

BELUGA WHALE (*Delphinapterus leucas*) USE OF THE NELSON  
RIVER ESTUARY, HUDSON BAY

By

Alexander J. Smith

A Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies of the University of Manitoba

In partial fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Environment and Geography  
Clayton H. Riddell  
Faculty of Environment, Earth, and Resources  
University of Manitoba  
Winnipeg, Manitoba

Alexander J. Smith © April, 2007

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA  
FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES  
\*\*\*\*\*  
COPYRIGHT PERMISSION**

**BELUGA WHALE (*Delphinapterus leucas*) USE OF THE NELSON**

**RIVER ESTUARY, HUDSON BAY**

**BY**

**Alexander J. Smith**

**A Thesis/Practicum submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies of The University of  
Manitoba in partial fulfillment of the requirement of the degree**

**MASTER OF SCIENCE**

**Alexander J. Smith © 2007**

**Permission has been granted to the Library of the University of Manitoba to lend or sell copies of this thesis/practicum, to the National Library of Canada to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film, and to University Microfilms Inc. to publish an abstract of this thesis/practicum.**

**This reproduction or copy of this thesis has been made available by authority of the copyright owner solely for the purpose of private study and research, and may only be reproduced and copied as permitted by copyright laws or with express written authorization from the copyright owner.**

## ABSTRACT

---

Most beluga whales (*Delphinapterus leucas*) (Pallas 1776) living in areas of seasonal sea ice use estuaries periodically during summer. Beluga estuary-use hypotheses include feeding, calving, moulting, killer whale (*Orcinus orca*) predation, human predation, thermal advantage, and phylogenetic inertia. The hypotheses may not be mutually exclusive and may vary with populations or regions. This study describes aspects of beluga whale summer-ecology by studying the association between inter-annual water levels and beluga habitat selection in the Nelson River estuary. Flow rates from upstream Limestone Dam doubled from the dry years of 2002–2004 to the wet year of 2005. I used radio-tracking data (N=15, 2002–2005) and aerial surveys (2003, 2005) to test the hypothesis (H1) that belugas were farther out in the estuary during the wet year. Model variables included year, day, time, tide and age-sex. Observed location-habitat distances for the radio-tracking and aerial survey data were compared to the random equivalents using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test. A cumulative sign test determined the timing of a beluga shift in movement behaviour on August 10<sup>th</sup>. Pre-August 10<sup>th</sup> radio-tracking locations provided the spatial-temporal boundary of the Nelson River estuary. General Linear Models (GLM) for both the telemetry and aerial survey data show an association between beluga distance to the river-mouth and year. Study results provide evidence to weigh the main estuary-use hypotheses and contribute to knowledge of beluga ecology and management.

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

ABSTRACT.....	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	iii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	vii
LIST OF TABLES .....	xv
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....	xviii
DEDICATION.....	xix
CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION.....	20
I.2 BELUGA WHALE BIOLOGY.....	23
I.2.1 Description.....	23
I.2.2 Ontogeny and Reproduction.....	24
I.2.3 Diet .....	25
I.2.4 Distribution.....	25
I.3 STATUS AND TRENDS OF BELUGA WHALE POPULATIONS IN CANADA EXCLUDING HUDSON BAY STOCKS.....	26
I.3.1 Cumberland Sound population.....	26
I.3.2 St. Lawrence Beluga Population .....	28
I.3.3 Eastern High Arctic/Baffin Bay Beluga Population.....	28
I.3.4 Eastern Beaufort Sea Beluga Population.....	29

I.4 STUDY AREA: HUDSON BAY AND THE NELSON RIVER ESTUARY .....	30
I.4.1 Belugas in Hudson Bay .....	34
I.4.1 Eastern Hudson Bay Beluga Population.....	34
I.4.2 Ungava Bay Belugas.....	37
I.4.3 STUDY POPULATION: Western Hudson Bay Beluga Population.....	37
CHAPTER II: BELUGA WHALE ( <i>Delphinapterus Leucas</i> ) HABITAT USE IN THE NELSON RIVER ESTUARY DURING WET AND DRY SUMMERS .....	40
II.1 ABSTRACT .....	40
II.2 INTRODUCTION.....	41
II.3 METHODS .....	43
II.3.1 Tagging and Satellite Telemetry .....	43
II.3.2 Aerial Surveys .....	47
II.3.3 Statistical and Spatial Analyses.....	49
II.4.1 Caveats and Assumptions .....	55
II.4 RESULTS .....	56
II.4.1 Habitat selection.....	56
II.4.1.1 Telemetry.....	57
II.4.2 Aerial Surveys .....	68
II.5 DISCUSSION .....	79
II.5.2 Shape of Nelson River Estuary .....	84
II.5.3 Data Limitations .....	88
CHAPTER III: A REVIEW OF BELUGA WHALE ( <i>Delphinapterus Leucas</i> ) ESTUARY USE HYPOTHESES .....	90

III.1 ABSTRACT.....	90
III.2 INTRODUCTION .....	91
III.1 Moulting.....	91
III.1.1 Warm Water for Moulting Belugas .....	92
III.1.2 Shallow Water for Moulting Belugas .....	93
III.1.3 Bottom Type for Moulting Belugas .....	94
III.1.4 Air Temperature / Sunlight for Moulting Belugas.....	95
III.2 Calving .....	95
III.5.2.1 Warm Water for Calving Belugas.....	97
III.5.2.2 Shallow Water for Calving Belugas .....	99
III.2.3 Shelter from Wind for Calving Belugas .....	101
III.2.4 Shelter from Strong Currents for Calving Belugas.....	102
III.3 Predator Avoidance; Killer Whales .....	103
III.4 Predator Avoidance; Humans .....	111
III.5 Feeding.....	113
III.6 Phylogenetic Inertia .....	117
CHAPTER IV: MAJOR FINDINGS AND GENERAL DISCUSSION.....	121
IV.1 MAJOR FINDINGS .....	121
IV.1.1 Major Findings: The Shape of Nelson River Estuary.....	122
IV.2 ANTHROPOGENIC EFFECTS .....	123
IV.3 MAJOR LESSONS .....	126
IV.4 RESEARCH PROBLEMS AND LIMITATIONS.....	126
IV.5 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH .....	127

IV.5 FINAL THOUGHTS .....	128
CHAPTER V. REFERENCES .....	129
PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS AND UNPUBLISHED DATA .....	148
APPENDIX .....	149
V.1 3-STAGE FILTER FOR ERRONEOUS ARGOS® LOCATIONS ADAPTED FROM MCCONNELL ET AL 1992 AND AUSTIN <i>et al.</i> 2001 .....	150
V.2 Beluga Estuary-Use: Radio-Tracking Data and a Kernel Probability Density Function (PDE) Method.....	178
V.2 Kernel Probability Density Estimation (PDE) Methods .....	178
V.3 NELSON RIVER HYDROLOGY: FRESHWATER-MARINE COUPLING IN HUDSON BAY .....	185
Fluvial Loading Of Suspended Solids and Dissolved Organic Matter to Hudson Bay via the Churchill and Nelson-Hayes Estuaries.....	185

---

## LIST OF FIGURES

---

Figure I.1; Map of Hudson Bay places showing the Nelson River estuary study site (shaded area lower left corner).....	32
Figure I.2; Nelson River estuary places and the main channel (black outline) with 2005 Manitoba Hydro bathymetry data .....	33
Figure I.3; Map of Eastern Hudson Bay places and Rivers with summer beluga congregations .....	36
Figure II.1; Limestone Dam mean daily discharge for the study years of 2002–2004, and 2005, a wetter than average year from 14 July to 31 August, the period of primary habitation of the estuary by beluga whales. ....	42
Figure II.2; Nelson River beluga estuary-use defined using a distribution free cumulative sign value by mean of pooled day-of-year of daily median ‘distance to river-mouth’ by animal indicating the cut-off date for estuary-use locations on Julian day = 221, which corresponds to August 10 <sup>th</sup> for non-leap years.....	51
Figure II.3; Nelson River beluga estuary-use: Frequency of higher accuracy (ARGOS® location class = 1, 2, 3) estuary locations for each of the dive-enabled tags (N=13) deployed on study animals (numbered by animal (deploy id)).....	51
Figure II.4; Nelson River estuary telemetry data (2002–2005): Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (KS-test) results; Log probability plot of observed vs. random locations measuring ‘distance to river-mouth’ .....	57



Figure II.5; Nelson River estuary telemetry data (2002–2005): Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (KS-test) results; Log probability plot of observed vs. random locations measuring ‘distance to nearest shore’ ..... 58

Figure II.6; Nelson River estuary study with beluga locations (N=13, Number of beluga locations = 618) using a bounding polygon for locations before Aug 10th (Aug 9th for 2004, a leap year) ..... 59

Figure II.7; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data utilization distributions based on Kernel PDE methods for the years of 2003–2004, and 2005. .... 67

Figure II.8; Nelson River aerial surveys 2003: Kolmogorov-Smirnov comparison distance to nearest shore weighted by observed density vs. distance to nearest shore weighted by random density probability curves ..... 69

Figure II.8; Nelson River aerial surveys 2003: Kolmogorov-Smirnov comparison distance to nearest shore weighted by observed density vs. distance to nearest shore weighted by random density probability curves ..... 69

Figure II.9; Nelson River aerial surveys 2003: Kolmogorov-Smirnov comparison distance to channel weighted by observed density vs. distance to channel weighted by random density probability curves ..... 70

Figure II.11; Nelson River aerial surveys 2005: Kolmogorov-Smirnov comparison distance to nearest shore weighted by observed density vs. distance to nearest shore weighted by random density probability curves ..... 72

Figure II.12; Nelson River aerial surveys 2005: Kolmogorov-Smirnov comparison distance to nearest shore weighted by observed density vs. distance to nearest shore weighted by random density probability curves ..... 72

Figure II.13; Nelson River aerial surveys 2005: Kolmogorov-Smirnov comparison  
distance to channel weighted by observed density vs. distance to channel weighted  
by random density probability curves ..... 73

Figure II.14; Aerial survey beluga densities (in belugas per km<sup>2</sup>) for dry year (2003) and  
a wet year (2005) depicting the shift in higher densities farther out from the Nelson  
River-mouth..... 75

Figure II.15; Log transformed linear regression for Nelson River estuary beluga aerial  
survey counts for the years of 2003 and 2005 in mean densities of belugas pre 1km<sup>2</sup>,  
compared to ‘distance to river-mouth’ ..... 77

Figure II.16 LANDSAT image of the Nelson River Estuary for 10 September, 2004..... 80

Figure II.17 LANDSAT image of the Nelson River Estuary for July 13th, 2005 ..... 80

Figure II.18; Nelson River estuary boundary (using beluga radio-tracking data 2002–  
2005), Fisheries and Oceans Canada aerial survey extent (2004), and the Manitoba  
Hydro / NorthSouth Consulting aerial survey (2003, 2005) extent ..... 87

Figure II.1; Radio-tracking sample details for ARGOS<sup>®</sup> 3-stage filter on two belugas  
captured during 2002, 2003 in the Nelson River estuary (N=1993). Values for each  
pie are in percent ..... 150

Figure V.2; Retained locations: Radio-tracking sample details for ARGOS<sup>®</sup> 3-stage filter  
on two belugas captured during 2002, 2003 in the Nelson River estuary (N=1993).  
Values for each pie are in percent ..... 151

Figure V.3; Rejected locations: Radio-tracking sample details for ARGOS<sup>®</sup> 3-stage filter  
on two belugas captured during 2002,2003 in the Nelson River estuary (N=1993).  
Values for each pie are in percent ..... 152

Figure V.4; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Female, TAG NO. = 10927, Captured on July 14, 2002— Inset of Hudson Bay and extent of radio-tracking data for this beluga..... 153

Figure V.5; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Male, TAG NO. = 10971, Captured on July 31, 2003 ..... 153

Figure V.5b; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Male, TAG NO. = 10971, Captured on July 31, 2003—Zoom of Nelson River Estuary and usage by this beluga ..... 154

Figure V.6; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Female, TAG NO. = 10972, Captured on July Aug 4, 2003 ..... 155

Figure V.6b; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Female, TAG NO. = 10972, Captured on July Aug 4, 2003—Zoom of Nelson River Estuary and usage by this beluga ..... 157

Figure V.7; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Female, TAG NO. = 10926, Captured on August 5, 2003 ..... 158

Figure V.7b; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Female, TAG NO. = 10926, Captured on August 5, 2003—Zoom of Nelson River Estuary and usage by this beluga ..... 159

Figure V.8; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Male, TAG NO. = 10899, Captured on August 7, 2003 ..... 160

Figure V.8b; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Male, TAG NO. = 10899, Captured on August 7, 2003—Zoom of Nelson River Estuary and usage by this beluga ..... 160

Figure V.9; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Male, TAG NO. = 10970,  
Captured on August 5, 2003 ..... 162

Figure V.9b; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Male, TAG NO. = 10970,  
Captured on August 5, 2003—Zoom of Nelson River Estuary and usage by this  
beluga ..... 163

Figure V.10; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Male, TAG NO. = 10979,  
Captured on July 24, 2004 ..... 164

Figure V.10b; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Male, TAG NO. =  
10979, Captured on July 24, 2004 —Zoom of Nelson River Estuary and usage by  
this beluga ..... 164

Figure V.11; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Male, TAG NO. = 10978,  
Captured on July 17, 2004 ..... 166

Figure V.11b; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Male, TAG NO. =  
10978, Captured on July 17, 2004—Zoom of Nelson River Estuary and usage by  
this beluga ..... 167

Figure V.12; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Female, TAG NO. =  
10980, Captured on July 25, 2004 ..... 168

Figure V.12b; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Female, TAG NO. =  
10980, Captured on July 25, 2004—Zoom of Nelson River Estuary and usage by  
this beluga ..... 169

Figure V.13; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Female, TAG NO. =  
40622, Captured on July 26, 2004— Inset of Hudson Bay and extent of radio-  
tracking data for this beluga..... 170

Figure V.14; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Male, TAG NO. = 40623, captured on July 27, 2004 .....	171
Figure V.14b; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Male, TAG NO. = 40623, captured on July 27, 2004—Zoom of Nelson River Estuary and usage by this beluga .....	171
Figure V.15; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Male, TAG NO. = 10970, Captured on July 23, 2005 .....	173
Figure V.15b; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Male, TAG NO. = 10970, Captured on July 23, 2005—Zoom of Nelson River Estuary and usage by this beluga .....	173
Figure V.16; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Adult Male, TAG NO. = 40153, captured on July 31, 2005— Inset of Hudson Bay and extent of radio-tracking data for this beluga .....	175
Figure V.17; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Sub-adult Male, TAG NO. = 57600, captured on July 31, 2005 .....	175
Figure V.17b; Nelson River beluga radio-tracking data for Sub-adult Male, TAG NO. = 57600, captured on July 31, 2005—Zoom of Nelson River Estuary and usage by this beluga .....	177
Figure V.18; Week one (mid–late July) of Nelson River estuary weekly beluga utilization distributions using Kernel methods, when some of the study whales are likely affected by the stress of capture, tagging and release methods. Colors represent utilization percentiles. Red=25%, orange=50%, yellow=75%, clear-outline=95%	180

Figure V.19; Week 2 (late July) of Nelson River estuary weekly beluga utilization distributions using Kernel methods. The extent of usage has condensed back toward the mouth of the Nelson River. By week 2, most of the study whales have returned to ~ 20–50 km offshore, but within the limits of the estuary. Colors represent utilization percentiles. Red=25%, orange=50%, yellow=75%, clear-outline=95% 181

Figure V.20; Week 9 (late September) of Western Hudson bay belugas tagged in the Nelson River estuary weekly utilization distributions using Kernel methods, when all of the study whales have left the estuary and are either moving north along the west coast of Hudson Bay. Colors represent utilization percentiles. Red=25%, orange=50%, yellow=75%, clear-outline=95% ..... 182

Figure V.21; Week 17 (early–mid October) of Western Hudson bay belugas tagged in the Nelson River estuary weekly utilization distributions using Kernel methods, when the first of the 10 study whales still transmitting arrived at Hudson strait. Individuals with Eastside migrations arrived later. Colors represent utilization percentiles. Red=25%, orange=50%, yellow=75%, clear-outline=95% ..... 183

Figure V.22; Week 30 (December) of Western Hudson bay belugas tagged in the Nelson River estuary weekly utilization distributions using Kernel methods, where (now n=4) have now arrived at Hudson Strait. Colors represent utilization percentiles. Red=25%, orange=50%, yellow=75%, clear-outline=95% ..... 184

Figure V.23; MODIS image showing CTD measurements transect for the Nelson River estuary for 8 August 2006 ..... 186

Figure V.24: Coloured Dissolved Organic Matter (CDOM) vs. salinity for the Nelson River estuary; An example of complex subsurface mixing in a hydrological system ..... 186

Figure V.25: Turbidity vs. salinity for the Nelson River estuary; An example of complex subsurface mixing in a hydrological system..... 187

---

## LIST OF TABLES

---

Table II.1. Details regarding the 15 belugas captured and fitted with radio tags at the Nelson River estuary in the summers of 2002–2005. ....	44
Table II.2; Weekly sample sizes for Nelson River estuary beluga whale radio-tracking data by sampling week and year for 2002–2005 .....	45
Table II.3; Aerial Surveys for beluga whales ( <i>Delphinapterus Leucas</i> ) conducted in the Nelson River Estuary for the summers of 2003 to 2005 and included in General Linear Model.....	49
Table II.4; Nelson River estuary telemetry data (2002–2005): Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test results; Log probability plot of observed vs. random locations measuring ‘distance to river-mouth’ .....	57
Table II.5; GLM Results for 2002–2005 Nelson River estuary beluga whale radio-tracking data, using the dependent variable, Distance to river-mouth (in km) .....	62
Table II.6; Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) test for 2002–2005 Nelson River estuary beluga whale radio-tracking data and dependent variable Distance to river-mouth (in km), where Alpha = .05, and table values are LS means .....	64
Table II.7; GLM Results for 2002–2005 Nelson River estuary beluga whale radio-tracking data and the dependent variable, ‘distance to shore’ (in km) .....	65



Table II.8; Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) test for 2002–2005 Nelson River estuary beluga whale radio-tracking data and dependent variable Distance to shore (in km), where Alpha = 0.05, and table values are LS means ..... 65

Table II.9; Nelson River estuary aerial surveys 2003: Kolmogorov-Smirnov comparisons of distance to habitat features weighted by density: Probability plot of observed vs. random densities ..... 68

Table II.10; Nelson River estuary aerial surveys 2005: Kolmogorov-Smirnov comparisons of distance to habitat features weighted by density (random and observed)..... 71

Table II.11; GLM Results for 2003 and 2005 Nelson River estuary beluga whale aerial survey counts and dependent variable, Density (in Belugas per km<sup>2</sup>)..... 76

Table II.12; Aerial Surveys 'distance to river-mouth' vs. beluga whale density for the Nelson River estuary aerial surveys flown in the summers of 2003 and 2005, where \* indicates the highest R<sup>2</sup> value..... 78

Table II.13; Pearson Correlation Coefficient for Distance to River-mouth (in km) and Tide Level (in meters), number of observations = 683..... 83

Table III.14; Historic killer whale sightings for southwestern Hudson Bay, Churchill region from the 1940s to present. .... 106

Table V.1. Details on the 8 belugas captured and fitted with radio tags at the Churchill River estuary in the summers of 1992–93. (Source: Martin and Smith 1994, P. Hall, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Canada, Winnipeg, Manitoba, pers. comm. 2005..... 149

Table V.2; Radio-tracking sample details for ARGOS® 3-stage filter on two belugas captured during 2002,2003 in the Nelson River estuary (N=1993)..... 150

Table V.3; Retained locations: Radio-tracking sample details for ARGOS® 3-stage filter on two belugas captured during 2002, 2003 in the Nelson River estuary (N=1993) ..... 151

Table V.4; Rejected locations: Radio-tracking sample details for ARGOS® 3-Stage filter on two belugas captured during 2002,2003 in the Nelson River estuary (N=1993) ..... 152

Table V.5; Number of locations for each beluga whale captured in the Nelson River estuary and satellite-tag deployed for years of 2002–2005. .... 178

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

---

I thank my major advisor, Steven Ferguson PhD, for his support, advice, and input into the analyses, compilation, and review of this study. I also thank my co-advisor David Walker PhD and my committee members Pierre Richard and Dave Barber PhD. I had the privilege of access to their expertise. Jack Orr provided expert reviews but also served as a guide on my first Arctic field experience. Jack knows how to capture belugas safely and efficiently, and knows how to manage large field operations effectively. I thank the University of Manitoba, Manitoba Hydro, the Nunavut Wildlife Research Trust Fund, ArcticNet and Fisheries and Oceans Canada for providing a functional research environment and for their funding support. Pierre Richard graciously provided the radio-tracking data that made this research possible. Roy Bukowsky has facilitated this research on the part of Manitoba Hydro. I also value greatly the friends I have made in Northern Canada and this chance to learn about First Nations people by spending time with them in the field. This study exists through the hard work of all those involved in the fieldwork as well as those involved in the manuscript review. Thanks to Jeff Higdon of the Orcas of the Canadian Arctic (OCA) research group for providing expertise, review, and numerous links to scarce killer whale references.

## DEDICATION

---

I dedicate this thesis to my partner Meg. Megs support during my long field seasons and her understanding and support of my goals have made this research possible.

## CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION

---

In ecology, knowledge of a species or population relies upon an understanding of its own species parameters, but also of its interactions with its environment. Thus, habitat studies are necessary precursor to assessing its potential vulnerability to changes to its environment. Animals inhabiting Arctic ecosystems, including beluga whales (*Delphinapterus leucas*) (Pallas 1776), often face seasonally scarce resources and migrate long distances (Draper 1989; Sergeant 1973; Geraci 2005). For this reason, small environmental changes can have substantial affects on health and fecundity of Arctic animals (Gaston *et al.* 2002). Global oceanographic, climate, and general circulation models predict that the most extreme and acute effects of global warming will occur in the Arctic. Predicted effects include more erratic precipitation patterns and increased river outflows (Hinzman 1992; Tynan 1997; Fyfe 1999; Peterson *et al.* 2003). Belugas, like other marine mammals, are vulnerable to these changes for reasons including their strong philopatry to certain sites of seasonal aggregation (Degerbøl and Nielson 1930 in Heide-Jørgensen 2002; O'Corry-Crowe 1997; COSEWIC 2004).

Beluga whales are medium sized cetaceans having a primarily panArctic range. In 2002 the beluga population estimate in Canadian waters numbered between 72,000 and 144,000 (DFO 2002), with individual stock populations ranging from zero (Ungava Bay) to 57,000 (Western Hudson Bay). This study focuses on aspects of the summer ecology of the Western Hudson Bay population, considered the largest single beluga whale population on earth (Richard 2005). The goal of this thesis is to understand factors

affecting beluga whale estuary-use. Implications of this research are applicable to management of Western Hudson Bay belugas and their habitat.

Most beluga stocks use estuaries in ice-free regions during summer, but reasons for this behaviour are unknown. Beluga estuary-use hypotheses include feeding (Kleinenberg *et al.* 1969; Seaman and Burns 1981; Seaman *et al.* 1982), calving (Sergeant and Brodie 1969; Sergeant 1973; Fraker *et al.* 1979), moulting (Finley 1982; St. Aubin *et al.* 1990), avoiding killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) (Brodie 1971), avoiding humans (Caron and Smith 1990; Kilabuk 1998), thermal advantage (Sergeant and Brodie 1969; Fraker *et al.* 1978) and phylogenetic inertia (W. Doidge, Makivik Corporation, Montreal, Quebec, pers. comm. 2005). The last hypothesis, Phylogenetic inertia, describes the influence of an ancestor on its descendents; retaining traits unless altered by behavioural mutation (Dembski 2001). Little tested evidence exists for any of the above estuary-use hypotheses and some, including phylogenetic inertia, are not published (Doidge 1990; Caron 1990; W. Doidge, Makivik Corporation, Montreal, Quebec, pers. comm. 2005).

Early research suggested feeding as a main reason for this beluga estuary-use (Comeau 1915; Vladykov 1947; Kleinenberg *et al.* 1969; Seaman and Burns 1981; Seaman *et al.* 1982). Research on stomach contents of belugas in estuaries taken during local harvests however, contradicts this hypothesis. Since many female belugas with calves are observed in estuaries, calving was thought to be the primary behavioural motivation (Sergeant and Brodie 1969; Sergeant 1973; Fraker *et al.* 1979). Research on blubber and skin layers (Doidge, 1990, Boltunov *et al.* 2002) and observations of most females arriving in estuary already with calves contradicts this view. This evidence, along

with observations of warmer water in estuaries led to the hypothesis of thermal advantage to all ages and sex classes in the estuaries (Fraker *et al.*, 1978). However, belugas are adapted to a pack-ice environment with near-zero Celsius waters so evidence does not support this hypothesis (Doidge, 1990). Doidge (1990) notes that belugas and narwhals have equal insulation but only belugas exhibit seasonal occupation of warmer estuarine waters. Moreover, elevated hormone levels were found in belugas in some estuaries, strengthening the moulting hypothesis as a principle reason for beluga estuary-use (Finley 1982; St. Aubin *et al.* 1990). Past studies on belugas in estuaries offer little tested evidence and lack ecological context. Part of the reason for the absence of published research is that belugas, like other marine mammals, especially Arctic species, live most of their lives under water, and often at locations not easily accessible by humans (Martin and Smith 1992; Martin *et al.* 1993, Martin *et al.* 1998; Heide-Jørgensen *et al.* 1998).

For this thesis, I begin **Chapter I** with an overview of beluga whale biology and a brief description of the status and trends of beluga whales in the Canadian Arctic. I then provide a slightly more in-depth description of Hudson Bay, the Nelson River estuary study site, and Western Hudson Bay belugas.

**Chapter II** examines beluga habitat associations for wet and dry years in the Nelson River estuary, Manitoba, (Port Nelson, 133° 48' W, 55° 41' 45" N). Radio-tracking (n=15) and aerial survey data for belugas collected over the summers of 2002–2005 were used to test the hypothesis (H1) that belugas remained farther offshore during the wet year. Model variables included tide level, depth, year, Julian day, time of day, and age-sex class. First, I use Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) tests to compare the observed data distributions to random-generated data distributions. I then use a distribution-free

cumulative sign test to define the estuary boundary, by measuring day-to-day differences in median distances of the belugas to the river mouth. General Linear Models (GLM) and Tukey's Studentized comparison of means tests are then used to explore the beluga-habitat associations. Finally, results are related to the beluga estuary-use hypotheses.

**Chapter III** uses a 'weight of evidence' approach to review existing beluga estuary-use hypotheses, including **Chapter I** results. **Chapter IV**, includes major findings and a general discussion of beluga estuary-use including a discussion on any anthropogenic effects caused by the artificial alteration of riverine systems. I conclude with recommendations for future research on the beluga estuary hypotheses. The appendix contains additional information and figures related to this study. Movement maps for each of the 14 Nelson River radio-tracked belugas are also located in the appendix.

## I.2 BELUGA WHALE BIOLOGY

### **I.2.1 Description**

The beluga whale is a medium-sized odontocete. Size varies between populations with Hudson Bay belugas being among the smallest (Kleinenberg 1964). Generally, adult male belugas measure 2.6–6.7 meters in length and weigh 450–1000 kilograms, while adult females measure 3–4 meters in length and weigh 250–700 kilograms (Kleinenberg 1969; Brodie 1971; Stewart and Stewart 1989). Neonates measure approximately 1.6 meters in length and are born grey-cream or pink in colour, and then turn dark brown or blue-grey (Brodie 1971; DFO 2002). Belugas reach maturity, which is typically



associated with a change in coloration to white at 12–18 years, and can live up to 92 years (Harwood 2002), assuming one growth layer group (GLG) per year (Stewart *et al.* 2006). However, reports exist of grey ones giving birth (S. Ferguson pers. Comm., Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Canada. Winnipeg, pers. comm. 2007). The white coloration of adults may be an adaptation to their environment. It is the source of their name, originating from *Belukha*, which is Russian for white. The scientific name '*Delphinapterus leucas*' translates as "the white dolphin without a wing"(DFO 2002). Belugas are also commonly referred to as white whales likely to differentiate them from the white (or beluga) sturgeon (*Huso huso*).

## **I.2.2 Ontogeny and Reproduction**

The bulk of knowledge regarding the development or course of development of an individual beluga (Ontogeny) exists through in-situ observation and necropsies. The majority of beluga mating occurs during spring and timing varies geographically (Brodie, 1971). Doan and Douglas (1953) suggested that some mating in Western Hudson Bay may continue into September. Little evidence exists regarding beluga mating, although males have exhibited polygamy (Stewart and Stewart 1989). Gestation lasts approximately 14 months and births occur from June to August, followed by a nursing period of approximately 18 months. These reproductive parameters mean that females can produce young only every three years. Peak calving times also vary geographically from late march to early august (Stewart and Stewart 1989). Western Hudson Bay belugas generally give birth at the end of June, coinciding with the break up of the sea ice (Sergeant 1986). Rapid growth in neonates is due to the fat-rich milk of the mother.

Newborn calves grow from 40 percent of their mother's length to 65 percent in the first year and measure approximately 70 percent of adult length when weaned at the end of the second year (Stewart and Stewart 1989).

### **I.2.3 Diet**

Belugas have one of the most varied diets of any cetacean. Seasonal availability of prey items results in a generalist diet consisting of capelin, Arctic cod, herring, shrimp, squid, and marine worms (Kleinenberg 1969; Seaman *et al* 1982; DFO 2002). Some unique adaptations of belugas, possibly related to feeding, include the lack of a dorsal fin and un-fused cervical vertebrae, allowing lateral flexibility of the head and neck, presumably to aid in benthic and sub-sea ice foraging (Vladykov 1949)

### **I.2.4 Distribution**

Belugas have a primarily panArctic distribution throughout seasonally ice-covered Arctic and subArctic waters of the Northern Hemisphere (Gurevich 1980, Stewart 1989). Most beluga stocks congregate in shallow estuaries during summer months. During winter, belugas are closely associated with open leads and polynyas in ice-covered regions (Doidge and Finley, 1993; Richard 1990; Richard *et al.* 1993; Richard 2002). Depending on season and region, they may occur in both offshore and coastal waters. Sea-ice, tide, temperature, human action, and access to prey affect seasonal distribution (Lowry 1985). Annual migrations may cover thousands of kilometers (Reeves 1990). During spring, most belugas migrate to warmer coastal estuaries, bays, and rivers. Reasons for this behaviour are unknown and are the subject of