THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA

RATE EFFECTS AND LOW STRESS STRENGTH OF WINNIPEG CLAY

by

VINCENT CHUN-SANG AU

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

WINNIPEG, MANITOBA

JUNE, 1982

RATE EFFECTS AND LOW STRESS STRENGTH OF WINNIPEG CLAY

BY

VINCENT CHUN-SANG AU

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies of the University of Manitoba in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

© 1982

Permission has been granted to the LIBRARY OF THE UNIVER-SITY OF MANITOBA to lend or sell copies of this thesis, to the NATIONAL LIBRARY OF CANADA to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film, and UNIVERSITY MICROFILMS to publish an abstract of this thesis.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.

ABSTRACT

The two principal purposes in this thesis are:

- to investigate the influence of test duration and strain rate on the stress-strain behaviour of Lake Agassiz clay from Winnipeg.
- to examine the strength of Winnipeg clay at low stress levels.

The study also investigated the Undrained Strength of the clay at Large Strains (USALS) and its relationship with the normally consolidated Coulomb-Mohr envelope.

Six drained stress-controlled triaxial tests on undisturbed samples were used to study the time-dependent aspects of the YLIGHT model of soil behaviour. Six nonstandard and four strain-rate controlled oedometer tests were performed to examine the effects of time and strain rate on the preconsolidation pressure, p'_c . The samples were taken from 11.6 m depth, and the sample diameter was 76 mm.

The preconsolidation pressure p_c^{\prime} decreased from 249 to 225 kPa as the duration of the load application increased from 0.1 to 100 days. This supports previous findings by Bjerrum (1967), Tavenas and Leroueil (1977). Strain controlled oedometer tests also show that the preconsolidation pressure is strain rate dependent.

Five 76 mm diameter undisturbed triaxial samples taken from 11.6 m, six 'fully-softened' and five 'freeze-thaw' triaxial samples taken from 8.7 m were tested to study the low tes S

- i -

stress strengths of Winnipeg clay. Data was obtained on both drained and undrained triaxial behaviour. The low stress strengths were the highest for undisturbed samples, followed by the 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples. These low stress strength envelopes were considerably curved and parallel to each other.

The Undrained Strength at Large Strains (USALS) obtained for all the undrained tests lay close to the normally consolidated Coulomb-Mohr envelope ($\phi' = 17.5^{\circ}$; c' = 4 kPa).

- ii -

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am greatly indebted to Dr. J. Graham, who initiated and supervised the work, for his enthusiam, guidance and continued support during his sabbatical year.

I am also indebted to Dr. L. Magalhaes for his assistance in the preparation of this thesis.

The author wishes to thank Mr. N. Piamsalee, Mr. J. Clark, Mr. S. Meyerhoff and Mr. G. Tays of the technical staff of Civil Engineering Department, University of Manitoba, for their assistance during the experimental investigation.

Special thanks is given to Mr. K.V. Lew and Mr. O.F. Yong for their assistance during the initial stage of the experimental work.

I also wish to thank Mrs. Jessamine Lew for her excellent work in typing the thesis.

Financial assistance, in the form of support from NSERC grant A3712 is acknowledged.

Lastly, but certainly not least, I would like to express my utmost gratitude to my wife, Ting, for her patience, understanding, encouragement and unstinting support to help me through the difficult times. én.,

- iii -

TABLE OF CONTENTS

																				PAGE
	ABSTR	RACI	- . •	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	i
	ACKNO	OWLE	EDGEN	MENTS	5	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	iii
м.	TABLE	E OI	F COI	TEN	ГS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	iv
	LIST	OF	SYM	BOLS	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	vii
	LIST	OF	TAB	LES	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	x
	LIST	OF	FIG	JRES	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	xii
CHAPTER																				
1.	INTRO			N																
	1.1	In: St:	fluer	nce -Stra	of air	Ti 1 B	me eh	Eav	ff	ec ur	ts o	c f	n Sc	th i1	ie	•	•		•	1
	1.2	Lov	v St	ress	St	re	ng	th	s	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		6
	1.3	Out	tlin	e of	Th	ies	is		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9
2.	DESCI TEST	RIP PR	LION DCED	OF URES	GEN	IER	AL	, S	01	L	PR	OF	II	ĿΕ	A١	ID				
	2.1	Int	trod	ucti	on	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	13
	2.2	So	i1 P	rofi	le	an	d	Pr	op	er	ti	es	5	•	•	•	•	•	•	13
	2.3	Sai	nple	Pre	paı	at	ic	n	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•.	•	14
	2.4	Te	st P	roce	duı	res	;	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	17
		2.	4.1	Und	ist	cur	ъе	ed	Sa	mp)le	s	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	18
				2.4	.1	.1	T a t	ri Ind	ax I I 011	ia) ra ed	l in I T	Co lec Tri	ons I S Lay	so] Sti kia	lio res al	la ss Te	tio Co est	on on• ts	-	
							f	or	Ū	Jnd	lis	stι	irt	e	1 5	Sai	mp:	les	5	18
				2.4	.1	. 2	U	Ind	lra	in	ieð	! 5	She	ear	riı	ıg	•	•	•	19
		2.	4.2	'Fu	11)	7-8	Sof	Ξte	ene	ed'	S	San	np]	les	3	•	•	•	•	21
		2.	4.3	'Fr	eez	ze	ar	ıd	Th	aw	7 1	Sa	ımp	$\mathbf{p1e}$	es	•	•	•	•	22
		2.	4.4	Non Oed	-Stome	tar ete	nda er	rd Te	l S est	Str S	es.	ss •	Co •	ont •	tro •	1	1e0	d	•	23
		2.	4.5	Con Tes	sta ts	ant (C	: F CRS	lat 5]	e Tes	of sts	E S ;)	Sti	a:	in •	00	ed •	ome •	ete	er •	24

- iv -

.

9.89X

PAGE CHAPTER TESTS TO EXAMINE TIME EFFECTS AND 3. STRAIN RATE EFFECTS 27 Introduction 3.1 28 3.2 Testing Program Consolidated Drained 3.2.1 Triaxial Tests 28 Non-Standard One-Dimensional 3.2.2 Oedometer Tests 31 Constant Rate of Strain 3.2.3 Oedometer Tests 31 Triaxial Consolidation and Drained 3.3 Stress Controlled Triaxial Tests . . . 32 Reconsolidation to In-Situ 3.3.1 Stresses 32 Drained Compression Results . . . 3.3.2 33 Non-Standard Oedometer Tests 36 3.4 Constant Rate of Strain Oedometer Tests 3.5 39 LOW STRESS TEST RESULTS 4. Introduction 4.1 40 4.2 Testing Program 41 Triaxial Consolidation and Drained 4.3 Stress-Controlled Tests 42 Triaxial Consolidation . . 4.3.1 42 4.3.2 Drained Compression Results . . . 43 Undrained Shear Triaxial Tests 4.4 45 Stress-Strain Relationship 4.4.1 . . . 45 Effective Stress Paths 4.4.2 48 Porewater Pressure Generation . . 50 4.4.3 'E₅₀' Parameter 4.4.4 53 Strain Rate Effect 4.4.5 54 4.4.6 Undrained Strength at Large Strains . . . 55 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS 5. Introduction 56 5.1 Time and Strain Rate Effects on the 5 - A Yield Behaviour of Winnipeg Clay . . . 58

v -

				DACE
CHAPTER				FAGE
		5-A.1	Time Effects on Yield Stresses .	58
		5-A.2	Influence of Time and Strain Rate Effects on the Preconsolidation Pressure p'_c	62
			$1 \in S \cup S$	02
			Oedometer Tests	65
	5 - B	Results Investi	for the Low Stress Strength	66
		5-B.1	Triaxial Consolidation	66
		5-B.2	Drained Stress Controlled Triaxial Tests	67
		5-B.3	Low Stress Strengths	68
		5-B.4	Undrained Shearing Behaviour	70
			5-B.4.1 Stress-Strain Behaviour	70
		5-B.5	'A _f ' Parameter	71
		5-B.6	Strain Rate Effects	73
		5-B.7	USALS	73
6.	CONCI RESEA	LUSIONS	AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER	
	6.1	Conclus	sions	77
	6.2	Suggest	tions for Further Research	78
	DUDDI	THATA		01
	REFEI	RENCES		01
	TABLI	ES		84
	FIGUI	RES		105
	APPE	NDIX I	TRIAXIAL TEST RESULTS	180

. . .

Augenta Augenta

· · · ·

- vi -

LIST OF SYMBOLS

А, В	- porewater pressure parameter (after Skempton,
	1954)
Af	- value of A at failure
c'	- effective cohesion intercept
C _c	- compression index
c _v	- coefficient of consolidation
Cα	- coefficient of secondary compression
CAD	- stress-controlled, consolidated anisotropically
	drained test
CAD(U)	- strain-controlled, undrained compression test
	with porewater pressure measurements preceded
	by CAD test
CAU	- strain-controlled, consolidated anisotropically
	undrained compression test
CRS	- constant rate of strain oedometer test
е	- voids ratio
e _o	- initial voids ratio
e _f	- final voids ratio
^E 50	- elastic modulus to 50 per cent of failure stress
G	- shear modulus
G _s	- specific gravity
G.W.L	- groundwater table or phreatic surface

Ip	- plasticity index
ĸ _o	- coefficient of earth pressure at rest
LSSV	- Length of Stress Vector
OCR	- overconsolidation ratio
p'	- mean principal stress; = $(\sigma'_1 + \sigma'_2 + \sigma'_3)/3$
p'c	- effective preconsolidation pressure
p'	- effective vertical overburden stress
q	- principal stress difference; = $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$
^s u	- undrained strength; = $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2_{max}$
u	- porewater pressure
V	- specific volume; = (1 + e)
W	- natural moisture content
wi	- initial moisture content
wf	- final moisture content
wL	- liquid limit
wp	- plastic limit
W _T	- strain energy absorbed per unit volume
$^{\gamma}$ sat	- saturated unit weight
^ε 1, ^ε 3	- major and minor principal strains (i.e. axial
	and radial strains in triaxial compression test)
3	- shear strain; = $2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/3$
^ε 1c ^{, ε} 3c	- $arepsilon_1$ and $arepsilon_3$ at the end of triaxial consolidation
	to σ'_{1c} , σ'_{3c}

-

4

· .

ε _v	- volumetric strain in triaxial compression test
εvc	- ϵ_V at the end of triaxial consolidation to
	σ_{1c}, σ_{3c}
εvr	- vertical strain for oedometer test
	- average axial strain during relaxation test in
	undrained compression test
έ ₁	- axial strain rate
^ρ 0.1	- strain rate effect parameter for undrained
	strength
ⁿ 0.1	- strain rate effect parameter for preconsolidation
	pressure p'c
σ', σ'3	- major and minor effective principal stresses
σic, σisc	- σ'_1 and σ'_3 at the end of triaxial consolidation
^σ oct	- total octahedral normal stress
σ'oct	- effective octahedral normal stress
σ'v	- effective vertical stress
φ'	- effective angle of shearing resistance

- ix -

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
2.1	BASIC SOIL PROPERTIES	84
2.2	BASIC SOIL PROPERTIES	85
2.3	TEMPERATURE, DURATION AND NUMBER OF FREEZE- THAW CYCLES FOR 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES	86
3.1	TRIAXIAL CONSOLIDATION RESULTS FOR RESTRESSING TO IN-SITU STRESSES	87
3.2	TRIAXIAL CONSOLIDATION RESULTS AT THE END OF STRESS-CONTROLLED TESTING FOR UNDISTURBED SAMPLES	88
3.3	TRIAXIAL CONSOLIDATION RESULTS AT THE END OF STRESS-CONTROLLED TESTING FOR 'FULLY-SOFTENED' AND 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES	89
3.4	YIELD STRESSES FROM DIFFERENT YIELD CRITERIA FOR UNDISTURBED SAMPLES CONSOLIDATED TO IN-SITU STRESS LEVEL	90
3.5	RESULTS FOR NON-STANDARD OEDOMETER TESTS	91
3.6	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN P' AND LOAD DURATION FOR THE NON-STANDARD OEDOMETER TESTS	92
3.7	RELATIONSHIP AMONG $c_{v}^{},\ C_{\alpha},\ C_{c},\ C_{\alpha}/C_{c}$ AND TIME FOR THE NON-STANDARD OEDOMETER TESTS	93
3.8	CRS OEDOMETER TEST RESULTS	94
4.1	TRIAXIAL RECONSOLIDATION RESULTS FOR LOW STRESS TESTS (UNDISTURBED SAMPLES)	95
4.2	TRIAXIAL RECONSOLIDATION RESULTS FOR LOW STRESS TESTS ('FULLY-SOFTENED' AND 'FREEZE- THAW' SAMPLES)	96
4.3	QUASI-YIELD STRESSES FROM DIFFERENT YIELD CRITERIA FOR LOW STRESS TESTS	97
4.4	SUMMARY OF UNDRAINED SHEAR TEST RESULTS	98
4.5	COMPARISON OF Af AND m VALUES FOR OVER- CONSOLIDATED 'UNDISTURBED', 'FULLY-SOFTENED' AND 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES	99

- xi -

104

TABLE		PAGE
4.6	VALUES OF STRAIN RATE PARAMETER ^P 0.1 FOR VARIOUS AXIAL STRAINS FROM RELAXATION TESTS	100
4.7	VALUES OF STRAIN RATE PARAMETER P _{0.1} FOR VARIOUS AXIAL STRAINS FROM STEP-CHANGING TESTS	101
4.8	END OF TEST VALUE FOR UNDRAINED SHEAR TESTS	102
5.1	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SPECIFIC VOLUME (V) AND σoct DURING SAMPLE RECONSOLIDATION FOR UNDISTURBED SAMPLES TAKEN FROM 11.6 m	103
5.2	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SPECIFIC VOLUME (V) AND σ_{oct}^{b} DURING SAMPLE RECONSOLIDATION FOR SAMPLES TAKEN FROM 8.2 and 8.7 m	104

- xii -

×

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1.1	SITE PLAN AND LOCATION OF BOREHOLES	105
1.2	SUMMARY OF ALL AVAILABLE YIELD ENVELOPES FOR WINNIPEG CLAY	106
1.3	NORMALIZED YIELD ENVELOPE FOR WINNIPEG CLAY	107
1.4	AVERAGE BOREHOLE LOG INFORMATION, UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA CAMPUS (MODIFIED BARACOS et al., 1980)	108
2.1	SKETCH OF NEW BASE FOR OEDOMETER TO PERMIT POREWATER PRESSURE MEASUREMENT DURING CRS TESTS	109
2.2	CRS OEDOMETER SET-UP	110
2.3	'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLE T421	110
3.1	PROPOSED STRESS PATHS FOR TESTS T401 TO T406	111
3.2	STRESS PATHS FOLLOWED BY UNDISTURBED SAMPLES DURING TEST PROGRAM	112
3.3	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'oct vs ε _v ; T401, T402	113
3.4	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_3 vs ε_3 ; T401, T402	114
3.5	YIELD DETERMINATION, W _T vs LSSV; T401, T402	115
3.6	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_1 vs ε_1 ; T403, T404	116
3.7	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'oct vs ε _v ; T403, T404	117
3.8	YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ε_1 ; T403, T404	118
3.9	YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ ; T403, T404	119

- xiii -

FIGURE		PAGE
3.10	YIELD DETERMINATION, W _T vs LSSV; T403, T404	120
3.11	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_3 vs ϵ_3 ; T405, T406	121
3.12	YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ ; T405, T406	122
3.13	YIELD DETERMINATION, W _T vs LSSV; T403, T404	123
3.14	YIELD AND MAXIMUM DEVIATOR STRESSES FOR UNDISTURBED SAMPLES	124
3.15	NON-STANDARD OEDOMETER TESTS, $\epsilon_{ m VR}$ vs $\sigma_{ m V}$	125
3.16	NON-STANDARD OEDOMETER TESTS, $\epsilon_{ m VR}$ vs log $\sigma_{ m V}$	126
3.17	CONSOLIDATION TIME CURVES; C403 TO C406	127
3.18	CONSOLIDATION TIME CURVES; C401 TO C402	128
3.19	GRAPH OF c_v , C_c , C_α , C_α/C_c , ε_{VR} vs $\log \sigma_v^+$ FOR NON-STANDARD OEDOMETER TESTS AT 24 HOUR LOAD DURATION	129
3.20	GRAPH OF C_c , C_α , C_α/C_c , ε_{VR} vs $\log \sigma_V$ FOR NON-STANDARD OEDOMETER TESTS AT 240 HOUR LOAD DURATION	130
3.21	STRAIN-RATE CONTROLLED OEDOMETER TESTS, $\varepsilon_{VR} vs \sigma'_{V} \dots \dots$	131
3.22	STRAIN-RATE CONTROLLED OEDOMETER TESTS, ε_{VR} vs log σ'_{V}	132
4.1	STRESS PATHS FOLLOWED BY 'FULLY-SOFTENED' SAMPLES DURING TEST PROGRAM	133
4.2	STRESS PATHS FOLLOWED BY 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES DURING TEST PROGRAM	134
4.3	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_1 vs ε_1 ; T415	135
4.4	YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ_1 ; T415	135
4.5	YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ ; T415, T417	136
4.6	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_{oct} vs ϵ_v ; T417	137

.

э,

- xiv -

FIGURE	P	AGE
4.7	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_3 vs ε_3 ; T417	137
4.8	YIELD DETERMINATION, W_{T} vs LSSV; T417	138
4.9	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_1 vs ε_1 ; T410, T412, T414	139
4.10	YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ε_1 ; T410, T412, T414	140
4.11	YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ ; T410, T412, T414	141
4.12	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_3 vs ϵ_3 ; T410, T412, T414	142
4.13	YIELD DETERMINATION, W _T vs LSSV; T410, T412, T414	143
4.14	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ_1' vs ε_1 ; T421	144
4.15	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_3 vs ε_3 ; T421	144
4.16	YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ_1 ; T419, T421	145
4.17	YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ ; T419, T421	146
4.18	YIELD DETERMINATION, W _T vs LSSV; T419, T421	147
4.19	UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T402	148
4.20	UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T407	149
4.21	UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T408	150
4.22	UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T416	151
4.23	UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T411	152
4.24	UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T413	153

· •

•

		- xv -
FIGURE		PAGE
4.25	UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T409	154
4.26	UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T422	155
4.27	UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T420	156
4.28	UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T418	157
4.29	EFFECTIVE STRESS PATHS FOR UNDISTURBED SAMPLES FROM UNDRAINED TESTS	158
4.30	YIELD AND MAXIMUM DEVIATOR STRESS FOR 'FULLY- SOFTENED' AND 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES	159
4.31	NORMALIZED LOW STRESS TEST RESULTS	160
4.32	LOW STRESS STRENGTHS AND USALS FOR UNDISTURBED SAMPLES	161
4.33	LOW STRESS STRENGTHS AND USALS FOR 'FULLY- SOFTENED' AND 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES	162
4.34	GRAPH OF POREWATER PRESSURE PARAMETER A _f vs 1/σ' _{1c} FOR WINNIPEG CLAY	163
4.35	SUMMARY GRAPH OF POREWATER PRESSURE PARAMETER A _f vs OVERCONSOLIDATION RATIO	164
4.36	DEPENDENCE OF POREWATER PRESSURE PARAMETER A ON STRESS LEVELS AND STRESS RATIO DURING CONSOLIDATION	165
4.37	POREWATER PRESSURE BEHAVIOUR, Δu/σ' _{1c} vs Δσ _{oct} /σ' _{1c} ; T402	166
4.38	POREWATER PRESSURE BEHAVIOUR, Δu/σ'ic ^{vs Δσ} oct ^{/σ} ic; ^{T407} , T408	167
4.39	POREWATER PRESSURE BEHAVIOUR, $\Delta u/\sigma'_{1c} vs \Delta \sigma_{oct}/\sigma'_{1c}; T409, T416$	168
4.40	POREWATER PRESSURE BEHAVIOUR, $\Delta u/\sigma_{1c}^{vs} \Delta \sigma_{oct}^{\sigma_{1c}^{s}; T411}, T413 \dots \dots$	169
4.41	POREWATER PRESSURE BEHAVIOUR, $\Delta u/\sigma_{1c}^{vs \Delta\sigma} \circ ct^{\sigma_{1c}^{s}; T418, T420} \ldots \ldots$	170

.

-

.

FIGURE		PAGE
4.42	POREWATER PRESSURE BEHAVIOUR, $\Delta u/\sigma'_{1c} vs \Delta \sigma_{oct}/\sigma'_{1c}; T422 \dots \dots \dots$	171
4.43	RELATIVE STIFFNESS E ₅₀ /s _u vs OVERCONSOLIDA- TION RATIO	172
4.44	STRAIN RATE EFFECTS FROM FIRST RELAXATION TESTS	173
5.1	NORMALIZED YIELD STRESSES FOR SAMPLES T401 TO T406	174
5.2	GRAPH OF SPECIFIC VOLUME V vs σ'_{oct}	175
5.3	GRAPH OF SPECIFIC VOLUME V vs logo'	176
5.4	TYPICAL CLAY STRUCTURE FOR 'FULLY-SOFTENED' SAMPLES AFTER FAILURE, T410	177
5.5	TYPICAL CLAY STRUCTURE FOR 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES AFTER FAILURE, T422	177
5.6	TYPICAL FAILURE PLANE FOR 'FULLY-SOFTENED' SAMPLES, T409	178
5.7	TYPICAL FAILURE PLANE FOR 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES, T421	178
5.8	THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN STRAIN RATE AND PLASTICITY INDEX	179

.

- xvi-

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 INFLUENCE OF TIME EFFECTS ON THE STRESS-STRAIN BEHAVIOUR OF SOIL

Before 1960 it was considered that time effects such as straining rate or test duration influenced stress-strain behaviour of soil in a relatively minor way, and could be included with other effects whose magnitude, could not be determined, but which produce compensating errors (Bishop and Henkel, 1957). Since Bjerrum (1967) introduced the "delayed compression" concept, more attention had been paid to time dependent and strain rate dependent properties of carefully sampled natural clay The "delayed compression" concept (Crooks and Graham, 1976). suggested that normally consolidated clay subjected to a constant overburden stress after a long period could be referred to as "aged normally consolidated clay" having a value of preconsolidation pressure \textbf{p}_{c}^{\prime} greater than \textbf{p}_{o}^{\prime} due to delayed compression. Delayed compression acts to reduce the void ratio and develop a more stable arrangement of soil particles. This leads to greater strength and reduced compressibility. A result of this delayed compression is the development of a reserve resistance against further consolidation. Since more load can be carried in addition to the overburden stress without significant volume change, the preconsolidation pressure p_{c}^{\prime} appears to increase By monitoring the settlements of five buildings with time. in the Drammen area, Bjerrum (1967) further observed

- 1 -

that the effect of the reserve resistance of the plastic clay on the settlement was most pronounced during the initial period after completion of the buildings. The effect of reserve resistance disappeared with long time increments.

Crooks and Graham (1976), working with the postglacial organic silty clays of the Belfast area, showed that rate effects were also significant in the stress-strain behaviour of less sensitive, plastic clays. They reported that the undrained strength of samples increased by between 7 and 17 per cent for tenfold increases in strain rate.

Based on tests on sensitive clays from Eastern Canada, Crawford (1965), Conlon (1967) and Jarrett (1967) demonstrated that the time dependency of both undrained shear strength (s_u) and preconsolidation pressure (p'_c) was significant. Recently tests on the compressibility and strength of Canadian natural clays, especially their creep behaviour under constant effective stress (Campanella and Vaid, 1974; Vaid et al., 1979; Tavenas et al., 1978) also indicated the pronounced influence of time and rate effects on the compressibility and strength of natural clays.

To provide more rational framework for understanding the stress-strain behaviour of natural lightly overconsolidated clay, Mitchell (1970), Crooks and Graham (1976), and Tavenas and Leroueil (1977) developed qualitative behavioural models based on consideration of yielding of these materials. A generally accepted definition for the yield envelope of a natural clay is a locus joining a set of yield

- 2 -

points in the (p', q)* stress space, inside which strains, strain rates and porewater pressure generation were much higher. The locus depends on the stress history of the clay as expressed by its preconsolidation pressure p', or voids ratio e. The practical significance of the limit-state concept in understanding the behaviour of clay and in the design of structures on clay foundations had been shown by Tavenas and Leroueil (1977); Tavenas et al. (1978 and 1979); and Tavenas (1979). Although yield envelopes for various clays had been found (Mitchell, 1970; Crooks and Graham, 1976), a general understanding of the nature of the yield envelope for a clay and the factors affecting it was not clear until the development of the YLIGHT model by Tavenas and Leroueil (1977). A description of the YLIGHT model has been given by Noonan (1980), and summarized by Lew (1981).

A particular feature of the YLIGHT model was that the magnitude of the preconsolidation pressure governed the position of the yield envelope in the (p', q) stress space (Tavenas and Leroueil, 1977). This was also shown by Graham (1974). Crawford (1964) and Bjerrum (1967) both demonstrated that the apparent preconsolidation pressure of a clay determined by oedometer tests was reduced if the rate of loading was reduced, or if the duration of loading was increased. Tavenas and Leroueil (1977), using oedometer tests and triaxial tests, confirmed the effect of rate, or duration of

^{*}Symbols are defined in LIST OF SYMBOLS on page vii

3 -

loading, on the preconsolidation pressure and yield envelope. As special cases of more general behaviour, they showed that the preconsolidation pressure of a clay was reduced if the duration of loading was increased. Similarly, undrained triaxial tests at different strain rates indicated a reduction in strength as the strain rate decreased. More importantly, the displacement of the yield envelope indicated a homothetic movement inwards with time. On this basis Tavenas and Leroueil (1977) concluded that the known effects of aging and strain rate on p'_c applied to the entire yield envelope.

The applicability of the limit-state or yield concept has been part of a larger investigation by the geotechnical group at the University of Manitoba into the geotechnical properties of the glacial Lake Agassiz clay which underlies the Winnipeg area. This work was initiated by Dr. J. Graham in 1976 at the University of Manitoba. The testing program consisted of 76 mm diameter samples, trimmed using equipment specially designed to minimize disturbance, and tested in large diameter, rotating-bush triaxial cells. Samples were taken from 6 m to 12 m depth at the University of Manitoba campus using the block sampler devised by Domaschuk (1977). Preliminary information was presented by Baracos et al. (1980), Noonan (1980) and Lew (1981). Yield envelopes were found from intact overconsolidated clay samples taken from various depths. A summary of the existing information is presented in Figure 1.1.

It should be noted that the yield envelope defined

Δ -

by Lew (1981) was based on two sets of samples taken from different boreholes at different times. Samples T303 to T313 were taken from borehole 4 (Figure 1.1) in July, 1980; samples T315 to T319 were taken from borehole 5 (Figure 1.1) in January, 1981. Lew (1981) suggested that the p_c' values for the two sets of sample were the same and an average value of $p_c' = 218$ kPa was used for normalizing test results. However, in studies on the elastic and limit-state properties, Dr. J. Graham (1982) has shown that the shear and bulk moduli of the two sets of samples were different (Graham and Houlsby, 1982; Graham et al., 1982b). Samples T315 to T319 appeared to be stiffer than samples T302 to T314. He therefore modified the yield envelope proposed by Lew (1981) by using different values of p'_c for the two sets of samples. Values of p'_{c} equal to 191 kPa and 241 kPa were used for samples T302 to T314 and T315 to T319 respectively. This modified normalized yield envelope was transformed back to the (p', q) stress space in Figure 1.2 by using p'_{c} equal 241 kPa. The modified yield envelope in this figure therefore corresponds to p'_{c} equal 241 kPa. The modified yield envelope and the modified normalized yield envelope are shown in Figure 1.2 and Figure 1.3 respectively.

Lew (1981) began the study of time effects on the yield envelope for clays taken from 11.5 m. He concluded that the yield envelope was displaced towards reduced preconsolidation pressures and shear strengths as the load duration increased. However, this conclusion was based on a

- 5 -

limited number of tests and the time and rate effects on the preconsolidation pressure p'_c had not been examined in previous work. One major purpose of the present study is to continue the study of time effects on the yield envelope initiated by Lew (1981), and especially the effects of time and straining rate on the preconsolidation pressure p'_c .

1.2 LOW STRESS STRENGTHS

The properties of the lacustrine clays underlying Winnipeg continue to cause problems for geotechnical engineers. Natural riverbank slopes are often marginally stable at slopes as flat as 8:1; compacted clay fills will occasionally fail in shallow planar slides at moderate inclinations; and excavation stability is lower than implied by measured unconfined compression strengths. In an investigation of the yielding and rupture of Winnipeg clay, Baracos et al. (1980) proposed a 3-section strength envelope for the full depth of the blue clay (Figure 1.4):

Section 1 (low pressure $\sigma'_{1c} < 60 \text{ kPa}$) c' = 6 kPa; $\phi' = 31.7^{\circ}$ Section 2 (intermediate pressure 60 kPa $\leq \sigma'_{1c} \leq 200 \text{ kPa}$) c' = 33 kPa; $\phi' = 13.0^{\circ}$ Section 3 (high pressure $\sigma'_{1c} > 200 \text{ kPa}$) c' = 3 kPa; $\phi' = 22.5^{\circ}$

They postulated that the strength of the soil at low effective stresses was largely controlled by a highly fissured and nuggety clay structure which was easily observed

• 6 -

in shallow excavations. Mitchell (1970) identified similar behaviour in Leda (Champlain Sea) clay, and concluded that failure would be accompanied by strong dilation of a nodular or prismatic granular structure behaving as an essentially cohesionless material. Crawford (1964), using samples from the Greater Winnipeg Floodway Test Pit, reported a substantial reduction in strength when the soil was allowed to swell. After the investigation of landslide problems in Winnipeg, Baracos and Graham (1980) stated:

> "At low effective stresses, Winnipeg clays behave as cohesionless, softened materials, a fact that must be adequately considered for low effective stress zone, such as the submerged toe of a riverbank, or to shallow depth beneath the faces of all slopes, (excavated or embankments) subject to snow-melt, rainfall, etc."

They further suggested that low-stress strengths were applicable for first-time shallow slides such as those induced by erosion at the toe of riverbanks, or shallow planar slides paralleling the face of a slope. It was necessary to use the concept of "fully-softened strength", with conservatively assumed zero cohesion. Further attention will be paid in a later section to the strength of Winnipeg clay at low stresses.

The climate in Winnipeg is "continental", with temperatures varying over wide extremes through the year. The average daily temperature curve is at its lowest (-20[°]C)

7 -

during the period January 17 to 27 and its highest (22^oC) from July 19 to 27 (Environment Canada, 1980). Therefore Winnipeg clay at low effective stress zones near the ground surface are susceptible to alternating cycles of freeze and thaw. Nuggets and fissures are frequently formed due to the effects of freezing and thawing. The accompanying destruction of the intact clay structure may lead to a reduction in strength. This effect will also be examined in a later section.

By studying the behaviour of a test embankment founded on a well-instrumented foundation of soft Champlain clay at Saint-Alban, LaRochelle et al. (1974) showed that the strength mobilized at failure under the test fill was approximately equal to the "undrained residual strength", a term hitherto used to designate the undrained strength at large strains (USALS), from undrained (CIU) or unconsolidated, undrained (UU) tests at strains of about 15 per cent. Lefebvre (1981) successfully demonstrated that the use of 'post peak' or large strain strengths allowed a reasonable estimate of the stability of natural or man-made slopes in Champlain Sea clays.

By studying case histories of failure of waterretaining structures on highly plastic clay, Rivard and Lu (1978) concluded that the intact strength of the clay did not reliably predict the stability condition. A study of the foundation conditions revealed the presence of structural discontinuities such as nugget and blocky structures, joints,

8 -

fissures and slickensides. These structural discontinuities were probably caused by weathering. They further suggested that embankments on soft highly plastic clay soils with structural discontinuities should be designed using the normally consolidated strength, as suggested by Skempton and Hutchinson (1969) for stiff fissured clays.

With these points in mind therefore, the second major purpose of the present study was to investigate the low stress strengths of Winnipeg clay under several sets of controlled conditions. The changes in strength from the natural "undisturbed" strengths studied by Baracos et al., 1980; Noonan, 1980 and Lew, 1981 were investigated when the soil was a) allowed to swell freely, and b) was subjected to a series of 'freeze-thaw' cycles. Undrained strengths at large strains (USALS) (LaRochelle, 1974) and their relationship with the normally consolidated strength (Rivard and Lu, 1978) were also examined.

1.3 OUTLINE OF THESIS

The previous section (1.1) showed that although preliminary work on the time-dependent aspects of the YLIGHT model on yielding was studied by Lew (1981), only a limited number of tests were performed. Time and rate effects on the preconsolidation pressure p' measured by oedometer, were not examined. The low stress strength envelope shown by Baracos et al. (1980) was based on limited data and further examination of this envelope was required.

. 9 -

As mentioned previously, the two major topics for investigation in the present study were:

- Examination of test duration and strain rate effects on the yield envelope for Lake Agassiz (Winnipeg) clay.
- Investigation of low stress strengths including 'fully-softened' strengths and 'freeze-thaw' strengths for Winnipeg clay.

More specifically the aims of this thesis were:

- To examine the time-dependent aspects of the YLIGHT model for yielding of clays as they applied to Winnipeg clay.
- 2. To examine the effect of time and strain rate on the preconsolidation pressure, p'_c .
- To investigate the low stress strengths of Winnipeg clay, and to study the effects of swelling and freeze-thaw degradation on them.
- 4. To examine the undrained strength at large strains in Winnipeg clay using the USALS method described by LaRochelle et al. (1974), and by implication by Rivard and Lu (1978).
- 5. To study the effects of changes of strain rate on undrained shear strength.

Large diameter (76 mm) samples were used for all the triaxial tests and oedometer tests performed in the present study. Samples used for the study of time effects and undisturbed low stress strengths were taken from 11.6 m.

'Fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples were taken from 8.7 m, preparation of these samples will be described in Chapter 2. Six drained stress-controlled tests with two samples running on the same stress path but with different load durations (1 day and 5 days) for each load increment were used to examine the time effects on the yield envelope. Six non-standard oedometer tests similar to those performed by Tavenas et al. (1977) were used to examine the time effects on the preconsolidation pressure p'. Four straincontrolled oedometer tests (Sallfors, 1975; Bell, 1977) were employed to investigate rate effects on p'. The undisturbed low stress strengths were examined using three undrained strain-controlled and two drained stress-controlled triaxial tests. Three drained stress-controlled and three undrained strain-controlled triaxial tests were performed on the 'fullysoftened' samples to examine the effect of swelling on the low stress strengths. Finally, three undrained straincontrolled and two drained stress-controlled triaxial tests were applied to the 'freeze-thaw' samples to study the effect of freeze-thaw degradation on the low stress strengths. The laboratory testing program will be described in detail in Chapters 3 and 4.

Samples which were not stressed to rupture during the drained portion of the triaxial test were tested to failure in undrained shear. The undrained part of the test allowed examination of the following characteristics of clay behaviour: the influence of consolidation history on pore-

- 11 -

water pressure generation and elastic moduli; the effects of changes of strain rate on the undrained shear strength; the normally consolidated strength for samples consolidated to stresses well past p'_c ; the low stress strength envelope and the undrained strength at large strains (USALS).

- 12

The thesis begins with a review of the general properties of the lacustrine clays and test procedures (Chapter 2). It continues with the results for time and rate effects (Chapter 3) and low stress strengths (Chapter 4). Discussion of results are presented in Chapter 5. Finally, conclusions and suggestions for further research are presented in Chapter 6.