

THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA

AN EXPERIMENTAL COMPARISON OF TWO METHODS OF  
TEACHING TRANSCRIPTION AND AN ANALYSIS  
OF THE PSYCHOLOGICAL FACTORS  
INVOLVED IN TRANSCRIPTION

BEING A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE COMMITTEE  
ON POST-GRADUATE STUDIES IN PARTIAL  
FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS  
FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER  
OF EDUCATION

THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA  
LIBRARY

BY

JACK SHAW

REGINA, SASKATCHEWAN

MARCH, 1942

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

For their very valuable assistance and hearty co-operation, the writer is greatly indebted to the following persons and wishes to express his sincere thanks:

To Dr. C. E. Smith, University of Manitoba, for his kindly guidance during the investigation and his painstaking and helpful criticism during the writing of this report;

To Dr. C. C. Lingard, Chief Librarian, Regina Public Library, for arranging with a number of American Universities for the loan of various theses and dissertations;

To Mr. A. Hodgkins, Principal, Balfour Technical School, Regina, for his kind permission to conduct the investigation among the secretarial classes of the school.

JACK SHAW

Regina, Saskatchewan.

March, 1942.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	
LIST OF TABLES	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
I. THE PROBLEM AND ITS LITERATURE	1
Introduction	
What is Transcription?	
The Present Status of the Teaching of Transcription	
Requirements of the Course of Study	
Objectives for Transcription Classes	
What Teachers are Doing in Transcription Classes	
The Findings of Investigations	
What the Literature has Revealed	
II. DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED IN TEACHING TRANSCRIPTION	21
A definition of Teaching Method	
Teaching conditions in this school affecting the	
Method of Teaching Transcription	
The Methods of Teaching Transcription	
III. THE PROCEDURE OF THE INVESTIGATION	39
The General Plan	
Equating the Two Groups the First Year	
Equating the Two Groups the Second Year	
Controlling Non-Experimental Factors	
A Description of the Tests Used in Equating the	
Groups	
Measuring the Outcomes of Instruction	
IV. THE EQUIVALENCE OF THE CONTROL AND EXPERIMENTAL GROUPS	49
The First Year's Groups	
The Second Year's Groups	
V. COMPARISON OF THE ACHIEVEMENTS IN TRANSCRIPTION OF THE	60
CONTROL AND EXPERIMENTAL GROUPS	
The First Year's Groups	
The Second Year's Groups	

Chapter	Page
VI. FACTORIAL ANALYSIS OF TEST DATA. . . . .	71
The Control Group of the First Year	
The Experimental Group of the First Year	
Interpretation of the Graphs	
The Control Group of the Second Year	
The Experimental Group of the Second Year	
The Findings of the Factorial Analysis	
VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS. . . . .	96
BIBLIOGRAPHY. . . . .	98
APPENDIX	
A. SAMPLES OF LESSON SHEETS USED IN THE EXPERIMENTAL METHOD FOR THE FIRST THIRTY PERIODS OF TRANSCRIPTION TRAINING . . . . .	104
B. TESTS. . . . .	109
C. TABLES OF STUDENT SCORES ON ALL TESTS. . . . .	148
D. TABLES OF THE FACTORIAL ANALYSIS OF THE FIVE MONTHLY TRANSCRIPTION TESTS. . . . .	161
E. TABLES OF SECOND FACTOR RESIDUALS SHOWN TO BE APPROACHING ZERO . . . . .	166
F. CASE STUDIES . . . . .	169

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	PAGE
I. Comparison of Control and Experimental Groups (First Year) in Chronological Age, Mental Age, English and Attendance. . . . .	50
II. Comparison of Control and Experimental Groups (First Year) in Typing And Shorthand Achievements. . . . .	51
III. Comparison of Achievements Made in Typewriting by Five Classes Enrolled in the One-Year Secretarial Course . . . .	52
IV. Comparison of Control and Experimental Groups in Chronological Ages, Mental Ages, English Scores, Longhand Speed and Penmanship Scores, Tapping Speed and Error Scores, Crossing-Out Scores, and Turse Shorthand Aptitude Scores, (Second Year). . . . .	55
V. Comparison of Control and Experimental Groups (Second Year) in Attendance, Typing and Shorthand. . . . .	58
VI. Comparison of Control and Experimental Groups (First Year) in Errors Made in the Monthly Transcription Tests and in the Pooled Transcription Scores. . . . .	61
VII. Comparison of Control and Experimental Groups (First Year) in Rates of Transcription Made in the Monthly Transcription Tests and in the Pooled Transcription Scores . .	63
VIII. Difficulty of Words Composing the Five Monthly Transcription Tests as Indicated by the Horn Word List. . . .	64
IX. Comparison of Control and Experimental Groups (First Year) in Number of Mailable Letters and Total Number of Letters Produced in the Production Test. . . . .	66
X. Comparison of Control and Experimental Groups (Second Year) in Errors and Rates Made in the Monthly Transcription Tests and in the Pooled Transcription Scores. . . . .	69
XI. Intercorrelations of Test of the Control Groups (First Year) and the Computation of the First Factor Loading ( $k_1$ ) . . .	77
XII. First Factor Residuals and the Computation of the Second Factor Loadings for the Control Group (First Year) . . . .	77
XIII. Intercorrelations of Test of the Experimental Group (First Year) and the Computation of the First Factor Loadings ( $k_1$ ). . . . .	79