

PEA APHID, ACYRTHOSIPHON PISUM (HARRIS), POPULATIONS
ON CULTIVARS OF FIELD PEAS IN MANITOBA
AND THEIR EFFECTS ON PEA YIELD

BY

JULIANA JUDY SOROKA


A thesis

presented to the University of Manitoba
in partial fulfilment of the
requirements of the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
IN THE
DEPARTMENT OF ENTOMOLOGY

Winnipeg, Manitoba, 1989

(c) Juliana Judy Soroka, 1989



National Library
of Canada

Bibliothèque nationale
du Canada

Canadian Theses Service Service des thèses canadiennes

Ottawa, Canada
K1A 0N4

The author has granted an irrevocable non-exclusive licence allowing the National Library of Canada to reproduce, loan, distribute or sell copies of his/her thesis by any means and in any form or format, making this thesis available to interested persons.

The author retains ownership of the copyright in his/her thesis. Neither the thesis nor substantial extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without his/her permission.

L'auteur a accordé une licence irrévocable et non exclusive permettant à la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de reproduire, prêter, distribuer ou vendre des copies de sa thèse de quelque manière et sous quelque forme que ce soit pour mettre des exemplaires de cette thèse à la disposition des personnes intéressées.

L'auteur conserve la propriété du droit d'auteur qui protège sa thèse. Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation.

ISBN 0-315-54918-1

Canada

PEA APHID, ACYRTHOSIPHON PISUM (HARRIS), POPULATIONS ON CULTIVARS
OF FIELD PEAS IN MANITOBA AND THEIR EFFECTS ON PEA YIELD

BY

JULIANA JUDY SOROKA

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies of
the University of Manitoba in partial fulfillment of the requirements
of the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

© 1989

Permission has been granted to the LIBRARY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA to lend or sell copies of this thesis, to the NATIONAL LIBRARY OF CANADA to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film, and UNIVERSITY MICROFILMS to publish an abstract of this thesis.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.

ABSTRACT

Pea Aphid, Acyrtosiphon pisum (Harris), Populations on
Cultivars of Field Peas in Manitoba
and Their Effects on Pea Yield

by

Juliana Judy Soroka

Major Advisor: Dr. Patricia A. Mackay

The research for this project was undertaken from 1984 to 1987. Natural infestations of pea aphids, Acyrtosiphon pisum (Harris), were measured throughout the summer on five (1984) or six (1985, 1986) cultivars of field peas in small field plots near Glenlea, Manitoba. Starting from equal numbers of pea aphids per cage, in 1986 pea aphid populations were also monitored in 1 m³ field cages which contained plants of one of these six field pea cultivars. Throughout the summers of 1985 and 1986 pea aphids were also sampled in a total of nine Century, four Trapper and two Triumph commercial pea fields in several regions across the province.

In all of these tests, pea aphid population growth patterns were similar among cultivars. Aphid numbers rose from low levels during the vegetative to blooming stages of plant growth in mid-July, peaked in late July or early August as pods developed and matured, and declined rapidly by mid- to late August as pea plants senesced. However, numbers

of pea aphids at the time of population peak differed consistently and significantly with the cultivar upon which they grew. Peak numbers of pea aphids were larger on Triumph or Trapper plants than they were on Century or Tipu plants. In commercial fields, populations of pea aphids rose more rapidly on Trapper than they did on Century or Triumph plants.

In field plots seed weight was the yield component most sensitive to aphid feeding. Triumph had significantly lower seed yields in infested than in control subplots in two out of three years. Seed weight was significantly reduced in infested subplots of Tara peas in one year. Because aphid numbers were low and generally occurred later than at flowering or pod initiation in Century peas, no yield losses due to pea aphids occurred in this cultivar in any of the tests. However, linear regression of seed weight over aphid density indicated that, of the cultivars tested, Century is most susceptible to increasing aphid numbers. Trapper seed weight was least related to aphid density despite the relatively high numbers of pea aphids occurring on this cultivar.

In laboratory studies pea aphids had the greatest intrinsic rates of natural increase, r_m , on the cultivar Trapper and the smallest on the cultivars Tipu and Century. Ten days after infestation, the most antixenosis resistance was expressed by the cultivar Tipu, and the least by Triumph. After 20 days, Triumph still was most preferred by the aphid. Trapper appeared somewhat tolerant of the effects of pea aphid feeding.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank P.A. Mackay, Department of Entomology, University of Manitoba, for being my principal advisor during the undertaking of this research. Her encouragement and pragmatic outlook sustained me to its completion.

I wish to thank N.J. Holliday, Department of Entomology, University of Manitoba, R.J. Lamb, Agriculture Canada Research Station, Winnipeg, and A.K. Storgaard, Department of Plant Science, University of Manitoba, for serving on my advisory committee. Beyond their considerable talents as mentors, I appreciate their being friends. I also thank C.A. Barlow, Department of Biology, Carleton University, Ottawa, for acting as my external advisor.

I acknowledge J. Watson, Department of Plant Science, University of Manitoba, and W. Turnock, Agriculture Canada Research Station, Winnipeg, for their loan and/or donation of equipment and material to conduct this research. The statistical assistance of P. McVetty, Department of Plant Science, University of Manitoba, and D. Spurr, Agriculture Canada, Saskatoon, is greatly appreciated. D. Wright, L. Foubert and G. Reekie ably provided technical help. A special thank you is extended to R. Menard and the crew of the Glenlea Research Station, University of Manitoba.

The field surveys could not have been done without the willing aid of the numerous farmer/co-operators who gave me, as well as the use of their fields, the benefit of their considerable farming experience. Many other people donated pesticides, seed, miscellaneous material, advice and

labour to this project, and to them I offer a collective thank you. Thanks are also extended to S. King for typing this thesis.

I sincerely thank the Research Branch of the Canada Department of Agriculture, and especially A. Guitard and E.J. LeRoux, for granting me education leave to undertake this program and for funding me while I did so.

Finally, I gratefully acknowledge the encouragement and support of my husband, W.S. Wizniuk, and our children. Without their love and many sacrifices this work would not have been possible.

Table of Contents

	Page
Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	v
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	xi
CHAPTER 1 - Introduction	1
1.1 The Problem	1
1.2 Objectives of the Study	1
1.3 Benefits of the Research	2
1.4 Thesis Organization	2
CHAPTER 2 - Literature Review	4
2.1 Field Pea Production in Manitoba	4
2.1.1 Use and Importance of Field Peas	4
2.1.2 Field Pea Agronomy	5
2.1.3 Field Pea Cultivars	6
2.2 The Pea Aphid	7
2.2.1 Geographical Distribution, Life Cycle, and	7
Biology	
2.2.2 Host Plant Selection and Host Range	13
2.2.3 Population Dynamics of the Pea Aphid	17
2.2.4 Economic Importance	19
2.2.5 Plant Injury Due to Pea Aphid Feeding	19
2.2.6 Pea Aphid Control	22
2.3 Host Plant Resistance	23
2.3.1 Definitions	23
2.3.2 Resistance of Plants to the Pea Aphid	24
2.3.3 Factors Affecting Expression of Resistance	27
2.3.4 Breeding for Host Plant Resistance	28

	Page
CHAPTER 3 - Experimental Program	30
Section I - Seasonal Occurrence of the Pea Aphid, <u>Acyrtosiphon pisum</u> (Harris) (Homoptera: Aphididae), on Cultivars of Field Peas in Manitoba and Its Effect on Pea Growth and Yield.	31
Abstract	31
1. Introduction	32
2. Materials and Methods	33
3. Results	38
3.1 Aphid Populations	38
3.1.1 1984	38
3.1.2 1985	45
3.1.3 1986	50
3.2 Harvest Results	55
3.2.1 1984	55
3.2.2 1985	62
3.2.3 1986	62
3.2.4 Harvest Component Correlations	66
3.2.5 Analysis of Covariance and Seed Weight Regressions	66
4. Discussion	69
4.1 Pea Aphid Populations	69
4.2 Cultivar Yields	75
Section II - Growth of Pea Aphid, <u>Acyrtosiphon pisum</u> (Harris), Populations on Caged Plants of Six Cultivars of Field Peas, and the Effects of Pea Aphids on Harvest Components of Caged Field Peas.	82
Abstract	82
1. Introduction	83
2. Materials and Methods	84
3. Results	86
4. Discussion	97

	Page
Section III - Population Growth of the Pea Aphid, <u>Acyrtosiphon pisum</u> (Harris) (Homoptera: Aphididae) and Plant Response to Aphid Numbers in Three Cultivars of Commercially Grown Field Peas in Manitoba.	104
Abstract	104
1. Introduction	106
2. Materials and Methods	106
3. Results	112
3.1 Aphid Numbers	112
3.1.1 Aphid Populations in Entire Fields	112
3.1.2 Aphid Populations in Staked Plots	119
3.2 Harvest Results	121
4. Discussion	127
Section IV - Varietal Resistance of Field Peas to Pea Aphid, <u>Acyrtosiphon pisum</u> (Harris) (Homoptera: Aphididae), as Assessed by Antibiosis, Antixenosis, and Tolerance Tests.	134
Abstract	134
1. Introduction	135
2. Materials and Methods	136
3. Results	142
3.1 Antibiosis	142
3.2 Antixenosis	151
3.3 Tolerance	160
4. Discussion	162
4.1 Antibiosis	162
4.2 Antixenosis	165
4.3 Tolerance	167

	Page
CHAPTER 4 - General Discussion	168
CHAPTER 5 - Summary and Conclusions	175
LITERATURE CITED	177
APPENDIX 1 - Growth stage description of the pea plant <u>Pisum sativum</u> L. combined from Gane <u>et al.</u> (1984) and Maiteki (1985).	195
APPENDIX 2 - Temperature and precipitation statistics for the months of May to September, 1984 to 1986, and 30 year normals, at Glenlea Research Station, Glenlea, Manitoba.	195
APPENDIX 3 - Pea aphid populations (\pm S.E.M.) in field plots of of field peas at Glenlea, 1984 - 1986.	197
APPENDIX 4 - Analysis of variance mean square values for spray regime and cultivar effects on the mean number of various aphid morphs per plant tip at flowering-pod initiation and at the time of peak aphid populations, Glenlea, 1985 - 1986.	203
APPENDIX 5 - Correlation coefficients of field pea yield per subplot with other harvest components, Glenlea, 1984.	206
APPENDIX 6 - Correlation coefficients of field pea yield per row with other harvest components, Glenlea, 1984 to 1986.	207
APPENDIX 7 - Mean visual scores (\pm S.E.M.) of pea aphid populations, Carberry clone, on six cultivars of field peas.	209

List of Tables

	Page
Table 1. Experimental conditions, Glenlea 1984-1986.	34
Table 2. Growth stages of cultivars of field pea <u>Pisum sativum</u> (L.) grown in the field near Glenlea, Manitoba, in 1984 (R ₁ =bud stage; R ₂ =flower; R ₃ =pod formation and enlargement; R ₄ =pod swelling and filling; R ₅ =pod maturity).	39
Table 3. Analysis of variance mean square values for spray regime and cultivar effects on the mean number of aphids per pea plant tip at flowering-pod initiation and at aphid population peaks, Glenlea, 1984-1986.	43
Table 4. Mean pea aphid populations (\pm S.E.M.) per field pea plant tip on sprayed subplots at the time of late flowering - early pod initiation and at peak aphid abundance at Glenlea (n=40, 50 and 50 in 1984, 1985 and 1986, respectively).	44
Table 5. Mean pea aphid populations (\pm S.E.M.) of field pea plant tip on unsprayed subplots at the time of late flowering - early pod initiation and at peak aphid abundance at Glenlea (n=40, 50 and 50 in 1984, 1985 and 1986, respectively).	46
Table 6. Growth stages of cultivars of field pea <u>Pisum sativum</u> (L.) grown in the field near Glenlea, Manitoba, in 1985 (V _n =vegetative stage, nth node; R ₁ =bud stage; R ₂ =flower; R ₃ =pod formation and enlargement; R ₄ =pod swelling and filling; R ₅ =pod maturity; R ₆ =pod drying).	47
Table 7. Growth stages of cultivars of field pea <u>Pisum sativum</u> (L.) grown in the field near Glenlea, Manitoba, in 1986 (V _n =vegetative stage, nth node; R ₁ =bud stage; R ₂ =flower; R ₃ =pod formation and enlargement; R ₄ =pod swelling and filling; R ₅ =pod maturity; R ₆ =pod drying).	52
Table 8. Means (\pm S.E.M.), coefficient of variation values, and pooled standard error of yield components averaged over two rows, 1 m in length, of various field pea cultivars at Glenlea in 1984 (n=4).	56
Table 9. Analysis of variance mean square values for spray regime and cultivar effects and their interaction on harvest components of various cultivars of field peas, Glenlea, 1984 to 1986.	58

	Page
Table 10. Differences in weight of 1000 seeds between sprayed and unsprayed subplots of six cultivars of field peas, Glenlea, 1984 to 1986.	60
Table 11. Linear regressions of 1000 seed weight (y) on mean numbers of aphids per plant tip (x) at the time of peak aphid populations on cultivars of field peas at Glenlea, 1984 to 1986.	61
Table 12. Means (\pm S.E.M.), coefficient of variation values, and pooled standard error of yield components averaged over two rows, 1 m in length, of various field pea cultivars at Glenlea in 1985 (n=5).	63
Table 13. Means (\pm S.E.M.), coefficient of variation values, and pooled standard error of yield components averaged over two rows, 1 m in length, of various field pea cultivars at Glenlea in 1986 (n=5).	64
Table 14. Linear regressions of 1000 seed weight (y) on mean numbers of aphids per plant tip (x) at the time of peak aphid populations on cultivars of field peas at Glenlea, combined over three years.	68
Table 15. Mean number of pea aphids per plant tip on field pea cultivars in cages infested with aphids (n=20).	91
Table 16. Means (\pm S.E.M.), coefficient of variation values, and pooled standard error of yield components averaged over two rows, 1 m in length, of field pea cultivars in cages infested and not infested with pea aphids, 1986 (n=4).	93
Table 17. Differences in weight per m row and weight of 250 seeds between plants in pea aphid infested and control cages on six cultivars of field peas, Glenlea, 1986 (n=4).	95
Table 18. Linear regressions of various harvest components (Y) on maximum pea aphid densities per plant tip (x) of six caged field pea cultivars at Glenlea (n=8).	96
Table 19. Mean number (\pm S.E.M.) of pea aphids on plants of three cultivars of field peas in Manitoba sampled just prior to aerial spraying of Trapper field (n per field = 20).	118
Table 20. Maximum densities (\pm S.E.M.) of pea aphids per plant tip in fields of three different field pea cultivars and the date and plant stage at which densities surpassed 2 - 3 aphids per plant tip.	120

	Page
Table 21. Means (\pm S.E.M.) of harvest components of individual pea fields in which plots were sprayed (treatment SP) or were not sprayed (treatment NS).	123
Table 22. Means (\pm S.E.M.) of harvest components of commercial field pea plots in which pea aphid densities were (treatment SP) and were not (treatment NS) controlled, combined for each cultivar over 1985 and 1986.	126
Table 23. Developmental times (\pm S.E.M.) of pea aphids confined on six different cultivars of field peas (n = 7 to 12).	149
Table 24. Mean (\pm S.E.M.) life-table characteristics of pea aphids confined on six field pea cultivars in the laboratory.	150
Table 25. Demographic statistics derived from the life table study of individual pea aphids confined on six cultivars of field peas.	152
Table 26. Theoretical pea aphid population levels (N_t) at t=18 after reproductive maturity of 5 or 3 aphids on six cultivars of field peas and the antibiosis index of each cultivar.	157
Table 27. Average population ranks of pea aphids on six cultivars of field peas at 20 days after infestation, adjusted to remove the effect of antibiosis on cultivars. ($n_{\text{Trial1}}=39$; $n_{\text{Trial2}}=22$; $n_{\text{Trial3}}=11$; $n_{\text{Combined}}=72$)	159
Table 28. Mean visual scores of pea aphid populations on six cultivars of field peas 20 days after infestation and growth parameters of seedling field peas infested with pea aphids for 20 days expressed as a percentage of the parameters of uninfested plants.	161

List of Figures

	Page
Figure 1. Mean number of pea aphids per plant tip on unsprayed subplots of five cultivars of field peas, Glenlea, 1984 (n=40).	41
Figure 2. Mean number of pea aphids per plant tip on unsprayed subplots of six cultivars of field peas, Glenlea, 1985 (n=50).	49
Figure 3. Mean number of pea aphids per plant tip on unsprayed subplots of six cultivars of field peas, Glenlea, 1986 (n=50).	54
Figure 4. Relationship between 1,000 seed weight and number of aphids per plant tip on 6 cultivars of field peas naturally infested with pea aphids at Glenlea, 1984-1986.	71
Figure 5. Pea aphid populations on plant tips of caged field pea cultivars infested with aphids at Glenlea, 1986 (n = 20 except Trapper and Lenca on August 20, where n = 10).	89
Figure 6. Locations and cultivars of field pea fields sampled for pea aphids throughout the summers of 1985 and 1986.	109
Figure 7. Mean numbers of pea aphids per a) 20 sweeps and per b) 5 plant tips of three cultivars of field peas from eight locations in Manitoba sampled in the same four day period over the summer of 1985.	114
Figure 8. Mean numbers of pea aphids per a) 20 sweeps and per b) 5 plant tips of three cultivars of field peas from seven locations in Manitoba sampled in the same four day period over the summer of 1986.	116
Figure 9. Sleeve cage used to confine pea aphids to pots containing one plant of each of six field pea cultivars.	140
Figure 10. Age-specific survivorship (l_x) patterns for pea aphids confined to clip-cages on six cultivars of field peas (n=18 to 23, except Lenca where n=7).	144
Figure 11. Age-specific fecundity (m_x) patterns for pea aphids confined to clip-cages on six cultivars of field peas (n=18 to 23, except Lenca where n=7).	146
Figure 12. Mean visual scores of pea aphid populations, Glenlea clone, on six cultivars of field peas.	154

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Problem

With declining Canadian Wheat Board payments to producers over the last several years, prairie farmers have increased production of crops not marketed by the Board (Anonymous, 1984a). One such crop is field peas, Pisum sativum L., which has quadrupled in area of production in Manitoba in the last 10 years (Anonymous, 1985a, 1987a).

The main insect pest of field peas in Manitoba is the pea aphid, Acyrtosiphon pisum (Harris) (Anonymous, 1986). In Manitoba, economic thresholds have been established for this pest (Maiteki and Lamb, 1985a), but these were determined only for the commonly grown cultivar Century. Several new field pea cultivars have recently been released that outyield Century (Ali-Khan, 1973, 1978, 1980, 1982). Increased pea aphid densities have been reported on one of these newer cultivars (Maiteki et al., 1986), and there is speculation that aphid control is more likely to be required on cultivars sustaining high aphid populations (Lamb and Maiteki, 1985). However, no research has been conducted comparing pea aphid densities and their impact on various pea cultivars in Manitoba.

1.2 Objectives of the Study

The objectives of this research project were:

1. To determine if different field pea cultivars consistently support different densities of pea aphids in Manitoba pea fields.

2. To determine if there are any differences in cultivar reaction to pea aphid feeding.

3. To determine the bases or mechanisms of differential reactions or resistance of the cultivars.

1.3 Benefits of the Research

The occurrence of different pea aphid densities on different cultivars suggests that aphid resistance factors may be present in some pea cultivars. Host plant resistance represents one of the most cost effective means of pest control (Horber, 1972), and examination of the bases for variable aphid densities could disclose sources of resistance suitable for incorporation into the genomes of new cultivars. Many examples of garden peas with varying degrees of resistance to pea aphid have been found (Searls, 1932; Maltais, 1949; Cartier, 1963; Bintcliffe and Wratten, 1980; Bieri *et al.*, 1983). At present pea aphid control in Manitoba is based entirely on pesticide application (Anonymous, 1986). Utilization of aphid resistant pea cultivars could result in substantial savings in production costs for prairie farmers.

1.4 Thesis Organization

This thesis is a report of the research carried out in growers' fields throughout south central Manitoba, in small field plots at the University of Manitoba's Glenlea Research Station, and in a controlled environment chamber at the University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, from May 1984 to June 1987.

Chapter 2 is a general review of the literature relevant to the research. Chapter 3 is a detailed presentation of the research project organized into four sections, each describing one aspect of the research and written in the style of manuscripts suitable for submission to scientific journals. Chapter 4 is an overall discussion of the research undertaken, and Chapter 5 summarizes the work and the conclusions drawn from it.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Field Pea Production in Manitoba

2.1.1 Use and Importance of Field Peas

Field peas, *P. sativum*, are an annual pulse or edible grain legume crop. The main use of field peas in Canada is in split pea and puree soup manufacture. On the prairies, dried peas are also used to balance low-protein animal feeds (Anonymous, 1984b). To a much lesser extent, protein isolate, pea fibre and purified pea starch are also made from field peas (Nickel, 1986).

Recently, a large export market has been developed for Canadian field peas, and approximately three quarters of the Canadian crop is now exported as whole or split peas (Ali-Khan and Zimmer, 1989). Canadian field peas for human consumption are exported worldwide, reaching markets in India, Britain, Cuba, Columbia and Venezuela, among others. In 1986 the European Economic Community purchased significant quantities of Manitoba field peas for animal feed (F. Baudette, Roy Legumex Inc., St. Jean, MB, personal communication). In some years, depending on markets, premiums may be paid to producers of large-seeded peas (K. Lyons, Newfield Seeds, Nipawin, SK, personal communication).

Field pea production in Canada is centered in the three prairie provinces, with Manitoba producing about 65% of the total Canadian harvest (Anonymous, 1984a). The area sown to peas in Manitoba increased steadily from 15,400 ha in 1976, with a cash value of \$4.7 million (Anonymous, 1985a), to 64,800 ha in 1986, with a cash value of \$22.1

million (Anonymous, 1987a). In 1985 the crop ranked eighth in total crop value to Manitoba farmers (Anonymous, 1985a).

2.1.2 Field Pea Agronomy

Field peas are a cool season crop similar to wheat in days to maturity; therefore, the crop can be grown in most agricultural parts of the province (Anonymous, 1977). The principal production areas are located along and adjacent to the Red River Valley, but expansion of pea production to the north-west and Interlake regions of Manitoba has occurred (J. Rogalsky, Manitoba Dept. of Agriculture, Winnipeg, MB, personal communication).

Young pea seedlings are comparatively frost hardy (Anonymous, 1977) because they can regenerate from axillary buds below the soil surface. Thus early planting is advised (Anonymous, 1985b). Planting after May 25 is not recommended, because high temperatures, especially if they occur before full bloom, may decrease the number of pods, seed size, and yield (Fletcher *et al.*, 1965; Nonnecke *et al.*, 1971).

Seed inoculation with specific Rhizobium nodule-forming bacteria is advised (Anonymous, 1985b). Nitrogen fertilization is not recommended, for it may interfere with nitrogen-fixing Rhizobium ssp. (Salisbury and Ross, 1978), but field peas respond well to phosphorus (Gubbels *et al.*, 1982), potassium, and sulphur fertilization (Anonymous, 1985b) where deficiencies occur.

Field peas are poor competitors with weeds, and prompt weed control is essential for maximum yields (Anonymous, 1977). Aschochyta blight caused by the fungus Mycosphaerella pinodes (Berkeley and Bloxam) Vest. is the most common disease of field peas in western

Canada (Zimmer, 1978; Martens et al., 1984). In some years bacterial blight and root rots may also damage pea plants (Martens et al., 1984). Planting peas once in a five year crop rotation and the use of pedigreed seed that has been treated with an appropriate fungicide are recommended to minimize losses from disease (Anonymous, 1977).

The pea aphid, A. pisum, is the most important insect pest in Manitoba pea fields (Lamb and Maiteki, 1985). Threshold levels of this insect in Manitoba in Century field peas are 2 to 3 aphids per 20 cm plant tip at flowering (Maiteki and Lamb, 1985a). Recommended insecticides for A. pisum control in pea fields are dimethoate at 204 g a.i./ha or malathion at 700 g a.i./ha (Smith et al., 1986).

Peas are harvested when they are mature and hard in the pod (Anonymous, 1977). Delayed harvesting may result in excessive shelling (Ali-Khan and Zimmer, 1989), and seed loss through lodging, germination, or decay in the field (Johnston and Sanderson, 1975), as well as reduced cooking quality (Gubbels et al., 1985). Green peas are susceptible to bleaching and should be harvested early, using a recommended dessicant if necessary (Anonymous, 1984b).

2.1.3 Field Pea Cultivars

Yellow-seeded cultivars are preferred for the soup industry (Anonymous, 1977). Century, Tara, and Tipu are yellow-seeded field pea cultivars recommended in Manitoba for soup production (Anonymous, 1982). Of these, the large-seeded Century, licenced in 1960, is the most popular cultivar grown in Manitoba (Anonymous, 1984b), with an average yield of 2,416 kg/ha in four years of tests in the province (Anonymous, 1986). Tara, licenced in 1978, is a medium-seeded dimpled

cultivar, with powdery mildew resistance and average yields 18% greater than those of Century (Ali-Khan, 1978). Tipu, a large-seeded cultivar licenced in 1985, is a semi-leafless pea possessing normal stipules but with leaflets reduced to tendrils. Similar in yield to Century, Tipu is superior in standing ability, has more uniform drying characteristics than Century (Ali-Khan, 1986), and the canopy has good insecticide penetration properties (Anonymous, 1987b).

Other field pea cultivars grown are Trapper, Lenca, and Triumph. Trapper, licenced in 1970, has small, yellow seeds and is commonly grown for livestock meal; it is the second most commonly grown field pea in Manitoba (Anonymous, 1984b). Lenca is a medium-sized yellow-seeded pea licenced in 1979 for the soup trade. It is not currently recommended for Manitoba production but it is well adapted to eastern Canada, where it outyielded Century by 19% in co-operative tests (Ali-Khan, 1980). Finally, Triumph is a large-seeded green pea also used in the soup industry and the only green coloured cultivar recommended in Manitoba (Anonymous, 1986). Triumph is higher yielding than Century but is more susceptible to weathering and bleaching (Anonymous, 1984b).

Although most of the more recently released field pea cultivars outyield Century, no quantitative studies have been conducted to determine comparative insect infestation levels on these cultivars or to investigate effects of the aphid on different pea cultivars.

2.2 The Pea Aphid

2.2.1 Geographical Distribution, Life Cycle, and Biology

The pea aphid, *A. pisum*, is cosmopolitan in distribution, occurring in every continent except Antarctica (Eastop, 1966, 1971). Of

Palearctic origin (Blackman and Eastop, 1985), it is thought to have been introduced to North America from Europe with clover and/or peas in the second half of the nineteenth century (Folsom, 1909), and was first reported in Illinois in 1878 (Davis, 1915). Near the turn of the century the aphid was found near Ottawa, Ontario (Johnson, 1900); this is the first report of its presence in Canada. It is now widely distributed in Canada, ranging from the Atlantic to Pacific coasts in areas with a temperate climate (Mackauer, 1971). It has been collected as far north as Churchill, Manitoba (58°47'N) (Robinson, 1979).

In Canada, the pea aphid is holocyclic or sexually reproductive, overwintering as a diploid egg on leaves and stems of alfalfa, clovers, and perennial wild legumes (Harper, 1975). The fundatrix or stem-mother, which emerges from the egg in the spring, parthenogenetically and viviparously produces a generation of daughters on the overwintering host. The daughters in turn parthenogenetically and viviparously produce apterous or alate (wingless or winged) female progeny. Alatae are produced in response to the stimuli of declining host quality (Kenten, 1955; Sutherland, 1969a; Kennedy and Forsbrooke, 1972), and physical contact or crowding (Hille Ris Lambers, 1966; Sutherland, 1969b); their production is also modified by maternal age effects (Mackay and Lamb, 1979) and genetic differences among populations (Lamb and Mackay, 1979). The alate aphids migrate to other hosts, including annual legumes such as field peas, thus ensuring a wide distribution (Cooke, 1963). On the summer hosts, many generations of predominantly apterous females are parthenogenetically and viviparously produced. In the autumn reduced photoperiod and declining temperatures (Kenten, 1955; Lees, 1966; Lamb and Pointing, 1972; Ward

et al., 1984) stimulate parthenogenetic females to produce a single sexual generation composed of oviparae or sexual females and males. These sexuals mate and the oviparae lay eggs on the winter host, thus completing the life cycle (Lees, 1961).

A clone is understood to be the offspring produced through parthenogenesis by a single fundatrix and any subsequent viviparous generations (Mackauer, 1973). Thus the clonal descendents of a single fundatrix show little variability since they are genetically identical (Blackman, 1979), and, if subjected to unchanging environments, tend to remain constant over many generations. Cartier (1957) found in the laboratory that two pea aphid clones maintained stable but different mean adult weights over 44 generations. However, pea aphid fundatrices are the products of fertilized eggs, and there may be considerable genetic heterogeneity among them (Lees, 1966; Neiman, 1971). Field populations are generally composed of descendents of many fundatrices, that is, made up of many clones. The biological responses of single clones are not necessarily the same as those of the population as a whole (Lamb and Mackay, 1983; Subasinghe, 1983).

The six main pea aphid morphs -- fundatrix, apterous or alate vivipara, apterous ovipara, and apterous or alate male -- vary somewhat in appearance, notably in the presence or absence of wings and in body shape and size. The general body form in both winged and wingless morphs is elongate and fusiform. Average body dimensions of alatae are 4.5 by 1.5 mm, while apterae are about 5 mm by 1.6 mm (Folsom, 1909). In general, all morphs are light to dark green in colour. Pea aphid eyes are red and prominent, and antennae are lighter coloured than the body. Joints are darker than the rest of the long conspicuous legs

(Folsom, 1909). Davis (1915) gives a complete description of pea aphid morphs in North America.

In Europe, the pea aphid occurs as a green and a red form (Markkula, 1963). Harper *et al.* (1978) reported only the green form of *A. pisum* occurring in North America. However, the red form was collected from alfalfa in the field in Maryland in 1979 and subsequent years (Kugler and Ratcliffe, 1983). The potential of the pea aphid red form as a pest in North America may be greater than that of the green form. This type varies significantly from the green form in such biological attributes as fecundity, total number of alatae produced, searching behaviour (Lowe and Taylor, 1964), response to disturbance (Müller, 1983), and response to alfalfa cultivars (Lowe and Taylor, 1964).

The pea aphid has four nymphal instars (Folsom, 1909; Davis, 1915). Temperature is one of the principal factors affecting the duration of development from birth to adult (Kilian and Nielson, 1971; Hutchison and Hogg, 1984). Temperature thresholds for development of each instar vary with location (Campbell *et al.*, 1974); however, Lamb *et al.* (1987) found that variation in developmental parameters may be greater among clones within populations than among populations collected from distant locations. Minimum and maximum developmental thresholds are about 2.4°C (Hutchison and Hogg, 1984) and 25° to 30°C (Siddiqui *et al.*, 1973), respectively. There appear to be no deleterious effects on development of *A. pisum* in temperature ranges from 10° to 26°C (Campbell and Mackauer, 1975; Bieri *et al.*, 1983).

Length of pre-reproductive period varies with degree of temperature fluctuation (Siddiqui *et al.*, 1973), aphid clone (Frazer,

1972), alary morph (Hutchison and Hogg, 1984), and host plant (Mackauer, 1973; Bieri et al., 1983). Times from birth to adult in the laboratory at 19°-20°C vary from 6.2 days for aphids feeding on faba bean (Frazer, 1972), to 7.6 days for those feeding on alfalfa (Campbell and Mackauer, 1975), to 8.3 days for those feeding on peas (Hutchison and Hogg, 1984). Time from birth to reproduction was reported to be 8.4 days (Siddiqui et al., 1973) and 9.0 days (Cartier, 1960) for aphids feeding on pea cultivars. Harrington (1941) reported a 6.6 day period to reach adult status and a 7.4 day period to achieve reproductive ability for the pea aphid on a pea cultivar. In the field, an aphid clone from Kamloops, British Columbia, had a mean pre-reproductive period of 12.3 days feeding on alfalfa (Campbell and Mackauer, 1977). In Wisconsin, time to first reproduction of the pea aphid grown on alfalfa out of doors was 12.5 days for spring apterae and 7.5 days for apterae monitored in the summer (Hutchison and Hogg, 1984).

Fecundity also varies with temperature, with a maximum number of nymphs produced at about 15°C (Siddiqui et al., 1973; Bieri et al., 1983). Fecundity of A. pisum on a pea cultivar near Lennoxville, Quebec, was 66 nymphs at mean August temperatures of 16.5°C and 35 nymphs at mean October temperatures of 12.8°C (Sharma et al., 1974). Fecundity also varies with photoperiod (Sharma et al., 1973), morph (Mackay and Wellington, 1975), aphid clone, and host plant. Markkula and Roukka (1970) reported four pea aphid clones to have 80 to 100 progeny per female on two pea cultivars, and less than 10 per female nymphs each on red clover, while two other clones exhibited the opposite trend in fecundity. These authors also reported average numbers of descendents of one pea aphid clone varying from fewer than

five to about 50 per female on 16 different pea cultivars (Markkula and Roukka, 1971).

Under laboratory conditions reproductive rate increases rapidly to a maximum, which occurs about one third of the way through the reproductive period, and then gradually declines as adults age (Hutchison and Hogg, 1984). The post-reproductive period is extremely variable in length (Campbell and Mackauer, 1977). At a constant temperature of 19.7°C, an A. pisum clone growing on alfalfa had a mean reproductive period of 19.4 days, a post-reproductive period of 9.8 days, and a lifespan of 38.2 days (Campbell and Mackauer, 1977).

The number of generations of pea aphid in the field varies from 14 to 28 in California (Cooke, 1963); 13 generations per year were recorded in Indiana (Davis, 1915), and 6 or 7 generations in the northerly latitudes of Finland (Markkula, 1963). In Wisconsin, A. pisum produces 7 to 8 generations a year on peas in late spring and summer (Harrington, 1941).

Differences in life cycle, growth rates, fecundity and longevity on various host plants have led to the recognition of several biotypes or physiological races of A. pisum (Cartier, 1957, 1959, 1963; Neiman, 1971; Kilian and Nielson, 1971; Frazer, 1972; Mackauer, 1973; Auclair and Srivastava, 1977; Webster and Inayatullah, 1985). Neiman (1971) tested 34 parthenogenetic clones of pea aphid and assigned them to eight biotypes and two feeding complexes on the basis of feeding response. Populations from warmer U.S. regions were found to withstand higher temperatures than populations from cooler regions (Kilian and Nielson, 1971).