

THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA

AERATION OF STORED WHEAT
IN THE CANADIAN PRAIRIES

by

John Frederick Metzger

A THESIS
SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES
IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF
MASTER OF SCIENCE

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERING

WINNIPEG, MANITOBA

November, 1980

AERATION OF STORED WHEAT
IN THE CANADIAN PRAIRIES

BY

JOHN FREDERICK METZGER

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies of
the University of Manitoba in partial fulfillment of the requirements
of the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

©1980

Permission has been granted to the LIBRARY OF THE UNIVER-
SITY OF MANITOBA to lend or sell copies of this thesis, to
the NATIONAL LIBRARY OF CANADA to microfilm this
thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film, and UNIVERSITY
MICROFILMS to publish an abstract of this thesis.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the
thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or other-
wise reproduced without the author's written permission.

ABSTRACT

Aeration of Stored Wheat in the Canadian Prairies

by

John Frederick Metzger

Airflow rates and fan control methods for aeration of stored wheat to maintain quality during storage were evaluated. A computer simulation model which predicts grain conditions in two-dimensions of a cylindrical granary, with no aeration, and with aeration, was developed. The model was verified by comparing predicted and experimental data obtained during the 1979-80 storage year, and was used to investigate various design parameters of aeration systems.

Historical weather data for 15 or more harvest years from four Canadian Prairie locations ranging from Fort St. John, British Columbia, to Winnipeg, Manitoba were used. The effects of climate, initial moisture content, harvest date, and initial grain temperature on the condition of stored wheat were determined. The condition during storage of 15% initial moisture content wheat was predicted with no aeration, with aeration rates from 0.5 to 3.0 (L/s)/m³, and with four different fan control methods.

All aeration airflow rates and fan control methods reduced the rate of grain deterioration. An airflow rate of 1.0 (L/s)/m^3 was optimum for continuous aeration. The optimum fan control methods were humidistat control with settings between 50 and 70%, 6 h time-clock operation at night, and differential thermostat control with settings between -10 and -15°C . The choice of fan control method is independent of climatic variation within the range of climates studied.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many individuals and organizations have assisted during the course of my studies in Winnipeg. First of all, I would like to thank Dr. Bill Muir. His support, encouragement, and many suggestions have been extremely helpful. It is my hope that this thesis reflects his high standards. I also wish to thank Mr. Pat Terry for his assistance in instrumenting the aeration bin, in collecting the experimental data, and in the fan performance project.

I am grateful to my employer, the British Columbia Ministry of Agriculture and Food, in particular to Mr. Tom Windt, the Head of the Engineering Branch, for his efforts in pursuing a leave-of-absence for me for this study period. The financial assistance provided by the Department of Agricultural Engineering at the University of Manitoba is very much appreciated. Additional financial support from the Manitoba Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Canada, and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada is acknowledged as well.

Thanks are due to Dr. Ron Britton, Professor Lorne Buchanan, and Mr. Orly Friesen for serving on my committee.

Finally, I wish to thank my wife Bridget and daughter Andrea for their patient support during this past year.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	viii

Chapter	page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
Benefits of Grain Aeration	3
Grain Cooling	4
Biological considerations	4
Initial grain temperature	5
Maintaining Uniform Temperature	6
Aeration System Performance	7
Airflow rate	7
System management periods and fan control methods	8
Mathematical Models	10
Forced Convection Models	11
Types of models	11
Empirical models	12
Analytical models	13
Conduction Models	14
Grain Deterioration Models	15
III. MATHEMATICAL MODEL	17
Modelling Intermittent Aeration	17
Conduction Model	18
Forced Convection Model	24
Wheat Deterioration Model	26
Combined Model	28
Additional data	28
Simulation procedure	29
IV. MODEL VALIDATION	37
Method	37

Facilities and Equipment	37
Grain Deterioration	41
Comparison of Measured and Predicted Results	41
Storage and ventilation periods	41
Grain temperatures	43
Grain moisture contents	46
Grain deterioration	51
Discussion and Conclusions	53
Grain temperatures	53
Grain moisture contents	53
Grain deterioration	54
 V. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	 55
Canadian Prairie Climates	55
Climatic regions	55
Initial grain temperatures	57
Storage Bin and Aeration System	57
Grain Deterioration in Unventilated Storage	59
Initial Conditions and Aeration Periods	65
Airflow Rate for Fall Aeration at Winnipeg	66
Control of Fan Operating Times During the Fall Cool-down Period at Winnipeg	69
Humidistat control	69
Thermostat control	72
Time-clock control	74
Differential thermostat control	74
Control Method Comparison	78
Aeration During the Fall Cool-down Period: Comparison of Prairie Climates	79
Humidistat control	79
Time-clock control	81
Differential thermostat control	81
Intermittent Aeration During the Following Winter and Summer Periods	85
 VI. CONCLUSIONS	 90
 VII. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY	 93
 LIST OF REFERENCES	 95
 Appendix	 page
A. FLOWCHART OF MAIN PROGRAM	99
B. FORTRAN STATEMENT LISTING OF MAIN PROGRAM AND SUBROUTINES . .	103
C. ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF FORTRAN VARIABLE AND SUBROUTINE NAMES	130

D.	PERFORMANCE OF SEVERAL AXIAL-FLOW FANS FOR GRAIN BIN VENTILATION	143
----	--	-----

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		page
3.1	Sector of a cylindrical grain bin divided into M + 1 vertical elements and N + 1 radial elements for conduction simulation, and 2M vertical layers and N + 1 columns for forced convection simulation.	20
3.2	Allowable storage time for wheat at various temperatures and moisture contents (Fraser and Muir 1980, based on data from Kreyger 1972).	27
3.3	Skeleton flow chart of the combined computer simulation model.	31
3.4	Example page of computer output for the system status report.	33
3.5	Example page of computer output for the summary report.	35
4.1	Glenlea aeration bin, October 1979.	38
4.2	Cross-section of experimental aeration bin showing the thermocouple and grain sampling locations.	39
4.3	Pitot traverse duct and inclined manometer during a field airflow rate test.	40
4.4	Caldwell Model AF12.75 aeration fan with airflow reduction baffle installed.	43
4.5	Measured and predicted grain temperature values at thermocouple location 11.	44
4.6	Measured and predicted grain temperature values at thermocouple location 12.	45
4.7	Measured and predicted floor level moisture content values (Mean of sampling locations 1 & 2).	47
4.8	Measured and predicted centre level moisture content values (Mean of sampling locations 3 & 4).	48
4.9	Measured and predicted top level moisture content values (Mean of sampling locations 5 & 6).	49

4.10	Measured and predicted values of the average bin moisture content (Mean of all six sampling locations).	50
4.11	Grain temperature and deterioration in the experimental aeration bin.	52
5.1	Climatic subdivisions of the Canadian Prairies (based on Putnam and Putnam 1970).	56
5.2	Average 24 h daily temperature (3 week running mean) at four Canadian Prairie locations during the normal harvest period.	58
5.3	Predicted average number of days from harvest to the first occurrence of spoilage at four prairie locations for various harvest dates.	60
5.4	Predicted average number of days from harvest to the first occurrence of spoilage at four prairie locations for various initial moisture contents. Initial grain temperatures based on air temperature on harvest date. . .	62
5.5	Predicted average number of days from harvest to the first occurrence of spoilage at four prairie locations for various initial moisture contents. Initial grain temperature is the same at all locations.	63
5.6	Average proportion of allowable storage time elapsed during one year with no aeration at four prairie locations. . . .	64
5.7	Grain condition and energy consumption for a range of aeration airflow rates, after the fall cool-down period at Winnipeg.	67
5.8	Grain condition and energy consumption with humidistat controlled aeration after the fall cool-down period at Winnipeg.	70
5.9	Grain condition and energy consumption with thermostat controlled aeration after the fall cool-down period at Winnipeg.	73
5.10	Grain condition and energy consumption with differential thermostat controlled aeration after the fall cool-down period at Winnipeg.	77
5.11	Grain condition and energy consumption with humidistat controlled aeration after the fall cool-down period at four prairie locations.	80
5.12	Grain condition and energy consumption with differential thermostat controlled aeration after the fall cool-down period at four prairie locations.	86

- 5.13 Grain deterioration through the year following harvest with ventilation, comparing the effect of initial grain temperature, at four prairie locations. 88
- 5.14 Grain deterioration through the year following harvest, comparing no aeration, and aeration with and without intermittent summer ventilation, at four prairie locations. 89

LIST OF TABLES

Table	page
5.1 Grain condition and energy consumption with time-clock controlled aeration after the fall cool-down period at Winnipeg.	75
5.2 Grain condition and energy consumption with time-clock controlled aeration after the fall cool-down period at Swift Current.	82
5.3 Grain condition and energy consumption with time-clock controlled aeration after the fall cool-down period at Edmonton.	83
5.4 Grain condition and energy consumption with time-clock controlled aeration after the fall cool-down period at Fort St. John.	84

Chapter I

INTRODUCTION

The trend to more storage of grain at the farm, and to larger volume granaries appears well established in Canada. Uncertainty in production and marketing can often result in lengthy storage periods. Although the Canadian Grain Commission specifies "dry" moisture contents for market, these may not necessarily be "safe" moisture contents for storage. Moisture migration, or high initial grain temperatures in large storages can result in serious deterioration, even at these "dry" moisture contents. Variable weather and field conditions during harvest may produce "tough" or "damp" grain which has an even greater tendency toward deterioration than "dry" grain.

The use of aeration has been suggested as a means of maintaining grain quality in storage. Present airflow and management recommendations are based largely on data for corn from the United States. Precise data for Canadian crops based on Canadian climatic data are unavailable.

The objectives of this study were to determine effective airflow rates and fan control methods for intermittently operated aeration systems used for on-farm storage in Canadian Prairie regions. The method of investigation was a computer simulation model. This model is capable of predicting wheat temperatures, moisture contents and deterioration, with and without ventilation, in two-dimensions of a circular steel gra-