

AN EVALUATION OF THE PHYSICAL, CHEMICAL AND FUNCTIONAL
CHARACTERISTICS OF THE WHITE AND NARROW-LEAFED LUPINES

(Lupinus albus and Lupinus angustifolius)

A Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty

of

Graduate Studies

The University of Manitoba

by

Bassoodeo Oomah

In Partial Fulfillment of the

Requirements for the Degree

of

Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Plant Science

October 1980

AN EVALUATION OF THE PHYSICAL, CHEMICAL AND FUNCTIONAL
CHARACTERISTICS OF THE WHITE AND NARROW-LEAFED LUPINES

(Lupinus albus and Lupinus angustifolius)

BY

BASSOODEO OOMAH

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies of
the University of Manitoba in partial fulfillment of the requirements
of the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

© 1980

Permission has been granted to the LIBRARY OF THE UNIVER-
SITY OF MANITOBA to lend or sell copies of this thesis, to
the NATIONAL LIBRARY OF CANADA to microfilm this
thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film, and UNIVERSITY
MICROFILMS to publish an abstract of this thesis.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the
thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or other-
wise reproduced without the author's written permission.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his sincere appreciation to Dr. W. Bushuk for his guidance, encouragement and inspiration during the course of this investigation and preparation of this thesis.

Sincere thanks are given to the following members of the Department of Plant Science, University of Manitoba: Dr. B.L. Dronzek; Mrs. V. Bodo for fatty acid analyzes; Mr. R. Batenchuk for amino acid analyzes Mr. B. Luit for scanning electron microscopy and Miss M. Rourke for her assistance in the baking experiments.

The cooperation of the Department of Animal Science, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, the Grain Research Laboratory of the Canadian Grain Commission, Winnipeg and the Prairie Regional Laboratory of the National Research Council of Canada, Saskatoon, is heartily appreciated.

The financial assistance of the Department of Plant Science is gratefully acknowledged. Special thanks are due also to B. Lanfermann for the careful and excellent typing of the thesis. Finally, acknowledgement goes to members of the staff of the University of Manitoba, not mentioned but who assisted in many ways to make this thesis a reality.

I am indebted to my family, my wife Nirma and my sons Dave and Kevin for their encouragement and financial support during the course of the research.

ABSTRACT

Oomah, Bassoodeo, Ph.D. The University of Manitoba, October, 1980.

AN EVALUATION OF THE PHYSICAL, CHEMICAL AND FUNCTIONAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE WHITE AND NARROW-LEAFED LUPINES (*Lupinus albus* and *L. angustifolius*).

Major Professor: W. Bushuk.

Seed of four lupine cultivars, harvested at different stages of maturity, was used to investigate compositional differences. Four other lupine varieties from two species *L. albus* and *L. angustifolius* were also included to study various physicochemical characteristics of lupines. Flours of three wheat cultivars (strong, medium and weak dough mixing strength) were used to examine the functional characteristics of lupine flours in the breadmaking quality of composite flours.

The total seed nitrogen of lupines increased slowly during the growth period; but the nitrogen contents of the *L. angustifolius* cultivars were higher than those of the *L. albus* at all times during seed development. Seeds in the pod of the lateral axes in the *albus* species had lower nitrogen content than those from the main axis.

Cultivars of *L. albus* had twice as much lipid as those of *L. angustifolius*. Oleic acid is the major fatty acid of all lupines. Total saturated fatty acid content decreased and the total unsaturated fatty acid content increased from 30 to 60 days after anthesis. Small amounts (1.5%) of erucic acid were present only in the *L. albus* cultivars.

Cellulose forms the major part of the total carbohydrate of lupines

(about 50%). The *L. albus* cultivars were richer in ethanol-soluble sugars than those of *L. angustifolius*. Cultivars of the latter species were richer in sucrose and verbascose but poorer in glucose and stachyose than those of *L. albus*. Starch was present in trace amounts. The other carbohydrate components were hemicelluloses and reducing sugars.

Results of the protein solubility fractionation experiments showed that varieties which were low in albumin had a high globulin content. Extraction of the lipids from lupine flour, reduced the solubility of the globulin fraction and increased that of the alkali-soluble fraction. It was found that distilled water alone can solubilize up to 75% of the total soluble lupine proteins. The molecular weight distribution of the proteins, as determined by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, revealed differences among cultivars and between the two species. Amino acid compositions showed that cultivars of the *albus* species had higher quantities of some essential amino acids than those of the *angustifolius* species.

A new resinoid disc dehuller was used on a batch basis to dehull lupines without affecting the seed. Pin-milling and air-classification studies revealed that lupine flour cannot be fractionated into flours of different protein content by air classification. However, dehulling and milling of lupine seeds into flour is possible on an industrial scale.

The breadmaking properties of composite flours comprising wheat flours (of strong, medium and weak dough mixing strength) and lupine flour were investigated. Lupine flour was used to replace 5, 10, 20 and 30% (flour basis) of the wheat flour. Lupine flour produced changes in the breadmaking properties suggesting a simple dilution of wheat protein.

Satisfactory bread can be produced from composite flours containing up to 10% lupine flour. Minor adjustments in the breadmaking procedures would be required if a weaker wheat is used as the base flour.

Differences in the cell structures of white lupines were apparent on the scanning electron microscope at approximately 20 days after anthesis. The cellular structure of the cotyledonary cells as well as that of the seed coat changed drastically from 20 to 40 days after anthesis. Protein forms the major storage product in mature white lupine cotyledonary cells. It is deposited in the form of protein bodies which occupy most of the cell volume at maturity.

Some microscope observations of the cellular structure of *L. albus* are described. The oil, probably membrane bound, is regularly oriented near the cell wall and around the protein bodies and is seen as small electron-transparent droplets. Protein bodies are of different sizes and shapes. Phytin occurs within protein bodies and appear as black specks. Starch granules, though not numerous, are present in the cotyledon cells. In mature seeds, the remaining organelles are compressed between the protein bodies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. LITERATURE REVIEW	3
A. Biological Characteristics of Lupines.....	3
B. Physical Characteristics	6
1. Seed Size	6
2. Seed Coat	7
3. Inflorescence	8
4. Dry Matter	8
5. Fruit Development	9
C. Chemical Characteristics	11
1. Composition	11
2. Lipids	11
3. Fatty Acids	12
4. Carbohydrates	15
5. Cell Wall Polysaccharides	17
D. Proteins	17
1. Protein Content	17
2. Physico-Chemical Characteristics	19
3. Characterization of Storage Proteins	22
4. Amino Acid Content of Lupine Proteins	25
5. Changes During Seed Development	28
E. Processing of Lupines	29
1. Dehulling	29
2. Milling	31
3. Air Classification	31
F. Baking Characteristics	32
G. Ultrastructure	37
III. MATERIALS	42
IV. METHODS	45
A. Physical and Chemical Tests	45
1. Kernel Volume and Weight	45
2. Moisture Content	45
3. Nitrogen Content	45
4. Crude Fiber	45
5. Ash Content	46

	Page
B. Fat	46
1. Crude Fat Content	46
2. Fatty Acid Composition	46
C. Proteins	46
1. Solubility Fractionation	46
2. Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)	47
3. Molecular Weight Determination by SDS-PAGE	49
4. Amino Acid Composition	49
D. Carbohydrates	50
1. Sugar Content	50
2. Cell Wall Constituents	51
3. Total Starch Content	54
4. Gas Liquid Chromatography of Ethanol Soluble Sugars	55
E. Other Methods	57
1. Dehulling	57
2. Milling	57
3. Air Classification	58
4. Physical Dough Tests	58
5. Water Retention Capacity	59
6. Bread Baking Procedure	59
F. Microscopic Methods	60
1. S.E.M. of Starch	60
2. Cytological Investigations	61
V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	62
A. Physical and Chemical Characteristics	62
1. Expression of Results	62
2. Physical Characteristics	62
3. Moisture Content	64
4. Total Nitrogen Content	71
5. Analysis of Whole and Dehulled Seeds	76
6. Crude Fibre and Ash	83
B. Fat	84
1. Crude Fat Content	84
2. Fatty Acid Composition	84
3. Lipid Formation	85

	Page
C. Carbohydrates	93
1. Carbohydrate Composition	93
2. Ethanol Soluble Sugars	97
D. Proteins	101
1. Solubility Fractionation	101
2. SDS-Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis	106
3. Amino Acid Composition	120
E. Technological Characteristics	128
1. Dehulling	128
2. Milling	128
3. Air Classification	129
4. Water Retention Capacity	137
5. Proximate Analyses of Composite Flours	137
6. Alveograph Results	140
7. Farinograph Results	148
8. Baking Results	148
F. Morphological Studies	163
1. Morphological Studies	163
2. Electron Microscopy	181
VI. GENERAL DISCUSSION	192
VII. CONTRIBUTIONS TO KNOWLEDGE	196
VIII. BIBLIOGRAPHY	198

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1. Agriculturally important lupine species, their common names, and chromosome numbers	5
Table 2. Lipid composition of whole seeds, kernels and hulls of cultivar Uniwhite of <i>L. angustifolius</i>	13
Table 3. Composition of lupine seed hull carbohydrates	18
Table 4. Species and cultivars of lupines used in the study.	44
Table 5. Response factors and retention times of various sugars	56
Table 6. Physical characteristics of mature grains	63
Table 7. Dry matter content at different stages of kernel development (main axis)	65
Table 8. Dry matter content at different stages of kernel development (lateral axes)	66
Table 9. Correlation coefficients between varieties, moisture content, total nitrogen and crude fat (dry weight basis)	70
Table 10. Analysis of whole and dehulled lupine seed	79, 80, 81
Table 11. Fatty acid composition of the lipids from the seeds of four lupine cultivars	85
Table 12. Fatty acid composition of lupine seed (cv. Reuscher) from the main and lateral axes at three stages of development	91
Table 13. Fatty acid composition of lupine oil (cv. Reuscher) extracted by two different solvents (% of total fatty acids)	92
Table 14. Carbohydrate composition of lupines.....	95
Table 15. Starch content (% dry matter) of whole and dehulled lupine seed	96
Table 16. Sugar composition (% dry matter) in ethanol extracts from lupines	100
Table 17. Osborne solubility fractionation of the proteins of defatted flours of five lupine cultivars (% of total nitrogen)	103

Table 18.	Solubility fractionation of the proteins of defatted flours of eight lupine cultivars (% of total nitrogen)	104
Table 19.	Amino acid composition in g of amino acids per 100 g of protein of two lupine species	121, 122
Table 20.	Amino acid composition of defatted and non-defatted lupine protein fractions (Moles amino acid per 10^5 g protein) cv. Reuscher	124
Table 21.	Amino acid composition of four varieties of defatted lupine protein fractions. (moles amino acid per 10^5 g protein)	125, 126
Table 22.	Product yields and calculation of the % kernel removed in dehulling lupines (cv. Blanca) with the resinoid bench dehuller at 1500 RPM	131
Table 23.	Sieve analysis of hammermilled and pinmilled lupine flour	133
Table 24.	Air classification of lupine flour (cv. Reuscher) .	136
Table 25.	Water retention capacity values of lupine-wheat flour blends	138
Table 26.	Analytical data on flours used (%)	139
Table 27.	Alveograph data of lupine-wheat flour blends with 0.5% S.S.L.	143
Table 28.	Farinograph and baking data of lupine-wheat flour blends with 0.5% S.S.L.	150

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1. Scheme for separation and analysis of lupine carbohydrates	52
Figure 2. Moisture content at various stages of seed development	67
Figure 3A. Total nitrogen content at various stages of seed development (as is basis)	72
Figure 3B. Total nitrogen content at various stages of seed development (dry matter basis).....	75
Figure 4. Total nitrogen content of two lupine species at various stages of seed development	78
Figure 5. Crude lipid content (as is basis) at various stages of seed development	88
Figure 6. Crude lipid content (dry matter basis) at various stages of seed development	89
Figure 7. Chromatograms of ethanol extracts of four lupine varieties	98
Figure 8. SDS-PAGE patterns of reduced albumin fractions of five defatted lupine proteins.....	107
Figure 9. Tracings of SDS-PAGE patterns of reduced albumin fractions of five defatted lupine proteins	109
Figure 10. SDS-PAGE patterns of reduced globulin fractions of five defatted lupine proteins.....	112
Figure 11. Tracings of SDS-PAGE patterns of reduced globulin fractions of five defatted lupine proteins.....	114
Figure 12. SDS-PAGE patterns of reduced glutelin fractions of five defatted lupine proteins.....	116
Figure 13. Tracings of SDS-PAGE patterns of reduced glutelin fraction of five defatted lupine proteins.....	118
Figure 14. Cross section of the new resinoid disc bench dehuller.....	131
Figure 15. Air-classification of defatted, dehulled lupine flour, cv. Reuscher	136
Figure 16. Relationship between protein content and proportion of dehulled lupine flour.....	141

	Page
Figure 17. Alveograms of three composite flours with 0.5% SSL containing increasing proportions of lupine flour	144
Figure 18. Average alveograms of three composite flours with 0.5% SSL containing increasing proportions of lupine flour	146
Figure 19. Farinograms for composite flours (Chester-lupine blend) with 0.5% SSL, containing increasing proportions of lupine flour	151
Figure 20. Farinograms for composite flours (Neepawa-lupine blend) with 0.5% SSL, containing increasing proportions of lupine flour	153
Figure 21. Farinograms for composite flours (Frederick-lupine blend) with 0.5% SSL, containing increasing proportions of lupine flour	155
Figure 22. Bread from composite flours (Chester-lupine) with 0.5% SSL, containing increasing proportions of lupine flour	157
Figure 23. Bread from composite flours (Neepawa-lupine) with 0.5% SSL, containing increasing proportions of lupine flour	159
Figure 24. Bread from composite flours (Frederick-lupine) with 0.5% SSL, containing increasing proportions of lupine flour	161
Figure 25. Scanning electron micrograph of a section of lupine cotyledons at 20 days after anthesis	164
Figure 26. Scanning electron micrograph of a section of lupine seed coat at 20 days after anthesis	166
Figure 27. Scanning electron micrograph of a section of lupine seed at 20 days after anthesis	168
Figure 28. Scanning electron micrograph of a section of lupine cotyledon at 40 days after anthesis	170
Figure 29. Scanning electron micrograph of a section of lupine seed coat at 40 days after anthesis	172
Figure 30. Scanning electron micrograph of a section of lupine cotyledon at 60 days after anthesis	174
Figure 31. Scanning electron micrograph of a section of lupine cotyledon (2000X)	176

	Page
Figure 32. Scanning Electron micrograph of a section of lupine seed coat at maturity	179
Figure 33. Scanning Electron micrograph of a very active lupine cotyledonary cell	182
Figure 34. Scanning Electron micrograph of the cotyledonary cells rich in protein bodies (PB)	186
Figure 35. Scanning Electron micrograph of globoid cavities containing phytin (Ph)	188
Figure 36. Scanning Electron micrograph of a cotyledonary cell section, 12 hours after soaking and fixed with gluteraldehyde only	190

ABBREVIATIONS

aa	Amino acids
AACC	American Association of Cereal Chemists
ADF	Acid detergent fiber
AOAC	Association of Official Analytical Chemists
BU	Brabender Unit
CSL	Calcium stearoyl-2-lactylate
CW	Cell Walls
D	dextro (used before carbohydrates and amino acids to show that the groups at the asymmetric carbon atom are placed at the right)
D	Dictyosomes
DAA	Days after anthesis
db	dry basis
DEAE	Diethylaminoethyl
DM	Dry matter
DMCS	Dimethyldichlorosilane
ER	Endoplasmic reticulum
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
G	Globoids
GG	Groove Gauge
GRL	Grain Research Laboratory
HMDS	Hexamethyldisilizane
IS	Intercellular space
L	Levo (in configurational sense only)

L	Lipids
LF	Lupine flour
LWFB	Lupine wheat flour blend
M	Mitochondria
M	Molar
MA	Milliampere
MEAA	Modified essential amino acid(s)
N	Nucleus
NFDM	Non fat dried milk
Nu	Nucleolus
OD	Optical density
PB	Protein bodies
α -PDG	Phenyl α -D-Glucopyranoside
PER	Protein efficiency ratio
Ph	Phytin
PI	Plasmodesmata
Pp	Proplastids
S	Starch
S	Subunits
SDS-PAGE	Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
SEM	Scanning electron microscope
SSL	Sodium stearyl 2-lactylate (Emplex)
TCA	Trichloroacetic Acid
TEM	Transmission Electron Microscope
TFA	Trifluoroacetic Acid
V	Vacuoles

v/v per cent "volume in volume"

W Wire (for sieves)

w/v per cent "weight in volume"

I. INTRODUCTION

Lupine, a legume crop, is being investigated in several countries as a potential seed protein producer. Its possibilities are particularly appealing for areas in the world where soybean are not adapted. The adaptability of lupines to poor soils on which other crops do not survive has further increased the potential of this new crop. Its nitrogen-fixing ability produces a saving of fertilizers which is estimated at up to 80-100 kg N per hectare (Cerletti and Duranti, 1979).

Lupine is not entirely new to Canada, records show that over 53 species of lupines existed in North America as far back as 1873. Dunn and Gillett (1966), in their monograph "THE LUPINES OF CANADA AND ALASKA", reported that five annual and 14 perennial species of lupine have been found in Canada. However, the North American lupines have not been exploited in commercial agriculture.

Lupines obtained from West Germany and Australia were introduced for field-testing in 1972 at Saskatoon and Morden (Stauffer) and at the University of Manitoba (Furgal, 1974). In the Miscellaneous Nursery, several entries produced seeds containing 42-45% protein, but the yields were extremely low. It was found that generally, the species of *L. albus* performed substantially better than either *L. angustifolius* or *L. luteus*.

The objective of the present study was to characterize lupine seed by determining relevant biochemical, physical and functional properties as a basis for its potential use as a feed and food crop. The project also includes examination of intra and interspecific variations of the two promising species, *L. albus* and *L. angustifolius*. On the premise that

factors responsible for biochemical properties are more likely to be evident during early kernel development, the changes in protein content, fat content and morphological characteristics were examined for the two species at various stages of maturity. The study also emphasizes some technological characteristics relevant to the potential use of lupines in composite flours for bread and other baked products.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

This review of the publications on the chemistry and technology of lupines will be presented in two sections. The first section will review the literature on the biology of lupines in the context of its potential as a new agricultural crop. The subsequent sections will review relevant literature on the protein and carbohydrate components and functional characteristics of lupines.

A. Biological Characteristics of Lupines

The genus *Lupinus* is one of the legumes in which mankind has been interested since ancient time. Recently Cristofolini and Chiapella (1977), in a serological study of the tribe GENISTEAE (Fabaceae) found only a partial concurrence with Hutchinson's classification in four tribes of the Genisteeae species (Cystiseae, genisteeae, laburneae and lupineae). They suggested that the lupinus species is very dissimilar and therefore should not be included with other genera of the tribe Genisteeae.

According to Zhukovsky (1929), the genera *Lupinus* consist of 250-300 species, but more recent data of Cristofolini and Chiapella (1977) suggest a total of about 100 species with only about ten species in Europe. The differences in the number of species reported might be due to the reconstruction and reclassification of the taxa. Furthermore, Harborne (1971) reports 100-200 species of lupines according to his taxa.

Five lupine species grow wild in the Prairie Provinces (Boivin, 1967). They are *L. polyphyllus*, Lindley., *L. nootkanensis*, Donn., *L. argenteus*,

Pursh., *L. sericeus* and *L. pusillus*. Dunn and Gillet (1966) have reviewed the introgression among these Canadian species. They found that all the five annuals have a haploid number of twenty-four ($2N=48$).

Although the lupine genus comprises over a hundred species, only fifteen of them have been cultivated as an agricultural crop. The most commonly grown species are *L. albus*, *L. angustifolius*, *L. luteus*, *L. consentini*, *L. mutabilis* and *L. polyphyllus*. All these are large-seeded lupine species. They are listed in Table 1, along with their chromosome numbers.

The genus is unique in being distributed over both the Old and the New Worlds. Species of the genus grow in the warm tropical countries Brazil, Sudan, Somalia as well as in the cold Arctic region of Alaska. In Portugal, Spain, Italy, Greece and many other countries, species like *L. albus*, *L. angustifolius* and *L. luteus* are widespread both in cultivated and wild forms. However, significant differences of these species in both forms of lupines do not exist. Zhukovsky (1929) reports that lupines belong to a small group of plants, which despite the process of evolution, shows no great difference between the domesticated forms and their progenitors. In other words, lupines, unlike other crops, lack genetic variability. Such crops are adapted to the rather crude land preparation, seeding, weeding and harvesting procedures of traditional agriculture (Harlan 1975). They are also adapted to low soil fertility.

L. albus is the oldest-established cultivated species among the Mediterranean and African lupines (Gladstones 1974). The Ancients used *L. albus* for green manure and the seeds for cattle feed and human food, after steeping to remove the water-soluble alkaloids, as well as for

Table 1. AGRICULTURALLY IMPORTANT LUPINUS SPECIES, THEIR COMMON NAMES AND CHROMOSOME NUMBERS

Species	Common Name	Chromosome Number	
		$2n^1$	n^2
<i>L. albus</i>	White lupine	50	25
<i>L. angustifolius</i>	Narrow-leaved lupine	40	20
<i>L. luteus</i>	Yellow lupine	52	26
<i>L. consentenii</i>	Sandplain lupine	32	--
<i>L. mutabilis</i>	Sweet lupine	48	--

¹ Gladstones (1970).

² Confirmed by Pazy *et al.* (1977)

medicinal and cosmetic purposes. Present-day use of *L. albus* in the Mediterranean region hardly differs from that described by the early Greek and Roman writers. It is now little used for green manuring except under special conditions such as in irrigated rice fields. The recent history of cultivated *L. albus* and its modern development as a crop plant have been described elsewhere (Gladstones 1970).

L. angustifolius has not been cultivated in classical Greece or Rome. Botanists of the 16th and 17th centuries called it *L. sylvestris* (wild lupines), reported to be still prevalent in Canada, in contrast to *L. albus* which was known as *L. sativus*. Nevertheless, there is evidence that seeds of wild or volunteer stands have probably been used casually for a long time. Bauhin et al (1651) and Savi (1798) record its common name as "lupino salvatico" and Maratti (1822) as "Fusgalia salvatico" presumably implying a role in times of need. The French botanists Boreau (1849) and Tourlet (1908) speak of wild lupines as being cultivated in Anjou under the names "pois a cafe", "cafe turc" and "cafe". Foury (1950) also gives "lupin a cafe" as a common name for *L. angustifolius* in Morocco. Spread of *L. angustifolius* in cultivation and its recent development as a crop are described by Gladstones (1970).

B. Physical Characteristics

1. Seed Size

The potential for growing and using lupine species depends on a number of factors such as seed size and protein content and proportion of seed coat. Of four lupine species studied by Withers *et al.* (1975)

L. albus had the highest seed size (362 mg) as well as the highest seed and protein yield. *L. luteus* ranked second in seed and protein yield although it had the lowest seed size (133 mg).

Varieties of *L. angustifolius* are generally early maturing, have a much higher coefficient of reproduction and in addition have many other agronomic advantages over *L. albus*. Pouhalskaya (1976) studied five varieties of the *L. angustifolius* species with seed size ranging from 80 to 180 mg. She observed heterosis in seed size in intervarietal crosses. Seed size was the dominant factor in the first (F_1) generation whereas in the second (F_2) generation only maternal effect on seed size was observed. Linear correlations between seed size of any two generations were obtained. The correlation coefficient between seed size of F_2/F_3 , F_3/F_4 and F_4/F_5 were 0.424, 0.809 and 0.755 respectively. Therefore, selection for seed size can be made with great probability, using F_3 and F_4 plants.

Within varieties, larger lupine seeds have lesser proportions of seed coat. Hove (1974) found highly significant linear correlation (-0.62 to -0.88) between seed size and the proportion of seed coat for different varieties.

2. Seed Coat

The seed coat contains negligible protein and more than 50% fibre. At 20 to 25% of the seed, it substantially dilutes the protein nutritional value. The seed coat, added to a normal diet, depresses apparent digestibility of the protein and other organic matter. The PER (protein efficiency ratio) of the whole seed has been found to be consistently

slightly less than that of dehulled seeds (Hove 1974). On the other hand, the hull contains no growth depressants. Substantial proportions of its fibre are digested by rats and pigs. This has been attributed to the absence of lignin in the seed coat. A peculiarity of the seed coat is that it contains no phosphorus, and most of the calcium of the seed. In addition, the seed coat contains nearly twice as much lysine and half of the arginine present in whole seed.

3. Inflorescence

In lupines, the fruits (pods) develop from flowers borne in terminal racemose inflorescences on the erect main axis and on a hierarchy of lateral axes formed on branches that arise in the leaf axils on the main axis (Gladstones 1958, Farrington and Greenwood 1975). Farrington (1976) noted several differences in phenological development of two lupine species, *L. angustifolius* and *L. consentinii*. A striking feature of the pattern of seed development on each axial order is the rapid increase in weight per seed which occurs almost concurrently on the main axis, the first order apical axes, and the second order apical axes. Seeds on the main axis generally attain a weight similar to those on the first and second order apical axes. The smallest seed, as reported by Farrington (1976), were found on the highest apical axes in *L. angustifolius*. However, later developing seeds (i.e., on the higher order apical axes) had a lower nitrogen content (Golovchenko 1975).

4. Dry Matter

Dry weight of the lupine plant decreases during maturation, while

that of the maturing seed increases. Seed weight in *angustifolius* and *consentinii* species studied by Farrington (1976), remained low until 23 weeks after seeding, subsequently it increased rapidly. Initially, most of the weight increase was in the pod valves but, in the last five weeks prior to maturity, seed weight increased sharply. Pate and Hocking (1978) found that sequential harvesting of fruits produced a pattern of dry weight which increased as the fruit swelled during the first seven weeks after anthesis and then levelled off on account of seed dehydration and filling of the storage reserves.

5. Fruit Development

Fruit development of legumes can be characterized on the basis of embryogenetic processes by three main stages. During the first stage, seeds remain relatively small and growth is mainly dependent on cell division. The pods grow rapidly during this stage to their full size. During the second stage, cell expansion is the prevailing growth mechanism in the cotyledons. The seeds increase rapidly in size and weight, while the dry weight of the pod decreases slightly. During the third stage, the pods decay, the seeds ripen and enter the resting state (Dure 1975, Manteuffel *et al.* 1976).

The three stages of fruit development according to Vavilov *et al.* (1976) correspond to seed formation, pod filling and ripening phases of the lupines. The period from flowering to full maturity is twice as long for *L. albus* as for cereal grains. The stage of pod formation requires more than 40-45 days. At the end of this period, the seed contains only 30% of the dry matter but by the end of the pod filling stage, it reaches