SELF-OSCILLATIONS IN RELAY CONTROL SYSTEMS WITH DELAY

A Thesis
Presented to
Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research
of
The University of Manitoba

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree
Master of Science in Electrical Engineering

THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA LIBRARIES

by
Jack David Katzberg
October 1968

THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA ELIZABETH DAFOE LIBRARY

ABSTRACT

A general method for prediction and determination of the stability of self-oscillations in a system containing a discrete nonlinearity and delay is developed. This method is applied to a system for which Loeb's Rule fails.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author thanks Professor R.A. Johnson for his advice and the suggestion of this topic, and the National Research Council of Canada for supporting this work through Grant-in-Aid A584.

CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Loeb's Rule,[1] for the prediction of symmetric limit cycles, fails in the case of a relay control system with delay (figure 1).

The describing function method is an approximate method of predicting limit cycles. The Nyquist Plot, $A(jw)$, and the negative inverse of the describing function, $-K_{eq}^{-1}(E)$, are plotted. Intersections of these two curves indicate possible limit cycles. The frequency, w, of the limit cycle is that value of w that maps, by means of the $A(jw)$ function, into the intersection point. Similarly the magnitude, E, is determined from the $-K_{eq}^{-1}(E)$ curve.

Loeb's Rule:

The limit cycle is stable, if the vector cross product

$$\overrightarrow{\frac{dA(jw)}{dw}} \times \overrightarrow{\frac{d}{dE}\left(-K_{eq}^{-1}(E)\right)}$$ is out of the page i.e. "positive"

1. J. Loeb, "Phénomènes Héréditaires dans les Servomécanismes; un Critérium Général de Stabilité", Annales des Télécommunications, 6(12): 346 - 356 (1951).

where $\overrightarrow{\frac{dA(jw)}{dw}}$ is a vector at the intersection point tangent to the Nyquist Plot, in the direction of increasing frequency and

$\overrightarrow{\frac{d}{dE}(-K_{eq}^{-1}(E)}$ is a vector at the intersection point tangent to the negative inverse of the describing function, i.e. the critical locus, in the direction of increasing magnitude.

Loeb's Rule predicts an infinity of stable limit cycles, corresponding to each of the crossings of the critical locus in an upward direction. The inner limit cycles (those of higher frequency) are in fact unstable.

An intuitive reason for the failure can be given. Loeb's criterion presumes that the mapping from the s-plane, into the A(s) will be single valued. Because of the presence of the delay $e^{-\tau s}$ the inverse mapping is not single valued.

The Nyquist Plot is a mapping of the $s = jw$ line, from the s-plane into the A(s) plane. A(jw) represents the magnitude gain and phase shift given to an input of the form Esin wt.

Mapping of the $s = \sigma_i + jw$ lines in the s-plane (where σ_i is a real nonzero constant) can similarly be made into the A(s) plane. $A(\sigma_i + jw)$ represents the magnitude gain and phase shift, given to an input of $Ee^{\sigma_i t} \sin(wt)$.

Grensted's[2] definition of the describing function for an input of the form $Ee^{\sigma_i t} \sin wt$ gives $K_{eq}(E) = 4/(\pi Ee^{\sigma_i t})$. Thus the critical locus lies along the negative real axis for any σ_i.

2. P. E. W. Grensted, "Analysis of the Transient Response of Nonlinear Control Systems", A.S.M.E. Trans. 80, 1958, pp. 427 - 32.



FIGURE I

A SYSTEM FOR WHICH LOEB'S RULE FAILS



FIGURE 2

THE CRITICAL LOCUS AND PART OF THE NYQUIST PLOT FOR THE SYSTEM SHOWN IN FIGURE I

Because of the presence of the delay, $e^{-\tau s}$, an infinity of such $\sigma_i + jw$ curves cut the critical locus at any point (see figure 2). The closer the point is to the origin, the larger the number of the $\sigma_i > 0$.

Where the $s = jw$ curve cuts the critical locus closer to the origin, several $s = \sigma_i + jw$ curves with $\sigma_i > 0$ cut the critical locus.

Loeb's Rule informs us that the $E \sin wt$ mode would be stable. However other $Ee^{\sigma_i t} \sin wt$ modes are possible, with $\sigma_i > 0$. These modes are exponentially increasing and can not be stable.

It is not then reasonable to expect Loeb's Rule to work in a system containing a delay, unless one restricts its application to that portion of the plane where $\sigma \leqslant 0$ for all modes.

Brookes[3], in his M.Sc. thesis, obtained for the second predicted frequency of possible oscillation (m = 3), for a system in which $L(s) = 1/s(s+1)$ the following:

Frequency of Limit Cycle	(Hamel Locus)	w = 6.41 rad./sec.
Growing Exponential Term	(Tsypkin Method)	w = 1.55 rad./sec.
		σ = 1.22 nep./sec.

When $|A(s)| = 0.0243$ $\angle A(s) = 180^{\circ}$ the second crossing (second largest magnitude, E) of the critical locus by the Nyquist Plot occurs. Two modes of oscillation are possible with $\sigma \geqslant 0$.

[3]Barry Edward Brookes, "The Stability of Limit Cycles in Time-Lag Relay Control Systems" (M.Sc. Thesis, Dept. of Electrical Engineering, The University of Manitoba, May 1967). p.54

First mode of oscillation	$w = 6.40$	rad./sec.
	$\sigma = 0$	nep./sec.
Second mode of oscillation	$w = 1.74$	rad./sec.
	$\sigma = 1.66$	nep./sec.

This order of magnitude agreement gives some credence to the argument that it is the lower frequency modes with $\sigma > 0$ that cause the unstable behaviour.

However, in a relay control system it is not clear how two or more modes would interact if they existed simultaneously. An exact method is needed to determine what will happen.

In Chapter II, an exact method of predicting self-oscillations and determining their stability is developed for a system containing a delay and a discrete nonlinearity.

In Chapter III this method is applied to a system containing an ideal relay, a delay, and a linear part $L(s) = 1/s(s + 1)$.

It is shown that all the higher frequency self-oscillations are indeed unstable.

CHAPTER II

A PREDICTION OF SELF-OSCILLATIONS IN AN AUTONOMOUS SYSTEM CONTAINING A DISCRETE NONLINEARITY AND DELAY

In this chapter an exact method of determining the modes of oscillation is developed. A procedure for examining the stability of the predicted limit cycles is suggested.

1. DESCRIPTION OF THE SYSTEM:

The block diagram of the system is given in figure 3. It is a feedback system whose forward path contains:

(a) A discrete operator, $S(e, \text{sgn}(\dot{e}))$, whose value may depend on both its input, e, and the sign of the time derivative of its input, $\text{sgn}(\dot{e})$, and which assumes one of a set of constants so that

$$S(e, \text{sgn}(\dot{e})) \equiv u(t) = c_j \tag{2.1}$$

where c_j are constants and $j = 1, \ldots, k$.

The current value of j depends on $e, \text{sgn}(\dot{e})$, and the value j assumed, $j(t_i^+)$, at the previous switching instant of $u(t)$, $t_i^+ - \tau$.

(b) A fixed delay of magnitude, τ, so that

$$u(t - \tau) = v(t) \tag{2.2}$$

(c) A linear operator whose input is v(t) and output is y(t). It is characterized by a rational transfer function L(s). It may be described by the state equations:

$$\dot{\underline{x}} = A\underline{x} + Bv \qquad (2.3)$$

$$y = C\underline{x} + Dv \qquad (2.4)$$

where $\underline{x}$ is an n-dimensional state vector. A, B, C, and D are constant matrices of appropriate dimension.

The output y(t) is fedback with a gain of -1 and the input is assumed to be zero.

Thus

$$e(t) = -y(t) \qquad (2.5)$$



FIGURE 3

BLOCK DIAGRAM OF THE SYSTEM

2. THE PSEUDO-STATE SPACE APPROACH

As the system incorporates a delay, the conventional state space would be of infinite dimension. However, as $u(t)$ varies in discrete steps its value will be constant for finite intervals of time. The same information could be stored by recording the switching instants of $v(t)$, t_i, and the magnitude to which $v(t)$ will switch, $c_{j(t_i^+)}$. Thus the state of the system can be specified by a finite set of data.

3. PREDICTION OF SELF-OSCILLATIONS

In a system without delay, self-oscillation occurs if

$$\underline{x}(t+T) = \underline{x}(t).$$

The state variables of the linear part are the state variables of the system, and thus completely determine the future behavior of the system.

In a system with delay, the information stored in the delay (constituting an infinite number of state variables) also influences the future behavior of the system. In the case being considered, the waveform in the delay is a succession of constant levels of finite length.

$\underline{T}(t)$ is used to represent the intervals between switching instants stored in the delay and $\underline{C}(t)$ the magnitudes associated with these switching instants so that

$\underline{T}(t + T) = \underline{T}(t)$ and $\underline{C}(t + T) = \underline{C}(t)$ if self-oscillation occurs. The dimension as well as the values of $\underline{T}(t)$ and $\underline{C}(t)$ can vary with time.

(a) The condition $\underline{x}(t + T) = \underline{x}(t)$

The solution of the equation (2.3) yields

$$\underline{x}(t) = e^{A(t-t_o)}\underline{x}(t_o) + \int_{t_o}^{t} e^{A(t-t')} B\, v(t')\, dt' \qquad (2.6)$$

$v(t)$ switches at $\{t_i\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$. The t_i occur periodically with the period of i equal to r.

Let $t_o = 0$ then $t_r = T$ and $t_{sr} = sT$.

Let $\tau = sT + \theta$ where s is an integer and $0 \leqslant \theta < T$.

Thus $\tau = t_{sr} + \theta$.

Since $u(t - \tau) = v(t)$, so $u(t)$ switches at $t_i - \tau$.

As $u(t) = c_{j(t_i^+)}$ when $t_i - \tau < t \leqslant t_{i+1} - \tau$ (2.7)

$$v(t) = c_{j(t_i^+)} \text{ when } t_i < t \leqslant t_{i+1} \qquad (2.8)$$

The solution for $\underline{x}(T)$ may be written as

$$\underline{x}(T) = e^{AT}\underline{x}(0) + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} c_{j(t_i^+)} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} e^{A(T-t')} B\, dt' \qquad (2.9)$$

As B is a constant matrix, a periodic solution requiring $\underline{x}(0) = \underline{x}(T)$ produces

$$\left[I - e^{AT}\right] \underline{x}(0) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} c_{j(t_i^+)}\, e^{AT} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} e^{-At'}\, dt'\, B \qquad (2.10)$$

If A and $(I - e^{AT})$ are nonsingular this may be written as

$$\underline{x}(0) = \left[I - e^{AT}\right]^{-1} e^{AT} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} c_{j(t_i^+)} \left[-e^{-At_{i+1}} + e^{-At_i}\right] A^{-1} B \tag{2.11}$$

(b) The Conditions $\underline{T}(t + T) = \underline{T}(t)$ and $\underline{C}(t + T) = \underline{C}(t)$

Consider the signal $u(t)$ entering the delay in the time interval $(-\tau, T - \tau)$ as illustrated in figure 4(a). This determines the signal $v(t)$ in the time interval $(0,T)$ shown in figure 4(b).

At time $t = 0$ a waveform consisting of:

(i) s full periods as illustrated at (a) in figure 4 and

(ii) a periodic continuation for the interval $(-\theta, 0)$, is stored in the delay. This occupies the time interval $(-\tau, 0)$.

In order that the system have an oscillation of period T, the contents of the delay at time T must be identical to that at the time $t = 0$ described above. This occupies the time interval $(-\tau + T, T)$ illustrated in figure 4.

q is defined to be the maximum integer such that $t_q \leqslant \theta$. That is $t_{sr+q} - \tau$ is the last switching of $u(t)$ before $t = 0$.

Let h be an integer such that $q + 1 \leqslant h \leqslant q + r$. Then periodicity requires $t_{(s+1)r+h} - \tau = t_{sr+h} - \tau + T$, and

$$t_{sr+h} = t_h + sT \tag{2.12}$$

Now let t_p be the largest switching time of $v(t)$ which satisfies $t_p \leqslant t_{sr+h} - \tau$.



Note: $i \equiv t_i$ thus $i-\tau = t_i - \tau$

FIGURE 4

SWITCHINGS OF $v(t)$ AND $u(t)$

Thus

$$t_p \leqslant t_h + sT - \tau < t_{p+1} \tag{2.13}$$

Now

$$\underline{x}(t_{sr+h} - \tau) = e^{A(t_h+sT-\tau)}\,\underline{x}(0) + \int_{t_0=0}^{t_h+sT-\tau} e^{A(t_h+sT-\tau-t')}\,B\,v(t')dt' \tag{2.14}$$

since $v(t) = c_{j(t_i^+)}$ where $t_i < t \leqslant t_{i+1}$ and B is a constant matrix.

$$\underline{x}(t_{sr+h} - \tau) = e^{A(t_h+sT-\tau)}\left\{\underline{x}(0) + \left[\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} c_{j(t_i^+)} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} e^{-At'}\,dt' + c_{j(t_p^+)} \int_{t_p}^{t_h+sT-\tau} e^{-At'}\,dt'\right] B\right\} \tag{2.15}$$

If A is nonsingular

$$\underline{x}(t^+_{sr+h} - \tau) = e^{A(t_h+sT-\tau)}\left\{\underline{x}(0) + \left[\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} c_{j(t_i^+)}(-e^{-At_{i+1}} + e^{-At_i}) + c_{j(t_p^+)}(-e^{-A(t_h+sT-\tau)} + e^{-At_p})\right] A^{-1} B\right\} \tag{2.16}$$

At this switching instant e and $\text{sgn}(\dot{e})$ must have values required by the operator $S(e,\text{sgn}(\dot{e}))$, in order that u(t) will switch from

$c_{j(t^+_{sr+h-1})}$ to $c_{j(t^+_{sr+h})}$.

Let $e_d(t^+_{sr+h} - \tau)$ be the specified value of $e(t^+_{sr+h} - \tau)$

Then

$$e_d(t^+_{sr+h} - \tau) = -y(t^+_{sr+h} - \tau) = -C\underline{x}(t^+_{sr+h} - \tau) - Dv(t^+_{sr+h} - \tau) \tag{2.17}$$

Since $v(t^+_{sr+h} - \tau) = c_{j(t^+_p)}$, this may be expressed as

$$e_d(t^+_{sr+h} - \tau) = -C\,e^{A(t_h+sT-\tau)}\left\{\underline{x}(0) + \left[\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} c_{j(t^+_i)} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} e^{-At'}\,dt' + c_{j(t^+_p)} \int_{t_p}^{t_h+sT-\tau} e^{-At'}\,dt'\right] B\right\} - Dc_{j(t^+_p)} \tag{2.18}$$

and if A is nonsingular as

$$e_d(t^+_{sr+h} - \tau) = -C\,e^{A(t_h+sT-\tau)}\left\{\underline{x}(0) + \left[\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} c_{j(t^+_i)}\left(-e^{-At_{i+1}} + e^{-At_i}\right) + c_{j(t^+_p)}\left(-e^{-A(t_h+sT-\tau)} + e^{-At_p}\right)\right] A^{-1} B\right\} - Dc_{j(t^+_p)} \tag{2.19}$$

When $\underline{x}(0)$ is substituted in terms of the unknown t_i ($i = 0, \ldots, r$) there result ~~are~~ r equations in r unknown t_i to solve. A wave shape for $u(t)$ must be chosen and the values of the t_i found numerically. One method of choosing the waveshape is to examine the trajectories in the state space in relation to the switching planes and to observe what limit cycles might be possible.

After a limit cycle is predicted, an examination of the trajectories in the state space will determine if any of the $\text{sgn}(\dot{e})$ conditions are unsatisfied or if any extraneous switchings occur. If neither of the preceding eventualities arise the limit cycle is possible.

4. A METHOD OF DETERMINING THE STABILITY OF THE LIMIT CYCLES

The method is as follows:

(a) Determine the relation between the incremental variations in one switching point, $\Delta \underline{x}_i$, the time between the i and the (i + 1) switchings of $v(t)$, ΔT_i, and the next switching point, $\Delta \underline{x}_{i+1}$, respectively.

Local linearization may be used to express this in the form:

$$\Delta \underline{x}_{i+1} = F_j \Delta \underline{x}_i + G_j \Delta T_i \tag{2.20}$$

where $j = 0, \ldots, r$, F_j is an nxn matrix and G_j is an nx1 matrix.

In general there will be r equations, one for each switching point in the limit cycle; however, symmetry may make some of these equations identical.

(b) Determine the value of ΔT_i in terms of the $\Delta \underline{x}_b$'s where $b < i$. ΔT_i depends on the variation in time between the switchings of $u(t)$, that occurred τ seconds before. Local linearization may again be used to express this in the form:

$$\Delta T_i = \sum_{b=w}^{f} K_b \, \Delta \underline{x}_b \tag{2.21}$$

where $f - w < r$

$f \leqslant i - sr$

K_b is a 1xn constant matrix.

If a switching point of $v(t)$ occurs on a switching plane of $u(t)$ there may be two equations. The one that is in fact applicable, depends on which side of the switching plane $\underline{x}_b$ places the switching point.

(c) Combine the relations into a set of difference equations. Then determine the stability of these equations.

$$\Delta \underline{x}_{i+1} = F_j \, \Delta \underline{x}_i + G_j \sum_{b=w}^{f} K_b \, \Delta \underline{x}_b \tag{2.22}$$

The next chapter applies the procedures developed in this chapter to a specific problem and should clarify many of the details of the technique.

CHAPTER III

SELF-OSCILLATIONS IN A RELAY SYSTEM WITH DELAY

The methods of Chapter II are applied to a system in which the nonlinearity is an ideal relay, the delay is unity, and the linear part has a transfer function $L(s) = 1/s(s + 1)$.

1. DESCRIPTION OF THE SYSTEM

The block diagram of the system is given in figure 5. The elements of the forward path are:

(a) An ideal relay for which

$$u(t) = \operatorname{sgn}(e(t)) \qquad (3.1)$$

(b) A unit relay for which

$$u(t - 1) = v(t) \qquad (3.2)$$

(c) A linear operator, whose input is $v(t)$ and output is $y(t)$, characterized by the transfer function $1/s(s+1)$.

Let the state variables for the linear subsystem be:

$$x_1 = y + \dot{y} \qquad (3.3a)$$

$$x_2 = \dot{y} \qquad (3.3b)$$

Then

$$\dot{\underline{x}} = A\,\underline{x} + B\,v \qquad (3.4)$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and $y = C\underline{x}$ (3.5)

where $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

The output $y(t)$ is fed back with a gain of -1 and the input is set to zero so that

$$e(t) = -y(t) \qquad (3.6)$$

2. STATE SPACE DESCRIPTION

(a) The Trajectories

Integration of the state equations produces for constant v

$$x_1 = vt + x_{10} \qquad (3.7)$$



FIGURE 5

BLOCK DIAGRAM OF A RELAY SYSTEM WITH DELAY where x_{10} is the value of x_1 when $t = 0$, and

$$x_2 = v(1 - e^{-t}) + x_{20}\, e^{-t} \tag{3.8}$$

where x_{20} is the value of x_2 when $t = 0$

Elimination of t by combining (3.7) and (3.8) results in the family of curves

$$x_2 - v = (x_{20} - v)\, e^{-\frac{1}{v}(x_1 - x_{10})} \tag{3.9}$$

a sketch of which is given in figure 6.



FIGURE 6

TRAJECTORIES IN THE STATE PLANE

(b) The Switching Line for u(t)

Equations (3.1), (3.5), and (3.6) yield

$$u(t) = -\mathrm{sgn}(x_1 - x_2) \tag{3.10}$$

Therefore if $x_1 > x_2$ then $u = -1$

and if $x_1 < x_2$ then $u = 1$

(c) Possible Symmetric Self-Oscillations

Figure 7 shows possible limit cycles.

s is the number of full periods stored in the delay.



FIGURE 7

POSSIBLE SYMMETRIC LIMIT CYCLES

The Switching Lines for v(t)

From a consideration of the waveform stored in the delay, figure 7, and equation (3.7), and the fact that $v = \pm 1$, it is apparent that $\tau = 1 = sT + (x_{1max} - \xi^*)$ where T is the period of the oscillation. The symmetry condition $x_{1min} = -x_{1max}$ and equation (3.7) produce

$$x_{1max} = T/4 \qquad (3.11)$$

and so

$$\xi = (s + \tfrac{1}{4})T - 1 = (4s + 1)x_{1max} - 1 \qquad (3.12)$$

Application of (3.9) to describe the trajectory between (ξ, ξ) and (x_{1max}, x_{2max}) and substitution from (3.12) yields

$$x_{2max} - 1 = ((4s + 1)x_{1max} - 2)e^{(4sx_{1max} -1)} \qquad (3.13a)$$

A similar procedure produces

$$x_{2min} + 1 = ((4s + 1)x_{1min} + 2)e^{-(4sx_{1min} +1)} \qquad (3.13b)$$

If $s = 0$ (3.13a) and (3.13b) represent straight lines. This case gives the switching lines for v(t) when no switchings are stored in the delay initially.

3. PREDICTION OF SELF-OSCILLATION

For the ideal relay $c_j = \pm 1$. If $t_o = 0$ and $c_{j(0+)} = 1$

then $c_{j(t_i^+)} = (-1)^i \qquad (3.14)$

* ξ is defined in figure 7.

Since

$$e^{At} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.15}$$

equation (2.10)* becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - e^{-T} \end{bmatrix} \underline{x}(0) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (-1)^i \begin{bmatrix} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} dt' & 0 \\ 0 & \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} e^{-(T-t')} \, dt' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.16}$$

Thus

$$0 = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (-1)^i \, (t_{i+1} - t_i) \tag{3.17}$$

which specifies that the total time in a period for which $v = 1$ is the same as that for which $v = -1$, and

$$x_{20} = \frac{e^{-T}}{1 - e^{-T}} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (-1)^i \, (e^{t_{i+1}} - e^{t_i}) \tag{3.18}$$

For an ideal relay $e_d(t_i^+ - 1) = 0$ since $\tau = 1$.

Substitution of the values given in equations (3.4), (3.5), (3.14), (3.15), and (3.18) into equation (2.18) produces

*Since A is singular equation (2.11) cannot be used.

$$0 = x_{10} + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^i (t_{i+1} - t_i) + (-1)^p (t_h + sT - 1 - t_p)$$

$$-e^{-(t_h + sT-1)} \left[\frac{e^{-T}}{1 - e^{-T}} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (-1)^i (e^{t_{i+1}} - e^{t_i}) \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^i (e^{t_{i+1}} - e^{t_i}) + (-1)^p (e^{t_h+sT-1} - e^{t_p}) \right] \qquad (3.19)$$

$$\text{where} \quad t_p \leqslant t_h + sT - 1 < t_{p+1} \qquad (3.20)$$

This set of expressions for $h = q + 1, \ldots, q + r$ relates x_{10} to t_i where $i = 0, \ldots, r$ for the self oscillations that one assumes to exist.

Symmetric Oscillations

If $r = 2$ so that $t_2 = T$ then, as $t_o = 0$, equation (3.17) requires that $t_1 = T/2$.

Substitution into (3.18) gives

$$x_{20} = \frac{(1 - e^{T/2})}{(1 + e^{T/2})} = x_{2min} \qquad (3.21)$$

for such an oscillation.

Now h was defined to be an integer that satisfies $q + 1 \leqslant h \leqslant q + r$. As $r = 2$ then $h = q + 1,\ q + 2$.

Also $\theta < T$ requires $q < r$. Thus q may only assume the two values 0 and 1.

The periodic conditions derived, in Section 3b of Chapter II, imply that switchings of $u(t)$ must occur at $(t_{q+1} + sT - 1)$, i.e. $h = q + 1$, and $(t_q + (s + 1)T - 1)$, i.e. $h = q + 2$.

(a) <u>$q = 0$</u>

Switchings of $u(t)$ occur at $(T/2 + sT - 1)$ and $((s + 1)T - 1)$. As these switchings must be $T/2$ apart, equation (2.13) yields

$$0 \leqslant (s + \tfrac{1}{2})T - 1 < T/2 \leqslant (s + 1)T - 1 < T$$

which upon rearrangement becomes (symmetry allows the use of either the condition for $h = 1$ or $h = 2$).

$$\frac{1}{s + 1/2} \leqslant T < \frac{1}{s} \qquad (3.22)$$

Equation (3.14) states that odd switchings are switchings of $v(t)$ from +1 to -1. $T/2 + sT$ is an odd switching. With $v = 1$, a switching of u from +1 to -1 is required. Similarly, a switching of u from -1 to +1 is required when $v = -1$. An examination of figure 7 indicates that such a limit cycle is possible.

By evaluating equation (3.19) for the two switching points (i) $h = 1$, $p = 0$ and (ii) $h = 2$, $p = 1$, and eliminating x_{10} between them one obtains

$$0 = (4s + 1)T/4 - 2 + \frac{2e^{1-(2s+1)T/2}}{1 + e^{-T/2}} \qquad (3.23)$$