present (Robel et al., 1970).

2.3 Grass Species Characteristics

Yields of native grasses are generally less than those of introduced grasses (Kilcher and Looman, 1983; Knowles, 1987; Lawrence and Ratzlaff, 1989). However, native grasses should be considered for nesting cover as they do not break down under the winter snowpack (Duebbert et al., 1981; Frank and Woehler, 1969) and they increase the biodiversity and quality of nesting cover. There is limited information available on growth and development of native grasses, and in many previous experiments, only total dry matter yields have been recorded.

2.3.1 Tall Wheatgrass

Tall wheatgrass [Thinopyrum ponticum (Podp.) Barkw. & D.R. Dewey] is an introduced, cool-season bunchgrass that has good saline and flooding tolerance (Duebbert et al., 1981; Harris, 1990). It is drought tolerant, even in the seedling stage (Frischknecht, 1951). It also is very winter-hardy, as it did not suffer from winter injury when evaluated in