

The University of Manitoba

CHARACTERISTICS OF LOOP YAGI ARRAYS WITH LOADED ELEMENTS
AND THEIR APPLICATION TO SHORT BACKFIRE ANTENNAS

By

ALIREZA SHOAMANESH

A Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty Of Graduate Studies
In Partial Fulfillment Of the Requirements For The
Degree Of Doctor Of Philosophy

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Winnipeg, Manitoba
Canada

JUNE 1979



CHARACTERISTICS OF LOOP YAGI ARRAYS WITH LOADED ELEMENTS
AND THEIR APPLICATION TO SHORT BACKFIRE ANTENNAS

BY

ALIREZA SHOAMANESH

A dissertation submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies of
the University of Manitoba in partial fulfillment of the requirements
of the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

©1979

Permission has been granted to the LIBRARY OF THE UNIVER-
SITY OF MANITOBA to lend or sell copies of this dissertation, to
the NATIONAL LIBRARY OF CANADA to microfilm this
dissertation and to lend or sell copies of the film, and UNIVERSITY
MICROFILMS to publish an abstract of this dissertation.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the
dissertation nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or other-
wise reproduced without the author's written permission.

ABSTRACT

An analysis of wave propagation along an infinitely long array of single or two concentric loaded circular loops is presented. The travelling wave idea is applied to derive a relationship for the propagation constant along the structure. The analysis is based on a "circuit theory" method and gives the dispersion relation in terms of the mutual impedances between a reference and the other elements, in the array. In addition, Floquet's theorem is used to account for the periodicity of the structure. It is found that capacitive loading increases the operating frequency range, for a given phase velocity of the travelling wave along the structure, and consequently, increases the bandwidth of the array. Capacitive loading of the inner array in a two concentric loop array, which operates in two passbands separated by a stop-band, increases both the separation of the pass-bands and the bandwidth of the second pass-band.

A study of the excitation problem in finite Yagi arrays shows that for arrays made of two concentric loops the choice of the outer loop as the feeder gives the most superior gain and input admittance characteristics.

As an example of multiple-loadings, the case of a doubly loaded array is considered. It is found that for a relatively smooth variation of the input admittance in a Yagi array, the exciter may be loaded resistively. Extensive investigation has also shown that to improve the gain bandwidth

performance of a Yagi array, its directors and reflector must be loaded by capacitive and inductive loads, respectively.

Similar result is also obtained for distributed impedance loadings.

Coaxial planar loops and their application to backfire antenna are also studied. First, a general method is described which enables one to study compact arrays and coaxial planar loop antennas with considerable ease. The technique is then used to investigate the radiation characteristics of coaxial planar loop arrays and backfire antennas constructed entirely with loops. The comparison between this type of backfire antenna and the conventional one with a solid reflector reveals that the optimum sizes of the reflector and the peripheral rim are approximately the same for both kinds of the reflectors. However, the optimum gain of the loop-reflector is found to be about 1dB less than that of the solid reflector aerial. In addition, it is found that as few as 6 loops are sufficient to construct the optimum size of the reflectors and almost the same number of loops are required to form the optimum peripheral rim. The new structure reduces the weight, windage and obstruction of view which accompany solid reflectors. In addition, the new antenna lends itself to exact analytical investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his sincere appreciation to Dr. L. Shafai of the Electrical Engineering Department, University of Manitoba, for his guidance, continuous interest and encouragement and constructive criticism throughout all phases of this work.

My special thanks go to my wife, Ziba Kamal, for her help, encouragement and understanding through the years which made this work possible. Her reading of the final manuscript is also acknowledged.

Special acknowledgement is given to my good friend H. Kunkel for his valuable assistance in reading the draft which led to a number of clarifications and other improvements. I would also like to acknowledge my appreciation to a very capable typist, Ms. M. Tyler, for her cheerful and patient efforts in typing the entire manuscript.

The author also expresses his gratitude and appreciation to all those individuals who assisted him in various phases of this work. In particular, extended thanks are due to the following members of the Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Manitoba; Professor E. Bridges, Dr. W. Goddard, my colleagues K. Iskander and A. Ittipiboon for long hours of discussions and helpful suggestions. Secretarial assistance by Mrs. L. Ramsay is also appreciated.

The financial support provided by the National Research Council of Canada (Grant A-7702) and the Department of

Electrical Engineering of the University of Manitoba is gratefully acknowledged.

Last but not least, the author wishes to thank the people of Iran and the Province of Manitoba for providing him an opportunity to study at the University of Tehran and the University of Manitoba. Toward them, I shall remain reverent for years to come.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER		PAGE
	ABSTRACT	i
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
	LIST OF FIGURES	viii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
I	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Literature Review	1
	1.2 Fourier Series Solution of Circular Loop Arrays	7
	1.2.1 Current Distribution and the Admittance	11
	1.2.2 The Radiation Field and Directive Gain	13
II	INFINITE AND FINITE LOADED ARRAYS	15
	2.1 Introduction	15
	2.2 The Reason for Investigation	16
	2.3 A Relation for Phase Velocity	18
	2.4 Evaluation of the Infinite Series (2.4)	22
	2.5 The Numerical Results for the Phase Velocity	26
	2.6 Finite Arrays	29
	2.7 Directive Gain of Loaded Arrays	30
	2.8 Effect of Loading on Directive Gain	34
	2.9 The Input Impedance	39
	2.10 The Radiation Field	42
	2.11 Discussions and Conclusions	42

CHAPTER		PAGE
III	MULTIPLY-DRIVEN AND LOADED COAXIAL CIRCULAR LOOP ARRAYS	48
	3.1 Introduction	48
	3.2 Formulation of Problem	48
	3.3 Simplification of the Infinite Series in Equation (3.4)	50
	3.4 Array Excited at $\phi = 0$ and Loaded at $\phi = \pi$	53
	3.5 Yagi Arrays Loaded Both at $\phi = 0$ and $\phi = \pi$	55
	3.6 Results and Discussions	57
	3.7 Conclusion	63
IV	CONTINUOUS IMPEDANCE LOADING OF LOOP ARRAYS	64
	4.1 Introduction	64
	4.2 Formulation of the Problem	65
	4.3 Uniform Load Distribution $Z_{Li}(\phi_i)$	67
	4.4 Efficiency of the Antenna with a Uniform Resistive Loading	68
	4.5 Results and Discussion for Distributed Uniform Loading	71
	4.6 Tapered Capacitive Loading	75
	4.7 Results and Discussion for a Loaded Antenna	81
	4.8 Conclusion	83
V	PLANAR ARRAYS AND THEIR APPLICATION TO BACKFIRE ANTENNAS	84
	5.1 Introduction	84
	5.2 The New Technique	85
	5.3 Planar Circular Loop Arrays	87
	5.4 Backfire Antennas	92

CHAPTER		PAGE
	5.4.1 A single Loop Antenna in Front of an Infinite Reflector	95
	5.4.2 Backfire Antenna with a Finite Reflector	102
	5.4.3 Backfire Antenna with a Rim	108
	5.4.4 Backfire Antenna with Planar Concentric Loops as a Reflector	112
	5.5.5 Backfire Antenna with Peripheral Rim Constructed with Loops	115
	5.5 Conclusion	122
VI	CHARACTERISTICS OF TWO INFINITE AND FINITE CONCEN- TRIC LOOP ARRAYS WITH LOADED ELEMENTS	124
	6.1 Introduction	124
	6.2 A Relation for the Phase Velocity	125
	6.3 Evaluation of the Infinite Series in Equation (6.4)	129
	6.4 The Numerical Results for the Phase Velocity	130
	6.5 The Excitation Problem	132
	6.6 The Far Field Radiation Pattern	139
	6.7 Summary	142
VII	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	145
	7.1 Suggestion for Future Research	148
	APPENDIX III-A	151
	APPENDIX IV-A	153
	APPENDIX IV-B	155
	APPENDIX VI-A	156
	REFERENCES	160

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1-1	An array of circular coaxial loops.	8
2-1	An infinite array of coaxial circular loops.	19
2-2	Variation of the relative phase velocity of an infinite array with frequency for various loadings. $b = d = 21.4$ cm, and $b/a = 100$.	28
2-3	Variation of the relative phase velocity of a 19-element array with frequency for various loadings. $b = d = 21.45$ cm, and $b/a = 100$.	31
2-4	Variation of the directive gain of a 10-element array with frequency for various director loadings. $b_1 = 22.75$ cm, $b_2 = 23.83$ cm, $b_i = 21.45$ cm ($i = 3, 4, \dots, 10$), $d = 21.45$ cm and $b_2/a = 82.44$	35
2-5	Variation of the directive gain of a 14-element array with frequency for various director loadings. The size and spacing of the loops are the same as figure (2-4).	36
2-6	Effect of various reflector loadings on the directive gain of a 10-element array as a function of frequency. Directors are loaded with 2.5 PF capacitor and $b_1 = 22.75$ cm, $b_2 = 23.83$ cm, $b_i = 21.45$ cm ($i = 3, 4, \dots, 10$), $b_2/a = 82.44$.	37
2-7	Effect of various reflector loadings on backward gain of a 10-element array as a function of frequency. Directors are loaded with 2.5 PF capacitors. The geometry is the same as figure (2.6).	38
2-8	Variation of the real part of the input impedance of an 8-element array with frequency for various director loadings. $b_1 = 19.1$ cm, $b_2 = 20.0$ cm, $b_i = 18$ cm ($i = 3, 4, \dots, 8$), $d = 25$ cm and $b_2/a = 38.94$	40

FIGURE	PAGE
2-9	41
Variation of the imaginary part of the input impedance of the 8-element array given in figure (2-8) with frequency.	
2-10	43
Comparison of the H-plane radiation patterns of a 10-element array with that of unloaded one. The directors are only loaded ($C = 2.5$ PF), and $f = 220$ MHz. Geometry is the same as figure (2-4).	
2-11	44
Effect of the reflector loading on the H-plane radiation field of a 10-element array. Directors are loaded with $C = 2.5$ PF and $f = 200$ MHz. Geometry is the same as figure (2-4).	
3-1	49
Geometry of the array.	
3-2	59
Directive gain of an 8-element array loaded at $\phi = 0$ and π . $b_1 = 22.75$ cm, $b_2 = 23.8$ cm, $b_i = 21.45$ cm ($i = 3, 4, \dots, 8$), element spacing = 21.45 cm, and $\Omega_2 = 2 \ln(2\pi b_2/a) = 12$.	
3-3	60
Input impedance of an 8-element array as a function of frequency. The directors are loaded with $C = 5$ PF at $\phi = 0$ and π and the exciter loading at $\phi = \pi$ is the parameter. The geometry is the same as in figure (3-2). _____ real part, ----- imaginary part.	
3-4	62
The distribution of current on exciter of an 8-element array with and without lumped resistive loading. The directors are loaded with $C = 5$ PF and $\phi = 0$ and π . The geometry is the same as in figure (3-2).	
4-1	73
The input impedance of a 14-element array for several continuous loading of exciter. $b_1 = 1.2 b_3$, $b_2 = 1.1 b_3$, $b_2/a = 38.94$, $d = b_i = 20$ cm ($i = 3, 4, \dots, 14$)	
4-2	74
Effect of continuous leading of exciter on the directive gain of the 14-element array in figure (4-1) as a function of frequency.	
4-3	74
Variation of the efficiency of the array in figure (4-1) with frequency for different exciter loading distribution.	

FIGURE		PAGE
4-4	Relative magnitude of the current distribution on the exciter of the array in figure (4-1) for various exciter loadings and at $f = 210$ MHz	76
4-5	The phase of the current distribution on the continuously loaded exciter of the array in figure (4-1) for various exciter loading and at $f = 210$ MHz.	76
4-6	Comparison between the input impedances of an unloaded loop computed by numerical technique [25] and Fourier series method. ——— real part, ----- imaginary part.	78
4-7	Effect of tapered capacitive loading on the input admittance of a loop antenna, as a function of frequency. ——— real part, ----- imaginary part.	82
4-8	Variation of the directive gain of a loop antenna with frequency for various tapered capacitive loadings.	82
5-1	Variation of the integrands of equations (5.8) and (5.1), (real parts only).	88
5-2a	Geometry of a coaxial planar loop array.	89
5-2b	Magnitude of loop currents on a 14-element coaxial planar array at $\phi = 0$.	89
5-3	Variation of the gain with array radius.	91
5-4	Backfire gain of a loop antenna as a function of d_s/λ for various size of loops.	97
5-5	Real part of input impedance of a loop antenna as a function of its spacing from perfectly conducting ground for various size of loops.	97
5-6	Imaginary part of input impedance of a loop antenna as a function of its spacing from perfectly conducting ground for various size of loops.	98
5-7	The input current of a single loop antenna as a function of its spacing from perfectly conducting ground plane.	100

FIGURE		PAGE
5-8	Backfire gain of a 2-element loop array as a function of the array spacing from perfectly conducting ground plane.	100
5-9	Comparison of the radiation field of a one wavelength loop antenna against that of eight infinitesimal dipoles located uniformly on a circle with $kb = 1$. _____ field of dipoles, - - - - - field of loops.	104
5-10	Variation of the backfire gain with diameter of solid disk reflector.	105
5-11	Variation of the backfire gain as a function of the exciter spacing for various size of solid disk reflectors.	
5-12	Current distribution on a solid disk reflector of radius 0.716λ . The exciter is located 0.15λ from the disk.	107
5-13	Variation of the backfire gain with the length of the rim for various sizes of disk diameter.	109
5-14	Amplitude distribution in aperture of a solid backfire antenna with disk reflector radius = 0.875λ , rim length = 0.65λ and exciter spacing 0.15λ .	110
5-15	Backfire gain of a loop antenna in front of coaxial planar loops as a function of exciter spacing and for various ratio parameter, rap.	114
5-16	Variation of the backfire gain with exciter spacing. The number of loops on the reflector is the parameter and $kb_{Max} \sim 4.5$ for all cases.	114
5-17	Variation of the backfire gain with exciter spacing. The size of the exciter, kb_1 , is the parameter and the size of the smallest loop on the reflector, kb_2 , always satisfies the relation $kb_2 = kb_1 + 0.1$	116
5-18	Comparison of the H-plane far field radiation of a backfire antenna with disk reflector against that of a backfire antenna with loop reflector. The exciter is located 0.15λ from the reflector and its size, $kb_1 = 1$. The reflector diameter $D \approx 1.43\lambda$.	117

FIGURE		PAGE
5-19	Variation of backfire gain as a function of the rim length and for various diameters of the loop reflector.	119
5-20a	Comparison of the H-plane far field radiation of a backfire antenna with solid disk reflector and rim against that of backfire antenna with loop reflector and rim (total of 13 loops). The exciter size $kb_1 = 1$ and located 0.15λ from the reflector, rim length = 0.65λ , reflector diameter $D = 1.75\lambda$.	120
5-20b	Comparison of the E-plane far field radiation of a backfire antenna with solid disk reflector and rim against that of a backfire antenna with loop reflector and rim (total of 13 loops). The exciter size $kb_1 = 0.65\lambda$, reflector diameter $D = 1.75\lambda$.	121
6-1	An infinite array of two concentric loops	126
6-2	Comparison of the numerical results against that of experiment. $b_2/b_1 = 1.62$, $d/b_1 = 0.92$, $a/b_1 = 0.038$.	
6-3	Variation of the relative phase velocity of an infinite concentric loop array with frequency for various loading of inner array. $b_2/b_1 = 1.25$, $d/b_1 = 1.$, $b_1/a = 100$, $b_1 = 20$ cm.	133
6-4	Variation of the conductance of an 18-element Yagi array of concentric loops (9 cells) with frequency. Both inner (kb_3) and outer (kb_4) are excited. $b_2/b_1 = 1.5$, $b_1 = b_3 = \dots b_{17} = 20$ cm, $d = 20$ cm, $b_1/a = 82.44$.	135
6-5	Variation of the conductance of an 18-element Yagi array of concentric loops (9 cells) with frequency when the inner loop (kb_3) or the outer loop (kb_4) is excited. The geometry is the same as in figure (6-4).	136
6-6	Behaviour of the directive gain of an 18-element Yagi array of concentric loops (9 cells) with frequency for three different methods of excitation. The geometry is the same as in figure (6-4).	138

FIGURE		PAGE
6-7	Comparison of the total H-plane far field radiation of an 18-element Yagi array of concentric loops (9 cells) against that of the inner elements at $f = 145$ MHz. The geometry is the same as in figure (6-4) and the outer loop (kb_4) is only excited.	140
6-8	Comparison of the H-plane far field radiation of the inner loops of an 18-element Yagi array of concentric loops (9 cells) with that of the outer parasitic loops at $f = 225$ MHz. The geometry is the same as in figure (6-4) and only the outer loop (kb_4) is excited.	141
6-9	Comparison of the magnitude of the current at $\phi = 0$ of the outer loops, with that of the inner loops at $f = 145$ MHz. The geometry is the same as in figure (6-4).	143
6-10	Comparison of the magnitude of the current at $\phi = 0$ of the outer loops with that of the inner loops. The geometry is the same as in figure (6-4).	143
6A-1	Variation of directive gain of 16-element array with frequency. Director radius - 21.45 cm, element spacing = 21.45 cm.	157

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Unless otherwise stated, the symbols most commonly used in this thesis have the following meaning:

LATIN ALPHABET:

a	radius of wire cross section
a_i	radius of wire cross section of the i th-element
A	a coefficient
b	radius of loop antenna
b_i	radius of the i th-element in a circular loop array
B	a coefficient
c	velocity of electromagnetic waves in free space
C	a coefficient
C	lumped capacitance
C_{gi}	gap capacitance of the i th element
C'_{gi}	gap capacitance at $\phi = 0$ of the i th element
C''_{gi}	gap capacitance at $\phi = \pi$ of the i th element
d	spacing between two adjacent elements
d_F	spacing between exciter and disk (or loop) reflector
d_{ij}	distance between the i th and j th-element in an array
d_s	spacing of loop antenna from the ground plane

LATIN ALPHABET:

d_s	skin depth
dB	decibel
D	disk (or loop) reflector diameter
e	base of natural logarithms
E_θ, E_ϕ	θ and ϕ components of electric field
E_ϕ^a	ϕ component of applied electric field
E_ϕ^i	ϕ component of induced electric field
f	frequency
$F(\pi/2, \cdot)$	complete Elliptic integral of the first kind
G_d	directive gain in positive Z-direction
G_r	directive gain in negative Z-direction
$h_m^2(\cdot)$	second kind spherical Hankel function of order m
I	integral associated with complete Elliptic integral of the first kind
$I_i(\phi)$	total current of ith-element
I_i^n	coefficient of the nth element mode of ith-element
$\text{Im}(\cdot)$	imaginary part of a complex number
$J_m(\cdot)$	first kind Bessel function of order m
k	free space wave number
kb_i	size of the ith-loop in an array
kb_M	size of the largest loop in a loop reflector

LATIN ALPHABET:

$K_{ij}^n, K_{ij}'^n, \hat{K}_{ij}^n$	nth coefficient in Fourier series expansion of the kernel
l	length of Yagi array
L	lumped inductance
$\ln(\cdot)$	natural logarithm
m_0	an integer number after which the impedance matrix can be considered a diagonal matrix
$m!$	factorial m
n	mode number
n_i	ratio of loop and wire radii, i.e., b_i/a_i
N	number of elements in an array of circular loops
P_D	dissipated power
P_r	radiated power
r, θ, ϕ	spherical coordinates
R	resistance
$R_{ij}(\cdot)$	distance between two current components normalized by radius b_i
$\text{Re}(\cdot)$	real part of a complex number
$U_i(\cdot), U_i^0(\cdot), U_i^\pi(\cdot)$	a function equal to unity at the driving-point and zero elsewhere
v	phase velocity of electromagnetic waves
V_i, V_i^0, V_i^π	driving voltage of the i th-element
$W_{ij}(\cdot)$	kernel

Y_{ij}^n	element of admittance matrix
Y_i	input admittance of i th-element
Z_i	internal impedance per unit length
$Z_L, Z_{Li}, Z_{Li}^0, Z_{Li}^\pi$	lumped impedance
$Z_{ij}^n, Z_{ij}^{\prime n}, \hat{Z}_{ij}^n$	element of impedance matrix
GREEK ALPHABET:	
α_i	function
α_i	constant in tapered capacitive loading
β	phase constant of travelling wave
β	constant and $\ln(2\beta) = \gamma$
γ	Euler's constant
δ_{ij}	Kronecker delta function
$\delta\phi_i$	gap width of the driving-point of the i th-element
ϵ_0	permittivity of free space
ζ_i, ζ_i'	functions
η_0	characteristic impedance of free space
θ	spherical coordinate
$\bar{\theta}$	coefficient
μ_0	permeability of free space
σ	conductivity
ϕ	spherical coordinate
ϕ_{ij}	element of matrix
ψ	angle in surface current distribution
Ω	thickness parameter
ω	angular velocity

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The electromagnetic theory of circular loop antennas has received considerable attention in the past due to its advantages over dipole antennas, and its relatively simple geometry. The radiation pattern of a small loop antenna is similar to that of a dipole antenna and shows a figure eight shape in the vertical plane involving the loop axis. However, it tends to become directive in the axial direction as the loop circumference approaches one wavelength [1 - 2]. This fact suggests that a highly directive beam may be obtained if an array of coaxial loops of a proper dimension is utilized. A well suited application of the loop array is to employ loops as elements in a Yagi-Uda array, in which only the second element is excited. However, in contrast to the extensive studies available on dipole Yagi-Uda arrays, the literature on Yagi arrays of loop antenna is scarce. The goal of this thesis is to undertake a detailed investigation of these antennas.

1.1 Literature Review

The first general analysis of a circular loop as a transmitting antenna was carried out by Hallen [3]. He considered the loop antenna excited by a lumped generator, an idealized delta function generator, and obtained a formal solution for the current and the input admittance in the form of Fourier series. However, due to the occurrence of a

singularity in the high order Fourier coefficients, he was unable to obtain numerical results except for loops small compared to the wavelength. Storer [4] reconsidered the problem but avoided the contribution from the higher order terms by approximating the series by an integral and evaluating it using the Cauchy's principle value. He provided extensive tables and graphs of the input admittance and current distributions for loops up to a wavelength in circumference and a number of different wire cross sections. Storer also examined the validity of the constant current distribution on a small loop and was led to the conclusion that loops with circumference larger than 0.2 wavelength can not be considered small.

T.T. Wu re-examined the problem of evaluating the Fourier series. He pointed out that, assuming that the current flows along the center of the conductor, the expansion of Hallen and Storer does not converge everywhere on the antenna [5]. He examined the surface current distribution on a perfectly conducting loop and verified that the resulting Fourier series for $I(\phi)$ converges everywhere except at the driving point.

Later King et al. [6] computed the input admittance of a circular loop by taking a partial summation of the infinite series obtained by Wu. They suggested that a Fourier series solution with twenty terms is satisfactory for determining the admittance of thin ($\Omega = 2 \ln 2\pi \frac{b}{a} \geq 10$) and $kb \leq 2.5$ loops in air and dissipative media. Here k , a ,

and b are the free space propagation constant and the wire and loop radii, respectively.

Inagaki et al. by assuming a finite gap at the driving point gave a theoretical basis for determining the required number of terms in the current and admittance computations [7]. They obtained an expression for the driving-point admittance in which the gap capacitance is a lumped representation of the effect of the gap width.

Iizuka et al. have analyzed an array of two identical parallel loop antennas. They have decomposed the voltage and current into symmetric and antisymmetric components. As a result, the simultaneous integral equations for the distribution of the current along the loops have been converted into a single integral equation similar to that of an isolated circular loop antenna which has already been studied [8]. This method, however, is not applicable to antennas with loops of different circumferences. The experimental work of Lindsay [9] is the first published study of relatively large circular loop Yagi arrays. Lindsay reported a gain difference of about 1.8dB in favour of loop arrays in comparison with dipole arrays of the same length. Later, Appel-Hansen reported similar experimental comparison [74] and [10]. He utilized a feeding system which consisted of a circular loop antenna as the exciter and a parasitic loop as reflector. For the director he selected three different configurations, an array of parasitic circular loops made of flat plate loops, a parasitic array of wire loops and a parasitic array of

straight rods. Comparing the experimental gain of these directors, he found that for array lengths less than 2λ all three had similar gain performances, but for large arrays the gain of rod directors was somewhat higher. A similar problem was also examined by Takata and Sekiguchi [11]. Their numerical and experimental results show that for array lengths less than 2λ there is a gain difference of about 1 dB in favour of loop arrays over the arrays made of rod elements.

The first theoretical investigation of an array of coaxial circular loop antennas with arbitrary circumferences, has been carried out by Ito et al. [12] using Fourier series expansion, with emphasis on the existence of finite gaps at the driving points. The expression for the current distribution on each loop and the input admittance involve matrices, where the dimension of each matrix is related to the array size. Thus, in the investigation of loop arrays the required computer time and storage capacity increase with the array size and for very large arrays become excessive. To overcome these difficulties, the resonant property of loops was later applied by the author to develop an efficient method for the investigation of Yagi loop arrays [13]. For this method, the exact radiation fields and the current distributions of a finite and relatively large uniform array of circular loops were compared with that obtained using the dominant mode only. The discrepancies between the results of both radiation fields and current distributions were found to be negligible. Later, an approximate method was also utilized

which facilitated the rapid and accurate analysis of large loop arrays [14]. In this method, a large loop array consisting of an arbitrary number of elements, was sub-divided into several small sub-arrays such that the first sub-array contained the active element. Neglecting all sub-arrays except the first two, the resulting two sub-arrays were solved simultaneously to yield loop currents. The results for the first sub-array were retained and were used in simultaneous solution of the second and third sub-arrays. The process was continued until all loop currents were obtained. The traveling wave approach has also been used to study coaxial loop arrays. Shen and Raffoul have applied this method to finite uniform Yagi arrays of equal loops to provide design parameters for a given bandwidth, directivity or array length [15]. Finally, the electrical properties of coaxial Yagi loop arrays were investigated by the author by applying the exact solution and the significance of each array parameter and their effects on antenna characteristics was determined [16]. Based on these works extensive design data for short and medium size arrays were obtained in [17].

In this thesis we extend the investigation of circular loop arrays to arrays with loaded elements. Wave propagation on an infinite structure is investigated and characteristics of finite arrays are studied. The work presented here is based on the Fourier series expansion method. Thus, a brief review of this theory for an array of coaxial circular loops with arbitrary circumferences is given in the next