

THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA

SENSORY CHARACTERIZATION OF HUMAN MILK

by

ELIZABETH ANNE BARKER

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES
IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE
OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

DEPARTMENT OF FOODS AND NUTRITION

WINNIPEG, MANITOBA

MAY, 1980

SENSORY CHARACTERIZATION OF HUMAN MILK

BY

ELIZABETH ANNE BARKER

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies of
the University of Manitoba in partial fulfillment of the requirements
of the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

© 1980

Permission has been granted to the LIBRARY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA to lend or sell copies of this thesis, to the NATIONAL LIBRARY OF CANADA to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film, and UNIVERSITY MICROFILMS to publish an abstract of this thesis.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.



A C K N O W L E D G E M E N T S

The author wishes to thank Dr. Mina McDaniel for her guidance and encouragement during the research for and the writing of this thesis. Appreciation is also expressed to Dr. Ken Mount for his time and assistance with the statistical analyses.

A special thanks is expressed to Ms. Marilyn Latta, Mrs. Stacey Johnson and Ms. Beverley Walker for their willing assistance in the laboratory.

Appreciation is expressed to La Leche League, and in particular, to Mrs. Frances Andrusiak and Mrs. Ronna Filkow and all of the mothers for their participation in the study.

Thanks are extended to members of the sensory panels: Mrs. Effie Henry, Ms. Helen Hale, Ms. Marilyn Latta, Ms. Linda Malcolmson, Dr. Mina McDaniel and Ms. Beverley Walker.

Thanks are extended to Mrs. D. Martin for typing this manuscript.

The author is sincerely grateful to Mr. Harvie Barker for his continuing love and encouragement throughout, and to Heather, David and Michael, who can look forward to having a normal mommy again.

A B S T R A C T

The taste preferences of the human neonate have been studied by various researchers. However, nothing has been reported of the sensory qualities of human milk. Fore and hind milks from a single morning feed collected from twenty-four nursing mothers over three consecutive days were evaluated for the sensory attributes of sweetness, viscosity and mouthcoat by a trained adult panel using magnitude estimation. The presence and intensity of off-flavours were also noted. Milks were perceived as sweet, thin and low in mouthcoat, but significant differences in the three attributes existed between mothers. Hind milks were perceived as more viscous and more mouthcoating than fore milks, yet these differences were very small. Chemical analysis revealed significant differences between mothers in lactose, fat and protein contents. A significantly higher fat content in hind milk ($p = 0.001$) was associated with a significantly higher estimation of physical viscosity ($p = 0.002$). Maternal age was negatively associated with fat content ($r = -0.48, p = 0.02$). Off-flavours noted in fresh human milk were described as metallic, fruity,

sour and spicy. Frozen milk, subject to more frequent and more intense off-flavours, was described as metallic, cardboardy and soapy. Placement of the milks on a sweetness power function permitted a comparison of intensity of the milks to the basic taste stimulant. Further comparisons of sweetness of human milk to that of other infant foods could also be made. Although significant sensory differences were found in human milks, it is unknown whether the human infant could perceive such changes.

T A B L E O F C O N T E N T S

	page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	-ii-
ABSTRACT.....	-iii-
LIST OF TABLES.....	-xi-
LIST OF FIGURES.....	-xvi-
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	-xvii-
INTRODUCTION.....	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	4
I. Development of Taste and Texture	
Preference in Infants.....	4
A. First Exposure to Taste Stimuli...	4
B. Infants' Responses to Taste	
Stimuli.....	4
1. Neonate.....	4
2. Older Infants.....	8
C. Effect of Body State Upon Taste	
Preferences.....	11
D. Effect of Age Upon Taste	
Preferences.....	11
E. Cultural Influences Upon Taste	
Preferences.....	13
F. Infants' Responses to Texture.....	15
II. The Human Neonate and Sucking Behaviour	17
III. Development of Appetite Control in the	
Infant.....	20
IV. Infant Feeding Practices.....	24
A. Incidence of Breast Feeding.....	24
B. Types of Formulae Used.....	27

	Page
V. Composition of Human Milk.....	29
A. Carbohydrate Content of Human Milk.....	31
B. Fat Content of Human Milk.....	33
C. Protein Content of Human Milk.....	37
VI. Flavour of Milk.....	38
A. Off-Flavours in Human Milk.....	38
B. Cultural Influences Upon Diet During Lactation.....	42
C. Off-Flavours in Animal Milks.....	43
1. Cow's Milk.....	43
2. Other Animals.....	44
D. Flavour Changes Occurring in Human Milk Upon Storage.....	46
E. Drugs or Food Metabolites Excreted Into Human Milk.....	48
METHODS AND MATERIALS.....	51
I. Hypotheses.....	51
II. Preliminary Work.....	54
A. Contact with La Leche League.....	54
B. Approval of Ethics Committee.....	54
C. Pre-test on Milk Samples.....	55
III. Investigation Phase.....	55
A. Selection of Subjects.....	55
1. Fresh Human Milk Panels.....	55
2. Frozen Human Milk Panels.....	56
B. Criteria for Participation.....	56
C. Ineligible Contacts.....	57
D. Collection of Milk Samples.....	57
E. Experimental Plan for Mothers Supplying Fresh Human Milk.....	58
F. Questionnaires.....	59
1. Questionnaire Number One.....	59
2. Questionnaire Number Two.....	61
G. Food Records.....	61
H. Treatment of Milk Samples for Chemical Analysis.....	62

	Page
IV. Panel Selection and Training.....	62
A. Selection of Panelists.....	62
B. Training of Panelists.....	62
1. Purpose, Duration and Environment.....	62
2. Sensory Evaluation Techniques.....	63
3. Training in Texture and Taste Evaluations.....	64
4. Training in Description of Off-Flavours.....	66
V. Sensory Evaluation of Human Milk.....	67
A. Fresh Human Milk Evaluation.....	67
1. Experimental Design.....	67
2. Tasting Procedure.....	68
a. Environment.....	68
b. Sample Preparation.....	68
3. Presentation of Samples to Judges.....	70
4. Taste Evaluations.....	70
5. Texture Evaluations.....	70
6. Off-Flavour Evaluations.....	70
B. Frozen Human Milk Evaluations.....	71
C. Power Function for Sweetness.....	72
VI. Instrumental Measurements of Viscosity of Human Milk.....	73
VII. Chemical Analysis of Human Milk.....	74
A. Lactose Content.....	74
1. Preparation of Sample.....	76
2. Enzyme Assay.....	77
3. Calculations.....	79
B. Fat Content.....	82
1. Calibration Curve - Preparation of Standard Curve.....	82
2. Preparation of Milk Sample...	84
C. Protein Content.....	86

	Page
VIII. Analysis of Data.....	90
A. Normalization of Magnitude Estimation Data.....	90
B. Transformation of Normalized Data.	90
C. Statistical Treatment of Data.....	91
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	92
I. Description of Sample.....	92
A. By Size.....	92
B. By Age of Mother.....	93
C. By Age of Infant.....	93
D. By Nursing Frequency per Twenty- four Hour Day.....	96
E. By Nursing Style.....	98
F. By Parity.....	99
G. By Termination of Feeding.....	99
H. By Miscellaneous Factors.....	101
II. Discussion of Analysis of Data.....	101
A. Summary of Statistical Analysis Performed.....	101
B. Statistical Analyses of Relationships Between Data.....	104
III. Sensory Characteristics of Fresh Human Milk.....	105
A. Sweetness.....	105
B. Estimates of Sweetness Intensity of Human Milk Expressed as an Equivalent Concentration of Sucrose.....	111
C. Viscosity.....	116
D. Mouthcoat.....	123
IV. Description of Off-Flavours in Human Milk and Discussion of Food Practices of the Sample During Lactation.....	132
A. Off-Flavours in Fresh Human Milk..	132
B. Off-Flavours in Frozen Human Milk.	147

	Page
V. Physical Viscosity of Fresh Human Milk.	154
VI. Chemical Analyses of Human Milk.....	167
A. Lactose Content.....	167
B. Fat Content.....	176
C. Protein Content.....	187
VII. Statistical Treatment of Relationships Between Sensory, Chemical and Physical Evaluation of Human Milk.....	195
A. Relationship Between Sensory Evaluations and Physical-Chemical Measurements on Human Milk.....	195
B. Relationship Between Fat Content and Physical Viscosity of Human Milk.....	204
VIII. Relationships Between Certain Maternal Parameters and Properties of Human Milk.....	206
A. Explanation of Multiple Regression Program.....	206
B. Maternal Variables and Sensory Properties of Human Milk.....	211
C. Maternal Variables and Fat Content of Human Milk.....	212
D. Maternal Variables and Protein Content of Human Milk.....	213
E. Maternal Variables and Lactose Content of Human Milk.....	214
F. Maternal Variables and Physical Viscosity of Human Milk.....	215
IX. Comparison of the Sensory Qualities of Human Milk with Those of Other Infant Foods.....	215
A. Sweetness of Human Milk in Comparison to That of Other Infant Foods.....	216

	Page
B. Sensory Viscosity of Human Milk in Comparison to That of Other Infant Foods.....	219
C. Sensory Mouthcoat of Human Milk in Comparison to that of Other Infant Foods.....	221
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	223
LIST OF REFERENCES.....	232
APPENDICES.....	247

L I S T O F T A B L E S

		Page
1.	Reported Mean Values for Lactose Content of Human Milk.....	32
2.	Reported Mean Values for Fat Content of Human Milk.....	34
3.	Reported Mean Values for Fat Content of Human Milk During a Single Feed.....	36
4.	Reported Mean Values for Protein Content of Human Milk.....	39
5.	Summary of Advice Given in Publications Written for Mothers for Food Avoidances During Lactation.....	41
6.	Experimental Plan for the Division of Mothers and Samples over Judges for Sensory Panel Evaluations.....	69
7.	Factors Used to Convert Viscometer Readings to Centipoises.....	75
8.	Solutions Used in the Preparation of Human Milk Samples for Lactose Assay.....	78
9.	Procedure for Enzyme Analysis of Lactose Content of Human Milk.....	80
10.	Description of Reagents in <u>Boehringer-Mannheim</u> Kit for Analysis of Lactose.....	81
11.	Dilutions of Cream Used in Preparation of Fat Standard Curve.....	83
12.	Preparation of Reagents for Analysis of Fat Content of Human Milk.....	85

	Page
13. Preparation of Reagents for Modified Folin-Ciocalteu Phenol Method for Analysis of Protein Content of Human Milk..	87
14. Procedure for Estimation of Protein of Human Milk.....	89
15. Description of Sample by Age of Mother.....	94
16. Description of Sample by Age of Infant and Weeks of Lactation.....	95
17. Description of Sample by Nursing Frequency per Twenty-Four Hour Day.....	97
18. Means by Which Mothers Recognized Infants Were Ready to Terminate Feed.....	100
19. Summary of Variable Effects in ANOVA of Sweetness Estimates of Fresh Human Milk....	106
20. Panel Magnitude Estimate Mean Scores for Sweetness of Fresh Human Milk.....	107
21. Tukey's Multiple Comparisons Test on Mean Sweetness Scores by Mother of Fresh Human Milk, Group II.....	109
22. Trained Panel Estimation of Sweetness in Selected Human Milk Samples (Highest and Lowest) Expressed as a Concentration of Sucrose in Water.....	114
23. Summary of Variable Effects in ANOVA of Viscosity Estimates of Fresh Human Milk....	117
24. Panel Magnitude Estimate Mean Scores for Sensory Viscosity of Fresh Human Milk.....	119
25. Tukey's Multiple Comparisons Test on Mean Viscosity Scores by Mother of Fresh Human Milk, Group I.....	120

	Page
26. Summary of Variable Effects in ANOVA of Mouthcoat Estimates of Fresh Human Milk....	125
27. Panel Magnitude Estimate Mean Scores for Sensory Mouthcoat of Fresh Human Milk.....	126
28. Tukey's Multiple Comparisons Test on Mean Mouthcoat Scores by Mother of Fresh Human Milk, Group I.....	128
29. Descriptors Used by Panelists to Describe Fresh Human Milk Off-Flavours.....	133
30. Categories of Off-Flavours in Bovine Milk..	135
31. Frequency of Citations of Off-Flavour in Human Milk by Judge and by Day.....	139
32. Off-Flavours in Fresh Human Milk: Four Frequently Cited Mothers (Judges 1 - 3), Descriptors Used by Judges Over Three Days.	141
33. Off-Flavours in Fresh Human Milk: Two Frequently Cited Mothers (Judges 4 - 6), Descriptors Used by Judges Over Three Days.	142
34. Specific Food Avoided by Mothers During Lactation.....	146
35. Descriptors Used by Panelists to Describe Frozen and Fresh Human Milk Off-Flavours...	148
36. Descriptors Used by Mothers Who Had Tasted Their Own Milk to Describe Milk Flavour....	151
37. Description of Sample by Practices of Freezing and Thawing Human Milk.....	153
38. Summary of Variable Effects in ANOVA of Instrumental Viscosity of Fresh Human Milk.	155

	Page
39. Mean Instrumental Viscosity Estimate of Fresh Human Milk in Centipoises.....	156
40. Tukey's Multiple Comparisons Test on Mean Physical Viscosity Estimates by Mother of Fresh Human Milk.....	159
41. Comparison of Tukey's Multiple Comparisons Test on Two Sets of Data: Physical Viscosity and Sensory Viscosity, for Mothers in Common.....	160
42. Summary of Variable Effects in ANOVA of Lactose Content of Human Milk.....	168
43. Mean Lactose (Plus Free Galactose) Content of Human Milks.....	169
44. Tukey's Multiple Comparisons Test on Mean Lactose Content of Milks of Twenty-four Mothers.....	172
45. Summary of Variable Effects in ANOVA of Fat Content of Human Milk.....	178
46. Mean Fat Contents of Human Milks.....	179
47. Tukey's Multiple Comparisons Test on Mean Fat Content of Milks of Twenty-four Mothers	182
48. Summary of Variable Effects in ANOVA of Protein Content of Human Milk.....	188
49. Mean Protein Contents of Human Milks.....	189
50. Tukey's Multiple Comparisons Test on Mean Protein Content of Milks of Twenty-four Mothers.....	192
51. A Summary of the Correlations Performed Between Selected Parameters Evaluated in Human Milk.....	197

	Page
52. A Summary of Multiple Regression Analysis Between Maternal Variables and Properties of Human Milk.....	207

L I S T O F F I G U R E S

	Page
1. Experimental Plan for Mothers Supplying Human Milk for Sensory Evaluation.....	60
2. Sensory Characteristics: Definitions and Procedures.....	65
3. An Overview of the Treatment of Data in Statistical Analysis.....	102
4. Sweetness Power Function as Determined by Trained Sensory Panel and Sweetness Perceived in Human Milks Expressed as Concentrations of Sucrose.....	116
5. Physical Viscosities of Human Fore and Hind Milks.....	164
6. Physical Viscosities of Human Fore and Hind Milks and of Selected Infant Formulae.....	220

L I S T O F A P P E N D I C E S

		Page
A.	Letter from La Leche League International Granting Permission to Contact Mothers.....	247
B.	La Leche League International Policy with Regard to Participation on Research Projects.....	249
C.	Submission to Ethics Committee, Faculty of Home Economics, University of Manitoba.....	250
D.	Letter to Contact Subjects.....	255
E.	Consent Form.....	256
F.	Procedures for Handling Samples.....	257
G.	Questionnaire Number One.....	258
H.	Frozen Milk Questionnaire (Number Two).....	259
I.	Cover Letter to Mother Accompanying Second Questionnaire.....	261
J.	Record of Foods Consumed on the Day Previous to Milk Collection.....	262
K.	Ballot Used in Sensory Evaluation of Human Milk Samples.....	263
L.	Formula Used to Determine Lactose Concentrations for Each Sample.....	264
M.	Calculated Fat Content of Cream Samples....	265
N.	Standard Curve for Estimation of Fat Content of Human Milk.....	266

	Page
O. Model for ANOVA for Sensory Data from Human Milk Samples.....	267
P. Model for ANOVA of Chemical and Physical Data from Human Milk Samples.....	268
Q. Number of Observations (Judgements) Included in the Mean Sensory Score for Each Variable and Interaction Tested by ANOVA: Sensory Data.....	269
R. Number of Observations Included in Mean Value for Each Variable and Interaction Tested by ANOVA: Instrumental and Chemical Data.....	270
Si. Analysis of Variance Table for Sensory Sweetness of Fresh Human Milk, Group I.....	271
Sii. Analysis of Variance Table for Sensory Sweetness of Fresh Human Milk, Group II.....	272
T. Detailed Calculations of the Method Used to Place Sweetness Scores of Human Milk on the Power Function for Sucrose.....	273
Ui. Analysis of Variance Table for Sensory Viscosity of Fresh Human Milk, Group I.....	274
Uii. Analysis of Variance Table for Sensory Viscosity of Fresh Human Milk, Group II.....	275
Vi. Analysis of Variance Table for Sensory Mouthcoat, Group I.....	276
Vii. Analysis of Variance Table for Sensory Mouthcoat, Group II.....	277
W. Analysis of Variance Table for Physical Viscosity of Fresh Human Milk.....	278

	Page	
X.	Results of Analysis of Lactose Content of Human Milk.....	279
Y.	Analysis of Variance Table for Lactose Content of Human Milk.....	280
Z.	Results of Analysis of Fat Content of Human Milk.....	281
AA.	Analysis of Variance Table for Fat Content of Human Milk.....	282
BB.	Results of Analysis of Protein Content of Human Milk.....	283
CC.	Analysis of Variance Table for Protein Content of Human Milk.....	284
DDi.	Analysis of Variance Table for Regression Coefficient for Sensory Viscosity and Fat Content of Human Milk.....	285
Dii.	Analysis of Variance Table for Regression Coefficient for Sensory Viscosity and Physical Viscosity of Human Milk.....	286
Diii.	Analysis of Variance Table for Regression Coefficient for Sensory Sweetness and Lactose Content of Human Milk.....	287
EE.	Analysis of Variance Table for Regression Coefficient for Physical Viscosity and Fat Content of Human Milk.....	288

I N T R O D U C T I O N

Human milk is often the first food offered to an infant. With present trends of increased incidence of breastfeeding, longer duration of breastfeeding, and later introduction of solids, the human infant may be exposed to breast milk alone for a few months before other foods are introduced. Therefore, in the study of the effects of early taste experience upon the development of flavour preference in human infants, it is important to consider the sensory qualities of human milk.

There appear to be no studies reported in the literature describing the flavour of human milk. At the same time there exists a folklore of anecdotal literature about the effects of particular foods in the mother's diet upon her ability to lactate, upon the response of the infant at the breast and later gastrointestinal upset of the infant, and upon the flavour of her milk. Many of the reported effects of foods consumed by the lactating woman upon her milk are part of an oral cultural tradition passed from one mother to another. Sometimes this tradition becomes formalized

into proscribed foods for the nursing mother, and often these food avoidances are reinforced by medical and nutrition practitioners in the advice they give to nursing women.

Studies with laboratory animals such as the rat, have shown that rats formed taste preferences and/or aversions through their experiences with mothers' milk. The effect of mothers' milk upon the human infants' later taste preferences is unknown.

It has been hypothesized by Hall (1975a) that compositional changes in the milk during a single feed could act as an appetite control mechanism whereby the infant would somehow perceive flavour and/or textural changes leading to a sensation of satiety and cessation of feeding. As well, the literature on infant feeding abounds with references to the development of "a sweet tooth". This and other problems such as accelerated growth and infantile obesity have, in the past, been blamed on formula feeding, sweetened formulae and early introduction of solids. These accusations have been made without actual quantification of the relative sweetness of human milk as compared to the sweetness of formulae,

commercial or home-prepared, presently used in infant feeding.

Therefore, the purpose of this research was to examine the sensory qualities of human milk. The objectives of this study were:

1. To define the sensory characteristics of human milk by utilizing a trained adult sensory panel.
2. To note and describe off-flavours in fresh human milk and to relate flavours in milk to foods in the maternal diet.
3. To determine if sensory differences exist in milks of different mothers or between samples from the same mother from day to day or within a single feed.
4. To relate the sensory viscosity of human milk to physical viscosity.
5. To determine the effects of lactose, fat and protein contents upon the sensory qualities of human milk.
6. To determine the effects of freezing upon the flavour and texture of human milk.
7. To test for the effects of certain maternal parameters as age, stage of lactation, parity, frequency of nursing and style of nursing upon the sensory, physical and chemical qualities of human milk.

REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

I. Development of Taste Preference in Infants

A. First Exposure to Taste Stimuli

An infant is likely exposed to its first taste experience in utero, since it has been reported that taste receptors are developed at twelve weeks of age and that a fetus swallows amniotic fluid beginning about this time (Mistretta and Bradley, 1977). In fact, it has been estimated that between 10 to 15 percent of protein requirements of the fetus were satisfied by amniotic amino acids, and that intrauterine growth retardation was associated with fetuses that could not swallow (Brans, 1976).

B. Infants Responses to Taste Stimuli

1. Neonate

Because of the non-verbal nature of the neonate subject, infants' responses to taste stimuli have been measured in various ways: observation of tongue movements (Jacobs et al., 1977; Nowlis, 1977), facial expressions (Steiner, 1977) heart rate (Lipsitt, 1977),

breathing rate and sucking patterns (Johnson and Salisbury, 1975), volume of taste solution ingested (Desor et al., 1973), and film studies (Drewett and Woolridge, 1979).

Similar conclusions have been reached from these various studies regarding the discrimination of taste in the human neonate. It has been demonstrated that the neonate does discriminate among different taste qualities and over a variety of concentrations and tastants. It has been shown that the neonate clearly prefers sweet solutions over non-sweet ones (Aiyar and Agarwal, 1969; Desor et al., 1973; Steiner, 1977). The neonate preferred certain sweet sugars such as sucrose and fructose over less sweet sugars, glucose and lactose (Desor et al., 1973). The infant preferred more concentrated sweet solutions over less concentrated ones (Crook and Lipsitt, 1976; Desor et al., 1973).

Negative responses of infants to sour solutions have been reported (Aiyar and Agarwal, 1969; Steiner, 1977), but these researchers used very high concentrations of tastants in their experiments. By using weaker solutions of tastant, Desor, Maller and Andrews (1975) found that the addition of citric acid to a sucrose solution significantly

decreased the volume ingested by neonates, but a similar addition of bitter tastant, urea, and of salty tastant, sodium chloride, did not significantly alter the amount of sweet solution ingested. Desor, Maller and Andrews (1975) also found that volume of weak aqueous solutions of sour and bitter tastants did not significantly differ from the volume of water ingested. They attributed this lack of difference to a possible aversion to water in the human neonate.

Thus, it seems that the human neonates prefer sweet and are aversive to strong solutions of sour and bitter. It remains unclear whether very young infants find weak bitter solutions aversive.

Salty solutions have not been tested often, due to the fear of introducing a dangerous level of hypertonic solution to the neonate. Johnson and Salisbury (1975) found salt solutions to cause apnea, or to be inhaled, by newborn infants. Negative responses to salty taste were reported by Aiyar and Agarwal (1969) and Jensen (1932). Desor, Maller and Andrews (1975) reported indifference to sodium chloride solution of 3-200 mM (or 0.017 - 1.17 percent) in infants of 1 - 4 days of age. Conner (1979)