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The major purpose of this thesis is to define areas of 'need' in the province of Manitoba with regard to outdoor recreational facilities and to project the 'supply' and 'demand' for outdoor recreational facilities into the future. 'Need' is defined as the difference between the amount of a resource or facility demanded and the amount supplied. To determine the current 'need' for outdoor recreational facilities it is necessary to determine the current 'supply' and the current 'demand' for those facilities.

This study presents an inventory of facilities for various outdoor recreational activities. This study also presents current 'demend' information in the form of participation rates and the frequency of participation as determined through a telephone survey of approximately 2,000 Manitobans. Through various participation rate factors and facility standards, the participation information is transformed into the volume of resources demanded which is then compared to the volume of resources supplied thus revealing a deficit or a surplus of facilities. The surplus or deficit ('need') is then projected to the years 1990 and 2030.

This study also attempts to measure latent demand via the telephone survey but because of a poor response rate the attempt was unsuccessful. Facility adequateness is also examined through the survey along with campsite preference.

Many irregularities appear in the final 'need' figures which indicate problems with the participation rate factors and facility standards. Even with the indicated data limitations and project limitations, an order of priority of 'need' can be determined. With all factors considered, the activities of camping, golfing, and dowhill skiing indicate the greatest deficits with regard to the facilities ranking first, second and third respectively on the priority list. These same activities rank fourth, eleventh, and first respectively on a priority list based on levels of registered inadequateness of facilities.

The study recommends that, (a) further work be carried out in the area of participation rates and standards, (b) the precise nature of the facility inadequacies be determined, and (c) emphasis be placed on the facilities which indicate the highest amount of deficit and the highest amount of facility inadequateness.
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## INTRODUCTION

"A Preliminary Assessment of Manitoba's Outdoor Recreational Needs" is an analysis of the relationship between recreation supply and demand as a part of the Manitoba Provincial Park Systems Plan. The study will link the participation rates in various service regions to the supply of recreation resources, and project recreation demand and supply into the future.

## 1. Purpose

The major purpose of this thesis is to define areas of need in the province of Manitoba with regard to outdoor recreational facilities. In order to define the need for facilities one must define the demand for the facilities and also define the existing supply of facilities. It is also the purpose of this thesis therefore to define and update the estimate of demend for and the supply of outdoor recreational facilities in the province of Manitoba.

Second to the major purpose, this study will attempt to project the supply and demand for outdoor recreational facilities into the future. The results of such a projection will, it is hoped, be used in planning future facilities.

Third, this study will also attempt to determine the 'latent' demand for outdoor recreational facilities. This will, it is hoped, give the reader an indication of the recreational preferences of Manitobans.

A fourth and minor purpose of this thesis is to determine the outdoor recreationists' view toward the adequacy of outdoor recreational facilities.

## 2. Scope

This study encompasses the entire province of Manitoba in regard to the supply of and the demand for outdoor recreational facilities. The study deals with the province as a whole along with rural-urban and regional breakdowns. Most of the information presented in this thesis is also available by municipality in the Appendices.

## 3. Sources and Methods of Collecting Data

The sources of data for this study have been many and varied. On the supply side of the study the major sources. are: The 1971 Facilities Inventory as created by the Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs which has been updated in part to varying degrees each year since its creation; the 1979-80 Manitoba Vacation Guide published by the Government of Manitoba under the supervision of the Department of Tourism and Cultural Affairs; and various documents obtained from federal, provincial, municipal and city agencies. Much of the information thus obtained was further updated through personal contacts with people in the various agencies, and contacts with people in the field who are directly responsible for the various facilities.

The information for determining the demand for outdoor recreational facilities was obtained from a survey designed and carried out by the author for the purpose of obtaining current participation rates and frequency of participation in various outdoor recreational activities.

## 4. Limitations

There are two main types of limitations encountered by this project. There are data limitations and project limitations. Under the former there are data limitations associated with each of the 'demand', 'supply' and 'need' data categories. Under the project limitations there are problems associated with the primary and secondary data, the participation rates, formulae promulgating errors, survey design, defining participation (demand) and 'need', and problems in identifying levels of facility inadequateness. Each of the above limitations is discussed in detail in Chapter 5 of this thesis.

## 5. Background Information

To put this study into an academic perspective, it represents work done in the field of Geography under the sub-discipline of research and planning with regards to our natural resources, specifically the outdoor recreational use of land and water.

The study posed a problem in itself because little has been done to date in the area of defining the need for outdoor recreational facilities. A study entitled "The Need and Associated Benefits of Recreation in the Souris River Basin" contains the basis for much of the methodology used in this report. The above study was completed in co-operation between the Parks Branch and the Research and Data Services Branch both of the Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs in March of 1978. This study represents only a portion of the Souris River Basin Study. The Souris River Basin Study Board was made up of members from the governments of Canada, Manitoba and Saskatchewan.

## 6. Plan of Presentation

This thesis will first present some recreation research and planning concepts necessary for determining the demand and supply of outdoor recreational facilities. The following chapter will cover the methodology used to determine recreation demand, supply and need. This section will be followed by an analysis of the data and a list of the data limitations. The final results will be evaluated and conclusions and recommendations made.

## RECREATION RESEARCH AND PLANNING

It has been generally accepted by most people that the amount of leisure time available to the general population has been on an upswing for at least the past fifty years. This trend started mach earlier but the most dramatic changes can be seen since the 1920's. Problems have arisen because of this increase in free time and many agencies have had to face these problems squarely. Various levels of government have had to cope with planning and administrative problems in order to deal with an increasing demend for active and passive recreational, entertainment and cultural facilities to mention a few. As indicated above, this thesis will deal mainly with the need for recreational facilities as they pertain to the out-of-doors type of recreation.

For the purposes of this study, outdoor recreation is defined as an activity or experience carried on out-of-doors, usually chosen voluntarily by the participant, either because of the immediate satisfaction to be derived from it, or because one perceives some personal or social values to be achieved by it. It is carried on in leisure time, and has no work connotations.

## 1. Forces Involved in the Growth of Recreational Activity

According to Thomas L. Burton there are three main forces which have caused a rapid growth in recreational activity. They are technological, institutional and socio-economic forces (Burton, 1970:14).
A. Technological Forces.-It appears that improvements in the methods of transportation and in the movement of information and ideas are the major technological forces which influence the growth of recreational activity.
(1) Transportation.-Mobility has and will probably always play a major role in the formation of recreational patterns. The development of the railroad was responsible for making accessible, areas normally out of reach for most people. Excursions to remote areas of the country developed. Seaside resorts were no longer available to only the higher income earners. The relative inexpensiveness of the railroad catered to all classes of society.

The family automobile soon replaced the train as the main form of transportation. With the coming of the automobile greater mobility resulted. People were no longer restricted by the routes and schedules set by the railroads. As the road network developed many formerly isolated places became havens for people pursuing recreational activities. "The way was literally paved for the automobile to become king of travel in America" (Jensen, 1973:39). The automobile has also played a major role in urban recreation especially in the large urban centres.

Air travel has also influenced the mobility of the general population as a whole but this form of travel is not as important as the family auto in terms of movement of people on a local or regional scale.
(2) Movement of Information.-Along with improvements in transportation there has also been a significant development of communication through radio, television, and the telephone. These developments have tended to introduce to people new ideas for leisure-time activity through information flow. In some cases they have become recreational pursuits in themselves (Burton, 1970:16).
B. Institutional Forces.-Changes in labor legislation have played an important role in the development of patterns of recreational activities. The law defines the maximum number of hours of work per week and also guarantees the right to each and every employee that they receive certain statutory holidays and a certain amount of annual leave all without loss of pay.

Trade unions are another institutional force which has shaped recreational patterns. The trade unions have been responsible for negotiating shorter working hours per week, longer periods of paid annual leave, and a general increase in wage rates.

Institutional forces have influenced the balance of time between work and recreation, and in the amount of discretionary income people have available for recreational and other pursuits.
C. Socio-Economic Forces.-According to Thomas L. Burton, the socio-economic forces have been of three main kinds: demographic factors, income and occupation, and education.
(1) Demographic Factors.--Of the demographic factors; age, sex and family structure are the most important. These factors are particularly significant in determining the nature and amount of recreational activities in which people take part. Past trends seem to indicate that participation in most outdoor recreational pursuits are at their highest
levels at ages below 25 years, and that participation rates decline with age thereafter (Burton, 1970:19). Family structure and sex factors affect the type of activity that people pursue, more so than the amount of activity.
(2) Income and Occupation.-Income has been steadily increasing along with the cost of living but C. R. Jensen suggests that "in terms of purchasing power per capita, today's consumer is more than two and one-half times as well off as the consumer in the mid 1930's" (1973:45). This statement was made in the early 1970's. As can be seen from Table 1, the total personal expenditure on recreation, sporting and camping equipment and recreational services in Canada has increased from less than a billion dollars in the late 1940's to over 6 billion in the late $1970^{\prime}$ s. Figure 1 illustrates this growth in constant (1971) dollars for each person based on population levels from Table 2. The amount of money spent on recreational equipment and services has increased by $384 \%$ during the period 1947 to 1978 (Table 3).

One may argue that the expenditure figures represent the Canadian average and not the average of Manitobans. The expenditure data is only available for a Canadian aggregate and is not broken down by province. As a result, a simple linear regression model was applied to find the amount of correlation between the average Canadian expenditure on recreational goods and services on the one part and the Manitoban average participation rate in park use on the second part with the latter being the dependent variable and the former being the independent variable.

The data (Table 4) was plotted and the "best fitting" line which minimizes the sum of squares of the deviations of the observed. values of the dependent variable from those predicted was constructed

## TABLE 1

TOTAL PERSONAL EXPENDITURE ON RECREATION, SPORTING AND CAMPING EQUIPMENT AND RECREATIONAL SERVICES IN CONSTANT (1971) DOLLARS
(IN MILLIONS OF DOLLARS)

| Year | Recreation, Sporting <br> \& Camping Equipment | Recreational <br> Services | Tota1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | 234 |  |  |
| 1948 | 241 | 442 | 676 |
| 1949 | 242 | 444 | 685 |
| 1950 | 249 | 488 | 730 |
| 1951 | 262 | 519 | 768 |
| 1952 | 275 | 510 | 772 |
| 1953 | 327 | 551 | 826 |
| 1954 | 362 | 560 | 887 |
| 1955 | 425 | 543 | 905 |
| 1956 | 498 | 515 | 940 |
| 1957 | 535 | 479 | 977 |
| 1958 | 570 | 475 | 1,010 |
| 1959 | 634 | 481 | 1,051 |
| 1960 | 668 | 476 | 1,110 |
| 1961 | 733 | 475 | 1,143 |
| 1962 | 801 | 475 | 1,208 |
| 1963 | 867 | 481 | 1,282 |
| 1964 | 974 | 507 | 1,374 |
| 1965 | 1,069 | 541 | 1,515 |
| 1966 | 1,193 | 585 | 1,654 |
| 1967 | 1,299 | 646 | 1,839 |
| 1968 | 1,394 | 815 | 2,114 |
| 1969 | 1,517 | 767 | 2,161 |
| 1970 | 1,568 | 765 | 2,282 |
| 1971 | 1,990 | 820 | 2,388 |
| 1972 | 2,524 | 942 | 2,932 |
| 1973 | 3,055 | 1,023 | 3,547 |
| 1974 | 3,524 | 1,118 | 4,173 |
| 1975 | 3,632 | 1,346 | 4,870 |
| 1976 | 4,008 | 1,421 | 5,053 |
| 1977 | 4,241 | 1,574 | 5,582 |
| 1978 | 4,454 | 1,589 | 5,830 |
|  |  |  | 6,104 |

Sources: Canada. Statistics Canada. 1975. National Income and Expenditures Accounts. No. 13-531 (1): 94,194,294. Ottawa: Queen's Printer.

Canada. Statistics Canada. 1979. National Income and Expenditures Accounts. No. 13-201 (1): Table A. Ottawa: Queen's Printer.


TABLE 2

POPULATION OF CANADA (IN MILLIONS)

| $\frac{\text { Year }}{}$ | Population | Year | Population |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | 12.6 | 1964 | 19.3 |
| 1948 | 12.8 | 1965 | 19.6 |
| 1949 | 13.4 | 1966 | 20.0 |
| 1950 | 13.7 | 1967 | 20.4 |
| 1951 | 14.0 | 1968 | 20.7 |
| 1952 | 14.6 | 1969 | 21.0 |
| 1953 | 14.8 | 1970 | 21.3 |
| 1954 | 15.3 | 1971 | 21.6 |
| 1955 | 15.7 | 1972 | 21.8 |
| 1956 | 16.1 | 1973 | 22.0 |
| 1957 | 16.6 | 1974 | 22.4 |
| 1958 | 17.1 | 1975 | 22.7 |
| 1959 | 17.5 | 1976 | 23.0 |
| 1960 | 17.9 | 1977 | 23.3 |
| 1961 | 18.2 | 1978 | 23.5 |
| 1962 | 18.6 | 1979 | 23.7 |
| 1963 | 18.9 |  |  |

Source: Canada. Statistics Canada. 1979. National Income and Expenditures Accounts. No. 13-201 (1): Table A. Ottawa: Queen's Printer.

TABLE 3

CANADIAN PER PERSON EXPENDITURE ON RECREATION, SPORTING AND CAMPING EQUIPMENT, AND RECREATIONAL SERVICES IN CONSTANT (1971) DOLLARS

| Year | Amount* |
| :---: | ---: |
| 1947 | $\$ 53.65$ |
| 1948 | 53.52 |
| 1949 | 54.48 |
| 1950 | 56.06 |
| 1951 | 55.14 |
| 1952 | 56.58 |
| 1953 | 59.93 |
| 1954 | 59.15 |
| 1955 | 59.87 |
| 1956 | 60.68 |
| 1957 | 60.84 |
| 1958 | 61.46 |
| 1959 | 63.43 |
| 1960 | 63.85 |
| 1961 | 66.37 |
| 1962 | 68.92 |


| Year | Amount* |
| :---: | ---: |
| 1963 | $\$ 72.70$ |
| 1964 | 78.50 |
| 1965 | 84.39 |
| 1966 | 91.95 |
| 1967 | 103.63 |
| 1968 | 104.40 |
| 1969 | 108.67 |
| 1970 | 112.11 |
| 1971 | 135.74 |
| 1972 | 162.71 |
| 1973 | 189.68 |
| 1974 | 217.41 |
| 1975 | 222.60 |
| 1976 | 242.70 |
| 1977 | 250.21 |
| 1978 | 259.75 |

*Calculation Formula: Totals from Table 1 divided by population figures from Table 2.

TABLE 4

SIMPLE REGRESSION DATA
PER FIGURE 2 (1964-1978)

| Dependent Variable <br> Manitoba Park Use <br> Participation Rate <br> (Per Capita) | Independent Variable <br> Canadian Expenditure <br> On Recreation Goods <br> \& Services (Per Capita) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1964 | 1.47 | 78.50 |
| 1965 | 1.63 | 84.39 |
| 1966 | 1.60 | 91.95 |
| 1967 | 1.94 | 103.63 |
| 1968 | 1.83 | 104.40 |
| 1969 | 2.47 | 108.67 |
| 1970 | 2.70 | 112.11 |
| 1971 | 3.03 | 135.74 |
| 1972 | 3.26 | 162.71 |
| 1973 | 3.93 | 189.68 |
| 1974 | 3.68 | 217.41 |
| 1975 | 4.05 | 222.60 |
| 1976 | 4.18 | 242.70 |
| 1977 | 3.92 | 250.21 |
| 1978 | 3.94 | 259.75 |

Sources:

1. Manitoba. Department of Natural Resources. Parks Branch. 1978. "Manitoba Park Use - Participation Rate - Indexed. (Unpublished data). Winnipeg: Parks Branch.
2. From Table 3.
(Figure 2). The simplest functional form is the straight line which is constructed by the formula $Y=a+b X$.

$$
\text { Where: } \begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Y} & =\text { dependent variable }- \text { park use } \\
\mathrm{X} & =\text { independent variable }- \text { expenditure } \\
\mathrm{a} & =\text { the value of } \mathrm{Y} \text { at the } \mathrm{Y} \text { axis when } \mathrm{X}=0 \\
\mathrm{~b} & =\text { the increase in } \mathrm{Y} \text { for each unit increase in } X
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result of computing the data (Table 4) using the simple linear regression equation it was determined that there was a correlation coefficient (r) of 0.94. The correlation coefficient varies from zero (no correlation) to $\pm 1.0$ (perfect positive or negative correlation). A correlation coefficient of 0.94 is almost a perfect positive correlation. The square of the correlation coefficient yields the coefficient of determination ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ ) which may be defined as a measure of the extent to which the independent variable accounts for the variability in the dependent variable. The calculated coefficient of determination is 0.89. A test of significance (student's t-test) indicated that the Canadian per capita expenditure on recreational, sporting and camping equipment and recreational services explained $89 \%$ of the variation in the Manitoba per capita park use participation rate at the .05 significance level.

As a result of this highly significant correlation, this paper will assume that the recreational expenditure figures as calculated for the average Canadian will also apply to the average Manitoban.

It seems that after a certain point, people make a decision to "choose free time over increased production" (Jensen, 1973:45). In recent decades our productivity has improved greatly and now we have a choice between additional goods or increased leisure time. It is
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CANADIAN EXPENDITURE ON RECREATIONAL, SPORTING AND CAMPING EQUIPMENT AND RECREATIONAL SERVICES IN CONSTANT (1971) DOLLARS (PER CAPITA)
*Statistically significant at the . 05 level.
Source: Table 4.
this increased leisure time which has greatly influenced participation rates in outdoor recreation and thus has influenced the demand for outdoor recreational facilities.

Occupation has also been an influencing factor in the types of recreational activities pursued and also in the amounts of leisure time available and the amount of personal disposable income. Most studies show that there is a great deal of intercorrelation among the variables income, occupation and levels and types of recreational activities pursued.
(3) Education.-Education is usually a factor which plays a role in the level of personal income that can be achieved. Higher education usually means higher income; and higher income influences what people do for recreation and where they go to practice it. According to Jensen, "education's effect on recreation is due to the positive relationship between level of education and diversification of interests" (Jensen, 1973:50). He claims that as a result of higher education a person tends to broaden their horizon of interests, appreciations, and skills in recreational pursuits and, therefore, will have higher expectations for areas, facilities and programs in order to satisfy these increased interests. According to Burton, "people who have received formal education beyond the age of 15 years tend to participate more often in a wider range of activities than those who have not" (Burton, 1970:20).

## 2. Recreation Demand

Recreation demand is a concept which has definition problems. It is a concept which is influenced by many factors. It is in some ways a measurement of considerations other than demand.
A. Defining Recreation Demand.-Demand for recreation will be defined for the purpose of this study as the amount of a recreational facility that is requested by a population as determined by past use. The author is in agreement with J. L. Knetsch who states,
"...so called 'demand' is not demand at all. The participation rate figures observed are taken under prevailing recreation opportunity conditions. This use or attendance is determined by both demand and the availability of supply" (1973:86).

It is true that past participation is not in itself a measurement of demand. Some people would rather term this application of the word 'demand' as "consumption" (Clawson and Knetsch, 1966:115). They write
"Attendance or use figures are the net effect of the existing demand and the existing supply, and should be so recognized. Improper accounting of supply considerations leads to the assumption that people will demand increasing quantities of what they now have, and can perpetuate present imbalances" (1966:116).

It is very difficult to determine what level of demand there would be for future facilities. What people do for recreation depends for a large part on the opportunities (supply) available to them and where it is available to them. In an area where there is a large supply of suitable swimming water, the use (consumption) for such purposes as swimming, boating, water skiing and similar water-based activities will be high if the supply is located relatively close to the population centre. If an area is poorly supplied with such water either through the lack of water itself, the poor quality of water which may be available or the distance at which the water is located from the population centre, the use of such water for these activities will be low. If consumption is taken to be demand, care should be taken then
in this example of not providing more access and more facilities for water oriented activities in the area already well supplied but rather to provide suitable water in the area that lacks such an opportunity.

The major question that arises from the above deliberation deals with the concept of 'latent demand'. Latent demand can be defined as 'demand', or for the purpose of this paper, as 'participation' which is dormant. It is demand which is present but not visible or active. It is demand which has the 'potential' to develop into something active.

If we accept as given the factors of present motivation, and present time and money budgets, present latency is due to lack of supply. There is no real means of determining present latency except by drawing parallels between the particular problem situation being dealt with, and another comparable situation in which all variables are the same except for the factor of supply.

Latent demand can also be found in other forms. A person may participate in a substitute activity because there is no facility available for the preferred activity. It is very difficult to measure this form of latent demand.

Even though statistics on participation alone ignores the question of what the recreation choices would be if work-weeks were shorter, more facilities were available and if travel time and costs were reduced, 'participation' for the purposes of this thesis will be treated as synonymous with 'demand' because the concept "What would you do if...?", is extremely difficult to evaluate and qualify. Most studies neglect true demand and concentrate on participation. As used in this study, demand refers only to participation rates and number of recreation users.
B. Factors Affecting Demand.-There are many factors which influence the demand for outdoor recreational facilities. This study will attempt to examine a few.
(1) Available Recreation Time.-There are different periods of time available for recreation. There are evenings, half days, whole days, weekends and even longer periods which can be termed holidays. Total non-working time in a year is not a very good determinant as to the choice of activity pursued. More important is the length of each period of leisure time. The prevalence of shift working or the staggering of working hours affects the distribution of the working population's total opportunities for recreation.
(2) Cost.-The cost of recreating stems from different sources. There are costs associated with the use of the facilities, transportation to the facilities, and equipment required for the chosen activity. Some of the costs may be ongoing and some may be non-repetitive, such as the purchase of a tennis racquet. Some of the costs may be direct and some may be indirect. Indirect costs are involved when a person uses subsidized public transportation (urban), municipal tennis courts, provincial parks and related facilities. In most cases the cost to the user is not the true or total cost.

Cost plays an important role in the decision-making process as to what activity a person can afford to participate in. Cost is clearly related to disposable income. As the amount of disposable income decreases, the selection or range of choice of recreational activities available to a person also decrease.
(3) Education.-The level or the amount of education a person receives also influences the choice of activities pursued. As the
educational system broadens its horizons the students enrolled in that system are introduced to an increasing range of activities. Education affects participation much the same as income does; generally speaking, the more of it people have up to a certain point the more they are likely to participate (demand).
(4) Car Ownership.-The number of automobiles has greatly increased over the last few decades. Being mass produced mostly in this century the automobile has been adopted by almost every family in North America. It has become not only a source of transportation to recreation sites but also the basis of several forms of recreation itself. It has revolutionized society's use of leisure time. Some pursuits are more strongly influenced by car ownership than others. If a person does not have access to a vehicle it is difficult to reach most of the recreational areas, thus restricting participation, which in turn reflects upon demand.
(5) Life Styles.--Recreation patterns are also influenced by life styles and life cycles. The two are closely linked and, of course, subject to change. The social groupings within which an individual moves at different stages of his career draw him to styles of living which will have characteristic recreational activities. The particular life style will therefore be one means by which a person is introduced to an activity and, depending on the enjoyment and satisfaction achieved, regular participation may develop. Complementary to this, the development from single status, to marriage and various stages of raising a family, has a marked effect on recreation patterns. There are changes too within the marriage relationship which are affecting recreation patterns. Couples are increasingly
sharing their leisure time, where previously the wife was left at home to look after the family while the husband went out with the 'guys'. It is these changes in life styles and life cycles which influence the participation (demand) in (for) outdoor recreation.
(6) Demographic Factors.-Population size and growth rates, age and sex structure, family size, etc. all affect the demand for recreational facilities. Of these factors it seems that age has the sharpest influence. It seems that the older people become, the less they engage in outdoor activity. This decline is most noticeable in the more active pursuits. In some cases, the amount of activity increases with age, i.e. lawn bowling. But the general picture is one of declining activity with advancing years.
(7) Geographic Location.--This factor is closely linked to population size in the above demographic section. Demand for outdoor recreation is concentrated in areas where people are also concentrated, in metropolitan areas. The great bulk of the outdoor-recreation demand must be satisfied in the after-work and weekend hours; therefore, even though Canadians are highly mobile they seek most of their recreation close to home. Even on vacation trips the majority seek recreation only one or two days' travel away. This does not mean that the more distant areas are less desirable. They can provide a qualitative element that may be only rarely experienced but that can be very important, especially to people who live in cities. There is a certain amount of gratification received from just knowing that something exists and that maybe someday it will be personally utilized.
C. Other Considerations.-There are many other factors which influence participation in outdoor recreation. Two significant factors
have added to demand. First, there is the popularity and diversity of new recreational equipment, such as motorbikes and snowmobiles. And second, there is the public awareness and concern for the natural environment, resulting in more persons desiring and participating in out-of-door experiences. The new developments in the mass media have been responsible in bringing information into our homes with regard to new recreational equipment. The mass media have also influenced attitudes towards the natural environment through public awareness programs and advertising.

## 3. Recreation Supply

It is generally accepted that participation in outdoor recreation has increased dramatically over the past few decades. There is not much in the way of participation data which measures only participation. Most participation figures are a composite of interaction between supply and demand. Nevertheless, the data gives an indication of the overall trend in outdoor recreation. For example, the number of camping permits sold in Manitoba gives an indication of how the participation rate in camping has increased over the past years (Figure 3). But camping permit sales are a function of demand and supply. In most cases the number of campsites available far exceeds the number of campsites demanded. There are a number of days each year when the opposite is true, and thus there is a certain amount of unfulfilled demand. Thus, part of the demand is made up of two components. First, there are those campers who are turned away from the full campgrounds and second, there are those who would have gone camping but did not attempt to do so because of the high probability of being turned away. There is also a possibility that some of the
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demand or participation is a direct result of the supply. Some people may go camping just because the facilities are present. Nonetheless, the trend indicated in Figure 3 reflects the direction that participation in camping is taking.

Because of these and other trends, the indications are that the demand for outdoor recreation has increased at a precipitous rate in recent years. If this trend continues even at a reduced rate, the problem will be one of supply keeping up with the demand.
A. Defining Recreation Supply.-Recreation supply for the purposes of this thesis is the amount or quantity of recreational facilities available for use at a particular time.
B. Problems in Determining Supply.-Any consideration of the supply of recreational facilities must consider their effectiveness as a resource. For the user exercising a choice, the effectiveness of a resource will be measured, consciously or subconsciously, by such factors as its location, accessibility, cost (including travel and payment for the use of the facility itself) and management policies. The management and administration of the recreational facilities themselves are also crucial factors in the effectiveness of the total supply of resources. Each recreational facility has a carrying capacity which can differ with various types of management policy. Pricing policies, which are part of management, may add to or limit supply effectiveness.

The complexity of the supply of and demand for recreation facilities is increased when it is recognized that patterns of recreation are constantly changing and that one is discussing problems in an area of community life where individual choice predominates.
C. Types of Recreational Supply.-There are several types of outdoor recreational resources. They can be classified into three types; user-oriented, intermediate, and resource-based recreational resources (Jensen, 1973:195).
(1) User-Oriented.-These are resources which are close at hand to the users. Urban parks, neighbourhood playgrounds, local tennis courts and golf courses are just a few of the user-oriented outdoor recreation resources.
(2) Intermediate.-Intermediate type resources consist of areas and facilities which are a little further away physically from the user. They tend to be located within a short driving distance from the user. They are usually larger in size or more numerous in quantity. Provincial parks and campgrounds, forest reserves, private recreational areas, and associated facilities such as campsites, picnic sites and tables are examples of intermediate supply of resources. A few of the larger urban parks may also fall into this category.
(3) Resource-Based.--These resources are usually areas and facilities which provide uniqueness in terms of recreational opportunities. They are usually areas which have a unique and natural outdoor quality. There is, as a rule, very little development associated with resource-based areas. Wilderness parks and some of the larger provincial parks and most of the national parks are examples of this classification. There may be nodes of user-orientated developments in these parks but strictly speaking most of the area involved is kept 'untouched' or in an "unmodified state" (Jensen, 1973:198). Resource based areas are generally located at greater distances than the intermediate areas and as a result are visited less frequently
but not necessarily by a smaller number of people. In some cases these parks draw people from great distances and from all directions. Jensen points out that "the trends toward increased leisure time, more income, and greater mobility point strongly toward a great escalation in the use of these areas" (1973:198). This increased use will probably be to the detriment of the delicate natural qualities of the area which are in themselves the main drawing card of the park.
D. Distribution of the Supply. -Most user-oriented areas are distributed quite evenly according to the population. This distribution becomes less even for intermediate areas and even less so for the resource-based areas. The resource-based parks are, as the name implies, located where the resource happens to be and as such are independent of proximity to populated areas.
4. Recreation Need

When the volume of demand and supply for recreational resources and facilities has been established the data must be converted to 'needs' for resources and facilities. $\cdot$ Need' is a very subjective concept. It is not susceptible of completely objective determination. In fact, the word 'need' itself should be recognized as being of limited usefulness. One person's need is another's necessity. 'Need' might more properly be termed space or facility requirements necessary to meet projected demand.

Defining Recreation Need.-'Need', strictly speaking, means that there is a lack of something required. For the purpose of this thesis 'need' will be defined simply as the difference between the amount of a resource or facility demanded and the amount supplied.

## METHODOLOGY

This section of the paper deals with problems associated with regional breakdowns of Manitoba and also with the methods used in determining the supply, demand and need for outdoor recreation in the province of Manitoba.

## 1. Scope of the Analysis

The data for determining supply, demand and need will be analyzed along three lines. First, the province as a whole will be analyzed, then the city of Winnipeg will be segregated for a rural-urban analysis. And thirdly, a regional breakdown of the province will be used to analyze the data.
A. Provincial Analysis.-The province of Manitoba will be analyzed in order to determine the need for outdoor recreational facilities on a provincial level. The data analyzed will be in the form of provincial totals. Comparisons with other provinces or with the country as a whole are then possible. Trend analysis can also be accomplished, using the provincial data.
B. Rural-Urban Analysis.-Approximately $55 \%$ of Manitoba's population is located in the city of Winnipeg. As a result it was deemed necessary to separate the city of Winnipeg from the provincial analysis in order to make rural-urban comparisons. It is acknowledged that there are other urban centres in the province of Manitoba but
for the purposes of this thesis the city of Winnipeg will be considered the urban sector of the province with the remainder being classed as the rural sector.

The rationale behind this decision is two-fold. First, there is not much information with regards to supply that is readily available for the small urban centres. Much of the information available is on a municipal level and as such is difficult to distinguish the urban supply from the rural supply within each municipality. And secondly, there is the problem of determining which population centres will be included as rural and which will be urban. Winnipeg consists of a densely-settled metropolitan type of population which is different enough from the rest of Manitoba's inhabitants to merit segregation. It should really be "metropolitan" vs "other" and not "urban" vs "rural", but for the purposes of this thesis the above decision will stand.

This study will attempt to find existing differences in participation rates on an outdoor recreational activity basis between the city of Winnipeg and the remainder of the province.
C. Regional Analysis. -As noted earlier it is one of the major purposes of this paper to analyze supply, demand and need for outdoor recreational facilities on a regional basis. There are several types of regional breakdowns used in the province of Manitoba. There does not appear to be any particular breakdown which is accepted or used to any great extent. It seems that many of the provincial government departments have adopted regions which are suited to their individual needs.
(1) Types of Regional Breakdowns.
a. Official regions for data collection.

The regions depicted in Figure 4 are the official data collection regions. Through the Rural Region Working Group, the Labour Force Survey Task Force and the Manitoba Bureau of Statistics, the three government departments of Agriculture, Health and Social Development, and Industry and Commerce in 1973 were able to agree on a set of regional boundaries to be used both by Statistics Canada and the Manitoba Government itself.

A major criterion in defining the regions was that municipal and Indian Reserve boundaries not be split and that the new regional boundaries follow census divisions. As a result, the official regions for data collection are a combination of legislated boundaries (Northern Affairs and the City of Winnipeg) and departmental administrative regions with an underlying attention given to functional relationships.
b. Parks Branch regions.

It is realized that a single set of regions cannot satisfy all needs. The Parks Branch of the Department of Tourism, Recreation and CuItural Affairs of 1973 decided to operate with regions that were designed toward the park system and its administration. As a result the official data collection regions would not serve any purpose and therefore the regional boundaries as illustrated in Figure 5 were maintained. In July of 1979 the three official regions were reorganized into seven regions (Figure 6). The regions were changed in order to enhance the administration of the divisions or branches within the Department of Mines, Natural Resources and Environment. The Parks Branch was one of seven resource branches within that department. Through an Order-in-Council on November 14, 1979, the Parks Branch




became part of a new government department, the Department of Natural Resources. The newly formed department adopted the regional breakdown formulated in July 1979 (Figure 6).

## c. Service regions.

The service region concept is based on a travel time decay function. An in-house document written by R. Wilson of the Parks Branch, Department of Natural Resources laid out eleven different regions based upon one and two hour service region maps. The main reasons for using a combined approach were that one hour service regions left large areas of the province unassigned and the two hour service regions generated a vast amount of overlap. In order to reduce the amount of overlap and still cover the province a combination map was produced (Figure 7). These service regions coincide closely with the study of the functional relationship of settlements and their spheres of influence as prepared by the Regional Analysis Program of the Department of Industry and Commerce (Carvalho - Page Group, 1971).

It was found that a regional approach which considered some function of outdoor recreation facility use was preferable to one which considered mainly administrative objectives. The service region concept considers the amount of time a recreationist is willing to travel in order to engage in an outdoor activity. A study done in New York State shows that seventy-eight percent of the camping, ninety-two percent of the day-use activities and one hundred percent of the community based recreation is pursued within a two-hour travel time radius. The one-hour time zone applies to thirty-five percent of the camping, sixty-three percent of the day-use activities and ninety-


Source: Manitoba. Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. Parks Branch.
1976. "Manitoba Population Projections and Service Region Statistics".
(Unpublished document prepared by R. Wilson). Winnipeq: Parks Branch.

four percent of the community-based recreation. (New York State Parks and Recreation, 1972:82).

From the above study it appears that the duration of the activity is positively related to travel time.
> "People will generally travel further for the full day outing usually associated with 'day-use' activities than for the hour or two associated with sports such as games or skating, and they will travel even further for the overnight stays associated with camping. The distribution of supply generally reflects these attitudes and, in turn, affects the observed 'decay' patterns" (New York State Parks and Recreation, 1972:82).

There are exceptions where people seek a unique environment or wish to avoid crowds and will therefore travel greater distances.
(2) Regional Breakdown Modification.
a. Regional breakdown chosen.

Based upon the above studies it was decided that travel time is a good basis upon which the province could be divided regionally for the purposes of analyzing recreational facility use and facility supply. As pointed out earlier, most regional breakdowns and associated boundaries are arbitrarily set for purposes of administering government departments. The main reason for subjectively selecting most government regional layouts is to equalize work loads amongst regional managers. This method is not acceptable for the purposes of this paper. A more sophisticated method, one which forms the basis for which recreationists view their physical space, is more applicable.

The regional breakdown as presented by R. Wilson is objective in nature and considers population centres or nodes and travel patterns (Manitoba. Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. Parks Branch, 1976). A form of this regional layout will be used in this paper.

## b. Modifications to the service regions.

It was found that the number of regions Wilson used in his service region approach were too numerous for the purposes of this thesis. The service region approach was comprised of six northern regions which contained less than five percent of Manitoba's population and five southern regions which contained all the rest. It was therefore decided that the service regions of Churchill, Gillam, Lynn Lake and Thompson would be combined for the purpose of this thesis in a "Northern" region (Figure 8). The service regions of Gypsumville and Grand Rapids were amalgamated into an "Interlake" region. The remainder of the service regions (Brandon, Dauphin, The Pas, Winkler and Winnipeg) remained intact.

There exist areas of overlap between the regions. It can be reasoned that the people living in these areas do not associate themselves on a regional basis in terms of outdoor recreational pursuits. They may be halfway between two regional centres and therefore may choose either region to participate in outdoor activities. The regional boundaries are along municipal lines. The regions of overlap are depicted in Figure 9 by municipalities which have diagonal lines through them. Half of the participation and half of the supply of facilities of these municipalities is assigned to the adjacent regions.

In order to keep the two time-travel region approaches separate, the revised service regions will be called 'Natural Regions'. It is upon these regions that all further regional analysis in this thesis will be based on. Most information is presented on a rural municipal level in appendix form except for participation rates and frequencies which, because of the volume has not been reproduced but are available



urce: Manitoba. Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. Parks Branch. 1976. "Manitoba Population Projections and Service Region Statistics". (Unpublished document prepared by R. Wilson). Winnipeg: Parks Branch.
on magnetic tape through the Systems Section of Administrative Services of the Department of Natural Resources. Because supply and demand information is available at the municipal level, the need for outdoor recreational facilities can be calculated for any regional breakdown of the province.

## 2. Determining Recreation Demand

This portion of the paper will deal with the problems and methods used in determining the demand of outdoor recreational facilities.
A. Participation vse Demand.-As noted earlier, most people consider participation rates as a measure of consumption rather than demand. But because demand as such is very difficult to measure in terms of recreational facilities it was decided that past participation would be a good indicator as to how much of a recreational facility is demanded by the population. Since there were no current statistics with regards to participation rates and frequencies and also since participation rates in various activities seemed to have changed quite drastically in the past few years, it was decided that a telephone survey would be the most economical and quickest method of obtaining current figures.
B. The Survey Design. - The survey was designed to obtain the maximum amount of information in the shortest possible time. It had been determined that a telephone survey would be the fastest and least costly method of obtaining the information. Because of the nature of the telephone it was decided that a short survey would be required.

The first objective of the survey was to obtain current participation and frequency rates in selected outdoor recreational activities. Secondly, some measurement of latent demand was required. A third
objective was to determine the interviewee's feeling about the adequacy of various facilities associated with each of the selected activities. A final and minor objective was to determine why the respondents thought the facilities inadequate.

In response to these objectives, the survey in Appendix A was designed. Section ' $A$ ' deals with the questions of participation rate and frequencies in the various selected activities. Section 'B' deals with the 'adequacy question' of facilities and the reasons for an 'inadequate response'. Section ' $C$ ' allows the respondent to add to the list of outdoor activities and offer information on frequency rates and adequacy of associated facilities. Section ' $D$ ' is in response to the question of latent demand. Respondents were able to mention any activities they would participate in if the facilities were provided. And finally, Section ' $E$ ' was aimed at obtaining visitation rates for Manitoba's Provincial Parks.
(1) Survey Sample Selection.-The sample was selected from the Winnipeg and Manitoba Provincial Exchange Telephone Directories in the following manner:
a. Urban Manitoba.

The last name and telephone number was selected from each colurn of the Winnipeg directory except the last colum of the odd numbered pages. This method of selection resulted in 1,760 names and telephone numbers being identified.
b. Rural Manitoba.

The last name and telephone number of each column of the Provincial directory was selected to be part of the sample. As a result, 1,414 names and telephone numbers were identified.

It should also be mentioned that if the last number in a colum was associated with anything other than a private residence the number was not selected as part of the sample.
c. Rural-Urban Split.

The rural-urban split which resulted from this method of selection was $44.5 \%$ and $55.5 \%$ respectively. The actual split as calculated from the Manitoba Health Services Commission population figures is $44.8 \%$ and $55.2 \%$ for the rural and urban respectively.
(2) Method of Conducting the Survey.-A government contract was signed with a Winnipeg based telephone service agency to make the necessary calls in order to survey the sample selected. The telephone interviewers were instructed to survey only persons 18 years of age and older. This was deemed necessary in order to avoid meaningless responses from young children. Each telephone number selected was called. If there were three unsuccessful attempts to make contact with the number, it was abandoned. Another number was not selected to replace it. If contact was made and the respondent was willing to participate in the survey, the interviewer would ask questions in Section 'A', and subsequently would only ask the Section 'B' questions for those activities of Section ' $A$ ' in which a positive response was given. For example, if a person did not participate in camping there would be no use in determining that person's feelings about the adequacy of campsites and sanitary facilities.

The interviewers were also instructed to vary the order in which the questions under each section were asked in order to avoid any order bias. It has been shown in many studies that people give more of their undivided attention to the first part of a questionnaire
and become less enthusiastic as the questionnaire progresses.
If a questionnaire was completed, the telephone exchange number (the first three numbers of a seven digit telephone number) or the $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{X}$. code was written on the top right-hand corner of the first page of the questionnaire. This code was later used in identifying the area of the province from which the questionnaire was obtained (Appendix B).
C. The Programm (SPSS).-The completed questionnaires were keyed to magnetic tape which was later retrieved and analyzed by a computer program specifically designed for extracting information from the questionnaires. The questionnaire was designed to facilitate the keying of the data. Alongside each response on the questionnaire are the associated card colurms (Appendix A). This technique eliminated much of the coding of the information. Only Sections ' $C$ ' and ' $D$ ' had to be coded by activity. The format in which the data was keyed is better visualized by Figure 10.

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) is a system of computer programs (Nie, et al, 1975). This system enables the user to perform many different types of data analysis. It provides the user with a comprehensive set of procedures for data transformation and file manipulation.

A computer program was written utilizing SPSS to extract participation rates and frequencies for each of the selected outdoor recreational activities. The data file was recoded along telephone exchange codes and then aggregated into various groups in order to apply various statistical routines. Three computer runs using the aggregate routine were applied to the activities of Section 'A'.

Figure 10
KEY TO TAPE FORMAT

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { I.D. } \\ & \operatorname{CODE} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXC. } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | CAMPING | ${ }^{{ }^{1 \mathrm{IC}_{\mathrm{N}_{1}}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{IN}_{\mathrm{G}}}}}$ | Visiting HISTORIC SITES | DRIVING | WALKING HIKING BACKPACKING | CYCLING |  | BEACH SWIMMING | FISHING | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{HUN} \\ \mathrm{TING} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | SAILING | CANOE- | BOATING \& WATER SKIING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 11 | 1-1111111 | 1.11 | $\frac{27}{111111}$ | 1.1 |  | $1{ }^{11} 1$ | $1{ }^{47}$ | $4{ }^{52}$ | - 56 | 58 | 62 | 66 | 72 |
| -111 | 1.1 | 1-1.1 1.1.11 | C-1-1 | 1-111 | 111 | 1-1.1-11-1 | 1 | 11 | 1-11 | 11.1 | 1 | 111 | 11.1 | 11.111 |
| -1 | 11 | -1_1_1_1 | 1-111 | 1-111 | 1 | 1-1 | 111 | 1-11 | 1111 | 1-11 | 1 | 1.11 | 111 | 11111 |
| 111 | 1. | -1/1.1.1.1.1. | 1-11 | 1111 | 111 | 1111111 | 1 | 111 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 111 | 111 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PARK VISITATIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X-COUNTRY SKIING SNOWSHOEING | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { DOWN- } \\ \text { HILL } \\ \text { SKIING } \end{array}$ | SNOW-SLEDDING | rce SKATING | SNOW mobiLing | OFF-ROAD VEhicle driving | GOLFING | TENNIS | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { COT- } \\ \text { TAG- } \\ \text { ING } \end{array}$ | OTHERS (with facilities) |  |  | OTHERS (without FACLITIES) | $\downarrow$ |
| (1) | 11.85 | $1 \frac{88}{1}$ | 1 1.92 | 11119 | -105 | 109 | 112 | $\underline{\square}$ | 120 | 125 | 130 | 139 | 40 |
| \% | 1-11 | 11 | 1-1 | 1-1 | L1-11 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 11111 | 1111 | 1111 | 1111111 | 1 |
| 11111111 | 1-11 | 11 | 111 | 1-1 | 1     <br> 1 1 1 1 1 | 1111 | 11 | 11 | 1-111 | 1-111 | 11111 | 1111111 | 1 |
| 1_11111 | 1111 | 11 | 111 | 1111 | 1111111 | 111 | 11 | 11 | 1-111 | 1111 | 1111 | 111111111 | 1 |

The data were analyzed by regions, rural-urban, and by provincial totals. The results of the aggregate run yielded participation rates and frequencies of participation for each region, for rural Manitoba, for Winnipeg, and for the province as a whole.

The SPSS BREAKDOWN routine was used to extract participation rates and frequencies of participation for Sections ' $C$ ' and ' $D$ ' dealing with "other" outdoor activities. Breakdown was also used to calculate the preference for the various types of campsites available. As in the aggregate runs, breakdown was applied in the same manner with respect to regions, rural-urban sectors, and the province as a whole.

The CROSSTAB routine of SPSS was used to analyze Section ' $B$ ' of the questionnaire which deals with the adequacy of available facilities. The results of the crosstab analysis yield percentages of respondents who feel the facilities are adequate, inadequate and also percentages of those who are indifferent towards the adequacy of the facilities. The calculations were again done for the same three data files.
D. Calculations for Determining Demand.--In order to determine the demand for outdoor recreational resources, the participation rates have to be determined as outlined above.
(1) The Demand Equations.-Once the participation rates are calculated, the volume of resources demanded are obtained through the following equations:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
a \cdot b=c & c \cdot d=e & e \cdot f=g & \\
g / h=i & i / j=k & k / l=m & m \cdot n=D
\end{array}
$$

Where:
$a=$ participation rate determined from the primary data
$\mathrm{b}=$ population of the area
$c=$ number of participants
$\mathrm{d}=$ average frequency of participation as determined from the primary data
$e=$ number of participant days or number of person visits
$f=$ peaking factor
$\mathrm{g}=$ number of person visits during peak period
$h=$ number of days during peak period
$i=$ number of visits per day during peak period
j = average party size
$\mathrm{k}=$ number of party visits per day during peak period
l = turnover rate
$m=$ number of units of supply demanded per day
$\mathrm{n}=$ standard
D = volume of resources demanded per day (Souris River Basin Study Board, 1978:II-18).

The variables $f, h, j$, $i$ and $n$ were obtained from Table 5. They are the participation rate factors which deserve further attention.
(2) Participation Rate Factors.
a. Peaking factor (f).

Peaking is a concept in recreational jargon which refers to the fact that the use patterns of most recreational facilities exhibit periods during which the facilities are overcrowded and periods during which they are grossly underutilized. For example, if one was to examine the use of campsites, one would be most likely to find that the campsites would be fully utilized on most summer weekends and probably overcrowded during the summer long weekends. During the week (Monday through Thursday) during the summer, one would find underutilization of campsites. One could see little or no use at all during the winter months.

PARTICIPATION RATE FACTORS BY ACTIVITY

| Activity | Turnover Rate | Average Party Size | Seasonal Activity Days | Peak Days | Peaking Factor | Standard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Camping | 0.5/Day | 3.50 | 108 | 30.9 | 0.5 | 1 Site |
| Picnicking | 1.65/Day | 3.75 | 114 | 30.9 32.6 | 0.5 | 1 Table |
| Visiting Historic Sites | 16/0.5 hr. | 4.00 | 90 | 25.7 | 0.5 | 1 Party/0.2 Centres |
| Driving for Pleasure | 4/Day | 4.00 | 240 | 68.6 | 0.5 | $1 \text { Car/0.4 km. }$ |
| Walking or Hiking | 40/0.25 hr. | 4.00 | 114 | 32.6 | 0.5 | 1 Party/0.5 km. |
| Back Packing | 2/Day | 4.00 | 114 | 32.6 | 0.5 | 1 Party/0.4 km. |
| Bicycling | 5/Day | 2.00 | 160 | 45.7 | 0.5 0.45 | 1 Party/0.03 km. |
| Horseback Riding | 2/Day | 4.00 | 160 | 45.7 | 0.45 | 1 Party/0.8 km. |
| Swimming | 2/Day | 4.00 | 74 | 21.0 | 0.45 | 1 Party/0.61 m.* |
| Fishing | 2/Day | 2.00 | 124 | 35.4 | 0.45 | 1 Boat/3.24 ha. |
| Hunting | 2/Day | 2.00 | 240 | 35.4 | 0.45 | 1 Party/5 ha. |
| Sailing | 2/Day | 2.50 | 108 | 30.9 | 0.5 | 1 Boat/6.1 ha. |
| Canoeing | 2/Day | 2.00 | 108 | 30.9 | 0.5 | 1 Canoe/0.8 km |
| Power Boating | 4/Day | 2.50 | 108 | 30.9 | 0.5 | 1 Boat/16.2 ha. |
| Water Skiing | 4/Day | 3.00 | 74 | 21.0 | 0.5 | 1 Boat/16.2 ha. |
| Cross-country Skiing | 2/Day | 3.00 | 82 | 23.4 | 0.45 | 1 Party/0.3 km. |
| Snowshoeing | 1/Day | 4.00 | 82 | 23.4 | 0.5 | 1 Party/0.4 km. |

TABLE 5 - Continued

| Activity | Turnover Rate | Average <br> Party <br> Size | Seasonal <br> Activity <br> Days | Peak <br> Days | Peaking <br> Factor | Standard |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Downhill Skiing | 1/Day | 4.00 | 82 | 23.4 | 0.5 | 1 Party/0.05 runs |
| Snowsledding-Tobogganing | 2/Day | 4.00 | 82 | 23.4 | 0.45 | 1 Party/0.08 runs |
| Outdoor Ice Skating | 16/Day | 3.00 | 82 | 23.4 | 0.5 | 1 Party/0.2 Rink |
| Snowmobiling | 2/Day | 4.00 | 82 | 23.4 | 0.45 | 1 Snowmobile/l.6 km. |
| Trail Biking | 2/Day | 4.00 | 160 | 45.7 | 0.45 | 1 Party/0.8 km. |
| Cross-country Biking | 2/Day | 2.00 | 160 | 45.7 | 0.45 | 1 Party/5 ha. |
| Off-road Four Wheel Driving | 2/Day | 2.00 | 160 | 45.7 | 0.45 | 1 Party/5 ha. |
| Golfing | 108.26/Day | 3.00 | 114 | 32.6 | 0.45 | 18 Holes/Golf Course |
| Tennis | 16/Day | 3.00 | 114 | 32.6 | 0.45 | 1 Party/Court |
| Cottaging | 1/Day | 4.00 | 240 | 68.6 | 0.5 | Cottages |
| Visiting Provincial Parks | 4/Day | 3.75 | 240 | 68.6 | 0.5 | 1 Party |

Source: Souris River Basin Study Board. 1978. The Souris River Basin Study - The Need and Associated Benefits of Recreation in the Souris River Basin. Supplement 5. Vo7. 2. (Table IIi): II-6. Regina: Saskatchewan Government Printing Co.

Peaking is a major problem for planning agencies. It is not economically feasible to develop facilities to cater to peak use since the amount of overcrowding does not necessarily justify the idleness of facilities that results for the rest of the year.

In order to consider the peaking factor in determining demand the planner must not examine the weekend with the highest use but rather the third highest peaking weekend.
"The third highest peak day is a reasonable
selection since it represents a peak period
that is reached on more than 7o\% of Saturdays
and Sundays. Therefore the third highest
peak Saturday or Sunday was selected, based
on Provincial Parks Campground statistics
from the Western Administrative Region of
the Provincial Parks Branch. The total use
that occurred on the 7 days which included the
third peak Saturday and Sunday was then cal-
culated; the amount of use occurring on
Saturday and Sunday was then calculated;
the ratio expressed in percentage terms
determined was 45 and represented the 'Peaking
Factor'," (Souris River Basin Study Board,
l978:II-Il and l2).

A final peaking factor of $50 \%$ was chosen by the study based upon comparisons with other Parks Branch studies. A peaking factor of $45 \%$ was assigned to those activities which were more accessible due to the relative closeness of the facilities. A peaking factor of $45 \%$ was also given to activities which because of their nature do not require the need for extensive facilities (Table 5).
b. Number of days during peak period (h).

The number of days which are involved in the peak period is determined by dividing the number of seasonal activity days by the number of days within that period which are considered to be at a peaking level. If weekends are considered to be the peak period during the week then two-sevenths or $28.57 \%$ of the seasonal activity
days can be considered the peak days in Table 5 or the 'number of days during the peak period' (variable $h$ ) in the above equation.

The seasonal activity days refers to the number of days in a year in which an activity will likely take place, other factors considered. Temperature, precipitation, ice-cover duration are the main factors considered (Manitoba. Department of Tourism, Recreation and Gultural Affairs. Research and Data Services Branch, 1975). The seasonal activity days are based upon 30 year averages for the climatic factors above.
c. Average party size (j).

The average number of people pursuing the same activity together as a group is considered to be the average party size. Actual facility capacities would create a false impression in terms of demand for recreational resources. For example, even though a picnic table is 3.75 persons (Table 5). "If the figure 8 were to be used, the area set aside for picnicking would be larger than necessary, and the number of picnic areas and tables established would be fewer than required" (Souris River Basin Study Board, 1978:II-8).
d. Turnover Rate (i).

Turnover rate refers to the ability of a facility to handle more than one person or group of persons in a specified time frame. This concept is influenced by the capacity of a facility. For example, it has been determined that an 18 hole golf course can handle 108.26 golfing parties per day of 3 people per party (Table 5).

$$
\text { e. Standards ( } n \text { ). }
$$

Standards are guides which transform number of users into number of facilities. Determining the demand for outdoor recreational
facilities is the major objective of this paper. Determining activity levels in outdoor recreation is a minor objective. There is a major factor which influences standards.

> "A factor included in the standard is a measure of area involved. This simply refers to the area needed for the activity to take place considering comfort levels, the physical carrying capacity of the resource and required infrastructures such as roads, parking areas and washrooms" (Souris River Basin Study Board, 1978:II-13).

This factor allows 'need' comparisons to be made with resources available in a constant unit measure (Souris River Basin Study Board, 1978:II-13).

The Study Board set their standards according to established standards set by agencies responsible for areas which are geographically and demographically similar to Manitoba.

## 3. Determining Recreation Supply

The supply of facilities for the selected outdoor recreational activities is the second part of the equation for determining 'need'.
A. Listing the Inventory.-The majority of the inventory or supply of facilities is listed in four forms.
(1) Rural Municipalities and Local Government Districts.The first form is a provincial listing by rural municipalities and local government districts. Some of the facilities inventory information lends itself to a detailed presentation by community within the rural municipality or local government district. For a listing of rural municipalities and local government districts the reader is referred to Appendix C. Maps of the rural municipalities and local government districts are in Appendix D. The purpose for supplying
the detailed inventory by community and/or municipalities is threefold.
a. Locating facilities.

The first purpose is to allow the reader to readily locate specific sites by community within municipalities.
b. Specific data.

The second purpose is to allow the reader to obtain detailed information with regards to an outdoor recreational facility. The listing is meant to be a source of information for the reader. c. Regional formulations.

The third purpose is to enable the reader to arrange the supply of information according to any regional formation with ease. All that is necessary is a list of communities and/or municipalities within a new regional breakdown and a tally of facilities which fall within the new regions.
(2) Urban Inventory. - Some of the urban outdoor recreational facilities inventory information, when available, comprises the second form of listing the inventory. This portion of supply is very important especially when one considers that this supply services more than half of the population of the province. The urban listings of facilities are also located in Appendix $G$ of this paper as is their rural counterparts.
(3) Natural Regions.-The third form of listing the facilities inventory is by the natural regions which are discussed above. The main purpose for supplying the inventory information in this form is to facilitate regional analysis of the data which is in itself a purpose of this thesis. Most of the detailed information by natural regions is also available in the Appendix portion of this thesis. For a listing
of the rural municipalities and local government districts by natural regions, the reader is referred to Appendix E. For a map of the natural regions the reader is referred to Figure 6 above.
(4) Provincial Summaries.- The fourth major form of presenting the outdoor recreational facilities inventory is a provincial summary. The provincial summaries are broken down by natural regions. All of these tables are located within the text.

The only form of presentation of facilities which does not fall into one of the above three categories is that of Parks Branch regional listings. The listings associated with picnicking and camping facilities and those associated with size of provincial parks are presented by the Parks Branch regional breakdown along with the above three forms of presentation. These tables will only be presented in the Appendix portion of this thesis. The major function of these listings is to assist park planners in locating facilities according to the Parks Branch regions.
B. Source of Supply Information.--The supply information with regards to outdoor recreational facilities has various sources.
(1) Rural Information.--The facilities for outdoor recreation associated with the rural portion of the province are derived from a number of sources. They are:

Canada. Department of Indian and Northern Affairs. Parks Canada. 1976. Cross-country Skiing and Snowshoeing Riding Mountain National Park. INA Publication No. QA-R049-000-BB-A1. Ottawa: Queen's Printer.

Canada. Department of Indian and Northerm Affairs. Parks Canada. 1978. Trail Guide - Riding Mountain National Park. INA Publication No. QA-RO78-OOO-EF-AI. Ottawa:

Canada. Department of Indian and Northern Affairs. Parks Canada. 1979. "Campground and Picnic Area Statistics". (unpublished data). Winnipeg: Parks Canada.

Manitoba. Department of Economic Development and Tourism. 1971. "Facilities Inventory". (Computer printout). Winnipeg: Manitoba Bureau of Statistics.

Manitoba. Department of Mines, Natural Resources and the Environment. Parks Branch. 1979. "Manitoba Trails Guide". (Unpublished manuscript compiled by W. M. Nanka, April 1976, updated by F. A. Merkl, April 1979). Winnipeg: Parks Branch.

Manitoba. Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. Tourist Branch. 1979. 1979-1980 Manitoba Vacation Guide. Winnipeg: Queen's Printer.
(2) Urban Information.--The major sources for the urban sector of outdoor recreational facilities are:

Manitoba. Department of Economic Development and Tourism. 1971. "Facilities Inventory". (Computer printout). Winnipeg: Manitoba Bureau of Statistics.

Manitoba. Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. Tourist Branch. 1979. 1979-1980 Manitoba Vacation Guide. Winnipeg: Queen's Printer.
(3) Parks Branch Information.-Much of the Parks Branch information related to outdoor recreational facilities is in the form of unpublished material. The major source of published statistics is:

Manitoba. Department of Mines, Natural Resources and the Environment. Parks Branch. 1979. Manitoba Parks Statistics 1978. Winnipeg: Queen's Printer.

This manual is published on a very limited basis and is available for reference purposes only at the Parks Branch.

For unpublished material the main source is:
Manitoba. Department of Mines, Natural Resources and the EnVironment. Parks Branch. 1979. "Parklands Compilation". (An unpublished dossier intended for general references only). Winnipeg: Parks Branch.
C. Updating the Inventory.-In most instances the above sources of facility information had to be updated to current levels. Updating the information on the supply of outdoor recreational facilities took the following form.
(1) Rural Supply.-Updates for the rural portion of the supply were received from:
a. Department of Tourism and Cultural Affairs.

The staff responsible for updating the Manitoba Vacation Guide provided much information with regard to deletions and additions of recreational facilities.
b. Municipal Offices.

When in question, the information pertaining to facilities was clarified with staff in the municipal offices.
c. Department of Cultural Affairs and Historical Resources. Additions and deletions with regards to historical sites and museums in the province were supplied by the Historic Resources Branch.
d. Parks Canada.

The public relations personnel of the Department of Indian and Northern Affairs, Parks Canada supplied further information in the form of pamphlets and in telephone conversations which helped to update data on outdoor recreational facilities within Riding Mountain National Park.
(2) Urban Supply.-The urban supply data were updated with the help of information supplied by:
a. Department of Tourism and Cultural Affairs.

The 1980/81 Manitoba Vacation Guide was in the process of being updated at the time the facility information was being collected for
this thesis. The use of their pre-publication data sheets proved invaluable in updating the outdoor recreational facilities inventory.
b. City of Winnipeg.

The Parks and Recreation Department of the City of Winnipeg was another source of update information. The unpublished "Inventory and Analysis Sheets and Summary Sheets" were a source for added information and changes with regard to facilities within the city's parkland.
c. Department of Cultural Affairs and Historical Resources. The Historic Resources Branch provided information which updated the historical sites and museums data for the City of Winnipeg.
(3) Provincial Parks Branch.--Where necessary, the data on outdoor recreational facilities within the provincial parks were updated from:
a. Facilities inventory update sheets.

The facilities inventory update sheets are sent out to each provincial campground office on an annual basis. The information on these sheets was used to update the Manitoba Parks Statistics manual for the 1979/80 fiscal year.
b. Regional managers.

Statistics with regard to facilities at provincial wayside parks was not available in the Manitoba Parks Statistics manual. The regional managers of the Parks Branch submitted information concerning numbers, sizes and locations of the wayside parks, new and old, and also listed the facilities located at each site. When necessary, data were confirmed with the field staff (park rangers) via telephone.
c. Parks Branch personnel.

Many people within the main office and the regional offices of the Provincial Parks Branch of the new department of Natural Resources provided information which allowed the information on facilities to be further updated.
D. Calculations for Determining Supply.-The calculations for determining the supply of outdoor recreational facilities are basically very simple. In most cases the statistics generated from the inventory were in a form which related directly to 'volume of resources'. A few facilities had to be transformed by the 'standards' discussed above.
4. Determining Recreation Need

Recreation 'need' is determined by subtracting the demand from the effective supply in order to derive a surplus or deficit of outdoor recreational facilities in the province. A (S) before the 'need' figure represents a surplus of supply over demand or in effect no 'need'; (D) indicates that the demand for the facility exceeds the supply and therefore, represents a deficit.

## CHAPTER FOUR

DATA ANALYSIS

The data analysis portion of this thesis will examine the results of the survey sample. It will also examine the results of determining supply and demand, and ultimately the 'need' for outdoor recreational facilities.

## 1. Survey Sampling Results

As a result of the sampling technique used, there were 2,089 telephone questionnaires completed from the possible 3,174 telephone numbers selected. Information with regard to the actual number of calls placed is not known. These questionnaires represent $0.19 \%$ of the population. There were 206 questionnaires which did not have a telephone exchange code in the allotted space or if they did, it was a code which did not match up with a currently used exchange code. Because the exchange code on these 206 questionnaires did not match the list used in Appendix B, the questionnaires could only be used in determining provincial totals. Of the remaining 1,883 questionnaires, there were 926 associated with urban (city of Winnipeg) telephone exchange codes and 957 with rural exchange codes. This represented an urban-rural split of 49.2 - $50.8 \%$ which is reasonably close to the actual split of $55.2-44.8 \%$. The difference between the received and the actual split is only $6 \%$. For the purpose of this study, this difference will be considered negligible.

The percentage of the total population within each region is very close to the percentage of the total sample within the region (Table 6). But it is questionable whether or not the regional samples taken are significant. For example, the Interlake region has a population of 15,050 and a $0.14 \%$ sample size of 21 questionnaires. The percent sample size is similar to the $0.19 \%$ obtained for the province but the actual number of questionnaires is much lower. The significance of the provincial sample is also questionable. This is one of the major limitations of the data which is discussed in Chapter Five.

## 2. Analysis of Demand (Participation)

The data and data analysis for this portion of the thesis is presented in Appendix $F$ in order to keep the factual presentation from obscuring the text.

## 3. Analysis of Supply (Inventory)

As with the analysis of demand (participation) the analysis of supply (inventory) is presented in appendix form (Appendix G).

## 4. Analysis of Need

The amount of resources needed is the difference between the amount supplied and the amount demanded. The portion of this thesis that deals with the resources demanded and supplied at the various levels is presented in summarized form in Appendix H, thus restricting this section for the presentation and analysis of the resources needed in the province.

Resources Needed.-As pointed out above, the amount of resources needed is the difference between the amount supplied and the amount

TABLE 6
REGIONAL SURVEY SAMPLE

| Regions | Population ${ }^{1}$ | \% Of Total <br> Population | Sample | \% Of Tota1 <br> Sample | \% Sample <br> Of Population |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winnipeg | 756,447 | 68.8 | 1262 | 67.0 | 0.17 |
| Winkler | 55,896 | 5.1 | 98 | 5.2 | 0.18 |
| Brandon | 119,739 | 10.9 | 269 | 14.3 | 0.22 |
| Dauphin | 58,971 | 5.3 | 111 | 5.9 | 0.19 |
| Interlake | 15,050 | 1.4 | 21 | 1.1 | 0.14 |
| The Pas | 23,837 | 2.2 | 43 | 2.3 | 0.18 |
| Northern | 68,964 | 6.3 | 79 | 4.2 | 0.11 |
| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUB-TOTAL: | $1,098,904$ | 100.0 | $1883^{*}$ | 100.0 | $0.17 *$ |
| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL: | $1,098,904$ | 100.0 | 2089 | 100.0 | 0.19 |

*These figures do not include the 206 questionnaires which have missing telephone exchange codes.
$1_{\text {Manitoba. Department of Health and Community Services. Manitoba }}$ Health Services Commission. 1979. "Manitoba Population Statistics - June 1979". (Unpublished data sheets). Winnipeg: Manitoba Health Services Commission.
demanded. Table 7 shows all three amounts. A ( S ) sign in the need colum indicates that there is an excess of supply in terms of outdoor recreational facilities by the amount indicated. A (D) sign indicates a deficit.
(1) Camping. - On the whole, for the province of Manitoba, there is à need for an additional 6,229 campsites (Table 7). There are no urban camping facilities. The camping facilities inventory is totally rural (Table 8).

On a regional basis, the Winnipeg region's supply of campsites does not meet the demand by 7,279 campsites (Table 9). This figure is higher than the provincial total demand mainly because the Winkler, Brandon, Dauphin, and Interlake regions combined are oversupplied by 2,775 campsites. The Pas and Northern regions are short by a total of 1,723 campsites (Table 9).
(2) Picnicking. -The province is short of picnicking facilities by the amount of 228 picnic tables (Table 7). The amount of facilities supplied by the urban sector is not available, therefore, any discussion is applicable to only the rural sector (Table 8).

Deficits in picnicking facilities are associated with the Winnipeg, Winkler, Northern and The Pas regions (Table 9). The Northern and Winnipeg regions have the greatest deficits with a shortage of 340 and 295 picnic tables respectively. The Brandon region is oversupplied by 405 tables.
(3) Visiting Historic Sites.-Historic sites and museums in the province are generally in abundance except for the urban sector which is undersupplied by ten museums. The Brandon and Northern regions are also undersupplied by four historic sites and two museums respectively.

CURRENT NEED OF RECREATIONAL FACILITIES FOR MANITOBA (TOTAL SUPPLY - TOTAL DEMAND)

| Activity | Demand ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | Supply ${ }^{2}$ | Need |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Camping <br> Picnicking <br> Visiting Historic Sites <br> Driving for Pleasure Walking or Hiking <br> Back Packing <br> Bicycling <br> Horseback Riding <br> Swimming <br> Fishing <br> Hunting <br> Sailing <br> Canoeing <br> Power Boating <br> Water Skiing <br> Cross-country Skiing <br> Snowshoeing | ```22,347 Sites 6,153 Sites 52 Historic Sites 52 Museums 1,150 km. 425 km. 146 km. .112 km. 565 km. 8,226 metres 24,219 ha. 12,022 ha. 3,009 ha. 2,957 km. 25,137 ha. 15,714 ha. 2,179 km. 308 km.``` | ```16,118 Sites 5,925 Sites 91 Historic Sites 105 Museums 4;151 km. 583 km. 200 km. N/A 684 km. 30,996 metres N/A N/A N/A 10,005 km. N/A N/A 443 km. 59 km.``` | D 6,229 Sites <br> D 228 Sites <br> S 39 Historic Sites <br> S 53 Museums <br> S $3,001 \mathrm{~km}$. <br> S 158 km. <br> S 54 km. <br>  $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ <br> S 119 km. <br> S $22,770 \mathrm{metres}$ <br>  $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ <br>  $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ <br>  $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ <br> S $7,049 \mathrm{~km}$. <br>  $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ <br>  $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ <br> D $1,736 \mathrm{~km}$. <br> D 249 km. |


| Activity | Demand | Supply ${ }^{2}$ | Need |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Downhill Skiing <br> Snowsledding-Tobogganing <br> Outdoor Ice Skating <br> Snowmobiling <br> Trail Biking <br> Cross-country Biking <br> Off-road Four Wheel Driving <br> Golfing <br> Tennis <br> Cottaging <br> Visiting Provincial Parks | $\begin{aligned} & 136 \text { Runs } \\ & 261 \text { Runs } \\ & 202 \text { Rinks } \\ & 8,280 \mathrm{~km} . \\ & 119 \mathrm{~km} . \\ & 792 \mathrm{ha} . \\ & 730 \text { ha. } \\ & 1,519 \text { Holes } \\ & 389 \text { Courts } \\ & 3,725 \text { Cottages } \\ & \text { Unknown } \end{aligned}$ | 101 Runs 21 Runs 515 Rinks 932 km. N/A N/A N/A 1,008 Holes 415 Courts 18,061 Cottages $1,325,496$ ha. | D 35 Runs <br> D 240 Runs <br> S 313 Rinks <br> D $7,348 \mathrm{~km}$. <br>  N/A <br>  N/A <br>  N/A <br> D 511 Holes <br> S 26 Courts <br> S 14,336 Cottages <br>  Unknown |

D: Deficit.
S: Surplus.
Source: 1. Table 83.
2. Table 86 .

TABLE 8
CURRENT NEED OF RESOURCES PER ACTIVITY (RURAL-URBAN BREAKDOWN)

| Activity |  | Resources Needed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Provincial ${ }^{1}$ | Urban ${ }^{2}$ | Rura ${ }^{2}$ |
| Camping | (Sites) | D | 6,229 | N/A | D 6,229 |
| Picnicking | (Sites) | D | 228 | Unknown | D 228 |
| Visiting Historic ( Sites | (Historic Sites) (Museums) | S | 39 53 | D $\begin{array}{rr} \\ & 0 \\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|ll} S & 39 \\ S & 63 \end{array}$ |
| Driving for Pleasure | (km.) | S | 3,001 | Unknown | S. 3,001 |
| Walking or Hiking | (km.) | S | 158 | Unknown | S. 158 |
| Back Packing | (km.) | S | 128 | N/A | S 128 |
| Bicycling | (km.) |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Horseback Riding | (km.) | S | 119 | Unknown | S $\quad 119$ |
| Swimming (me | metres of beach) | S | 22,7.70 | N/A | S - 22,770 |
| Fishing | (ha.) |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Hunting | (ha.) |  | $N / A$ | N/A | N/A |
| Sailing | (ha.) |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Canoeing | (km.) | S | 7,049 | Unknown | S 7,049 |
| Power Boating | (ha.) |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Water Skiing | (ha.) |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Cross-country Skiing | (km.) | D | 1,736 | Unknown | D 1,736 |
| Snowshoeing | (km.) | D | 249 | Unknown | D 249 |

S: Surplus.

| Activity |  | Resources Needed |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Provincial ${ }^{1}$ |  | Urban ${ }^{2}$ | Rural ${ }^{2}$ |
| Downhill Skiing | (Runs) | D | 35 |  | N/A | D. 35 |
| Snows ledding-Tobogganing | (Runs) | D | 240 | D | 136 | D 104 |
| Outdoor Ice Skating ' | (rinks) | S | 313 | S | 178 | S 134 |
| Snowmobiling | (km.) | D | 7,348 |  | N/A | D 7,348 |
| Trail Biking | (km.) |  | N/A |  | $N / A$ | N/A |
| Cross-country Biking | (ha.) |  | N/A |  | N/A | N/A |
| Off-road Four Wheel Driving | (ha.) |  | N/A |  | N/A | N/A |
| Golfing | (holes) | D | 511 | D | 616 | S 105 |
| Tennis | (courts) | S | 26 | S | 133 | D 107 |
| Cottaging | ) | S | 14,336 |  | N/A | S 14,336 |
| Visiting Provincial Parks | (ha.) |  | Unknown |  | Unknown | Unknown |

D: Deficit.
S: Surplus.
Source: 1. Table 7.
2. Table 87 Minus Table 84.

TABLE 9
CURRENT NEED OF RESOURCES PER ACTIVITY (REGIONAL BREAKDOWN)



D: Deficit.
S: Surplus.
Source: Table 88 Minus Table 85.
(4) Driving for Pleasure.-There are a total of 3,000 kilometers of designated driving tours in the province which are surplus (Table 7). The rural portion makes up the majority of the inventory (Table 8). Every region is in a state of oversupply with the Brandon and Winnipeg regions having the most excess (Table 9).
(5) Walking or Hiking.-The inventory of walking trails within the city of Winnipeg is not known but in the province there is an oversupply of walking and hiking trails by 158 kilometers. The Brandon, Dauphin and Interlake regions exhibit surpluses totalling more than 330 kilometers. The remaining regions exhibit a deficit totalling more than 175 kilometers. The Dauphin region has the highest number of kilometers of trails in oversupply. The Winnipeg region has the most need for additional trails (Table 9).
(6) Back Packing.-There is an excess of 127.91 kilometers over that of demand of back packing or long hiking trails (over 20 km . in length) (Table 7). The supply is totally within the rural sector and the oversupply is associated mainly with the Riding Mountain National Park area. The Brandon and Dauphin regions reflect this fact in their combined total of oversupply of almost 170 kilometers. The Winnipeg region exhibits a deficit of 34 kilometers, the highest deficit (Table 9).
(7) Bicycling. -The current need for bicycle paths, routes or trails could not be calculated because the supply of such was not available. Only the demand figures are available as they were determined from the questionnaire.
(8) Horseback Riding.-Horseback riding is strictly a rural based activity with a surplus of trails in the province of almost 120
kilometers (Table 7). Even though this surplus exists, it is localized in the Brandon and Dauphin regions. All the other regions show a deficit with the Winnipeg region needing more than 130 kilometers of horseback riding trails (Table 9).
(9) Swimming.-There is in the province of Manitoba an overabundance of serviced beaches according to the factors used to determine supply and demand. There exists a surplus in every region except the Northern region where they are short of less than a 100 metres of serviced beaches.
(10) Fishing.--As mentioned above, the supply of fishing waters in the province is difficult to inventory and as a result the current need for fishing waters could not be calculated.
(11) Hunting.-An inventory on hunting lands in the province was not readily available, therefore, the 'need' for hunting lands could not be obtained.
(12) Sailing.--The inventory on sailing waters was not available and as a result the current need for sailing waters was not assessed.
(13) Canoeing.-Canoeing is the only water-based activity aside from swimming for which an inventory was obtained. Most of the waterbased activities are concerned with the square area of water which is difficult to assess whereas canoeing is concerned with the length of designated canoe routes which are more readily obtainable.

There appears to be an excess of canoe routes in the province (Table 7). The urban portion of the canoe routes is incorporated with the rural inventory (Table 8). The greatest excess is located in the Northern region which has $85 \%$ of the oversupply (Table 9). The Pas and Winnipeg regions are second and third with $8.8 \%$ and $7.2 \%$ respectively.

The deficits associated with the Dauphin and Winkler regions are negligible. The demand for these facilities is low (Table 85).
(14) Power Boating.--Power boating is one of the water-based activities for which the area of water has to be known in order to be inventoried and used in a 'need' analysis. Because the area of boating waters is unknown, a 'need' analysis is impossible.
(15) Water Skiing.-The hectares of water skiing waters is not known, therefore, a 'need' analysis can not be undertaken.
(16) Cross-country Skiing.-According to the 'demand' and 'supply' calculations there is a total provincial 'need' for an additional 1,736 kilometers of designated cross-country ski trails (Table 7). There is a generous supply of trails in the urban sector which has not been inventoried and would affect the need for rural supplies of trails in the Winnipeg region close to the city of Winnipeg (Table 8).

The Winnipeg region shows a deficit of almost 1,400 kilometers of cross-country ski trails (Table 9). That is more than three times as much as the current supply in the whole province. The amount of urban inventory may reduce the 'need' figure somewhat but probably not to the 'no need' level. The Winkler and Northern regions each show a 'need' of just over a hundred kilometers of trails each (Table 9). The Dauphin region is the only region which has a surplus but it is not of a great amount.
(17) Snowshoeing.-There is a need for almost 250 kilometers of designated snowshoeing trails in the province of Manitoba (Table 7). There are no known trails within the defined urban sector (Table 8). Most of the deficit is associated with the Winnipeg region ( $75.9 \%$ ) (Table 9). The second most deficient region is the Northern region
which shows a deficit of 61 kilometers or $24.7 \%$ of the total provincial deficit.
(18) Downhill Skiing. - In the province of Manitoba there is a deficit of 35 ski runs (Table 7). There are no substantial downhill ski runs in the urban sector (Table 8). The Winnipeg region exhibits the greatest deficit which is almost 4 times as great as the deficit for the whole province. This stems from the fact that the Winkler, Brandon, Dauphin and The Pas regions all show a surplus which in effect lessens the severity of the provincial deficit.
(19) Snowsledding-Tobogganing.-There is a demand for 261 tobogganing runs but there are only 21 designated runs supplied (Table 7). The runs are supplied by the city of Winnipeg and are man-made tobogganing tracks which take up very little land as opposed to the open area sledding associated with natural runs. There exists a need for an additional 240 runs in the province.
(20) Outdoor Ice Skating.-As far as outdoor ice skating rinks are concerned, there appears to be a surplus. The urban sector has $57 \%$ of the total provincial surplus (Table 8). The Winnipeg region has the most surplus of all the regions with $69.5 \%$ of the total excess (Table 9). None of the regions show a deficit.
(21) Snowmobiling.--According to the demand for snowmobiling facilities and the supply of same, there is a need for an additional 7,350 kilometers of designated snowmobile trails (Table 7). That is to say, there would be a need for these trails if one was assuming that the demand was in fact related to designated trails. Much of the current use takes place in areas other than those designated. If snowmobile use was banded to only designated trails then it would be
safe to say that such a 'need' existed. The figures may not show actual 'need' but they can be used to show where the most need exists in regional comparisons.

Almost $50 \%$ of the total deficit is associated with the Winnipeg region, $17 \%$ with the Brandon region, and $11 \%$ with the Winkler region (Table 9). All regions show a deficit.
(22) Trail Biking.--The inventory on trail biking facilities was not available, therefore, the 'need' could not be calculated (Table 7). If one assumes that there are no trail bike trails in the province then the demand figures as calculated from the telephone survey and the participation rate factors would apply as the 'need' figures. In that situation there would be 119 kilometers of trails needed in the province with $84.3 \%$ of the trails needed in the Winnipeg region (Table 85).
(23) Cross-country Biking.-As in trail hiking, the inventory is not available. Based on participation in non-designated areas there is a need for almost 800 hectares of cross-country biking areas in the province (Table 7). The need is split $60 / 40$ between the Winnipeg and Northern regions respectively.
(24) Off-road Four Wheel Driving.-Only the demand figures are known for this activity. If there is no 'supply' then the 'demand' becomes the 'need'. Based on this assumption there is a 'need' for 730 hectares of land for off-road four wheel driving. The Dauphin, Northern and Winnipeg regions are the only regions which show a 'need' (demand). The 'need' shown is $51.7 \%, 37.8 \%$, and $10.5 \%$ of the total provincial 'need' respectively.
(25) Golfing.--For the outdoor recreational activity of golfing there is a need of an additional 511 holes in the province (Table 7).

The problem is worsened when one realizes that there exists a surplus in the rural sector thus leaving the urban sector with a deficit which is greater than the provincial deficit.

The Winnipeg regional deficit is 565 golfing holes. The Northern region is short of almost 200 holes whereas the Brandon, Winkler and Dauphin regions are oversupplied by a combined total of 275 holes.
(26) Tennis.-On a provincial total basis there is a surplus of tennis courts but this surplus is associated with only the rural sector. The urban sector is short of 133 tennis courts (Table 8). There are many surplus tennis courts in the Winnipeg region outside the city of Winnipeg because the region ends up with a surplus of 24 courts even with the urban deficit of 133 courts. The Winkler and Northern regions are each short 20 courts and the Brandon region has an excess of 34 courts.
(27) Cottaging. -It appears that there is a surplus of over 14,000 cottages in the province of Manitoba. The participation rate factors as pertaining to cottaging in Table 5 must not be accurate. There could not possibly be an oversupply of that magnitude.

On a regional basis there is a surplus in all regions except for the Northern region. They are only short of approximately 7 cottages. The Winnipeg region has $74 \%$ of the surplus using the factors listed in Table 15. The Brandon, The Pas, Interlake and Dauphin regions have $9.6 \%, 6.5 \% 5.0 \%$ and $4.4 \%$ of the provincial surplus.
(28) Visiting Provincial Parks.--In the case of visiting provincial parks or for that matter, any park, there are no clear cut standards and participation rate factors which could be used to establish the amount of land needed to satisfy the demand. The amount of
supply or inventory is known but the demand is not. What is known is that there are some 642 thousand participants who visit provincial parks at an average rate of 6.8 times per year for a total of 4.3 million person visits or participant days per year (Table 84). On the supply side there are over 1.3 million hectares of urban, provincial and federal parkland (Tables 78 and 81). The problem lies in relating this supply with the demand in order to calculate the need for more or less parkland.

Priorities can be set according to participation rates and by need. Table 10 ranks the outdoor activities according to participation by person visits and according to need by person visits. The current need figures were converted from a measurement of resources to one of person days or visits using the 'need table' (Table 7) and the participation rate factors of average party size, turnover rate, and standards (Table 5). For example, camping shows a need for an additional 6,229 campsites (Table 7). This figure is converted to person visits by multiplying it by the average party size (3.5), the turnover rate ( 0.5 ) and the standard (1) as determined from Table 5 resulting in the demand for campsites being greater than the supply by over 10,900 person visits.

Bicycling would be at the top of the 'need' list if there were no facilities. Snowmobiling would be second only if the users snowmobiled on designated trails. This high ranking is probably largely due to the pursuit of snowmobiling on farms, and general open space areas. The next activity on the 'need' list is cross-country skiing. As suggested earlier, the main causes for the high deficit are the lack of information from the urban sector, the high number of urban parti-
cipants [58.9\% of the provincial total, (Table 27)], and the high 'need', associated with the Winnipeg region [ $80.29 \%$ of the province's total 'need', (Table 9)]. The point is that there are many urban participants and the urban supply of cross-country ski trails has not been inventoried.

Fishing is fourth on the need list but once again, the need was calculated without the inventory information. Snowsleddingtobogganing, power boating and water skiing have either an inadequate inventory or no inventory of facilities (Table 10).

Camping is the first activity on the 'need' list which has a fairly accurate inventory. There is a deficit of more than 10,000 person visits or in terms of facilities, there is a deficit of more than 6,000 campsites.

Golfing is the second activity on the 'need' list which also has a more or less accurate inventory. There is a deficit of more than 9,000 person visits or an equivalent of over 500 holes. Golfing is thirteenth on the participation list whereas camping is in seventh place (Table 10). Golfing is therefore second to camping, both in terms of 'need' and in the number of participants.

Downill skiing and snowshoeing are the next activities on the 'need' list which have a complete inventory. They both show fewer participant days than camping or golfing. But picnicking which has almost half the deficit in terms of person visits than downhill skiing or snowshoeing has almost 5 times as many participants in terms of person visits (Table 10). As a result it is suggested that the deficit in picnicking have a higher priority than either downill skiing or snowshoeing•

TABLE 10
ACTIVITIES RANKED ACCORDING TO PARTICIPATION AND NEEDS

| Participation |  | Need |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | Person Visits ${ }^{1}$ | Activity | Person Visits |
| Walking or Hiking | 8,868,320 | *Bicycling | D 37,382 |
| Driving for Pleasure | 6,310,258 | Snowmobiling | D 36,738 |
| Swimming | 5,034.442 | Cross-country Skiing | D 34,714 |
| Visiting Provincial Parks | 4,374,137 | *Fishing | D 29,900 |
| Bicycling | 3,796,335 | SnowsleddingTobogganing | D 26,056 |
| Picnicking | 2,482,193 | *Power Boating | D 15,516 |
| Camping | 2,416.792 | *Water Skiing | D 11,640 |
| Fishing | 2,352,145 | Camping | D 10,900 |
| Outdoor Ice Skating | 2,271,725 | *Hunting | D 9,618 |
| Snowmobiling | 2,152,674 | Golfing | D 9,227 |
| Cottaging | 2,044,377 | Downhill Skiing | D 2,802 |
| Cross-country Skiing | 2,039,238 | Snowshoeing | D 2,489 |
| Gol fing | 1,986.115 | *Sailing | D 2,467 |
| SnowsleddingTobogganing | 1,354,920 | Picnicking | D 1,423 |
| Tennis | 1,353,653 | *Trail Biking | D 1,191 |
| Power Boating | 958,912 | *Cross-country Biking | D 633 |
| Canoeing | 913,558 | *Off-road Four Wheel Driving | D 584 |
| Visiting Historic Sites | 854,213 | Horseback Riding | S 1,189 |
| Hunting | 756,595 | Tennis | S 1,235 |
| Horseback Riding | 573,896 | Back Packing | S 2,558 |
| Downhill Skiing | 509,924 | Visiting Historic Sites | S. 12,503 |
| Water Skiing | 488,878 | Canoeing | S 35,243 |
| Back Packing | 190,243 | Walking or Hiking | S 50,636 |
| Sailing | 152,433 | Cottaging | S 57,344 |
| Snowshoeing | 144,064 | Outdoor Ice Skating | s 75,062 |

*Need based on 'No Supply' or 'Supply Not Inventoried'.
D: Deficit. S: Surplus.

TABLE 10 - Continued

| Participation |  | Need |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Activity | Person Visits | Activity |  |
| Trail Biking | 121,003 | Driving forson Vieasure | Sits |
| Cross-country Biking | 64,308 | Swimming | S |
| Off-road Four Wheel | 59,341 | Visiting Provincial | Unknown |
| Driving |  |  |  |

D: Deficit.
$S$ : Surplus.
${ }^{1}$ Source: Table 22.

The remaining activities having a complete inventory fall within the surplus portion of the 'need' list.

## 5. Projection Analysis

This section of the thesis deals with projecting the need for outdoor recreational facilities based upon the demand and supply projections in Appendix I.

Need Projections.- One approach to projecting the need for outdoor recreational facilities is to examine the projected demand in light of current supply. Table 11 subtracts each of the projected demand figures from the current supply for each activity. This in effect will reveal the amount of facilities needed in future years based upon current supply and upon the demand figures increasing proportionately with increasing population levels. Based upon the formula used to project the 'demand' and 'supply' figures; the population levels for the projected years for the province of Manitoba would be:

| $1980: 1,142,860$ |  | (1979: 1,098,904-Manitoba Health <br> Services Commission. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1990: 1,759,380$ | Projections Calculated as in <br> Table 90). |  |
| $2030: 4,032,566$ |  |  |

In order to calculate the amount of projected 'need' for any region and for any activity, all that is necessary is to compute the regional percentage of the total current 'need' using Table 9. The resulting percentage is used as the regional percentage of the total projected need and applied to Table 11. This in turn will reveal the amount of 'need' for a particular region for a particular activity.

The 'need' for outdoor recreational facilities can also be projected along the lines of person visits (Table 12). There is a deficit in most activities by 1990. Considering the lack of accurateness in

## TABLE 11

PROVINCIAL NEED PROJECTIONS ${ }^{1}$


D: Deficit. S: Surplus.

TABLE 11 - Continued

| Activity | 1979 | 1980 | 1990 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Golfing (holes) | D 511 | D 572 | D 1,425 | D 4,568 |
| Tennis (courts) | S 26 | S 10 | D 208 | D. 1,014 |
| Cottaging (cottages) | S 4,336 | S 14,187 | D12,097 | S 4,391 |
| Visiting Provincial (ha.) Parks | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

${ }^{1}$ Calculated as follows: Effective Supply from Table 7. minus the projected demand from Table 10.
*Assuming current supply is Nil. Figures presented are the projected demand figures.
D: Deficit.
S: Surplus.

TABLE 12
PROJECTION OF NEED BY PERSON VISITS/ACTIVITY

| Activity | 1979 | 1980 | 1990 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *Bicycling | - 37,382 | - 38,878 | - 59,851 |  |
| Snowmobiling | - 36,738 | - 38,393 | - 61,619 | $-147,254$ |
| Cross-country Skiing | - 34,714 | - 36,456 | - 60,903 | 38 |
| *Fishing | - 29,900 | - 31,096 | - 47,871 | 109,722 |
| Snowsledding-Tobogganing | - 26,056 | - 27,098 | - 41,716 | - 95,616 |
| *Power Boating | - 15,516 | - 16,137 | - 24,842 | - 56,939 |
| *Water Skiing | - 11,640 | - 12,106 | - 18,636 | - 42,714 |
| Camping | - 10,900 | - 12,465 | - 34,405 | -115,300 |
| *Hunting | - 9,618 | - 10,002 | - 15,398 | - 35,293 |
| Golfing | - 9,227 | - 10,324 | - 25,705 | - 82,417 |
| Downhill Skiing | - 2,802 | - 3,237 | - 9,342 | - 31,852 |
| Snowshoeing | - 2,489 | - 2,612 | - 4,339 | - 10,707 |
| *Sailing | - 2,467 | - 2,565 | - 3,949 | - 9,051 |
| Picnicking | - 1,423 | - 2,938 | - 24,308 | -103,047 |
| *Trail Biking | - 1,191 | - 1,239 | - 1,908 | - 4,372 |
| *Cross-country Biking | - 633 | - 659 | - 1,014 | - 2,324 |
| *Off-road Four Wheel Driving | - 584 | - 608 | - 936 | - 2,144 |
| Horseback Riding | + 1,189 | + 963 | - 2,208 | - 13,897 |
| Tennis | + 1,235 | + 487 | - 9,996 | - 48,648 |
| Back Packing | + 2,558 | + 2,441 | + 805 | - 5,231 |
| Visiting Historic Sites | + 12,503. | + 11,838 | + 2,515 | - 31,862 . |
| Canoeing | + 35,243 | + 34,651 | + 26,358 | - 4,223 |
| Walking or Hiking | + 50,636 | + 45,196 | - 31,113 | -312,479 |
| Cottaging | + 57,344 | + 56,748 | + 48,388 | - 17,564 |
| Outdoor Ice Skating | + 75,062 | + 73,120 | + 45,887 | - 54,524 |
| Driving for Pleasure | +120,047 | +118,207 | + 92,403 | - 2,738 |
| Swimming | +298,623 | +294,307 | +233, 783 | + 10,621 |
| Visiting Provincial Parks | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |

-: Deficit. +: Surplus.
some of the standards and participation rate factors (i.e. cottaging), it is still safe to assume that there will be plenty of facilities by 1990 for the activities of canoeing, driving for pleasure and swimming. The surpluses associated with visiting historic sites, cottaging, and outdoor ice skating for the year 1990 are questionable. This question is dealt with in the data limitations section of this study.

## 6. Analysis of Latent Demand

As outlined above, 'latent demand' is demand which is present but not active. It is demand which has the 'potential' to develop into something active. Section ' $D$ ' of the questionnaire tries to deal with latent demand (Appendix A). The respondents of the survey were given an opportunity to mention activities they would participate in if the facilities were provided. More then $96 \%$ of the 2,089 respondents failed to give a response to the question. The majority of those that did respond indicated only one activity. Only a few indicated two activities. There were a total of 27 activities mentioned for a total of 66 times (Table 13). Tennis was mentioned 12 times with skating running second with only half that count. Jogging trails and playgrounds were mentioned by 5 respondents each. In total, the response rate was very poor and warrants little discussion.

## 7. Analysis of Additional Outdoor Activities

Section 'C' of the questionnaire allows the respondents to add to the list of activities of Section ' $A$ ' and offer frequency of participation information (Appendix A). Table 14 presents an urban-rural breakdown of participation rates and average annual frequency of participation for the additional activities mentioned by the respondents.

TABLE 13
ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES IF FACILITIES PROVIDED

| Activity Mentioned by Respondent | Number of Respondents |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural | Urban | Total |
| Badminton | - | 2 | 2 |
| Baseball | 1 | - | 1 |
| Bicycle (paths) | - | 1 | 1 |
| Canoeing (rentals) | 1 | - | 1 |
| Cross-country Skiing (trails) | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Curling | - | 1 | 1 |
| Dog Team Racing | - | 1 | 1 |
| Downhill Skiing | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Family Type Sports | - | 1 | 1 |
| Hang Gliding | - | 3 | 3 |
| Horseback Riding | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Horseshoes | - | 1 | 1 |
| Jogging (trails) | - | 5 | 5 |
| Lawn Bowling | - | 2 | 2 |
| Motor Biking (trails) | 1 | - | 1 |
| Playgrounds | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Sailing | - | 2 | 2 |
| Scuba Diving | - | 1 | 1 |
| Skating | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Sleigh Rides | - | 1 | 1 |
| Soccer | 2 | - | 2 |
| Swimming | - | 3 | 3 |
| Tennis | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| Volleyball | 1 | - | 1 |
| Walking (trails) | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Water Skiing | 1 | - | 1 |
| Winter Sports (Warming Shelters) | - | 1 | 1 |

DEMAND
PARTICIPATION AND FREQUENCY OF OTHER OUTDOOR ACTIVITIES

| Activity | Manitoba |  | Urban Mani toba |  | Rural Manitoba |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Participation Rate (\%) | Average Frequency | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Participation } \\ & \text { Rate (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Average Frequency | Participation Rate (\%) | Average Frequency |
| Baseball | 23.91 | 10.75 | 20.00 | 10.89 | 31.43 | 6.68 |
| Gardening | 19.57 | 3.47 | 11.58 | 8.18 | 34.29 | 1.46 |
| Broomball | 7.61 | 3.21 | 12.63 | 2.08 | 2.86 | 10.00 |
| Soccer | 6.52 | 16.33 | 8.42 | 11.00 | 1.43 | 30.00 |
| Jogging | 6.52 | 8.25 | 5.26 | 7.40 | 8.57 | 10.33 |
| Football | 4.89 | 12.22 | 5.26 | 18.00 | 4.29 | 6.67 |
| Lawn Bowling | 3.80 | 12.43 | 3.16 | 17.33 | 2.86 | NR |
| Hockey | 3.26 | 9.33 | 2.11 | 6.50 | 4.29 | 6.00 |
| Roller Skating | 2.17 | 8.75 | 3.16 | 11.67 | 1.43 | NR |
| Ice Fishing | 2.17 | 6.00 | 2.11 | 7.00 | 1.43 | 10.00 |
| Horseshoes | 1.63 | 16.67 | 1.05 | NR | 1.43 | 30.00 |
| Photography | 1.63 | 10.67 | 3.16 | 10.67 | - |  |
| Volleyball | 1.63 | 9.00 | 1.05 | NR | 1.43 | 15.00 |
| Hang Gliding | 1.63 | 3.33 | 3.16 | 3.33 | - | 15.00 |
| Badminton | 1.63 | 1.00 | - | NR | 2.86 | NR |
| Croquet | 1.09 | 18.00 | 1.05 | 6.00 | 2.86 | NR |
| Sky Diving | 1.09 | 14.00 | 1.05 | NR | - |  |
| Basketball | 1.09 | 12.50 | 1.05 | NR |  | - |
| Wind Surfing | 1.09 | 2.50 | 2.11 | 2.50 | - | - |

TABLE 14 - Continued

| Activity | Manitoba |  | Urban Manitoba |  | Rural Manitoba |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Participation Rate (\%) | Average <br> Frequency | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Participation } \\ & \text { Rate }(\%) \end{aligned}$ | Average Frequency | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Participation } \\ & \text { Rate }(\%) \end{aligned}$ | Average Frequency |
| Snorkling | 0.54 | 30.00 | 1.05 | 30.00 | - | - |
| Cricket | 0.54 | 25.00 | 1.05 | 25.00 | - | - |
| Lawn Darts | 0.54 | 15.00 | 1.05 | 15.00 | - | - |
| Festivals | 0.54 | 5.00 | 1.05 | 5.00 |  | - |
| Climbing | 0.54 | 3.00 | 1.05 | 3.00 | - |  |
| Scuba Diving | 0.54 | 3.00 | 1.05 | 3.00 |  |  |
| Auto Racing | 0.54 | NR | 1.05 | NR |  |  |
| Barbecuing | 0.54 | NR | 1.05 | NR |  |  |
| Bird Watching | 0.54 | NR | 1.05 | NR |  |  |
| Dog Sledding | 0.54 | NR | 1.05 | NR |  | - |
| Motorcycling | 0.54 | NR | 1.05 | NR |  | - |
| Nature Study | 0.54 | NR | 1.05 | NR | - | - |

NR - No Response.

Almost $93 \%$ of the survey respondents did not offer any additional activities. The participation rates are indicative of only the respondents who offered additional information. Of the 157 respondents who listed additional outdoor activities, almost $24 \%$ indicated that baseball was an activity which they pursued that was not included in Section 'A. of the questionnaire. Those that played baseball, did so at an average rate of $10.75 \%$ times per year. Thirty-one percent of the rural respondents indicated baseball as an additional activity as compared to only $20 \%$ for the urban respondents but the urban respondents participated 4 times a year more than the rural respondents.

Gardening was the second favorite outdoor activity which was not included in the outdoor recreational activities of Section ' $A$ ' of the questionnaire. Gardening was mentioned by $19.6 \%$ of the respondents at an average frequency rate of 3.5 times per year. Almost 3 times as many rural respondents pursue gardening as do urban respondents but they do so at an average frequency rate which is less than $18 \%$ of the urban rate.

Broomball was the third highest activity and was favoured by the urban respondents. The participation rates decrease rapidly and cannot be considered representative for the entire population. The participation rates are probably underestimated. It would be a safe assumption that if the additional activities mentioned by the 157 respondents were brought to the attention of the other 1,932 respondents, the participation rates would increase.

The frequency responses are accurate for the few people responding to the question but they do not reflect the frequencies of the participants who it is assumed failed for whatever reason to respond to the question.

## 8. Analysis of Facility Adequateness

Section ' $B$ ' of the questionnaire deals with the question of facility adequateness (Appendix A). The respondents were given a choice of adequate, inadequate and indifferent as responses to the facilities which they used. Table 92 presents a provincial and a rural-urban analysis of the responses. Table 93 contains a regional breakdown of the responses. There are only a few respondents from the Interlake region thus any inferences would be unwarranted.
A. Levels of Inadequateness.--Table 15 presents the different levels or categories of facility inadequateness according to the percentage of respondents indicating inadequate facilities. These levels will be used in the discussion of the adequacy of the facilities for each of the selected activities.

TABLE 15
LEVEELS OF FACILITY INADEQUATENESS

| Level | Percent <br> Inadequate | Level of Importance <br> or Concern |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 4 | $>30 \%$ | Major |
| 3 | $20 \%-30 \%$ | Intermediate |
| 2 | $10 \%-19 \%$ | Minor |
| 1 | $<\quad 10 \%$ | Negligible |

B. Facility Adeguateness per Activity.
(1) Camping.-Level three inadequacy is associated with the number and variety of camping areas, the number of campsites, and with the shower facilities at campgrounds for the provincial percentages (Table 92). Camping sanitary facilities are at the high end of level
two and camping information and area maps are at the low end of level two. Camping fees are level one.

The only facility in the rural-urban analysis that deviates from the provincial percentages is the sanitary facilities. The urban sector rates the sanitary facilities at a level 3 whereas the rural portion rate is at level 2.

On a region basis, the Winkler, Dauphin, Northern and The Pas regions are all at level 4 with regard to the number and variety of camping areas (Table 93). The Winnipeg region is at level three and the Brandon region at level two. Most of the regions are at level 3 with regard to the number of campsites and camping sanitary facilities. The Winnipeg and Winkler regions indicate level 4 concern and the Dauphin and Northern regions show a level 3 concern with regard to showering facilities. All other facilities associated with camping are generally at level 2 or lower for most of the regions.
(2) Picnicking.-There are no level four concerns in connection with picnicking facilities on a provincial or a rural-urban basis (Table 92). But there is a level three concern associated with the number of picnic tables and shelters. The rural and urban sectors do not differ much from the provincial trend.

On a regional basis there is a level 4 concern in the Dauphin and The Pas regions in connection with the number and variety of picnic areas and in the Dauphin and Northern regions for the number of picnic tables and shelters (Table 93). Almost one-half of the people in the Northern region that participate in picnicking feel that the number of picnic tables and shelters is inadequate.
(3) Visiting Historical Sites.-The facilities associated with visiting historical sites are considered to be at a level one concern right across the board (Tables 92 and 93).
(4) Driving for Pleasure.-There does not appear to be any concern with regard to the adequacy of the facilities associated with driving for pleasure.
(5) Walking or Hiking for Pleasure.-On a provincial and ruralurban basis, the concern for the facilities is minimal but on a regional basis, the Northern region has a level 3 concern associated with the adequacy of hiking trail information and maps and with lookout points and towers (Tables 92 and 93). The remaining regions are at a level 1 or 2 concern.
(6) Back Packing.-The responses which applied to walking and hiking also apply to back packing.
(7) Bicycling. .-The urban sector is more dissatisfied with bicycling facilities than is the rural sector but the variety of bicycle paths which is at the third level. This concern is also brought forth in the Winnipeg regional analysis. Only $14 \%$ of the Northern region's bicyclists that were surveyed felt that the bicycle path information and maps were adequate. The remaining bicyclists in the Northern region were evenly split between inadequate responses and responses of indifference (Tables 92 and 93).
(8) Horseback Riding.-The greatest concern associated with horseback riding is with the Northern region. The concern is with the number and variety of horseback riding trails and it is in the level 4 category. There is level 3 concern connected with both facilities
for the provincial, urban, and the Winnipeg region percentages of inadequacy (Tables 92 and 93).
(9) Beach Swimming.-Serviced beaches, swim changing facilities and beach supervision and safety measures are generally felt to be of the 3 rd level of inadequateness right across the board except for the Winkler and Northern regions where the level fluctuates between the 3rd and 4th categories (Tables 92 and 93). One other exception is the 4 th level concern recorded for the Dauphin region in regard to beach supervision and safety measures.
(10) Fishing.-Respondents seem to feel indifferent about the fishing facilities. The only exception is Winkler's 3rd level concern for marinas for fishing boats and equipment rentals.
(11) Hunting.-There were no facilities associated with hunting included in the questionnaire.
(12) Sailing.-Generally there seems to be little concern shown for the sailing facilities selected for the questionnaire. But because of the very low participation rates, one must use caution in analyzing the data. Inferential statements may be highly inaccurate.
(13) Canoeing.--The facilities listed for canoeing show negligible amounts of concerm about inadequacy among the canoeists (Tables 92 and 93).
(14) Power Boating.-Most the the people that participate in power boating feel that the facilities listed are adequate. One exception is the Dauphin region which shows that $50 \%$ of the participants feel that the launching ramps are inadequate (Table 93).
(15) Water Skiing.-The facilities for water skiing were in included with those associated with power boating. Therefore, the same remarks apply.
(16) Cross-country Skiing.-On a provincial basis, the number and variety of trails, trail information and maps, and warm-up facilities are at the low end of a level 3 concern (Table 92). The urban participants are more dissatisfied with the number and selection of trails and with the warm-up facilities than are the rural participants. The urban results are also reflected in the responses from the Winnipeg region (Table 93). The only fourth order response is in the Northern region and lies with the warm-up facilities.
(17) Snowshoeing.--The discussion that applies to cross-country skiing also applies for snowshoeing.
(18) Downill Skiing.-Almost half of the participants of downhill skiing feel that the number of downhill skiing areas are inadequate. The warm-up facilities are in the third order of concern with a negligible amount of concern associated with downhill skiing equipment rentals.
(19) Snowsledding-Tobogganing.-There is a third order concern for the urban participants and for the province as a whole with regard to snowsledding and tobogganing runs (Table 92). The Winnipeg, Dauphin and The Pas regions also reflect the same order of concern (Table 93).
(20) Outdoor Ice Skating.--With regard to the number of outdoor ice skating areas and warm-up facilities, the magnitude of concern is generally in the third and second orders respectively. The major deviants from this generalization are the Winkler and The Pas regions which exhibit a fourth level concern for the number of outdoor ice skating areas (Table 93).
(21) Snowmobiling. -Most of the snowmobilers who were surveyed feel that the facilities listed are adequate (Tables 92 and 93). The
only exception is in The Pas region where snowmobilers feel that the access to snowmobile trails and the warm-up facilities are inadequate at the third order level.
(22) Trail Biking.- , (23). Cross-country Biking.- , and (24) Off-road Four Wheel Driving.--These activities have very few participants that were surveyed and as a result any analysis of the responses would be statistically insignificant.
(25) Golfing. -The number and variety of golf courses and miniature golf courses are generally acceptable except for a rural response which is at the 3 rd level of inadequacy and a 4 th level response from The Pas and Northern regions, both with regard to regular golf courses (Tables 92 and 93).
(26) Tennis.-There is an overall feeling of the fourth level that the number of tennis courts are inadequate (Tables 92 and 93).
(27) Cottaging.--The municipal services for cottages is generally at an acceptable level except in the regions of Winkler and The Pas where the level of inadequacy lies in the fourth and third categories respectively (Tables 92 and 93).
(28) Visiting Provincial Parks.-There were no facilities examined in the survey for this activity.

## 9. Analysis of Campsite Preference

A. Provincial Analysis.-On a provincial basis, the campers of Manitoba prefer unserviced campsites over the fully serviced, electrical and wilderness campsites (Table 16). There is an even split between the combined fully and partially serviced sites and the unserviced and wilderness sites.

TABLE 16
DEMAND
TYPE OF CAMPSITE PREFERENCE

| Area | \% Fully <br> Serviced Sites | \% Electrical <br> Only Sites | \% Unserviced <br> Sites | \% Wilderness <br> Sites |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manitoba | 23.91 | 26.61 | 37.01 | 12.47 |
| Urban Manitoba | 30.23 | 16.74 | 35.35 | 17.67 |
| Rural Manitoba | 18.50 | 35.24 | 39.21 | 7.05 |
| Regions |  |  |  |  |
| Winnipeg | 33.92 | 29.52 | 47.14 | 19.82 |
| Winkler | 11.54 | 38.46 | 50.00 | 0.00 |
| Brandon | 16.13 | 35.48 | 41.94 | 6.45 |
| Dauphin | 20.00 | 30.00 | 40.00 | 10.00 |
| Interlake | 0.00 | 33.33 | 66.67 | 0.00 |
| The Pas | 53.85 | 15.36 | 30.77 | 0.00 |
| Northern | 27.27 | 36.36 | 22.73 | 13.64 |

B. Rural-Urban Analysis.-Both the rural and urban campers prefer unserviced campsites over the other types of sites but the urban preference for fully serviced and for wilderness campsites is higher than the provincial average (Table 16). The rural sector has a preference for the 'electrical only' sites which is higher than the provincial preference for the same type of site.
C. Regional Analysis.-One-half of the camping populations of the Winnipeg and Winkler regions indicate a preference for unserviced sites with an additional $20 \%$ of the Winnipeg region preferring wilderness campsites (Table 16). The Pas and Northern regions are the only regions in the province which do not show unserviced sites as their highest campsite preference. Just over half of The Pas region's campers indicate a preference for fully serviced sites whereas the Northerm region's preference lies with the 'electrical only' sites.

On a percentage basis, the highest preference for the fully serviced sites lies with The Pas region, the 'electrical only' site with the Winkler region, the unserviced sites with the Interlake region and the wilderness sites with the Winnipeg region.

## LIMITATIONS OF THE PROJECT AND DATA

There are basically two types of limitations encountered by this project. The first type of limitation deals with the problems encountered with the data, both primary and secondary data. The second type of limitation deals with problems encountered by the methodology used in the study. The latter is of a more complex nature and is not as conspicuous as the former.

## 1. Data Limitations

The data limitations fall into three categories. They are the 'demand', 'supply' and 'need' data limitations.
A. 'Demand' Data Limitations.--The data limitations connected with the demand portion of the thesis stem mainly from the problems incurred while conducting the survey and problems with the design of the questionnaire.

The questionnaire and the survey were designed to obtain participation rates and the frequency of participation from residents of Manitoba. Residents are made up of all age groups but because it is difficult to receive accurate or realistic responses from children, the interviewers were instructed to elicit responses from only those people who were 18 years or older. Herein lies one of the major data limitations. From some of the responses and comments on the returned questionnaires, it appears that some respondents were under 18 years
of age and also that some people responded on behalf of their children. For example, some respondents would add "I do not personally participate in this activity but my children do." It is therefore suggested that some of the participation rates and frequencies as determined from the questionnaire do in fact include participation from the under 18 year age group. But at the same time it is also impossible to determine the exact amount which is included. The under 18 age group represented 23.4\% of Manitoba's total population.

The total population figures used in Tables 22, 25, 26 and 29 through to 35 are the actual population figures for the areas being examined. An argument can be made that the number of persons capable of participating in any given activity (the target population) does not necessarily equal the total population. For example, in the activity of back packing, one should rightfully exclude all persons who could not physically participate. This may include all persons who are very young and very old, severely handicapped people and people who are incarcerated. On the other hand, a given participation rate of $5 \%$ for example does not mean that $95 \%$ of the population could participate but don't. It only means that they don't participate. The reason being unknown. This problem of target population and total population stands as a limitation in determining the demand for outdoor recreational facilities.

Another data limitation involves the respondents' perception of the questions. For example, some respondents would not be able to differentiate between back packing, hiking or walking for pleasure. Others indicated that they do not participate in any of the activities because they are senior citizens but at the same time added that all
they do is go for a walk sometimes (even though they had previously indicated that they do not participate in walking or hiking for pleasure).

While the survey was being conducted there was a change in management and personnel within the telephone agency which conducted the survey. At the time of the change-over (about one-quarter of the way through the survey) there appeared to be a change in the quality of the registered responses. Many of the comments that were jotted down on the questionnaire forms by the original group of interviewers were lacking in the second group's forms. There was also an apparent drop in the rural participation rates and also more incidences of rural questionnaires returned having no participation whatsoever in any of the selected activities. There was also a marked decrease of information supplied for sections ' $C$ ' and ' $D$ ' of the questionnaire which pertains to 'additional activities' and 'activities that would be participated in if the facilites were provided. Many of the above occurrences may be coincidental but they do raise doubts.

Another limitation connected with the participation or demand information has to do with the fact that the telephone exchange ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{X}_{\bullet}$ ) code boundaries do not match the municipal or local government district boundaries (Figures 11 and 12). The decision was made to allocate an exchange according to two priorities. First, an exchange code is usually related to a city, town or village. In this case the telephone exchange code would be allocated to the rural municipality or local government district in which the city, town or village was located. Secondly, if there were two population centres involved in one exchange code and if they were also located
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in two adjacent municipalities, the exchange code would be assigned to the municipality which contained the largest portion of the exchange.

A further 'demand' data limitation deals with the area of sample sizes and their significance. As shown in Table 6, the provincial sample is $0.19 \%$ or 2,089 respondents out of a total population of 1,098,904. This sample, when examined carefully after data analysis through SPSS does not represent a significant portion of the total population. Statistical inferences cannot be made unless a significant sample is present. In the case of camping, there was a mean response of 2.2 and a standard deviation of 7.86 relating to the question of - "How often do you participate in camping per year?" The interval as a result is -5.66 to 10.06 times per year. It is impossible for the average response to deviate to a negative point for this particular set of responses. It was assumed therefore that the distribution of responses did not fit a normal bell shaped curve and as a result the sample response distribution for camping was plotted (Figure 13). The distribution is highly skewed to the left. The minor problem stems from the fact that almost $75 \%$ of the responses fall into the zero frequency category. Because of the skewed distribution, the dispersion statistics (mean, variance, and standard deviation) are not of any value.
B. 'Supply' Data Limitations.-The major data limitation related to the 'supply' portion of the equation has to do with the lack of inventory information and the fact that there were not many activities for which the inventory could be obtained from a single source. In many instances a facility's inventory was a conglomeration of up to four or more sources because there did not exist an agency solely responsible for that facility in the province. In some cases there were discrepancies

FIGURE 13
SAMPLE DISTRIBUTION FOR CAMPING

between two sources (i.e. provincial-municipal, municipal-civic, etc.) and therefore a third source was consulted.

A minor limitation to the inventoried data is related to the lack of current information for various parts of the province. For example, the City of Winnipeg lacked much of the inventory information necessary for the urban analysis portion of the supply (i.e. picnicking facilities, cross-country skiing facilities, etc.). This lack of information in some cases artificially inflated the 'need' figures for some of the facilities.

Another limitation pertaining to the 'supply' data for outdoor recreational facilities is the sometimes questionable level of accuracy with which some people supply information. In some cases the information supplied was rounded off to the nearest whole number or to units of five or ten or even a hundred depending on the number of digits in the figure.
C. 'Need' Data Limitations.--Basically, the data limitations associated with the 'need' portion of this thesis stem from the fact that the 'supply' and 'demand' data was used to produce the 'need' data thus transferring both the 'supply' and 'demand' data limitations to the 'need' data. Thus, in some cases the problems are compounded.

## 2. Project Limitations

The project limitations pertain to problems encountered in the project which limit the usefulness of the final product. Much of this type of limitation deals with apprehension that exist with respect to the methodology. In some instances the 'project limitations' stem from the 'data limitations'.
A. Insufficient Data.--As a result of conducting a survey on a per capita basis and because of the uneven distribution of the population throughout the province there exists an uneven sample distribution of the participation (demand) data for the province. As far as the provincial analysis is concerned, the sample distribution is acceptable but with regard to a regional analysis, some regions are represented by a smaller sample than others because they have a smaller proportion of the province's population. This problem is exemplified by the Interlake and The Pas regions having $1.1 \%$ and $2.3 \%$ of the total sample instead of $14.3 \%$ each, being that there are seven regions (Table 6). For many purposes, the analysis of the demand data may not be significant for these two regions. The percent sample of the population for the Winkler, Dauphin and Northern regions may also pose questions with regard to the significance of any analysis, considering the size of their regional populations. Generally, the rule is, the smaller the target population the larger the sample size needed.
B. Participation Rate Factors.-The subjectivity involved in determining the participation rate factors and the standards is another project limitation (Table 5). The concept behind the use of the various participation rates and the standards to determine the volume of resources demanded is a good one. The problem lies with actual figures chosen not with the factors selected. This problem is one which stems from the Souris River Basin Study and is carried forward to this study.
C. Formulae Promulgating Errors--This type of limitation is concerned with the increasing awareness of an error's presence as the steps of a multi-stepped equation are computed. If there is a negligible amount of error associated with each factor and if the factors
are multiplied by each other, directly or indirectly, the amount of error may be increased and magnified to such a level that it poses a problem in using the final product of the equation.
D. The Survey Design.-The survey was designed to obtain the greatest amount of information on outdoor recreational participation while at the same time requiring the least amount of time on the telephone. The design limited to a certain extent the number and type of people able to participate in the survey. If a person did not have a residence telephone there would be no chance that he or she would be called upon to participate in the survey from his or her own home.

The survey, even though designed to elicit responses on 'additional activities' and 'activities participated in if the facilities were provided', was fairly lengthy for a telephone survey. The length of time involved in answering sections ' $A$ ' and ' $B$ ' of the questionnaire may have limited the responses to sections ' $C$ ' and ' $D$ '. This could possibly explain the lack of responses in the latter sections of the questionnaire.

Many of the limitations discussed under the above section entitled 'Demand' Data Limitations which concern the questionnaire also apply as Project Limitations.
E. Participation vS. Demand.-The problem of participation not being a true measurement of demand is also a limiting factor which should be considered in the final analysis. Demand, if not available in its latency form also limits the usefulness of the participation rate and frequency information. The measurement of recreation demand, both in its active form and latent form is an
area which has been for sometime and currently is the subject of much concern in recreation research. As done in the past in much of the recreation research, an assumption was made in this thesis that the demand for recreation is that amount of a recreational facility that is requested by a population as determined by past use.
F. Defining 'Need'.-As mentioned above, the concept of 'need' is very subjective and as a result is another project limitation. The determination of 'need', as computed in this thesis is done so with the use of the 'supply' data and the 'demand' data along with their limitations resulting in the 'need' limitations outlined above. 'Need' is different for each and every person and initiates the concept of one person's need being another's necessity.
G. Levels of Inadequateness.-The levels of facility inadequateness as listed in Table 14 were selected subjectively. The level of importance or concern associated with each level of inadequateness was determined by consulting the Planning Section of the Provincial Parks Branch. This noted subjectivity is the last specified limitation of the 'project limitations'.

## CHAPTER SIX

## EVALUATION OF THE FINAL RESULTS

The most notable irregularities in the 'need' analysis can be found in cottaging, pienicking, snowmobiling and swimming. The 'need' analysis information as presented in this thesis should be considered only in light of the following:

- the sources of the 'supply' and 'demand' information;
- the methodology used to determine 'need' from the
'supply' and 'demand' data;
- and the limitations of the data and the project. The participation rates and standards as used in the methodology are not to be considered infallible. For example, the participation rate factors of seasonal activity days, peak days and the peaking factor as they relate to cottaging are questionable (Table 5). Considering the fact that most cottages are privately owned and used mainly by the owner and his or her family, the peaking factor of $0.5 \%$ should not apply. The seasonal activity days of 240 days seems to be fairly high. A more appropriate figure might be 108 days as used in camping. If one still assumes that most of the cottaging activity takes place on weekends then two-sevenths or $28.57 \%$ of the seasonal activity days ( $30.86 \%$ ) can be considered the number of peak days.

With reference to Table 82 , the peaking factor for cottaging in the province of Manitoba becomes 1.0 thus the number of person visits
(2,044,376.82). With the number of days during the peak period being changed to 30.9 from 68.6 , the number of visits per day during the peak period becomes $66,161.06$. Further, if the average party size and turnover rates remain the same, the new number of units of supply demanded per day becomes $16,540.27$ cottages. If this figure is considered to be the revised demand figure for cottaging in Table 7 then the current need figure (supply minus demand) becomes a surplus of 1,521 cottages instead of 14,336 cottages.

Even a surplus of 1,521 cottages does not appear accurate in light of the fact that there are cottages being constructed constantly. This study should show a deficit just in the fact that there are people who go cottaging do not necessarily own a cottage. Some people rent a cottage for a weekend or even a week or two and others have the use of a friend's or relative's cottage.

Another irregularity as mentioned above is exemplified by the activity of swimming. There appears to be a great surplus of designated beaches in the province (Table 7). The methodology does not consider the uneven distribution of site use patterns. There are a few beaches which are excessively overutilized and others which are underutilized. There are many factors to be considered when examining the use patterns of designated beaches. Distance from population centres, demographic factors, beach attractivity, and quality and quantity of the water are just a few of the factors.

More detailed background information is needed in order to determine the actual 'need' for outdoor recreational facilities. The activity of snowmobiling exhibits a great need for additional facilities (i.e. trails). But if further information was obtained on
snowmobile use patterns, the actual 'need' for facilities would be reduced if it was learned that much of the use took place on private lands and not on developed trails. Further research would show that there is a certain percentage of snowmobilers who would not use the trails even if they were easily accessible. Some snowmobilers prefer virgin territory.

As has been pointed out in this section, there are problems with some of the participation rate factors and standards. The activities mentioned are examples of some of the problems and some of the activities which need further research.

An analysis of the activities by person visits eliminates many of the problems associated with the standards, average party size, and turnover rates (Table 12). But person visits does not provide planners with the amount of resources needed for outdoor recreational activities. This problem can only be solved as a result of further research.

## CHAPTER SEVEN

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## 1. Conclusions

A notion exists that the 'need' for outdoor recreational facilities can be computed if the current 'demand' and 'supply' data of the facilities are known and are available. This concept is not impeccable. There are many problems which are inherent to the concept. There are problems associated with: measuring 'latent demand'; determining the 'need for who'; inferring that past and present participation rates are a meausre of 'current demand'; and subtracting the 'volume of resources demanded' from the 'volume of resources supplied' to achieve the 'volume of resources needed'. From the literature available there does not appear to be a simple answer in order to determine the 'need' for outdoor recreational facilities.

The main conclusion that can be drawn from this study is that the amount of outdoor recreational facilities 'needed' by the people of Manitoba can be roughly estimated from the 'demand' and 'supply' data if one accepts the idea that past and present participation is the major factor in measuring 'demand'. The current 'need' as presented in 'person visits' (Table 24) as opposed to 'volume of resources' (Table 6) presents a more accurate and more comparable analysis of the present situation if one takes into consideration the problems associated with the participation rates and standards.

It has also been concluded that the value of this thesis does not lie so much in the analysis of 'need' but rather in the presentation of outdoor recreational participation rates and frequencies and in the inventory of outdoor recreational facilities. This thesis should be viewed as the basis for further research with the 'supply' and 'demand' Tables providing much of the basic information.

Taking all factors into consideration, the activities as selected by the study should be priorized in the following order of 'need':

|  | Person Visits | Resources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * Camping | 10,900 | 6,229 Sites |
| * Golfing | 9,227 | 511 Holes |
| * Downhill Skiing | 2,802 | 35 Runs |
| * Snowshoeing | 2,489 | 249 Km . |
| * Picnicking | 1,423 | 228 Sites |
| ** Snowmobiling | 36,738 | 7,348 Km. |
| ** Cross-country Skiing | 34,714 | 1,736 Km. |
| ** Snowsledding-Tobogganing | 26,056 | 240 Runs |
| *** Bicycling | 37,382 | *** |
| *** Fishing | 29,900 | *** |
| *** Power Boating | 15,516 | *** |
| *** Water Skiing | 11,640 | *** |
| *** Hunting | 9,618 | *** |
| *** Sailing | 2,467 | *** |
| *** Trail Biking | 1,191 | *** |
| *** Cross-country Biking | 633 | *** |
| *** Off-road Four Wheel Driving | 584 | *** |

These activities show a 'need' for additional facilities based upon the participation data and one of the following:

*     - a fairly accurate inventory,
** - an inventory which has some inherent problems as discussed earlier,

TABLE 17
ACTIVITIES PRIORIZED ALONG FACILITY WITH HIGHEST LEVEL OF REGISTERED INADEQUACY*

| Rank | Activity | Leve 1 | Rank | Activity | Leve1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Downhill Skiing | 4 | 11 | Golfing | 2 |
| 2 | Off-road Trail Biking and Motoring | 4 | 12 | Bicycling | 2 |
| 3 | Tennis | 4 | 13 | Power Boating | 2 |
| 4 | Camping | 4 | 14 | Sailing | 2 |
| 5 | Picnicking | 3 | 15 | Walking or Hiking | 2 |
| 6 | Swimming | 3 | 16 | Visiting Historic Sites | 1 |
| 7 | Horseback Riding | 3 | 17 | Cottaging | 1 |
| 8 | Skating | 3 | 18 | Snowmobiling | 1 |
| 9 | Snowsledding-Tobogganing | 3 | 19 | Fishing | 1 |
| 10 | Cross-country Skiing | 3 | 20 | Canoeing | 1 |
|  |  |  | 21 | Driving for Pleasure | 1 |

*Based on Table 92.
*** - an inventory which is for one reason or another not available resulting in a demand but no registered supply and therefore an unknown 'need' is registered.

The remaining activities register a surplus of facilities (Table 10). With regard to areas of need in the province of Manitoba, Table 8 contains the surplus and deficit of outdoor recreational facilities according to a regional breakdown. This Table should be reviewed in light of the data limitations.

Table 7 presents the demand and supply of outdoor recreational facilities along with the need for additional facilities and the facilities which are in surplus of demand. This Table should also be reviewed in light of the data limitations.

The projection of demand and supply for outdoor recreational facilities into the future are contained in Tables 90 and 91 , respectively. The 'need' projections are contained in Table 11. These Tables are subject to the data limitations presented in Chapter 5.

The determination of 'latent' demend for outdoor recreational facilities failed due to a poor response rate, interview techniques and survey design. Therefore there are no indications of what activities the people of Manitoba would participate in if the facilities were provided.

Along the lines of the adequacy of facilities it is concluded that for the province as a whole, the activities which registered the highest level of inadequacy for a facility are downill skiing, off-road biking and motoring, tennis and camping (Table 17). These activities each had a facility which was considered by more than $30 \%$ of the survey respondents to be inadequate. The level three and four
activities should be considered as having facility problems and worthy of the attention of planners.

One final conclusion stems from the campsite preference data obtained from the questionnaire. It has been pointed out that $50 \%$ of the province's campers prefer either fully serviced sites or sites with electricity (Table 15). Of the campsites managed by the Provincial Parks Branch, only $\mathbf{1 6 . 5 \%}$ are either electrical or fully serviced (with the overflow discounted) (Table 38). It is therefore concluded that there is a shortage of the partial and full serviced campsites.

## 2. Recommendations

As a result of the gathering, tabulation and analysis of the data, I recommend that further work be carried out in the area of participation rate factors and standards used to transform demand data from the number of visits demanded to the volume of resources demanded. I would also suggest that further research be employed to determine a precise measure of demand, one which also includes a measurement of 'latent demand'. A survey on 'latent demand' would be in order.

It is recommended that, in order to generate valuable dispersion statistics for the type of participation data that has been presented in this thesis, a larger sample size be utilized. To predetermine a sample size one must have knowledge of the varying degrees of reliability which is in itself highly dependent upon the subject matter. Because of the larger number of activities being measured, ranging from quite common (e.g. picnicking, with a participation rate of $37 \%$ ) to backpacking (less than $2 \%$ ), the most appropriate measure of reliability
is the relative standard error, or co-efficient of variation (C.V.). The C.V. is determined by dividing the standard error of the estimate by the estimate itself (Manitoba Bureau of Statistics, 1977:2).

A C.V. of $10 \%$ gives a $95 \%$ confidence interval of plus-minus $20 \%$ of the true value. The question of what particular C.V. should be used for a particular set of characteristics or activities depends on the uses to which the data will be put. In the absence of a precise, decision-making framework, it is suggested that most estimates for analysis purposes should have a C.V. of $15 \%$ (confidence interval of $\pm 30 \%$ of the true value) or less while the estimates that are most important for analysis and/or will be of wide interest in publications should have a C.V. of $10 \%$ or less (Manitoba Bureau of Statistics, 1977:2).

It has been suggested by the Manitoba Bureau of Statistics that a sample of 7,200 represents a compromise between cost and reliability. This would allow participation rates of $10 \%$ to be measured with a C.V. of:
$5 \%$ at the Manitoba level,
$10 \%$ for sub-groups making up $25 \%$ of the total sample, $15 \%$ for sub-groups making up one-eighth of the sample (for example, the seven regions plus a breakdown of Winnipeg). It would also allow participation rates of $25 \%$ to be measured with a C.V. of:
$5 \%$ for sub-groups making up one-third of the total sample (for example, grouped regions).
$10 \%$ for sub-groups making up one-twelfth of the sample (for example, three grouped regions by four age categories, or six grouped regions by two sex categories).

15\% for sub-groups making up about one-twenty-fifth of the sample.

This size of sample would allow good perishable estimates at the regional level and allow two-way classification of the data for most activities of interest (Manitoba Bureau of Statistics, 1977:3).

Another course of action could entail the breakdown of the sample distribution into types. For example, the camping distribution could be broken down into non-campers, transient campers, vacationing campers and seasonal campers with participant days of zero, 1 to 15 , 16 to 30 , and greater than 30 times per year respectively. The transient group could further be broken down into casual transient and frequent transient campers with participant days of 1 to 6 and 7 to 15 times per year. If applied to the distribution graph of Figure 13, the response curves in each of the categories would closely resemble that of a normal curve. This procedure of course would not lessen the problem of having a statistically insignificant sample.

Failing this one could zero in on the target population which would entail sampling only those people who could possibly participate in the selected outdoor activity, thus reducing the sample size required. But as mentioned earlier, the target population is very difficult to predetermine because the type of statistics required are not available.

The final recommendation of this thesis is that the facility adequacy data as presented in this thesis be examined thoroughly to
determine the precise nature of the inadequacies. Comparison of the 'need' and 'facility adequacy' Tables could possibly reveal additional information necessary in planning. For example, snowmobiling indicates a great deficit with regards to the amount of designated snowmobile trails (Table 7). But at the same time the number and variety of snowmobile trails are considered to be inadequate by only $4.2 \%$ of the snowmobilers, thus less importance should be placed on the deficit (Table 92). One other consideration is the fact that only $39.2 \%$ of the snowmobilers feel that the number of trails are adequate resulting in over $56 \%$ responding with feelings of indifference. This type of comparison could be an indication of demand in its latency form. This section has tried to point out that much more research is needed before an accurate 'needs analysis' of outdoor recreational facilities can be accomplished. Emphasis should be placed on the areas noted.
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APPENDIX A

MANITOBA OUTDOOR RECREATIONAL
PARTICIPATION QUESTIONINAIRE



## APPENDIX A - Continued

 SECTION 'C•

ARE THERE ANY OTHER OUTDOOR ACTIVITIES YOU PARTICIPATE IN ? (IE. CLIMBING, HANG-GLIDING, YIND-SURFING, ETC.)

| ACTIVITY TYPE | FREQUENCI |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


 SECTION 'D•

ARE THZRE ANY OTDOOR ACTIVITIES YOU NOULD PARTICIPATE IN IF THE FACILITIES WERE PROVIDED ?
(CC+31-139)
$-\infty-\infty-\infty$


SECTION DE

HOW OFTEN DO YOU VISIT MANITOBA PROVINCIAL PARKS PER YEAR ? $\quad$ (CC139-140)



## APPENDIX B

MANITOBA TELEPHONE EXCHANGES

RURAL MANITOBA EXCHANGE CENTRES LISTED BY $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{X}$. CODE

| N, X, X. Code | Exchange | Municipality, L.G.D. or Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232 | Barrows | The Pas Region |
| 234 | Oakburn | Shoal Lake (R.M.) |
| 236 | Birch River | Mountain (L.G.D.) |
| 238 | Bowsman | Minitonas (R.M.) |
| 242 | Manitou | Pembina (R.M.) |
| 243 | Poplar Point | Portage la Prairie (R.M.) |
| 244 | Poplar River | Northern Region |
| 246 | Darlingford | Pembina (R.M.) |
| 248 | Notre Dame Du Lourdes | Lorne (R.M.) |
| 252 | Edwin | Portage la Prairie (R.M.) |
| 263 | Pine River | Mountain (L.G.D.) |
| 265 | Brokenhead | Brokenhead (R.M.) |
| 267 | Oakville | Portage la Prairie (R.M.) |
| 268 | Beausejour | Brokenhead (R.M.) |
| 275 | MacDonald | Portage la Prairie (R.M.) |
| 276 | Bloodview | Northern Region |
| 277 | Bissett | Winnipeg Region |
| 278 | Inwood | Armstrong (L.G.D.) |
| 286 | Thicket Portage | Northern Region |
| 288 | Ilford | Northern Region |
| 322 | Warren | Woodlands (R.M.) |
| 324 | Altona | Rhineland (R.M.) |
| 325 | Winkler | Stanley (R.M.) |
| 326 | Steinbach | Hanover (R.M.) |
| 327 | Gretna | Rhineland (R.M.) |
| 328 | Rivers | Daly (R.M.) |
| 329 | Easterville | Interlake Region |
| 335 | God's Lake Narrows | Northern Region |
| 343 | Roland | Roland (R.M.) |
| 344 | Stony Mountain | Rockwood (R.M.) |
| 345 | Lac du Bonnet | Lac du Bonnet (R.M.) |
| 346 | 00-za-we-kwun | Daly (R.M.) |
| 347 | St. Malo | De Salaberry (R.M.) |
| 348 | Whitemouth | Whitemouth (R.M.) |


| N, X, X. Code ${ }^{-}$ | Exchange | Municipality, L.G.D. or. Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 349 | Falcon Beach | Winnipeg Region |
| 352 | Wal dersee | Glenella (R.M.) |
| 353 | Elie | Cartier (R.M.) |
| 354 | Brookdale | North Cypress (R.M.) |
| 355 | Greenland | Ste. Anne (R.M.) |
| 356 | Lynn Lake | Northern Region |
| 357 | Cormorant | The Pas Region |
| 358 | Snow Lake | The Pas Region |
| 359 | Norway House | Northern Region |
| 363 | Manigotagan | Winnipeg Region |
| 364 | Vidir | Bifrost (R.M.) |
| 365 | Strathclair | Strathclair (R.M.) |
| 367 | Pine Falls | Alexander (L.G.D.) |
| 368 | Arden | Lans downe (R.M.) |
| 369 | Rennie | Reynolds (L.G.D.) |
| 372 | Fisher Branch | Interlake Region |
| 373 | Emerson | Montcalm (R.M.) |
| 374 | South Indian Lake | Northern Region |
| 375 | Marquette | Rosser (R.M.) |
| 376 | Arborg | Birfrost (R.M.) |
| 377 | Kleefield | Hanover (R.M.) |
| 378 | Riverton | Bifrost (R.M.) |
| 379 | Ste. Claude | Grey (R.M.) |
| 382 | Berens River | Northern Region |
| 383 | Woodlands | Woodlands (R.M.) |
| 385 | Gladstone | Westbourne (R.M.) |
| 386 | Plumas | Westbourne (R.M.) |
| 388 | Niverville | Hanover (R.M.) |
| 389 | Winnipeg Beach | St. Andrews (R.M.) |
| 397 | Little Grand Rapids | Northern Region (R.M.) |
| 422 | Ste. Anne | Ste. Anne (R.M.) |
| 423 | Piney | Piney (L.G.D.) |
| 424 | La Broquerie | La Broquerie (R.M.) |
| 425 | Vita | Stuartburn (L.G.D.) |
| 426 | Hadashville | Reynolds (L.G.D.) |
| 427 | Dominion City | Franklin (R.M.) |

TABLE 18 - Continued

| N, X, X. Code | Exchange | Municipality, L.G.D. or Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 428 | Southport | Portage la Prairie (R.M.) |
| 429 | Woodridge | Piney (L.G.D.) |
| 433 | St. Pierre | De Salaberry (R.M.) |
| 434 | Grunthal | Hanover (R.M.) |
| 435 | Miami | Thompson |
| 436 | Elm Creek | Grey (R.M.) |
| 437 | Sprague | Piney (L.G.D.) |
| 444 | Oakbank | Springfield (R.M.) |
| 445 | Langruth | Lakeview (R.M.) |
| 447 | Ste. Rose Du Lac | Ste. Rose (R.M.) |
| 448 | Eddystone | Alonsa (L.G.D.) |
| 449 | Steeprock | Grahamdale (L.G.D.) |
| 456 | Garden Hill | Northern Region |
| 457 | Waasa gomach | Northern Region |
| 458 | Pickwitonei | Northern Region |
| 462 | Ste. Theresa Point | Northern Region |
| 466 | Sidney | Northern Region |
| 467 | Stonewal1 | Rockwood (R.M.) |
| 469 | Red Sucker Lake | Northern Region |
| 472 | Cranberry Portage | Consul (L.G.D.) |
| 473 | Leaf Rapids | Leaf Rapids (L.G.D.) |
| 476 | Neepawa | Langford (R.M.) |
| 482 | Selkirk | St. Andrews (R.M.) |
| 483 | Souris | Glenwood (R.M.) |
| 484 | Nelson House | Northern Region |
| 485 | Jenpeg | Northern Region |
| 486 | Limestone | Northern Region |
| 522 | Melita | Arthur (R.M.) |
| 523 | Killarney | Turtle Mountain (R.M.) |
| 524 | Camperville | Mountain (L.G.D.) |
| 525 | Minitonas \#1 | Minitonas (R.M.) |
| 526 | Holland | Victoria (R.M.) |
| 528 | Ninette | Riverside (R.M.) |
| 529 | Cartwright | Roblin (R.M.) |
| 532 | Binscarth | Russell (R.M.) |
| 534 | Boissevain | Morton (R.M.) |

TABLE 18 - Continued

| $N, X, X$. Code | Exchange | Municipality, L.G.D. or Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 535 | Baldur | Argyle (R.M.) |
| 537 | Belmont | Strathcona (R.M.) |
| 538 | Oxford House | Northern Region |
| 539 | Benito | Swan River (R.M.) |
| 543 | Pleasant Valley | Hillsburg (R.M.) |
| 545 | Mafeking | Mountain (L.G.D.) |
| 546 | Grandview | Grandview (R.M.) |
| 547 | Minitonas \#2 | Minitonas (R.M.) |
| 548 | Gilbert Plains | Gilbert Plains (R.M.) |
| 553 | Pukatawagan | Northern Region |
| 564 | Inglis | Shellmouth (R.M.) |
| 566 | Oak River | Blanshard (R.M.) |
| 567 | Miniota | Miniota (R.M.) |
| 568 | Beulah | Miniota (R.M.) |
| 569 | Cowan | Mountain (L.G.D.) |
| 585 | Sandy Lake | Harrison (R.M.) |
| 587 | Pelican Rapids | Dauphin Region |
| 623 | The Pas | Consul (L.G.D.) |
| 624 | Clearwater Lake | The Pas Region |
| 625 | Elphinstone | Strathclair (R.M.) |
| 626 | Sperling | Morris (R.M.) |
| 628 | Waterhen | Dauphin Region |
| 634 | Pierson | Edward (R.M.) |
| 635 | Gull Lake | Alexander (L.G.D) |
| 636 | Erickson | Clanwilliam (R.M.) |
| 637 | Austin | North Norfolk |
| 638 | Dauphin | Dauphin (R.M.) |
| 639 | Grand Rapids | Grand Rapids (L.G.D.) |
| 642 | Gin7i | Gimli (R.M.) |
| 643 | Fraserwood | Armstrong (L.G.D.) |
| 645 | Fisher River | Interlake Region |
| 646 | St. Laurent | St. Laurent (R.M.) |
| 649 | Lyleton | Edward (R.M.) |
| 652 | Gillam | Gillam (L.G.D.) |
| 656 | Winnipegosis | Mossey River (R.M.) |
| 655 | Sifton | Dauphin (R.M.) |

TABLE 18 - Continued

| N, X, X. Code | Exchange | Municipality, L.G.D. or: Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 657 | Fork River | Dauphin (R.M.) |
| 658 | Goodlands | Brenda (R.M.) |
| 659 | Gypsumville | Grahamdale (L.G.D.) |
| 662 | Sinclair | Pipestone (R.M.) |
| 664 | Poplarfield | Fisher (L.G.D.) |
| 665 | Medora | Brenda (R.M.) |
| 673 | Waskada | Brenda (R.M.) |
| 675 | Churchill | Churchill (L.G.D.) |
| 676 | Cross Lake | Northern Region |
| 677 | Thompson \#1 | Mystery Lake (L.G.D.) |
| 678 | Moose Lake | The Pas Region |
| 682 | Wanless | Consul (L.G.D.) |
| 683 | St. Lazare | Ellice (R.M.) |
| 685 | MacGregor | North Norfolk (R.M.) |
| 686 | Tilston | Albert (R.M.) |
| 687 | Flin Flon | The Pas Region |
| 689 | Wabowden | Northern Region |
| 722 | McAuley | Archer (R.M.) |
| 723 | Treherne | South Norfolk (R.M.) |
| 725 | Brandon \#1 | Cornwallis (R.M.) |
| 727 | Brandon \#2 | Cornwallis (R.M.) |
| 728 | Brandon \#3 | Cornwallis (R.M.) |
| 732 | Rorketon | Lawrence (R.M.) |
| 733 | Ochre River | Ochre River (R.M.) |
| 734 | Swan River | Swan River (R.M.) |
| 736 | Sanford | MacDonald (R.M.) |
| 737 | Letellier | Montcalm (R.M.) |
| 738 | Petersfield | St. Andrews (R.M.) |
| 739 | Eriksdale | Eriksdale (R.M.) |
| 742 | Ethelbert | Ethelbert (R.M.) |
| 743 | Cypress River | Victoria (R.M.) |
| 744 | Somerset | Lorne (R.M.) |
| 745 | Carman | Dufferin (R.M.) |
| 746 | Morris | Morris (R.M.) |
| 747 | Deloraine | Winchester (R.M.) |
| 748 | Virden | Wallace (R.M.) |

TABLE 18 - Continued

| $N, X, X$. Code | Exchange | Municipality, L.G.D. or Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 749 | Rathwe 11 | South Norfolk (R.M.) |
| 752 | Alexander | Whitehead (R.M.) |
| 753 | Pinawa | Pinawa (L.G.D.) |
| 754 | Grand Beach | Alexander (L.G.D.) |
| 755 | Hazel ridge | Springfield (R.M.) |
| 756 | Kelwood | Rosedale (R.M.) |
| 757 | Lockport | St. Andrews (R.M.) |
| 758 | St. Jean Baptiste | Montcalm (R.M.) |
| 759 | Shoal Lake | Shoal Lake (R.M.) |
| 762 | Lundar | Coldwell (R.M.) |
| 763 | Douglas | Elton (R.M.) |
| 764 | Hamiota | Hamiota (R.M.) |
| 765 | Shilo | North Cypress (R.M.) |
| 766 | Libau | St. Clements (R.M.) |
| 767 | Alonsa | Alonsa (L.G.D.) |
| 768 | Ashern | Siglunes (R.M.) |
| 769 | Elgin | Whitewater (R.M.) |
| 773 | Russel1 | Russell (R.M.) |
| 776 | Minto | Whitewater (R.M.) |
| 778 | Thompson \#2 | Mystery Lake (L.G.D.) |
| 822 | Morden | Stanley (R.M.) |
| 824 | Wawanesa | Oakland (R.M.) |
| 825 | Pilot Mound | Louise (R.M.) |
| 826 | Rapid City | Saskatchewan (R.M.) |
| 827 | Glenboro | North Cypress (R.M.) |
| 829 | Plum Coulee | Rhineland (R.M.) |
| 834 | Carberry | North Cypress (R.M.) |
| 835 | McCreary | McCreary (R.M.) |
| 836 | Swan Lake | Lorne (R.M.) |
| 838 | Kenton | Woodwerth (R.M.) |
| 842 | Birtle | Birtle (R.M.) |
| 843 | Amaranth | Alonsa (L.G.D.) |
| 845 | Elkhorn | Wallace (R.M.) |
| 847 | Foxwarren | Birtle (R.M.) |
| 848 | Clear Lake | Park (L.G.D.) |
| 849 | Newdale | Harrison (R.M.) |
| 853 | Dugald | Springfield (R.M.) |

TABLE 18 - Continued

| N,X,X. Code | Exchange | Municipality, L.G.D. <br> or Region |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 854 | Pipestone | Pipestone (R.M.) |
| 855 | Oak Lake | Sifton (R.M.) |
| 856 | Fort Churchill | Churchill (L.G.D.) |
| 857 | Portage la Prairie | Portage la Prairie (R.M.) |
| 858 | Hartney | Cameron (R.M.) |
| 859 | Rossburn | Rossburn (R.M.) |
| 864 | St. Francois Xavier | St. Francois Xavier (R.M.) |
| 865 | Clanwilliam | Minto (R.M.) |
| 866 | Anola | Springfield (R.M.) |
| 867 | Minnedosa | Odanah (R.M.) |
| 873 | Crystal City | Louise (R.M.) |
| 874 | Basswood | Saskatchewan (R.M.) |
| 876 | Snowflake | Pembina (R.M.) |
| 877 | Reston | Pipestone (R.M.) |
| 878 | Lorette | Tache (R.M.) |
| 882 | St. Agathe | Ritchot (R.M.) |
| 883 | St. Adolphe | Ritchot (R.M.) |
| 884 | Pointe du Bois | Winnipeg Region |
| 886 | Teulon | Rockwood (R.M.) |
| 935 | San Clara | Rark (L.G.D.) |
| 937 | Rablin | Shell River (R.M.) |
| 966 |  | Rosedale (R.M.) |

Source: Manitoba Telephone System. Public Relations Department. 1979.
"Manitoba Telephone Exchange Codes". (Unpublished data sheets). Winnipeg: Manitoba Telephone System.

WINNIPEG EXCHANGES LISTED BY $N, X, X$. CODE

| N, X, X. Code | Exchange | N, X,X. Code | Exchange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 222 | Transcona | 632 | West Kildonan |
| 224 | Transcona | 633 | West Kildonan |
| 233 | St. Boniface-St. Vital | 667 | East Kildonan |
| 237 | " | 668 | East Kildonan |
| 247 | " | 669 | East Kildonan |
| 253 | " | 772 | Winnipeg Centre |
| 256 | " | 774 | Wing Centre |
| 257 | 11 | 775 | " |
| 261 | Fort Garry | 783 | " |
| 269 | Fort Garry | 786 | " |
| 284 | Fort Rouge | 832 | Charleswood |
| 334 | East Kildonan | 837 | " |
| 338 | East Kildonan | 888 | " |
| 339 | East Kildonan | 889 | " |
| 452 | Fort Rouge | 895 | " |
| 453 | Fort Rouge | 942 | Winnipeg Centre |
| 474 | Fort Garry | 943 |  |
| 475 | Fort Rouge | 944 | 1 |
| 489 | River Heights | 946 | " |
| 582 | West Kildonan | 947 | " |
| 586 | West Kildonan | 985 | " |
| 589 | West Kildonan | 988 | " |

Source: Manitoba Telephone System. Public Relations Department. 1979. "Manitoba Telephone Exchange Codes". (Unpublished data sheets). Winnipeg: Manitoba Telephone System.

## APPENDIX C

RURAL MUNICIPALITIES AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT DISTRICTS

TABLE 20

RURAL MUNICIPALITIES AND L.G.D.'S LISTED bY COMMUNITY

| Community | R.M. or L.G.D. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alexander | Whitehead (R.M.) |
| Alonsa | Alonsa (L.G.D.) |
| Altona | Rhineland (R.M.) |
| Amaranth | Alonsa (L.G.D.) |
| Anola | Springfield (R.M.) |
| Arborg | Bifrost (R.M.) |
| Arden | Lansdowne (R.M.) |
| Ashern | Siglunes (R.M.) |
| Austin | North Norfolk (R.M.) |
| Baldur | Argyle (R.M.) |
| Barrows | Dauphin Region |
| Basswood | Saskatchewan (R.M.) |
| Beausejour | Brokenhead (R.M.) |
| Belmont | Strathcona (R.M.) |
| Benito | Swan River (R.M.) |
| Berens River | Northern Region |
| Beulah | Miniota (R.M.) |
| Binscarth | Russell (R.M.) |
| Birch River | Mountain (L.G.D.) |
| Bissett | Winnipeg Region |
| Bloodvein | Northern Region |
| Boissevain | Morton (R.M.) |
| Bowsman | Minitonas (R.M.) |
| Brandon | Cornwallis (R.M.) |
| Brokenhead | Brokenhead (R.M.) |
| Brookdale | North Cypress (R.M.) |
| Camperville | Mountain (L.G.D.) |
| Carberry | Marman |
| Cartwright | North Cypress (R.M.) |
| Churchill | Dufferin (R.M.) |
| Clanwilliam | Roblin (R.M.) |
| Clear Lake | Churchill (L.G.D.) |
| Clearwater Lake | Cinto (R.M.) |
| Cormarant | Park (L.G.D.) |
| Cowan | The Pas Region |
| Cranberry Portage | The Pas Region |
| Crandall | Mountain (L.G.D.) |
| Cromer | Consol (L.G.D.) |
| Cross Lake | Hamiota (R.M.) |
|  | Pipestone (R.M.) |
| Northern Region |  |

TABLE 20 -Continued

| Community | R.M. or L.G.D. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Crystal City | Louise (R.M.) |
| Cypress River | Victoria (R.M.) |
| Darlingford | Pembina (R.M.) |
| Dauphin | Dauphin (R.M.) |
| Deloraine | Winchester (R.M.) |
| Dominion City | Franklin (R.M.) |
| Douglas | Elton (R.M.) |
| Dugald | Springfield (R.M.) |
| Dunnotar | St. Andrews (R.M.) |
| Easterville | Interlake Region |
| Eddystone | Alonsa (L.G.D.) |
| Eden | Rosedale (R.M.) |
| Edwin | Portage la Prairie (R.M.) |
| Emerson | Montcalm (R.M.) |
| Elgin | Whitewater (R.M.) |
| Elie | Cartier (R.M.) |
| Elkhorn | Wallace (R.M.) |
| Elm Creek | Grey (R.M.) |
| Elphinstone | Strathclair (R.M.) |
| Ethelbert | Ethelbert (R.M.) |
| Erickson | Clanwilliam (R.M.) |
| Eriksdale | Eriksdale (R.M.) |
| Falcon Lake | Winnipeg Region |
| Fisher Branch | Fisher (L.G.D.) |
| Flin Flon | The Pas Region |
| Fort River | Dauphin Region |
| Fort Churchill | Churchill (L.G.D.) |
| Foxwarren | Birtle (R.M.) |
| Fraserwood | Armstrong (L.G.D.) |
| Garden Hill | Northern Region |
| Garson | Brokenhead (R.M.) |
| Gilbert Plains | Gilbert Plains (R.M.) |
| Gillam | Gillam (L.G.D.) |
| Gimli | Gimli (R.M.) |
| Glads tone | Westbourne (R.M.) |
| Gods Lake Narrows | Northern Region |
| Goodl ands | Brenda (R.M.) |
| Glenboro | Squth Cypress (R.M.) |
| Grand Beach | St. Clements (R.N.) |
| Grand Rapids | Grand Rapids (L.G.D.) |
| Grandview | Grandview (R.M.) |
| Greenland | Ste. Anne (R.M.) |
| Gretna | Rhineland (R.M.) |
| Grunthal | Hanover (R.M.) |
| Gull Lake | Alexander (L.G.D.) |
| Gypsumville | Grahamdale (L.G.D.) |
| Hadasiville | Reynolds (L.G.D.) |
| Hartney | Cameron (R.M.) |

TABLE 20 -Continued

| Community | R.M. or L.G.D. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hazel ridge | Springfield (R.M.) |
| Hecla | Interlake Region |
| Ill ford | Victoria (R.M.) |
| Inglis | Northern Region |
| Inwood | Armstrong (L.G.D |
| Jenpeg | Northern Region |
| Kelwood | Rosedale (R.M.) |
| Kenton Killarney | Woodworth (R.M.) |
| Kleefield | Turtle Mountain (R.M.) |
| LaBroquerie | LaBroquerie (R.M.) |
| Lac du Bonnet | Lac du Bonnet (R.M.) |
| Langruth | Lakeview (R.M.) |
| Leaf Rapids Letellier | Leaf Rapids (L.G.D.) |
| Libau | Montcalm (R.M.) |
| Lines tone | Northern Region |
| Lockport | St. Andrews (R.M.) |
| Long Spruce | Northern Region |
| Lorette | Tache (R.M.) |
| Lundar | Coldwell (R.M.) |
| Lynn Lake | Edward (R.M.) |
| MacDonald | Lynn Lake (L.G.D.) |
| MacGregor | Portage la Prairie (R.I.) |
| Mafeking | Mountain (L.G.D.).) |
| Manigotogan | Winnipeg Region |
| Manitou | Pembina (R.M.) |
| Marquette | Rosser (R.M.) |
| McAuley | Archie (R.M.) |
| McCreary | McCreary (R.M.) |
| Medora | Brenda (R.M.) |
| Melita | Arthur (R.M.) |
| Minio | Thompson (R.M.) |
| Miniota | Miniota (R.M.) |
| Minitonas | Minitonas (R.M.) |
| Minnedosa | Odanah (R.M.) |
| Minto | Whitemouth (R.M.) |
| Moose Lake | The Pas Region |
| Morden | Stanley (R.M.) |
| Morris | Morris (R.M.) |
| Napinka | Brenda (R.M.) |
| Neepawa | Langford (R.M.) |
| Nelson House | Northern Region |
| lewdale | Harrison (R.M.) |
| inette | Riverside (R.M.) |
| iverville | Hanover (R.M.) |
| otre Dame de Lourdes | Lorne (R.M.) |

TABLE 20 -Continucd

| Community | R.M. or L.G.D. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Norway House | Northern Region |
| Oak Bank | Springfield (R.M.) |
| Oakburn | Shoal Lake (R.M.) |
| Oak River | Sifton (R.M.) |
| Oakville | Portage la Prairie (R.M.) |
| Ocnre River | Ochre River (R.M.) |
| 0o-za-we-kwun | Daly (R.M.) |
| Oxford House | Northern Region |
| Pelican Rapids | Dauphin Region |
| Petersfield Pierson | St. Andrews (R.M.) |
| Pikwitonei | Edward (R.M.) Northern Region |
| Pilot Mound | Louise (R.M.) |
| Pinawa | Pinawa (L.G.D.) |
| Pine Falls | Alexander (L.G.D.) |
| Piney | Piney (L.G.D.) |
| Pipestone | Pipestone (R.M.) |
| Pleasant Valley | Hillsburg (R.M.) |
| Plum Coulee | Westbourne (R.M.) |
| Pointe du Bois | Rhineland (R.M.) |
| Poplarfield | Winnipeg Region |
| Poplar Point | Fisher (L.G.D.) |
| Poplar River | Northern Region |
| Portage la Prairie | Portage la Prairie (R.M.) |
| Powerview | Alexander (L.G.D.) |
| Pukatawagon | Northern Region |
| Rapid City | Saskatchewan (R.M.) |
| Rathwell | South Norfolk (R.M.) |
| Rennie Reston | Reynolds (R.M.) |
| Reston | Pipestone (R.M.) |
| Rivers | Daly (R.M.) |
| Riverton | Bifrost (R.M.) |
| Roblin | Shell River (R.M.) |
| Roland | Roland (R.M.) |
| Rorketon | Lawrence (R.M.) |
| Rossburn | Rossburn (R.M.) |
| San Clara | Park (L.G.D.) |
| Sandy Lake | Harrison (R.M.) |
| Sanford | MacDonald (R.M.) |
| Selkirk | St. Andrews (R.M.) |
| Shilo | North Cypress (R.M.) |
| Shoal Lake | Shoal Lake (R.M.) |
| Sidney | North Norfolk (R.M.) |
| Sifton | Dauphin (R.M.) |
| Sinclair | Pipestone (R.M.) |
| Snowflake | Pembina (R.M.) |
| Snow Lake | Snow Lake (L.G.D.) |

TABLE 20 - Continued

| Community | R.M. or L.G.D. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sperling | Morris (R.M.) |
| Sprague Somerset | Piney (R.M.) |
| Somerset | Lorne (R.M.) |
| South Indian Lake | Glenwood (R.M.) |
| Southport | Northern Region Portage la Praire (R.M.) |
| Starbuck | MacDonald (R.M.) |
| St. Adolphe | Ritchot (R.M.) |
| Ste. Anne | Ritchot (R.M.) |
| St. Claude | Ste. Anne (R.M.) Grey (R.M.) |
| St. Francois Xavier | St. Francois Xavier (R.M.) |
| St. Jean Baptiste | Montcalm (R.M.) |
| St. Lazare | St. Laurent (R.M.) |
| St. Malo | Ellice (R.M.) |
| St. Pierre | DeSalaberry (R.M.) |
| Ste. Rose du Lac | DeSalaberry (R.M.) |
| Ste. Theresa Point | Northern Region |
| Steeprock | Grahamda Te (L.G.D.) |
| Steinbach | Hanover (R.M.) |
| Stephenfield | Dufferin (R.M.) |
| Stonewall | Rockwood (R.M.) |
| Stony Mountain | Rockwood (R.M.) |
| Strathclair | Strathclair (R.M.) |
| Swan Lake | Lorne (R.M.) |
| Swan River | Swan River (R.M.) |
| Teulon | Rockwood (R.M.) |
| The Pas | Consol (L.G.D.) |
| Thicket Portage | Northern Region |
| Thompson | Mystery Lake (L.G.D.) |
| Tilston | Albert (R.M.) |
| Treherne | South Norfolk (R.M.) |
| Vidir | Birfrost (R.M.) |
| Virden | Wallace (R.M.) |
| Vita | Stuartburn (L.G.D.) |
| Waasagomach | Northern Region |
| vabowden | Northern Region |
| aldersee | Glenella (R.M.) |
| Wanless | Consol (L.G.D.) |
| Warren | Woodlands (R.M.) |
| laskada | Brenda (R.M.) |
| laterhen | Dauphin Region |
| lawanesa | 0akland (R.M.) |
| hitemouth | Whitemouth (R.M.) |
| Winkler | Stanley (R.M.) |

TABLE 20 - Continued

| Community | R.M. or L.G.D. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Winnipeg Beach | St. Andrews (R.M.) <br> Mossey Ri ver (R.M.) |
| Winnipegosis | Woodlands (R.M.) <br> Woodlands <br> Winey (L.G.D.) |

Source: Department of Economic Development and Tourism. 1971.
"Facilities Inventory". (Computer printout).
Winnipeg: Manitoba Bureau of Statistics.
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APPENDIX E

NATURAL REGIONS

## TABLE 21

> R.M.'s \& L.G.D.'s BY NATURAL REGIONS

Winnipeg Region
R.M. or L.G.D.

Alexander (L.G.D.)
*Armstrong (L.G.D.)
*Bifrost (R.M.)
Brokenhead (R.M.)
Cartier (R.M.)
*Coldwell (R.M.)
*De Salaberry (R.M.)
*Dufferin (R.M.)
East St. Paul (R.M.)
*Eriksdale (R.M.)
*Fisher (L.G.D.)
Gimli (R.M.)
*Grey (R.M.)
Hanover (R.M.)
La Broquerie (R.M.)
Lac du Bonnet (R.M.)
*MacDonald (R.M.)
*Morris (R.M.)
Pinawa (L.G.D.)
R.M. or L.G.D.

Piney (L.G.D.)
Portage la Prairie (R.M.)
Reynolds (L.G.D.)
Ritchot (R.M.)
Rockwood (R.M.)
Rosser (R.M.)
St. Andrews (R.M.)
Ste. Anne (R.M.)
St. Clements (R.M.)
St. Francois Xavier (R.M.)
St. Laurent (R.M.)
Springfield (R.M.)
*Stuartburn (L.G.D.)
Tache (R.M.)
Victoria Beach (R.M.)
West St. Paul (R.M.)
Whitemouth (R.M.)
Winnipeg Unorganized Areas
Woodlands (R.M.)

WinkTer Region
R.M. or L.G.D.
*Argyle (R.M.)
*De Salaberry (R.M.)
*Dufferin (R.M.)
Franklin (R.M.)
*Grey (R.M.)
R.M. or L.G.D.

Lorne (R.M.)
Louise (R.M.)
*MacDonald (R.M.)
Montcalm (R.M.)
*Morris (R.M.)

Winkler Region--continued
R.M. or L.G.D.

Pembina (R.M.)
Rhineland (R.M.)
*Roblin (R.M.)
Roland (R.M.)
R.M. or L.G.D.

Stanley (R.M.)
*Stuartburn (L.G.D.)
Thompson (R.M.)
*Victoria (R.M.)
South Norfolk (R.M.)

Brandon Region

| R.M. or L.G.D. | R.M. or L.G.D. |
| :--- | :---: |
| Albert (R.M.) | North Norfolk (R.M.) |
| Archie (R.M.) | Oakland (R.M.) |
| *Argyle (R.M.) | Odanah (R.M.) |
| Arthur (R.M.) | *Park (L.G.D.) |
| *Birtle (R.M.) | Pipestone (R.M.) |
| Blanshard (R.M.) | Riverside (R.M.) |
| Brenda (R.M.) | *Roblin (R.M.) |
| Cameron (R.M.) | Rosedale (R.M.) |
| *Clanwilliam (R.M.) | *Rossburn (R.M.) |
| Cornwallis (R.M.) | *Russell (R.M.) |
| Daly (R.M.) | Saskatchewan (R.M.) |
| Edward (R.M.) | *Shoal Lake (R.M.) |
| *Ellice (R.M.) | Sifton (R.M.) |
| Elton (R.M.) | *Silver Creek (R.M.) |
| *Glenella (R.M.) | South Cypress (R.M.) |
| Glenwood (R.M.) | Strathclair (R.M.) |
| Hamiota (R.M.) | Strathcona (R.M.) |
| Harrison (R.M.) | Turtle Mountain (R.M.) |
| *Lakeview (R.M.) | *Victoria (R.M.) |
| Langford (R.M.) | Wallace (R.M.) |
| *Lansdowne (R.M.) | *Westbourne (R.M.) |
| Miniota (R.M.) | Whitehead (R.M.) |
| Minto (R.M.) | Whitewater (R.M.) |
| Morton (R.M.) | Winchester (R.M.) |
| North Cypress | Woodworth (R.M.) |

*1/2 R.M. or L.G.D. included in Region.

Dauphin Region

| R.M. or L.G.D. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alonsa (L.G.D.) | R.M. or L.G.D. |
| *Birtle (R.M.) | Minitonas (R.M.) |
| Boulton (R.M.) | Mossey River (R.M.) |
| *Clanwilliam (R.M.) | Mountain (L.G.D.) |
| Daupnin (R.M.) | Ochre River (R.M.) |
| *Ellice (R.M.) | *Park (L.G.D.) |
| Etnelbert (R.M.) | *Rossburn (R.M.) |
| Gilbert Plains (R.M.) | Ste. Rose (R.M.) |
| *Glenella (R.M.) | Shellmouth (R.M.) |
| Grandview (R.M.) | Shell River (R.M.) |
| Hillsburg (R.M.) | *Shoal Lake (R.M.) |
| *Lakeview (R.M.) | *Silver Creek (R.M.) |
| *Lansdowne (R.M.) | Swan River (R.M.) |
| Lawrence (R.M.) | *Westbourne (R.M.) |
| McCreary (R.M.) |  |

## Interlake Region

| R.M. or L.G.D. | R.M. or L.G.D. |
| :--- | :--- |
| *Armstrong (L.G.D.) | Grahamdale (L.G.D.) |
| *Bifrost (R.M.) | Grand Rapids (L.G.D.) |
| *Coldwell (R.M.) | Interlake Unorganized Areas |
| *Eriksdale (R.M.) | Siglunes (R.M.) |
| *Fisher (L.G.D.) |  |

The Pas Region
R.M. or L.G.D.
Consol (L.G.D.)
R.M. or L.G.D.
The Pas Unorganized Areas
Snow Lake (L.G.D.)

[^0]Northern Region

| R.M. or L.G.D. | R.M. or L.G.D. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Churchill (L.G.D.) | Lynn Lake (L.G.D.) |
| Gillam (L.G.D.) | Mystery Lake (L.G.D.) |
| Leaf Rapids (L.G.D.) | Northern Region Unorganized Areas |

Source: Table 61, Figure 8 and Figure 12.
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## APPENDIX F

## ANALYSIS OF DEMAND (PARTICIPATION)

This section of the data analysis will examine the participation rates and frequencies of participation in the selected outdoor recreational activities as determined from the telephone questionnaires.
A. Provincial Analysis.-The participation rate for each of the selected activities for the province as a whole is presented in Table 22. The participation rate represents the percentage of the sample $(2,089)$ which gave a positive answer to the selected activity. The number of participants is the participation rate inferred upon the total population. For example, $25.25 \%$ of the sampled population participate in camping. If we assume that the sampled population is significant we can also assume that $25.25 \%$ of the total population participate in camping ( $25.25 \%$ of $1,098,904=277,473.26$ ).

A problem discussed in the data limitations section (Chapter 5) is that the total population available to participate in any given activity (the target population) is lower than the actual total population. The reader is therefore cautioned to consider this fact when reviewing Tables $22,25,26$, and 29 through to 35 .

The average frequency of participation is the average number of times a participant participates in an activity per year. In the above example, there were $277,473.26$ participants in camping. These participants went camping, on average, 8.17 times per year for a total
of 2,416,792.02 participant days or person visits (Table 22). Participant days for the purpose of this thesis is defined as the number of times per year a person or persons participate in any given activity. A person could by definition participate in more than one activity per day. One could go boating, fishing and canoeing on the same day that he/she is camping. It is the number of participant days or person visits which are later manipulated by the participation rate factors to determine the volume of resources demanded per day.

When compared to the actual data obtained from camping permits ( 316,133 unit days sold times the average party size of 3.5 yields 1,106,466 participant days), this study is high by a factor of 1.8.

Another check with actual data was made with the Snowmobile Safety Branch of the Department of Motor Vehicles. There are approximately 50,000 registered snowmobiles in the province of Manitoba. The department estimates that there are between 100,000 and 150,000 snowmobilers in the province (based on an average of 2 to 3 users per snowmobile). The results of this study show 121,209 participants in the activity of snowmobiling (Table 22).

A final check was made with the department involved in issuing sport fishing licences. There were $\mathbf{1 5 6 , 5 5 3}$ sport fishing licences issued in 1979. They estimate that there are probably 100,000 people fishing without licences (legally and illegally) for a total of 256,553 persons fishing. This study shows a total of 260,770 participants in the activity of fishing (Table 22).

Other checks were attempted but the actual data is either not known or not available. A check with the number of cottages in the province is presented in Chapter Six.

TABLE 22
DEMAND
NUMBER OF PARTICIPANT DAYS BY ACTIVITY FOR MANITOBA

| Activity | Participation Rate (\%) | Number of Participants* | Average Frequency of Participation | Number of Participant Days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Camping | 25.25 | 277,473.26 |  |  |
| Picnicking | 36.55 | 401,649.41 | 8.71 | 2,416,792.09 |
| Visiting Historic Sites | 36.55 20.84 | 401,649.41 | 6.18 | 2,482,193.35 |
| Driving For | 20.84 | 229,011.59 | 3.73 | 854,213.23 |
| Pleasure | 35.80 | 393,407.63 | 16.04 |  |
| Walking or Hiking | 36.85 | 404,946.12 | 21.90 | $6,310,258.39$ $8,868,320.03$ |
| Back Packing | 1.93 | 21,208.85 | 21.90 8.97 | 8,868,320.03 |
| Bicycling | 18.24 | 200,440.09 | 8.97 18.94 | 190,243.38 |
| Horseback Riding | 5.48 | $200,440.09$ $60,219.94$ | 18.94 | 3,796,335.30 |
| Swimming | 34.55 | 60,219.94 | 9.53 | 573,896.03 |
| Fishing | 23.73 | ,671.33 | 13.26 | 5,034,441.84 |
| Hunting | 10.20 | 260,769.92 | 9.02 | 2,352,144.68 |
| Sailing | 1.83 | 112,088.21 | 6.75 | 756,595.35 |
| Canoeing | 11.28 | 20,109.94 | 7.58 | 152,433.35 |
| Power Boating | 8.14 | 123,956.37 | 7.37 | 913,558.45 |
| Water Skiing | 6.02 | 89,450.79 | 10.72 | 958,912.47 |
| Cross-country | 6.02 | 66,154.02 | 7.39 | 488,878.21 |
| Skiing | 11.98 | 131,648.70 | 15.49 |  |
| Snowshoeing | 2.02 | 22,197.86 |  | 2,039,238.36 |
| Downhill Skiing | 4.90 | 22,197.86 | 6.49 | 144,064.11 |
| Snows ledding- | 4.90 | 53,846.30 | 9.47 | 509,924.46 |
| Tobogganing | 19.54 | 214,725.84 | 6.31 |  |
| tdoor Ice |  |  | 6.31 | 1,354,920.05 |
| Skating | 17.76 | 195,165.35 | 11.64 |  |
| nowmobiling | 11.03 | 121,209.11 | 17.76 | $2,271,724.67$ |

Based on Provincial total population of $1,098,904$.

TABLE 22 - Continued

| Activity | Participation <br> Rate (\%) | Number of <br> Participants* | Average Frequency <br> of Participation | Number of <br> Participant Days |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trail Biking <br> Cross-country <br> Biking | 0.93 | $10,219.81$ | 11.84 | $121,002.55$ |
| Off-road Four | 0.40 | $4,395.62$ | 14.63 | $64,307.92$ |
| Wheel Driving <br> Golfing | 0.40 | $4,395.62$ | 13.50 | $59,340.87$ |
| Tennis | 14.12 | $155,165.24$ | 12.80 | $1,986,115.07$ |
| Cottaging | 10.61 | $116,593.71$ | 11.61 | $1,353,652.97$ |
| Visiting Prov- | 13.26 | $145,714.67$ | 14.03 | $2,044,376.82$ |
| incial Parks | 58.45 | $642,309.39$ | 6.81 | $4,374,126.95$ |
| *ased on Provincial total population of $1,098,904$. |  |  |  |  |

When one compares the results of other outdoor recreational surveys with the current survey there are as many similarities as there are differences (Table 23). These similarities and differences stem from a variety of factors - geographic location of facilities, income of participants, demographic structure of the sample, etc. It is very difficult to compare the results of surveys conducted in different parts of the country. It is also difficult to compare results when the questionnaires vary in form of presentation (personal interviews, telephone interviews, etc.) and in the design of the questionnaire and the way the questions are asked.

There are two types of discrepancies when comparing the results in Table 23. The first type of discrepancy occurs when the current study is different from both of the other studies. The second type is when it is different from just one of the other studies. An example of the former in Table 23 is Driving for Pleasure where the Souris River Basin Study and the Ontario Recreation Survey are in close agreement with participation rates of $67.0 \%$ and $63.4 \%$, respectively, compared to $35.8 \%$ for the current study. This difference is somewhat lessened when a regional analysis is performed. An example of the second type of discrepancy is camping. The current study is in agreement with the Ontario Recreation Survey with participation rates of $25.3 \%$ and $28.3 \%$, respectively. But the Souris River Basin Study has a participation rate of $41.1 \%$. In other activities the current study is in agreement with the Souris River Basin Study. In this case, cross-country sking can be presented as an example. A possible reason for the difference with the Ontario study could be with the earlier date that the Ontario study was completed. Cross-country skiing had not become as popular as it is today.

TABLE 23

OUTDOOR RECREATION SURVEY COAAPARI SON OF PARTICIPATION RATES


TABLE 23 - Continued

| Activity | Need <br> Analysis | Souris River <br> Basin Study | Ontario <br> Recreation Survey |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trail Biking | 1.0 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| Cross-country <br> Biking | 0.4 | N/A | N/A |
| Off-road Four |  |  |  |
| Wheel Driving | 0.4 | N/A | N/A |
| Golfing | 14.1 | 24.3 | 13.1 |
| Tennis | 10.6 | 12.4 | 11.0 |
| Cottaging | 13.3 | 41.0 | N/A |
| Visiting |  |  |  |
| Provincial Parks | 58.4 | N/A | N/A |

Sources: 1. Current Study.
2. Souris River Basin Study Board. 1978. The Souris River $\frac{\text { Basin Study - The Need and Associated Benefits of }}{\text { Recreation in the Souris River Basin Suple }}$ $\frac{\text { Recreation in the Souris River Basin. Supplement }}{\text { Vo7. 2: ID-30. Regina: Saskatchewan }} 5$. Vol. 2: ID-30. Regina: Saskatchewan Government
Printing Co.
3. Ontario. Department of Tourism and Outdoor Recreation.
1973. Ontario Recreation Survey - Tourism and

Noutdoor Recreation Planining Study. Progress Report

Participation rates for picnicking, driving for pleasure, bicycling, swimming, fishing, power boating, snowmobiling and cottaging are much lower for the current study than for the other two studies. None of the participation rates are much higher for the current study. One possible explanation could be that the under 18 age group is not represented in the survey. Overall, the participation rates tend to be lower in the current study and could be considered the minimum in many cases.
B. Rural-Urban Analysis.-A more important discussion involves a regional and rural-urban analysis using the same source of information, i.e. the 'Needs' survey. The urban sector, for the purposes of this thesis, is defined above, as the city of Winnipeg. The rural sector consists of the remainder of the province.

A higher percentage of the urban sector participates in visiting historic sites, bicycling, swimming, power boating, water skiing, crosscountry skiing, downhill skiing, outdoor ice skating, golfing and tennis than does the rural sector (Table 24). On the other hand, the rural sector has higher participation rates in driving for pleasure, hunting, and snowmobiling. The remaining activities have similar participation rates.

The urban sector participates more frequently in downill skiing, trail biking, cottaging and visiting provincial parks than does the rural portion. The rural sector participates more frequently than the urban sector in driving for pleasure, walking or hiking, back packing, bicycling, horseback riding, canoeing, water skiing, cross-country skiing, outdoor ice skating, snowmobiling, cross-country biking, offroad four wheel driving, golfing and tennis.

TABLE 24
RURAL/URBAN. PARTICIPATION RATES AND FREOUENCIES IN SELECTED OUTDOOR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES


TABLE 24 - Continued

| Activity | Participation Rate (\%) |  | Average Frequency Per Year |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rura7 | Urban | Rura7 | Urban |
| Cross-country |  |  |  |  |
| Biking | 0.10 | 0.64 | 32.94 | 12.27 |
| Dff-road Four |  |  |  |  |
| Wheel Driving | 0.32 | 0.47 | 32.89 | 2.80 |
| Golfing | 11.05 | 16.60 | 14.15 | 12.07 |
| Tennis | 6.36 | 14.05 | 13.85 | 10.79 |
| Cottaging | 16.78 | 16.78 | 11.27 | 15.22 |
| Nisting Provincial |  |  |  |  |
| Parks | 57.04 | 59.59 | 5.31 | 7.97 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

These figures on rural and urban participation rates and frequencies do not seem at first appearance to be correct. It is understandable that the participation rate and frequency of participation in snowmobiling be higher for the rural sector than for the urban sector. With the restrictions placed on snowmobiles in the city of Winnipeg, an urban participant must venture out of the city to pursue that activity. Once the urban participant is out of the city there are only a few sites which are available to him or her. Close at hand is the floodway right-of-way and of course, the rivers which lead in and out of the city. For the rural participant however, the site used for snowmobiling begins at the back door of his or her residence and the area is limited only by the amount of gasoline that can be carried. Even in the small communities a certain amount of snowmobile traffic is tolerable if it is for the purpose of gaining access to the outlying areas. Urban snowmobilers must use trailers to transport their snowmobiles to the site desired.

The same reasons apply, more or less, to the fact that horseback riding is more popular in the rural sector. What seems to be a strange outcome is the fact that the rural sector seems to participate more frequently in bicycling, canoeing, water skiing, golfing and tennis than does the urban sector. The importance of this outcome can be played down somewhat by the fact that the participation rate is lower. In other words, the percentage of people participating is lower for the rural sector, but those that do participate, do so more frequently as compared to their urban counterparts. The importance of this becomes apparent when one examines the actual number of participants and the number of participant days for each of the sectors (Tables 25 and 26).

TABLE 25
DEMAND
NUMBER OF PARTICIPANT DAYS BY ACTIVITY FOR RURAL MANITOBA

| Activity | Participation Rate (\%) | Number of Participants* | Average Frequency of Participation | Number of Participant Days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Camping | 24.29 | 119,447.74 |  |  |
| Picnicking | 36.87 | 181,349.42 | 8.32 | 993,925.53 |
| Visiting Historic | 36.87 | 181,349.42 | 6.65 | 1,205,480.04 |
| Sites | 16.82 | 82,715.68 | 4.05 |  |
| Driving for Pleasure | 41.82 |  | 4.05 | 334,586.45 |
|  |  | 205,680.20 | 17.14 | 3,525,559.40 |
| Back Packing | 38.22 | 187,972.93 | 24.32 | 4,572,422.83 |
| Back Packing | 0.61 | 2,997.91 | 14.11 | 42,296.26 |
| Bicycling | 15.43 | 75,901.91 | 20.88 | 1,584,610.72 |
| Horseback Riding | 4.84 | 23,812.00 | 15.15 | 360,790. 32 |
| Swimming | 28.54 | 140,371. 30 | 28.32 | 3,976,004.30 |
| Fishing | 24.58 | 120,881.19 | 9.00 |  |
| Hunting | 14.88 | 73,178.62 | 6.00 | 1,088,416. |
| Sailing | 0.44 | 2,165.75 | 6.00 | 439,151.92 |
| Canoeing | 10.52 | 2,165.75 | 5.07 | 10,974.79 |
| Power Boating | 3.70 | ,736.59 | 10.10 | 522,356.76 |
| Water Skiinc | 3.70 | 18,201.77 | 11.84 | 215,444.97 |
| Cross-country | 12 | 15,375.32 | 10.02 | 154,086.28 |
| Skiing | 9.47 | 46,550.32 | 18.02 |  |
| Snowshoeing | 0.95 | 4,695.98 |  | 838,984.50 |
| Jownhill Skiing | 3.03 | 14,911.98 | 6.89 | 32,364.17 |
| jnows ledding- |  | 14,911.98 | 6.96 | 103,801.95 |
| Tobogganing | 17.75 | 87,270.95 | 6.20 | 541,096 |
| utdoor Ice |  |  | 6.20 | 541,096. |
| Skating | 12.15 | 59,790.68 | 13.44 |  |
| nowmobiling | 14.97 | 73,620.76 | 23.13 |  |

Based on a population level of $491,805$.
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TABLE 25 - Continued

| Activity | Participation Rate (\%) | Number of Participants* | Average Frequency of Participation | Number of Participant Day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trail Biking | 0.44 | 2,151.10 | 9.68 |  |
| Cross-country Biking | 0.10 | 502.72 | 9.68 32.94 | 20,823.20 |
| Off-road Four Wheel Driving | 0.32 | 502.72 $1,562.55$ | 32.94 | 16,561.22 |
| Golfing | 11.05 | 1,562.55 | 32.89 | 51,397.85 |
| Tennis | 6.36 | 31 | 14.15 | 769,021.95 |
| Cottaging | 6.36 8.92 | 31,292.02 | 13.85 | 920,143.36 |
| Visiting Pro- | 8 | 43,851.02 | 11.27 | 494,052.09 |
| vincial Parks | 57.04 | 280,512.86 | 5.31 | 1,489,907.53 |

TABLE 26
DEMAND
NUMBER OF PARTICIPANT DAYS BY ACTIVITY FOR URBAN MANITOBA

| Activity | Participation Rate (\%) | Number of Participants* | Àverage Frequency of Participation | Number of Participant Days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Camping | 26.03 | 158,024.73 | 9.00 |  |
| Picnicking | 36.29 | 220,300.26 | 5.00 |  |
| Visiting Historic Sites | 24.10 | $146,292.60$ | 5.80 3.55 | 1,276,713.31 |
| Driving for Pleasure | 30.92 | $146,292.60$ $187,732.39$ | 3.55 14.83 | 519,626.78 |
| Walking or Hiking | 35.74 | 216,974.32 | 14.83 19.79 | 2,784,698.99 |
| Back Packing | 3.00 | $18,209.85$ | 19.79 8.12 | 4,295,897.20 |
| Bicycling | 20.51 | 124,535.87 | 17.76 | 2,211,724.58 |
| Horseback Riding | 6.00 | 36,407.41 | 5.85 | $2,211,724.58$ $213,105.71$ |
| Swimming | 39.42 | 239,295.09 | 29.07 | 1,058,437.54 |
| Fishing | 23.04 | 139,889.43 | 9.03 | 1,263,728.38 |
| Hunting | 6.41 | 38,906.55 | 8.16 | 317,443.43 |
| Sailing | 2.96 | 17,943.05 | 7.88 | 141,458.56 |
| Canoeing | 11.65 | 72,219.18 | 5.42 | 391,201.69 |
| Power Boating | 11.74 | 71,245.36 | 10.44 | 743,467.50 |
| Water Skiing | 8.36 | 50,776.32 | 6.59 | 334,791.93 |
| Cross-country Skiing | 14.02 | 85,096.31 | 14.10 | 1,200,253.86 |
| Snowshoeing | 2.89 | 17,501.00 | 6.38 | 1,200,253.86 |
| Jownhill Skiing | 6.41 | 38,932.78 | 10.43 | 111,699.94 |
| inowsleddingTobogganing | 20.99 | 127,453.41 | 10.43 6.39 | $406,122.51$ $813,823.94$ |
| Jutdoor Ice Skating | 22.30 | 135,370.06 | 10 10.85 | $813,823.94$ $468,372.50$ |
| inowmobiling | 7.84 | 47,591.60 | 10.85 9.45 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,468,372.50 \\ 449.827 .17 \end{array}$ |

Based on a population level of 607,099 .

TABLE 26 - Continued

| Activity | Participation <br> Rate (\%) | Number of <br> Participants* | Average Frequency <br> of Participation | Number of <br> Participant Days |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trail Biking | 1.34 | $8,068.30$ | 12.42 | $100,179.35$ |
| Cross-country <br> Biking | 0.64 | $3,892.65$ | 12.27 | $47,746.70$ |
| Off-road Four |  | $2,833.00$ | 2.80 | $7,943.02$ |
| Wheel Driving <br> Golfing | 0.47 | 16.60 | $100,803.18$ | 12.07 |
| Tennis | 14.05 | $85,298.20$ | 10.79 | $1,217,093.12$ |
| Cottaging | 16.78 | $101,860.08$ | 15.22 | $1,533,509.61$ |
| Visiting Pro- |  |  |  |  |
| vincial Parks | 59.59 | $361,795.36$ | 7.97 | $2,884,219.42$ |

*Based on a population level of 607,099 .

The percentage of total participant days examines the distribution of participant days for the rural-urban breakdown (Table 27). This analysis indicates a greater number of participant days for the rural sector in driving for pleasure, horseback riding, swimming, hunting, canoeing, snowmobiling, off-road four wheel driving and tennis. Percentages $\pm 3 \%$ from $50 \%$ were considered as being different enough to mention. If one considers the fact that the urban sector contains $55 \%$ of the total population then the percentage of participant days less than $52 \%$ ( $55 \% \pm 3 \%$ ) would be considered in favor of the rural sector. In this case, picnicking and walking or hiking can be added to the above list.

The urban sector has a higher percentage of participant days for the activities of camping, visiting historic sites, back packing, bicycling, sailing, power boating, water skiing, cross-country skiing, snowshoeing, downhill skiing, snowsledding-tobogganing, outdoor ice skating, trail biking, cross-country biking, golfing, cottaging and visiting provincial parks. This selection of participation is based upon urban total participant days greater than $58 \%$ ( $55 \% \pm 3 \%$ ).

An examination of participant days is a more realistic analysis in terms of recreational participation as it concerns both the number of people who participate and the frequency at which they participate.
C. Regional Analysis.-The regional analysis of participation rates, participation frequency and participant days is discussed by activity in this section. The participation rates and frequencies are contained in Table 28. The information associated with the number of participants and number of participant days for each of the regions are located in Tables 29 through to 35. The per capita annual participation in each of the regions for the selected activities is listed in Table 36.

TABLE 27
DEMAND
PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL PARTICIPANT DAYS BY ACTIVITY (RURAL-URBAN PERCENTAGES)

| Activity | $\%$ Urban | $\%$ Rural |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Camping | 58.87 | 41.13 |
| Picnicking | 51.43 | 48.57 |
| Visiting Historic Sites | 60.83 | 39.17 |
| Driving for Pleasure | 44.13 | 55.87 |
| Walking or Hiking | 48.44 | 51.56 |
| Back Packing | 77.77 | 22.23 |
| Bicycling | 58.26 | 41.74 |
| Horseback Riding | 37.13 | 62.87 |
| Swimming | 21.02 | 78.98 |
| Fishing | 53.73 | 46.27 |
| Hunting | 41.96 | 58.04 |
| Sailing | 92.80 | 7.20 |
| Canoeing | 42.82 | 57.18 |
| Power Boating | 77.53 | 22.47 |
| Water Skiing | 68.48 | 31.52 |
| Cross-country Skiing | 58.86 | 41.14 |
| Snowshoeing | 77.53 | 22.47 |
| Downhill Skiing | 79.64 | 20.36 |
| Snowsledding-Tobogganing | 60.06 | 39.94 |
| Outdoor Ice Skating | 64.64 | 35.36 |
| Snowmobiling | 20.90 | 79.10 |
| Trail Biking | 82.79 | 17.21 |
| Cross-country Biking | 74.25 | 25.75 |
| Off-road Four Wheel Driving | 13.39 | 86.61 |
| Golfing | 61.28 | 38.72 |
| Tennis | 32.03 | 67.97 |
| Cottaging | 75.83 | 24.17 |
| Visiting Provincial Parks | 65.94 | 34.06 |
|  |  |  |

TABLE 28
REGIONAL PARTICIPATION RATES \& FREQUENCIES IN SELECTED OUTDOOR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { - \% Participation } \\ \text { - Frequency } \\ \text { Activity } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Winnipeg Region | Winkler Region | Brandon Region | Dauphin Region | Interlake Region | The Pas Region | Northern Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Camping | $\frac{25.41}{8.71}$ | $\frac{27.14}{4.36}$ | $\frac{22.78}{7.64}$ | $\frac{18.52}{10.07}$ | $\frac{18.65}{14.39}$ | $\frac{34.46}{13.59}$ | $\frac{30.28}{9.90}$ |
| Picnicking | $\frac{36.61}{5.87}$ | $\frac{37.78}{5.75}$ | $\frac{31.55}{6.83}$ | $\frac{34.81}{8.03}$ | $\frac{32.99}{6.86}$ | $\frac{55.42}{5.33}$ | $\frac{39.32}{7.65}$ |
| Visiting Historic Sites | $\frac{22.41}{3.51}$ | $\frac{16.29}{4.20}$ | $\frac{18.64}{4.50}$ | $\frac{10.75}{2.84}$ | $\frac{14.28}{1.95}$ | $\frac{30.17}{1.96}$ | $\frac{17.95}{6.80}$ |
| Driving For Pleasure | $\frac{33.00}{14.76}$ | $\frac{45.20}{26.15}$ | $\frac{42.29}{18.81}$ | $\frac{33.53}{18.04}$ | $\frac{42.17}{21.39}$ | $\frac{51.76}{9.37}$ | $\frac{42.66}{13.75}$ |
| Walking or Hiking | $\frac{36.59}{20.78}$ | $\frac{37.56}{24.89}$ | $\frac{35.49}{27.73}$ | $\frac{29.58}{27.16}$ | $\frac{33.15}{32.98}$ | $\frac{57.92}{18.22}$ | $\frac{41.25}{18.50}$ |
| Back Packing | $\frac{2.26}{7.18}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{1.64}{27.77}$ | $\frac{0.95}{7.06}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{2.50}{1.72}$ | $\frac{1.44}{8.04}$ |
| Bicycling | $\frac{19.39}{18.63}$ | $\frac{13.23}{20.56}$ | $\frac{17.80}{24.47}$ | $\frac{13.61}{24.24}$ | $\frac{9.49}{30.80}$ | $\frac{20.87}{5.79}$ | $\frac{15.41}{11.56}$ |
| Horseback Riding | $\frac{6.01}{8.08}$ | $\frac{5.25}{17.86}$ | $\frac{4.62}{17.68}$ | $\frac{4.68}{17.80}$ | $\frac{4.91}{24.00}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{4.05}{2.54}$ |
| Swimming | $\frac{37.38}{13.19}$ | $\frac{30.54}{8.85}$ | $\frac{25.41}{15.17}$ | $\frac{26.94}{14.45}$ | $\frac{14.24}{17.86}$ | $\frac{44.09}{14.00}$ | $\frac{30.27}{13.28}$ |
| Fishing | $\frac{23.71}{10.30}$ | $\frac{20.91}{4.44}$ | $\frac{21.00}{5.33}$ | $\frac{19.29}{8.49}$ | $\frac{23.36}{17.76}$ | $\frac{47.91}{5.01}$ | $\frac{32.44}{7.42}$ |
| Hunting | $\frac{8.08}{8.17}$ | $\frac{12.91}{2.26}$ | $\frac{12.74}{4.44}$ | $\frac{16.78}{9.23}$ | $\frac{23.34}{7.57}$ | $\frac{27.51}{2.90}$ | $\frac{12.73}{4.25}$ |
| Sailing | $\frac{2.28}{8.06}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{2.39}{4.69}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ |
| Canoeing | $\frac{11.40}{6.27}$ | $\frac{9.24}{6.51}$ | $\frac{11.20}{11.94}$ | $\frac{6.36}{8.52}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{27.90}{11.53}$ | $\frac{12.68}{8.06}$ |

TABLE 28 - Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { - \% Participation } \\ & \text { Frequency } \\ & \text { Activity } \end{aligned}$ | Winnipes Region | Winkler Region | $\begin{array}{c\|c} \text { Brandon } \\ \text { Region } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Dauphin Region | Interlake Region | The Pas Region | Northern Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Power Boating | $\frac{9.49}{10.47}$ | $\frac{0.90}{17.80}$ | $\frac{3.92}{15.94}$ | $\frac{8.95}{10.30}$ | $\frac{4.64}{35.57}$ | $\frac{16.15}{4.17}$ | $\frac{3.83}{13.48}$ |
| Water Skiing | $\frac{6.98}{7.20}$ | $\frac{4.98}{4.33}$ | $\frac{2.81}{14.37}$ | $\frac{1.70}{4.30}$ | $\frac{4.65}{3.97}$ | $\frac{11.78}{5.18}$ | $\frac{3.91}{9.97}$ |
| Cross-country Skiing | $\frac{12.94}{15.02}$ | $\frac{9.06}{21.37}$ | $\frac{10.31}{13.98}$ | $\frac{7.08}{19.97}$ | $\frac{7.44}{39.97}$ | $\frac{13.82}{15.99}$ | $\frac{11.26}{13.87}$ |
| Snowshoeing | $\frac{2.37}{5.98}$ | $\frac{0.96}{7.26}$ | $\frac{0.68}{5.45}$ | $\frac{0.84}{4.16}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{2.25}{1.70}$ | $\frac{2.73}{15.27}$ |
| Downhill Skiing | $\frac{5.44}{9.97}$ | $\frac{3.15}{11.14}$ | $\frac{3.60}{5.23}$ | $\frac{1.89}{3.25}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{4.74}{7.10}$ | $\frac{6.35}{10.47}$ |
| SnowsleddingTobogganing | $\frac{20.34}{6.45}$ | $\frac{15.28}{6.84}$ | $\frac{18.26}{5.70}$ | $\frac{11.67}{7.40}$ | $\frac{9.51}{4.89}$ | $\frac{27.88}{4.90}$ | $\frac{22.65}{5.74}$ |
| Outdoor Ice Skating | $\frac{20.17}{12.24}$ | $\frac{11.10}{8.46}$ | $\frac{14.68}{10.02}$ | $\frac{9.78}{10.17}$ | $\frac{4.64}{7.09}$ | $\frac{18.91}{3.42}$ | $\frac{11.36}{12.37}$ |
| Snowmobiling | $\frac{9.37}{14.46}$ | $\frac{17.18}{27.92}$ | $\frac{12.92}{26.23}$ | $\frac{14.40}{21.63}$ | $\frac{23.57}{25.82}$ | $\frac{18.91}{12.64}$ | $\frac{12.63}{18.95}$ |
| Trail Biking | $\frac{1.14}{11.83}$ | $\frac{0.89}{5.08}$ | $\frac{0.25}{1.37}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{1.16}{20.06}$ |
| Cross-Country Biking | $\frac{0.47}{11.00}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{1.22}{29.95}$ |
| Off-road Four Wheel Driving | $\frac{0.33}{2.50}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{1.78}{29.20}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{1.23}{26.47}$ |
| Golfing | $\frac{15.65}{11.66}$ | $\frac{-1.68}{10.52}$ | $\frac{16.38}{12.12}$ | $\frac{2.37}{19.88}$ | $\frac{5.50}{7.30}$ | $\frac{16.83}{15.67}$ | $\frac{14.49}{26.19}$ |
| Tennis | $\frac{12.41}{10.64}$ | $\frac{6.13}{30.44}$ | $\frac{7.00}{10.96}$ | $\frac{4.50}{16.29}$ | $\frac{4.47}{11.77}$ | $\frac{6.98}{8.47}$ | $\frac{8.52}{15.84}$ |
| Cottaging | $\frac{14.29}{15.35}$ | $\frac{4.94}{8.39}$ | $\frac{10.68}{10.71}$ | $\frac{11.60}{8.14}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ | $\frac{11.44}{10.15}$ | $\frac{17.78}{11.34}$ |
| Visiting Provincial Parks | $\frac{58.97}{7.20}$ | $\frac{57.67}{5.55}$ | $\frac{55.96}{5.45}$ | $\frac{50.85}{3.65}$ | $\frac{64.61}{3.23}$ | $\frac{64.61}{6.29}$ | $\frac{61.43}{9.02}$ |

TABLE 29
DEMAND
NUMBER OF PARTICIPANT DAYS BY ACTIVITY FOR THE WINNIPEG REGION

| Activity | Participation Rate (\%) | Number of Participants* | Average Frequency of Participation | Number of Participant Days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Camping | 25.41 |  |  |  |
| Picnicking | 25.41 36.61 | 192,213.18 | 8.71 | 1,674,176.80 |
| Visiting Historic | 36.61 | 276,935.25 | 5.87 | 1,625,609.92 |
| Sites | 22.41 | 169,519.77 | 3.51 | 594,387.65 |
| Pleasure | 33.00 | 249,627.51 | 14.76 |  |
| Walking or Hiking | 36.59 | 276,783.96 | 14.76 | 3,684,502.05 |
| Back Packing | 2.26 | 276,783.96 | 20.78 | 5,751,570.69 |
| Bicycling | 2.26 19.39 | $17,095.70$ | 7.18 | 122,747.13 |
| Horseback Riding | 19.39 | 146,675.07 | 18.63 | 2,732,556.55 |
| Swimming | 6.01 | 45,462.46 | 8.08 | 367,336.68 |
| Swimming | 37.38 | 282,759.89 | 13.19 | 3,729,602.95 |
| Fishing | 23.71 | 175,268.77 | 10.30 |  |
| Hunting | 8.08 | 61,120.92 | 8.17 | 1,805,268.33 |
| Sailing | 2.28 | 17, | 8.17 | 499,357.92 |
| Canoeing | 11.40 |  | 8.06 | 139,010.74 |
| Power Boating | 9.49 | 86,234.96 | 6.27 | 540,693.20 |
| Vater Skiing | 9.49 6.98 | 71,786.82 | 10.41 | 747,300.80 |
| ross-country | 6.98 | 52,800.00 | 7.20 | 380,160.00 |
| Skiing | 12.94 | 97,884.24 | 15.02 |  |
| nowshoeing | 2.37 | 17,927.79 |  | 1,470,221.29 |
| Ownhill Skiing | 5. |  | 5.98 | 107,208.18 |
| nows ledding- | 5. | 41,150.72 | 9.97 | 410,272.68 |
| Tobogganing | 20.34 | 153,861. 32 | 6.45 |  |
| utdoor Ice Skating | 20.17 | 152,575 36 | 6.45 | 992,405.51 |
| nowmobiling | 9. | 152,575.36 | 12.24 | 1,867,552.41 |
|  | 9. | 70,879.08 | 14.66 | 1,039,087.31 |

3ased on a population level of 756,447 .

TABLE 29 - Continued

| Activity | Participation <br> Rate (\%) | Number of <br> Participants** | Average Frequency <br> of Participation | Number of <br> Participant Days |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trail Biking | 1.14 | $8,623.50$ | 11.83 | $102,016.01$ |
| Cross-country | 0.47 | $3,555.30$ | 11.00 | $39,108.30$ |
| Biking |  |  |  |  |
| Off-road Four |  |  |  |  |
| Wheel Driving | 0.33 | $2,496.28$ | 2.50 | $6,240.70$ |
| Golfing | 15.65 | $118,383.96$ | 11.66 | $1,380,356.97$ |
| Tennis | 12.41 | $93,875.07$ | 10.64 | $998,830.74$ |
| Cottaging | 14.29 | $108,096.28$ | 15.35 | $1,659,277.90$ |
| Visiting Pro- |  |  |  |  |
| vincial Parks | 58.97 | $446,076.80$ | 7.20 | $3,211,752.96$ |
| Based on a population level of 756,447. |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 30
DEMAND
NUMBER OF PARTICIPANT DAYS BY ACTIVITY FOR THE WINKLER REGION

| Activity | Participation Rate (\%) | Number of Participants* | Average Frequency of Participation | Number of Participant Days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Camping | 27.14 | 15,170.17 | 4.36 |  |
| Picnicking | 37.78 | 21,117.51 | 4.36 5.75 | 66,141.94 |
| Visiting Historic Sites | 16.29 | 9,105.46 | 5.75 4.20 | 121,425.68 |
| Driving for |  |  | 4.20 | 38,275.84 |
| Pleasure | 45.20 | 25,264.99 | 26.15 | 660,679.49 |
| Walking or Hiking | 37.56 | 20,994.54 | 24.89 |  |
| Back Packing | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | $522,554.10$ 0.00 |
| Bicycling | 13.23 | 7,395.04 | 20.56 | 152,042.02 |
| Horseback Riding | 5.25 | 2,934.54 | 11.86 | $152,042.02$ $34,803.64$ |
| Swimming | 30.54 | 17,070.64 | 8.85 | $34,803.64$ $151,075.16$ |
| Fishing | 20.91 | 11,687.85 | 4.44 | 51,894.05 |
| Hunting | 12.91 | 7,216.17 | 2.26 | 51,894.05 |
| Sailing | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.26 0.00 | 16,308.54 |
| Canoeing | 9.24 | 4.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Power Boating | 0.90 | 503.06 | 6.51 | 33,622.78 |
| Water Skiing | 4.98 | 503.06 | 11.80 | 5,936.11 |
| Cross-country | 4.98 | 2,783.62 | 4.33 | 12,053.07 |
| Skiing | 9.06 | 5,064.18 | 21.31 |  |
| Snowshoeing | 0.96 | 536.60 |  | 107,917.68 |
| Jownhill Skiing | 3.15 |  | 1.26 | 676.12 |
| nows ledding- | 3.15 | 1,760.72 | 11.14 | 19,614.42 |
| Tobogganing | 15.28 | 8,540.91 | 6.84 |  |
| utdoor Ice |  | 8,540.91 | 6.84 | 58,419.82 |
| Skating | 11.10 | 6,204.46 | 8.46 |  |
| nowmobiling | 17.18 | 9,602.93 | 21.92 | $52,489.73$ $210,496.23$ |

Based on a population level of 55,896 .

TABLE 30 - Continued 174

| Activity | Participation <br> Rate (\%) | Number of <br> Participants* | Average Frequency <br> of Participation | Number of <br> Participant Days |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trail Biking | 0.89 | 497.47 | 5.08 | $2,527.15$ |
| Cross-country <br> Biking | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Off-road Four | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Wheel Driving <br> Golfing | 1.68 | 939.05 | 10.52 | $9,878.81$ |
| Tennis | 6.13 | $3,426.42$ | 30.44 | $104,163.17$ |
| Cottaging | 4.94 | $2,761.26$ | 8.39 | $23,166.97$ |
| Visiting Pro- |  |  |  |  |
| vincial Parks | 57.67 | $32,235.22$ | 5.55 | $178,905.47$ |
| Based on a population level of $55,896$. |  |  |  |  |

175. 

TABLE 31
DEMAND
NUMBER OF PARTICIPANT DAYS BY ACTIVITY FOR THE BRANDON REGION

| Activity | Participation Rate (\%) | Number of Participants* | Average Frequency of Participation | Number of Participant Days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Camping | 22.78 | 27,276.54 | 7.64 |  |
| Picnicking | 31.55 | 27,777.65 | 7.64 | 208,392.77 |
| Visiting Historic Sites | 31.55 18.64 | 37,777.65 | 6.83 | 258,021. 35 |
| Driving for |  | 22,319.35 | 4.50 | 100,649.39 |
| Pleasure | 42.29 | 50,637.62 | 18.81 | 952,493,63 |
| Walking or Hiking | 35.49 | 42,495.06 | 27.73 | $1,178,388.01$ |
| Back Packing | 1.64 | 1,963.72 | 27.77 | 1, $54,532.50$ |
| Bicycling | 17.80 | 21,313.53 | 24.47 | 54,532.50 $521,542.08$ |
| Horseback Riding | 4.62 | 5,531.94 | 17.68 | 521,542.08 97,804.70 |
| Swimming | 25.41 | 30,425.68 | 15.17 |  |
| Fishing | 21.00 | 25,145.19 | 5. | 461,557.57 |
| Hunting | 12.74 | 15,254.75 | 4.33 | 134,023.86 |
| Sailing | 2.39 | 861 | . 44 | 67,731.09 |
| Canoeing | 11.20 | 2,861.76 | 4.69 | 13,421.65 |
| Power Boating | 3.92 | 13,410.77 | 11.94 | 160,124.59 |
| Water Skiing | 2.81 | 4,693.77 | 15.94 | 74,817.58 |
|  | 2.81 | 3,364.67 | 14.37 | 48,350.31 |
| Skiing | 10.31 | 12,345.09 |  |  |
| Snowshoeing | 0.68 |  | 13.98 5.45 | 172,584.36 |
| Downhill Skiing | 3.60 |  | 5.45 | 4,437.55 |
| Snows ledding- | 3.60 | 4,310.60 | 5.23 | 22,544.44 |
| Tobogganing | 18.16 | 21,744.60 | 5.70 |  |
| utdoor Ice |  | 21,744.60 | 5.70 | 123,944.22 |
| Skating | 14.68 | 17,577.69 | 10.02 |  |
| nowmobiling | 12.92 | 15,470.28 | 26.23 | 176,128.45 |

Based on a population level of 119,739.

TABLE 31 - Continued

| Activity | Participation Rate (\%) | Number of Participants* | Average Frequency of Participation | Number of Participant Days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trail Biking | 0.25 | 299.35 | 1.37 | 410 |
| Cross-country Biking | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Off-road Four Wheel Driving | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 |
| Golfing | 16.38 | 19,613.25 | 12.12 | 237,712.59 |
| Tennis | 7.00 | 8,381.73 | 10.96 | 91,863.76 |
| Cottaging | 10.68 | 13,027.60 | 10.71 | 139,525.60 |
| Visiting Provincial Parks | 55.96 | 67,005.94 | 5.45 | 365,182.37 |

TABLE 32
DEMAND
NUMBER OF PARTICIPANT DAYS BY ACTIVITY FOR THE DAUPHIN REGION

| Activity | Participation Rate (\%) | Number of Participants* | Average Frequency of Participation | Number of Participant Days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Camping | 18.52 | 10,921.43 | 10.01 |  |
| Picnicking | 34.81 | 20,527.81 | 10.01 | 109,323.51 |
| Visiting Historic Sites |  | 20,527.81 | 8.03 | 164,838.31 |
| Driving for | 10.75 | 6,339.38 | 2.84 | 18,065. 39 |
| Pleasure | 33.53 | 19,772.98 |  |  |
| Walking or Hiking | 29.58 |  | 18.04 | 356,704.56 |
| Back Packing | 0.95 | 560.22 | 27.16 | 473,448.50 |
| Bicycling | 13.61 | 560.22 $8,025.95$ | 7.06 | 3,955.15 |
| Horseback Riding | 13.61 | 8,025.95 | 24.24 | 194,549.03 |
| Swimming | 26.94 | 2,759.84 | 17.80 | 49,125.15 |
| Fishing | 19.29 | 15,886.79 | 14.45 | 229,564.12 |
| Hunting | 16.78 | 11,375.51 | 8.49 | 96,578.08 |
| Sailing | 16.78 0.00 | 9,895.33 | 9.23 | 91,333.90 |
| Canoeing | 6.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Power Boating | 8.95 | 3,750.56 | 8.52 | 31,954.77 |
| Water Skiing | 1.70 | 5,277.90 | 10.30 | 54,362.37 |
| ross-country | 1.70 | 1,002.51 | 4.30 | 4,310.79 |
| Skiing | 7.08 | 4,175.15 | 19.97 |  |
| nowshoeing | 0.84 | 500.31 | 19.97 | 83,377.75 |
| ownhill Skiing | 1.89 | 500.31 | 4.16 | 2,081.29 |
| nows ledding- | 1.8 | ,114.55 | 3.25 | 3,622.29 |
| Tobogganing | 11.67 | 6,881.92 |  |  |
| utdoor Ice |  | 6,881.92 | 7.40 | 50,926.21 |
| Skating | 9.78 | 5,767.36 | 10.11 |  |
| nowmobiling | 14.40 | 8,491.82 |  | 58,280.83 |
|  |  | 8,491.82 | 21.63 | 183,678.07 |

Based on a population level of 58,971 .

TABLE 32 - Continued

| Activity | Participation <br> Rate (\%) | Number of <br> Participants* | Average Frequency <br> of Participation | Number of <br> Participant Days |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trail Biking | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cross-country |  |  |  |  |
| Biking | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Off-road Four |  | $1,049.68$ | 29.20 | $30,650.66$ |
| Wheel Driving <br> Golfing | 1.78 | $1,397.61$ | 19.88 | $27,784.49$ |
| Tennis | 2.37 | $2,653.70$ | 16.29 | $43,228.77$ |
| Cottaging | 4.50 | $6,840.64$ | 8.14 | $55,682.81$ |
| Visiting Pro- |  |  |  |  |
| vincial Parks | 50.85 | $29,986.75$ | 3.65 | $109,451.64$ |
| *ased on a population level of $58,971$. |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 33
DEMAND
NUMBER OF PARTICIPANT DAYS BY ACTIVITY FOR THE INTERLAKE REGION

lased on a population level of 15,050 .

TABLE 33 - Continued

| Activity | Participation <br> Rate (\%) | Number of <br> Participants* | Average Frequency <br> of Participation | Number of <br> Participant Days |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trail Biking <br> Cross-country <br> Biking | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Off-road Four <br> Wheel Driving <br> Golfing | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Tennis | 5.50 | 0.00 | 827.75 | 0.00 |
| Cottaging <br> Visiting Pro- <br> vincial Parks | 4.47 | 717.89 | 7.30 | 11.71 |
| Kased on a | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | $6,042.58$ |

Based on a population level of 15,050 .

TABLE 34
DEMAND
NUMBER OF PARTICIPANT DAYS BY ACTIVITY FOR THE PAS REGION

| Activity | Participation Rate (\%) | Number of Participants* | Average Frequency of Participation | Number of Participant Days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Camping | 34.46 | 8,214.23 | 13.59 | 111,631.39 |
| Picnicking | 55.42 | 13,210.47 | 5.33 | 70,411.81 |
| Visiting Historic Sites | 30.17 | 7,191.62 | 5.33 1.96 | $70,411.81$ $14,203.17$ |
| Driving for Pleasure | 51.76 | 12,338.03 | 1.96 9.37 | 14,203.17 |
| Walking or Hiking | 57.92 | 13,805.39 | 18.22 | 5,607 |
| Back Packing | 2.50 | 595.93 | 1.72 | ,534. |
| Bicycling | 20.87 | 4,974.78 | 5.79 | , 025.00 |
| Horseback Riding | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | , |
| Swimming | 44.09 | 10,509.73 | 14.00 | 147,136.22 |
| Fishing | 47.91 | 11,420.31 | 5.01 | 57,215.75 |
| Hunting | 27.51 | 6,557.56 | 2.90 | 19,016.92 |
| Sailing | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Canoeing | 27.90 | 6,650.52 | 11.53 | 76,680.50 |
| Power Boating | 16.15 | 3,849.68 | 4.17 | 16,053.17 |
| Water Skiing | 11.78 | 2,808.00 | 5.18 | 14,545.44 |
| Cross-country Skiing | 13.82 | 3,294.27 | 15.99 | $14,545.44$ $52,675.38$ |
| inowshoeing | 2.25 | 536.33 | 1.70 | $52,675.38$ 911.76 |
| Jownhill Skiing | 4.74 | 1,129.87 | 7.10 | 8,022.08 |
| inowsleddingTobogganing | 27.88 | 6,645.76 | 4.90 | $8,022.08$ $32,564.22$ |
| Jutdoor Ice Skating | 18.91 | 4,507.58 | 3.42 | 15,415,92 |
| inowmobiling | 18.91 | 4,507.58 | 3.42 12.64 |  |

Based on a population level of 23,837 .

TABLE 34 - Continued

| Activity | Participation <br> Rate (\%) | Number of <br> Participants* | Average Frequency <br> of Participation | Number of <br> Participant Days |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trail Biking <br> Cross-country <br> Biking | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Off-road Four | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Wheel Drive | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Golfing | 16.83 | $4,011.77$ | 15.61 | $62,623.73$ |
| Tennis | 6.98 | $1,663.82$ | 8.47 | $14,092.56$ |
| Cottaging | 11.44 | $2,726.95$ | 10.15 | $27,678.54$ |
| Visting Pro- |  |  |  |  |
| vincial Parks | 64.61 | $15,401.09$ | 6.29 | $96,872.86$ |

TABLE 35
DEMAND
NUMBER OF PARTICIPANT DAYS BY ACTIVITY FOR THE NORTHERN REGION

| Activity | Participation Rate (\%) | Number of Participants* | Average Frequency of Participation | Number of Participant Da |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Camping | 30.28 | 20,882. 30 |  |  |
| Picnicking | 39.32 | 20,882.30 | 9.90 | 206,734.70 |
| Visiting Historic | 39.32 | 27,116.64 | 7.65 | 207,824.80 |
| Sites | 17.95 | 12,385.93 | 6.80 |  |
| Driving for Pleasure | 42.66 | 29,420.04 | 6.80 13.75 | 84,447.20 |
| Walking or Hiking | 41.25 | 28,447.66 | 13.75 18.50 | 404,525.55 |
| Back Packing | 1.44 | 28,447.66 | 18.50 | 526,281.71 |
| Bicycling | 15.41 | 993.08 $10,627.35$ | 8.04 | 7,984.36 |
| Horseback Riding | 4.05 | 10,627.35 | 11.56 | 122,852.17 |
| Swimming | 4.05 30.27 | 2,793.04 | 2.54 | 7,094.32 |
|  | 30.27 | 20,875.40 | 13.28 | 277,225.31 |
|  | 32.4 | 22,371.92 | 7.42 | 165,999.65 |
| Hunting | 12.73 | 8,530.85 | 4.25 | 36,256.11 |
| Sailing | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Canoeing | 12.68 | 8,744.64 | 8.06 | 70,481.80 |
| Ower Boating | 3.83 | 2,641.32 | 13.48 | 35,604.99 |
| later Skiing | 3.91 | 2,696.49 | 9.91 | 26,722.22 |
| ross-country Skiing | 11.26 | 7,765.35 | 13.87 | 107,705,40 |
| nowshoeing | 2.73 | 1,882.72 | 15.27 | $107,705.40$ $28,749.13$ |
| Ownhill Skiing | 6.35 | 4,379.21 | 10.47 | $28,749.13$ $45,850.33$ |
| nows leddingTobogganing | 22.65 | 15,620.35 | 5.4 | 45,850.33 |
| utdoor Ice | 22.65 | 15,620.35 | 5.74 | 89,660.81 |
| Skating | 11.36 | 7,834.31 | 12.37 |  |
| nowmobiling | 12.63 | 8,710.15 | 18.95 | $165,057.34$ |

3ased on a population level of 68,964 .

| Activity | Participation <br> Rate (\%) | Number of <br> Participants* | Average Frequency <br> of Participation | Number of <br> Participant Days |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trail Biking | 1.16 | 799.98 | 20.06 | $16,047.60$ |
| Cross-country <br> Biking | 1.22 | 841.36 | 29.95 | $25,198.73$ |
| Off-road Four <br> Wheel Driving | 1.23 | 848.26 | 26.47 | $22,453.44$ |
| Golfing | 14.49 | $9,992.88$ | 26.19 | $261,713.53$ |
| Tennis | 8.52 | $5,875.73$ | 15.84 | $93,071.56$ |
| Cottaging | 17.78 | $12,261.80$ | 11.34 | $139,048.81$ |
| Visiting Pro- |  |  |  |  |
| vincial Parks | 61.43 | $42,364.59$ | 9.02 | $382,128.60$ |
| Based on a population level of $68,964$. |  |  |  |  |

It is apparent from Table 36 that The Pas population has the highest participation rates in more than half of the selected activities. But it also has the lowest frequency rates in half of these activities. This indicates that The Pas region participates in a more varied selection of activities and as a result participates fewer times in each activity compared to the other regions. A possible explanation for this phenomenon could lie in the fact that most of the facilities in the northern areas are located fairly close to the popilation. This is substantiated by the fact that the Northern region is second to The Pas region in having the highest participation rates for the most activities.

The Dauphin region has the most activities exhibiting the lowest participation rates. The Interlake region also shows a general trend of low participation rates (Table 28).

A combination analysis of both participation rates and frequencies produce per capita annual participant days (Table 36). The Winnipeg region has the greatest number of activities having a relatively high per capita annual participation. The Northern region is second to the Winnipeg region. The Interlake region has the most activities with the lowest per capita annual participation.

TABLE 36
PER CAPITA ANNUAL PARTICIPANT DAYS
BY NATURAL REGIONS

| Activity | Winnipeg Region | Winkler Region | Brandon Region | Dauphin Region | Interlake Region | The Pas Region | Horthern Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```amping icnicking isiting Historic Sites``` | $\begin{aligned} & 2.21 \\ & 2.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.18 \\ & 2.17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.74 \\ & 2.15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.85 \\ & 2.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.68 \\ & 2.26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.68 \\ & 2.95 \end{aligned}$ | 2.99 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.00 |
|  | 0.78 | 0.68 | 0.83 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.59 | 1.22 |
| riving for Pleasure | 4.87 | 11.81 | 7.95 | 6.04 | 9.02 | 4.84 |  |
| alking or Hiking |  | $9.34$ |  |  |  |  | 5.86 |
| ack Packing | 7.60 0.16 |  | 9.84 | 8.03 | 10.93 | 10.55 | 7.63 |
| icycling | 3.61 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 2.72 \end{aligned}$ | 0.45 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.11 |
| irseback |  | 2.720.62 | 4.35 | 3.29 | 2.92 | 1.20 | 1.78 |
| imming | 0.48 |  | 0.813.85 | 0.83 | 1.17 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
|  | 4.93 | 2.70 |  | 3.89 | 2.54 | 6.17 | 4.01 |
| shing | 2.44 | 0.92 | 3.85 1.11 | 1.63 | 2.74 | 2.40 | 2.40 |
| nting | 0.66 | 0.29 | 1.11 0.56 | 1.54 | 1.76 |  |  |
| iling | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 1.540.00 |  | 0.79 | 0.54 |
| noeing | 0.71 | 0.60 | 1.33 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| wer Boating | 0.98 | 0.60 |  | 0.54 |  | 3.21 | 1.02 |
| ter Skiing | 0.50 | 0.10 | 0.62 | 0.92 | 1.65 | 0.67 | 0.51 |
| jss Country |  | 0.21 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.61 | 0.38 |
| Skiing | 1.94 | 1.93 | 1.44 | 1.41 | 2.97 | 2.20 | 1.56 |
| wshoeing | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| mhill Skiing | 0.54 | 0.35 | 0.18 |  | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.41 |
| wsledding - |  |  |  | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.66 |
| Tobogganing | 1.31 | 1.05 | 1.04 | 0.86 | 0.46 | 1.36 | 1.30 |
| door Ice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skating | $\begin{aligned} & 2.46 \\ & 1.35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.93 \\ & 3.76 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.47 \\ & 3.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.98 \\ & 3.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.32 \\ & 6.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.64 \\ & 2.39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.40 \\ & 2.39 \end{aligned}$ |
| wmobiling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 36 - Continued

| Activity | Winnipeg Region | Winkler Region | Brandon Region | Dauphin Region | Interlake Region | The Pas Region | Northern Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trail Biking | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Cross-Country |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.23 |
| Biking | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Off-Road Four Wheel Driving | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 |
| Golfing | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 |
| 「ennis | 1.32 | 0.71 | 1.98 | 0.47 | 0.40 | 2.62 | 3.79 |
| jottaging | 1.32 | 1.86 | 0.76 | 0.73 | 0.52 | 0.59 | 1.35 |
| 'isiting | 2.19 | 0.41 | 1.14 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 1.16 | 2.01 |
| Parks | 4.24 | 3.20 | 3.04 | 1.85 | 2.08 | 4.06 | 5.54 |

APPENDIX G

ANALYSIS OF SUPPLY

## APPENDIX G

## ANALYSIS OF SUPPLY

The analysis of the supply of outdoor recreational facilities is done on a provincial, rural-urban and regional basis by activity.
A. Facility Analysis.
(1) Camping.-There are four levels of administration with regard to campsites. The majority of campsites fall under the jurisdiction of the Provincial Govermment. There are just over ten thousand campsites located within federal parks, provincial natural parks, provincial recreation parks, provincial campgrounds and provincial wayside parks. The remainder of the campsites in the province, almost six thousand, are under the jurisdiction of rural municipalities and/or local government districts, communities, and private concerns (Table 37):

Table 38 is a summary list of the provincial parkland camping facilities by the Parks Branch regions. The majority of the campsites are unserviced sites ( $65.6 \%$ ). The fully serviced sites (sites serviced by water, sewer and electricity) and electrical sites (sites serviced by electricity only) are $3.4 \%$ and $9.5 \%$ of the total, respectively. The overflow sites represent $21.5 \%$ of the total number of provincial Parks Branch campsites.

The municipal, community and private campsites are grouped into a 'private' category for the purposes of this thesis. The Parks Branch
|ABLE 3 |
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| Natural Region R.M. or L.G.D. | Locatio | Number of Campsites |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Un- <br> Serviced | Electrical | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fully } \\ & \text { Serviced } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | OverFlow | RM or LGD Total |
| Northern (Cont'd) Region Total : | P - Hughes River <br> P - Oscar Point <br> P - Setting Lake <br> P - Suwannee River <br> P - Troy Lake | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 8 \\ 16 \\ 10 \\ 25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 408 |  |  | 40 | 448 |
|  |  | 9,884 | 2,788 | 1,241 | 2,205 | 16,118 |

*Half Supply Counted. P - Campgrounds within the Provincial and Federal Parks Systems.
Source: Manitoba. Department of Economic Development and Tourism. 1971. "Facilities Inventory". (Computer printout). Winnipeg: Manitoba Bureau of Statistics.
: Manitoba. Department of Mines, Natural Resources and the Environment. Parks Branch. 1979. Manitoba Parks Statistics 1978. Winnipeg: Queen's Printer.
: Manitoba. Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. Tourist Branch. 1979. 1979-1980 Manitoba Vacation Guide. Winnipeg: Queen's Printer.
: Updated as described in the text.

TABLE 38
INVENTORY
REGIONAL SUMMARY OF PROVINCIAL PARKLAND CAMPING FACILITIES

| Location | Shelters | Number of Showers | Number of Toilets |  | Number of Fireplaces | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Picnic } \\ \text { Tables } \end{array}$ | Number of Camping Sites |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (K)Kitchen |  | Modern | Nonmodern |  |  | Unserviced | Electrical | Fully Serviced | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Over } \\ & \text { flow } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| Northeastern Region | 2 K | 8 | 16 | 52 | 373 | 373 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwes tern Region | $12 \mathrm{~K}, 1 \mathrm{R}$ | 3 | 16 | 52 79 | 373 634 | 373 | 373 |  |  | 40 | 413 |
| Eastern Region | 6 K | 40 | 16 103 | $\begin{array}{r}79 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 634 7 | 697 | 624 | 15 |  | 35 | 674 |
| Interlake Region | 9 K |  |  | 106 | 1,133 | 1,518 | 1,179 | 214 | 45 | 1,306 | 2,744 |
| Western Region | 77 K |  | 35 | 58 | 374 | 529 | 511 |  |  | 326 | 837 |
| Southeastern | $77 \mathrm{~K}, 2$ |  | 50 | 67 | 1,971 | 2,062 | 1,781 | 147 | 86 | 50 | 2,064 |
| Region | $28 \mathrm{~K}, 4 \mathrm{R}$ | 72 | 295 | 142 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southwestern |  |  | 295 | 142 | 2,301 | 3,125 | 2,045 | 541 | 223 | 340 | 3,149 |
| Region | $6 \mathrm{~K}, ~ 1 \mathrm{R}$ | 12 | 24 | 46 | 421 | 508 | 333 | 77 |  | 140 | 550 |
| Provincial Total | $140 \mathrm{~K}, 8 \mathrm{R}$ | 135 | 539 | 550 | 7,207 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 7,207 | 8,812 | 6,846 | 994 | 354 | 2,238 | 10,431 |

Source: Manitoba. Department of Mines, Natural Resources and the Environment. Parks Branch. 1979.
Manitoba Parks Statistics 1978.
Manitoba Parks Statistics 1978. Winnipeg: Queen's Printer.
: Manitoba. Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. Tourist Branch. 1979.
1979-1980 Manitoba Vacation Guide. Winnipeg; Queen's Printer.
: Updated as described in Text.
campsites are termed 'public' (Table 39). The public portion is $64.7 \%$ of the total number of campsites within the province.

The Winnipeg region contains $50.9 \%$ of the supply of campsites. The Winkler, Brandon and Dauphin regions combined have $35.6 \%$ of the supply. The Pas, Northern and Interlake regions have the remaining 13.5\%. The number of public campsites outnumber the private campsites in each of the regions except for the Winkler and Brandon regions.
(2) Picnicking.-As with campsites there are four administrations involved in supplying picnic tables. They are the provincial/ federal governments, rural municipalities, civic agencies and private concerns.

The Provincial Parks Branch of the Department of Natural Resources contributes just over $70 \%$ of all picnic tables located in the province not counting those tables owned by the city of Winnipeg. The city of Winnipeg does not have an accurate up-to-date inventory on picnic tables. If one was to discount those picnic tables assigned to campsites (Table 38) then the percentage of picnic tables supplied by the Parks Branch for the purpose of pienicking drops to just over $40 \%$ of the total.

The picnic tables which are in excess of campsite counts and the picnic tables associated with provincial wayside parks were considered to be part of the picnic table inventory supplied by the Provincial Parks system (Table 40). Table 41 lists the number of tables established for picnicking purposes by the Parks Branch regions.

The number of municipal and civic (considered to be public in this case) picnic tables and the number of privately owned (but used by the public) picnic tables are also listed by rural municipality or local government district in Table 40.

TABLE 39

INVENTORY
CAMPSITES BY NATURAL REGIONS

| Natural Regions | Number of Campsites |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Private | Public | Total |
| Winnipeg | 2,640 | 5,661 | 8,201 |
| Winkler | 704 | 227 | 931 |
| Brandon | 1,445 | 1,214 | 2,659 |
| Dauphin | 673 | 1,483 | 2,156 |
| Interlake | 163 | 788 | 951 |
| The Pas | 98 | 674 | 772 |
| Northern | 64 | 384 | 448 |
| Provincial Totals | 5,787 | 10,431 | 16,118 |

Source: Table 37.

TABLE 40
PICNIC TABLES BY NATURAL REGIONS
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TABLE 40 - Continued

| Natural Region | Location | Number of Picnic Tables |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winniper (cont |  | Public | Private | R.M.Total |
| Winnipeg (Cont'd) |  |  |  |  |
| Springfield' (R.M.) | - Sunshine Resort Ltd. <br> - Wagonwheel Ranch <br> P - Birds Hill <br> - Ponderosa Resort <br> - Oasis: Beach | 100 | 20 4 | 26 |
|  |  |  | 60 |  |
|  |  |  | 300 | 460 |
| Tache (R.M.) <br> Whitemouth (R.M.) | - Lorette Sport Centre <br> - Seven Sisters Picnic Area <br> P - Whitemouth Falls Wayside | 6 |  | 460 6 |
|  |  | 24 | 25 | 49 |
| Winnipeg City | - Total for the City <br> P - Birch Falls Wayside <br> P - Black River Wayside <br> P - Curries Landing Wayside <br> P - Manigotagan Wayside <br> P - Moose Lake <br> - | 733 | 37 | 770 |
| Winnipeg Unorganized |  | 10 |  | 770 |
|  |  | 8 |  |  |
|  |  | 15 |  |  |
|  |  | 10 |  |  |
|  | - Manigotagan | 10 |  |  |
|  | P - Silver Falls Wayside | 10 |  |  |
|  | P - Wanipigow Lake | 40 |  |  |
|  | P - Whiteshell - Bear Lake | 10 |  |  |
|  | P - Whiteshell - Bear Lake | 2 |  |  |
|  | - Betula Lake | 30 |  |  |
|  | - shell | 8 |  |  |
|  | Reception | 40 |  |  |
|  | - Brereton Lake | 10 |  |  |
|  | - Daddy Lake | 8 |  |  |
|  | - Dorothy Lake | 29 |  |  |
|  | - Dorothy Wayside | 2 |  |  |
|  | - Eight Foot | 28 |  |  |
|  | - Falcon Lake | 40 |  |  |
|  | - Flag of Freedom Way- |  |  |  |
|  | side |  |  |  |
|  | - Frances Lake | 2 |  |  |

TABLE 40 - Continued
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TABLE 40 - Continued

| Natural Region | Location | Number of Picnic Tables |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northern (Cont'd) |  | Public | Private | R.M.Total |
|  | P - Hargrave River Wayside <br> P - Hughes Lake Wayside <br> P - Hughes River Wayside <br> P - Midlake Fishing Access Wayside <br> P - Minago River Wayside <br> P - Setting Lake Wayside <br> P - Suwanne River Wayside <br> P - Troy Lake Wayside | 15 <br> 2 <br> 10 <br> $-\quad 10$ <br> 5 <br> 16 <br> 10 <br> 6 |  | 86 |
| Region Total: |  | 177 |  | 177 |
| Ovincial Total: |  | 4,572 | 1,354 | 5,926 |

*Half Supply Counted.
Sources: Manitoba. Department of Economic Development and Tourism. 1971.
"Facilities Inventory". (Computer printout). Winnipeg: Manitoba Bureau of Statistics.
: Manitoba. Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. Tourist Branch. 1979. 1979-1980 Manitoba Vacation Guide. . Winnipeg: Queen's Printer.
: Manitoba...Department of Mines, Natural Resources and the Environment. Parks Branch. 1979. Manitoba Parks Statistics 1978. Winnipeg: Queen's $\frac{\text { Printer. }}{}$
: Updated as described in text.

TABLE 41

INVENTORY

## SUMMARY OF PICNIC TABLES AND SHELTERS-PARKS SYSTEM

| Parks Branch <br> Regions | Number <br> of Tables | Number of <br> Kitchen Shelters |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Northeastern | 195 | 2 |
| Northwestern | 115 | 2 |
| Eastern | 433 | 7 |
| Interlake | 173 | 7 |
| Western | 390 | 13 |
| Southeastern | 793 | 16 |
| Southwestern | 299 | 10 |
| Provincial Total | 2,398 | 57 |

Source: Table 40.

A summary table containing the public picnic tables (located in the federal, provincial, municipal and civic parks) and the private picnic tables (located in privately owned commercial picnic areas) are listed by natural regions in Table 42. The public portion represents $77 \%$ of the total number of picnic tables. The Winnipeg region has almost $63 \%$ of the total. The Brandon region is second to the Winnipeg region in terms of absolute number of picnic tables. It has $17.6 \%$ of the total provincial supply. The Northern, Interlake and The Pas regions combined have only $7.9 \%$ of the total picnic table inventory.
(3) Visiting Historic Sites.-This section examines the supply of both museums and historical sites. In both cases, the inventory is also available for the urban (city of Winnipeg) portion of the study.

The urban and rural inventories of museums were combined and listed by natural regions (Table 43). Almost $21 \%$ of the museums in the province are located within the city of Winnipeg and almost $42 \%$ are located within the Winnipeg region (Table 44). The Brandon region is the next highest with just over one-quarter of the provincial supply.. The three northern regions of The Pas, Interlake and Northern have a total of $6.2 \%$ of the museums.

With regard to historical sites, the city of Winnipeg has $35.2 \%$ of the supply (Table 45). The Winnipeg region has $58.8 \%$ of the historical sites in the province with the Winkler region falling in second place with 12.1\% (Table 46).
(4) Driving for Pleasure.-Motorists are able to drive almost anywhere there are roads. The driving tours suggested by the Manitoba Vacation Guide are designed to give a person an overall view of the wide variety of attractions and facilities in the province. These tours

TABLE 42

INVENTORY
PICNIC TABLES BY NATURAL REGIONS

| Natural Regions | Number of Picnic Tables |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Public* | Private** | Total |
| Winnipeg | 2,476 | 1,257 | 3,733 |
| Winkler | 253 | 30 | 283 |
| Brandon | 978 | 67 | 1,045 |
| Dauphin | 397 | 0 | 397 |
| Interlake | 176 | 0 | 176 |
| The Pas | 115 | 0 | 115 |
| Northern | 177 | 0 | 177 |
| Provincial Totals | 4,572 | 1,354 | 5,926 |
| National, Provincial and Municipal Parks. |  |  |  |
| **Private commercial picnic areas. |  |  |  |

Source: Table 40.

MUSEUMS BY NATURAL REGIONS


TABLE 43 - Continued


TABLE 43 - Continued

| Natural Region R.M. or L.G.D. | Location | Name | $\underset{\text { Total }}{\text { R.M.or L.D. }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Louise (R.M.) |  |  |  |
| Montcalm (R.M.) | Emerson | Pilot Centennial Museum <br> Gateway Stopping Place Museum | 1 |
| Pembina (R.M.) | St. Joseph | Le Musee St. Joseph |  |
| Pembina (R.M.) | La Riviere | Archibald Historical Museum | 2 |
| Rhineland (R.M.) | Altona | Star Mound School Museum Park | 2 |
| *Roblin (R.M.) | Altona | K. H. Sawatsky Museum |  |
| South Norfolk (R.M.) | Treherne | Claude Crayson Community Museum | 2.5 |
| Stanley (R.M.) | Morden | Treherne Museum Morden and District Museum | 1.5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { *Stuartburn (L.G.D.) } \\ & \text { Thompson (R.M.) } \end{aligned}$ | Wink ler Gardenton | Pembina Thresherman's Museum |  |
|  | Giami | Ukranian Museum | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0.5 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Miami | Miami Museum |  |
| *Victoria (R.M.) | Cypress River | Cypress River Historical Museum | 2 |
| Brandon |  |  |  |
| Arthur (R.M.) <br> Brenda (R.M.) <br> Cornwallis (R.M.) | Melita | Antler River Museum |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Brandon | Waskada Museum <br> Brandon Allied Arts Centre | 1 |
|  | Brandon Brandon | B.J. Hales Museum of Natural History |  |
|  | Brandon | Brandon Museum, Inc. |  |
| G7enwood (R.M.) | Souris | Hillcrest Museum | 4 |
| Hamiota (R.M.) | Hamiota | Hincrest Museum Hamiota Pioneer |  |
| *Lakeview (R.M.) <br> Langford (R.M.) | Langruth | Hamiota Pioneer Club Museum Langruth Heritage Museum | 1 |
| Langford (R.M.) | Neepawa | Beautiful Plains Museum | 1 |

TABLE 43 - Continued


TABLE 43 - Continued


Source: Manitoba. Department of Cultural Affairs. Historical Resources Branch. 1979. "Manitoba Historical Sites and Museums". (Unpublished data sheets). Winnipeg: Historical
Resources Branch.

TABLE 44

INVENTORY
MUSEUMS BY NATURAL REGIONS (TOTALS)

| Natural Regions | Number of <br> Museums |
| :--- | :---: |
| Winnipeg | 44.0 |
| Winkler | 15.5 |
| Brandon | 27.0 |
| Dauphin | 12.0 |
| Interlake | 2.5 |
| The Pas | 1.0 |
| Northern | 3.0 |
| Provincial Totals | 105.0 |

Source: Table 43.
onull tu
HISTORICAL SITES BY NATURAL REGIONS
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TABLE 45 - Continued

| Natural Region R.M. or L.G.D. Dauphin | Location | Name | $\underset{\substack{\text { R.M.or L.G.D. } \\ \text { Total }}}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alonsa (L.G.D.) | Lake Manitoba Narrows |  |  |
| Dauphin Unorganized | Red Deer River | Origin of the Name "Manitoba" | 1 |
| Massey River (R.M.) | St. Lazare | Saskatchewan Trail | 1 |
| *Russell (R.M.) | Winnipegosis | Fort Dauphin | 0.5 |
| Shellmouth (R.M.) | Asessippi Provincial | Boulton, Major Charles A. | 1 |
| Swan River (R.M.) | Park <br> Swan River | Asessippi Townsite |  |
| *Wes tbourne (R.M.) Region Total: | Lynch's Point | The Fur Trade Walter Lynch and The Lynch Party | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 0.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Interlake |  |  | 6.5 |
| *Bifrost (R.M.) <br> Grand Rapids (R.M.) <br> Region Total: | Riverton Grand Rapids | Icelandic Settlement 1881 Boundary | 0.5 |
| The Pas |  |  | 1.5 |
| Consol (L.G.D.) | The Pas | Budd, Rev. Hen |  |
| The Pas Unorganized Region Total: | Flin Flon | Kelsey, Henry Mandy Mine | 2 |
| Northern |  |  | 3.0 |
| Churchill (L.G.D.) | Churchill | Button, Sir Thomas <br> Fort Churchill <br> Fort Prince of Wales <br> Hearne, Samuel |  |

TABLE 45 - Continued


Source: Manitoba, Department of Cultural Affairs. Historical Resources Branch. 1979. "Manitoba Historical Sites and Museums". (Unpublished data sheets). Winnipeg: Historical
Resources Branch.

TABLE 46

INVENTORY
HISTORICAL SITES BY NATURAL REGIONS (TOTAIS)

| Natural Regions | Number <br> of Sites |
| :--- | :---: |
| Winnipeg | 53.5 |
| Winkler | 11.0 |
| Brandon | 8.5 |
| Dauphin | 6.5 |
| Interlake | 1.5 |
| The Pas | 3.0 |
| Northern | 7.0 |
| Provincial Totals | 91.0 |

Source: Table 45.
encompass the majority of the major road networks in the province. The designated driving tours were taken directly from the 1979-80 Manitoba Vacation Guide and tabulated into natural regions (Table 47).

The Winnipeg region has $32.4 \%$ of the total kilometers of designated driving tours in the province. Many of the routes incorporate the city of Winnipeg, but it would be difficult to separate the proportion of tours which take place on city of Winnipeg roads.
(5) Walking or Hiking.-As in driving for pleasure, a person can also walk or hike almost anywhere but this study will limit the supply to designated hiking and interpretive trails (Table 48). There are trails within the city of Winnipeg but very few are designated. They exist because they are used by the public but they are not maintained by the city of Winnipeg.

In the case of hiking and interpretive trails, the Brandon and Dauphin regions account for $69.7 \%$ of the supply (Table 49). The main reason for this is the large inventory from Riding Mountain National Park which is divided between the two regions (Table 48). This federal park contains $54.1 \%$ of total number of trails in the province and $65.0 \%$ of the total length of trails. If one discounts the federal park inventory, the Winnipeg region has the largest inventory with $76.7 \%$ of the length of trails. With the federal inventory, the Winnipeg region has $26.9 \%$. The Pas, Interlake and Northern regions combined have only 3.4\% of the total inventory of hiking and interpretive trails.
(6) Back Packing.-There are a few of the hiking trails listed in Table 84 which could also double as back packing or extended hiking trails. They are the Mantario Hiking Trail ( 60 km .) in the Whiteshell Provincial Park in the Winnipeg region and the Clear Lake Hiking Trail

## TABLE 47

## INVENTORY

DESIGNATED DRIVJNG TOURS BY NATURAL REGIONS

| Natural_Regions | Total Kilometers |
| :--- | :---: |
| Brandon | 986 |
| Dauphin | 705 |
| Interlake | 111 |
| Northern | 248 |
| The Pas | 435 |
| Winkler | 322 |
| Winnipeg | 1,344 |
|  |  |
|  | 4,151 |

Source: Manitoba. Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. Tourist Branch. 1979. 1979-1980 Manitoba Guide. :114-125. Winnipeg: Queen's Printer.

TABLE 48
INVENTORY
dESIGNATED HIKING AND INTERPRETIVE TRAILS (BY REGION)


TABLE 48 - Continued
Winkler Region

> Region Total

Winnipeg Region
Birds Hill Provincial Park
Cedar Bog Interpretive Trail
North Drive Interpretive Trail
South Drive Interpretive Trail
Dawson Trail Wayside Park Dawson Hiking Trail
Grand Beach Provincial Park
Ancient Beach Interpretive Trail
Sandilands Provincial Forest
Hiking Trail 1
Hiking Trail 2
Hiking Trail 3
Whitemouth River Wayside Park
Hiking Trail Loop 1
Hiking Trail Loop 2
Hiking Trail Loop 3
Whiteshell Provincial Park
Amisk Hiking Trail
Assinika Interpretive Trail
Bear Lake Hiking Trail
Beaver Creek Interpretive Trail
Hunt Lake Hiking Trail
Mantario Hiking Trail
McGillvary Falls Interpretive Trail
Pine Point Hiking Trail
Region Total
Riding Mountain Provincial Park
Arrowhead Interpretive Trail
Baldy Lake Hiking Trail
Beach Ridge Hiking Trail
Bead Lakes Hiking Trail
Birdtail Hiking Trail
Brule Interpretive Trail
Burls and Bittersweet Interpretive Trail
Clear Lake Hiking Trail
Cowan Lake Hiking Trail
Crawford Creek Hiking Trail
Evergreen Hiking Trail
Gorge Creek Hiking Trail

| Length (KM) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \\ & 2.4 \\ & 2.4 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 2.4 |  |
| 2.5 |  |
|  |  |
| 8.9 |  |
| 12.9 |  |
| 2.4 |  |
| 1.6 |  |
| 3.2 |  |
| 5.6 |  |
| 2.4 |  |
| 8.1 |  |
| 4.1 |  |
| 16.0 |  |
| 60.0 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4.9 \\ & 3.5 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |
| 156.7 |  |
| 2.7 |  |
| 8.1 |  |
| 3.7 |  |
| 3.2 |  |
| 11.3 |  |
| 4.2 |  |
| 2.3 |  |
| 36.0 |  |
| 19.3 |  |
| 29.0 |  |
| 1.6 |  |
| 6.4 |  |

TABLE 4e - Continued
Riding Mountain Provincial Park Cont'd.
Grasshopper Valley Hiking Trail
Grey Owl Interpretive Trail
Gunn Lake Hiking Trail
Long Lake Hiking Trail
Loon's Island Hiking Trail
Ma-ee-gun Interpretive Trail
Moon Lake Hiking Trail
Muskrat Lake Hiking Trail
North Escarpment Hiking Trail
Oak Ridge Hiking Trail
Ominnik Marsh Interpretive Trail
South Escarpment Hiking Trail
Strathclair Hiking Trail
Sugar Loaf Hiking Trail
Tilson Lake Hiking Trail
Park Total

Provincial Total
378.9
21.7
19.3
3.9
12.1
2.4
1.0
9.7
14.5
64.4
7.7
1.9
23.3
23.3
8.1
37.8
378.9
583.3

Source: Manitoba. Department of Economic Development and Tourism. 1971. "Facilities Inventory". (Computer printout). Winnipeg: Manitoba Bureau of Statistics.
: Manitoba. Department of Mines, Natural Resources and the Environment. Parks Branch. 1979. (Unpublished manuscript compiled by "Manitoba Trails Guide". updated by F. A. Merkl, April 1979) W.M. Nanka, April 1976,
: Manitoba Department of Touri
Tourist Branch. 1979. 1979-1980 Manitoba Vacaral Affairs. Winnipeg: Queen's Printer. 1980 Manitoba Vacation Guide.
: Updated as described in text.

TABLE 49
INVENTORY
DESIGNATED HIKING AND INTERPRETIVE TRAILS BY NATURAL REGIONS (TOTALS)

| Natural Regions | Length of Trails (km) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Winnipeg | 156.7 |
| Winkler | 0.0 |
| Brandon | 198.8 |
| Dauphin | 207.8 |
| Interlake | 14.4 |
| The Pas | 0.0 |
| Northern | 5.6 |
| Provincial Total: | 583.3 |

Source: Table 48.
( 36 km ) ) Crawford Creek Hiking Trail ( 29 km 。), North Escarpment Hiking Trail ( 64.4 km ) , South Escarpment Hiking Trail ( 23.3 km ) , Strathclair Hiking Trail ( 23.3 km. ), and the Tilson Lake Hiking Trail ( 37.8 km ) , all located in Riding Mountain National Park. The inventory of trails in the federal park is split between the regions of Brandon and Dauphin. There are a total of 273.8 km . of designated back packing trails in the province.

The Winnipeg region has $21.8 \%$ of the supply of back packing trails, with the Brandon and Dauphin regions each having 39.1\%.
(7) Bicycling.-There are only a few designated bicycle routes in the province. They are located within the city of Winnipeg. They vary from permanently restricted roadways for bicycles (Assiniboine Park) to temporary restricted roadways (Wellington Crescent and Wolseley Avenue on Sundays). The Manitoba Vacation Guide lists an unofficial network of bicycle routes varying in length and scenery. Most of these routes use the city roadways which are not exclusive to bicycles. Because a bicycle can be ridden almost anywhere, an inventory of possible routes would be impossible. It is therefore assumed that the inventory is unlimited.
(8) Horseback Riding.-There are probably quite a few more horseback riding trails and stables in the province than are listed by this study (Table 50). The problem stems from not having a good reliable source of information. The 1971 Facilities Inventory has not been kept up-to-date for horseback riding trails and stables. The rural and urban telephone directories were also used for an information source but very few stables list themselves in the yellow page section.

TABLE 50
INVENTORY
HORSEBACK RIDING TRAILS

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Brandon |  |
| Brandon Trail Ride Centre | Length (km.) |
| Minnedosa | 13 |
| Marie's Riding Stable |  |
| Riding Mountain National Park | 9 |
| Circle S Riding Academy |  |
| St. Laurent | 391 |
| Wagonwheel Ranch | 19 |
| Spruce Woods Provincial Park |  |
| Spruce Woods Park Riding Stable | 43 |
| Whiteshell Provincial Park |  |
| Falcon Beach Riding Stables | 54 |
| Pinewood Lodge and Ranch | 83 |
| Winnipeg |  |
| Birds Hill Park Riding Stable | 27 |
| Miracle Ranch | 7 |
| Seine River Stables | 15 |
| Sunshine Riding Academy | 23 |
| Provincial Total | 684 |

Source: Manitoba. Department of Economic Development and Tourism. 1971. "Facilities Inventory". (Computer printout). Winnipeg: Manitoba Bureau of Statistics.
: Manitoba. Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. Tourist Branch. 1979. $1979-1980$ Manitoba Vacation Guide.
: Updated as described in text.

In some cases the length of trails was verified with the stable owners. One problem which arose was the owner's lack of knowledge. Some stable owners did not know the length of their trails but were familiar with only the number of trails they had. Many of the stable owners referred to the length of time it took to travel the trails instead of a measurement of distance. It is therefore suggested to the reader that the inventory of length of horseback riding trails not be accepted as accurate (Table 51). Caution should be used in utilizing the information.
(9) Swimming.-Outdoor swimming can take place at either outdoor swimming pools or at beaches. It is assumed that the majority of the people swimming out-of-doors do so at public swimming pools and at serviced beaches as opposed to private pools and unserviced beaches. Outdoor swimming pools are included in the inventory because they directly affect the demand for serviced beaches, in fact, the heated outdoor swimming pools extend the season for outdoor swimming and therefore affect the demand more than the unheated pools.

The supply of serviced beaches is calculated by length of beach in meters (Table 52). The supply is greatest for the Winnipeg region (63.1\%), (Table 53). There are no serviced beaches for the urban portion (city of Winnipeg) of the study. The Northerm and Winkler regions have the least inventory of serviced beaches available for their populations.

The supply of outdoor swimming pools in the province can be broken down into rural and urban portions by separating the city of Winnipeg from the listing (Table 54). The rural portion of the province

TABLE 51
INVENTORY
HORSEBACK RIDING TRAILS BY HATURAL REGIONS (TOTALS)

| Natural Regions | Length (km) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Winnipeg | 228.0 |
| Winkler | 0.0 |
| Brandon | 260.5 |
| Dauphin | 195.5 |
| Interlake | 0.0 |
| The Pas | 0.0 |
| Northern | 0.0 |
| Provincial Total: | 684.0 |

Source: Table 50.
imole
SERVICED BEACHES BY NATURAL REGIONS

| Natural Region R.H. or L.G.D. | Beach | Length <br> In Metres | R.M. Total Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winnipeg |  |  |  |
| Alexander (L.G.D.) | Black Forest <br> Pioneer Beach <br> Poplar Bay Campground <br> Gull Lake <br> St. George Beach |  |  |
|  |  | 152.4 |  |
|  |  | 30.5 |  |
|  |  | 15.2 |  |
|  |  | 76.2 |  |
| *Bifrost (R.M.) | Hnausa Beach | 121.9 | 396.2 |
| Brokenhead (R.M.) |  | 387.1 | 387.1 |
| *Coldwell (R.M.) | Sherwood Forest Camp | 45.7 | 45.7 |
| *De Salaberry (R.M.) | Lundar Beach | 182.9 | 182.9 |
| Gimli (R.M.) | St. Malo Provincial Recreation Park | 61.0 | 61.0 |
|  | Stephenfield Dam Recreation Area <br> Almond's Acres Trailer Park | 182.9 | 182.9 |
|  | Camp ArnesCamp Morton | 152.4 |  |
|  |  | 182.9 |  |
|  | Husavick Beach Loni Beach | 15.2 |  |
|  | Spruce Sands Trailer Court South Beach | 254.0 |  |
| *MacDonald (R.M.) | Evening Star Resort | 254.0 | 1,163.3 |
| Pinawa (L.G.D.) |  | 30.5 | 30.5 |
| Portage la Prairie (R.M.) | Delta Beach | 76.2 | 76.2 |
|  | Norquay Beach | 68.6 |  |
|  | St. Ambroise Beach | 609.6 |  |
| Rockwood (R.M.) | Norris Lake Wayside | 1,341.1 | 2,019.3 |
| Rosser (R.M.) | Summerland Farms Limited | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| If Supply Counted. | Sumerland Farms Limited | 518.2 | 518.2 |

TABLE 52 - Continued

| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Natural Region } \\ \text { R.M. or L.G.D. }\end{array}$ <br> Winnipeg (cont'd) | Beach | Length In Metres | R.M. Total Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| St. Andrews (R.M.) | Chesley's Lodge Resort |  |  |
|  | Sportsman's Paradise Lodge | 45.7 61.0 |  |
| Ste. Anne (R.M.) <br> St. Clements (R.M.) |  | 792.5 | 899.2 |
|  | Grand Beach Provincial | 152.4 | 152.4 |
|  | Patricia Beach Provincial Recreation Park | 3,352.8 |  |
| St. Laurent (R.M.) | Twin Lakes Beach | 2,414.0 | 5,766.8 |
| Springfield (R.M.) | Birds Hill Provi | 30.5 | 30.5 |
|  | Ponderosa Resort | 1,158.2 |  |
|  | Oasis Beach | 152.4 |  |
| Victoria Beach (R.M.) | Victoria Beach | 254 | 1,564.6 |
| Winnipeg Unorganized | Betula Lake-Whiteshell Provincial Park | 2,712.7 | 2,712.7 |
|  | Betula Lake Campground-Whiteshell Park | 91.4 |  |
|  | Birch Point Provincial Recreation Park | 115.8 |  |
|  | Bird Lake-Nopiming Provincill P.P. | 15.7 213.4 |  |
|  | Brereton Lake-Whiteshell Prov Park | 45.7 |  |
|  | Caddy Lake-Whiteshell Prov. Park | 131.1 |  |
|  | Caribou Landing-Nopiming Prov. Park | 76.2 |  |
|  | Dorothy Beach-Whiteshell Prov. Park | 15.2 121. |  |
|  | Faloma Beach-Whiteshell Prov. Park | 457.2 |  |
|  | Inverness Falls-Whites Prov. Park | 91.4 |  |
|  | Jessica Lake-Whiteshell Prov. Park | 91.4 |  |
|  | Lakeshore Campground-Whiteshell P.P. | 30.5 |  |
|  | Moose Lake Prov. Rec. Park | 91.4 182.9 |  |

TABLE 52 - Continued


TABLE 52 - Continued

| $\qquad$ | Beach | Length In Metres | R.M. Total Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Brandon }}{\text { *Argyle (R.M.) }}$ | Kiwanis Beach Rock Lake |  |  |
|  |  | 36.6 |  |
| *CTanwilliam (R.M.) | Gertrude Lake | 30.5 | 67.1 |
| Daly (R.M.) |  | 22.9 | 22.9 |
| Glenwood (R.M.) | Victoria Park, Souris | 152.4 | 152.4 |
| *Lakeview (R.M.) | Sandy Lake Beach | 30.5 | 30.5 |
|  | Hollywood Beach | 91.4 | 91.4 |
| Langford (R.M.) | Lake Irwin Park Neepawa Lions Riverbend Park | 198.1 | 198.1 |
|  |  | 121.9 |  |
| *Lans downe (R.M.) | Lans downe Centennial Park | 22.3 | 144.8 |
| Minto (R.M.) | Minnedosa Beach | 45.7 | 45.7 |
| *Park (L.G.D.) | Adam Lake | 73.2 | 73.2 |
|  | Max Lake | 137.2 |  |
|  | William Lake | 30.5 |  |
|  | Aspen Picnic Area | 152.4 | 320.1 |
|  | Frith Beach | 19.1 |  |
|  | Lake Kathrine | 138.2 |  |
|  | Moon Lake | 15.3 |  |
|  | Onanole | 10.6 |  |
|  | Wasagaming Beach | 6.1 |  |
| *Rossburn (R.M.) | Rossman Lake Resort | 182.9 | 372.2 |
|  |  | 141.8 | 141.8 |
| Saskatchewan (R.M.) | Rapid City Playground Park | 91.4 |  |
|  |  | 24.4 | 115.8 |

TABLE 52 - Continued

| ( $\begin{aligned} & \text { Natural Region } \\ & \text { R.M. or L.G.D. }\end{aligned}$ | Beach | Length In Metres | R.M. Total Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *Shoal Lake (R.M.) | Lakeview Park |  |  |
|  | Marshal Chambers Park | 91.5 |  |
|  | Oak Lake Recreation Area | 91.4 |  |
| ```*Silver Creek (R.M.) South Cypress (R.M.) Strathclair (R.M.)``` | Silver Beach Summer Resort | 45.7 | 228.6 |
|  | Kiche Manitou Beach | 143.3 | 143.3 |
|  | Pelican Lake | 137.2 | 137.2 |
|  | Salt Lake Carlton Park | 457.2 |  |
|  | Thomas Lake | 61.0 |  |
| *Wes tbourne (R.M.) | Lynch's Point | 61.0 | 579.2 |
| Whitewater (R.M.) | Riverside Park - Minto | 22.9 | 22.9 |
|  | Souris River Wayside Park | 30.5 |  |
|  | Whitewater Centennial Park | 30.5 |  |
| Winchester (R.M.) | Lake Metigoshe, Deloraine Beach |  | 122.0 |
| Region Total: | Kenton Park Dam | 30.5 | 30.5 |
|  | Kenton Park Dam | 91.4 | 91.4 |
| Dauphin |  |  | 3,131.1 |
| Alonsa (L.G.D.) | Amaranth BeachMargaret Bruce Wayside Park |  |  |
|  |  | 365.8 |  |
| *Clanwilliam (R.M.) | Gertrude Lake | 182.9 | 548.7 |
| Dauphin (R.M.) | Sifton Beach | 22.8 | 22.8 |
|  |  | 121.9 |  |
| Dauphin Unorganized | B7ue Lakes Campground Childs Lake Campground | 91.4 | 213.3 |
|  |  | 91.4 <br> 61.0 |  |

TABLE 52 - Continued


TABLE 52 - Continued


TARLE 52-Continued

| Natural Reaion <br> R.f1. or L.G.D. <br> The Pas (cont'd) | Beach | Length <br> In Metres | R.M. Total Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region Total: | Sunset Beach-Clearwater Provincial Park Wekusko Falls <br> Whitëfish Lake Wayside Park | $\begin{array}{r} 428.5 \\ 15.2 \\ 15.2 \end{array}$ | 1,097.5 |
| Northern |  |  | 1,128.0 |
| Lynn Lake (L.G.D.) <br> Mystery Lake (L.G.D.) | Berge Lake Provincial Recreation Park Zed Lake Provincial Recreation Park | 182.9 91.4 |  |
| Northern Unorganized Region Total: | Paint Lake Provincial Recreation Park Cross Lake- | $\begin{aligned} & 91.4 \\ & 76.2 \\ & 15.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 274.3 \\ 76.2 \\ 15.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Provincial Total: |  |  | 365.7 |
|  |  |  | 30,995.9 |

*Half Supply Counted.
Source: Manitoba. Department of Economic Development and Tourism. 1971. "Facilities Inventory".
(Computer printout). Winnipeg: Manitoba Bureau of Statistics.
: Manitoba. Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. Tourist Branch. 1979.
1979-1980 Manitoba Vacation Guide. Winnipeg: Queen's Printer.
: Updated as described in the text.

TABLE 53

## INVENTORY

SERVICED BEACHES BY NATURAL REGIONS (TOTALS)

| Natural Regions | Total Length <br> in Metres |
| :--- | ---: |
| Winnipeg | $19,573.4$ |
| Winkler | 524.3 |
| Brandon | $3,131.1$ |
| Dauphin | $4,047.4$ |
| Interlake | $2,226.0$ |
| The Pas | $1,128.0$ |
| Northern | 365.7 |
| Provincial Totals | $30,995.9$ |

Source: Table 50.

TABLE 54
OUTDOOR SWIMMING POOLS BY NATURAL REGIONS

| Natural Region | Location | Number of Pools |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winnipeg |  | Heated | Unheated | R.M.Total |
| Alexander (L.G.D.) <br> Brokenhead (R.M.) <br> *Dufferin (R.M.) <br> Hanover (R.M.) <br> *Morris (R.M.) <br> Pinawa (L.G.D.) <br> Piney (L.G.D.) <br> Portage la Prairie (R.M.) <br> Richot (R.M.) <br> Rockwood (R.M.) <br> St. Andrews (R.M.) <br> Ste. Anne (R.M.) <br> Winnipeg City | ) Pine Falls |  |  |  |
|  | Beausejour |  |  | 1 |
|  | Carman |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | Grunthal |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | Morris |  |  | 1 |
|  | Pinawa | 0.5 |  | 0.5 |
|  | Nassar |  | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | Oakland |  | 1 |  |
|  | Portage la Prairie |  | 2 | 3 |
|  | St. Adolphe |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | Stonewall |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | Selkirk Ste. Anne |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | Ste. Anne | 1 |  |  |
|  | Central Pool <br> Conestoga Campsites |  | $1$ |  |
|  | Fort Garry Lions Memorial Park | 1 |  |  |
|  | Kildonan Park Pool | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | Norquay Park Pool |  |  |  |
|  | Norwood Community Centre Pool |  | 1 |  |
|  | St. Vital Centennial Pool | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | Sunny Harbour Campground |  | 1 |  |
|  | Transcona Kinsmen Pool | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | Whitehorse Plains Campground |  | 1 |  |
|  | Yogi Bear's Jellystone Park |  | 1 |  |
|  | Yogi Bear's Jellystone Park |  | 1 | 15 |
| Winnipeg Unorganized Region Total: | Pointe du Bois |  | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | 8.5 | 21.5 | 30 |
| Winkler |  |  |  |  |
| ufferin (R.M.) | Carman |  |  |  |
| Franklin (R.M.) | Dominion City |  |  |  |
| Supply Coun |  |  | 1 |  |

TABLE 54 - Continued


TABLE 54-Continued


Source: Manitoba. Department of Economic Development and Tourism. 1971. "Facilities Inventory". (Computer printout). Winnipeg: Manitoba Bureau of Statistics.
: Manitoba. Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. Wourist Branch. 1979. 1979-1980 Manitoba Vacation Guide. Winnipeg: Queen's Printer.
: Updated as described in the text.
has $77.3 \%$ of the total number of outdoor swimming pools of which $43.1 \%$ are heated. The urban portion has $22.7 \%$ of the province's outdoor pools of which $33.3 \%$ are heated (Table 54).

The Winnipeg region has $45.5 \%$ of the province's public outdoor pools of which $28.3 \%$ are heated (Table 55). The regions of Interlake, Northern and The Pas appear to lack public outdoor swimming pools. In the case of the Winkler region having only $1.7 \%$ of the province's serviced beaches, it has $15.1 \%$ of the total number of outdoor pools in the province.
(10) Fishing.-According to the Manitoba Vacation Guide there are some 100,000 square kilometers of water in the province of Manitoba. There are more than a dozen varieties of game fish native to Manitoba waters. The sport fishing waters are many and varied. Ice-fishing is also becoming a popular winter activity and with the use of snowmobiles most waters are accessible.

It is difficult to calculate the actual amount of water in the province which could be used for fishing. It will be assumed that the supply far exceeds the demand and is therefore deserving of little attention.
(II) Hunting.-Hunting in the province is prohibited in certain zones and provincial parks. The public is not allowed to hunt on private land without permission of the owner. The amount of land available for hunting is limited to a certain extent. Like the amount of water available for fishing, the amount of land available for hunting is difficult to calculate. It is assumed that the supply of available hunting land is greater than the demand.

TABLE 55

| INVENTORY |
| :--- |
| OUTDOOR SWIMMING POOLS BY NATURAL REGIONS |
| (TOTALS) |
|  Number of Pools   <br>  Heated Unheated Total <br> Winnipeg 8.5 21.5 30 <br> Winkler 4.5 5.5 10 <br> Brandon 8.5 7.5 16 <br> Dauphin 5.5 4.5 10 <br> Interlake 0.0 0.0 0 <br> The Pas 0.0 0.0 0 <br> Northern 0.0 0.0 0 <br> Provincial Totals 27.0 39.0 66 |

Source: Table 54.
(12) Sailing.-Sailing waters are usually substantial in area. As in fishing, the amount of water available is assumed to be sufficient. (13) Canoeing.-Although a canoe can travel most waters this study takes into account designated or mapped canoe routes as they are listed in the Manitoba Vacation Guide or mapped by the Provincial Parks Branch.

Some of the routes pass through the city of Winnipeg on the various rivers but a rural-urban breakdown will not be analyzed as several of the routes utilize the same portion of the rivers. Much of the duplicate use of northerm rivers by different routes was eliminated. The canoe routes are listed in Table 56. The Northern region has by far the most kilometers of canoe routes of all the regions. It has 62.4\% of the provincial inventory followed by the Winnipeg region with $22.5 \%$ (Table 57). The Winkler, Brandon and Dauphin regions combined supply only $6.4 \%$ of the canoe routes in the province.
(14) Power Boating.-As in sailing, the amount of water available for power boating is limited to the total amount of surface water in the province minus those waters which are too shallow (depending on the size of the boat) and also minus those waters which do not have access (depending upon the size of the boat). Some small power boats can be portaged into lakes which are normally inaccessible to larger boats. It is therefore impossible to calculate the amount of water available for power boating. As a result of the abundance of water in the province it is assumed that there is plenty of boating water to meet current and projected demand.

TABLE 56

DESIGNATED CANOE ROUTES BY NATURAL REGIONS


| TABLE 56 - Continued |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Winnipeg Region | Length (KM) |
| Frances Lake Route |  |
| Kautunigan Route | 35.5 |
| Manigotogan River Route | 483.0 |
| Portage la Prairie to Winnipeg Route | 80.5 |
| Red River-Lake Winnipeg Route | 155.0 |
| Rivière Aux Rats Route | 64.5 |
| Sasaginnigak Canoe Country | 225.0 |
| Whitemouth River Route | 720.0 |
| Whiteshell Provincial Park | 211.0 |
| Caddy Lake Route | 169.0 |
| Winnipeg-Emerson Route | 112.5 |
| Region Total | $2,256.0$ |
| Provincial Total | $\underline{10,005.0}$ |

Source: Manitoba. Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural
Affairs. Tourist Branch. 1979. 1979-1980 Manitoba Vacation Guide. Winnipeg: Queen's Printer.
: Updated as described in the text.

TABLE 57
INVENTORY

| DESIGNATED CANOE ROUTES BY NATURAL REGIONS <br> (TOTALS) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Natural Regions Length of Route (km) <br> Winnipeg $2,256.0$ <br> Winkler 97.5 <br> Brandon 530.5 <br> Dauphin 11.0 <br> Interlake 0.0 <br> The Pas 869.0 <br> Northern $6,241.0$ <br> Provincial Total: $10,005.0$ |  |

Source: Table 77.
(15) Water Skiing.-The discussion that applies to power boating can be applied to water skiing.
(16) Cross-country skiing.-There are many cross-country ski trails in the province (Table 58). Many of them are not designated as such but they are used year after year. There are a few which are designated (mapped and posted). The designated trails themselves may vary from year to year by a few feet but generally the length remains virtually the same. Unlike the designated trails, the undesignated ones may vary from year to year. It all depends on who first breaks the trail each year.

There are many designated trails in the city of Winnipeg but the lengths are not readily available so therefore, further discussions will only reflect designated trails outside of Winnipeg. The Winnipeg region has $40.4 \%$ of the province's designated cross-country ski trails (Table 59). The Brandon and Dauphin regions combined have $45.4 \%$. The major influence in these two regions is Riding Mountain National Park which supplies $41.8 \%$ of the provincial inventory of cross-country ski trails. The Winkler region shows no inventory.
(17) Snowshoeing. -Snowshoeing is another outdoor activity which does not require designated trails, and once again it is difficult to quantify the actual inventory. The only information available is related to designated trails (Table 60).

There are no designated snowshoeing trails within the city of Winnipeg, therefore the inventory is related to the rural sector only. The area lying outside the city of Winnipeg in the Winnipeg region contains most of the inventory. The Winnipeg region has $68 \%$ of the provincial inventory (Table 6l). It also has $68 \%$ of the provincial

TABLE 58
DESIGNATED CROSS-COUNTRY SKI TRAILS BY NATURAL REGIONS


TABLE 58 - Continued

| Winnipeg Region Cont'd. | Total Trail <br> Length (KM) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Whitemouth River Wayside Park | 14 |
| Whiteshell Provincial Park | 37 |
| Region Total | 179 |
| Provincial Rural Total | 443 |

Source: Manitoba. Department of Economic Development and Tourism. 1971. "Facilities Inventory". (Computer printout). Winnipeg: Manitoba Bureau of Statistics.
: Manitoba. Department of Mines, Natural Resources and the Environment. Parks Branch. 1979 Me (Unpublished manuscript compiled by Wanitoba Trails Guide". updated by F. A. Merkl, April 1979) W. M. Nanka, April 1976, Branch.
: Manitoba. Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. Tourist Branch. 1979. 1979-1980 Manitoba Vacation Guide.
Winnipeg: Queen's Printer.
: Updated as described in the text.

TABLE 59
INVENTORY
DESIGNATED CROSS-COUNTRY SKI TRAILS-BY NATURAL REGIONS
(TOTALS)

| Natural Regions | Total Trail Length (km) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Winnipeg | 179 |
| Winkler | 0 |
| Brandon | 109 |
| Dauphin | 92 |
| Interlake | 30 |
| The Pas | 30 |
| Northern | 3 |
| Provincial Total: | 443 |

Source: Table 58.

TABLE 60

DESIGNATED SNOWSHOE TRAILS BY INATURAL REGIONS

## Brandon Region

Spruce Woods Provincial Park Isputinaw Snowshoe Trail Oxbow Lake Snowshoe Trail Turtle Mountain Provincial Park Max Lake Snowshoe Trail
Riding Mountain National Park Bead Lakes Snowshoe Trail (1/2)

Region Total
Dauphin Region
Duck Mountain Provincial Park Baldy Mountain Snowshoe Trail
Riding Mountain National Park (1/2) Beach Lakes Snowshoe Trail

Region Total
Interlake Region
Hecla Provincial Park
Gull Harbour Snowshoe Trail
Region Total
Northern Region
Region Total
The Pas Region
Winkler Region
Region Total

Winnipeg Region
Birds Hill Provincial Park
South Drive Snowshoe Trail
Cedar Bog Snowshoe Trail
Dawson Trail Wayside Park Dawson Snowshoe Trail
Whiteshell Provincial Park
Amisk Snowshoe Trail
Assinika Snowshoe Trail

| Length (KM) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \\ & 3.5 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |
| 1.6 |  |
| 1.6 |  |
|  | 9.7 |
| 0.5 |  |
| 1.6 |  |
|  | 2.1 |
| 7.0 |  |
|  |  |
|  | 0.0 |
|  | 0.0 |
|  | 0.0 |
| 1.5 |  |
| 3.7 |  |
| 6.4 |  |
| 5.6 |  |
| 2.4 |  |

TABLE 60 - Continued

| Winnipeg Region Cont'd. | Length (KM) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bear Lake Snowshoe Trail | 8.1 |
| Beaver Creek Snowshoe Trail |  |
| McGillvray Falls Snowshoe Trail | 4.0 |
| Pine Point Snowshoe Trail | 3.9 |
| Region Total | 3.5 |
| Provincial Total | 40.1 |

Source: Manitoba. Department of Economic Deve lopment and Tourism. 1971. "Facilities Inventory". (Computer printout). Winnipeg: Manitoba Bureau of Statistics.
: Manitoba. Department of Mines, Natural Resources and the Envi ronment. Parks Branch. 1979. "Manitoba Trails Guide". (Unpublished manuscript compiled by W. M. Nanka, April 1976, updated by F. A. Merk1, April 1979). Winnipeg:
Parks Branch.
: Manitoba. Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. Tourist Branch. 1979. 1979-1980 Manitoba Vacation Guide. Winnipeg: Queen's Printer.
: Updated as described in the text.

TABLE 61
INVENTORY
DESIGMATED SNOWSHOE TRAILS EY MATURAL REGIONS
(TOTALS)

| (TOTALS) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Natural Regions | Length (km) |
| Winnipeg | 40.1 |
| Winkler | 0.0 |
| Brandon | 9.7 |
| Dauphin | 2.1 |
| Interlake | 7.0 |
| The Pas | 0.0 |
| Northern | 0.0 |
| Provincial Total: | 58.9 |

Source: Table 60.
population. The Brandon region is second highest with $16.5 \%$ of the total length of trails. The Pas and Northern regions have no designated snowshoe trails.
(18) Downhill Skiing. -There are not many downhill ski runs in the province mainly due to the fact that the physical terrain does not lend itself well to downhill skiing. There are a few runs in the province but none are located within the city of Winnipeg except for a few riverbank slopes. The main downill skiing runs are associated with the Pembina Hills, and the Riding and Duck Mountain ranges (Table 62). Another area which is east of Winnipeg is associated with the Canadian Shield (Falcon Lake slopes).

The Winnipeg region which incorporates the Spring Hill, Stony Mountain, Falcon Lake and Roseisle ski runs has $30.7 \%$ of the provincial dowhill ski slopes (Table 63). The Dauphin region is second to the Winnipeg region with $20.8 \%$ of the slopes but the average vertical height of the slopes is almost two and a half times that in the Winnipeg. region and the average longest run is more than two and a half times as long. The Winkler region is second to the Dauphin region in average vertical height and average longest run but is third to the Winnipeg region in total number of slopes.
(19) Snowsledding-Tobogganing.-There are quite a few areas in the province where a person could go tobogganing. All that is required is snow, a hill which is accessible to the person wanting to use it, and a sled or toboggan. An inventory of such facilities is impossible to obtain. In the city of Winnipeg there are toboggan slides which are man-made, consisting of a wooden starting structure and an iced track

TABLE 62
DOWNHILL SKIING AREAS BY NATURAL REGIONS


Source: Manitoba. Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. Tourist Branch. 1979 . $1979-1980$ Manitoba Vacation Guide.
Winnipeg: Queen's Printer.

TABLE 63
INVENTORY
DNWNHILL SKI RUNS BY NATURAL REGIONS (TOTALS)

| Natural <br> Regions | Average <br> Vertical Height (M) | Total Number <br> Of Slopes | Average <br> Longest Run (M) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winnipeg | 41.7 | 31 | 333.1 |
| Winkler | 76.2 | 20 | 609.6 |
| Brandon | 63.0 | 16 | 386.1 |
| Dauphin | 101.9 | 21 | 883.9 |
| Interlake | - | - | - |
| The Pas | 61.0 | 3 | 304.8 |
| Northern | 64.0 | 10 | 457.2 |
| Provincial Totals 67.7 | 101 | 477.6 |  |

Source: Table 62.
in which the toboggans and sleds slide. There are a total of 21 of these slides in the city of Winnipeg (Table 64).

There are some undesignated areas in the city of Winnipeg where people toboggan down natural slopes, i.e. riverbanks, floodway banks, etc. These are also very hard to inventory.
(20) Outdoor Ice Skating.-Outdoor ice skating rinks are popular with the younger members of the family. Organized hockey leagues for youngsters are one of the major users of community outdoor skating rinks both in the urban and rural sectors of the province. The urban portion (city of Winnipeg) has $60 \%$ of the total number of rinks (Table 65). All of the rural portion is located in towns or communities with a great percentage associated with schools or community clubs.

The Winnipeg region has $74.5 \%$ of the provincial inventory of outdoor ice skating rinks (Table 66). The Winkler, Brandon and Dauphin regions combined have $18.7 \%$ of the inventory and the northern regions of Interlake, The Pas, and Northern have a total of $6.8 \%$ of the rinks.
(21) Snowmobiling.-There are not many designated snowmobile trails in the province due to the fact that the rural people participate more in snowmobiling than do the urban people. There are no current user studies available on snowmobile trail use but it would be safe to assume that most of the trail use especially in the Winnipeg region stems from urban participants. This is a safe assumption considering the fact that the city of Winnipeg has no supply of trails.

The Winnipeg region has most of the provincial inventory of snowmobile trails with $51.2 \%$ of the supply (Table 67). The Brandon region is second with $30.9 \%$. The Winkler and Northerm regions have no inventory but there is a trail under construction at Paint Lake

TABLE 64

INVENTORY
TOBOGGAN SLIDES AND HILLS
CITY OF WINNIPEG


Source: Winnipeg. Parks and Recreation Department. 1978. "Inventory and Analysis Sheets and Summary Sheets". (Unpublished data sheets). Winnipeg: Parks and Recreation Department.

TABLE 65
OUTDOOR SKATING AREAS BY NATURAL REGIONS


TABLE 65 - Continued


TABLE 65. Continued




TABLE 65 - Continued

| Matural Region <br> R.M. or L.G.D. | Community | Number <br> of Rinks | R.M.or L.G.D. <br> Total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Northern (Cont'd) <br> Northern Unorgan- <br> ized | Pikwitonei <br> Thicket Portage <br> Wabowden | 1 |  |
| Region Total: |  | 1 |  |
| Provincial Total: |  | 1 | 3 |

Source: Manitoba. Department of Economic Development and Tourism. 1971. "Fa:ilities Inventory". (Computer printout). Winnipeg: Manitoba Bureau of Statistics.
: Winnipeg. Parks and Recreation Department. 1978. "Inventory and Analysis Sheets and Summary Sheets". (Unpublished data sheets). Winnipeg: Parks and Recreation Department.

TABLE 66

| INVENTORY <br> OUTDOOR SKATING AREAS BY NATURAL <br> (TOTAIS) |
| :--- |
| Natural Regions Number <br> of Rinks <br> Winnipeg 383.5 <br> Winkler 34.5 <br> Brandon 34.5 <br> Dauphin 27.5 <br> Interlake 6.0 <br> The Pas 18.0 <br> Northern 11.0 <br> Provincial Totals 515.0 |

Scurce: Table 65.

TABLE 67
I NVENTORY

DESIGNATED SNOWMOBILE TPAILS BY NATURAL REGIONS

(Table 67 - Continued)

TABLE 67 - Continued

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Winnipeg Region | Total Length <br> of Trails (KM) |
|  |  |
| Birds Hill Provincial Park |  |
| Grand Beach Provincial Park | 31 |
| Sandilands Provincial Forest | 41 |
| Whiteshell Provincial Park | 82 |
| Regional Total | 177 |
| Provincial Rural Total | 477 |

Source: Manitoba. Department of Mines, Natural Resources and the Environment. Parks Branch. 1979. "Manitoba Trails Guide". (Unpublished manuscript compiled by W. M. Nanka, April 1976, updated by F. A. Merk1, April 1979). Winnipeg: Parks Branch.
: Manitoba. Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. Wourist Branch. 1979 1979-1980 Manitoba Vacation Guide.

Provincial Recreation Park in the Northern region which is expected to be completed for the winter of 1980-81.
(22) Trail Biking.-As far as could be ascertained, there are no designated trail bike trails in the province. There may be trails which are used extensively but they are usually made or laid out by the users on private land or on public land where no objections are raised.
(23) Cross-country Biking.-Cross-country biking does not require trails as such but rather areas of land which have varying topography and landforms. There are no areas which are designated as crosscountry biking areas except for one or two moto-cross tracks.
(24) Off-Road Four Wheel Driving.-As with cross-country biking, off-road four wheel driving also requires areas of the same type of land. There may be areas where participants gather but they are not designated areas and are usually known only by regular participants.
(25) Golfing.-There are in the province, a variety of golf courses. There are nine and eighteen hole golf courses, some with sand greens, some with grass greens. The urban portion (city of Winnipeg) has both nine and eighteen hole courses but all are grass greens (Table 68). The rural portion of the province has both sand and grass greens. Twenty-eight percent of the courses are sand. The rural sector has $75.6 \%$ of the total number of golf courses in the province but the proportion of these courses having eighteen holes as opposed to nine is only $13.2 \%$ (Table 69). Of the urban courses, $59.1 \%$ are eighteen hole courses, the rural courses are $10.9 \%$ longer.

The Winnipeg region contains $52.8 \%$ of the total number of grass green golf courses in the province and $41.7 \%$ of the total, including sand greens (Table 70). The Brandon region is second with $33.3 \%$ of the

GOLF COURSES BY NATURAL REGIONS -


| Natural Region R.M. or L.G.D. <br> Winkler (Con't) | Location of Course | Number of Holes | Length of Course (11) | Greens <br> S - Sand <br> G - Grass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rhineland (R.M.) | Gretna |  |  |  |
| *Roblin (R.M.) | Cartwright | 9 | 2,426 | G |
| Roland (R.M.) | Shell River | 4.5 4.5 | 2,103 | S |
| South Norfolk (R.M.) | Roland | 9 | 2,513 | S |
| South Norfolk (R.M.) | Treherne | 9 | 2,349 | S |
| Stanley (R.M.) | Morden | 9 | 2,853 | G |
| Region Total: | Winkler | 9 9 | 2,451 2,751 | G |
|  |  | 81.0 | 22,892 | 7G 2 S |
| Brandon |  |  |  |  |
| Arthur | Melita |  |  |  |
| *Birtle | Birtle | 9 | 2,720 | G |
| Brenda (R.M.) | Waskada | 4.5 | 969 | S |
| Cameron (R.M.) | Hartney | 9 | 1,445 | S |
| Cornwallis (R.M.) | Brandon | 9 | 2,104 | S |
|  | Brandon | 18 | 5,656 | G |
|  | Brandon | 189 | 1,390 | G |
| Glenwood (R.M.) | Souris | 18 | 5,852 | G |
| Hamiota | Hamiota | 9 | 2,870 | G |
| Harrizon (R.M.) | Sandy Lake | 9 | 2,140 | S |
| Langford (R.M.) | Neepawa | 9 | 2,638 | G |
|  |  | 9 | 2,963 | G |

imole vo - continued

| Natural Region R.M. or L.G.D. | Location of Course | Number of Holes | Length of Course (11) | Greens <br> S-Sand <br> G-Grass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brandon (Con't) |  |  |  |  |
| Miniota (R.M.) | Miniota | 9 | 1,618 |  |
| Mortan (R.M.) | Boissevain |  |  | S |
| North Cypress (R.M.) | Carberry Shilo | 9918 | 2,469 | G |
|  |  |  | 1,7885,622 | S |
| Odanah (R.M.) | Elk Horn |  |  | S |
| *Park (L.G.D.) |  | 9 | 2,607 | G |
|  | Wasagaming | 4.5 | , 686 | G |
| *Roblin (R.M.) | Reston |  | 2,775 | G |
| *Roblin (R.M.) | Cartwright Shell River |  | 3,365 | S |
| *Rossburn (R.M.) |  | 4.5 | 2,103 | S |
| *Russel1 (R.M.) | Binscarth <br> Russe 11 | 4.54.54.5 | 1,052 | S |
|  |  |  | 6341,385 |  |
| Saskatchewan (R.M.) | Rapid City |  |  | S |
| *Shoal Lake (R.M.) |  | 9 | 1,558 | S |
| Syton (R.M.) | Oak Lake | 4.5 | 1,385 | G |
| South Cypress (R.M.) | Glenboro | 9 | 1,783 | S |
| Strathcona (R.M.) | Belmont | 9 | 2,701 | G |
| Turtle Mountain (R.M.) | Killarney | 9 | 2,519 | S |
| Wallace (R.M.) | Elkhorn Virden | 9 | 2,624 | G |
|  |  | 99 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,313 \\ & 2,633 \end{aligned}$ | G |
|  |  |  |  |  |

"rocl oo - Comci nuea


*Half Supply Counted
inolc vo - continued

| Natural Region <br> R.M. or L.G.D. | Location of Course | Number <br> of Holes | Length of <br> Course (M) | Greens <br> S - Sand <br> G - Grass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Provincial Totals |  | 1,008 | 295,111 | $71 G$ <br> $19 S$ |

Source: Manitoba. Department of Economic Development and Tourism.
1971. "Facilities Inventory". (Computer Printout)

Winnipeg: Manitoba Bureau of Statistics.
: Manitoba. Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. Tourist Branch. 1979. 1979-1980 Manitob Vacation Guide. Winnipeg: Queen's Printer.
: Updated as described in the text.

TABLE 69

INVENTORY
GOLF COURSES-PROVINCIAL TOTALS

|  | Number of <br> Courses | Total Metres | Total Number <br> of Holes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 Hole Courses |  |  |  |
| Rural |  |  |  |
| Urban | 59 | 147,097 |  |
| 18 Hole Courses |  |  |  |
| Rural |  |  |  |
| Urban | 9 | 23,044 | 531 |
| 81 |  |  |  |
| Provincial Totals | 90 | 295,111 | 1,008 |

Source: Table 68.
total supply of which $58.3 \%$ has grass greens. The Pas and Northern regions each have one golf course, an eighteen hole course and a nine hole course respectively. Of the total number of holes, the Winnipeg and Brandon regions have $48.6 \%$ and $29.9 \%$ of the provincial total respectively.
(26) Tennis.-There are basically two types of outdoor tennis courts in the province. They differ by the type of playing surface. They are either paved (asphalt) or shale (clay) surfaces (Table 72). As can be seen from Table 71 there are no shale tennis courts in the city of Winnipeg. They are all paved. The rural sector has $17.2 \%$ of the total number of tennis courts surfaced with shale. The urban sector's paved portion represents $62.2 \%$ of the provincial total.

The Winnipeg region has three-quarters of the total number of the province's tennis courts (Table 73). The Brandon region is second to the Winnipeg region with almost fifteen percent of the total number of tennis courts leaving the remaining regions with just $10 \%$.
(27) Cottaging.-Cottaging at first glance is not an outdoor recreational activity but it does initiate many of the activities, i.e. power boating, water skiing, fishing, walking, swimming, sailing, canoeing, etc. It is a base from which many outdoor activities stem. There are, in the province, over 18,000 cottages (Table 74).

The Winnipeg region has by far the most cottages. Just over three-quarters of the inventory of cottages in the province are located within the Winnipeg region (Table 75). The majority of that inventory stems from cottages located in the Whiteshell area (26.3\%) and around the southern portion of Lake Winnipeg ( $53.0 \%$ ). The Brandon region is second to the Winnipeg region with only $9.0 \%$ of the supply. The Pas,

TABLE 70
INVENTORY
GOLF COURSES BY NATURAL REGIONS
(TOTALS)

|  | Natural Regions Total Number <br> of Holes <br> Winnipeg Total Length <br> of Courses <br> in Metres | Number of Courses <br> With Grass <br> Greens | With Sand <br> Greens |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winkler |  | 153,143 | 37.5 | - |
| Brandon | 81.0 | 22,892 | 7.0 | 2.0 |
| Dauphin | 301.5 | 79,974 | 17.5 | 12.5 |
| Interlake | 76.5 | 20,506 | 4.5 | 3.5 |
| The Pas | 31.5 | 10,214 | 2.5 | - |
| Northern | 18.0 | 5,505 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Provincial Totals | 1,008 | 2,877 | 1.0 | - |

Source: Table 68.
TABLE 71
INVENTORY
OUTDOOR TENNIS COURTS-PROVINCIAL TOTALS

| Shale | - | Rural <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Paved | Urban |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |

Source: Table 72.

| Location | Number of Courts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Paved | Shale |
| Winnipeg |  |  |
| *Bifrost (R.M.) Arborg |  |  |
| *Dufferin (R.M.) Carman | 1 |  |
| Hanover (R.M.) $\quad$ Grunthal | 1.5 |  |
| Lac du Bonnet Grunthal | 1 |  |
| Lac du Bonnet (R.M.) $\quad$ Lac du Bonnet |  |  |
| Pinawa (L.G.D.) Pinawa | 1 |  |
| Portage la Prairie |  | 3 |
| (L.G.D.) Portage 1a Prairie |  |  |
| Rockwood (R.M.) Stonewall |  | 4 |
| St Andrews (R.M.) Teulon | 2 |  |
| St. Andrews (R.M.) $\begin{aligned} & \text { Selkirk } \\ & \text { Winnipeg Beach }\end{aligned}$ | 7 | 2 |
| St. Clements (R.M.) Grand Beach |  | 3 |
| Tache (R.M.) Lorette | 4 |  |
| Victoria Beach Lorte | 1 |  |
| (R.M.) Victoria Beach |  |  |
| Winnipeg City Alex Bridge Park |  | 3 |
| Alexander Ross School | 4 3 |  |
| Arthur Day Jr. High | 2 |  |
| Boyd Park Playground | 4 |  |
| Broadway Optimit C.C. | 3 |  |
| Bronz Park C.C. Brookland | 4 |  |
| Central C.C. | 1 |  |
| Chalmers C.C. | 3 |  |
| Charles McFadyen Memorial Park | 3 2 |  |
| Clifton C.C. | 2 |  |
| Crescentwood C.C. | 6 |  |
| Dakota Collegiate | 3 |  |
| Deer Lodge Tennis Club | 4 4 |  |
| Earl Grey C.C. | 1 |  |
| Elwick Recreation Site | 4 |  |
| Eric Coy Centennial Rec. Centre | 4 2 |  |
|  | 2 |  |
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| Natural Regions R.M. or L.G.D. | Location | Number of Courts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dauphin (Cont'd) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| *Glenella (R.M.) <br> McCreary (R.M.) | Glenella | 0.5 | 2 |
|  | Oak LakeMcCreary |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| *Park (L.G.D.) | Riding Mountain National Park | 3 |  |
| *Russell (R.M.) | Russell 1 | 3 |  |
| Ste. Rose (R.M.) | Ste. Rose du Lac | 3 |  |
| *Shoal Lake (R.M.) | Shoal Lake | 2 |  |
| Stwan River (R.M.) | Swan River |  |  |
| *Wes tbourne (R.M.) <br> Region Total: | Gladstone | 1 |  |
| Region Total: |  | 18.0 | 1.0 |
| Interlake |  |  |  |
| *Bifrost (R.M.) <br> Interlake Unorganized | Arborg | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Hecla Island | 2 |  |
| Siglunes (R.M.) | Ashern | 1 |  |
|  | The Pas | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| Consol (L.G.D.) |  | 1 | 1 |
| Snow Lake (L.G.D.) Region Total: | Snow Lake |  |  |
|  |  | 2 |  |
| Northern <br> Mystery | Thompson | 3.0 | 1.0 |
|  |  | 6 |  |
| Mystery Lake(L.G.D Region Total: |  | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| Provincial Total: |  |  |  |
| lf Supply Coun |  | 388.0 | 27.0 |

Sources: Manitoba. Department of Economic Development and Tourism. 1971. "Facilities Inventory". (Computer printout). Winnipeg: Manitoba Bureau of Statistics.
: Manitoba. Department of Tourism, Recreation and Cultural Affairs. Tourist Branch. 1979. 1979-1980 Manitoba Vacation Guide. Winnipeg: Queen's Printer.

TABLE 73
INVENTORY
OUTDOOR TENNIS COURTS BY NATURAL REGIONS (TOTALS)

| Natural Regions | Number of Courts |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | Paved | Shale | Total |
| Winnipeg | 293.5 | 18.0 | 311.5 |
| Winkler | 8.5 | 1.0 | 9.5 |
| Brandon | 55.0 | 6.0 | 61.0 |
| Dauphin | 18.0 | 1.0 | 19.0 |
| Interlake | 4.0 | - | 4.0 |
| The Pas | 3.0 | 1.0 | 4.0 |
| Northern | 6.0 | - | 6.0 |
| Provincial Totals | 388.0 | 27.0 | 415.0 |

Source: Table 72.


imble 14 - Continued

| Natural Region R.M. or L.G.D. | Location | Number of Cottages | Total for R.M. or L.G.D. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winnipeg (Cont'd) | Buffalo Point |  |  |
|  | Caddy Lake | 77 |  |
|  | Crow Duck Lake | 146 |  |
|  | Davidson Lake | 1 2 |  |
|  | Eaglenest Lake | 75 |  |
|  | Elbow Lake | 2 |  |
|  | Eleanor Lake | [1 |  |
|  | Falcon Lake | 54 |  |
|  | Famity Lake | 794 |  |
|  | Florence Lake | 1 |  |
|  | Frenchmans Lake | 31 |  |
|  | Garner Lake | 1 |  |
|  | Gem Lake | 2 |  |
|  | George Lake | 4 |  |
|  | Green Lake | 4 |  |
|  | Happy Lake | 3 |  |
|  | Hunt Lake | 3 |  |
|  | Jessica Lake | 8 |  |
|  | Lake Winnipeg | 103 |  |
|  | Long Lake | 1 |  |
|  | Manigotagan River | 3 |  |
|  | Margaret Lake | 11 |  |
|  | McGregor Lake | 2 |  |
|  | Moose Lake | 1 |  |
|  | Nason Lake | 91 |  |
|  | Nora Lake | 1 |  |
|  | North Cross Lake | 20 |  |
|  | North Cypress Lake | 1 |  |
|  | Nutimik Lake | 1 |  |
| *Half Supply Counted. |  | 159 |  |

IABLE 14 - Continued


IAbLE 74 - Continued

| Natural Region R.M. or L.G.D. <br> Brandon |  | Number of Cottages | Total for R.M. or L.G.D. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *Argyle (R.M.) | Rock Lake |  |  |
| *Clanwilliam (R.M.) | Gertrude Lake | 30 | 30 |
|  | Kerr Lake | 34 |  |
|  | Otter Lake | 7 |  |
| Daly (R.M.) | Lake Wahtopanah | 31 | 72 |
| Harrison (R.M.) | Sandy Lake | 30 | 30 |
| Langford (R.M.) | Lake Irwin | 248 | 248 |
| Morton (R.M.) | Bower Lake | 15 | 15 |
|  | Max Lake | 5 |  |
|  | Sharpe Lake | 11 |  |
| Odanah (R.M.) | Lake Minnedosa | 15 | 31 |
| *Park (L.G.D.) | Clear Lake | 139 | 139 |
|  | Octopus Lake | 235 |  |
| Riverside (R.M.) | Pelican Lake | 26 | 261 |
| *Roblin (R.M.) | Rock Lake | 90 | 90 |
| *Rossburn (R.M.) | Rossman Lake | 21 | 21 |
| Sifton (R.M.) | Oak Lake | 24 | 24 |
| *Silver Creek (R.M.) | Fish Lake | 306 | 306 |
| Strathcona | North Thomas Lake | 27 | 27 |
|  | Pelican Lake | 72 |  |
|  | Salt Lake | 1 |  |
| Turtle Mountain (R.M.) | Lake Killarney | 23 | 96 |
| *Half Supply Counted. $\quad 20$ |  |  |  |

IABLE 74 - Continued
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Source: Canada. Environment Canada. Prairie Provinces Water Board. 1979. "Water Oriented Recreation Within the Saskatchewan-Nelson River Basins: Manitoba". (An unpublished agency report

TABLE 75

| INVENTORY |
| :---: |
| COTTAGES BY NATURAL REGIONS <br> (TOTALS) |
| Natural Regions Total Number <br> of Cottages <br> Winnipeg 13,629 <br> Winkler 115 <br> Brandon 1,633 <br> Dauphin 734 <br> Interlake 718 <br> The Pas 985 <br> Northern 246 <br> Provincial Totals 18,061 |

Source: Table 74.

Northern and Interlake regions have a combined total of $10.8 \%$ of the number of cottages in the province.
(28) Visiting Provincial Parks.-This section will examine the amount of parkland in the province. The more important aspect is in relation to provincial parkland but urban parkland influences to some extent the amount of demand for provincial parkland, therefore the urban parkland is also inventoried. Within the urban parkland, both school areas and park areas are included in the totals. Most schools have areas which are conducive to outdoor recreational activities and as such, influence the demand for both urban and provincial parklands.

There is in the city of Winnipeg almost three thousand hectares of parkland of which more than three-quarters is park-related and less than one-quarter school-related (Table 76). Table 77 offers a district parkland breakdown for the district totals of Table 72. Sixty-seven percent of the urban parkland which is park-related is made up of the larger size district parks. The remainder are community and neighbourhood parks. Table 78 summarizes the various levels of parkland for the different districts of the city of Winnipeg.

The rural portion of the inventory is made up of parks managed by the provincial and federal governments. There are over a million hectares of parkland under provincial jurisdiction (Table 79 and Table 80).

Table 79 lists the amount of federal and provincial parkland in hectares in each municipality by 'natural' regions. With Riding Mountain National Park there is just over 1.3 million hectares of parkland in the province, the majority of which is made up of the large natural parks ( $94.2 \%$ ) (Table 81). The remainder is made up of the more

TABLE 76

CITY OF WINNIPEG PARKLAND (AREA)

|  | Area in Hectares |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | Park | Total |
| D-Assiniboine Park-Fort Garry |  |  |  |
| C-Charleswood East | - | 631.75 | 631.75 |
| N-Elmhurst N -Varsity View | 1.59 | 5.04 | - |
| N -Varsity N -Vialoux | 2.01 | 5.04 3.76 | 6.63 |
| C-Charleswood West | 1.20 | 1.09 | 5.77 2.29 |
| N-Betsworth | 5.80 | 34.97 | 40.77 |
| N-Eric Coy | 2.30 | 5.33 | 7.63 |
| N -Ridgewood South | 2.43 | 0.07 | 2.50 |
| N-River West Park | 1.20 | 0.82 | 0.82 |
| $N$-Roblin Park | 1.20 | 3.83 | 5.03 |
| N -Southboine |  | 4.38 | 4.38 |
| N -Westdale | 4.42 | 1.80 | 1.80 |
| C-Headingley | 4.42 | 2.38 | 6.80 |
| N-North Headingley |  | 9.68 | - |
| N-South Headingley |  | 9.68 | 9.68 |
| C-North Fort Garry | 3.86 8.34 | 2.31 | 6.17 |
| N -Beaumont N -Crescent Park | 2.88 | 12.38 | 20.72 |
| N-Crescent Park | 1.62 | 4.01 | 6.89 |
| N-Point Road | 3.23 | 3.41 | 6.46 |
| N-Wildwood | 3.89 | 0.95 | 6.64 4.84 |
| C-River Heights | 1.80 | 6.74 | 8.54 |
| N -Central River Heights | 11.63 | 16.85 | 28.48 |
| N -Crescentwood | 4.21 | 1.59 | 6.80 |
| N-Grant Park | 0.56 | 0.91 | 1.47 |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{J} . \mathrm{B} . \mathrm{Mitchell}$ N -Mathers | 2.16 3.53 | - | 2.16 |
| N -Mathers | 3.53 | - | 3.53 |
| $N$-North River Heights |  | 3.53 | 3.53 |
| N-Rockwood | 2.38 | 2.44 | 4.82 |
| N -Sir John Franklin | 2.32 | 3.72 | 6.04 |
| $N$-South River Heights | 3.52 2.84 | 6.75 | 10.27 |
| N-Wellington | 2.84 | $3: 15$ | 5.99 |
| C-Tuxedo |  | 1.87 | 1.87 |
| N-Mountbatten | 2.75 3.54 | 14.47 | 17.22 |
|  |  | 2.09 | 5.63 |

TABLE 76 - Continued

| D-District Level C-Community Level N-Neighbourhood Level | Area in Hectares |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | Park | Total |
| N -01d Tuxedo |  |  |  |
| N -South Tuxedo | - | ${ }_{0}^{0.50}$ | 0.50 0.50 |
| - N -Agassiz | 13.17 | 20.33 | 33.50 |
| N-Fairfield Park | 1.58 | 0.49 | 2.07 |
| $N$-Fort Richmond | 8.26 | 1.18 | 1.18 |
| N-Park La Salle | 8.26 | 4.84 | 13.10 |
| N-Oak Hill Estates |  | 1.65 | 3.17 |
| N-St. Norbert | 1.21 | 0.77 | 1.98 |
| C-West University | 6.54 | 7.84 | 14.38 |
| N-Fort Richmond | 1.61 | 3.79 |  |
| District Totals | 119.90 | 3.7 | 5.40 |
|  |  |  | 961.01 |
| D-City Centre-Fort Rouge C-Central | - | 131.92 | 131.92 |
| N-Centennial | 3.98 | 1.65 | 5.63 |
| N -West Alexander | 1.66 | 5.57 | 7.23 |
| N -Weston | 3.82 | 2.90 | 6.72 |
| C-Downtown | 0.68 | 8.39 | 9.64 |
| N-Downtown |  | $\overline{2.41}$ | 0.68 |
| C-Ellice ${ }^{\text {N-South Point Douglas }}$ |  | 0.98 | 2.98 |
| N-Daniel McIntyre | 16.27 | 2.28 | 18.55 |
| N-Minto N -Sargent Park | 2.90 | 0.31 | 2.21 |
| $N$-Sargent Park | 2.42 4.69 | 3.14 2 | 5.56 |
| N -Spence | 4.69 | 2.80 | 7.49 |
| N-St. Mathews C-Fort Rouge | 1.52 | 0.09 0.98 | 0.09 2.50 |
| --Earl Grey | 0.98 |  | 0.98 |
| N-Ebby Wentworth | 1.21 | 1.45 | 2.66 |
| N-McMillan |  | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| N-River-Osborne N -Roslyn | 0.58 | 6.74 | 1.72 |
| C-Osborne ${ }^{\text {N-Roslyn }}$ |  | 2.85 0.25 | $3.45 \cdot$ |
| N-Lord Roberts | 5.73 | 1.27 | 7.00 |
| N-Riverview | 1.39 | 7.00 | 8.39 |
| C-Wolseley | 2.56 1.34 | 5.87 | 8.43 |
| N-Memorial | 1.34 | 2.56 | 3.90 |
| N -Westminister | 3.07 | 0.77 0.60 | 0.77 3.67 |
| District Totals | 56.03 | 186.96 | 242.99 |
| D-East Kildonan-Transcona |  |  |  |
| C-Bunns Creek |  | 270.15 | 270.15 |
| N-River East | $\overline{7} .39$ | 7.81 | 7.81 |
| $N-S p r i n g f i e l d ~ N o r t h ~$ $N$-Valhalla | 2.39 | 7.41 <br> 4.02 | 9.80 4.02 |
| N -Valhalla | - | 1.04 | 1.04 |

TABLE 76 - Continued

| D-District Level C-Community Level N -Neighbourhood Level | Area in Hectares |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | Park | Total |
| C-East Kildonan-Concordia |  |  |  |
| $N$-Springfield South | 11.67 | 13.36 | 25.03 |
| N-Valley Gardens | 4.44 | 3.64 11.92 | 3.64 16.36 |
| C-East Kildonan-Henderson | 12.55 | 11.92 10.11 | 16.36 22.66 |
| N-Kildonan Drive ${ }^{\text {N-Rossmere North ' }} \mathrm{A}$ ' | 2.48 | 10.17 4.39 | 12.66 6.87 |
| N -Rossmere South ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.66 | 6.56 | 14.22 |
| C-East Transcona | 2.64 | 0.09 | 2.73 |
| $N$-Canterbury Park | 6.31 3.64 | 12.08 | 18.39 |
| N-Kildare Redona | 3.64 2.10 | 6.70 | 10.34 |
| C-Elmwood | 10.23 | 7.04 | 9.14 |
| N -Chalmers | 10.23 2.19 | 2.59 12.48 | 12.82 |
| $N$-East Elmwood | 2.19 3.38 | 12.48 | 14.67 |
| $N$-Munroe East | 3.38 3.37 | 4.00 | 7.38 |
| N-Munroe West | 3.37 4.82 | 9.76 | 13.13 |
| N -Talbot-Grey | 4.82 2.67 | 2.47 | 7.29 |
| N-West Elmwood | 2.67 0.37 | 2.43 | 5.10 |
| C-Transcona South | 0.37 | 3.13 | 3.50 |
| N-Transcona South | - |  | - |
| C-West Transcona |  | 2.36 | 2.36 |
| N-Kern Park | 6.73 2.37 | 5.71 | 12.44 |
| N-Lakeside Meadows | 2.37 | 2.19 | 4.56 |
| N -Mel rose |  | 5.13 | 5.13 |
| N-Mission Gardens | 2.34 | 1.88 | 1.88 |
| N-Radisson | 2.34 | 9.27 | 11.61 |
| $N$-Victoria West | 4.84 1.54 | 2.06 | 6.90 |
| District T | 1.54 | 1.86 | 3.40 |
| District | 100.73 | 433.64 | 534.37 |
| D-Lord Selkirk-West Kildonan $\quad$ - |  |  |  |
| C-North Central Sisler | 9.87 | 185.90 | 185.90 |
| N-Burrows Central | 9.87 | 9.52 | 19.39 |
| N-Dufferin | 2.14 | 0.92 | 3.06 |
| N-Lord Selkirk Park | 1.02 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| $N$-North Point Douglas | 1.02 0.96 | 7.60 | 2.62 |
| N-Shaughnessy Park | 0.96 2.80 | 7.22 | 8.18 |
| N-William Whyte | 2.80 | 81 | 2.80 |
| C-North Central St. John's | 2.04 5.63 | 0.81 | 2.85 |
| N-Inkster Faraday | 5.63 2.06 | 5.46 | 11.09 |
| N-Mynarski | 2.06 | 0.77 | 2.83 |
| $N$-Luxton | 0.97 | 3.41 | 3.41 |
| N -Robertson | 5.87 | 0.41 5.66 | 1.38 |
| N-St. John's | 3.72 | 3.66 | 11.53 |
| C-North Main-01d Kildonan N -North Main East |  | 3.06 | 6.78 |
| N-North Main East | - | 3.68 | 3.68 |
| C-North West Kildonan | 0.87 | 1.82 | 2.69 |
| N -Mandalay West | 4.79 | 10.93 | 15.72 |
| N -Maples | 1.21 | 10.67 | 11.88 |
| N -Templeton-Sinclair | 6.81 | 9.13 | 15.94 |
|  |  | 4.61 | 4.61 |


| D-District Level C-Community Level N-Neighbourhood Level | Area in Hectares |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | Park | Total |
| C-Stoney |  |  |  |
| N-Burrows Keewatin | 6.09 3.66 | 15.59 | 21.68 |
| N-Inkster North | 3.66 | 4.04 | 7.70 |
| C-WeTyndall Park | 1.24 | 9.40 16.01 | 9.40 |
| C-West Kildonan | 5.66 | 16.01 | 17.25 |
| N-Garden City | 6.41 | 2.61 5.94 | 30.29 12.35 |
| N-Margaret Park | 5.66 | 1.19 | 12.35 6.85 |
| N -Seven Oaks | 1.45 | 1.78 | 6.85 3.23 |
| District Totals | 1.31 | 0.74 | 2.05 |
| bistrict Totals | 82.24 | 345.79 | 428.03 |
| D-St. Boniface-St. Vital |  |  |  |
| C-Central St. Vital | 4.42 | 240.39 | 240.39 |
| N-Meadowood | 4.42 | 5.63 | 10.05 |
| N-Minnetonka | 1.93 8.38 | 4.25 | 6.18 |
| $N$-Riverlands | 8.38 3.04 | 7.40 | 15.78 |
| N -Vista | 3.04 | 6.42 | 9.46 |
| C-North St. Vital | 2.03 | 1.08 | 3.11 |
| N-E1m Park | 3.79 | 11.45 | 15.24 |
| N-Glenwood | 1.19 | 2.66 | 3.85 |
| N -Kingston Crescent | 1.69 | 2.34 | 4.03 |
| $N$-Lavalee | 4.25 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| N-Norberry | 1.21 |  | 4.25 |
| N-Pulberry | 3.29 | 4.31 | 5.52 |
| $N-S t$ George | 1.69 | 2.69 0.97 | 5.98 |
| C-01d St. Sorthington | 3.15 | 0.97 | 2.66 |
| C-OId St. Boniface | 3.39 | 16.39 | 5.95 |
| N-Central St. Boniface | 1.70 | 1.55 | 19.78 |
| N-Central St. Boniface | 3.92 | 0.04 | 3.25 |
| N -Holden | - | 2.70 | 2.70 |
| N -North St. Boniface |  | 1.21 | 1.21 |
| N-Norwood East | 0.55 | 1.42 | 1.97 |
| N-Norwood West | 1.99 2 | 2.69 | 4.68 |
| C-Windsor Southdale |  | 4.52 | 7.00 |
| N -Maginot | $\underline{-}$ | 17.36 | 27.72 |
| N -Niakwa |  | 1.21 | 1.21 |
| N -Southdale |  | 1.19 | 1.19 |
| N-Windsor Park | 6.20 9.47 | 18.93 | 25.13 |
| District Total |  | 12.51 | 21.98 |
|  | $\underline{80.12}$ | 374.46 | 454.58 |
| -St. James-Assiniboia |  |  |  |
| C-Central |  | 163.48 | 163.48 |
| N-Brooklands |  |  |  |
| C-St. Charles | 1.86 3.85 | 1.72 | 3.58 |
| N -Buchannan N -Crestview | 5.83 | 5.92 6.22 | 9.77 |
| N-Crestview | 10.04 | 12.77 | 12.05 22.81 |

TABLE 76 - Continued

| D-District Level C-Community Level N -Neighbourhood Level | Area in Hectares |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | Park | Total |
|  | - | 1.87 | 1.87 |
| N-Westwood East 'A' | 2.02 | 5.20 | 7.22 |
| N-Westwood West 'B' | 11.25 | 6.37 | 17.62 |
| C-St. James | 12.58 | 7.71 | 20.29 |
| N -Birchwood | 1.78 | 0.95 | 2.73 |
| N -Bruce Park | 2.79 | 6.10 | 8.89 |
| N -Deer Lodge | 1.75 | 3.66 | 5.41 |
| N -Jameswood | 3.06 | 2.19 | 5.25 |
| N-Kensington | - | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| N-King Edward | 1.91 | 2.40 | 4.31 |
| N-Silver Heights | 2.97 | 5.81 | 8.78 |
| C-Sturgeon | 15.88 | 4.75 | 20.63 |
| N-Booth | 6.52 | 0.48 | 7.00 |
| N-Heritage Park | 2.64 | 1.87 | 4.51 |
| N-Kirkfield Park | 2.86 | 0.17 | 3.03 |
| N -Woodhaven | 1.28 | 1.14 | 2.42 |
| District Totals | 90.87 | $\underline{240.87}$ | 331.74 |
| City Totals | 529.89 | 2,422.83 | 2,952.72 |

Source: Winnipeg. Parks and Recreation Department. 1978. "Inventory and Analysis Sheets and Summary Sheets". (Unpublished data sheets). Winnipeg: Parks and Recreation Department.

TABLE 77

CITY OF WINNIPEG DISTRICT PARKLAND (AREA)

| District | Park | Hectares | District Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assiniboine Park- |  |  |  |
| Fort Garry | Assiniboine Forest |  |  |
|  | Assiniboine Park | $\begin{aligned} & 294.22 \\ & 152.05 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Crescent Drive Park | 13.15 37 |  |
|  | Kings Park | 37.42 130 |  |
|  | Pan Am Pool | 130.30 3.55 |  |
|  | Park Reserves | 1.06 |  |
|  |  |  | 631.75 |
| City Centre- |  |  |  |
| Fort Rouge | Bonneycastle Park | 2.00 |  |
|  | Brookside Cemetary | 76.56 |  |
|  | Churchill Drive Park | 21.04 |  |
|  | Civic Centre Complex | 2.86 |  |
|  | Fort Garry Park | 0.24 |  |
|  | Library Park | 0.66 |  |
|  | 01d Market Square | 0.60 |  |
|  | Omand Park | 4.60 |  |
|  | Osborne Bridge Park | 1.82 |  |
|  | Osborne Plaza | 1.00 |  |
|  | Riverbank Parks | 1.52 |  |
|  | Westview Park | 7.97 11.05 |  |
|  |  |  | 131.92 |
| East Kildonan- |  |  |  |
| Transcona | Centennial Park |  |  |
|  | East Kildonan City Hall Park | 37.64 0.05 |  |
|  | Frasers Grove Park | 11.74 |  |
|  | Gilmore Park | 0.75 |  |
|  | Horse Pond | 6.48 |  |
|  | Maintenance Yard | 0.33 |  |
|  | Nairn Avenue River Lots | 0.34 |  |
|  | Nairn Overpass Park | 6.44 |  |
|  | North East Park | 161.88 |  |
|  | Riverbank Park | 1.20 |  |
|  | Rossmere Golf Course | 23.67 |  |
|  | Transcona Cemetary | 19.63 |  |
|  |  |  | 270.15 |

TABLE 77 - Continued


Source: Winnipeg. Parks and Recreation Department. 1978. "Inventory and Analysis Sheets and Summary Sheets ". (Unpublished data sheets). Winnipeg: Parks and Recreation Department.

TABLE 78

INVENTORY (URBAN)
CITY OF WINNIPEG PARKLAND (AREA)


AREA OF PARKLANDS BY NATURAL REGIONS





TABLE 79 - Continued

*R.M. or L.G.D. lies within area of Regional overlap. Therefore only half of
area counted.

TABLE 79 - Continued


TABLE 79 - Continued

: Table 77.
:" Updated as described in the text.

TABLE 80

INVENTORY

## PROVINCIAL PARKLAND (AREA)

| Northeastern Pegion | Hectares |
| :---: | :---: |
| Provincial Recreation Parks Provincial Wayside Parks Region Total <br> Northwestern Region | $\begin{array}{r} 22,677 \\ 274 \end{array}$ $22,951$ |
| Provincial Natural Parks <br> Provincial Recreation Parks <br> Provincial Wayside Parks <br> Region Total <br> Eastern Region | $\begin{array}{rr} 288,535 & \\ 258 & \\ 38 & \\ & \underline{288,837} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Provincial Natural Parks <br> Provincial Recreation Parks <br> Provincial Wayside Parks <br> Provincial Heritage Parks <br> Special Use Parks <br> Region Total | $\begin{array}{r} 149,733 \\ 138 \\ 30 \\ 1,001 \\ 28 \end{array}$ $150,930$ |
| Interlake Region <br> Provincial Natural Parks Provincial Recreation Parks Provincial Wayside Parks Region Total | $\begin{array}{r} 86,312 \\ 26,184 \\ 29 \end{array}$ <br> 112,525 |



Source: Table 79..

TABLE 81
INVENTORY
AREA OF MANITOBA PROVINCIAL PARKS BY NATURAL REGIONS

|  | Hectares of Provincial Parkland |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Provincial <br> Natural <br> Parkland | Provincial <br> Recreation <br> Parkland | Provincial Way- <br> side and Other <br> Parkland | Total <br> Parkland |
|  | 424,126 | 1,568 | 1,152 | 426,846 |
|  | - | 80 | 9 | 88 |
| Brandon* | - | 167,837 | 25,046 | 49 |

*1/2 of Riding Mountain National Park is counted in the region.
Source: Table 79.
developed type of parks, i.e. provincial recreation, wayside and special use parks.

Of the regions, Winnipeg has the most parkland. It has 32.3\% of the provincial total (Table 81). The Pas is second with $21.8 \%$. The Dauphin and Brandon regions have $21.1 \%$ and $14.6 \%$ respectively. The Northern region has $1.7 \%$ and the Winkler region the least with less than .01\%.

# APPENDIX H 

## ANALYSIS OF NEED

## APPENDIX H

## ANALYSIS OF NEED

This section of the thesis analyses the amount of outdoor recreational facilities demanded and supplied, thus revealing the amount 'needed'.
A. Resources Demanded.-The volume of resources demanded is wholly dependent upon the participation rate factors of Table 5 and the formulas discussed earlier. Tables $82 a, 82 b$ and $83 c$ show how the 'number of person visits' is transformed by the participation rate factors into the volume of resources demanded. The 'number of person visits' is the number of participant days as determined from Table 22.
(1) Resources Demanded by the Province.-The amount of resources demanded by the province as a whole is listed in Table 83. These figures summarize the results of calculating the participation rates and frequencies from the telephone survey and subjecting them to the participation rate factors through the formulas discussed earlier.
(2) Resources Demanded - Rural-Urban Analysis.-When one takes into account the percentage of population in the rural and urban sectors of the province, the rural sector demands more resources than the urban sector for the activities of picnicking, driving for pleasure, walking or hiking, bicycling, horseback riding, swimming, fishing, hunting,

DEMAND
DEMAND CALCULATIONS BY ACTIVITY FOR MANITOBA



DEMAND
DEMAND CALCULATIONS BY ACTIVITY FOR MANITOBA (CONTINUED)

|  | No | $J$ | K | L | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | Per Day During Peak Period | Average Size | No. of Party Visits Per Day During Peak Period | Turnover Rate | No. of Units of Supply Demanded Per Day |
|  | 38,070.45 | 3.75 | 11,173.33 | 0.5/Day |  |
| Picnicking |  |  | 10,152.12 | 1.65/Day | 22,346.66 Sites |
| Visiting Historic Sites | 16,618.93 | 4.00 |  |  | 6,152.80 Tables |
| Driving for Pleasure | 45,993.14 | 4.00 | 4,154.73 | 16/0.5 hr. | 259.67 Parties |
| Walking or |  |  | 11,498.29 | 4/Day | 2,874.57 Cars |
| Hiking | 136,017.18 | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Back Packing | 2,917.84 | 4.00 | $34,004.30$ 729.46 | 40/0.25 hr. | 850.11 Parties |
| Bicycling | 37,381.86 | 2.00 | 729.46 | 2/Day | 364.73 Parties |
| Horseback Riding | 5,651.06 | 2.00 | 18,690.93 | 5/Day | 3,738.19 Parties |
| Swimming | $5,651.06$ $107,880.90$ | 4.00 4.00 | 1,412.77 | 2/Day | 706.39 Parties |
| ishing | 29,900.14 | 4.00 2.00 | 26,970.23 | 2/Day | 13,485.12 Parties |
| Hunting | 9,617.73 | 2.00 2.00 | 14,950.07 | 2/Day | 7,475.04 Boats |
| ailing | 2,466.56 | 2.50 | 4,808.86 | 2/Day | 2,404.43 Parties |
| anoeing | 14,782.50 | 2.00 | 986.62 | 2/Day | 493.31 Boats |
| ower Boating | 15,516.38 | 2.00 2.50 | 7,391.25 | 2/Day | 3,695.63 Canoes |
| ater Skiing | 11,639.96 |  | 6,206.55 | 4/Day | 1,551.64 Boats |
| ross-country |  | 3.00 | 3,879.99 | 4/Day | 970.00 Boats |
| Skiing | 43,573.47 | 3.00 | 14,524.49 | 2/Day | 25 |



DEMAND CALCULATIONS BY ACTIVITY FOR MANITOBA (CONTINUED)


TABLE 82c

- Continued

| Activity | No. of Units of Supply Demanded Per Day | Standard | Volumes of Resources Demanded Per Day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tobogganing | 3,257.02 Parties |  |  |
| Outdoor Ice Skating | 1,011.27 Parties | 1 Party/0.08 runs | 260.56 runs |
| Snowmobiling |  | 1 Party/0.2 Rinks | 202.25 Rinks |
| Trail Biking | 148.94 Parties | 1 Snowmobile/1.6 km. | 8,279.52 km. |
| Cross-country Biking | 158.30 Parties | 1 Party/0.8 km. | 119.15 km . |
| Off-road Four Wheel Driving | 146.07 Parties | 1 Party/5 ha. | $791.50 \mathrm{ha}$. |
| Golfing | 84.41 Golf Cours | 1 Party/5 ha. | 730.35 ha. |
| Tennis | 389.28 Parties | 18 Holes/Golf Course | 1,519.38 Holes |
| Cottaging | 3,725.18 Cottages | 1 Party/Court | 389.28 Courts |
| Visiting Provincial Parks | 3,725.18 Cottages | Cottages | 3,725.18 Cottages |
|  | 2,125.43 Parties | 1 Party/ ha. | Unknown |

Source: Primary Data and Table 5.

## TABLE 83

TOTAL VOLUME OF RESOURCES DEMANDED

| Activity | Volume of Resources |
| :---: | :---: |
| Camping <br> Picnicking <br> Visiting Historic Sites <br> Driving for Pleasure <br> Walking or Hiking <br> Back Packing <br> Bicycling <br> Horseback Riding <br> Swimming <br> Fishing <br> Hunting <br> Sailing <br> Canoeing <br> Power Boating <br> Water Skiing <br> Cross-country Skiing <br> Snowshoeing <br> Downhill Skiing <br> Snows leeding-Tobogganing <br> Outdoor Ice Skating <br> Snowmobiling <br> Trail Biking <br> Cross-country Biking <br> Off-road Four Wheel Driving <br> Gol fing <br> Tennis <br> Cottaging <br> lisiting Provincial Parks |  |

[^1]canoeing, snowmobiling, off-road four wheel driving and tennis (Table 84). There is no need to calculate the amount of resources demanded for the rural and urban sectors through the formula method as the percentage of total participant days (Table 27) will also determine the percentage of volume of resources demanded for each sector. The urban and rural sectors will favor the same activities to the same degree with regards to the volume of resources demanded as it does for the percentage of total participant days.
(3) Resources Demanded - Regional Analysis.-As in the ruralurban analysis of resources demanded, the percentages of total participant days were borrowed to determine the volume of resources demanded, but in this case, they were taken from Table 36. The regional percentages were applied to the provincial total volume of resources demanded in order to determine the amount of resources demanded by each region (Table 85). These figures will in turn be compared to the supply of resources (facilities) for each region to determine the 'need' for outdoor recreational facilities.
B. Resources Supplied.-The volume of resources supplied as discussed in this section is a summary of the earlier mentioned inventory.
(1) Resources Supplied in the Province.-The volume of resources supplied in the province of Manitoba is listed in Table 86.
(2) Resources Supplied - Rural-Urban Analysis.-The volume of resources supplied by the rural and urban sectors is summarized in Table 87. As explained earlier, there are only a few activities which lend themselves to an urban-rural comparison. In some activities the facilities are not known for both the rural and the urban sectors.

TABLE 84
DEMAND
VOLUMES OF RESOURCES DEMANDED PER DAY BY ACTIVITY (RURAL - URBAN BREAKDOWN)

| Activity | Provincial Total | Percent Urban Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percen $\ddagger$ Urban | Resources | Percen $\ddagger$ <br> Rural ${ }^{7}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { Rural Total }}$ Resources |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Picnicking | 6,152.80 Sites | 58.87 | 13,155.50 | 41.13 | 9,191.20 Sites |
| Visiting Historic Sites | 51.93 Museums | 51.44 | 3,165.00 | 48.56 | 2,987.80 Sites |
| Driving for Pleasure | 1,149.83 Museums | 60.84 | 31.59 Museums | 39.16 | 20.34 Museums. |
| Walking or Hiking | $1,149.83 \mathrm{~km}$. 425.06 km | 44.13 | 507.41 km . | 55.87 | 20.34 Museums 642.42 km |
| Back Packing | 425.06 km . | 48.45 | 205.94 km . | 51.55 | 642.42 km . |
| Bicycling | 145.89 km . | 77.77 | 113.45 km . | 22.23 | 219.12 km. 32.44 km |
| Horseback Riding | 112.15 km . | 51.15 | 57.36 km . | 48.85 | 52.44 km. |
| Swimming | \% 565.11 km . | 37.14 | 209.88 km. | 62.86 | 54.79 km. |
| Fishing | 8,225.92 metres | 21.03 | 1,729.91 metres | 78.97 | 6, 355.23 km . |
| Hunting | $24,219.13$ ha. $12,022.15$ ha. | 53.73 | 13,012.93 ha. | 46.27 | 6,496.01 metres |
| Sailing | 12,022.15 ha. | 41.96 | 5,044.49 ha. | 58.04 | 6,977.66 hа. |
| Canoeing | 3,009.19 ha | 92.81 | 2,792.82 ha. | 7.19 | 216.37 ha |
| Power Boating |  | 42.83 | 1,266.26 km. | 57.17 | $1,690.24 \mathrm{~km}$ |
| Water Skiing | 15,714.00 ha. | 77.54 | 19,490.89 ha. | 22.46 | $5,645.68 \text { ha. }$ |
|  | 15,714.00 ha. | 68.49 | 10,762.51 ha. | 31.51 | 4,951.49 ha. |

TABLE 84 - Continued

| Activity | Provincial Total | Uercent Total |  | Percent Rural Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban ${ }^{\text {Percent }}$ | Resources | Percent Rural | Resources |
| \% Total Population | 55.25 |  |  | 44.75 |  |
| Cross-country Skiing <br> Snowshoeing <br> Downhill Skiing <br> Snows ledding-Tobogganing <br> Outdoor Ice Skating <br> Snowmobiling <br> Trail Biking <br> Cross-country Biking <br> Off-road Four Wheel <br> Driving <br> Golfing <br> Tennis <br> Cottaging <br> Visiting Provincial Parks ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,178.68 \mathrm{~km} . \\ 307.83 \mathrm{~km} . \\ 136.02 \text { Runs } \\ 260.56 \text { Runs } \\ 202.25 \text { Rinks } \\ 8,279.52 \mathrm{~km} . \\ 119.15 \mathrm{~km} . \\ 791.50 \text { ha. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58.86 \\ & 77.54 \\ & 79.65 \\ & 60.07 \\ & 64.64 \\ & 20.90 \\ & 82.80 \\ & 74.25 \\ & 13.39 \\ & 61.29 \\ & 32.03 \\ & 75.84 \\ & 65.94 \end{aligned}$ | $1,282.37 \mathrm{~km}$.238.69 km.108.33 Runs156.51 Runs130.73 Rinks$1,732.07 \mathrm{~km}$.98.65 km.587.68 ha.97.79 ha.931.22 Holes124.68 Courts2,825.18 CottagesUnknown |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 41.14 | 896.31 km. |
|  |  |  |  | 22.46 | 69.14 km . |
|  |  |  |  | 20.35 | 27.69 Runs |
|  |  |  |  | 39.93 | 104.05 Runs |
|  |  |  |  |  | 104.05 Runs |
|  |  |  |  | 35.36 | 71.52 Rinks |
|  |  |  |  | 79.10 | 6,547.45 km. |
|  |  |  |  | 17.20 | 20.50 km . |
|  |  |  |  | 25.75 | 203.82 ha. |
|  | 730.35 ha. 1,519.38 Holes |  |  | 86.61 | 632.56 ha. |
|  | 1,519.38 Holes <br> 389.28 Courts |  |  | 38.71 | 588.16 Holes |
|  | 389.28 Courts |  |  | 67.97 | 264.60 Courts |
|  | Unknown |  |  | 24.16 | 900.00 Cottages |
|  |  |  |  | 34.06 | Unknown |

1. Source: Table 27.
2. No Standards set, therefore, the amount of Resources Demanded is unknown.

TABLE 85
DEMAND
VOLUMES OF RESOURCES DEMANDED PER DAY BY ACTIVITY (REGIONAL BREAKDOWN)

| Activity | Winnipeg Region | Winkler Region | Brandon Region | Dauphin Region | Interlake Region | The Pas Region | Northern Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Camping (Sites) | 15,479.60 | 612.30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Picnicking (Sites) | 4,030.00 | 300.00 | $1,926.30$ 640.00 | 1,010.10 | 373.20 | 7,032.40 | 1,910.6 |
| Visiting (historic sites) | $36.13$ | 300.00 2.33 | 640.00 6.12 | 410.00 | 85.00 | 175.00 | 515.00 |
| Historic Sites (museums) | $36.13$ | 2.33 2.33 | 6.12 6.12 | 1.01 1.01 | 0.25 | 0.86 | 5.14 |
| Driving for Pleasure (km.) | 671.39 |  |  |  | 0.25 | 0.86 | 5.14 |
| Walking or Hiking (km.) | 275.69 | 120.39 25.04 | 173.51 | 64.97 | 24.72 | 21.04 | 73.70 |
| Back Packing (km.) | 94.13 | 25.04 0.00 | 56.49 | 22.70 | 7.91 | 12.07 | 25.21 |
| Bicycling (km.) | 80.73 | 4.49 | 41.81 | 3.03 | 0.00 | 0.79 | 6.13 |
| Horseback Riding (km.) | 361.73 | 4.49 34.25 | 15.41 | 5.74 | 1.30 | 0.85 | 3.63 |
| Swimming (metres of beach) | 6,093.76 | 34.25 | 96.29 | 48.37 | 17.46 | 0.00 | 7.01 |
| Fishing (ha.) | 18,588.18 | 535.24 | 754. | 375.10 | 62.52 | 240.20 | 453.25 |
| Hunting (ha.) | 7,934.62 | 535.24 259.68 | 1,380.49 | 995.41 | 423.83 | 588.52 | 1,709.87 |
| Sailing (ha.) | 2,744.38 | 259.68 0.00 | 1,075.98 | 1,451.07 | 421.98 | 301.76 | 575.86 |
| Canoeing (km.) | 1,749.95 | 0.00 108.80 | 264.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Power Boating (ha.) | 19,588.93 | 108.80 155.85 | 518.27 | 103.48 | 0.00 | 248.05 | 228.24 |
| Vater Skiing (ha.) | 12,219.21 | 155.85 388.14 | 1,960.65 | 1,425.24 | 651.04 | 419.78 | 932.57 |
| Cross-country Skiing (km.) |  |  | 1,554.11 | 138.28 | 88.00 | 468.28 | 859.56 |
| Snowshoeing (km.) | 1,570.83 | 115.25 | 184.32 | 89.11 | 47.71 | 56.21 | 115.03 |
| 促 | 229.09 | . 1.45 | 9.48 | 4.43 | 0.00 | 1.94 |  |

TABLE 85 - Continued

| Activity | Winnipeg Region | Winkler Region | Brandon Region | Dauphin Region | Interlake Region | The Pas Region | Northern Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Downhill Sking (Runs) | 109.44 | 5.24 | 6.01 | 0.97 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Snows leddingTobogganing <br> (Runs) | 190.83 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 2.14 | 12.23 |
| Outdoor Ice Skating (rinks) | 166.27 | 11.23 4.67 | 23.84 | 9.80 | 1.35 | 6.25 | 17.25 |
| Snowmobiling (km.) | 3,996.52 | 4.67 809.74 | $\begin{array}{r}15.67 \\ \hline 560.69\end{array}$ | 5.20 | 0.44 | 1.38 | 8.64 |
| Trail Biking (km.) | 100.46 | 809.74 2.49 | 1,560.69 | 706.24 | 351.88 | 219.41 | 635.04 |
| Cross-country Biking (ha.) | 481.31 | 2.49 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.80 |
| Off-road Four Wheel Driving (ha.) | 48.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 310.19 |
| Golfing (hole | 76.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 377.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 76 |
| Tennis | 1,055.97 | 7.60 | 181.87 | 21.27 | 4.56 | 47.86 | 276.36 |
| Cottaging (Cottages) | 287.25 | 29.94 | 26.43 | 12.42 | 2.41 |  | 200.25 |
| Visiting Provincial (Cottages) | 3,023.31 | 42.08 | 254.08 | 101.31 | 0 | 4.05 | 26.78 |
| Visiting Provincial (ha.) Parks | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | $50.31$ | 253.31 |

TABLE 86

TOTAL VOLUME OF RESOURCES SUPPLIED

| Activity | Volume of Resources |
| :---: | :---: |
| Camping <br> Picnicking <br> Visiting Historic Sites <br> Driving for Pleasure <br> Walking or Hiking <br> Back Packing <br> Bicycling <br> Horseback Riding <br> Swimming <br> Fishing <br> Hunting <br> Sailing <br> Canoeing <br> Power Boating <br> Water Skiing <br> Cross-country Skiing <br> Snowshoeing <br> Downhill Skiing <br> Snows ledding-Tobogganing <br> Outdoor Ice Skating <br> Snowmobiling <br> Trail Biking <br> Cross-country Biking <br> Off-road Four Wheel Driving <br> Golfing <br> Tennns <br> Cottaging <br> isiting Parks |  |

TABLE 87
VOLUMES OF RESOURCES SUPPLIED PER ACTIVITY (RURAL-URBAN BREAKDOWN)

| Activity | Provincial Total | Percent Urban Total |  | Rural Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Total Population |  | Urban | Resources | Percent Rural | Resources |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Camping | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16,118.00 Sites } \\ & 5,925.00 \text { Sites } \\ & 91.00 \text { Historic Sites } \\ & 105.00 \text { Musems } \end{aligned}$ | N/A <br> Unknown $\begin{aligned} & 35.16 \\ & 20.95 \end{aligned}$ | N/A <br> Unknown | 100.00 | 16,118.00 |
| Picnicking |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visiting Historic Sites |  |  |  | Unknown | 5,925.00 |
|  |  |  | 32 22 | 64.84 79.05 | 59 |
| Driving for Pleasure | $4,151.00 \mathrm{~km}$ | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | 83 |
| Walking or Hiking |  |  |  |  | 4,151.00 |
| Back Packing | 273.80 km . | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | 583.30 |
| Bicycling | N/A | N/A | N/A | 100.00 | 273.80 |
| Horseback Riding | 684.00 km . | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Swimming | $30,995.90 \text { metres }$ | Unknown | Unknown | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unk nown } \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ | 684.00 |
| Fishing | $N / A$ | $N / A$ | N/A |  | 30,995.90 |
| Hunting | N/A | N/A N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Sailing | $N / A$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Canoeing | $10,005.00 \mathrm{~km} .$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Power Boating | $N / A$ | Unk nown | Unk nown | Unk nown | 10,005.00 |
| Water Skiing | N/A | $\begin{aligned} & N / A \\ & N / A \end{aligned}$ | N/A N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |


|  | Urban Total |  | Pural Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity $\quad$ Provincial Total | Urban | Resources | Percent <br> Rural | Resources |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cross-country Skiing 443.00 km . |  |  |  |  |
| Snowshoeing 58.90 km. | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | 443.00 |
| Downhill Skiing 101.00 Runs | Unknown | Unk nown | Unknown | 58.90 |
| Snowsledding-Tobogganing 21 Runs | N/A | N/A | 100.00 | 101.00 |
| Outdoor Ice Skating 515 Rinks | Unknown | 21 | Unknown | Unk now.n |
| Snowmobiling 932.00 km. | 60.00 | 309 | 40.00 | 206 |
| Trail Biking N/A | N/A | N/A | 100.00 | 932.00 |
| Cross-country Biking N/A | N/A | $N / A$ | N/A | N/A |
| Off-road Four Wheel N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Driving N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | , |
| Golfing $1,008.00$ Holes |  |  |  | A |
| Tennis $\quad 415.00$ Courts | 31.25 | 315 | 68.75 | 693 |
| Cottaging 18,061.00 Cottages | 62.17 | 258 | 37.83 | 157 |
| Visiting Parks $1,325,495,66$ ha. | N/A 0.22 | N/A | 100.00 | 18,061.00 |
| 1,325,495,66 ha. | 0.22 | 2,952.72 | 99.78 | 1,322,542.94 |

In other activities, the facilities are known for one sector and not for the other.

The activities which can be compared are visiting historic sites and museums, outdoor ice skating, golfing, tennis, and visiting parks. The only facilities for which the urban sector has more than its share compared to its percent of the total population is outdoor skating rinks and tennis courts.
(3) Resources Supplied - Regional Analysis.-The amount of resources supplied by each region is listed in Table 88. For the purposes of a regional analysis, a discussion of regional percentages of the total provincial supply is more appropriate (Table 89). Comparisons With the regional percentages of the total population reveal any inequities based upon the per capita supply of facilities. At the same time, it is not wise to plan or build facilities according to the population level of the area but rather according to use or participation levels for each activity. A region may have a high relative percentage of the population but have a very low relative supply of a particular facility. At first glance it may appear disproportionate but the demand for that particular facility may be low in that particular region and therefore is proportional to the demand. The region may even have an over-supply of that facility.

VOLUMES OF RESOURCES SUPPLIED PER ACTIVITY (REGIONAL BREAKDOWN)

| Activity | Winnipeg Region | Winkler Region | Brandon Region | Dauphin Region | Interlake Region | The Pas Region | Northern Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Camping (Sites) | 8,201.00 | 931.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Picnicking (Sites) | 3,735.00 | 285.00 | 2,659.00 $1,045.00$ | $2,156.00$ 395.00 | 951.00 | 772.00 | 448.00 |
| Visiting Historic (Historic | 3,735.00 | 285.00 | 1,045.00 |  | 175.00 | 115.00 | 175.00 |
| Sites $\begin{gathered}\text { Sites) } \\ \text { (Museums) }\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53.50 \\ & 44.00 \end{aligned}$ | 11.00 15.50 | 8.50 | 6.50 | 1.50 | 3.00 | 7.00 |
| Driving for Pleasure (km.) | 1,344.00 | 322 |  | - | 2.50 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| Walking or Hiking (km.) | 156.70 | 322.00 | 986,00 | 705.00 | 111.00 | 435.00 | 248.00 |
| Back Packing (km.) | 60.00 | 0.00 | 198.85 | 207.75 | 14.40 | 0.00 | 5.60 |
| Bicycling (km.) | N/A | 0.00 | 106.90 | 106.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Horseback Riding (km.) | 228.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Swimming (metres of beach) | 19 | 0.00 | 260.5 | 195.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fishing (ha.) |  | 524.3 | 3,131.10 | 4,047.4 | 2,226.0 | 1,128.00 | 365.7 |
| Hunting | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Sailing |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Canoeing | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Power Boating (ha.) | 2,256.00 | 97.50 | 530.5 | 11.00 | 0.00 | 869.00 | 6,241.0 |
| Water Skiing (ha.) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Cross-country Skiing (km.) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Cross-country Skiing (km.) | 179.00 | 0.00 | 109.00 | 92.00 | 30.00 |  |  |
| Snowshoeing (km.) | 40.10 | 0.00 | 9.70 | 2.10 | 7.00 | 30.00 0.00 | 3.00 |


| Activity | Winnipeg Region | Winkler Region | Brandon Region | Dauphin Region | Interlake Region | The Pas Region | Northern Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Downhill Skiing (Runs) | 31.00 | 20.00 | 16.00 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Snows ledding- <br> Tobogganing (runs) | 21 |  | 16.00 | 21.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 10.00 |
| Outdoor Ice Skating (rinks) | 383.50 | 0.00 34.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Snowmobiling (km.) | 477.00 | 34.50 0.00 | 34.50 | 27.50 | 6.00 | 18.00 | 11.00 |
| Trail Biking (km.) | N/A | N/A | 288.00 | 74.00 | 58.00 | 35.00 | 0.00 |
| Cross-country Biking (ha.) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Off-road Four Wheel Driving | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Golfing <br> (holes) | $\begin{gathered} N / A \\ 490.50 \end{gathered}$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Tennis (courts) | 311.50 | 87.00 9.50 | 301.50 | 76.50 | 31.50 | 18.00 | 9.00 |
| Cottaging (Cottages) |  | 9.50 | 61.00 | 19.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 6.00 |
| Visiting Parks (ha.) | 18,629.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 115.00 \\ 88.22 \end{array}$ | $1,633.00$ $192,932.37$ | $\begin{array}{r}734.00 \\ \hline 19.00\end{array}$ | 718.00 | 985.00 | 246.00 |
|  |  |  | 192,932.37 | 279,118.50 | 112,202.09 | 288,407.38 | 22,948.80 |

Source: Inventory Tables as presented in this paper.

SUPPLY
PERCENT OF TOTAL RESOURCES SUPPLIED PER ACTIVITY (REGIONAL BREAKDOWN)

| Activity | Winnipeg <br> Region <br> 68.84 | Winkler Region <br> 5.09 | Brandon Region | Dauphin Region | Interlake Region | The Pas Region | Northern Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corn | 68.84 | 5.09 | 10.89 | 5.37 | 1.37 | 2.17 | 6.27 |
| Camping | 50.88 | 5.78 | 16.50 | 13.38 |  | 2.17 | 6.27 |
| Picnicking | 63.04 | 4.81 | 17.64 | 13.38 | 5.90 | 4.79 | 2.78 |
| Visiting Historic (historic |  |  | 17.64 | 6.67 | 2.95 | 1.94 | 2.95 |
| Sites sites) | 58.79 | 12.09 | 9.34 | 7.14 | 1.65 |  |  |
| (museums) | 41.90 | 14.76 | 25.71 | 11.43 | 2.38 | 3.30 | 7.69 |
| Driving for Pleasure | 32.38 | 7.76 | 23.75 | 16.98 | 2.38 2.67 | 0.95 | 2.86 |
| Walking or Hiking | 26.86 | 0.00 | 34.09 | 35.62 | 2.67 | 10.48 | 5.97 |
| Back Packing | 21.91 | 0.00 | 39.04 | 35.62 | 2.47 | 0.00 | 0.96 |
| Bicycling | N/A | N/A | 39.04 | 39.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Horseback Riding | 33.33 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Swimming | 63.15 | 1.69 | 38.09 | 28.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fishing | N/A | N/A | 10.10 | 13.06 | 7.18 | 3.64 | 1.18 |
| Hunting | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Sailing | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | $N / A$ |
| Canoeing | 22.55 | A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Power Boating | N/A | 0.97 | 5.30 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 8.69 | 62.38 |
| Water Skiing | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Cross-country Skiing | 40.41 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Snowshoeing | 68.08 | 0.00 | 24.60 | 20.77 | 6.77 | 6.77 | 0.68 |
|  |  | 0.00 | 16.47 | 3.57 | 11.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

TABLE 89 - Continued

| Activity \% Total Population | Winnipeg <br> Region68.84 | Winkler Region <br> 5.09 | Brandon Region | Dauphin Region | Interlake Region | The Pas Region | Northern Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Downhill Skiing <br> Snows ledding-Tobogganing | $30.69$ | 19.80 | 15.84 | 20.79 | 0.00 | 2.17 | 6.27 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.97 | 9.90 |
| Outdoor Ice Skating | 74.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Snowmobiling | 51.18 | 6.70 | 6.70 | 5.34 | 1.17 | 3.50 | 2.14 |
| Trail Biking | N/A | 0.00 | 30.90 | 7.94 | 6.22 | 3.76 | 0.00 |
| Cross-country Biking | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Off-road Four Wheel Driving |  |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Golfing | $\begin{gathered} N / A \\ 48.66 \end{gathered}$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Tennis | 48.66 75.06 | 8.04 | 29.91 | 7.59 | 3.13 | 1.79 | 0.89 |
| Cottaging | 75.06 75.46 | 2.29 | 14.70 | 4.58 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 1.45 |
| Visting Parks | 32.43 | $0.64$ | 9.04 | 4.06 | 3.98 | 5.45 | 1.36 |
|  |  | 0.06 | 14.56 | 21.06 | 8.46 | 21.76 | 1.73 |

## APPENDIX I

PROJECTION ANALYSIS

## APPENDIX I

## PROJECTION ANALYSIS

As part of the purpose of this thesis, a projection of the 'supply' and 'demand' for outdoor recreational facilities is discussed in this section. Projections are necessary in order to plan for future facilities.
A. Demand Projections.-The procedure for projecting the current demand figures was adopted from the Souris River Basin Study (Souris River Basin Study Board, 1978:Table II(iv), Part II-26). The figures in Table 90 represent the amount of projected demand for the years 1980, 1990 and 2030 based upon the formula at the bottom of the Table which is mainly a consideration of population increase. The demand figures do not in themselves reveal a great deal of information unless one examines the projected supply figures.
B. Supply Projections.--The figures in Table 91 represent the supply of outdoor recreational facilities needed in the projected years in order to have the same per capita supply as the current year. The projected supply figures only magnify the surplus or deficit that currently exists and does very little in terms of enlightening the reader as to the actual need for outdoor recreational facilities in future years。

TABLE 90
DEMAND
PROVINCIAL demand PROJECTIONS ${ }^{1}$

| Activity | 1979 | 1980 | 1990 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Camping (Sites) | 22,347 | 23,241 |  |  |
| Picnicking (Sites) | 6,153 | 23,24 6,400 | 35,778 9,855 | 82,004 |
| Visiting Historic Sites |  |  | 9,855 | 22,585 |
| (historic sites) (museums) | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \\ & 83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 197 \\ & 199 \end{aligned}$ |
| Driving for Pleasure $\quad(\mathrm{km}$. | 1,150 |  |  | $197$ |
| Walking or Hiking (km.) | 425 | 1,196 442 | 1,841 | 4,219 |
| Back Packing (km.) | 146 | 442 152 | 681 | 1,560 |
| Bicycling (km.) | 112 | 117 | 234 | 535 |
| Horseback Riding (km.) | 565 |  | 180 | 412 |
| Swimming (metres) | 8,226 | 588 8,565 | 905 | 2,074 |
| Fishing (ha.) | 24,219 | 8,565 25,188 | 13,180 | 30,186 |
| Hunting (ha.) | 12,022 | 25,188 | 38,776 | 88,875 |
| Sailing (ha.) |  | 12,503 | 19,248 | 44,117 |
| Canoeing (km.) | 2,957 | 3,130 | 4,818 | 11,043 |
| Power Boating (ha.) | 2,957 25,137 | 3,075 | 4,733 | 10,849 |
| Nater Skiing (ha.) | 25,137 15,714 | 26,142 | 40,244 | 92,242 |
| Cross-country (ha.) | 15,714 | 16,343 | 25,159 | 57,665 |
| Skiing (km.) | 2,179 | 2,266 | 3,488 | 7,995 |
| Snowshoeing (km.) | 308 | 320 | 493 | 1,130 |
| Downhill Skiing (Runs) | 136 | 141 | 218 |  |
| nowsleddingTobogganing (Runs) | 261 | 271 | 218 | 499 |
| outdoor Ice |  | 27 | 417 | 956 |
| Skating (rinks) | 202 | 210 | 324 |  |
| nowmobiling (km.) | 8,280 | 8,611 |  | 742 |
| rail Biking (km.) | 119 | -124 |  | 30,383 |
| ross-country |  | 124 | 191 | 437 |
| Biking (ha.) | 792 | 823 | 1,267 | 2,905 |

TABLE 90 - Continued

| Activity | 1979 | 1980 | 1990 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Off-road Four |  |  |  |  |
| Wheel Driving (ha.) | 730 | 760 |  |  |
| Golfing (holes) |  | + 760 | 1,169 | 2,680 |
| Tennis (courts) | 1,519 389 | 1,580 | 2,433 | 5,576 |
| Cottaging (cottages) | 389 | 405 | 623 | 1,429 |
| Visiting (ha) | 3,725 | 3,874 | 5,964 | 13,670 |
| Provincial Parks (ha.) | N/A |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Calculated as follows: 1979 Figures Taken from Table $7 \times 1.04=$ 1980 Figures $\times 1.04^{11}=1990$ Figures $\times 1.04^{11} \times 1.01^{40}=2030$ Figures.

TABLE 91
PROVINCIAL SUPPLY PROJECTIONS ${ }^{1}$

| Activity | 1979 | 1980 | 1990 | 2030 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Camping (Sites) | 16,118 | 16,763 |  |  |
| Picnicking (Sites) | 5,925 |  | 25,805 | 59,147 |
| Visiting Historic | 5,925 | 6,160 | 9,485 | 21,745 |
| Sites (historic sites) (museums) | 91 105 | 95 | 146 | 334 |
| Driving for | 105 | 109 | 168 | 385 |
| Pleasure (km.) | 4,151 | 4,317. | 6,646 |  |
| Walking or Hiking (km.) | 583 | 607 | 6,646 934 | 15,233 |
| Back Packing (km.) | 274 | 285 | 934 438 | 2,140 |
| Bicycling (km.) | N/A | N/A | N/A | 1,005 |
| Horseback Riding (km.) | 684 | 711 | 1,095 | N, ${ }^{\text {N/A }}$ |
| Swimming (metres) | 30,996 | 32,236 | 49,625 | 2,510 3,743 |
| Fishing (ha.) | N/A | N/A | N/A | 113,743 |
| Hunting (ha.) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Sailing (ha.) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Canoeing (km.) | 10,005 | 10,405 | N/A | N/A |
| Power Boating (ha.) | N/A | 10,405 | 16,618 | 36,715 |
| Water Skiing (ha.) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Cross-country (ha.) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Skiing (km.) | 443 | 461 | 709 |  |
| Snowshoeing (km.) | 59 | 61 | \% | 1,626 |
| Downhill Skiing (Runs) | 101 | 105 | 94 | 216 |
| Snows ledding- |  | 105 | 162 | 371 |
| Tobogganing (Runs) | 21 | 22 | 34 |  |
| Dutdoor Ice <br> Skating (rinks) | 515 |  |  | 77 |
| Snowmobiling (km.) | 932 | 536 | 825 | 1,890 |
| rail Biking (km.) |  | 969 | 1,492 | 3,420 |
| ross-country | N/ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Biking (ha.) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

TABLE 91 - Continued

${ }^{1}$ Calculated as follows: 1979 Figures as taken from Table $\times 1.04=$ 1980 Figures $\times 1.04^{11}=1990$ Figures $\times 1.04^{11} \times 1.01^{40}=2030$ Figures.

## APPENDIX J

## ADEQUACY OF OUTDOOR RECREATIONAL FACILITIES

TABLE 92

ADEQUACY OF OUTDOOR RECREATIONAL FACILITIES (TOTAL AND RURAL-URBAN BREAKDOWN)

| Facility |  | Percentage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Provincial <br> Manitoba | Urban Manitoba | Rural Manitoba |
| Number and Variety of Camping Areas |  |  |  |  |
|  | Adequate <br> Inadequate | 66.8 30.0 | 70.1 | 64.7 |
|  | Indifferent | 30.0 3.2 | 24.5 5.4 | 33.6 |
| Number of Campsites |  |  | 5.4 | 1.7 |
|  | Adequate | 72.4 | 70.6 | 74.5 |
|  | Inadequate | 23.9 | 23.4 | 74.5 23.8 |
| Camping Information and Area Maps |  | 3.7 | 6.0 | 1.7 |
|  | Adequate Inadequate | 81.3 | 79.2 | 83.1 |
|  | Indifferent | 10.6 | 10.1 | 9.8 |
| Camping Sanitary Facilities |  | 8.1 | 10.7 | 7.1 |
|  | Adequate Inadequate | 76.3 19.7 | 72.1 | 79.8 |
|  | Indifferent | 19.7 4.0 | 23.0 | 16.3 |
| Showers | Adequate | 4.0 | 4.9 | 3.9 |
|  | Inadequate | 64.3 26.9 | 58.5 | 69.4 |
|  | Indifferent | 26.9 8.8 | 30.0 11.5 | 24.9 |
| Camping Fees | Adequate | 8.8 | 11.5 | 5.7 |
|  | Inadequate | 88.2 8.8 | 86.2 | 89.6 |
|  | Indifferent | 8.8 3.0 | 9.3 | 8.2 |
| Number and Variety of Picnic Areas | Adequate | 75.0 | 4.5 | 2.2 |
|  | Inadequate | 75.0 18.5 | 77.9 | 72.6 |
|  | Indifferent | 18.5 6.5 | 16.0 | 20.8 |
| Number of Picnic Tables and Shelters | Adequate |  | 6.1 | 6.6 |
|  | Inadequate | 70.0 25.6 | 67.3 | 72.3 |
|  | Indifferent | 25.6 4.4 | 27.4 | 24.2 |
| Picnic Cooking Facilities | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent |  | 5.3 | 3.5 |
|  |  | 64.7 | 65.8 | 63.3 |
|  |  | 16.1 19.2 | 18.0 16.2 | 13.2 |
| Historical Information | Adequate | 91.7 |  | 23.5 |
|  | Inadequate | 7.5 | 88.8 | 95.1 |
|  | Indifferent | 0.8 | 9.8 1.4 | 4.9 |

TABLE 92

- Continued

| Facility |  | Percentage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Provincial Manitoba | Urban Manitoba | Rura 1 <br> Manitob |
| Historical and Interpretive Displays |  |  |  | Manitoba |
|  | Adequate Inadequate | 92.9 3.2 | 91.2 | 95.5 |
|  | Indifferent | 3.2 3.9 | 4.6 | 1.9 |
| Guided Historical Tours | Adequate | 70.5 | 4.2 | 2.6 |
|  | Inadequate | 70.5 4.9 | 69.2 | 71.9 |
|  | Indifferent | 24.6 | 6.0 24.8 | 2.0 |
| Historical Site Sanitary Facilities | Adequate Inadequate | 89.6 7.9 | 24.8 86.9 | 26.1 93.7 |
|  | Inadequate <br> Indifferent | 7.9 | 9.8 | 93.7 5.0 |
| Marked Driving Routes |  | 2.5 | 3.3 | 1.3 |
|  | Adequate <br> Inadequate | 82.9 | 72.7 | 90.1 |
|  | Indifferent | 1.7 | 3.0 | 0.8 |
| Proper Signs at Points of Interest |  | 15.4 | 24.3 | 9.1 |
|  | Adequate <br> Inadequate | 89.0 5.5 | 87.0 | 90.3 |
|  | Indifferent | 5.5 5.5 | 8.0 | 3.4 |
| Number and Variety of Walking and Hiking Trails | Adequate | 19.5 | 5.0 | 6.3 |
|  | Inadequate | 19.0 3.4 | 22.3 | 15.4 |
|  | Indifferent | 3.4 | 5.8 | 1.1 |
| Hiking Trail Information and Maps |  | 77.6 | 71.9 | 83.5 |
|  | Adequate Inadequate | 40.6 | 38.5 | 40.8 |
|  | Indifferent | 11.7 47.7 | 12.2 | 9.9 |
| Interpretive Nature Centres | Adequate | 47.7 | 49.3 | 49.3 |
|  | Inadequate | 43.9 3.3 | 38.8 | 52.5 |
|  | Indifferent | 52.8 | 1.5 59.7 | 4.9 |
| Lookout Points and Towers | Adequate |  | 59.7 | 42.6 |
|  | Inadequate | 46.2 9.9 | 39.6 | 58.1 |
|  | Indifferent | 9.9 43.9 | 9.7 50.7 | 8.1 |
| Number and Variety of Bicycle Paths |  | 43.9 | 50.7 | 33.8 |
|  | Inadequate |  | 33.8 | 14.6 |
|  | Indifferent | 17.8 56.4 | 25.6 | 9.3 |
| Bicycle Path Information and Maps |  | 56.4 | 40.6 | 76.1 |
|  | Adequate <br> Inadequate | $\begin{aligned} & 52.1 \\ & 17.8 \end{aligned}$ | 52.3 | 47.7 |
|  | Indifferent | 17.8 30.1 | 19.6 | 15.9 |
| Number and Variety of Horseback Riding Trails |  | 30.1 | 28.1 | 36.4 |
|  | Adequate <br> Inadequate | 53.2 22.0 | 55.8 | 48.9 |
|  | Indifferent | 22.0 | 25.0 | 17.8 |
| Horseback Riding Trail Information and Maps |  | 24.8 | 19.2 | 33.3 |
|  | Adequate <br> Inadequate | 58.2 | 52.4 | 61.5 |
|  | Indifferent | 22.8 19.0 | 23.8 | 19.2 |
|  | Indifferent | 19.0 | 23.8 | 19.3 |



TABLE 92 - Continued

| Facility |  | Percentage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Provincial Manitoba | Urban Manitoba | Rura 1 Manitoba |
| Cross-country Skiing and Snowshoeing Warm-up Facilities | Adequate |  |  |  |
|  | Adequate <br> Inadequate <br> Indifferent | 65.1 20.1 | 57.9 23.8 | 73.1 |
|  |  | 14.8 | 23.8 18.3 | 16.4 |
| Cross-country Skiing and Snowshoeing Equipment Rentals | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 47.1 |  | 10.5 |
|  |  | 47.1 2.9 | 51.7 4.2 | 39.1 |
| Number of Downhill Skiing Areas |  | 50.0 | 44.1 | 60.9 |
|  | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 50.0 | 49.0 | 57.7 |
|  |  | 46.4 | 46.9 | 38.5 |
|  | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 3.6 | 4.1 | 3.8 |
| Downhill Skiing Warm-up Facilities |  | 75.3 | 70.2 | 88.0 |
|  |  | 22.2 | 27.7 | 8.0 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.1 | 4.0 |
| Downhill Skiing Equipment Rentals | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 65.0 | 66.7 | 53.8 |
|  |  | 8.8 26.2 | 8.9 | 11.5 |
|  | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 26.2 | 24.4 | 34.7 |
| Snowsledding and Tobogganing Runs |  | 65.4 | 61.1 | 72.5 |
|  |  | 20.4 | 26.1 | 10.8 |
|  |  | 14.2 | 12.8 | 16.7 |
| Number of Outdoor Ice Skating Areas | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 75.1 | 75.2 | 73.3 |
|  |  | 22.2 | 20.8 | 25.8 |
| Outdoor Ice Skating Warm-up Facilities |  | 2.6 | 4.0 | 0.9 |
|  | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 81.0 | 79.5 | 82.1 |
|  |  | 14.4 | 15.3 | 13.7 |
|  |  | 4.6 | 5.3 | 4.3 |
| Number and Variety of Snowmobile Trails | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 39.2 4.2 | 61.4 | 28.9 |
|  |  | 4.2 | 5.3 | 3.7 |
| Access to Snowmobile Trails | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 56.6 | 33.3 | 67.4 |
|  |  | 73.0 | 67.3 | 76.6 |
|  |  | 4.3 | 5.5 | 4.3 |
|  |  | 22.6 | 27.2 | 19.1 |
| Snowmobiling Warm-up Facilities | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 65.5 | 56.6 | 73.9 |
|  |  | 6.4 | 9.4 | 4.3 |
|  |  | 28.2 | 34.0 | 21.8 |
| ff-road Motoring Trails and Areas | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 51.7 | 50.0 | 71.4 |
|  |  | 37.9 | 40.0 | 14.3 |
|  |  | 10.4 | 10.0 | 14.3 |
| ccess to Off-road Motoring Trails and Areas | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 63.6 | 53.3 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 27.3 | 33.3 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 9.1 | 13.4 | - |
| umber and Variety of Golf Courses | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 76.8 | 79.5 |  |
|  |  | 18.7 | 13.7 | 23.9 |
|  |  | 4.5 | 6.8 | 1.8 |

TABLE 92 - Continued

| Facility |  | Percentage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Provincial Manitoba | Urban Manitoba | Rura 1 Manitoba |
| Number and Variety of |  |  |  |  |
| Miniature Golf | Adequate Inadequate | 63.9 11.6 | 63.4 | 59.3 |
| Courses | Inadequate Indifferent | 11.6 | 9.9 | 59.3 7.4 |
| Number of Tennis Courts |  | 24.5 | 26.7 | 33.3 |
|  | Adequate <br> Inadequate | 63.3 | 64.6 | 52.5 |
|  | Indifferent | 35.2 1.5 | 33.9 | 45.9 |
| Municipal Services for Cottages |  | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
|  | Adequate <br> Inadequate | 86.9 | 84.3 | 90.9 |
|  | Indifferent | 7.3 5.8 | 6.5 | 8.0 |
|  | Indifferent | 5.8 | 9.2 | 1.1 |

ADEQUACY OF OUTDOOR RECREATIONAL FACILITIES (REGIONAL BREAKDOWN)

| Facility |  | Winnipeg Winkler Prandontage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Winnipeg Region | Winkler Region | Brandon Region | Dauphin Region | Interlake Region | The Pas Region | Northern Region |
| of Camping Areas | Adequate | 66.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Inadequate | 66.4 28.9 | 57.1 42.9 | 81.0 | 58.8 | 100.0 |  |  |
|  | , Indifferent | 28.9 4.7 | 42.9 | 19.0 | 58.8 5 | 100.0 | 57.1 42.9 | 65.2 34.8 |
| Number of Campsites | Adequate | 71.6 | 66.7 | 86.0 | 5.9 64.7 | - | - | . 8 |
|  | Inadequate | 23.6 | 28.6 | 86.0 14.0 | 64.7 29.4 | 50.0 | 78.6 | 65.2 |
|  | Indifferent | 4.8 | 4.7 | $\underline{14.0}$ | 29.4 5.9 | 50.0 | 21.4 | 30.4 |
| Camping Information and Area Maps | Adequate | 79.6 | 90.0 | 92.9 | 5.9 75.0 | - | - | 4.4 |
|  | Inadequate | 10.7 | 90.0 | 92.9 5.4 | 75.0 12.5 | 100.0 | 71.4 | 77.3 |
|  | Indifferent | 9.7 | 10.0 | 5.4 1.7 | 12.5 12.5 | - | 21.4 | 18.2 |
| Camping Sanitary Facilities | Adequate | 74.0 | 71.4 | 89.7 | 72.5 | - | 7.2 | 4.5 |
|  | Inadequate | 21.7 | 28.6 | 89.7 10.3 | 70.6 | 100.0 | 73.3 | 73.9 |
|  | Indifferent | 4.3 | 28.6 | 10.3 | 17.6 11.8 | - | 20.0 | 13.0 |
| Showers | Adequate | 59.5 | 57.1 | 84.2 | 11.8 |  | 6.7 | 13.1 |
|  | Inadequate | 30.5 | 38.1 | 84.2 | 60.0 | 50.0 | 85.7 | 60.9 |
|  | Indifferent | 10.0 | 4.8 | 15.8 | 20.0 20.0 | 50 | 14.3 | 26.1 |
| Camping Fees | Adequate | 86.9 |  | 93.1 | 82.0 | 50.0 | - | 13.0 |
|  | Inadequate | 8.4 | 90.5 9.5 | 93.1 6.9 | 82.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Indifferent | 3.7 | 9.5 | 6.9 | 5.9 | - | - | 78.3 13.0 |
| Number and Variety of Picnic Areas | Adequate | 75.1 | 77.8 | 7 | 11.7 | - | - | 8.7 |
|  | Inadequate | 17.9 | 18.5 | 86.7 | 59.4 | 33.4 | 63.6 | 74.2 |
|  | Indifferent | 7.0 | 3.7 | 9.3 4.0 | 31.3 | 33.3 | 36.4 | 22.6 |
|  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 9.3 | 33.3 | - | 3.2 |



TABLE 93 - Continued

| Facility |  | Winnipeg Winkler Brandor Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Region | Winkler Region | Brandon Region | Dauphin Region | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Interlake } \\ & \text { Region } \end{aligned}$ | The Pas Region |  |
| Hiking Trail Information and Maps |  |  |  |  |  | Region | Region | Region |
|  | Inadequate | 38.4 11.6 | 57.1 | 58.8 11.8 | 20.0 | - | 40.0 |  |
|  | Indifferent | 50.0 | 42.9 | 11.8 29.4 | 80.0 | - | 20.0 | 28.6 21.4 |
| Interpretive Nature Centres | Adequate | 39.5 | 66.7 | 29.4 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 40.0 | 20.4 50.0 |
|  | Inadequate | 2.5 | 66.7 | 58.3 | 40.0 | - | 80.0 | 42.9 |
|  | Indifferent | 58.5 | 33.3 | 41.7 | 60.0 | 100.0 | - | 14.3 |
| Lookout Points and Towers | Adequate | 40.1 | 66.7 | 41.7 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 42.8 |
|  | Inadequate | 9.5 | 16.7 | 92.3 | 40.0 | - | 80.0 | 42.9 |
|  | Indifferent | 50.4 | 16.6 | 7.7 |  | 100. | - | 42.9 21.4 |
| Number and Variety of Bicycle Paths | Adequate | 27.5 | 14.3 | 14.0 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 35.7 |
|  | Inadequate | 24.6 | 14.3 | 14.0 2.3 | 28.6 | 50.0 | 12.5 | 16.7 |
|  | Indifferent | 47.9 | 85.7 | 83.7 | 71.4 | 50.0 | 87.5 | 16.7 |
| Bicycle Path Information and Maps | Adequate | 52.5 | 100.0 |  | 51.4 | 50.0 | 87.5 | 66.6 |
|  | Inadequate | 20.0 | 100.0 | 37.5 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 14.3 |
|  | Indifferent | 27.5 | - | 62.5 | 50.0 | - | -7 | 42.9 |
| Number and Variety of Horseback Riding Trails | Adequate | 54.3 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 50.0 |  | 66.7 | 42.8 |
|  | Inadequate | 22.9 | - | 11.1 | 50.0 | - | - | - |
|  | Indifferent | 22.8 | - | 22.2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | - | 33.3 |
| Horseback Riding Trail Information and Maps | Adequate | 52.9 | 50.0 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | 66.7 |
| Information and Maps | Inadequate | 27.5 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | - |
| Serviced Beaches | Indifferent | 19.6 | 50.0 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Adequate | 75.2 | 75.0 | 77.4 | 72.0 |  | - | 100.0 |
|  | Inadequate | 21.4 | 25.0 | 21.0 | 72.0 20.0 | 50.0 | 63.2 | 60.0 |
|  | Indifferent | 3.4 | - | 1.6 | 8.0 | 50.0 | 36.8 | 32.0 |
| Swim Changing Facilities | Adequate | 70.6 | 70.0 |  |  |  | - | 8.0 |
|  | Inadequate | 21.4 | 30.0 | 16.9 | 78.3 13.0 | 50.0 | 57.9 | 56.0 |
|  | Indifferent | 8.0 | 30.0 | 16.9 1.7 | 13.0 8.7 | 50.0 | 36.8 | 40.0 |

TABLE 93

- Continued

| Facility |  | Winnipeg WinkTer Prancent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Winnipeg Region | WinkTer Region | Brandon Region | DauphinRegion | Interlake Region | The Pas Region | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Northern } \\ \text { Region } \end{gathered}\right.$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Safety Measures | Adequate <br> Inadequate | 70.1 21.0 | 55.0 | 71.2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Inadequate Indifferent | 21.0 9.0 | 35.0 | 27.1 | 40.9 50.0 | 100.0 | 63.2 | 68.0 |
| Marinas for Fishing Boats and Equipment Rentals | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 9.0 | 10.0 | 1.7 | 50.0 9.1 | - | 36.8 | 28.0 |
|  |  | 25.0 | 23.1 | 18.8 |  | - | - | 4.0 |
|  |  | 5.4 | 23.1 | 18.8 | 22.2 | - | 42.1 | 14.3 |
| Fishing Boat Launching Ramps |  | 69.6 | 53.8 | 81.2 | 77.8 | - | 5.3 | , |
|  | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 68.8 | 100.0 | 95.7 |  | - | 52.6 | 85.7 |
|  |  | 7.4 | 100.0 | 95.7 | 94.4 | - | 87.5 | 68.2 |
|  |  | 23.8 | - | 4.3 | 5.6 |  | 6.3 | 6.2 4.5 |
| Sailboat Mooring Facilities | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 71.9 | - | 100.0 |  |  | 6.2 | 27.3 |
|  |  | 12.5 | - | 100.0 | - | - | - |  |
|  |  | 15.6 | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| Sailboat Launching Ramps | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 84.4 |  | 100.0 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 8.4 | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 6.2 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Number and Variety of Canoe Routes | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 44.0 | 28.6 | 22.2 | - | - |  | - |
|  |  | 6.9 | 28.6 | 22.2 | 40.0 | - | 10.0 | 20.0 |
| Canoe Route Information and Maps |  | 49.1 | 71.4 | 77.8 | 60.0 |  | - | 10.0 |
|  | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 41.1 | 42.9 | 30.0 |  | - | 90.0 | 70.0 |
|  |  | 5.6 | - | 5.0 | - | - | - | 12.5 |
| Power Boat Launching Ramps |  | 53.3 | 57.1 | 65.0 | 100.0 |  | 100 | 12.5 |
|  | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 73.9 | 100.0 | 92.3 | 50.0 |  | 100.0 | 75.0 |
|  |  | 16.2 | - | 7.7 | 50.0 | - | 83.3 | 66.7 |
| Power Boat Docking Facilities | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 79.9 |  |  |  | - | 16.7 | 33.3 |
|  |  | 79.8 9.6 | 100.0 | 90.9 | 100.0 | - | 80.0 |  |
|  |  | 10.6 | - | 9.1 | - | - | 80.0 20.0 | 66.7 |
|  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | $3 \overline{3.3}$ |

Continued

| Facility |  | Winnipeg Winktor Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Winnipeg Region | WinkTer Region | Brandon Region | Dauphin | Interlake | The Pas | Northern |
| Number and Variety of <br> Adequate |  |  |  |  | Region | Region | Region | Region |
| Cross-country Skiing and | Adequate | 61.0 25.6 | 75.0 | 69.2 | 40.0 |  |  |  |
| Snowshoeing Trails | Indifferent | 25.6 13.4 | 25.0 | 11.5 | 40.0 | - | 50.0 | 66.7 |
| Cross-country Skiing and Snowshoeing Trail Information and Maps | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 13.4 | 25.0 | 19.2 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 22.2 |
|  |  | 58.3 | 71.4 | 78.9 | 50.0 | - |  |  |
|  |  | 21.9 | 14.3 | 15.8 | 50.0 | - | 33.3 | 37.5 |
| Cross-country Skiing and Snowshoeing Warm-up Facilities | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 19.9 | 14.3 | 5.3 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 33.3 33.4 | 25.0 35.5 |
|  |  | 58.9 22.9 | 100.0 | 77.8 | 100.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 22.5 | - | 22.2 | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | 50.0 |
| Cross-country skiing and Snowshoeing Equipment Rentals | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 18.5 | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | 37.5 12.5 |
|  |  | 48.6 | 42.9 | 55.6 | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3.4 47.9 | - | - | - | - | 33.3 | 33.3 |
| Number of Downhill Skiing Areas |  | 47.9 | 57.1 | 44.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 66.7 |
|  | Adequate <br> Inadequate | 49.1 45.5 | 50.0 | 71.4 | - | - | 100.0 |  |
|  | Indifferent | 45.5 5.4 | 50.0 | 28.6 | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | 20.0 80.0 |
| Downhill Skiing Warm-up Facilities | Adequate <br> Inadequate <br> Indifferent | 71.7 | - | 0 | - | - | - | 8 |
|  |  | 24.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 3.8 | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | 100. |
| Downhill Skiing Equipment Rentals | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 61.5 |  |  |  | - | - | - |
|  |  | 7.7 | 50.0 | 83.3 | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | 40.0 |
|  |  | 30.8 | 50.0 | 16.7 | 100.0 | - | 100. | 40.0 20.0 |
| Snows ledding-Tobogganing Runs | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 63.6 | 90.9 | 77.3 | - | - | - | 40.0 |
|  |  | 22.9 | 90.9 | 77.3 4.5 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 63.6 | 66.7 |
|  |  | 13.5 | 9.1 | 4.5 18.2 | 20.0 30.0 | - | 27.3 | 66.7 11.1 |
| Number of Outdoor Ice Skating Areas | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 74.5 | 60.0 | 81.1 |  |  | 9.1 | 22.2 |
|  |  | 3.7 | - | - | 20.0 | - | 50.0 | 11.1 |

TABLE 93 - Continued

| Facility |  | Winnipeg Winkler Brandon Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Region | Winkler <br> Region | Brandon Region | Dauphin | Interlake | The Pas | Northern |
| Outdoor Ice Skating Warm-up Facilities |  |  |  |  |  | Region | Region | Region |
|  | Adequate <br> Inadequate | 80.3 14.9 | 60.0 | 85.7 | 88.9 |  |  |  |
|  | Inadequate Indifferent | 14.9 4.8 | 20.0 | 11.4 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 83.3 16.7 | 87.5 |
| Number and Variety of Snowmobile Trails |  | 4.8 | 20.0 | 2.9 | 11.1 | - |  | 12.5 |
|  | Adequate Inadequate | 46.8 | 23.1 | 37.5 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 42.9 |  |
|  | Indifferent | 5.3 47.9 | 7.7 |  | 6.7 | 10.0 | 42.9 14.3 | 20.0 |
| Access to Snowmobile Trails |  | 47.9 | 69.2 | 62.5 | 60.0 | - | 42.8 | 80.0 |
|  | Adequate <br> Inadequate | 69.2 4.6 | 100.0 | 91.7 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 75.0 |  |
|  | Indifferent | 26.2 | - | 8.3 | 16.7 | - | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Snowmobiling Warm-up Facilities | Adequate | 59.7 |  | ${ }_{8}^{8.3}$ | - | - | - | 75.0 |
|  | Inadequate | 59.7 8.7 | 100.0 | 91.7 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 |
|  | Indifferent | 32.2 | - | 8.3 | 16.7 | - | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Off-road Motoring Trails and Areas | Adequate | 50.0 | 100.0 | - | 16.7 | - | 25.0 | 75.0 |
|  | Inadequate | 40.9 | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | - | - | 50.0 |
|  | Indifferent | 9.1 | - | - |  | - | - | . |
| Access to Off-road Motoring Trails and Areas | Adequate Inadequate Indifferent | 56.3 | 100.0 |  |  | - | - | 50.0 |
|  |  | 31.3 | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | - | - | 100.0 |
|  |  | 12.5 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 |
| Number and Variety of Golf Courses | Adequate | 76.7 | 100.0 | 78.4 |  |  | - | - |
|  | Inadequate | 17.2 | 100.0 | 18.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 57.1 | 66.7 |
|  | Indifferent | 6.1 | - | 2.7 |  | - | 42.9 | 33.3 |
| Number and Variety of Miniature Golf Courses | Adequate <br> Inadequate <br> Indifferent | 63.6 | 50.0 |  |  | - | - | - |
|  |  | 6.6 9.3 | 50.0 | 50.0 16.7 | - | - | 100.0 | 50.0 |
|  |  | 27.1 | 50.0 | 16.7 33.3 | - |  | - | 16.7 |
| Number of Tennis Courts | Adequate | 60.8 | 75.0 |  |  |  | - | 33.3 |
|  | Inadequate | 37.2 | 75.0 | 68.8 31.3 | 100.0 | - | 66.7 | 14.3 |
|  | Indifferent | 2.0 | 25.0 | 31.3 | - | - | 33.3 | 85.7 |
| Municipal Services for Cottages | Adequate | 85.7 | 66.7 | 95.8 |  |  | - | - |
|  | Inadequate | 6.3 | 33.3 | 4.2 | 88.9 | - | 75.0 | 92.3 |
|  | Indifferent | 8.0 | - | - | 1.1 | - | 25.0 | 7.7 |
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