

THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA

EVALUATION OF MANPOWER TRAINING PROGRAMS:
THE INTERLAKE MANPOWER CORPS

A THESIS
SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES IN
PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE
DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

by
Marco A. Fernandez

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS AND
FARM MANAGEMENT

June 1977



EVALUATION OF MANPOWER TRAINING PROGRAMS:
THE INTERLAKE MANPOWER CORPS

BY

MARCO A. FERNANDEZ

A dissertation submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies of
the University of Manitoba in partial fulfillment of the requirements
of the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

© 1977

Permission has been granted to the LIBRARY OF THE UNIVER-
SITY OF MANITOBA to lend or sell copies of this dissertation, to
the NATIONAL LIBRARY OF CANADA to microfilm this
dissertation and to lend or sell copies of the film, and UNIVERSITY
MICROFILMS to publish an abstract of this dissertation.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the
dissertation nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or other-
wise reproduced without the author's written permission.

ABSTRACT

This thesis deals with the ex-post economic evaluation of the Interlake Manpower Corps, an institutional training program designed for individuals of Indian and Metis origin in the Province of Manitoba. The program started operations in 1967 as part of the FRED Agreement between that province and the Federal Government. The main objective of this thesis is to provide measurements of program success from individual's, government's and society's standpoints.

The thesis commences with a description of the characteristics of trainees enrolled in the program since 1968, in terms of age, education, labour status and racial status. It is shown that, as predicted by models based on the theory of human capital, trainees are less educated and younger than the population in the Interlake region, and their pre-training earnings are lower than the Manitoba provincial average.

The theoretical rationale for government-sponsored manpower training is discussed. The theory of human capital is introduced and the efficiency and distributional implications of these types of programs is examined. A new methodological framework for evaluating the program is presented. This framework consists in the calculation of marginal benefits of an additional week of training, using earnings functions estimated from information about former trainees (the experimental group). The value of weekly allowances are also included as individual benefits. Opportunity costs are defined as the average value of expected earnings for those individuals without training (the norm group).

It is estimated that the present value of individual benefits, in 1975 dollars, exceeds the present value of individual costs for alternative rates of discount (personal time preference).

From a government's viewpoint, gains/outlay ratios larger than one are obtained. Gains are estimated by simulating the value of transfers savings and additional tax collection induced by the program. Outlay consists in operating costs per capita during 1968-1975. These costs are adjusted in order to consider the fact that some of the projects within the program not only provide training to individuals but also produce a physical output (ladders, park furniture, housing). Consequently, not all government expenditures correspond to training proper. Learning curves estimated by foremen's reports are introduced in order to approximate the proportion of total costs attributable to the training activity.

From a social viewpoint, social earnings functions are estimated for the experimental group in order to calculate productivity gains due to training. These benefits are reduced by mobility costs paid to trainees, by the social opportunity cost of labour and by the value of real resources diverted from other activities to the program. Social net present values are positive but lower than individual net present values.

It is concluded that it is socially and individually worthwhile to continue the program, and that the duration of training projects be extended beyond the 1968-1975 average duration (13 weeks), emphasizing the general aspects of training instead of the specific aspects.

A pattern of interoccupational mobility discovered in the thesis, and not explainable by the human capital framework, is discussed

and some of the possible reasons for its existence are presented. In addition, the issue of racial discrimination in wage determination is briefly examined, with special reference to the evidence gathered from program trainees.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Dr. James A. MacMillan, my major advisor. His encouragement and attention to detail helped me throughout this thesis.

My thanks also go particularly to Dr. Wayne Thirsk for his constructive criticisms. Contributions by other members of my committee, Drs. Ed Tyrchniewicz, Helgi Austman and Ed Brinkman are sincerely appreciated.

I also gratefully acknowledge my fellow economist, Jack Begleiter's assistance in the preparation of the final version of this thesis.

My thanks also go to Mrs. Betty Sheppard, Karen May and Sonia Eusebio for showing great patience in typing of this thesis.

To Maria, Liliana and Monica, I express thanks for their understanding. Had it not been for them, this thesis would not have been started in the first place.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
Chapter	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
Nature of the Problem	1
Objectives of the Study	3
Methodology and Data	5
Methodology	5
Data	9
Overview of the Study	10
2. DESCRIPTION OF THE INTERLAKE MANPOWER CORPS PROGRAM	13
Description of the Program	13
Characteristics of Trainees	17
Previous Studies and Evaluations in the Area	24
3. THEORETICAL RATIONALE FOR MANPOWER TRAINING PROGRAMS	28
The Human Capital Approach	28
Allocative Aspects of Institutional Training	35
Distributional Aspects of Institutional Training	38
Macroeconomic Effects of Training Programs	43
Review of Previous Studies	48
4. METHOD OF ANALYSIS AND DATA SOURCES	56
Benefits and Costs Profile	57
Duration of the Benefits of the Program	62
The Discount Rate	63
Evaluation Criteria	66

Model Specification	69
Training Benefits and Costs from an Individual Viewpoint	70
Earnings Functions	71
Individual Opportunity Cost	74
Discounting Function	76
Benefits and Costs from Government Viewpoint	77
Benefits and Costs from a Society Viewpoint	78
Sources of Data	79
5. ECONOMIC EFFECTS OF THE PROGRAM ON INDIVIDUAL TRAINEES	84
Individual's Benefits and Costs	85
Individual Benefits after Training: the Earnings Function	90
Equation One	91
Equation Two	95
Equation Three	96
Individual Benefits during Training	97
Training Allowances	97
Housing Subsidies	102
Individual Costs of Enrollment in Training Programs	105
Movement Costs	106
Foregone Earnings	106
Comparison of Individual's Benefits and Costs	110
Sensitivity Analysis on Selected Parameters	114
Changes in Duration of Benefits and Rates of Discount	114
Changes in Unemployment Transfers	116
Analysis of Results	122
6. EFFECTS OF THE PROGRAM ON GOVERNMENT FINANCE	129
Costs of the Program to Government	130
General Overview	130
Training Costs vis-avis Production Costs	132
The Treatment of Capital Costs	137
Estimation of Training Costs per Trainee	138

	Staff Salaries	139
	Allowances and Support Costs	139
	Total Training Costs per trainee	140
	Government's Gains from the Program	145
	Government Gains during Training	147
	Savings in Unemployment Payments after training	149
	Gains in Direct Taxes collected after training	150
	Total Government Gains	150
	Comparison of Gains and Outlays	151
	Analysis of Results	154
7.	EVALUATION OF THE INTERLAKE MANPOWER CORPS PROGRAM FROM SOCIETY'S VIEWPOINT	156
	Interlake Manpower Corps Benefits from a Society's Viewpoint	157
	Economic Benefits	158
	Other Social Benefits	160
	Interlake Manpower Corps Costs from Society's Viewpoint	166
	Interlake Manpower Corps Real Operating Costs	168
	Social Opportunity Cost of Trainee Enrollment	171
	Labour Mobility Costs	176
	Total Costs to Society	177
	Comparison of Society's Benefits and Costs	179
	Analysis of Results	182
8.	LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH	184
	Limitations of the Evaluation Approach	185
	General Limitations	185
	Limitations Related to the Norm Group	188
	Limitations of the Experimental Group	190
	Limitations of the Evaluation from an Individual Viewpoint	190
	Limitations of the Evaluation from a Government Viewpoint	195
	The Separation of Training and Production Costs	195

The Calculation of Government Gains from Training	197
Limitations of the Evaluation from Society's Viewpoint	197
Suggestions for Further Research	198
9. CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE RESULTS	201
Conclusions	201
Implications of the Results	204
Recommendations	205
BIBLIOGRAPHY	207
APPENDICES	
A. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE INTERLAKE MANPOWER CORPS TRAINEES	216
B. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE NORM AND EXPERIMENTAL GROUPS AND TESTS OF RESPONSE BIAS	230
C. RACIAL ORIGIN AND TRAINEE'S EARNINGS: SOME ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE	245
D. INTERSECTORIAL MOBILITY OF TRAINEES AND ITS EFFECTS ON EARNINGS	252
E. METHODOLOGY FOR SEPARATING PRODUCTION COSTS FROM HUMAN DEVELOPMENT COSTS	259
F. OPTIMAL DURATION OF TRAINING	265

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Number</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
2.1	Summary of Expenditures Under the FRED Agreement for the Interlake Area of Manitoba, 1967-1968 to 1976-1977	14
2.2	Progress Summary--Manpower Corps Program, 1975-1976	18
5.1	Labour Status and Average Earnings of Experimental Group in 1975	86
5.2	Labour Status and Average Earnings Norm Group, 1968-1975	87
5.3	Average, Modal and Median Weekly Allowances paid to Male Trainees in Nominal and Real Values 1968-1975	98
5.4	Average Allowances, Labour Earnings, Unemployment Earnings and Total Earnings for 1968-1975	100
5.5	Norm Group Earnings Adjusted by Educational Differences with the Experimental Group	108
5.6	Foregone Earning for Individual Trainees	109
5.7	Average Costs, Benefits and Net Present Value per week of Investment in Training from a Trainee's Viewpoint (Alternative I: 8 years of Benefits) 1975 dollars	111

5.8	Average Costs, Benefits and Net Present Value per week of Investment in Training from a Trainees' Viewpoint (Alternative II: 10 years of Benefits) 1975 dollars	112
5.9	Sensitivity Analysis of Net Present Values to Changes in N, for given Values of R (1975 dollars)	117
5.10	Sensitivity Analysis of Net Present Values to Changes in R, for given Values of N (1975 dollars)	118
5.11	Net Present Values assuming no Waiting Time after Training	124
6.1	Interlake Manpower Corps Statement of Expenditures, 1967-1976	131
6.2	Staff Salaries and Working Costs per Male Trainee, Interlake Manpower Corps 1968-1975	133
6.3	Interlake Manpower Corps Real Operating Costs per Male Trainee Hypothesis I: 80% of Staff Salaries and 75% of Allowances and Support Costs are attributed to Training	143
6.4	Interlake Manpower Corps Real Operating Costs per Male Trainee Hypothesis II: 100% of both, Staff Salaries and Allowances and Support Costs are Attributed to Training	144
6.5	Government Gains from Training (1975 Values)	152
6.6	Gains/Outlay Ratios from the Point of View of Government Finance (1975 dollars per week of training)	153

7.1	Real Operating Costs per Trainee of Interlake Manpower Corps, 1968-1975	170
7.2	Educationally Adjusted Labour Reservation Price of Members of the Norm Group, 1968-1975	175
7.3	Mobility Costs per Trainee, 1968-1975	178
7.4	Net Present Values from Society's Viewpoint (Eight Year Duration of Benefits)	180
7.5	Net Present Values from Society's Viewpoint (Ten Year Duration of Benefits)	181
9.1	Summary of Results: Individual's Government's and Society's Viewpoints (values per week of training)	202
A.1	Distribution of Trainee's Registration according to Race and to other manpower Services Received (1968-1975)	218
A.2	Duration of Training Projects according to Labour Status prior to Training and Sex (1968-1975)	219
A.3	Age Composition of 1968-1975 Cohorts of Trainees, according to Race	220
A.4	Educational Level of Registered Trainees by Race and Sex (1968-1975)	221
A.5	Labour Status of Trainees prior to Starting the Program according to Last Occupation and Race (1968-1975)	222

A.6	Labour Status of Registered Trainees according to Race and Sex (1968-1975)	223
A.7	Duration of Individual Projects according to Sex , per year (1968-1975)	224
A.8	Duration of Training Projects according to Age Groups and Sex (1968-1975)	225
A.9	Reason for Ending the Project according to Length of the Project and Sex (1968-1975)	226
A.10	Reason for Ending the Projects according to Age and Sex (1968-1975)	227
A.11	Nominal and Real Average Wage Rate by Age before Training (Male employed in non-agricultural activities before training) 1968-1973	228
A.12	Average Hourly Earnings of Trainees before Training compared with Average Earnings in the Construction and Manufacturing Sectors, Manitoba 1968-1973	229
B.1	Basic Information about the Norm Group, 1968-1975	237
B.2	Norm Group Population divided by Age and Labour Force Status before applying to the Program 1968-1975	238
B.3	Norm Group Population divided by Education and Labour Force Status before applying to the Program 1968-1975	239

B.4	Norm Group Population divided by Age and Racial Status, 1968- 1975	240
B.5	Basic Information about the Experimental Group	241
B.6	Composition of the Experimental Group according to Age and Labour Status before Training, 1975	242
B.7	Composition of the Experimental Group according to Education and Labour Status before Training, 1975	243
B.8	Experimental Group divided according to Age and Racial Status, 1975	244
C.1	Earnings and Acquired Endowment by Race in the MacKenzie District	247
D.1	Average Wage of Origin-Destination Mobility Paths for the Experimen- tal group (\$ 1975)	254
F.1	Socially Optimal Duration of Training (in weeks)	266
F.2	Individual Optimal Duration of Training (in weeks)	267

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Number</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
3.2	Flow of Real and Monetary Costs and Benefits from Training Programs	42
4.1	Benefits and Costs Profile from a Trainee Point of View	59
4.2	Information Flow for Different Groups used in this Study	81
6.1	Summary of the Program's Effects on Government Finance	129
6.2	Summary of Government Gains Imputable to Training	148
C.1	Age-Earnings Profile according to Race for the McKenzie District, Canada	249
D.1	Comparison of Average Earnings from Primary and Secondary Occupations for Graduates from Selkirk Plant, Interlake, 1970-1973	257
E.1	Proposed Training Phases for Construction Projects	260
E.2	Carpenter Helper Trainee's Learning Curves (phase 3)	262
E.3	Carpenter Helper Trainee's Learning Curve (phase 3b)	263

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This study is concerned with the economic effects of the Interlake Manpower Corps (IMC). The IMC is an investment program which is designed to provide on-the-job training to low-income, low-education people, especially of Indian and Metis origin, in the Interlake Area of Manitoba. This program is part of a public investment package in the area, the FRED-Interlake Agreement, financed by the Federal Government of Canada and the Province of Manitoba for the period May 1967 to May 1977.

By January 1976, 1307 different individuals had been registered in the various projects covered by the Manpower Corps since its beginnings in 1968. The total expenditure by the Provincial and Federal Governments up to the fiscal year 1975-1976 was close to 4.8 million dollars. The Provincial share of the total FRED expenditure is 56%, while in the Manpower Corps Program it is 10%. The total estimated cost of the Program for the ten year period is 5.6 million dollars.

The rest of this chapter discusses the nature of the problem which frames the present evaluation, summarizes the objectives of this study and the methodology used in order to achieve them. A brief presentation of the sources of information is made, and followed by an overview of the thesis.

A. Nature of the Problem

Public expenditures in a depressed area provide a higher level of demand in monetary terms to the region, and following Keynesian

implications, a possible cure for the problem of unemployment. These expenditures may also increase the potential capacity of production in the region, creating supply effects sometimes overlooked in the literature. When investments in human beings are included in regional development programs, these supply effects, namely, increases in the productive capacity of the region, are significant.

Demand effects are usually studied in the context of the production structure existing in a region, by simulating the change in the absorption of income by sectors which is created by the initial change in investment in one particular activity.¹ It is assumed that each productive sector output reacts to changes in nominal demand, increasing product and income, and also employment according to some static marginal labour-output ratio. The key issue is that real production might not change at all when money demand rises because supply constraints in some sectors might exist, preventing output from increasing. One of these constraints is an imperfection in the labour market arising from inequality between the structure of the demand for labour and the structure of the supply of labour, together with a wage rigidity produced by non-economic factors. Investments in human beings, such as education and training, might correct this distortion by increasing total monetary demand and by helping to increase real output through elimination of labour market imperfection. The former effect would result with any type of investment, while the latter impact is a characteristic of this

¹For a study of this type in the area in which the present study will concentrate, see: Fu-Lai Tung, "A Dynamic Model for Simulating Resource Development Impacts in the Interlake Area of Manitoba," unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation, (Winnipeg: Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Manitoba, 1975).

particular type of investment. This study will not discuss either the demand impact of training programs in the area or the spillover of training benefits to other regions of the country. It will concentrate on the analysis of the effect of training on individuals' earnings and employability, on Government finance, and on the productive capacity of the region. The central hypothesis underlying this study is that manpower training has significant positive supply effects as measured by net social and individual benefits. These positive effects result in an improvement in both economic efficiency and regional labour productivity.

B. Objectives of the Study

Specific objectives of the study are:

- (1) To examine and identify the relative importance of the variables that determine the actual levels of earnings of trainees after training.
- (2) To analyze the extent to which characteristics of the Manpower Corps Program, such as duration of projects, trainee success in courses, etc., determine earnings differentials among trainees.
- (3) To develop a methodology for evaluating program effectiveness taking into consideration not only the characteristics of trainees, but also the timing of projects and the distribution of benefits and costs through time.
- (4) To introduce in the evaluation of training programs the differentiation between production costs and human development costs. Usually these two elements are separated in the appraisal of manpower training.

- (5) To show the pattern of occupational mobility of trainees and its possible effects on the efficiency of Manpower Corps Program.
- (6) To examine the hypothesis that racial discrimination exists in the determination of trainee's wages after training.

In the process of developing these points, the Manpower Corps Program will be described in a manner which has not been attempted before; that is, in terms of the characteristics of the individuals who have attended any project. Three approaches will be taken in studying the economic effects of the Program:

- (1) the trainee's point of view, in which benefits received and costs borne by direct participants in the Program are compared;
- (2) the Government's point of view, in which a simulation of the effects of the Program on the Government's ledger is made, and finally,
- (3) the evaluation of the impact of the Program from a general efficiency standpoint.

Contributions that can be derived from the present study include the following:

- (1) Individual trainees increase their earnings and employability after attending any Manpower Corps project. These increases are related to the length of the project and to the level of education prior to training.
- (2) Training programs for the disadvantaged can be economically efficient. Consequently, programming and planning of this kind of public investment should employ efficiency criteria for the determination of the level of that investment.

(3) The labour market information system for the Manpower Corps Program should be modified in order to include characteristics of trainees and their occupational mobility prior to training. This inclusion will facilitate the acquisition of reliable information about the individual labour market situation in the absence of training. The main limitations of this thesis are related to the poor quality of information about pre-training earnings.

(4) The separation of operating costs of the Program into production costs and human development costs influences the measure of efficiency of manpower training. Consequently, this separation should be attempted in the evaluation of the effects of the program on the government finance and on the general efficiency of the economy. A detailed methodology devised to consider this dichotomy is not proposed, but considerations based on technical reports from the project supervisors are used to illustrate this dichotomy and its impact on program effects measurements.

C. Methodology and Data

Methodology

The basic method used in this study for assessing the economic impact of the manpower training project is benefit-cost analysis. Its foundations lie in the theory of welfare economics, and although some of its postulates are widely accepted by most economists, some other are still the subject of dispute. Specifically, controversy exists over the separation of efficiency and distributional effects of any investment project, particularly in programs such as training for the disadvantaged

in which equity considerations are usually assigned a high priority.²

Some of the proponents for including redistributive effects vis-a-vis efficiency considerations when regarding any public investment are Arthur Maas³ and Stephen Marglin⁴ while Burton Weisbrod⁵ feels they should be included especially in manpower training program. The basic tenet of their argument is that efficiency-oriented evaluations are not relevant for public decisions, and that this is the reason why economists have been unable in the past to predict political behaviour and develop normative rules.

The separation of the two effects in this study is the result of two considerations. First, investment under the FRED Agreement, although directed towards backward regions produces monetary leakages to more developed areas due to the nature of the multiple effect.⁶ If a correct appraisal of the redistribution of money income is to be done, these

²The non-separability of these two components in the provision of public goods has been demonstrated by M.C. McGuire and H. Aaron, "Efficiency and Equity in the Optional Supply of a Public Good," Review of Economics and Statistics, LI (February, 1969), pp. 31-39.

³Arthur Maas, "Benefit-Cost Analysis: Its Relevance to Public Investment Decisions," Quarterly Journal of Economics, 80:208, May 1966.

⁴Stephen A. Marglin, Public Investment Criteria, (Cambridge, Massachusetts: M.I.T. Press, 1967), p. 209.

⁵Burton Weisbrod, "Concepts of Costs and Benefits," in Problems in Public Expenditure Analysis, ed. S.B. Chase, Jr. (Washington: The Brookings Institution, 1968), pp. 257-292; and "Benefits of Manpower Programs: Theoretical and Methodological Issues," in Cost-Benefit Analysis of Manpower Policies, Proceedings of a North American Conference, eds. G. G. Somers and W. D. Wood (Kingston, Ontario: Industrial Relations Centre, 1969).

⁶James A. MacMillan, Chang-Mei Lu and Charles F. Framingham, Manitoba Interlake Area: A Regional Development Evaluation, (Ames: Centre for Agricultural and Rural Development, 1975), pp. 56-57 .

secondary effects should be taken into account. The information available for the Manpower Corps Program, however, is not sufficient to provide a complete measurement of this effect. On the other hand, unless we have a specification of the utility function of the Government or a social welfare function, there is little room for weighing the efficiency and distributional effects of the Program so as to provide a unique index to rank the projects. Ralph E. Smith suggested evaluating the efficiency of the Program for several income groups and explaining differences in rates of return between high-income and low-income groups in terms of the explicit intention of Government to sacrifice efficient allocation of resources in return for a better income distribution.⁷ His assumption implies that the Government, in deciding the scale, timing, and the ratio of disadvantaged to total number of trainees accepted in the Program, knows beforehand the rates of returns for both groups for several combinations of the aforementioned elements. Apart from the questionable validity of the assumption that rate of return information is known beforehand, the trade-off cannot be measured where only disadvantaged are receiving training.

The estimation of benefits and costs from an individual viewpoint requires the comparison of earnings and employability of two groups; the norm group, formed by individuals without any previous experience in institutional training programs, and the experimental group, formed by individuals who have previously participated in a Manpower Corps Project. The characteristics of these groups are shown in

⁷Ralph E. Smith, "An Analysis of the Efficiency and Equity of Manpower Programs," unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation (Washington: Department of Economics, Georgetown University, 1970), pp. 120-121.

Appendix B.

The appropriate comparison between these two groups is on a with-and-without-training basis as it is demonstrated in Chapter 3. However, a variation of a before-after training basis is used here for reasons of limited data.

Regression analysis is used to separate the effect of training from the effect of other variables such as deduction, experience or age on earnings. Opportunity costs of the trainee's participation in the Program are calculated utilizing data from the norm group. Discounting procedures are used to compare benefits and costs for the year 1975.

The impact of the Program on Government finance is estimated by simulating the increase in direct taxes due to higher average wages after training, and the reduction in unemployment payment produced by a higher employment rate induced by training. These gains are compared to the money resources invested in the Program by all levels of Government.

From a social point of view, a benefit function is estimated for the experimental group which determines the increase in productivity associated with additional training. These benefits are reduced by the social opportunity cost of the labour moving to the Program and by the cost of operating the Program. Transfer payments are not included in this analysis, because only real resource costs affect the efficiency of the economy.

Data

Information regarding the 396 trainees in the norm group was obtained from Interlake Manpower Corps Forms A03FBI-526J.⁸ These are computer printouts of demographic and economic characteristics of trainees before starting any project based on information recorded in the application forms. Each individual has a different entry in these printouts for every project he has participated in. No information exists in the forms, however, with regard to earnings and employment changes of trainees for the month prior to entrance. Only the labour situation at the time he or she applies is recorded. This lack of historical information limits the comparability between the experimental and norm group. This limitation is partially overcome by using regression analysis.

Data for 116 trainees in the experimental group (8.9% of the 1967-75 total 1307 trainee population) was obtained from questionnaires prepared and distributed by Manpower Corps during the summer of 1976. Questionnaires received by September 1, 1976 formed the sample used in this thesis. (See Appendix B). Information obtained from foreman in training plants and from officials at Manpower Corps in Winnipeg was used in estimating the production cost component of allowances vis-a-vis the training component. The separation of these components is very important in determining the efficiency effect of the Program.

⁸The norm group earnings and employment characteristics are calculated from data on individuals who applied to the Program in 1967-75 but who had no previous training. Rejections are included.

D. Overview of the Study

Chapter 2 describes the peculiarities of the Manpower Corps Program in terms of the nature of the projects and basic socio-economic characteristics of the trainees who have attended different projects. The program and the characteristics of trainees are described and a review of some studies in the area is presented.

Chapter 3 discusses in detail the theoretical framework of the present evaluation. The human capital approach is introduced and its relevance and shortcomings in relation to training is emphasized. The macroeconomic and microeconomic implications of manpower training are also discussed. The microeconomic analysis is divided into allocative and distributional effects of manpower training. Allocative effects refer to the impact of training on the improvement in the general efficiency of the economy. Distributional effects deal with the change in real private income between groups caused by the training program. The macroeconomic implications of manpower training are analyzed in the context of the trade-off between unemployment and inflation. Finally, a review is done of the results of previous evaluations of training programs in other parts of the world and in the area.

Chapter 4 presents the methodology followed in this study to compare real training benefits and costs from the individual, government and societal viewpoints. A review of critical issues of benefit-cost analysis in relation to manpower training is presented. Special reference is made to the particular features of the information available for this study.

In Chapter 5 the evaluation of the Program from an individual viewpoint is made, emphasizing the nature of benefits and costs not only after but also during the project. Chapter 6 presents the evaluation from a Government's viewpoint and Chapter 7 from an economy-wide perspective. In Chapter 8, the principal limitations of the study are discussed. These limitations are of two types; those relating to the data deficiencies and those related to the methodology used. Chapter 9 presents the conclusions of the study and suggestions for further research.

This thesis contains five appendixes. Appendix A shows the characteristics of trainees enrolled in the Program since its creation. Age, education, earnings, racial status and occupational structure are described. Appendix B presents the characteristics of members of the norm and the experimental group used in this study. Statistical tests on differences between these two groups and trainees in general are performed in order to assess the validity of generalizing the sample results to the total trainee population. Appendix C discusses the hypothesis that racial discrimination in wage determination exists. The discussion is based on evidence provided by questionnaires prepared for Carl Wall's practicum.⁹ Appendix D shows the pattern of intersectorial mobility of trainees and suggests some possible effects this pattern may have on the program's impact. Appendix E analyzes the dichotomy between production costs and training costs in the case of the Interlake Manpower Corps. Finally, Appendix F presents a model to calculate the

⁹Carl L. Wall, "The Socio-Economic Evaluation of Training Benefits to Trainees of the Manpower Corps Training Plant--Selkirk," A Practicum submitted to the Natural Resource Institute for the Degree Masters of Natural Resource Management, (Winnipeg: Natural Resource Institute, University of Manitoba, 1974).

optimal duration of training from an individual and from a social perspective based on the findings of this thesis.