THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA

RATE EFFECTS AND LOW STRESS STRENGTH OF WINNIPEG CLAY

by

VINCENT CHUN-SANG AU

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

WINNIPEG, MANITOBA

JUNE, 1982

RATE EFFECTS AND LOW STRESS STRENGTH OF WINNIPEG CLAY

BY

VINCENT CHUN-SANG AU

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies of the University of Manitoba in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

© 1982

Permission has been granted to the LIBRARY OF THE UNIVER-SITY OF MANITOBA to lend or sell copies of this thesis, to the NATIONAL LIBRARY OF CANADA to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film, and UNIVERSITY MICROFILMS to publish an abstract of this thesis.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.

ABSTRACT

The two principal purposes in this thesis are:

- to investigate the influence of test duration and strain rate on the stress-strain behaviour of Lake Agassiz clay from Winnipeg.
- to examine the strength of Winnipeg clay at low stress levels.

The study also investigated the Undrained Strength of the clay at Large Strains (USALS) and its relationship with the normally consolidated Coulomb-Mohr envelope.

Six drained stress-controlled triaxial tests on undisturbed samples were used to study the time-dependent aspects of the YLIGHT model of soil behaviour. Six nonstandard and four strain-rate controlled oedometer tests were performed to examine the effects of time and strain rate on the preconsolidation pressure, p'_c . The samples were taken from 11.6 m depth, and the sample diameter was 76 mm.

The preconsolidation pressure p_c^{\prime} decreased from 249 to 225 kPa as the duration of the load application increased from 0.1 to 100 days. This supports previous findings by Bjerrum (1967), Tavenas and Leroueil (1977). Strain controlled oedometer tests also show that the preconsolidation pressure is strain rate dependent.

Five 76 mm diameter undisturbed triaxial samples taken from 11.6 m, six 'fully-softened' and five 'freeze-thaw' triaxial samples taken from 8.7 m were tested to study the low tes S

- i -

stress strengths of Winnipeg clay. Data was obtained on both drained and undrained triaxial behaviour. The low stress strengths were the highest for undisturbed samples, followed by the 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples. These low stress strength envelopes were considerably curved and parallel to each other.

The Undrained Strength at Large Strains (USALS) obtained for all the undrained tests lay close to the normally consolidated Coulomb-Mohr envelope ($\phi' = 17.5^{\circ}$; c' = 4 kPa).

- ii -

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am greatly indebted to Dr. J. Graham, who initiated and supervised the work, for his enthusiam, guidance and continued support during his sabbatical year.

I am also indebted to Dr. L. Magalhaes for his assistance in the preparation of this thesis.

The author wishes to thank Mr. N. Piamsalee, Mr. J. Clark, Mr. S. Meyerhoff and Mr. G. Tays of the technical staff of Civil Engineering Department, University of Manitoba, for their assistance during the experimental investigation.

Special thanks is given to Mr. K.V. Lew and Mr. O.F. Yong for their assistance during the initial stage of the experimental work.

I also wish to thank Mrs. Jessamine Lew for her excellent work in typing the thesis.

Financial assistance, in the form of support from NSERC grant A3712 is acknowledged.

Lastly, but certainly not least, I would like to express my utmost gratitude to my wife, Ting, for her patience, understanding, encouragement and unstinting support to help me through the difficult times. én.,

- iii -

TABLE OF CONTENTS

																				PAGE
	ABSTR	RACI	- . •	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	i
	ACKNO	OWLE	EDGEN	MENTS	5	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	iii
м.	TABLE	E OI	F COI	TEN	ГS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	iv
	LIST	OF	SYM	BOLS	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	vii
	LIST	OF	TAB	LES	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	x
	LIST	OF	FIG	JRES	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	xii
CHAPTER																				
1.	INTRO			N																
	1.1	In: St:	fluer	nce -Stra	of air	Ti 1 B	me eh	Eav	ff	ec ur	ts o	c f	n Sc	th i1	ie	•	•		•	1
	1.2	Lov	v St	ress	St	re	ng	th	s	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		6
	1.3	Out	tlin	e of	Th	ies	is		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9
2.	DESCI TEST	RIP PR	LION DCED	OF URES	GEN	IER	AL	, S	01	L	PR	OF	II	ĿΕ	A١	ID				
	2.1	Int	trod	ucti	on	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	13
	2.2	So	i1 P	rofi	le	an	d	Pr	op	er	ti	es	5	•	•	•	•	•	•	13
	2.3	Sai	nple	Pre	paı	at	ic	n	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•.	•	14
	2.4	Te	st P	roce	duı	res	;	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	17
		2.	4.1	Und	ist	cur	ъе	ed	Sa	mp)le	s	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	18
				2.4	.1	.1	T a t	ri Ind	ax I I 011	ia) ra ed	l in I T	Co lec Tri	ons I S Lay	so] Sti kia	lio res al	la ss Te	tio Co est	on on• ts	-	
							f	or	Ū	Jnd	lis	stι	irt	e	1 5	Sai	mp:	les	5	18
				2.4	.1	. 2	U	Ind	lra	in	ieð	! 5	She	ear	riı	ıg	•	•	•	19
		2.	4.2	'Fu	11)	7-8	Sof	Ξte	ene	ed'	S	San	np]	les	3	•	•	•	•	21
		2.	4.3	'Fr	eez	ze	ar	ıd	Th	aw	7 1	Sa	ımp	$\mathbf{p1e}$	es	•	•	•	•	22
		2.	4.4	Non Oed	-Stome	tar ete	nda er	rd Te	l S est	Str S	es.	ss •	Co •	ont •	tro •	1	1e0	d	•	23
		2.	4.5	Con Tes	sta ts	ant (C	: F CRS	lat 5]	e Tes	of sts	E S ;)	Sti	a:	in •	00	ed •	ome •	ete	er •	24

- iv -

.

9.89X

PAGE CHAPTER TESTS TO EXAMINE TIME EFFECTS AND 3. STRAIN RATE EFFECTS 27 Introduction 3.1 28 3.2 Testing Program Consolidated Drained 3.2.1 Triaxial Tests 28 Non-Standard One-Dimensional 3.2.2 Oedometer Tests 31 Constant Rate of Strain 3.2.3 Oedometer Tests 31 Triaxial Consolidation and Drained 3.3 Stress Controlled Triaxial Tests . . . 32 Reconsolidation to In-Situ 3.3.1 Stresses 32 Drained Compression Results . . . 3.3.2 33 Non-Standard Oedometer Tests 36 3.4 Constant Rate of Strain Oedometer Tests 3.5 39 LOW STRESS TEST RESULTS 4. Introduction 4.1 40 4.2 Testing Program 41 Triaxial Consolidation and Drained 4.3 Stress-Controlled Tests 42 Triaxial Consolidation . . 4.3.1 42 4.3.2 Drained Compression Results . . . 43 Undrained Shear Triaxial Tests 4.4 45 Stress-Strain Relationship 4.4.1 . . . 45 Effective Stress Paths 4.4.2 48 Porewater Pressure Generation . . 50 4.4.3 'E₅₀' Parameter 4.4.4 53 Strain Rate Effect 4.4.5 54 4.4.6 Undrained Strength at Large Strains . . . 55 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS 5. Introduction 56 5.1 Time and Strain Rate Effects on the 5 - A Yield Behaviour of Winnipeg Clay . . . 58

v -

				DACE
CHAPTER				FAGE
		5-A.1	Time Effects on Yield Stresses .	58
		5-A.2	Influence of Time and Strain Rate Effects on the Preconsolidation Pressure p'_c	62
			$1 \in S \cup S$	02
			Oedometer Tests	65
	5 - B	Results Investi	for the Low Stress Strength	66
		5-B.1	Triaxial Consolidation	66
		5-B.2	Drained Stress Controlled Triaxial Tests	67
		5-B.3	Low Stress Strengths	68
		5-B.4	Undrained Shearing Behaviour	70
			5-B.4.1 Stress-Strain Behaviour	70
		5-B.5	'A _f ' Parameter	71
		5-B.6	Strain Rate Effects	73
		5-B.7	USALS	73
6.	CONCI RESEA	LUSIONS	AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER	
	6.1	Conclus	sions	77
	6.2	Suggest	tions for Further Research	78
	DUDDI	THATA		01
	REFEI	RENCES		01
	TABLI	ES		84
	FIGUI	RES		105
	APPE	NDIX I	TRIAXIAL TEST RESULTS	180

. . .

Augenta La Aug

· · · ·

- vi -

LIST OF SYMBOLS

А, В	- porewater pressure parameter (after Skempton,
	1954)
Af	- value of A at failure
c'	- effective cohesion intercept
C _c	- compression index
c _v	- coefficient of consolidation
Cα	- coefficient of secondary compression
CAD	- stress-controlled, consolidated anisotropically
	drained test
CAD(U)	- strain-controlled, undrained compression test
	with porewater pressure measurements preceded
	by CAD test
CAU	- strain-controlled, consolidated anisotropically
	undrained compression test
CRS	- constant rate of strain oedometer test
е	- voids ratio
e _o	- initial voids ratio
e _f	- final voids ratio
^E 50	- elastic modulus to 50 per cent of failure stress
G	- shear modulus
G _s	- specific gravity
G.W.L	- groundwater table or phreatic surface

Ip	- plasticity index
ĸ _o	- coefficient of earth pressure at rest
LSSV	- Length of Stress Vector
OCR	- overconsolidation ratio
p'	- mean principal stress; = $(\sigma'_1 + \sigma'_2 + \sigma'_3)/3$
p'c	- effective preconsolidation pressure
p'	- effective vertical overburden stress
q	- principal stress difference; = $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$
^s u	- undrained strength; = $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2_{max}$
u	- porewater pressure
V	- specific volume; = (1 + e)
W	- natural moisture content
wi	- initial moisture content
wf	- final moisture content
wL	- liquid limit
wp	- plastic limit
W _T	- strain energy absorbed per unit volume
$^{\gamma}$ sat	- saturated unit weight
^ε 1, ^ε 3	- major and minor principal strains (i.e. axial
	and radial strains in triaxial compression test)
3	- shear strain; = $2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/3$
^ε 1c ^{, ε} 3c	- $arepsilon_1$ and $arepsilon_3$ at the end of triaxial consolidation
	to σ'_{1c} , σ'_{3c}

-

4

· .

ε _v	- volumetric strain in triaxial compression test
εvc	- ϵ_V at the end of triaxial consolidation to
	σ_{1c}, σ_{3c}
εvr	- vertical strain for oedometer test
	- average axial strain during relaxation test in
	undrained compression test
έ ₁	- axial strain rate
^ρ 0.1	- strain rate effect parameter for undrained
	strength
ⁿ 0.1	- strain rate effect parameter for preconsolidation
	pressure p'c
σ', σ'3	- major and minor effective principal stresses
σic, σisc	- σ'_1 and σ'_3 at the end of triaxial consolidation
^σ oct	- total octahedral normal stress
σ'oct	- effective octahedral normal stress
σ'v	- effective vertical stress
φ'	- effective angle of shearing resistance

- ix -

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
2.1	BASIC SOIL PROPERTIES	84
2.2	BASIC SOIL PROPERTIES	85
2.3	TEMPERATURE, DURATION AND NUMBER OF FREEZE- THAW CYCLES FOR 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES	86
3.1	TRIAXIAL CONSOLIDATION RESULTS FOR RESTRESSING TO IN-SITU STRESSES	87
3.2	TRIAXIAL CONSOLIDATION RESULTS AT THE END OF STRESS-CONTROLLED TESTING FOR UNDISTURBED SAMPLES	88
3.3	TRIAXIAL CONSOLIDATION RESULTS AT THE END OF STRESS-CONTROLLED TESTING FOR 'FULLY-SOFTENED' AND 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES	89
3.4	YIELD STRESSES FROM DIFFERENT YIELD CRITERIA FOR UNDISTURBED SAMPLES CONSOLIDATED TO IN-SITU STRESS LEVEL	90
3.5	RESULTS FOR NON-STANDARD OEDOMETER TESTS	91
3.6	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN P' AND LOAD DURATION FOR THE NON-STANDARD OEDOMETER TESTS	92
3.7	RELATIONSHIP AMONG $c_{v}^{},\ C_{\alpha},\ C_{c},\ C_{\alpha}/C_{c}$ AND TIME FOR THE NON-STANDARD OEDOMETER TESTS	93
3.8	CRS OEDOMETER TEST RESULTS	94
4.1	TRIAXIAL RECONSOLIDATION RESULTS FOR LOW STRESS TESTS (UNDISTURBED SAMPLES)	95
4.2	TRIAXIAL RECONSOLIDATION RESULTS FOR LOW STRESS TESTS ('FULLY-SOFTENED' AND 'FREEZE- THAW' SAMPLES)	96
4.3	QUASI-YIELD STRESSES FROM DIFFERENT YIELD CRITERIA FOR LOW STRESS TESTS	97
4.4	SUMMARY OF UNDRAINED SHEAR TEST RESULTS	98
4.5	COMPARISON OF Af AND m VALUES FOR OVER- CONSOLIDATED 'UNDISTURBED', 'FULLY-SOFTENED' AND 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES	99

- xi -

104

TABLE		PAGE
4.6	VALUES OF STRAIN RATE PARAMETER ^P 0.1 FOR VARIOUS AXIAL STRAINS FROM RELAXATION TESTS	100
4.7	VALUES OF STRAIN RATE PARAMETER P _{0.1} FOR VARIOUS AXIAL STRAINS FROM STEP-CHANGING TESTS	101
4.8	END OF TEST VALUE FOR UNDRAINED SHEAR TESTS	102
5.1	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SPECIFIC VOLUME (V) AND σoct DURING SAMPLE RECONSOLIDATION FOR UNDISTURBED SAMPLES TAKEN FROM 11.6 m	103
5.2	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SPECIFIC VOLUME (V) AND σ_{oct}^{b} DURING SAMPLE RECONSOLIDATION FOR SAMPLES TAKEN FROM 8.2 and 8.7 m	104

- xii -

×

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1.1	SITE PLAN AND LOCATION OF BOREHOLES	105
1.2	SUMMARY OF ALL AVAILABLE YIELD ENVELOPES FOR WINNIPEG CLAY	106
1.3	NORMALIZED YIELD ENVELOPE FOR WINNIPEG CLAY	107
1.4	AVERAGE BOREHOLE LOG INFORMATION, UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA CAMPUS (MODIFIED BARACOS et al., 1980)	108
2.1	SKETCH OF NEW BASE FOR OEDOMETER TO PERMIT POREWATER PRESSURE MEASUREMENT DURING CRS TESTS	109
2.2	CRS OEDOMETER SET-UP	110
2.3	'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLE T421	110
3.1	PROPOSED STRESS PATHS FOR TESTS T401 TO T406	111
3.2	STRESS PATHS FOLLOWED BY UNDISTURBED SAMPLES DURING TEST PROGRAM	112
3.3	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'oct vs ε _v ; T401, T402	113
3.4	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_3 vs ε_3 ; T401, T402	114
3.5	YIELD DETERMINATION, W _T vs LSSV; T401, T402	115
3.6	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_1 vs ε_1 ; T403, T404	116
3.7	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'oct vs ε _v ; T403, T404	117
3.8	YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ε_1 ; T403, T404	118
3.9	YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ ; T403, T404	119

- xiii -

FIGURE		PAGE
3.10	YIELD DETERMINATION, W _T vs LSSV; T403, T404	120
3.11	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_3 vs ϵ_3 ; T405, T406	121
3.12	YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ ; T405, T406	122
3.13	YIELD DETERMINATION, W _T vs LSSV; T403, T404	123
3.14	YIELD AND MAXIMUM DEVIATOR STRESSES FOR UNDISTURBED SAMPLES	124
3.15	NON-STANDARD OEDOMETER TESTS, $\epsilon_{ m VR}$ vs $\sigma_{ m V}$	125
3.16	NON-STANDARD OEDOMETER TESTS, $\epsilon_{ m VR}$ vs log $\sigma_{ m V}$	126
3.17	CONSOLIDATION TIME CURVES; C403 TO C406	127
3.18	CONSOLIDATION TIME CURVES; C401 TO C402	128
3.19	GRAPH OF c_v , C_c , C_α , C_α/C_c , ε_{VR} vs $\log \sigma_v^+$ FOR NON-STANDARD OEDOMETER TESTS AT 24 HOUR LOAD DURATION	129
3.20	GRAPH OF C_c , C_α , C_α/C_c , ε_{VR} vs $\log \sigma_V$ FOR NON-STANDARD OEDOMETER TESTS AT 240 HOUR LOAD DURATION	130
3.21	STRAIN-RATE CONTROLLED OEDOMETER TESTS, $\varepsilon_{VR} vs \sigma'_{V} \dots \dots$	131
3.22	STRAIN-RATE CONTROLLED OEDOMETER TESTS, ε_{VR} vs log σ'_{V}	132
4.1	STRESS PATHS FOLLOWED BY 'FULLY-SOFTENED' SAMPLES DURING TEST PROGRAM	133
4.2	STRESS PATHS FOLLOWED BY 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES DURING TEST PROGRAM	134
4.3	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_1 vs ε_1 ; T415	135
4.4	YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ_1 ; T415	135
4.5	YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ ; T415, T417	136
4.6	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_{oct} vs ϵ_v ; T417	137

.

э,

- xiv -

FIGURE	P	AGE
4.7	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_3 vs ε_3 ; T417	137
4.8	YIELD DETERMINATION, W_{T} vs LSSV; T417	138
4.9	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_1 vs ε_1 ; T410, T412, T414	139
4.10	YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ε_1 ; T410, T412, T414	140
4.11	YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ ; T410, T412, T414	141
4.12	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_3 vs ϵ_3 ; T410, T412, T414	142
4.13	YIELD DETERMINATION, W _T vs LSSV; T410, T412, T414	143
4.14	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ_1' vs ε_1 ; T421	144
4.15	YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_3 vs ε_3 ; T421	144
4.16	YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ_1 ; T419, T421	145
4.17	YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ ; T419, T421	146
4.18	YIELD DETERMINATION, W _T vs LSSV; T419, T421	147
4.19	UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T402	148
4.20	UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T407	149
4.21	UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T408	150
4.22	UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T416	151
4.23	UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T411	152
4.24	UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T413	153

· •

•

		- xv -
FIGURE		PAGE
4.25	UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T409	154
4.26	UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T422	155
4.27	UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T420	156
4.28	UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T418	157
4.29	EFFECTIVE STRESS PATHS FOR UNDISTURBED SAMPLES FROM UNDRAINED TESTS	158
4.30	YIELD AND MAXIMUM DEVIATOR STRESS FOR 'FULLY- SOFTENED' AND 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES	159
4.31	NORMALIZED LOW STRESS TEST RESULTS	160
4.32	LOW STRESS STRENGTHS AND USALS FOR UNDISTURBED SAMPLES	161
4.33	LOW STRESS STRENGTHS AND USALS FOR 'FULLY- SOFTENED' AND 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES	162
4.34	GRAPH OF POREWATER PRESSURE PARAMETER A _f vs 1/σ' _{1c} FOR WINNIPEG CLAY	163
4.35	SUMMARY GRAPH OF POREWATER PRESSURE PARAMETER A _f vs OVERCONSOLIDATION RATIO	164
4.36	DEPENDENCE OF POREWATER PRESSURE PARAMETER A ON STRESS LEVELS AND STRESS RATIO DURING CONSOLIDATION	165
4.37	POREWATER PRESSURE BEHAVIOUR, Δu/σ' _{1c} vs Δσ _{oct} /σ' _{1c} ; T402	166
4.38	POREWATER PRESSURE BEHAVIOUR, Δu/σ'ic ^{vs Δσ} oct ^{/σ} ic; ^{T407} , T408	167
4.39	POREWATER PRESSURE BEHAVIOUR, $\Delta u/\sigma'_{1c} vs \Delta \sigma_{oct}/\sigma'_{1c}; T409, T416$	168
4.40	POREWATER PRESSURE BEHAVIOUR, $\Delta u/\sigma_{1c}^{vs} \Delta \sigma_{oct}^{\sigma_{1c}^{s}; T411}, T413 \dots \dots$	169
4.41	POREWATER PRESSURE BEHAVIOUR, $\Delta u/\sigma_{1c}^{vs \Delta\sigma} \circ ct^{\sigma_{1c}^{s}; T418, T420} \ldots \ldots$	170

.

-

.

FIGURE		PAGE
4.42	POREWATER PRESSURE BEHAVIOUR, $\Delta u/\sigma'_{1c} vs \Delta \sigma_{oct}/\sigma'_{1c}; T422 \dots \dots \dots$	171
4.43	RELATIVE STIFFNESS E ₅₀ /s _u vs OVERCONSOLIDA- TION RATIO	172
4.44	STRAIN RATE EFFECTS FROM FIRST RELAXATION TESTS	173
5.1	NORMALIZED YIELD STRESSES FOR SAMPLES T401 TO T406	174
5.2	GRAPH OF SPECIFIC VOLUME V vs σ'_{oct}	175
5.3	GRAPH OF SPECIFIC VOLUME V vs logo'	176
5.4	TYPICAL CLAY STRUCTURE FOR 'FULLY-SOFTENED' SAMPLES AFTER FAILURE, T410	177
5.5	TYPICAL CLAY STRUCTURE FOR 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES AFTER FAILURE, T422	177
5.6	TYPICAL FAILURE PLANE FOR 'FULLY-SOFTENED' SAMPLES, T409	178
5.7	TYPICAL FAILURE PLANE FOR 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES, T421	178
5.8	THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN STRAIN RATE AND PLASTICITY INDEX	179

.

- xvi-

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 INFLUENCE OF TIME EFFECTS ON THE STRESS-STRAIN BEHAVIOUR OF SOIL

Before 1960 it was considered that time effects such as straining rate or test duration influenced stress-strain behaviour of soil in a relatively minor way, and could be included with other effects whose magnitude, could not be determined, but which produce compensating errors (Bishop and Henkel, 1957). Since Bjerrum (1967) introduced the "delayed compression" concept, more attention had been paid to time dependent and strain rate dependent properties of carefully sampled natural clay The "delayed compression" concept (Crooks and Graham, 1976). suggested that normally consolidated clay subjected to a constant overburden stress after a long period could be referred to as "aged normally consolidated clay" having a value of preconsolidation pressure \textbf{p}_{c}^{\prime} greater than \textbf{p}_{o}^{\prime} due to delayed compression. Delayed compression acts to reduce the void ratio and develop a more stable arrangement of soil particles. This leads to greater strength and reduced compressibility. A result of this delayed compression is the development of a reserve resistance against further consolidation. Since more load can be carried in addition to the overburden stress without significant volume change, the preconsolidation pressure p_{c}^{\prime} appears to increase By monitoring the settlements of five buildings with time. in the Drammen area, Bjerrum (1967) further observed

- 1 -

that the effect of the reserve resistance of the plastic clay on the settlement was most pronounced during the initial period after completion of the buildings. The effect of reserve resistance disappeared with long time increments.

Crooks and Graham (1976), working with the postglacial organic silty clays of the Belfast area, showed that rate effects were also significant in the stress-strain behaviour of less sensitive, plastic clays. They reported that the undrained strength of samples increased by between 7 and 17 per cent for tenfold increases in strain rate.

Based on tests on sensitive clays from Eastern Canada, Crawford (1965), Conlon (1967) and Jarrett (1967) demonstrated that the time dependency of both undrained shear strength (s_u) and preconsolidation pressure (p'_c) was significant. Recently tests on the compressibility and strength of Canadian natural clays, especially their creep behaviour under constant effective stress (Campanella and Vaid, 1974; Vaid et al., 1979; Tavenas et al., 1978) also indicated the pronounced influence of time and rate effects on the compressibility and strength of natural clays.

To provide more rational framework for understanding the stress-strain behaviour of natural lightly overconsolidated clay, Mitchell (1970), Crooks and Graham (1976), and Tavenas and Leroueil (1977) developed qualitative behavioural models based on consideration of yielding of these materials. A generally accepted definition for the yield envelope of a natural clay is a locus joining a set of yield

- 2 -

points in the (p', q)* stress space, inside which strains, strain rates and porewater pressure generation were much higher. The locus depends on the stress history of the clay as expressed by its preconsolidation pressure p', or voids ratio e. The practical significance of the limit-state concept in understanding the behaviour of clay and in the design of structures on clay foundations had been shown by Tavenas and Leroueil (1977); Tavenas et al. (1978 and 1979); and Tavenas (1979). Although yield envelopes for various clays had been found (Mitchell, 1970; Crooks and Graham, 1976), a general understanding of the nature of the yield envelope for a clay and the factors affecting it was not clear until the development of the YLIGHT model by Tavenas and Leroueil (1977). A description of the YLIGHT model has been given by Noonan (1980), and summarized by Lew (1981).

A particular feature of the YLIGHT model was that the magnitude of the preconsolidation pressure governed the position of the yield envelope in the (p', q) stress space (Tavenas and Leroueil, 1977). This was also shown by Graham (1974). Crawford (1964) and Bjerrum (1967) both demonstrated that the apparent preconsolidation pressure of a clay determined by oedometer tests was reduced if the rate of loading was reduced, or if the duration of loading was increased. Tavenas and Leroueil (1977), using oedometer tests and triaxial tests, confirmed the effect of rate, or duration of

^{*}Symbols are defined in LIST OF SYMBOLS on page vii

3 -

loading, on the preconsolidation pressure and yield envelope. As special cases of more general behaviour, they showed that the preconsolidation pressure of a clay was reduced if the duration of loading was increased. Similarly, undrained triaxial tests at different strain rates indicated a reduction in strength as the strain rate decreased. More importantly, the displacement of the yield envelope indicated a homothetic movement inwards with time. On this basis Tavenas and Leroueil (1977) concluded that the known effects of aging and strain rate on p'_c applied to the entire yield envelope.

The applicability of the limit-state or yield concept has been part of a larger investigation by the geotechnical group at the University of Manitoba into the geotechnical properties of the glacial Lake Agassiz clay which underlies the Winnipeg area. This work was initiated by Dr. J. Graham in 1976 at the University of Manitoba. The testing program consisted of 76 mm diameter samples, trimmed using equipment specially designed to minimize disturbance, and tested in large diameter, rotating-bush triaxial cells. Samples were taken from 6 m to 12 m depth at the University of Manitoba campus using the block sampler devised by Domaschuk (1977). Preliminary information was presented by Baracos et al. (1980), Noonan (1980) and Lew (1981). Yield envelopes were found from intact overconsolidated clay samples taken from various depths. A summary of the existing information is presented in Figure 1.1.

It should be noted that the yield envelope defined

Δ -

by Lew (1981) was based on two sets of samples taken from different boreholes at different times. Samples T303 to T313 were taken from borehole 4 (Figure 1.1) in July, 1980; samples T315 to T319 were taken from borehole 5 (Figure 1.1) in January, 1981. Lew (1981) suggested that the p_c' values for the two sets of sample were the same and an average value of $p_c' = 218$ kPa was used for normalizing test results. However, in studies on the elastic and limit-state properties, Dr. J. Graham (1982) has shown that the shear and bulk moduli of the two sets of samples were different (Graham and Houlsby, 1982; Graham et al., 1982b). Samples T315 to T319 appeared to be stiffer than samples T302 to T314. He therefore modified the yield envelope proposed by Lew (1981) by using different values of p'_c for the two sets of samples. Values of p'_{c} equal to 191 kPa and 241 kPa were used for samples T302 to T314 and T315 to T319 respectively. This modified normalized yield envelope was transformed back to the (p', q) stress space in Figure 1.2 by using p'_{c} equal 241 kPa. The modified yield envelope in this figure therefore corresponds to p'_{c} equal 241 kPa. The modified yield envelope and the modified normalized yield envelope are shown in Figure 1.2 and Figure 1.3 respectively.

Lew (1981) began the study of time effects on the yield envelope for clays taken from 11.5 m. He concluded that the yield envelope was displaced towards reduced preconsolidation pressures and shear strengths as the load duration increased. However, this conclusion was based on a

- 5 -

limited number of tests and the time and rate effects on the preconsolidation pressure p'_c had not been examined in previous work. One major purpose of the present study is to continue the study of time effects on the yield envelope initiated by Lew (1981), and especially the effects of time and straining rate on the preconsolidation pressure p'_c .

1.2 LOW STRESS STRENGTHS

The properties of the lacustrine clays underlying Winnipeg continue to cause problems for geotechnical engineers. Natural riverbank slopes are often marginally stable at slopes as flat as 8:1; compacted clay fills will occasionally fail in shallow planar slides at moderate inclinations; and excavation stability is lower than implied by measured unconfined compression strengths. In an investigation of the yielding and rupture of Winnipeg clay, Baracos et al. (1980) proposed a 3-section strength envelope for the full depth of the blue clay (Figure 1.4):

Section 1 (low pressure $\sigma'_{1c} < 60 \text{ kPa}$) c' = 6 kPa; $\phi' = 31.7^{\circ}$ Section 2 (intermediate pressure 60 kPa $\leq \sigma'_{1c} \leq 200 \text{ kPa}$) c' = 33 kPa; $\phi' = 13.0^{\circ}$ Section 3 (high pressure $\sigma'_{1c} > 200 \text{ kPa}$) c' = 3 kPa; $\phi' = 22.5^{\circ}$

They postulated that the strength of the soil at low effective stresses was largely controlled by a highly fissured and nuggety clay structure which was easily observed

• 6 -

in shallow excavations. Mitchell (1970) identified similar behaviour in Leda (Champlain Sea) clay, and concluded that failure would be accompanied by strong dilation of a nodular or prismatic granular structure behaving as an essentially cohesionless material. Crawford (1964), using samples from the Greater Winnipeg Floodway Test Pit, reported a substantial reduction in strength when the soil was allowed to swell. After the investigation of landslide problems in Winnipeg, Baracos and Graham (1980) stated:

> "At low effective stresses, Winnipeg clays behave as cohesionless, softened materials, a fact that must be adequately considered for low effective stress zone, such as the submerged toe of a riverbank, or to shallow depth beneath the faces of all slopes, (excavated or embankments) subject to snow-melt, rainfall, etc."

They further suggested that low-stress strengths were applicable for first-time shallow slides such as those induced by erosion at the toe of riverbanks, or shallow planar slides paralleling the face of a slope. It was necessary to use the concept of "fully-softened strength", with conservatively assumed zero cohesion. Further attention will be paid in a later section to the strength of Winnipeg clay at low stresses.

The climate in Winnipeg is "continental", with temperatures varying over wide extremes through the year. The average daily temperature curve is at its lowest (-20[°]C)

7 -

during the period January 17 to 27 and its highest (22^oC) from July 19 to 27 (Environment Canada, 1980). Therefore Winnipeg clay at low effective stress zones near the ground surface are susceptible to alternating cycles of freeze and thaw. Nuggets and fissures are frequently formed due to the effects of freezing and thawing. The accompanying destruction of the intact clay structure may lead to a reduction in strength. This effect will also be examined in a later section.

By studying the behaviour of a test embankment founded on a well-instrumented foundation of soft Champlain clay at Saint-Alban, LaRochelle et al. (1974) showed that the strength mobilized at failure under the test fill was approximately equal to the "undrained residual strength", a term hitherto used to designate the undrained strength at large strains (USALS), from undrained (CIU) or unconsolidated, undrained (UU) tests at strains of about 15 per cent. Lefebvre (1981) successfully demonstrated that the use of 'post peak' or large strain strengths allowed a reasonable estimate of the stability of natural or man-made slopes in Champlain Sea clays.

By studying case histories of failure of waterretaining structures on highly plastic clay, Rivard and Lu (1978) concluded that the intact strength of the clay did not reliably predict the stability condition. A study of the foundation conditions revealed the presence of structural discontinuities such as nugget and blocky structures, joints,

8 -

fissures and slickensides. These structural discontinuities were probably caused by weathering. They further suggested that embankments on soft highly plastic clay soils with structural discontinuities should be designed using the normally consolidated strength, as suggested by Skempton and Hutchinson (1969) for stiff fissured clays.

With these points in mind therefore, the second major purpose of the present study was to investigate the low stress strengths of Winnipeg clay under several sets of controlled conditions. The changes in strength from the natural "undisturbed" strengths studied by Baracos et al., 1980; Noonan, 1980 and Lew, 1981 were investigated when the soil was a) allowed to swell freely, and b) was subjected to a series of 'freeze-thaw' cycles. Undrained strengths at large strains (USALS) (LaRochelle, 1974) and their relationship with the normally consolidated strength (Rivard and Lu, 1978) were also examined.

1.3 OUTLINE OF THESIS

The previous section (1.1) showed that although preliminary work on the time-dependent aspects of the YLIGHT model on yielding was studied by Lew (1981), only a limited number of tests were performed. Time and rate effects on the preconsolidation pressure p' measured by oedometer, were not examined. The low stress strength envelope shown by Baracos et al. (1980) was based on limited data and further examination of this envelope was required.

. 9 -

As mentioned previously, the two major topics for investigation in the present study were:

- Examination of test duration and strain rate effects on the yield envelope for Lake Agassiz (Winnipeg) clay.
- Investigation of low stress strengths including 'fully-softened' strengths and 'freeze-thaw' strengths for Winnipeg clay.

More specifically the aims of this thesis were:

- To examine the time-dependent aspects of the YLIGHT model for yielding of clays as they applied to Winnipeg clay.
- 2. To examine the effect of time and strain rate on the preconsolidation pressure, p'_c .
- To investigate the low stress strengths of Winnipeg clay, and to study the effects of swelling and freeze-thaw degradation on them.
- 4. To examine the undrained strength at large strains in Winnipeg clay using the USALS method described by LaRochelle et al. (1974), and by implication by Rivard and Lu (1978).
- 5. To study the effects of changes of strain rate on undrained shear strength.

Large diameter (76 mm) samples were used for all the triaxial tests and oedometer tests performed in the present study. Samples used for the study of time effects and undisturbed low stress strengths were taken from 11.6 m.

'Fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples were taken from 8.7 m, preparation of these samples will be described in Chapter 2. Six drained stress-controlled tests with two samples running on the same stress path but with different load durations (1 day and 5 days) for each load increment were used to examine the time effects on the yield envelope. Six non-standard oedometer tests similar to those performed by Tavenas et al. (1977) were used to examine the time effects on the preconsolidation pressure p'. Four straincontrolled oedometer tests (Sallfors, 1975; Bell, 1977) were employed to investigate rate effects on p'. The undisturbed low stress strengths were examined using three undrained strain-controlled and two drained stress-controlled triaxial tests. Three drained stress-controlled and three undrained strain-controlled triaxial tests were performed on the 'fullysoftened' samples to examine the effect of swelling on the low stress strengths. Finally, three undrained straincontrolled and two drained stress-controlled triaxial tests were applied to the 'freeze-thaw' samples to study the effect of freeze-thaw degradation on the low stress strengths. The laboratory testing program will be described in detail in Chapters 3 and 4.

Samples which were not stressed to rupture during the drained portion of the triaxial test were tested to failure in undrained shear. The undrained part of the test allowed examination of the following characteristics of clay behaviour: the influence of consolidation history on pore-

- 11 -

water pressure generation and elastic moduli; the effects of changes of strain rate on the undrained shear strength; the normally consolidated strength for samples consolidated to stresses well past p'_c ; the low stress strength envelope and the undrained strength at large strains (USALS).

- 12

The thesis begins with a review of the general properties of the lacustrine clays and test procedures (Chapter 2). It continues with the results for time and rate effects (Chapter 3) and low stress strengths (Chapter 4). Discussion of results are presented in Chapter 5. Finally, conclusions and suggestions for further research are presented in Chapter 6.

CHAPTER 2

- 13 -

DESCRIPTION OF GENERAL SOIL PROFILE AND TEST PROCEDURES

2.1 INTRODUCTION

Winnipeg clay was deposited by glacial Lake Agassiz as the last ice-sheet retreated northwards. The samples of Winnipeg clay used in the present study were taken from 8.7 m and 11.6 m depths in borehole 6 at the University of Manitoba campus. The location of borehole 6 is shown on Figure 2.1 and is on the site of the new Physical Education Building which is currently under construction. The borehole was drilled on April, 1981 using a 760 mm diameter power auger. The block sampler devised by Domaschuk (1977) was used to ensure that high quality samples were attained. This chapter provides a brief description of the general properties of the Lake Agassiz lacustrine clays and the testing procedures used in the project.

2.2 SOIL PROFILE AND PROPERTIES

The general soil profile for clay samples taken from the University of Manitoba campus has been described in detail by Baracos et al. (1980), Noonan (1980) and Lew (1981). Samples used in the present study were taken from 8.7 m and 11.6 m depth in the blue-clay layer identified by Baracos et al. (1980). The clay is medium - to highly - plastic (CH), and has medium-stiff to stiff consistency. Fissures are not normally visible in the blue clay but it contains numerous pockets of grey silt, pebbles and occasional cobbles. Some localized brown stainings were found in samples taken at 8.7 m for the present study.

- 14 -

Basic soil properties of the clay samples are presented in Tables 2.1 and 2.2 and are in general agreement with results from Noonan (1980) and Lew (1981). Test procedures for the basic soil properties were described by Lew (1981) and will not be described here. Additional information on the soil profile obtained from the present study have been added to the average borehole log presented by Lew (1981). This revised borehole log is shown as Figure 1.3 in this thesis.

2.3 SAMPLE PREPARATION

Except for a new series of constant-rate-of-strain oedometer tests, the preparation of samples for consolidateddrained and undrained triaxial tests, and stress-controlled oedometer tests were described in detail by Noonan (1980) and Lew (1981). Only a brief outline of the procedures will be given here. To minimize disturbance during trimming, samples were trimmed using equipment which has been designed and constructed at the University of Manitoba (see Lew 1981, Figure 3.3). The equipment is similar in principle to equipment described by Landva (1964). The trimming and buildingin procedures for triaxial samples can be briefly outlined as follows: The cell pedestal was deaired by flushing water through the pedestal by means of burettes attached to the pedestal drainage leads. The base plate was placed on the cell base and was adjusted until the cutting cylinder was accurately centered over the pedestal base. The trimming table was then attached to the base plate. The trimming equipment was lubricated with silicone oil to reduce friction. A roughly trimmed sample was then placed centrally on the trimming table; a greased cutting cylinder with a sharp leading edge was pushed carefully into the soil to a depth of slightly less than the full length of the cutting edge. The excess clay outside the cutting edge was then removed using a piece of cutting wire. This process was repeated until soil protruded from the top of the cylinder. The cutting cylinder was removed from the uprights and placed on a glass plate. The ends of the sample were then trimmed across the top and bottom of the cutting cylinder. A saturated deaired filter stone in a holder was attached to one end of the sample. The sample was then lowered on to the cell pedestal, the top cap was located firmly by a central rod, and the cutting cylinder was removed. The height and the diameter of the sample were measured. A thin coat of silicone stopcock grease was applied to the side of the pedestal and the top cap. Lateral drains were provided by applying saturated filter strips, approximately 1 cm wide, longitudinally around the circumference of the sample. Two membranes, separated by a layer of silicone oil, were placed over the

- 15 -

sample, together with two O-rings on the top cap and three O-rings on the pedestal. The cell top was then fitted very carefully on to the cell base and screwed down. The loading piston was lowered until contact was made with the sample. The piston was then locked in place. The cell was filled with deaired distilled water and a 2 cm layer of engine oil added through the top of the cell to reduce leakage of cell water and friction between the piston and bushing. Air trapped in the pedestal and drainage leads were then removed by passing water between two burettes attached to the pedestal drainage leads. The pressure tranducers were re-zeroed to correspond with the water level at mid-height of sample. Finally, the rotating bush drive coupling was attached, the vertical dial gauge was put in place and zeroed; and the ball bearing and loading hanger were placed in position on top of the loading piston.

Oedometer samples were prepared using similar trimming equipment to the triaxial samples, but with some minor modification. The building-in procedure for the stresscontrolled oedometer tests was the same as the conventional oedometer tests.

For the constant rate of strain (CRS) oedometer test, a modified oedometer cell was used. This modified cell is shown and described in Figure 2.3. A photograph of the CRS test apparatus is shown in Figure 2.4. Before placing the oedometer onto the cell base, the water system was flushed thoroughly with deaired water to get rid of entrapped

- 16 -

air. Then the oedometer was fastened to the cell base. The O-ring around the edge of the ring acted as a seal, making the bottom impermeable. The water system was left open to avoid large excess pressures in the water system during mounting. The top cap was then placed in position, the reservoir was flooded with water, and the oedometer was transferred to a Wykeham Farrance IT compression machine.

2.4 TEST PROCEDURES

Consolidated-drained stress-controlled triaxial tests (CAD(D) tests), undrained shear tests (CAU tests), non-standard stress controlled oedometer tests and constantrate-of-strain (CRS) oedometer tests were performed in the present study of rate effects and low stress strengths of Winnipeg clay. Testing procedures for CAD(D) tests and CAU tests for undisturbed samples are briefly summarized in this section. Noonan (1980) and Lew (1981) have given detailed descriptions of the testing procedures used in the Soil Mechanics laboratories in University of Manitoba. For the investigation of low stress strengths, CAD(D) tests and CAU tests were also performed on 'fully-softened' samples and samples subjected to 'freeze-thaw' cycles. The 'fullysoftened' samples were allowed to swell and the 'freeze-thaw' samples were subjected to several freeze-thaw cycles before reconsolidation. Thus the actual procedures during testing for the CAD(D) tests and CAU tests of the 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples were the same as described by

- 17 -

previous workers (Noonan, 1980; Lew, 1981) for undisturbed samples. In addition, this section also describes test procedures which have not previously been used in the research at University of Manitoba for:

- 1. 'fully-softened' samples
- 2. 'freeze and thaw' samples
- 3. non-standard stress-controlled oedometer tests
- 4. constant rate of strain (CRS) oedometer tests

2.4.1 Undisturbed Samples

2.4.1.1 <u>Triaxial Consolidation and Drained Stress Controlled</u> <u>Triaxial Tests for Undisturbed Samples</u>

The undisturbed samples were first consolidated to desired axial stress levels: $p_0'/3$, $2p_0'/3$, p_0' where p_0' is the in-situ stress level. A constant stress ratio $(\sigma_{3c}'/\sigma_{1c}')$ of 0.65 was used during the reconsolidation phase of the present study. The effective overburden stress for each sample was calculated assuming the phreatic surface at a depth of 3 m and an average unit weight of 17.5 kN/m³. Detailed discussion on the use and implications of this method was described by Noonan (1980).

The consolidation stages of the undrained triaxial tests and the drained stress-controlled tests were both carried out on a steel loading frame, the general arrangement of which is shown in Figure 3.5 of Lew's thesis (Lew, 1981). Up to three rotating bush cells could be used at one time. Dial gauges were used to measure the height changes of the
samples and the volume changes were measured using burettes. Before each loading increment, water was flushed through the drainage leads to remove air which might have been trapped between the membrane and sample, together with any gas released by the sample (Noonan, 1980).

Cell pressure was applied through water in the cell, using compressed air to pressurize an external air-water tank. The cell pressures and porewater pressures were both monitored by pressure tranducers and were re-zeroed to atmospheric pressure at mid-height of sample before each load increment. Axial loading was applied by dead loads on a hanger which rested freely on the piston.

After the application of the stresses, axial and lateral stresses, axial dial gauge and burette readings were taken using standardized 'doubling' time intervals (that is, 1, 2, 4, 8, 15, 30 min; 1, 2, 4 hr etc.). Stress increments in triaxial consolidation, drained stress controlled tests were added at approximately 24 hour intervals, with the exceptions of those stress points that were in the vicinity of the proposed yield stresses, and in the 5 day loading tests (Samples T402, T404 and T406). These latter procedures will be described in detail in Chapter 3.

2.4.1.2 Undrained Shearing

After triaxial consolidation, samples which were to be subjected to undrained strain-controlled shearing were moved carefully from the consolidation frame to a 10 t

- 19 -

compression frame. The axial load was reapplied in the compression frame using a proving ring (sensitivity = 4,156 N/div). The loading piston was clamped while the cell was being moved.

Prior to back-pressuring, the sample drainage system was again flushed to remove any air which had collected during the last consolidation increment. A back-pressure of approximately 210 kPa was used to achieve saturation in the sample. The back-pressuring process was usually continued for about 24 hours before checking for saturation. For research purposes, the acceptable value for the porewater parameter B is 98 per cent or greater. The B values obtained in the present study ranged from 97 to 100 per cent.

The strain rate used for undrained shearing was about 1 per cent/hour before the peak shear stress was reached. After reaching the peak shear stress, the sample was strained for a further 1 to 2 per cent axial strain, at which point a "relaxation test" was carried out to examine the effect of strain rate variation on the undrained strength. This procedure, developed by Kenney (1966), involves switching off the compression machine and noting changes with time in the axial deflection, proving ring, porewater pressure and cell pressure. Relaxation tests were usually continued overnight. After the relaxation test, the compression machine was switched on again and step-changing technique was applied to all of the samples. In this technique which was introduced by Richardson and Whitman (1963), the strain rate applied to a sample is stepchanged during the test. Each strain rate is applied only

- 20 -

long enough to establish the stress-strain relationship for that stage in the test. Stress-strain curves for different strain rates can then be interpolated between measured portions of the curves, and can be extrapolated to the region of failure strains. Relaxation tests at axial strains greater than 5 per cent were performed on some of the samples to examine the dependency of strain rate effects on the magnitude of strain. On completion of testing, the failed samples were removed from the triaxial cell and cut longitudinally. One-half of the sample was used for determining the final moisture content of the sample. The other half was normally used for visual examination; namely inspection of the failure plane and pecularities within the sample.

2.4.2 'Fully-Softened' Samples

The 'fully-softened' samples were trimmed from 'undisturbed' block samples, and built into the triaxial cell in the usual way. Prior to the reconsolidation, however, they were allowed to absorb as much water as possible under low applied stresses. A small cell pressure of 2 kPa and axial pressure of approximately 4 kPa were applied in order to keep the membrane and piston just in contact with the sample so that measurements for volume change and axial deformation could be made. Axial dial gauge and burette readings were taken using standard 'doubling' time intervals for the first 24 hours and daily readings were taken thereafter. It was observed that the volume of samples would

- 21 -

become stable after 10 days. The volume and height were increased by about 6 and 3 per cent respectively for all the samples. The samples were then reconsolidated in the usual way and CAD(D) or CAU tests performed as described previously for undisturbed samples, except that an average unit weight of 16.7 kN/m^3 was used for the calculation of in-situ stresses in these tests. Based on the unit weights obtained for the samples tested in the present study (see Table 2.1, 2.2), and those tested by Noonan (Table 3.1, Noonan, 1980) and Lew (Table 1, Lew, 1981), the author suggests that the average unit weight of 17.5 kN/m^3 proposed by Baracos et al. (1980) was rather too high.

2.4.3 'Freeze and Thaw' Samples

The samples for examining the effects of 'freeze and thaw' cycling were again trimmed from undisturbed block samples. After the membranes were put on the sample, the sample and the cell base were transported into a temperature control chamber. Pressure transducers were disconnected from the cell base to facilitate the transportation. The freezing and thawing temperatures ranged from -5 to -25°C and 20 to 25°C respectively. Average duration of the freeze and thaw cycles was about 12 hours for samples T418 to T420, and 48 hours for samples T421 and T422. The temperatures, durations and the number of freeze-thaw cycles for which the samples were subjected to are shown in Table 2.3. Average axial compressive strains of 2.5 per cent were observed, for samples T418 to T420, and 6.5 per cent for samples T421 and T422.

- 22 -

No net volume change occured since the samples were 'freezethawed' under a closed system, that is, all drainage valves were closed during the freeze-thaw cycles. Figure 2.5 shows a typical 'freeze-thaw' sample T421 after completion of the freeze-thaw cycles. The sample was tilted from the vertical position and a rough outer surface had formed. The unit weights obtained for individual samples were used for the calculation of in-situ stresses. After the freeze and thaw cycles, the samples were reconsolidated, and CAD(D) or CAU tests were performed in the same way as the undisturbed samples.

2.4.4 Non-Standard Stress Controlled Oedometer Tests

The equipment and sample preparations for the six oedometer tests were the same as for standard oedometer The six samples were trimmed and loaded at approxitests. mately the same time. Single, different loads were applied to each sample for periods of about 100 days. The loads were 480.4, 377.9, 280.4, 210.2, 150, 75 kPa for samples C401, C402, C403, C404, C405 and C406 respectively. For loads that were smaller than 220 kPa, the samples were loaded in one step. For those loads larger than 220 kPa, the loads were put on in steps of about 100 kPa at 30 minute intervals. This was done to avoid squashing of the samples due to high porewater pressure gradients causing flow of the clay past the top cap if the loads were applied too quickly. The threshold value for axial pressure of 220 kPa was used because the preconsolidation stress at this depth was estimated to be

- 23 -

240 kPa.

Axial deformations of each sample were measured using a dial gauge, with the readings being taken using standard 'doubling' time intervals for the first 24 hours. After the first day, readings were taken daily for the first month, after about every 4 days in the second month, and then irregularly the third month. The most important readings were those during the first 24 hours; and subsequently after 10, 30, 60, 100 days. The loads were allowed to stay on the samples for 100 days and during this period all the tests were performed under a controlled temperature of 21^oC.

2.4.5 Constant Rate of Strain Oedometer Tests (CRS Tests)

The set of CRS oedometer tests performed in the present study was a pilot series in a new piece of equipment designed and manufactured in the University of Manitoba (Figures 2.1, 2.2).

After the sample had been built into the cell, the test was started by setting the compression machine into motion at a constant rate of straining. Up to a load of approximately 10 kPa the drainage system from the bottom of the cell was left open and when good contact was assured between the sample and the bottom of the cell, the drainage was shut off, making the base impermeable. Samples C409 and C410 were loaded initially to about 70 kPa to avoid swelling.

A type TYCO pressure transducer with a range of 0 to 980 kPa was used for measuring porewater pressure at the

- 24 -

bottom of the sample. The vertical force was measured with a TYCO (JP 1000) force transducer, range 0 to 4,500 N. The deformation was measured with a LVDT, type HP 7DCDT-500. Readings were taken with the following accuracy:

- 25 -

Force	1.0 N
Pressure	0.1 kPa
Deformation	0.001 mm

Data were fed to conditioning units designed and built in the workshops at University of Manitoba, and recorded by a Consolidated Control Model 90MCI datalogger.

Strain rates used in this test series ranged from 0.0002 mm/min. to 0.0036 mm/min. After the strain rate was set, the compression machine was switched on, and readings of vertical force, pore pressure and deformation were taken every ten minutes during the first hour and every hour thereafter. Step changing tests (Bell, 1977) were performed on samples C408 and C409 after the p_c' values were reached.

The effective axial pressure was calculated based on a parabolic porewater pressure distribution throughout the sample (Sallfors, 1975). The effective pressure can then be calculated as:

$$\sigma'_v = \sigma_v - 2/3 u_b$$

where

The tests were run to an average axial strain of about 18 per cent.

The results of the time effects and low stress strengths for the present study will be presented in Chapters 3 and 4 respectively.

CHAPTER 3

- 27 -

TESTS TO EXAMINE TIME EFFECTS AND STRAIN RATE EFFECTS

3.1 INTRODUCTION

Three major types of laboratory tests, namely consolidated drained triaxial tests, non-standard stresscontrolled oedometer tests and strain controlled oedometer tests were carried out to investigate the influence of time and strain rate on the stress-strain behaviour of Lake Agassiz lacustrine clay.

The series of consolidated drained triaxial tests examined the deterioration or shrinkage of the yield envelope towards the origin of the (p'. q) stress space with increased time of testing (Tavenas and Leroueil, 1977). The non-standard oedometer tests study the influence of time effects on the preconsolidation pressure, p'_{c} (Tavenas et al., 1977; Bjerrum, 1967). The effect of strain rate on p'_{c} was investigated using strain-controlled oedometer tests (Sallfors, 1975; Bell, 1977). Strain rate effects were also examined using relaxation tests (Kenney, 1966) and step-changing tests (Richardson and Whitman, 1963) on all the undrained shearing tests.

Standard classification tests (Atterberg limits, specific gravity, natural moisture content and hydrometer tests) were performed on the trimmings taken from the triaxial compression samples. Test results are listed along with sampling depths and test types in Tables 2.1 and 2.2. Swedish Fall Cone sensitivity tests were also performed on small intact cuttings from the block samples. Natural moisture contents were performed on all the oedometer samples. These results are shown later with the complete oedometer results in Table 3.5. Only one set of standard classification tests was performed because the oedometer samples were all trimmed from the same block sample of clay. The average results are as follows:

Liquid limit, W _L	75.8%
Plastic limit, w _p	26.6%
Plasticity index, I _p	49.2%
Average specific gravity, G _s	2.78
Clay fraction	66%
Sensitivity	3.0

These results are in general agreement with results from Lew (1981).

3.2 TESTING PROGRAM

3.2.1 Consolidated Drained Triaxial Tests

Six consolidated drained triaxial tests on samples T401 to T406 were used to investigate the shrinkage or degeneration of the yield envelope with time. The samples were first reconsolidated to their approximate in-situ stress

- 28 -

levels (Crooks and Graham, 1976) in three stress increments. Once the triaxial samples were reconsolidated to the approximate in-situ stresses (Baracos et al., 1980), a series of three stress paths were used, with two samples for each stress path, to investigate the effect of time or test duration on the yield envelope. The three stress paths are shown in Figure 3.1. They can be further divided into the following categories:

- 1. T401, T402 (Figures 3.3, 3.4, 3.5)
 - Stress path of increasing effective octahedral normal stress and constant shear stress of $P'_0(1-K_0)$.
- T403, T404 (Figures 3.6, 3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 3.10)
 Stress path of effective octahedral normal stress and shear stress both increasing, with Δq/Δp' being constant.
- 3. T405, T406 (Figures 3.11, 3.12, 3.13)
 - Stress path of decreasing effective octahedral normal stress and increasing shear stress.

Previous work by Lew (1981) defined an average yield envelope for samples taken from 11.5 m depth. Intersections of this average yield envelope with the proposed stress paths established the approximate yield stress level along each path (Figure 3.1). The incremental stress levels along each stress path were determined by allowing five equal increments between in-situ stresses and the

Each stress expected approximate yield stresses. level maintained for 24 hours. Detailed discussion of was this method was given by Noonan (1980). However, for the steeply inclined stress paths along which the samples would eventually fail abruptly, the yield stresses were more difficult to define (Lew, 1981). Therefore, two more stress increments were added before and beyond the approximated yield stress level for samples T403 to T406 (Figure 3.1) to increase the 'sensitivity' of the tests at around yield stress level. Dr. J. Graham suggested reducing the load increment as well as the load duration by half of the original values in order to maintain constant strain rate. This will be discussed in more detail in Chapter 5.

The complete stress-strain results for the stress controlled portion of this study are tabulated in Appendix I and shown in Figures 3.3 to 3.13. The triaxial consolidation results at the end of the drained portion of the tests are summarized in Table 3.2.

Sample T402 was consolidated under constant shear stress to stresses higher than the yield stresses, and was then sheared to failure under undrained triaxial compression conditions. Additional stress-strain information for the clay was provided during undrained shearing. The undrained stress strain results for this sample will be presented in Chapter 4. It should perhaps be explained here why no undrained stress strain results for test T401 have been included. An procedural error was made in this first test

- 30 -

during back pressuring for saturation. As a result, the effective lateral stress on the sample was decreased significantly. It was considered that an undrained test on this sample would be inappropriate.

3.2.2 Non-Standard One-Dimensional Oedometer Tests

Six oedometer tests were carried out using 76 mm diameter samples which had been carefully trimmed using equipment developed by Lew, 1981. Silicon grease was used to reduce friction between the sample and the oedometer ring. The procedure involved loading the six oedometer samples in one step to six different predetermined stress levels, namely 480.4, 377.9, 280.4, 210.2, 150.0, 75.0 kPa. Detailed description of the procedure can be found in Chapter 2. The development of vertical strains was monitored for a period of 100 days. The tests were performed under a controlled temperature of 21^oC.

The results of these tests are presented in Tables 3.5 to 3.7 and Figures 3.15 to 3.20.

3.2.3 Constant Rate of Strain Oedometer Tests

Four 76 mm diameter oedometer samples (C407-C410) were used in this pilot test series. The new piece of equipment designed and manufactured in the University of Manitoba has been described and shown in Figures 2.1 and 2.2.

The strain rate used for samples C407 to C410 were 0.0010 mm/min., 0.0036 mm/min., 0.0002 mm/min. and 0.0006 mm/

min. respectively.

Step changing tests (Bell, 1977) were performed on samples C408 and C409. Samples C408 to C410 were run to an average vertical strain of 18 per cent. Sample C407 was the first test and it was stopped at an axial pressure of 487 kPa because of the limited capacity of the load cell used in the test. The results of these tests are tabulated in Table 3.8 and are shown in Figures 3.21 to 3.22.

3.3 TRIAXIAL CONSOLIDATION AND DRAINED STRESS CONTROLLED TRIAXIAL TESTS

3.3.1 Reconsolidation to In-Situ Stresses

The triaxial samples that were used for the study of time effects (T401 to T406) were reconsolidated to in-situ stresses in three increments, with at least 24 hours between increments. A ratio of horizontal to vertical effective stress during restressing was taken as 0.65 (Baracos et al., 1980). The importance of reconsolidating samples anisotropically with respect to preserving the field structure of the clay was emphasized by Crooks and Graham (1976).

The stress-strain results of reconsolidating the samples to the estimated in-situ stresses are tabulated in Table 3.1. For samples T401 to T406, the axial strains to in-situ stresses ranged from 1.25 to 1.56 per cent. The lateral strains to in-situ stresses varied from 0.12 to 0.36 per cent. All of the samples except T406 had negative volumetric strains of 0.08 to 0.3 per cent during the first

- 32 -

stress increment. Sample T406 had a positive volumetric strain of 0.1 per cent and it was trimmed from a different block of clay.

These strains can be considered small, and will not seriously affect the mechanical properties of the clay. They compare favourably with corresponding values obtained by Noonan (1980) and Lew (1981). The amount of straining which occured during restressing was in part a measure of the amount of sample disturbance. Crooks (1973) stated that a small degree of disturbance during sample preparation resulted in axial strain below 2 per cent at P'_0 . Based on this statement, the axial strains of less than 1.6 per cent to P'_0 for the present tests reflected acceptable level of disturbance. The volumetric stress strain behaviour during reconsolidation will be presented in more detail in Chapter 4.

3.3.2 Drained Compression Results

The proposed effective stress paths to be followed by the samples (T401 - T406) are shown in Figure 3.1. Figure 3.2 shows the actual effective stress paths and stress levels of the samples. The development of stresses and strains during each test is summarized in tabular form in Appendix I.

The yield or limit state stresses were identified by stress-strain criteria which depended on the stress path of the tests. For example, no yield stress could be obtained from a plot of $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ε_1 for a test carried out at

33 -

constant shear stress, or from a plot of σ'_{oct} vs ε_v for a test at constant octahedral normal stress. Yield stresses could be defined in a number of ways depending on the stress paths in question. Discussion on the application of different criteria for different stress paths were given by Baracos et al. (1980), Noonan (1980). Lew (1981) developed an "energy criterion" for yielding based on earlier work by Graham, 1974 and Noonan, 1980. This involved plotting W_T vs LSSV where:

W - strain energy absorbed per unit volume

LSSV - Length of Stress Vector (Lew, 1981)

In addition, the shear stress $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs shear strain ϵ plot was used to determine the yield stresses for the steeply inclined stress paths (samples T403 to T406). The $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ plot is more meaningful and useful than the $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ_1 plot. This is because the shear modulus of the triaxial samples can be identified as one-third the slope of the initial stiff section of the $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ plot. For samples T401 and T402, yield stresses could only be determined by the σ'_{oct} vs ϵ_v , σ'_3 vs ϵ_3 and the W_T vs LSSV plots (Figures 3.3, 3.4, 3.5). The σ'_1 vs ϵ_1 , σ'_{oct} vs ϵ_v , $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ_1 , $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ and W_T vs LSSV plots were useful in determining yield stresses for samples T403 and T404 (Figures 3.6 to 3.10). The yield stresses obtained from the different graphs are indicated on the figures. Corresponding

 $\epsilon = 2/3 (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_3)$

values of σ'_{oct} at yield are given in Table 3.4.

The stresses at rupture are interpreted as being the yield stresses for samples T405 and T406. Figures 3.11, 3.12, 3.13 show the σ'_3 vs ε_3 , $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ε_s , W_T vs LSSV plots for these samples. The difficulties in defining yield stresses for stress paths of this type were pointed out by Lew (1981), and will be discussed in more detail in Chapter 5 of this thesis.

Except for samples T401 and T402, all the samples (T403 to T406) failed abruptly during the last loading increment with the drainage leads open. The undrained shearing results for sample T402 are presented in Chapter 4. As explained earlier in this chapter, no undrained shearing results were obtained from sample T401.

The yield stresses for samples T401 to T406 are presented in Figure 3.14. The results indicated that for the constant shear stress path, the yield stresses for sample T401 were greater than that of sample T402. Therefore, the yield stresses for the 5-day loading duration test (T402) were smaller than that of the 1-day loading duration test (401). This confirmed with observations in the YLIGHT model (Tavenas and Leroueil, 1977). However, for the other samples in this series, T403 to T406, the results were in contradiction with the YLIGHT model observations. The yield stresses for the 5-day loading duration tests (T403 and T405) were greater than that of the 1-day loading duration tests (T404 and T406). It should be pointed out that the stresses

- 35 -

at rupture for sample T404 (5-day loading duration) were smaller than that of sample T403 (1-day loading duration). This set of results has presented difficulties during interpretation. The estimated yield stresses for samples T401 to T406 were predicted using the one-day yield envelope for 11.5 m depth proposed by Lew (1981). Figure 3.14 shows that the yield stresses for samples T401 to T404 are outside Lew's envelope; while the yield stresses for samples T405 and T406 are inside Lew's envelope. However, these results are in better agreement with the revised yield envelope by Graham (1982), described earlier in Chapter 1. Discussion of the results will be presented in Chapter 5.

3.4 NON-STANDARD OEDOMETER TESTS

Crawford (1964), Bjerrum (1967), Tavenas and Leroueil (1977) demonstrated that a reduction in the rate of loading or an increase in the duration of load application resulted in a reduction of p_c .

In order to verify this point, six special oedometer tests (C401 to C406) were performed on the Winnipeg clay from 11.6 m depth. The load settlement curves (σ'_V vs ε_{VR} curves) observed after 0.1 day, 1 day, 10 days and 100 days are shown on Figure 3.15. The effective preconsolidation pressures p' were interpreted from bilinear fitting of the observed stress-strain results. Lew (1981) noted that all the graphs of ε_{VR} vs σ'_V revealed an initial straight

- 36 -

section of low compressibility of the clay which changed to a higher compressibility at p'_c and for a range of stresses beyond p'_c . At higher pressures, strain-hardening behaviour was observed. Graham, Noonan and Lew, 1982 have suggested that this may indicate cementation in the clay. The plot was formed from the initial linear section of the ε_{VR} vs σ'_v plot and the straight line joining the first two points in the more compressible region. The p'_c values found in this way reduced from 249 to 225 kPa as the duration of the load application increased from 0.1 to 100 days. These results are tabulated in Table 3.5.

Figure 3.16 shows corresponding vertical strain ε_{VR} vs $\log \sigma'_{V}$ curves for the 0.1 to 100 days loading durations. In this case, the values of p'_{C} were difficult to determine using the Casagrande construction. Points of minimum radius of curvature were difficult to locate due to roundness of the ε_{VR} vs $\log \sigma'_{V}$ curves. The straight lines in the ε_{VR} vs σ'_{V} space in Figure 3.15 will appear to be curved in the ε_{VR} vs $\log \sigma'_{V}$ space (Graham et al., 1982a). Because of this, the p'_{C} values for these tests were defined using the ε_{VR} vs σ'_{V} curves in Figure 3.15. The p'_{C}-values defined using the ε_{VR} vs $\log \sigma'_{V}$ curves are also shown in Table 3.5.

The consolidation-time curves are shown in Figures 3.17 and 3.18. Sample C406 began to swell at about 20 minutes after the load application, and reached a constant value at about 40 hours. The initial compression of sample C404 was greater than that of C405 while sample C405 was

- 37 -

subjected to a larger load. However, sample C405 became more compressible at about 40 minutes after loading. The 'S' shaped curves predicted by Terzaghi consolidation theory were generally observed except for sample C406.

Values of c_v , C_c , C_α , C_α/C_c were calculated for different stress levels at 24 hours and 240 hours and 2,400 hours load durations. Values of c_v were calculated using t_{50} from the empirical log(time) construction method. In all cases, the consolidation time curves indicated that all primary consolidation was completed within 24 hours after loading. The results are tabulated in Table 3.7. Figures 3.19 and 3.20 show the graphs of c_v , C_α/C_c , C_c , C_α and ϵ_{VR} vs $\log \sigma_V'$ for load durations of 24 and 240 hours respectively. The c_v vs $\log \sigma_V'$ plot for 240 hours load duration cannot be presented for reasons stated above.

The c_v vs log σ'_v plot in Figure 3.19 show that c_v peaked just before p'_c (250 kPa) and dropped until a vertical pressure of about 378 kPa was reached. C_a peaked at a value of 3.4 per cent at stress level of 378 kPa for load duration of 24 hours and decreased to a value of 1.6 per cent at the same stress level for a load duration of 240 hours. For the 24 hour C_c vs log σ'_v curve, C_c increased with increasing vertical stress, whereas the value of C_c peaked at vertical stress of 378 kPa. The C_a/C_c values also peaked just after p'_c and decreased with time.

The effect of strain rate on p'_c can be expressed as $\eta_{0.1}$ - the change in p'_c for a ten-fold change in vertical

- 38 -

strain rate, expressed as a percentage of p'_c at a standard strain rate of 0.1 per cent/hour. The strain rate was estimated using the strain to p'_c , and divided by the corresponding time. The value of $\eta_{0.1}$ in this study was 3.6 per cent. This is rather lower than the normal range of $\eta_{0.1}$ is from 10 to 20 per cent (Graham, Crooks and Bell, 1982a).

3.5 CONSTANT RATE OF STRAIN OEDOMETER TESTS

The constant rate of strain oedometer tests performed in the present study form a pilot series. The equipment and test procedures are similar to those described by Sallfors (1975). Graphs of ε_{VR} vs σ_V' and ε_{VR} vs log σ_V' are shown in Figures 3.21 and 3.22. Although no sharp break was observed for any sample (C407-C410) in both plots, yielding could be identified with some certainty. The p_c' values are better defined using the ε_{VR} vs σ'_{v} plot. Table 3.8 shows the p' values obtained, and the corresponding strain rate for both plots. p'_c values are unusually high in tests in this study. The author suggests that this might be due to equipment problems, specifically the inability to back-pressurise the equipment to ensure saturation and meaningful porewater pres-This could be expected to lead to low estimates of sures. porewater pressure, and hence high estimates of effective It has not been considered useful to calculate from stress. these tests consolidation parameters such as C_c , C_α . Note that significant strain rate dependencies have been observed. These tests will be discussed further in Chapter 5.

- 39 -

CHAPTER 4

LOW STRESS TEST RESULTS

4.1 INTRODUCTION

The shear strength and stress-strain characteristics of the Winnipeg clay at low stresses (stresses less than or equal to the in-situ stresses p'_0), have been investigated using drained stress-controlled triaxial CAD(D) tests and undrained shear triaxial (CAU) tests. These tests were performed on five undisturbed samples (T407, T408, T415, T416, T417). The effect of swelling and freeze-thaw degradation on low stress strength of the Winnipeg clay were also examined using the same tests on six 'fully-softened' samples (T409 to T414) and five 'freeze-thaw' samples (T418 to T422). The testing program is described in detail in section 4.2.

Undisturbed samples tested in the present study were obtained from borehole 6 at the University of Manitoba campus (Figure 1.1) at 11.4 m depth. 'Fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples were obtained from the same borehole but at a depth of 8.7 m. Preparation of the 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples was described earlier in Chapter 2. Basic soil properties for these samples are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

Results from the CAD(D) tests and CAU tests are presented in sections 4.3 and 4.4 in this chapter. Strength results for sample T405 which have already been presented in

40 -

Chapter 3 are included again as part of the undisturbed CAD(D) test results in this chapter.

4.2 TESTING PROGRAM

Similar testing programs were carried out for the 'undisturbed', 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples. Pairs of samples were first consolidated to $p_o^{\prime}/3$, $2p_o^{\prime}/3$ and p'_{o} . In principle, CAD(D) and CAU tests were then run on each of these pairs at each consolidation stress level. However, because of a shortage of samples free of stone inclusions, CAD tests were not performed for the 'undisturbed' and 'freeze-thaw' samples consolidated to p'_0 . Stress paths with increasing deviator stress $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ and constant effective octahedral stress σ'_{oct} were followed in the CAD(D) tests. For samples that were consolidated to $2p_o^{\prime}/3$ and p_o^{\prime} , straincontrolled undrained shear tests were run before the corresponding drained tests so that their strengths could be used to estimate the peak strengths in the subsequent drained tests. For the CAD(D) tests on 'undisturbed' and 'freezethaw' samples consolidated to $p'_0/3$, a stress path with increasing $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ and decreasing σ'_{oct} was followed (Figures 3.2 and 4.2). The approximate peak strengths for these tests were predicted using the low stress envelope proposed by Baracos et al. (1980). The incremental stress levels along each stress path for the CAD(D) tests were determined similar to that of the triaxial samples (T401 to T406) used in the study of time effects. In this test series, five stress

- 41 -

increments were allowed between the estimated peak strengths and stresses at the end of consolidation $(p'_0/3, 2p'_0/3 \text{ and} p'_0)$. Additional stress increments were inserted before and beyond the approximated peak strengths in the same way as described in Chapter 3.

4.3 TRIAXIAL CONSOLIDATION AND DRAINED STRESS CONTROLLED TESTS

4.3.1 Triaxial Consolidation

Samples tested in this series were reconsolidated anisotropically to $p'_0/3$, $2p'_0/3$ and p'_0 with the ratio of σ'_3/σ'_1 equal to 0.65 (Baracos et al., 1980).

Stress-strain results for the reconsolidation phase of testing are tabulated in Tables 4.1 and 4.2. Swelling was observed for the 'undisturbed' samples consolidated to $p'_0/3$, that is, negative values for axial, lateral and volumetric strains were recorded (Tables 4.1 and 4.2). The axial strain (0.95 per cent) of the 'undisturbed' sample (T407) tested in this series was lower than the axial strains (ranging from 1.25 to 1.56 per cent) for the comparable samples T401 to T406 tested in the time effect series. The axial strains were always less than 2 per cent, therefore the sampling disturbance is acceptable for all these samples (T401 to T407) (Crooks, 1973) and is minimum for sample T407. The average axial strains for 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples both consolidated to p'_0 were 3.73 and 10.58 per cent respectively. These axial strains were greater than 2 per

cent which indicated that the samples had been "disturbed" considerably (Crooks, 1973). It is perhaps worth noting here, that "disturbance" in this context does not imply inattention to detail during sample preparation, rather that the test procedures themselves cause disturbance and changes to the fabric of the clay. The higher value of axial strain for the 'freeze-thaw' samples as compared to the 'fullysoftened' samples indicate that their degree of disturbance was greater.

4.3.2 Drained Compression Results

The actual effective stress paths followed by the 'undisturbed', 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples are shown respectively in Figures 3.2, 4.1 and 4.2 respectively. The stresses and strains developed during each test are summarized and tabulated in Appendix I. The triaxial consolidation results at the end of the drained portion of the tests are summarized in Tables 3.2 and 3.3.

The determination of yield stresses was discussed earlier in Chapter 3 and similar techniques have been used for these tests, stress-strain curves are presented in Figure 4.3 to Figure 4.18. Yield points are shown on each graph and yield stresses defined from the various criteria are summarized in Table 4.3. Samples T415 and T417 were 'undisturbed' samples taken from borehole 6 (Figure 1.1) at 11.4 m depth. For yield determination, the σ_1' vs ε_1 , (σ_1 - σ_3) vs ε_1 and the (σ_1 - σ_3) vs ε plots were useful for sample

- 44 -

T415 whereas the σ'_{oct} vs ε_v , σ'_3 vs ε_3 , $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ε , W_T vs LSSV plots were useful for sample T417. Figures 4.3 to 4.8 show the yield determination for these samples.

'Fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples were also taken from borehole 6 (Figure 1.1) but from a depth of 8.7 m. For the 'fully-softened' samples (T410, T412 and T414), the σ'_1 vs ε_1 , $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ε_1 , σ'_3 vs ε_3 , $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ε and W_T vs LSSV plots were all useful for yield determinations, with the exception of σ'_3 vs ε_3 plot for sample T412. The yield determinations of these samples are shown in Figure 4.9 to Figure 4.13.

Graphs for yield determinations for samples T419 and T421 are presented in Figure 4.14 to Figure 4.18. For the 'freeze-thaw' samples (T419 and T421), the $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ε_1 , $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ε_s and W_T vs LSSV plots were useful in determining yield stresses for T419. σ'_1 vs ε_1 , $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ε_1 , σ'_3 vs ε_3 , $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ε_s and W_T vs LSSV plots were used to determine yield stresses for sample T421.

Difficulties in determining yield stresses along steeply inclined stress paths were encountered by Lew (1981). The yield stresses of the samples tested in the present study for the investigation of low stress strengths were clearly defined, even for steeply-inclined stress paths. The yield stresses were considerably lower than the maximum shear stress (σ_1 - σ_3). This will be discussed in Chapter 5.

The drained strengths obtained from this section of testing are presented in Figures 3.14 and Figure 4.30.

4.4 UNDRAINED SHEAR TRIAXIAL TESTS

Undrained shear tests provided information on several further aspects of the soil's behaviour. They allowed the examination of stress-strain and porewater pressure generation characteristics of each sample. These include the porewater pressure parameter, A_f , the elastic modulus, E_{50} , and the strain-rate parameter, $\rho_{0.1}$. In addition, and perhaps most importantly, the failure stresses from the undrained tests in conjunction with the results from the drained stress-controlled tests permitted an evaluation of the shear strength of the blue clay (Baracos et al., 1980) at low consolidation pressures.

4.4.1 Stress-Strain Relationship

The stress-strain conditions for each sample prior to undrained shearing are summarized in Tables 5 and 6. Graphs of $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2\sigma'_{1c}$, σ'_1/σ'_3 and $\Delta u/\sigma'_{1c}$ vs ε_1 are shown in Figure 4.19 to Figure 4.28. These stress strain curves appeared broken and stepped because of the relaxation and step-changing tests performed to investigate strain rate effects. These will be reviewed later. The effective stress paths in (p', q) stress space are shown in Figures 4.29, 4.1 and 4.2 for each test. The complete shear test results are summarized in Table 4.4.

Sample T402 was consolidated well past its yield state stresses prior to undrained shearing. Therefore the in-situ grain structure of the sample had been modified and

45 -

some of the reserve resistance associated with overconsolidation had been destroyed. The stress-strain curve for sample T402 (Figure 4.19) indicates typical normally consolidated behaviour. No distinct sharp peak was observed from the $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2\sigma_{1c}$ plot, the deviator stress reached a maximum value at axial strain of 2.6 per cent and decreased gradually with increasing strain. The maximum principal stress ratio occured at an axial strain of 4.6 per cent. The $\Delta u/\sigma_{1c}^{\prime}$ vs ε_{1}^{\prime} plot showed that the porewater pressure increased fairly rapidly up to the maximum deviator stress and then flattened off becoming substantially constant at large strains. It should be noted that a mechanical problem with the compression machine was encountered after the first relaxation. The gears of the machine were running in the opposite direction such that the sample was extended instead of being compressed. The gears were readjusted at about 20 minutes after the machine was switched on. No major disturbance seems to have been caused to the stress-strain behaviour of the sample.

Stress-strain results for the undisturbed samples (T407, T408, T416) taken from 11.6 m depth are shown in Figures 4.19 to 4.22. The $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2\sigma'_{1c}$ vs ε_1 plots indicated distinct sharp peaks and the value of $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2\sigma'_{1c}$ increased with decreasing consolidation pressure. The $\Delta u/\sigma'_{1c}$ vs ε_1 plots showed that the porewater pressure rose rapidly to peak value and then dropped off with increasing strain. For samples consolidated to $2p'_0/3$ (T408) and $p'_0/3$ (T416), the

- 46 -

porewater pressure decreased to negative values at large strains. For samples T408 and T416, the $\Delta u/\sigma_{1c}'$ value reached a maximum at a smaller strain than the σ_1'/σ_3' ratio, followed by $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2\sigma_{1c}'$ value. It should be noted that for sample T407, the $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2\sigma_{1c}'$, σ_1'/σ_3' and $\Delta u/\sigma_{1c}'$ values reached constant values at a very small axial strain (1.5 per cent).

The stress-strain behaviour of the 'fully-softened' samples (T409, T411, T413) during undrained shearing was very similar in a general sense to that of the undisturbed samples described earlier in this chapter. The results are shown in Table 4.4 and Figures 4.23 to 4.25. However the stress-strain behaviour of the 'freeze-thaw' samples were quite different from that of the 'undisturbed' and 'fullysoftened' samples. The sharp peaks typical of the other test series were not observed from $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2\sigma'_{1c}, \sigma'_{1}/\sigma'_{3}$, $\Delta u/\sigma_{1c}'$ vs ε_{1} plots for any of the 'freeze-thaw' samples in Figures 4.26 to 4.28. These plots were very similar to that of sample T402 which was normally consolidated. However, one major difference between T402 and the 'freeze-thaw' samples was that the porewater pressure of the 'freeze-thaw' samples decreased during shear. The $\Delta u/\sigma_{1c}$ vs ϵ_{1} plots for the 'freeze-thaw' samples showed that $\Delta u/\sigma'_{1c}$ value decreased with increasing strain and became constant at large axial strain while the $\Delta u/\sigma_{1c}^{\prime}$ value for T402 remained constant. Thus the 'freeze-thaw' samples exhibited some degree of overconsolidation. These results will be discussed in Chapter 5.

47 -

48 -

4.4.2 Effective Stress Paths

The effective stress paths plotted in terms of stress parameters, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ and $(\sigma'_1 + 2\sigma'_3)/3$ for all undrained shear samples are shown in Figures 3.2 and 4.29; Figure 4.1; and Figure 4.2 for 'undisturbed', 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples respectively.

Sample T402 was consolidated with $\sigma'_{1c}/p'_{c} = 1.36$. The effective stress path was almost linear up to a large percentage of the maximum shear stress. After this point shear strains began to have a significant influence on the porewater pressures and the stress paths moved sharply to the left.

The influence of overconsolidation was clearly demonstrated by the effective stress paths of the CAU tests with $\sigma'_{1c}/P'_{o} \leq 1$ for the 'undisturbed' and 'fully-softened' samples. The initial sections of these stress paths were almost linear. The stress paths curved to the right before reaching the maximum shear stress because the porewater pressure began to decrease at that point. After reaching this peak stress, the samples tended to dilate on further straining. This is accompanied by a decrease in porewater pressure, and the shear stress dropped abruptly, drawing the effective stress paths vertically downward. Due to the smaller decreases in porewater pressure observed in the 'freeze-thaw' samples (Figure 4.2), the effective stress paths for these samples did not curve to the right as much as the 'undisturbed' (Figure 3.2) and 'fully-softened'

학생님

samples (Figure 4.1). The effective stress paths for the undisturbed samples in the overconsolidated region were in close agreement with Lew's results (Figure 4.29).

The 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' strengths are presented in Figure 4.30. It should be pointed out that the yield envelope for 8.2 m depth proposed by Noonan (1980) did not extend into the overconsolidated region. However, the overconsolidated yield envelope is shown as dotted lines in Figure 4.30. This envelope was obtained by multiplying the coordinates of the revised normalized yield envelope (Figure 4.31) proposed by Graham et al. (1982b), by the value of p_{C}' equal to 380 kPa for samples taken from 8.2 m This value of p'_{C} for the Winnipeg clay was based on depth. the one-dimensional oedometer tests performed on samples taken from various depths at the same site. The variation of p' with depth has been shown in Figure 6.7 in Lew's thesis (1981). The results showed that the 'fully-softened' strengths were lower than the undisturbed strengths but higher than the 'freeze-thaw' strengths. The envelopes appeared to be curved and parallel to each other.

The drained and undrained strengths for the undisturbed, 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples are presented in Figures 4.32 and 4.33 respectively. They are also presented in the revised normalized stress space (Figure 4.31). The preconsolidation pressure (p_c^{\prime}) of 241 kPa

49 -

was used for samples taken from 11.4 m depth. This value was based on the one-dimensional oedometer tests performed by Lew (1981) for this particular series of samples (Graham et al., 1982b). The p'_c value of 400 kPa was used for samples taken from 8.7 m, based on Figure 6.7 in Lew's thesis.

It should be noted that the 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' procedures can be expected to affect not only the steep stress path strengths, but also the K_0 -stress path strength and hence the value of p'_c . Therefore the normalized results for the 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples presented in Figure 4.31 may not be correct due to the changes in p'_c values. This will be further discussed in Chapter 5.

4.4.3 Porewater Pressure Generation

The relationships between $\Delta u/\sigma_{1c}$ and ε_1 for the undrained shear samples are shown in Figures 4.19 to 4.28. For the overconsolidated 'undisturbed' and 'fully-softened' samples, the porewater pressures during undrained shear rose quickly to a maximum value before the maximum σ_1'/σ_3' ratio and the maximum $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2\sigma_{1c}'$ value were reached. Porewater pressures then dropped off quickly after the peak value was reached and approached a constant value at large strains.

- 50 -

- 51 -

For samples consolidated to $p_0'/3$ and $2p_0'/3$, the porewater pressures dropped off to negative values. Porewater pressure generation for the 'freeze-thaw' samples was similar to the 'undisturbed' and 'fully-softened' samples but the $\Delta u/\sigma_{1c}'$ vs ϵ_1 plots (Figures 4.26 to 4.28) for these samples were more rounded and the porewater pressure did not fall off to negative values.

The porewater pressure parameter $A = \Delta u/\Delta (\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ (Skempton, 1954), designated ' A_f ' for failure conditions, is often used in practice. Values of A_f for the undrained tests are tabulated in Tables 4.4 and 4.5. The A_f values for overconsolidated 'undisturbed' samples ranged from 0.15 to 0.47. The range of A_f values for the 'fully-softened' samples was from 0.18 to 0.38. A_f values for the 'freeze-thaw' samples were quite constant, ranging from 0.52 to 0.56. The relationships of A_f plotted against $(1/\sigma_{1c})$ (Baracos et al., 1980) and overconsolidation ratio (OCR) (Lew, 1981) are shown in Figure 4.34 and Figure 4.35 respectively. The A_f values for undisturbed samples decreased with increasing $1/\sigma_{1c}$ value and OCR, the results were in good agreement with the results obtained by Baracos et al., 1980 and Lew, 1981.

 A_f values for the 'fully-softened' and 'freezethaw' samples did not decrease with increasing $1/\sigma'_{1c}$ value and overconsolidation ratio. The results in Figure 4.35 indicate that the A_f values were low at low OCR, increased with increasing OCR; reaching a maximum at OCR of about 6 to 7 and dropped off with further increase of OCR. Figure 4.36 shows the A_f values vs the effective stress ratio $\sigma'_{3c}/\sigma'_{1c}$. The result for the normally consolidated sample (T402) used in the present study did not agree with Lew's values for normally consolidated samples (Lew, 1981). In the present study, A_f values for 'freeze-thaw' samples were the highest followed by the 'fully-softened' and 'undisturbed' samples. The value of the A_f parameter depended to a large extent on the stress history of the soil and particularly on the degree of overconsolidation. These results will be discussed further in Chapter 5.

Figures 4.37 to 4.42 show the normalized values of $\Delta u/\sigma_{1c}$ vs $\Delta \sigma_{oct}/\sigma_{1c}$ for all undrained strain-controlled tests. For 'undisturbed' samples consolidated to $2p_0^{\prime}/3$ and p_0^{\prime} (T407 and T408), the relationship was approximately linear up to a high percentage of the maximum stress (Figure 4.38). Thereafter the relationship became non-linear. The porewater pressure dropped off after $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)_{max}$ value was reached. For the undisturbed sample consolidated to $p_0^{\prime}/3$, the porewater pressure behaviour was similar to the 'fully-softened' samples (T409, T411, T413). The relationship for the initial stage was slightly curved and became distinctly non-linear thereafter. Porewater pressure dropped before the $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)_{max}$ value was reached. The porewater pressure dropped to negative values for both the 'undisturbed' and 'fully-softened' samples consolidated to less than p'.

The initial porewater pressure response was linear for the 'freeze-thaw' samples. In each case, the porewater pressures

- 52 -

decreased with decreasing σ_{oct} after the maximum deviator stress $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$. In the post-peak range for samples T420, T422, the ratio $\Delta u/\Delta \sigma_{oct}$ was lower than in the pre-peak range. This contrasts with the more usual behaviour shown for example in Figs. 4.38-4.40 for the "undisturbed" and "fully-softened" samples.

The slope of the linear relationship for the normally consolidated sample (T402) was greater than those obtained from the overconsolidated samples. However, for both the overconsolidated and normally consolidated cases, the initial response in porewater pressure change (Δ u) was greater than the changes in total octahedral normal stress. Once the structure of the clay began to respond nonlinearly, however, the behaviour was very different in the two cases. Overconsolidated samples produced strongly decreasing porewater pressures, whereas normally consolidated samples gave increasing porewater pressures. The gradients of the linear section, m, are summarized in Table 4.4 and comparison of $A_{\rm f}$ and m values for overconsolidated 'undisturbed', 'fullysoftened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples are shown in Table 4.5.

4.4.4 'E₅₀' Parameter

The non-linearity of the $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ε_1 curves from triaxial compression tests has been approximated by a secant modulus E_{50} from the end of consolidation to 50 per cent of the reserve resistance (Graham, 1974). Values of E_{50} have been normalized by dividing by the undrained strength $s_u = (\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2_{max}$ to give what is known as the relative stiffness,

- 53 -

 E_{50}/s_u . Table 4.4 summarizes all values of E_{50} and E_{50}/s_u . The results varied considerably with test type, and showed significant scatter. Figure 4.43 shows a plot of relative stiffness versus overconsolidation ratio (OCR) for the undrained tests. No clear relationship was observed. However, for the same overconsolidation ratio, the 'freeze-thaw' sample had the highest value of relative stiffness, followed by the 'fully-softened' and 'undisturbed' samples.

4.4.5 Strain Rate Effect

In the present study, the strain-rate effect was examined by using two procedures, namely, the step-changing procedure (Richardson and Whitman, 1963) and 'relaxation' procedure (Kenney, 1966). These procedures were described earlier in Chapter 2. The strain-rate effect can be represented by a parameter $\rho_{0.1}$, which describes the percentage change in shearing resistance produced by a tenfold change in strain rate, referred to the shearing resistance at a strain rate of 0.1 per cent/hour.

In this testing program, relaxation and stepchanging procedures were performed on all the undrained shear tests. Relaxation tests were also performed at large axial strains on some of the samples to examine the dependency of strain rate effects on the magnitude of strain (Figures 4.19 to 4.28).

The normalized average undrained strength $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2\sigma_1$ versus the axial strain rate from relaxation tests

- 54 -
performed just after the sample had failed is shown in Figure 4.44. The $\rho_{0.1}$ values obtained from these tests are also tabulated in Table 4.4. Tables 4.6 and 4.7 show the $\rho_{0.1}$ values obtained from relaxation tests and step-changing tests respectively at various axial strains. The results showed that $\rho_{0.1}$ values obtained from relaxation tests were lower than those obtained from step-changing tests. The $\rho_{0.1}$ values obtained from both tests ranged from 6 to 10 per cent for all the 'undisturbed', 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples. In general, the $\rho_{0.1}$ values decreased with increasing axial strain. This confirms earlier work by Lew (1981), and Graham, Crooks and Bell (1982).

4.4.6 <u>Undrained Strength at Large Strains</u>

The use of the USALS method for slope stability analysis had been described by LaRochelle et al. (1974). Preliminary work on the Winnipeg clay has been reported by Lew (1981).

USALS obtained from the present study for the 'undisturbed', 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples are tabulated in Table 4.8. These results are also presented in Figures 4.31 to 4.33. The USALS values lie very close to the normally consolidated Coulomb Mohr Envelope. This will be discussed in more detail in Chapter 5.

- 55 -

CHAPTER 5

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

5.1 INTRODUCTION

The main purposes for the present research were:

- To examine the influence of time and rate effects on the yield behaviour of Winnipeg clay.
- To investigate the low stress strengths of Winnipeg clay by means of 'undisturbed',

'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples.

In the present study, the effect of time and strain rate effects on the yield envelope and preconsolidation pressure p'_{C} for Winnipeg clays taken from 11.6 m depth were studied. These had not been confirmed by previous researchers (Baracos et al., 1980; Noonan, 1980; Lew, 1981). Because of the low sensitivity of the Winnipeg clays, the extension of the time dependent aspects of the YLIGHT concept to include these clays is a significant step towards verifying the stress-strain (time) behaviour for all natural clays. (The YLIGHT concept was developed from tests on highly sensitive Champlain Sea clay).

Strengths at low stresses are thought to control the field behaviour in many small embankment, riverbank and excavation problems in the Winnipeg area (Baracos et al., 1980). However, the low stress strength of Winnipeg clay is still not fully understood. Low stress strengths for Winnipeg clay were investigated in the present study using undisturbed samples taken from 11.4 m depth, 'fully-softened' and 'freezethaw' samples taken from 8.7 m. Preparations for the 'fullysoftened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples has been described in Chapter 2. Undrained strength at large strains (USALS) (La Rochelle et al., 1974) and their relationship with the normalized consolidated strength (Rivard and Lu, 1978) were examined. The influence of strain rate effects on the undrained strengths were also studied.

Results for the study of time and rate effects and low stress strengths obtained from the present study will be compared with those of the previous studies (Baracos et al., 1980; Noonan, 1980; Lew, 1981). In addition, some of the results for time and rate effects study are examined with reference to the YLIGHT model (Tavenas and Leroueil, 1977). Undrained shear results for the study of low stress strength are studied with reference to the USALS concept (LaRochelle et al., 1974). Furthermore, USALS obtained for the present study are compared with the normally consolidated strengths proposed by Baracos et al. (1980).

This chapter is further subdivided into sections 5-A and 5-B. Section 5-A emphasizes results for time and rate effects while section 5-B concentrates on the low stress strength results.

- 57 -

5-A TIME AND STRAIN RATE EFFECTS ON THE YIELD BEHAVIOUR OF WINNIPEG CLAY

5-A.1 Time Effects on Yield Stresses

As described in section 3.2.1, six consolidated drained triaxial tests on samples T401 to T406 were used to investigate the shrinkage or degeneration of the yield envelope with time. The stress paths used for this study were also described in section 3.2.1.

Due to the difficulties encountered for the interpretation of yield stresses along steeply inclined stress paths (Lew, 1981), the 'sensitivity' of these tests at around yield stress level was improved in the present study. This was done by inserting intermediate stress points before and after the expected yield stress level (Figure 3.1) to improve yield interpretations. The load increments for these intermediate stress points were reduced to half of the original value. However, in order to maintain a rather constant strain rate, Dr. J. Graham suggested that the load duration had to be reduced also by half of the original value, that is, from 24 hours to 12 hours. Standard 24 hour load duration tests had been adopted by previous researchers (for example, Graham (1974) and Tavenas et al. (1978)) to define yield envelopes. The assumption inherent in their work was that for small load increment ratio, the majority of the strains occuring in the first 24 hours were due to creep (not consolidation) and that the majority of the movements occurred during this period. Therefore, by further reducing

- 58 -

the load increment ratio for the intermediate stress points the relative importance of primary consolidation was greatly diminished and the observed behaviour was essentially representative of creep (Leonards and Girault, 1961; Wahls, 1962; Tavenas et al., 1978). Therefore the strains occuring during the 12 hour load duration for the intermediate stress points should also be due to creep. A major portion of the strains should be completed within the 12 hour period. Strains at the end of the 24 hour load period for a particular load increment would be the same for samples loaded with or without the insertion of the intermediate stress point. The method of reducing both the load duration and load increment by half of the original values was therefore adopted in the present study. This hypothesis deserves further detailed study in carefully controlled tests.

The determination of yield stresses for samples T401 to T406 has been presented earlier in Chapter 3. It was pointed out in Chapter 3 that the interpretation for yield stresses along steeply inclined stress paths (T405 and T406) was quite difficult. The same problem was encountered by Lew (1981) who demonstrated that by examining the strain rates in the last two stress increments before rupture, namely the 5th and 6th stress increment in his Test T312, he was able to detect that the sample had in fact begun to yield at low strain rates during the 5th increment, and that the extra shearing resistance shown in the 6th increment simply reflected the influence of the strain rate parameter, $\rho_{0,1}$.

- 59 -

The same approach was employed by the author on test results for Tests T405 and T406 in the present study. Unfortunately in this case, the technique was not useful for determining the yield conditions. Irregular strain rate patterns were observed, and the method was not investigated further.

Noonan (1980) pointed out that in the overconsolidated region, limit state and rupture coincide. In the overconsolidated region, samples first reach a maximum deviator stress, which is a function of the in-situ grain structure of the soil, and which occurs at small strains. The maximum deviator stress, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2_{max}$, represents the structural strength of the soil's grain skeleton and is therefore a part of the 'yield' or 'limit state' surface. The stress level at rupture is therefore interpreted as yield stresses for the steeply inclined stress paths in the overconsolidated region. These included Tests T405, T406, T410, T412, T414, T415, T417, T419, T421. Moreover, Bjerrum and Kenney (1967) state that the maximum shear strength rupture criterion for undrained shear is associated with the quasi-static yielding of the grain structure of a soil at small strains; whereas the maximum stress ratio rupture criterion for undrained shear represents the dynamic yielding of the clay structure at large strains once a statically constant condition of sliding friction between soil particles has been obtained (Graham, 1974). Consequently, in undrained shear the maximum shear stress reached at small strains by an overconsolidated sample is a function of its particle

60 -

structure and represents a limit state condition. This has been used to define the yield envelopes shown in Figures 4.32 and 4.33. However, quasi-yield stress conditions at somewhat lower stresses were identified in the drained compression tests used for the investigation of low stress strengths (T410, T412, T414, T415, T417, T419, T421). This will be discussed later in section 5-B.

Yield stresses for samples T401 to T406 are shown in Figure 3.14. Results for samples T401 to T402 confirm with the observation in the YLIGHT model (Tavenas and Leroueil, 1977) that the yield stresses decrease with increasing load duration. Yield stresses for sample T401 are larger than those of sample T402 while its load duration is shorter. However, results for samples T403 to T406 are in contradiction with the time aspects of the YLIGHT model. The yield stresses from these tests are higher with longer load durations. The cause for this is still not clear following discussions with all members of the soil mechanics staff of the Civil Engineering Department, University of Manitoba. Dr. J. Graham has suggested that this set of results for samples T403 to T406 simply reflects the sample variability which is encountered in natural clays, and that no firm conclusions can be drawn because of the limited number of tests performed in the present study. The author recommends further testing of this type in the future, especially in the overconsolidated region, to investigate the shrinkage or degeneration of the yield envelope with time.

Figure 3.14 also shows the yield envelope proposed by Lew (1981) and the revised yield envelope by Graham (1982). Description along with the reason for the revision of Lew's envelope has been given in Chapter 1. The shape of the revised yield envelope is changed at the righthand side and it goes to higher value of σ'_{oct} . The yield stresses for sample T401 tested in the present study is in better agreement with the revised envelope. The average moisture content for samples taken from 11.6 m for the present study was 57.8 per cent and this value corresponds well with samples T315 to T319 tested by Lew (1981). Therefore p' value of 241 kPa determined by Lew (1981) was also used in the current study to normalize the test results. The normalized test results (T401 to T406) along with the normalized yield envelope proposed by Graham (1982) are shown in Figure 5.1. The results are in general agreement with the normalized yield envelope.

5-A.2 Influence of Time and Strain Rate Effects on the <u>Preconsolidation Pressure p</u>

5-A.2.1 Non-Standard Oedometer Tests

Results from the six non-standard oedometer tests (C401 to C406) indicate that the preconsolidation pressure p'_{c} for Winnipeg clay decreases with increasing load duration. This confirms with the findings by previous researchers (Crawford, 1964; Bjerrum, 1967; Tavenas and Leroueil, 1977)

- 62 -

and supports the suggestion in the previous paragraphs that non-systematic variability has affected the results of T401 to T406. The preconsolidation pressures are reduced from 243 to 225 kPa as the duration of the load application increases from 1.0 to 100 days, thus confirming the significant influence of time on p'_c . The p'_c values were interpreted using bilinear fitting of the observed stress-strain results. This was discussed earlier in Chapter 3.

The consolidation-time curves are shown in Figures 3.17 and 3.18. Except for sample C406, the 'S' shape curves predicted by Terzaghi consolidation theory were generally observed. Because the stress level on sample C406 is small, the majority of the strain occured for this sample is due to creep (Leonards and Girault, 1961), and the 'S' shape curve predicted by Terzaghi consolidation theory is not observed. It is interesting to observe that for samples loaded to stress levels higher than p_c' (C401 to C403), the consolidation time curves gradually become more and more parallel with the passage of time. This means that with time, the rates of secondary compression are becoming equal. Also this finding could imply that for stress levels higher than p'_c , the rate of secondary compression is independent of the effective stress provided sufficient time has elapsed for the original loading conditions to disappear. Figures 3.17 and 3.18 show that the effect of the reserve resistance of the plastic clay on deformations is most pronounced during the initial period after the samples are loaded. The effect

- 63 -

- 63a -

gradually disappears with time. Bjerrum (1967) observed similar behaviour for the sensitive Norwegian clay by monitoring settlements for five buildings in the Drammen area. He suggested that this is due to the diminishing reserve resistance of the clay with time.

Graphs of c_v , C_{α}/C_c , C_c , C_{α}^* and ε_{VR} vs $\log \sigma'_v$ for load durations of 24 hours are shown in Figure 3.19. The value of c_v peaked just before p'_c (250 kPa) and dropped until a vertical pressure of about 378 kPa was reached. C~ increased sharply just after $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{C}}^{\prime}$ and peaked at stress level These results indicate that for a stress levels of 378 kPa. before p_{c}^{\prime} , the deformation is mainly due to elastic compression, with a small creep component, whereas after $\mathbf{p}_{c}^{\prime},$ primary and secondary compressions become important. The porewater pressure dissipation rate increases gradually with increasing stress level until just before p'_c is reached, drops off quite sharply thereafter, and becomes essentially constant at stress levels higher than p'.

The $\log \sigma_V'$ vs ε_{VR} curves for 1 day, 10 day and 100 day load durations are shown in Figure 3.16. The instantaneous slopes for these curves when multiplied by the original specific volumes (1+e₀), become the compression index values C_c. The slopes for these curves are quite parallel to each other in the stress range greater than p'_c. However,

 $^{{}^{*}}C_{\alpha}$ is here calculated in terms of changes in voids ratio. Note that in tests of 24 -hour load duration on these samples, the rate of secondary consolidation in log(time) is not fully established. The values of C_{α} in Fig. 3.19 have been calculated from the measured slope of the log(time) relationship at the end of the load increment, that is at 24 hour duration.

in the stress range smaller than p'_c , the slopes become steeper with time. This indicates that regardless of load increment duration and load increment ratio, C_c is approximately constant for any given stress level in the normally consolidated range, and increases with load duration in the reload range.

 ${\rm C}_{\alpha}/{\rm C}_{c}$ values peaked just after ${\rm p}_{c}^{\prime}$ for the 24 hour period and become rather constant with time (Figures 3.19 and 3.20). However, although C_{α}/C_{c} becomes constant in the reload and normal consolidation ranges of stresses, it is higher at stresses close to $\textbf{p}_{\text{C}}^{\prime}$ (Figure 3.20). Mesri and Godlewski (1977) concluded that during secondary compression of natural soils, there is a unique relationship between $\boldsymbol{C}_{\!\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ and C_c for any given time, effective stress and void ratio. For a wide variety of clays, they found that C_{α}/C_{c} lies in a relatively narrow range between 0.03 and 0.09. They used the procedure of obtaining the C_{c} values from the slope of the e-log σ_{V}^{\prime} curve corresponding to the end of primary consolidation and, $C_{\alpha}^{}\text{-}$ values from the "linear slope" of the e-log t curve beyond the transition from primary to secondary compression. The "end of primary" consolidation C_{α}/C_{c} values for the present test series were calculated and are presented in Table 3.7. These values are rather low compared to the values proposed by Mesri and Godlewski (1977). Further research into this extensive topic is outside the scope of this thesis.

The strain rate parameter $\eta_{0.1}$ defining the relationship between p' and strain rate was described in Chapter 3.

The $\eta_{0.1}$ value for this study is 3.6 per cent. This is considerably lower than the normal range of $\eta_{0.1}$ (10 to 20 per cent) proposed by Graham et al. (1982b).

- 65 -

5-A.2.2 Strain-Controlled Oedometer Tests

The present pilot series of strain-controlled oedometer tests was designed only as a trial run to examine their applicability for defining $\textbf{p}_{\textbf{C}}^{\prime}$ and the influence of strain-rate effects on p'_c . Stress-strain relationship for the four strain-rate controlled oedometer tests are shown as $\epsilon_{VR}^{}$ vs $\sigma_V^{\,\prime}$ and $\epsilon_{VR}^{}$ vs log $_V^{\prime}$ plots in Figures 3.21 and 3.22 respectively. Slope discontinuities such as those reported by Sallfors (1975) and Vaid et al. (1979) could be observed from the arithmetic plot in Fig. 3.21, and p'_c values could be identified with some certainty. These p'_{c} values are unusually high when compared to the results obtained from standard oedometer tests (Lew, 1981). These higher values might because these tests were not performed under back pressure. Thus the samples were probably not fully saturated and the measured porewater pressure lower than the values inside the samples. This leads to high estimates of effective stress and high $\textbf{p}_{c}^{\,\prime}\text{-values.}$ However, the results obtained from the present series do indicate that p'_c is time dependent. Higher values of p_c' are obtained for higher strain rates (see Figures 3.21 and 3.22). The values of $n_{0.1}$ calculated from this series of tests is about 37 per cent which is obviously excessive if taken in isolation.

The author suggests that all further strain-rate controlled oedometer tests performed at the University of Manitoba should be run under a back pressure of approximately 200 kPa in order to ensure complete saturation and freedom from compliance effects in porewater pressure measurements. This means that new equipments should be used which will enable the back pressure to be applied. The strain rate effect on the undrained strengths along with the low stress strength results will be discussed in the next section 5-B.

5-B <u>RESULTS FOR THE LOW STRESS STRENGTH INVESTIGATION</u> 5-B.1 Triaxial Consolidation

These samples were reconsolidated anisotropically to $p_0'/3$, $2p_0'/3$ and p_0' with $\sigma_{3c}'/\sigma_{1c}'$ equal to 0.65.

Specific volume (V) versus σ'_{oct} curves for the 'undisturbed', 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples during reconsolidation are shown in Figure 5.2*. For comparison purpose, the reconsolidation results obtained by Noonan (1980) for 'undisturbed' samples taken from 8.2 m were recalculated and included. These results are also tabulated in Tables 5.1 and 5.2. The results showed that the 'undisturbed' samples swelled during the first load increment and were then compressed linearly to a smaller specific volume. The curves obtained for different samples were quite parallel to each other. The V versus σ'_{oct} plots for the 'fully-softened'

- 66 -

^{*}Fig. 5.2 shows the "undisturbed" and "fully-softened" tests starting at about the same specific volume V. Note that these two series came from slightly different depths, and had different initial moisture contents. The initial V for the 8.7 m samples is shown in Fig. 5.2.

and 'freeze-thaw' samples were rather non-linear with greater curvature for the 'freeze-thaw' samples. Figure 5.3 shows the V versus logo oct for these results. The straight lines for the 'undisturbed' samples became non-linear in this figure. Also the non-linear curves for the 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples became bi-linear and linear straight lines respectively in this figure. The reconsolidation curve for 'undisturbed' samples taken from 11.6 m is the average of all the samples taken from this depth and is shown as a The results indicate that the compressibility dotted line. is largest for the 'freeze-thaw' samples, followed by the 'fully-softened' and 'undisturbed' samples since the slope of the reconsolidation curves for the 'freeze-thaw' samples is the steepest. This implies that sample disturbance caused by the 'freeze-thaw' procedure is greater than that of the 'fully-softened' procedure. It is interesting to observe appear to be stiffer that the 'fully-softened' samples at the beginning of loading until an average σ'_{oct} of 23 kPa is reached.

5-B.2 Drained Stress Controlled Triaxial Tests

The maximum $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ values and the yield stresses for the 'undisturbed', 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples are shown in Figures 3.14 and 4.31 respectively. It has been discussed earlier in section 5-A that the maximum $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ values are used as yield stresses for tests in the overconsolidated region. The difficulties of observing yield

- 67 -

stresses for the steep stress paths in this region have also been discussed. However, early yield stresses were clearly identified for drained compression tests (T410, T412, T414, T415, T417, T419, T421). Yield determinations for these tests are shown in Figures 4.3 to 4.18. These results suggest that the sample exhibit an initial stiff behaviour, followed by a less stiff range before rupture. There is a threshold stress level before rupture for each stress path beyond which the sample will become rather compressible. This has a rather important implication in predicting stress-strain behaviour in the field. The early yield stresses for the 'undisturbed', 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples (Figures 3.14 and 4.31) are lower than the $(\sigma_1^{-}\sigma_3)_{max}$ values but higher than the normally consolidated Coulomb Mohr strengths. Also the early yield stresses for the 'fullysoftened' samples are higher than the 'freeze-thaw' samples.

The early yield behaviour is yet to be understood. The author suggests that further research into this topic is required in order to fully understand the stress-strain behaviour of the Winnipeg clay in the overconsolidated region.

5-B.3 Low Stress Strengths

Low stress strengths obtained from the drained and undrained triaxial tests for samples taken from 11.6 m and 8.7 m depths are shown in Figures 4.30 and 4.32 respectively. The 'undisturbed' strengths and the 'fully-softened' strengths are close to the low stress envelope proposed by Baracos et

- 68 -

al. (1980) up to about σ'_{oct} equal 60 kPa. Figure 4.32 shows that the 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' strength envelopes seem to be curved and parallel with the undisturbed yield envelope. For drained tests consolidated to $p'_{o}/3$ with stress path running in the decreasing σ'_{oct} direction (T417 and T419), the samples failed just before the no tension line.

To minimize stress history variability, these results are also shown in the normalized stress space $(q/p'_c, p'/p'_c)$ in Figure 4.33. It can be observed that the undisturbed strengths are in general higher than the 'fullysoftened' strengths, followed by the 'freeze-thaw' strengths. These strength envelopes are curved and parallel to each other. Therefore the low stress envelope proposed by Baracos et al. (1980) should probably be curved rather than a straight line.

The p'_c values used for the normalization of the 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples may not be justified. Since the 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' procedures modified the strength for the steeply inclined stress paths, therefore the strength along the K_o-line should be changed and hence the value of p'_c . The p'_c values would be the lowest for the 'freeze-thaw' samples, followed by the 'fully-softened' samples. The lower values of p'_c for these samples when used for normalization may raise their low stress strength envelopes back up to the 'undisturbed' envelope. This consideration only developed in the final stages of preparation of this thesis, and no testing on this point was possible.

5-B.4 Undrained Shearing Behaviour

5-B.4.1 Stress-Strain Behaviour

Stress-strain results for the undrained shearing tests have been presented in section 4.4.1. It was shown in that section that sample T402 (Figure 4.19) had a typically normally consolidated behaviour, whereas the 'undisturbed' samples (T407, T408, T416) (Figures 4.20 to 4.22) and 'fully-softened' samples (T409, T411, T413) (Figures 4.23 to 4.25) behaved in a typical overconsolidated fashion. In all these tests, the samples' stress-strain behaviour was brittle or strain-softening. The deviator stress and porewater pressure reached a peak at relatively low strains, after which they both fell off abruptly to a lower value. The degree of overconsolidation was also reflected by the decrease in the porewater pressure. The porewater pressure dropped off to a lower value for samples having higher overconsolidation ratio, that is, for samples consolidated to a lower stress level. It should be noted that for samples consolidated to the same stress level, the porewater pressure for the 'undisturbed' samples dropped off to a lower value than the 'fully-softened' samples. This could imply that the structure of the 'fully-softened' samples had been modified, and thus they behaved in a less overconsolidated fashion.

Section 4.4.1 also pointed out that the behaviour of the 'freeze-thaw' samples was intermediate between normally consolidated and overconsolidated behaviour. The

 $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2\sigma_{1c}$, σ_1'/σ_3' vs ε_1 plots (Figures 4.26 to 4.28) showed normally consolidated behaviour while the $\Delta u/\sigma_{1c}'$ vs ε_{1} plot showed overconsolidated behaviour. This suggests that disturbance cause structural changes in the clay, creating a 'young' structure. However, some reserve resistance still exists in the clay perhaps due to remnants of cementation, and this is reflected in overconsolidated porewater pressure behaviour. The structural modification of the 'freeze-thaw' samples is greater than the 'fully-softened' samples, as indicated by the reconsolidation and the stress-strain behaviour. Figures 5.3 and 5.4 show the structure of the 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples after failure. A nuggety structure was generally observed for the 'freezethaw' samples. However, higher consolidation pressures tended to close the nuggets. Typical 'fully-softened' and 'freezethaw' samples after failure are shown in Figures 5.5 and 5.6. It should be noted that the failure plane was rather clearly defined for both the 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples.

5-B.5 'A_f' Parameter

Skempton's (1954) parameter 'A' is one of the most widely used porewater pressure parameters, and is known to be considerably affected by stress history. Henkel (1956) showed that the A-value at failure (A_f) , is highly dependent on the overconsolidation ratio in general. Figure 4.35 shows the variation of A_f with overconsolidation ratio for the

- 71 -

Winnipeg clays. Also included in this figure are results obtained by Henkel (1956) and Crooks (1973) for remoulded Weald clay, and Belfast estuarine clay at the Kinnegar and Holywood sites respectively. The variation of A_f with OCR for the 'undisturbed' samples from the present study follows the expected pattern, that is the value of ${\rm A}_{\rm f}$ decreases with increasing degree of overconsolidation. However, ${\rm A}^{}_{\rm f}$ values for the 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples do not follow the general trend. Their behaviour has been described earlier in section 4.4.3. These results indicate that maximum A_f values occured at OCR of about 6 to 7. The A_f values for 'freeze-thaw' samples were the highest followed by the 'fully-softened' and 'undisturbed' samples. Therefore greater sample disturbance would be expected to create higher ${\rm A}_{\rm f}$ values and the sample would tend to behave towards the normally consolidated fashion. This has also been shown earlier for the stress-strain behaviour in section 5-B.4. Moreover, the ${\rm A}_{\rm f}$ values for the 'freeze-thaw' samples are rather constant which could imply that for highly disturbed samples the ${\rm A}_{\mbox{\bf f}}$ values would be constant and independent of OCR. This is in contradiction with Henkel's results (1956). Further research using remoulded samples is required to investigate this point. Finally, the p_c' values for the 'fullysoftened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples may not be correct as discussed earlier in section 5-B.3. Lower values of p'_c would reduce the OCR for these samples. The A_f values may then be in better agreement with the undisturbed samples.

- 72 -

5-B.6 Strain Rate Effects

Results for strain rate effects have been presented earlier in section 4.4.5. The $\rho_{0.1}$ values obtained from relaxation tests (range from 5.9 to 10.1 per cent) for the present study are lower than those obtained by Lew (1981) who measured $\rho_{0.1}$ values ranging from 11 to 12.2 per cent. In comparing his results with earlier values by Noonan (1980), Lew pointed out that the relaxation tests for his study were performed at axial strains closer to the failure strains (that is, ε_1 at $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)_{max}$), and therefore show higher strainrate effects. The samples are markedly strain softening. Perhaps for this reason, the $\rho_{0.1}$ values determined by the relaxation tests and step-changing tests in the present study are not equal. The $\rho_{0.1}$ values from relaxation tests are consistently lower than those from the step-changing tests.

The average $\rho_{0.1}$ value determined at large strains $(\epsilon_1 = 12 \text{ per cent})$ using step-changing techniques is about 6 per cent. Strain rate effects become therefore less significant with increasing axial strain.

Previous work summarized by Graham (1979) has suggested that the $\rho_{0.1}$ parameter is related to the plasticity index of a clay. The value of $\rho_{0.1}$ versus plasticity index for these tests have been plotted along with other data from Graham (1979) and is shown in Figure 5.8. This data suggests that no simple relationship exists between $\rho_{0.1}$ and I_p .

- 73 -

5-B.7 USALS

LaRochelle et al. (1974) showed that the USALS (undrained strength at large strains) approach offered some potential as a means of analyzing the stability of embankments on soft sensitive clay foundations. USALS obtained from the present study for the 'undisturbed', 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples are tabulated in Table 4.8. These results are also presented in Figures 4.31 to 4.33. The USALS values are very close to the normally consolidated Coulomb Mohr envelope (Critical State line) for all the 'undisturbed', 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples.

Since USALS is recognized as resulting from the sliding friction between particles, it should therefore be expected to be independent of sample disturbance. Lefebvre (1980) demonstrated this point by performing the same test on intact and pre-cut samples. The shear resistance on the pre-cut plane was gradually mobilized as axial deformation occured, and at large deformation became more or less equal to the post-peak strength. USALS obtained from the present study agrees with this concept.

Rivard and Lu (1978) studied the case histories of failure of water-retaining structures on highly plastic clays. Using the normally consolidated strength as suggested by Skempton and Hutchinson (1969), they obtained a more reliable prediction of the in-situ stability condition. They further suggested that for highly plastic clay soils with structural discontinuities, slopes and embankments should be designed

74 -

using the normally consolidated strength, rather than the intact strength of the clay. Their study also includes natural slopes in Lake Agassiz clay. It is interesting to observe that the USALS obtained for the present study are very close to the normally consolidated strength. These results suggest that the USALS method proposed by LaRochelle (1974) could be valuable for prediction of slope stability of the weathered Lake Agassiz clay, as implied by Rivard and Lu (1978).

The $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)_{max}$ and USALS for the 'undisturbed', 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples are also plotted in Figure 5.3. It should be noted that the 'Isotropic Normally Consolidated Line', 'One-Dimensional Normally Consolidation Line' and the 'Critical State Line (CSL) are proposed by Graham, Noonan and Lew (1982b) based on previous results obtained for the Winnipeg clay. The USALS obtained for the 'undisturbed' samples are close to the CSL. For samples failing on the left hand side of the CSL, σ'_{oct} values move to the right from the $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)_{max}$ position towards the CSL until they reach USALS. For samples failing on the right hand side of the CSL, σ'_{oct} moves from the $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)_{max}$ position to the left towards CSL. This agrees with the critical state soil mechanics concept (Atkinson and Bransby, 1978). The USALS values lie close to the CSL in the stress space shown in Figures 4.32 and 4.33, but lie below it in the specific volume, $log(\sigma'_{oct})$ space in Figure 5.3. This has

- 75 -

also been observed in tests on remoulded overconsolidated clays, and is due to the non-uniformity of straining that occurs when failure planes develop in overconsolidated samples. Stresses on these planes can be measured. Only average strains or specific volume changes can be measured over the sample as a whole. Differences in σ'_{oct} values between $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)_{max}$ and USALS conditions decrease from 'undisturbed' to 'fully-softened' samples, followed by 'freeze-thaw' samples. In fact, σ'_{oct} values for $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)_{max}$ and USALS conditions are almost the same for the 'freezethaw' samples.

Conclusions and suggestion for further research will be presented in the next chapter.

- 76 -

CHAPTER 6

77 -

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

6.1 CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The influence of time effects on the preconsolidation pressure (p'_c) were examined by means of non-standard oedometer tests like those performed by Tavenas et al. (1977). The results show that the preconsolidation pressure (p'_c) decreases with increasing load duration. This confirms the findings by previous researchers (Bjerrum, 1967; Tavenas and Leroueil, 1977).
- 2. Strain rate parameter $\eta_{0.1}$ defining the relationship between p'_c and strain rate was found to be 3.6 per cent. This is considerably lower than the normal range of $\eta_{0.1}$ (10 to 20 per cent) proposed by Graham et al. (1982).
- 3. Strain-controlled oedometer tests also indicate that p'_{c} is strain-rate dependent. Higher values of p'_{c} are obtained for higher strain rates.
- Disturbance caused by subjecting the samples to 'freeze-thaw' procedures is greater than that caused by 'fully-softened' procedures.
- 5. The low stress strength envelope at 36 kPa $\leq \sigma'_{1c} \leq 117$ kPa is curved rather than straight and rather lower than the envelope proposed by

Baracos et al. (1980).

- 6. The low stress strengths for the undisturbed samples are higher than those measured from samples after 'fully-softened' and 'freezethaw' procedures. The low stress strength envelopes for these samples are parallel to each other.
- 7. Undrained Strength at Large Strains (USALS) (LaRochelle et al., 1974) for the 'undisturbed', 'fully-softened' and 'freeze-thaw' samples are very close to the normally consolidated Coulomb-Mohr parameters, c' and ϕ ' = 4 kPa and 17.5⁰ respectively.

6.2 SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

- 1. The time-dependent aspects of the YLIGHT model on yielding has been investigated in this study, using drained stress-controlled triaxial tests. No firm conclusions can be drawn from the limited number of tests performed in the present study. The author recommends further testing of this type to confirm the shrinkage or degeneration of the yield envelope with time.
- 2. In the present study, the method of inserting intermediate stress points which involves reducing both the load duration and load increment by half of the original values, was used

- 78 -

to increase the sensitivity of the tests around the expected yield stress level. This method deserves further study. An investigation could be conducted with samples stressed along the same stress path. In one test, the sample could be stressed in five increments with oneday load duration to a certain stress level; whereas in the second test, both the load increment and load duration are reduced to half of the original values to reach the same stress level.

- 3. Further strain-rate controlled oedometer tests should be performed on the Winnipeg clay. These tests should be run under a back pressure of approximately 200 kPa in order to ensure complete saturation and freedom from compliance effects in the porewater pressure measurements.
- 4. Standard one-dimensional oedometer tests should be performed on 'fully-softened' and 'freezethaw' samples to further confirm the p'_c values for these samples. Thus the normalized yield stresses and the overconsolidation ratio for these samples can be made more meaningful.
- 5. Strain-rate effects on the undrained shear strengths and p'_{c} require further study. The strain-rate parameters ($\rho_{0.1}$ and $\eta_{0.1}$) values obtained at the same axial strain using the

- 79 -

relaxation and step-changing techniques should be carefully compared.

- 6. Early 'quasi-yield' behaviour was observed for drained stress-controlled tests in the overconsolidated region. Further research into this area is required to understand better the stress-strain behaviour of Winnipeg clay in the overconsolidated region. Also interpretation of yield stresses in the overconsolidated region requires further examination.
- 7. Further attention should be paid to the anisotropy and elasticity of the soil before yield. Further work should be done to examine the Bulk Modulus K and Shear Modulus G of the clay.
- 8. Work using remoulded Winnipeg clay samples is required to develop the classical Critical State Soil Mechanics parameters for this clay, and to allow comparisons with the modified Cam-clay model.

- 81 -

REFERENCES

- Atkinson, J.H. and Bransby, P.L. 1978. "The Mechanics of Soils". pp. 217-220. McGraw-Hill Book Company (U.K.) Limited.
- Baracos, A., Graham, J. and Domaschuk, L. 1980. "Yielding and Rupture in a Lacustrine Clay". Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Vol. 17, pp. 559-573.
- Baracos, A and Graham, J. 1980. "Landslide Problems in Winnipeg". Canadian Geotechnical Society Conference on Slope Stability Problems in Urban Areas, Toronto, Canada.
- Bell, A. 1977. "A Geotechnical Investigation of Post Glacial Esturaine Deposits at Kinnegar, Belfast Lough". Ph.D. Thesis, Queen's University, Belfast, Northern Ireland.
- Bishop, A.W. and Henkel, D.J. 1957. "The Measurements of Soil Properties in the Triaxial Test". London, Edward Arnold Publishers Ltd.
- Bjerrum, L. 1967. "Engineering Geology of Norwegian Normally Consolidated Marine Clays As Related to Settlements of Buildings". Geotechnique, Vol. 17(2), pp. 83-119.
- Campanella, R.G. and Vaid, Y.P. 1974. "Triaxial and Plane Strain Creep Rupture of an Undisturbed Clay". Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Vol. 11, pp. 1-10.
- Conlon, R.J. 1966. "Landslide on the Toulnustouc River, Quebec". Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Volume III, No. 3, pp. 113-144.
- Crawford, C.B. 1964. "Interpretation of the Consolidation Test". ASCE Journal of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering Div., Vol. 90, No. SM5, pp. 87-102.
- Crawford, C.B. 1965. "The Resistance of Soil Structure to Consolidation". Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Volume II, No. 1, pp. 90-115.
- Crooks, J.H.A. 1973. "Laboratory Studies of Belfast Estuarine Deposits". Ph.D. Thesis, Queen's University of Belfast, Northern Ireland.
- Crooks, J.H.A. and Graham, J. 1976. "Geotechnical Properties of the Belfast Estuarine Deposits". Geotechnique, Vol. 26(2), pp. 293-315.

- Domaschuk, L. 1977. "Soil Block Sampler". Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Vol. 14, pp. 262-265.
- Environment Canada 1980 Annual Meteorlogical Summary -Winnipeg, Manitoba. Atmospheric Environment Service.
- Graham, J., Pinkney, R.B., Lew, K.V. and Trainor, P.G.S. 1982. "On Curve-Fitting and Laboratory Data". To be published, Canadian Geotechnical Journal No. 2. 1982.
- Graham, J., Crooks, J.H.A. and Bell, A.L. 1982a. "Time effects on the Stress-Strain Behaviour of Natural Soft Clays". In review.
- Graham, J., Noonan, M.L. and Lew, K.V. 1982b. "Limit States in a Natural Plastic Clay". In review.
- Graham, J. and Houlsby, G.T. 1982. "Anisotropic Elasticity of a Natural Clay". In review.
- Jarrett, P.M. 1967. "Time Dependent Consolidation of a Sensitive Clay". American Society for Testing of Materials, Material Research and Standards, pp. 300-304.
- Kenney, T.C. 1966. "Shearing Resistance of Natural Quick Clays". Ph.D. Thesis, University of Toronto.
- LaRochelle, P., Trak, B., Tavenas, F. and Roy, M. 1974. "Failure of a Test Embankment on a Sensitive Champlain Clay Deposit". Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 11, pp. 142-164.
- Landva, A. 1964. "Equipment for Cutting and Mounting Undisturbed Specimens of Clay in Testing Devices". Norwegian Geotechnical Institute Publications No. 56, Oslo, Norway.
- Lefebvre, G. 1981. "Strength and Slope Stability in Canadian Soft Clay Deposits". Canadian Geotechnical Journal. Vol. 18, pp. 420-442.
- Lew, K.V. 1981. "Yielding Criteria and Limited State in a Winnipeg Clay". M.Sc. Thesis, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba.
- Mesri, G. and Godlewski, P.M. 1977. "Time- and Stress-Compressibility Interrelationship". ASCE Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division, Vol. 103, No. GT5, pp. 417-431.
- Mitchell, R.J. 1970. "On the Yielding and Mechanical Strength of Leda Clays". Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Vol. 7, pp. 2970312.

- Noonan, M.L. 1980. "Limit-State Studies in Winnipeg Clays". M.Sc. Thesis, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba.
- Richardson, A.M. and Whitman, R.V. 1963. "Effect of Strain Rate Upon Undrained Shear Resistance of a Saturated Remoulded Fat Clay". Geotechnique, Vol. 13(4), pp. 310-324.
- Sallfors, G. 1975. "Preconsolidation pressure of Soft, High-Plastic Clays". Doctoral Thesis, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenberg. Sweden, pp. 71-79.
- Skempton, A.W. and Hutchinson, J. 1969. "Stability of Natural Slopes and Embankment Foundations". Seventh International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Mexico City, Mexico, State-of-the-Art Volume, pp. 291-340.
- Tavenas, F. and Leroueil, S. 1977. "The Effects of Stresses and Time on Yielding of Clays". Proceedings, 9th International Conference on S.M.F.E., Tokyo, Japan, Vol. 1, pp. 319-326.
- Tavenas, F., Leroueil, S., LaRochelle, P. and Roy, M. 1978. "Creep Behaviour of an Undisturbed Lightly Overconsolidated Clay". Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Vol. 15, pp. 402-423.
- Tavenas, F., and Leroueil, S. 1979. "Clay Behaviour and the Selection of Design Parameters". Proceedings of the Fifth European Conf. on Soil Mechanics and Found. Eng., Brighton, Vol. 1, pp. 281-292.
- Tavenas, F. 1979. "The Behaviour of Embankments on Clay Foundations". State-of-the-Art Report, Session 3, Proceedings, 32nd Canadian Geotechnical Conference, Quebec City, Canada.
- Wahls, H.E. 1962. "Analysis of Primary and Secondary Consolidation". ASCE Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division, 88(SM6), pp. 207-231.
- Vaid, Y.P., Robertson, P.K. and Campanella, R.G. 1979. "Strain Rate Behaviour of Saint-Jean-Vianney Clay". Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Vol. 16, pp. 34-42.

TABLE 2.1 BASIC SOIL PROPERTIES

Test No.	T401	T402	T403	T404	T405	T406	T407	T408	T409	T410	T411
State			τ	Undistu	rbed				'Ful	ly-Softe	ened'
Test Type	CAD(U)	CAD(U)	CAD	CAD	CAD	CAD	CAU	CAU	CAU	CAD	CAU
Borehole No.	6	6	б	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Block Sample No.	3	3	4	4	4	3	3	1	1	1	1
Depth (m)	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.4	11.4	11.4	8.7	8.7	8.7
Initial Moisture Content (%)	55.1	55.9	56.5	57.8	59.3	57.5	59.7	58.1	50.2	51.3	51.4
Final Moisture Content (%)	45.8	50.9	61.1	53.6	58.4	61.8	63.0	60.8	53.8	63.1	52.6
Liquid Limit (%)	72.2	80.4	74.8	80.2	74.5	76.8	81.4	77.8	70.0	72.0	69.2
Plastic Limit (%)	26.9	29.2	25.2	27.6	26.3	24.4	28.4	26.5	25.6	26.3	26.9
Plasticity Index (%)	45.3	51,2	49.6	52.6	48.2	52.4	53.0	51.3	44.4	45.7	42.3
Clay Content (%)	66.5	66.0	65.0	66.0	67.0	66.0	71.0	68.5	63.5	61.0	66.0
Specific Gravity	2.77	2.80	_	-	-		2.93	2.74	2.80	-	-
Unit Weight (kN/m ³)	16.6	16.6	16.5	16.5	16.5	16.6	16.5	16.4	17.2	16.9	17.0
Sensitivity	3.0	2.85	2.83	2.81	2.96	3.19	3.19	2.95	2.84	2.96	2.60

- Not obtained for this test

- 84

T.

 TABLE 2.2
 BASIC SOIL PROPERTIES

Test No.	T412	T413	T414	T415	T416	T417	T418	T419	T420	T421	T422
State	'Ful	ly-Softe	ened'	'Un	ndistur	bed'		'F:	reeze-Tl	haw'	
Test Type	CAD	CAU	CAD	CAD	CAU	CAD	CAU	CAD	CAU	CAD	CAU
Borehole No.	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Block Sample No.	1	2	2	4	4	4	2	2	2	1	1
Depth (m)	8.7	8.7	8.8	11.4	11.4	11.4	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8
Initial Moisture Content (%)	50.9	52.2	50.2	59.7	58.8	57.4	49.5	48.8	49.4	51.2	51.4
Final Moisture Content (%)	54.2	56.1	54.7	58.9	58.9	65.9	47.1	43.3	42.5	42.9	40.5
Liquid Limit (%)	71.8	80.4		83.8	87.3	84.7	76.6		_		82.3
Plastic Limit (%)	24.9	23.7		27.9	28.7	26.8	27.0	-	_	-	25.0
Plasticity Index (%)	46.9	56.7	_	55.9	58.6	57.9	49.6	-		_	57.3
Clay Content (%)	61.0	65.0	64.0	68.0	66.0	68.0		-	_	-	-
Specific Gravity	-	2.811	-		-	2.760		-	**************************************	-	
Unit Weight (kN/m ³)	17.0	16.9	17.1	16.3	16.4	16.3	17.2	17.3	17.2	17.1	17.0
Sensitivity	2.78	2.75	2.47	2.70	3.35	2.82	2.24	2.96	-	-	_

- Not obtained for this test

- 85 -

TABLE 2.3TEMPERATURE, DURATION AND NUMBER OF FREEZE-
THAW CYCLES FOR 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES

Sample Number	T418	T419	T420	T421	T422
Freezing Temperature (^O C)	- 5	- 5	- 5	- 25	- 25
Thawing Temperature (^O C)	25	25	25	20	20
Average Freezing Duration (Hrs)	12	12	12	48	48
Average Thawing Duration (Hrs)	12	12	12	48	48
Number of Freezing and Thaw Cycles	5	5	5	6	6

- 86 -

Test No.	T401	T402	T403	T404	T405	T406	T407	T411 ⁺	T422 ⁺⁺
p <mark>'</mark> * (kPa)	118.4	118.4	118.4	118.4	118.4	117.0	117.0	89.0	92.9
σ' (kPa)	118.7	118.9	118.9	118.8	117.8	116.9	117.8	88.8	93.0
σ' _{3c} (kPa)	77.2	77.2	77.3	77.2	76.9	75.9	76.7	57.4	60.2
p'** (kPa)	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	400	400
σ¦c/p'o	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.99	1.0	1.01	1.0	1.0
p'/o'lc	2.03	2.03	2.03	2.03	2.05	2.06	2.05	4.50	4.30
σ' _{3c} /σ'1c	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65
ε _{1c} (%)	1.56	1.51	1.50	1.25	1.47	1.48	0.95	3.82	10.58
ε _{3c} (%)	0.22	0.28	0.12	0.36	0.24	0.36	0.23	1.15	1.62
ε _{vc} (%)	2.00	2.06	1.73	1.98	1.96	2.20	1.42	6.13	13.82
$\gamma^{\#}$ (kN/m ³)	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	16.7	17.0

TABLE 3.1 TRIAXIAL CONSOLIDATION RESULTS FOR RESTRESSING TO IN-SITU STRESSES

* Based on GWT at 3.0 meters

** Based on one dimensional oedometer tests by Lew (1981)

+ 'Fully-Softened' sample

++ 'Freeze-Thaw' sample

γ_{sat} for calculating p'

- 87

1

Test No.	T401	T402 ⁰	T403 ⁺	T404 ⁺⁰	T405 ⁺	T406 ⁺⁰	T407 ⁺⁺	T408	T415 ⁺	T416	T417 ⁺
p '* (kPa)	118.4	118.4	118.4	118.4	118.4	117.0	117.0	117.0	117.0	117.0	117.0
σ <mark>ί</mark> ς (kPa)	482.4	326.8	272.7	268.9	122.1	120.6	117.8	78.0	115.3	39.4	35,4
σ <mark>'</mark> σ' (kPa)	441.4	285.4	128.7	128.7	43.8	39.8	76.7	50.7	31.9	26.0	3.7
σ' _{3c} /σ' _{1c}	0.91	0.87	0.47	0.48	0.36	0.33	0.65	0.65	0.28	0.66	0.10
ε _{1c} (%)	6.84	4.23	6.33	7.77	2.31	2.00	0.95	0.47	2.06	-0.36	0.61
ε _{3c} (%)	3.15	1.71	-1.06	-1.30	-0.13	-0.19	0.23	0.10	-0.63	-0.22	-1.64
ε _{vc} (%)	13.14	7.66	4.22	5.17	1.77	1.62	1.42	0.67	0.80	-0.80	-2.67
$\gamma^{\#}$ (kN/m ³)	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5

TABLE 3.2 TRIAXIAL CONSOLIDATION RESULTS AT THE END OF STRESS-CONTROLLED TESTING FOR UNDISTURBED SAMPLES

* Based on GWT at 3.0 meters

+ Sample failed in drained shear at the value shown

++ Sample consolidated to in-situ stresses only

o Sample with 5 days load increment duration

$\gamma_{\mbox{sat}}$ for calculating $p_{\mbox{o}}'$

- 88

		'Fı	ully-Soft	'Freeze-And-Thaw' Samples							
Test No.	T409	T410+	T411++	T412 ⁺	T413	T414+	T418	T419+	T420	T421+	T422++
p'* (kPa)	89.0	89.0	89.0	89.0	89.0	90.2	93.4	94.2	93.4	92.9	92.9
σ¦c (kPa)	29.7	57.5	88.8	130.2	59.5	96.5	31.6	33.8	61.9	92.5	93.0
σ'_{3c} (kPa)	19.3	5.4	57.4	36.9	38.5	19.8	20.6	3.2	40.6	24.5	60.2
σ' ₃ c ^{/σ} '1c	0.65	0.09	0.65	0.28	0.65	0.21	0.65	0.09	0.66	0.26	0.65
ε _{lc} (%)	1.54	3.651	3.82	6.21	2.70	4.40	4.30	7.66	7.13	12.24	10.58
ε _{3c} (%)	-0.37	-0.573	1.15	-0.18	0.82	-0.07	0.90	1.37	1.57	0.18	1.62
ε _{vc} (%)	0.80	2.51	6,13	5.85	4.35	4.26	6.09	10.41	10.26	12.60	13.82
$\gamma^{\#}$ (kN/m ³)	16.7	16.7	16.7	16.7	16.7	16.7	17.2	17.3	17.2	17.0	17.0

TABLE 3.3 TRIAXIAL CONSOLIDATION RESULTS AT THE END OF STRESS-CONTROLLED TESTING FOR 'FULLY-SOFTENED' AND 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES

* Based on GWT at 3.0 meters

- + Sample failed in drained shear at the value shown
- ++ Sample consolidated to in-situ stresses only

 $^{\#}$ γ_{sat} for calculating p_{0}^{\prime}

- 89

1
TABLE 3.4	YIELD STRESSES FROM DIFFERENT YIELD CRITERIA	
	FOR UNDISTURBED SAMPLES CONSOLIDATED TO IN-SITU STRESS LEV	ΕL

	Test No.	T401	T402*	T403	T404*	T405	T406*
	σ¦ vs ε _l	-	-	136.0	146.0	69.9	66.8
leter	$(\sigma_1^{-}\sigma_3)$ vs ϵ_1	-	-	136.5	145.5	69.9	66.8
erim	σ'oct vs ε _v	234.0	177.3	142.0	147.5	69.9	66.8
ted F	σ' vs ε ₃	211.8	177.3	_	-	69.9	66.8
Plot1	(σ ₁ -σ ₃) vs ε	-	.	134.9	142.0	69.9	66.8
	W _T vs LSSV	217.5	187.7	132.9	142.0	69.9	66.8

- Not obtained for this test

* Sample with 5 day load increment duration

Note: The yield (or limit-state) stresses presented in this table have been put in terms of σ'_{oct} along the stress path for the test.

Sample	C401	C402	C403	C404	C405	C406					
Borehole No.	6	6	6	6	6	6					
Block Sample No.	3	3	3	3	3	3					
Depth (m)	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.6					
Initial Moisture Content (%)	55.1	55.5	56.6	54.9	55.7	57.4					
Initial Void Ratio ^e i	1.53	1.54	1.58	1.53	1.55	1.60					
Axial Pressure	480.4	377.9	280.4	210.2	150.0	75.0					
^ε VR(0.1) [*]	6.15	3.96	2.57	1.69	0.98	0.17					
^ε VR(1.0) [*]	8.58	6.04	3.36	1.89	1.21	0.18					
^ε VR(10.0) [*]	9.32	6.96	3.90	2.07	1.27	0.15					
^e VR(100.0) [*]	9.87	7.54	4.34	2.24	1.34	0.16					
Final Moisture Content (%)	46.6	49.1	52.5	53.2	55.0	62.4					
Final Voids Ratio ^e f	1.30	1.37	1.46	1.48	1.53	1.74					
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *											

TABLE 3.5RESULTS FOR NON-STANDARD OEDOMETER TESTS

 G_{S} is assumed to be 2.78

1.0 day, 10.0 day and 100 days respectively.

TABLE 3.6RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN p' AND LOAD DURATION
FOR THE NON-STANDARD OEDOMETER TESTS

Criteria		ε _{VR} ν	sσ'v		ε _{VR} vs	ε _{VR} vs logσ'		
Load Duration (Hrs)	2.4	24	240	2400	2.4	24	240	2400
p' (kPa)	249	243	233	225		250	239	230

- 92

ŧ

TABLE 3.7 RELATIONSHIP AMONG c_v , C_α , C_c , C_α/C_c and time

FOR THE NON-STANDARD OEDOMETER TESTS

		Load Duration = 24 Hrs			Lo	Load Duration = 240 Hrs			Load Duration = 2400 Hrs			'End of Primary'		
Axia1 Pressure (kPa)	t ₅₀ (s)	$c_v \ge 10^{-4}$ (cm ² /s)	C _α (%)	С _с	c _α /c _c	C _α (%)	С _с	c _α /c _c	C _α (%)	С _с	C _α /C _c	C _α (%)	С _с	c _α /c _c
150.0	1080	2.95	0.38	0.088	0.043	0.21	0.095	0.022	0.21	0.1	0.021	0.21	0.087	0.024
210.2	600	5.22	0.41	0.12	0.034	0.30	0.14	0.021	0.30	0.16	0.019	0.30	0.087	0.035
280.4	1980	1.55	1.92	0.30	0.064	1.00	0.37	0.027	1.00	0.43	0.023	1.00	0.32	0.031
377.9	5100	0.58	3.42	0.53	0.065	1.61	0.60	0.027	1.61	0.63	0.026	1.61	0.59	0.027
480.4	3900	0.71	3.01	0.62	0.049	1.20	0.58	0.021	1.20	0.57	0.021	1.20	0.63	0.019

1

93 -

Sample	C407	C408	C409	C410
Borehole No.	6	6	6	6
Block Sample No.	3	3	3	3
Depth (m)	11.4	11.4	11.4	11.4
Initial Moisture Content (%)	57.7	58.0	56.9	55.6
Strain Rate (mm/min)	0.0010	0.0036	0.0002	0.0006
p'* (kPa)	396	383	285	328

* p_{C}^{\prime} is defined using the $\epsilon_{\text{VR}}^{}$ vs $\sigma_{\text{V}}^{\prime}$ plot

Test No.	T407	T408	T415	T416	T417
p'* (kPa)	117.0	117.0	117.0	117.0	117.0
σ¦c (kPa)	117.8	78.0	78.0	39.4	38.8
σ' _{3c} (kPa)	76.7	50.7	50.8	26.0	25.3
p'** (kPa)	241	241	241	241	241
σic/p'	1.01	0.67	0.67	0.34	0.33
p'o'ic	2.05	3.09	3.09	6.12	6.21
σ'3c/σ'1c	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.66	0.65
ε _{1c} (%)	0.95	0.47	0.67	-0.36	-0.076
ε _{3c} (%)	0.23	0.10	0.05	-0.22	-0.14
ε _{vc} (%)	1.42	0.67	0.77	-0.80	-0.36
$\gamma^{\#}$ (kN/m ³)	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5

TABLE 4.1 TRIAXIAL RECONSOLIDATION RESULTS FOR LOW STRESS TESTS (UNDISTURBED SAMPLES)

* Based on GWT at 3.0 meters

** Based on one-dimensional oedometer tests by Lew (1981)

 $^{\#}$ γ_{sat} for calculating p_{0}^{\prime}

r

95 -

		'FUL	LY-SOFTE	NED' SAM	PLES			'FREEZE	-AND-THAW	' SAMPLE	ES
Test No.	T409	T410	T411	T412	T413	T414	T418	T419	T420	T421	T422
p'* (kPa)	89.0	89.0	89.0	89.0	89.0	89.0	93.4	94.2	93.4	92.9	92.9
σ¦c ^(kPa)	29.7	29.6	88.8	89.1	59.5	59.5	31.6	32.1	61.9	62.0	93.0
σ'_{3c} (kPa)	19.3	19.1	57.4	57.9	38.5	38.5	20.6	21.0	40.6	40.2	60.2
p'** (kPa)	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400
σ _{1c} /p'	0.33	0.33	1.0	1.0	0.67	0.67	0.34	0.34	0.66	0.67	1.0
p'/ơi	13.5	13.5	4.50	4.50	6.72	6.72	12.7	12.5	6.46	6.45	4.30
σ' ₃ c ^{/σ} ic	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65
ε _{1c} (%)	1.54	1.22	3.81	3.64	2.70	2.53	4.30	6.13	7.28	8.02	10.58
ε _{3c} (%)	0.54	0.38	1.16	1.05	0.83	0.78	0.89	0.96	1.50	1.63	1.62
ε _{vc} (%)	2.62	1.98	6.13	5.75	4.35	4.10	6.06	8.04	10.26	11.28	13.82
$\gamma^{\#}$ (kN/m ³)	16.7	16.7	16.7	16.7	16.7	16.7	17.2	17.3	17.2	17.0	17.0

TABLE 4.2 TRIAXIAL RECONSOLIDATION RESULTS FOR LOW STRESS TESTS ('FULLY-

SOFTENED' AND 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES)

* Based on GWT at 3.0 meters

** Based on p_{C}^{\prime} vs Depth - Figure 6.7 in Lew's thesis (1981)

γ_{sat} for calculating p'_o

96

TABLE 4.3 QUASI-YIELD STRESSES FROM DIFFERENT YIELD CRITERIA FOR LOW STRESS TESTS

		'Undis	turbed'	'Fu	11y-Soft	ened'	'Freeze-Thaw'		
	Test No.	T415	T417	T410	T412	T414	T419	T421	
ar a	σ¦ vs ε _l	58.8		32.2	75.2	63.9*		45.0	
Perimete	$(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ε_1	58.5		32.0	77.0	65.5*	23.0	44.6	
	σ'oct ^{vs ε} v	-	24.9		_	-	-	-	
tted	σ'z vs ε _z	-	25.1	32.0	_	58.2		46.1	
Plotted Per	$(\sigma_1^{-}\sigma_3^{-})$ vs e	57.8	23.3	33.0	79.0	56.2	22.8	45.0	
	W _T vs LSSV	-	25.0	30.8	73.8	54.0	23.5	45.5	

* Not included in averaging

- Not obtained for this test

Note: The yield (or limit-state) stresses presented in this table have been put in terms of $(\sigma_1^{-}\sigma_3)$ along the stress path for the test.

- 97

Ł

SUMMARY OF UNDRAINED SHEAR TEST RESULTS TABLE 4.4

		Undist	urbed		Ful	ly-Soft	ened	Fre	eze-Tha	IW
Test Number	T416	T402	T407	T408	T409	T411	T413	T418	T420	T422
Test Type	CAU	CAD(U)	CAU	CAU	CAU	CAU	CAU	CAU	CAU	CAU
Borehole Number	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Block Sample Number	4	3	3	1	1	1	2	2	2	1 ·
Depth (m)	11.4	11.6	11.4	11.4	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.8	8.8	8.8
p' [*] (kPa)	117.0	118.4	117.0	117.0	89.0	89.0	89.0	93.4	93.4	92.9
σ¦c (kPa)	39.4	326.8	117.8	78.0	29.7	88.8	59.5	31.6	61.9	93.0
σ' ₃ c ^{/σ} ' ₁ c	0.66	0.87	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.66	0.65
σ¦c/p'o	0.34	2.76	1.01	0.67	0.33	1.0	0.67	0.34	0.66	1.00
σ¦/p;**	0.16	1.36	0.49	0.32	0.08	0.22	0.15	0.08	0.15	0.23
$(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2_{max}$ (kPa)	35.9	86.0	49.8	44.8	28.4	47.0	33.9	16,4	27.3	37.9
$(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2\sigma_{1c}$ max	0.91	0.26	0.42	0.57	0.96	0.53	0.57	0.52	0.44	0.41
σ'_{oct} at $(\sigma_1^{-}\sigma_3^{-})/2_{max}$ (kPa)	41.2	230.0	80.8	59.6	28.7	70.1	41.5	19.4	39.5	59.4
ϵ_1 at $(\sigma_1^{-}\sigma_3^{-})/2_{max}$ (%)	1.99	2.58	0.61	1.68	2.94	2.48	1.92	3.14	2.71	5.22
(^σ 1/ ^σ 3) _{max}	5.45	2.27	3.09	4.24	9,39	3,61	4.81	4.92	3,62	3.27
ϵ_1 at $(\sigma'_1/\sigma'_3)_{max}$ (%)	1.30	4.57	0.61	1.39	1.73	1.88	1.63	3.03	2.71	4.35
E ₅₀ (MPa)	7.8	34.2	18.7	11.8	5.6	8.7	8.8	9.2	11.1	1.43
$\frac{1}{E_{50}/(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2}$ max	215.4	398	375.6	197.7	196.2	185.1	259.8	575.1	407.1	377.5
Af	0.15	0.84	0.47	0.32	0.18	0.29	0.38	0.53	0.56	0.52
B (%)	98	97	98	100	99	98	99	98	98	97
$m = \Delta u / \Delta \sigma_{oct}$	1.54	2.0	1.61	1.47	1.43	1.72	1.92	1.67	1.82	1.67
$\rho_{0.1}$ at ϵ_{ρ} (%)	5.91	9.57	6.12	7.09	7.44	7.98	8.04	10.0	7.57	7.17
ε _ρ (%)	2.53	3.52	1.22	2.26	3.40	3.02	1.86	2.66	3.72	5.93
Initial Strain Rate ε (%/hr)	0.92	0,92	0.93	0.93	0.92	0.93	0.92	0.98	1.02	1.09
γ [#] (kN/m ³)	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	16.7	16.7	16.7	17.2	17.2	17.0

* Based on GWT at 3 meters at $\gamma_{sat} = 17.5 \text{ kN/m}^3$ ** $p'_c = 241 \text{ kPa}$ for samples at 11.4 - 11.6 meters $p'_c = 400 \text{ kPa}$ for samples at 8.7 - 8.8 meters # γ_{sat} for calculating p'_o

State	UND.+	F.S.+	F.T. ⁺	UND.+	F.S.+	F.T.+	UND.+	F.S.+	F.T.+
σ¦c/p'o	0.34	0.33	0.34	0.67	0.67	0.66	1.01	1.00	1.00
Test No.	T416	T409	T418	T408	T413	T420	T407	T411	T422
A _f	0.15	0.18	0.53	0.32	0.38	0.56	0.47**	0.29	0.52
A _f * (%)	100	120	353	100	119	175	100	61.7	111
m	1.54	1.43	1.67	1.47	1.92	1.82	1.61	1.72	1.67
m* (%)	100	92.9	108	100	131	124	100	107	104

TABLE 4.5 COMPARISON OF A f AND m VALUES FOR OVERCONSOLIDATED 'UNDISTURBED', 'FULLY-SOFTENED' AND 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES

+ UND., F.S. and F.T. stands for 'Undisturbed', 'Fully-Softened' and 'Freeze-Thaw' samples respectively

* Percentage value of A_f referred to corresponding A_f for undisturbed sample at same σ'_{1c}

** This value appears high. Similar results from Lew (1981) and Noonan (1980) suggests A_f for $\sigma'_{1c} = p'_{0}$ is 0.35

- 99 -

TABLE 4.6VALUES OF STRAIN RATE PARAMETER POLIFOR VARIOUSAXIAL STRAINS FROM RELAXATION TESTSFOR VARIOUS

							'Undist	urbed'					
Sample			T402			Т4	07	T4	08			T416	<u> </u>
ε ₁ (%)		3.52	7.77	10.93		1.	22	2.	26		2.52	4.91	8.63
ρ _{0.1} ^{@ ε} 1 (%)		9.6	3.0	2.9		6	.1	7	.1		5.9	2.5	2.9
	<u></u>				<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	<u> </u>							
				t	Fully-	Soften	ed'				'Fı	reeze-T	naw'
Sample	<u></u>	T409	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u></u>	Τ4	-11			T413		T418	T420	T422
ε ₁ (%)	3.41	6.82	10.26	3.02	5.99	8.30	12.1	1.86	3.82	8.84	2.66	3.72	5.93
ρ _{0.1} [@] ε ₁ (%)	7.4	4.1	2.4	8.0	3.2	3.1	2.2	8.0	3.1	4.2	10.0	7.6	7.2

100

ŧ

		'Undi	sturbed	t	'Fu	lly-Sof	tened'	' F	'Freeze-Thaw'			
		⁰ 0.1 a	t ε ₁ (%))	0 ^م	.1 at ε_1	1 (%)	⁰ 0.	ρ _{0.1} at ε ₁ (%)			
ε ₁ (%)	T402	T407	T408	T416	T409	T411	T413	T418	T420	T422		
4	11.5	-	_	-			_	-				
5		4.1*	11.0	_	10.5	6.6		-	-	-		
6	10.3	6.3				-	6.3	••	8.9			
8	4.3	_	6.8	7.7	-	_		9.9	-	-		
9		_	-	_	10.3		-	-	-	-		
10	_	6.1	-				_		5.1	6.7		
11	_	_	-	5.8	<u> </u>	9.9		, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	_			
12		5.9	5.9	_		_	6.3	10.9**		-		

TABLE 4.7VALUES OF STRAIN RATE PARAMETER
AXIAL STRAINS FROM STEP-CHANGING TESTSFOR VARIOUS

- Not included at this strain

* This value appears low

****** This value appears high

- 101

		'Und	isturbed'		'Ful	1y-Soft	ened'	'Fı	'Freeze-Thaw'		
Sample No.	T402	T407	T408	T416	T409	T411	T413	T418	T420	T422	
$(\sigma_1^{-\sigma_3})/2\sigma_{1c}'$	0.15	0.26	0.29	0.58	0.50	0.30	0.34	0.36	0.303	0.25	
σ1'σ3	1.66	1.90	1.85	2.1	2.20	1.95	2.07	0.26	2.25	1.95	
Δu/σ¦c	0.41	0.42	-0.085	-0.36	-0.19	0.0	-0.02	0.22	0.21	0.14	
Depth (m)	11.6	11.4	11.4	11.4	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.8	8.8	8.8	
p'* (kPa)	118.4	117.0	117.0	117.0	89.0	89.0	89.0	93.4	93.4	92.9	
σ'_{1c} (kPa)	326.8	117.8	78.0	39.4	29.7	88.8	59.5	31.6	61.9	93.0	
$\sigma'_{3c}/\sigma'_{1c}$	0.87	0.65	0.65	0.66	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.66	0.65	
σ¦c/po	2.76	1.01	0.67	0.34	0.33	1.00	0.67	0.34	0.66	1.00	
σ'oct (kPa)	182.9	89.2	72.0	57.5	35.2	74.0	52.1	21.8	42.8	63.4	
ν		2.59	2.56	2.62	2.43	2.40	2.45	2.20	2.10	2.07	
$\gamma^{\#}$ (kN/m ³)	17.5	17.5	17.5	17.5	16.7	16.7	16.7	17.2	17.2	17.0	

TABLE 4.8 END OF TEST VALUES FOR UNDRAINED SHEAR TESTS

* Based on GWT at 3.0 meters

$\gamma_{\mbox{sat}}$ for calculating $p_{\mbox{o}}'$

- 102

Т

						·								
Test No.	T401	L		T402			T403			T404			T405	
p'* (kPa)	118.	4		118.4			118.4	· · · · ·		118.4			118.4	
ei	1.50)		1.52			1.54			1.57			1.61	
νi	2.50)		2.52			2.54			2.57			2.61	
σ¦c/p'o	0.34 0.72	2 1.0	0.34	0.67	1.00	0.33	0.67	1.0	0.34	0.67	1.0	0.34	0.67	0.99
ν	2.50 2.4	7 2.4	5 2.52	2.49	2.47	2.55	2.52	2.49	2.58	2,55	2.52	2.62	2.59	2.56
σ'oct (kPa)	30.7 63.4	1 91.	0 30.4	60.7	91.1	30.4	61.2	91.2	31.3	60.9	91.1	31.1	60.4	90.5
Test No.		T406			T407			T408		T41	5	T416		T417
p'* (kPa)		117.0			117.0		1	L17.0		117.	0	117.0)	117.0
e _i		1.56			1.62			1.58		1.62	2	1.60		1.56
vi	-	2.56			2.62			2.58		2.6	2	2.60		2.56
σ _{1c} /p _o	0.33	0.66	1.00	0.34	0.50	1.01	0.3	3 0.67	7 0	.33 (0.67	0.34		0.33
ν	2.56	2.53	2.51	2.63	2.62	2.59	2.5	9 2.56	5 2	2.63	2.60	2.62		2.57
σ'oct (kPa)	29.5	59.4	89.6	30.2	45.0	90.4	29.	7 59.8	3 3	30.1	59.9	30.5		29.8

TABLE 5.1RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SPECIFIC VOLUME (ν) AND σ'_{oct} DURING SAMPLERECONSOLIDATION FOR UNDISTURBED SAMPLES TAKEN FROM 11.6 m

15 H.

* Based on GWT at 3.0 meters depth

103

Т

TABLE 5.2RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SPECIFIC VOLUME (v) AND
Oct'octDURING RECONSOLIDATION FOR SAMPLES TAKEN FROM 8.2 AND 8.7 m

' UNDISTURBED' SAMPLES AT 8.2 m (NOONAN, 1980)

Test No.		T201			T202		· · · · · · · · ·	T203	
p'* (kPa)		91.0			91.0			91.0	
ei		1.52			1.54			1.54	
vi		2.52			2.54			2.54	
σlc/p'	0.49	0.71	1.0	0.49	0.71	1.0	0.48	0.72	1.0
ν	2.51	2,50	2.48	2.52	2.51	2,49	2.58	2.56	2.54
σ' (kPa)	34.5	49.7	69.9	34.4	49.9	69.8	34.3	49.9	70.1

'FULLY-SOFTENED' SAMPLES AT 8.7 m

Test No.	T409	T410		T411			T412		T4	13	T4	14
p'* (kPa)	89.0	89.0	89.0		89.0			89	0.0	89.0		
ei	1.50	1.54		1.56			1.53		1.	.56	1.	.50
ν _i	2.50	2.54		2.56			2.53		2.	.56	2.	.50
σ¦c ^{/p} o	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.67	1.0	0.33	0.67	1.0	0.33	0.67	0.33	0.66
ν	2.43	2.49	2,49	2.44	2.40	2:47	2.42	2.39	2.50	2.45	2.45	2.40
σ' (kPa)	22.8	22.6	22.6	45.9	67.9	22.7	45.3	68.3	22.8	45.5	23.1	45.5

'FREEZE-AND-THAW' SAMPLES AT 8.7 m

Test No.	T418	T419	T42 0	T421	T422		
p'* (kPa)	93.4	94.2	93.4	92.9	92.9		
e _i	1.35	1.33	1.35	1.39	1.40		
ν _i	2.35	2.33	2.35	2.39	2.40		
σ'ic ^{/p} 'o	0.34	0.34	0.34 0.66	0.35 0.67	0.34 0.67 1.0		
ν	2.20	2.14	2.17 2.10	2.21 2.12	2.21 2.12 2.07		
σ' (kPa)	24.3	24.7	24.2 47.7	24.7 47.5	24.3 47.5 71.1		

* Based on GWT at 3.0 meters

- 105 -



1.1

FIG. 1.1 SITE PLAN AND LOCATION OF BOREHOLES 6





106

E

Т



I.

107

t



FIG. 1.3 NORMALIZED YIELD ENVELOPE FOR WINNIPEG CLAY



FIG. 1.4 AVERAGE BOREHOLE LOG INFORMATION, UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA CAMPUS



FIG. 2.1 SKETCH OF NEW BASE FOR OEDOMETER TO PERMIT POREWATER PRESSURE MEASUREMENT DURING CRS TESTS

109

ŧ





FIG. 2.3 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLE T421

.

110

Т

FIG. 2.2 CRS OEDOMETER SET-UP



FIG. 3.1 PROPOSED STRESS PATHS FOR TESTS T401 TO T406



FIG. 3.2 STRESS PATHS FOLLOWED BY UNDISTURBED SAMPLES DURING TEST PROGRAM

112

ł



FIG. 3.3 YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_{oct} vs ϵ_v ; T401, T402

- 114 -



YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_3 vs ϵ_3 ; T401, T402 FIG. 3.4



- 116 -





.....









FIG. 3.8 YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ_1 ; T403, T404



FIG. 3.9 YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ ; T403, T404



FIG. 3.10 YIELD DETERMINATION, W_T vs LSSV; T403, T404





I

- 123 -





FIG. 3.14 YIELD AND MAXIMUM DEVIATOR STRESSES FOR UNDISTURBED SAMPLES

124

1

T.

- 125 -



FIG. 3.15 NON-STANDARD OEDOMETER TESTS, ϵ_{VR} vs σ_{V}^{*}


- 127 -



FIG. 3.17 CONSOLIDATION TIME CURVES; C403 TO C406

DIAL READING (MM)



FIG. 3.18 CONSOLIDATION TIME CURVES; C401 TO C402

- 128 -



FIG. 3.19 GRAPH OF c_v , C_c , C_{α} , C_{α}/C_c , ϵ_{VR} vs $\log \sigma_V^*$ FOR NON-STANDARD OEDOMETER TESTS AT 24 HOUR LOAD DURATION

Т

Т







.31

- 132 -





FIG. 4.1 STRESS APTHS FOLLOWED BY 'FULLY-SOFTENED' SAMPLES DURING TEST PROGRAM

 $(\sigma_1' + 2\sigma_3') / 3 \text{ kPa}$

133 -









FIG. 4.5 YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ ; T415, T417

- 136 -



.6 YIELD DETERMINATION, $\sigma'_{oct} vs \epsilon_v; T417$



137

Т

- 138 -



FIG. 4.8 YIELD DETERMINATION, W_{T} vs LSSV; T417

in the train The train



FIG. 4.9 YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_1 vs ε_1 ; T410, T412, T414

- 140 -



FIG. 4.10 YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ_1 ; T410, T412, T414 .

- 141 -



FIG. 4.11 YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ ; T410, T412, T414

- 142 -



FIG. 4.12 YIELD DETERMINATION, σ'_3 vs ε_3 ; T410, T412, T414

- 143 -



FIG. 4.13 YIELD DETERMINATION, W_{T} vs LSSV; T410, T412, T414

. . .



144 -

- 145 -



FIG. 4.16 YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ε_1 ; T419, T421



FIG. 4.17 YIELD DETERMINATION, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ vs ϵ ; T419, T421

1, 1982, FIG 4.18

- 147 -





91973 -



-1

FIG. 4.19 UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T402



FIG. 4.20 UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T407

- 150 -



FIG. 4.21 UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T408 a 64 (16)

- 151 -



FIG. 4.22 UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T416 395

- 152 -



FIG. 4.23 UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T411

- 153 -



FIG. 4.24 UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T413

- 154 -



FIG. 4.25 UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T409

× .

- 155 -



PRESSURE RESULTS, T422

- 156 -



FIG. 4.27 UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T420

- 157 -



FIG. 4.28 UNDRAINED STRESS-STRAIN-POREWATER PRESSURE RESULTS, T418



FIG. 4.29 EFFECTIVE STRESS PATHS FOR UNDISTURBED SAMPLES FROM UNDRAINED TESTS

158

Т



FIG. 4.30 YIELD AND MAXIMUM DEVIATOR STRESS FOR 'FULLY-SOFTENED' AND 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES

- 159

ł.



FIG. 4.31 NORMALIZED LOW STRESS TEST RESULTS

160

Т

τ



FIG. 4.32 LOW STRESS STRENGTHS AND USALS FOR UNDISTURBED SAMPLES

.


FIG. 4.33 LOW STRESS STRENGTHS AND USALS FOR 'FULLY-SOFTENED' AND 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES

I.



FIG. 4.34 GRAPH OF POREWATER PRESSURE PARAMETER A_f vs $1/\sigma_{1c}$ FOR WINNIPEG CLAY

163



- 165 -



FIG. 4.36 DEPENDENCE OF POREWATER PRESSURE PARAMETER A_f ON STRESS LEVELS AND STRESS RATIO DURING CONSOLIDATION

- 166 -



- 167 -



 $\Delta u/\sigma_{1c}$ vs $\Delta \sigma_{oct}/\sigma_{1c}$; T407, T408





FIG. 4.40 POREWATER PRESSURE BEHAVIOUR, $\Delta u/\sigma_{1c}$ vs $\Delta \sigma_{oct}/\sigma_{1c}$; T411, T413

- 169 -

- 170 -



FIG. 4.41 POREWATER PRESSURE BEHAVIOUR, $\Delta u/\sigma'_{1c}$ vs $\Delta \sigma_{oct}/\sigma'_{1c}$; T418, T420

- 171 -





FIG. 4.43 RELATIVE STIFFNESS E_{50}/s_u vs OVERCONSOLIDATION RATIO





FIG. 5.1 NORMALIZED YIELD STRESSES FOR SAMPLES T401 TO T406

- 174

÷

- 175 -



FIG. 5.2 GRAPH OF SPECIFIC VOLUME V vs o'oct

- 176 -



SPECIFIC VOLUME V

FIG. 5.3 GRAPH OF SPECIFIC VOLUME V vs logo oct

- 177 -



5-3 5-4

5-5

FIG. 5.4 TYPICAL CLAY STRUCTURE FOR 'FULLY-SOFTENED' SAMPLES AFTER FAILURE, T410



FIG. 5.5 TYPICAL CLAY STRUCTURE FOR 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES AFTER FAILURE, T422



FIG. 5.6 TYPICAL FAILURE PLANE FOR 'FULLY-SOFTENED' SAMPLES, T409



FIG. 5.7 TYPICAL FAILURE PLANE FOR 'FREEZE-THAW' SAMPLES, T421

- 178 -

- 179 -



Fig. 5.8 The Relationship Between Strain Rate and Plasticity Index

APPENDIX I

TRIAXIAL TEST RESULTS

- 181 -

UNIVERSITY OF MANITORS SOIL RECRANICS LABORATORY

SAMPLE NO. = P 402 HOLF NO. = 6 DEPTH = 11.48 HETRES TO 11.66 HETRES

CAMPLE HEIGHT AFTER CONSOLIDATION = 13.006 CENTIMETRES CAMPLE VOLUME AFTER CONSOLIDATION = 564.330 CUBIC CENTIMETRES CAMPLE AREA AFTER CONSOLIDATION = 43.390 SQUARE CENTIMETRES

 CONSTANT LOID
 =
 14.06 N .

 PROVING RING PICTOR
 =
 4.1560 N ./DIV

 PTSTON ARPA
 =
 5.0600 SQUARE CENTIMETRES

 TWITIAL DIAL READING
 =
 1416.40 DIVISIONS

CHEAR TEST RESILES CTARE 19810730 END 19810803

CONSOLIDATED INDRAINED TRIAXIAL TEST

φŢ	TINE	NTSPI NTAL RDG	PPING DIAL RDG	PORE Press Kpa	PEP CPNT STRAIN	PPPPCT Sigiai KPA	EPFECT SIGMA3 KPA	HALF DEV STRESS KPA	DEV STRESS KPA	EFFECT OCT STRESS KPA	RATIO OF EPF SIGMA1 EPP SIFEA3	Å
•	1115	1316 1	10# 5	243 H	0 00	325.0	283.1	21.0	41.9	297-1	1.148	0000000
2	1125	1409-0	137.5	264.4	0.06	335.5	262.0	36.8	73.5	286.5	1.281	0.67
2	1175	1397.5	163.0	281.2	0.15	343.1	245.3	48.9	97.8	277.9	1.399	0.68
ú	1145	1384.1	182.4	293.2	0.25	349.6	233.3	58.1	116.3	272.1	1.498	0.67
5	1155	1360 1	196.2	302.0	0.36	353.5	224-2	64.7	129.3	267.3	1.577	0.67
6	1205	1357 7	206.2	308.9	0.48	355.9	217.2	69.4	138.7	263.4	1.639	0.68
7	1215	1336 5	214.8	315.0	0.61	357.8	211.1	73.4	146.7	260.0	1.695	0.68
8	1225	1319.3	221.0	319.9	0.75	358.4	206.0	76.2	152.4	256.8	1.740	0.69
q	1235	1307.2	226.0	324.0	0.8R	358.9	201.9	78.5	157.0	254.2	1.778	0.70
10	1245	1294.0	230.0	327.9	1.02	358.6	198.0	80.3	160.6	251.5	1.811	0.71
11	1255	1245.5	233.0	330.8	1.16	358.0	194.8	81.6	163.2	249.2	1.838	0.72
12	1305	1247.9	235.8	333.7	1.30	357.5	191.9	82.8	165.6	247.1	1.863	0.73
13	1315	1230.6	237.8	376.5	1.43	356.4	189.1	83.6	167.3	244.9	1.885	0.74
14	1335	1192.2	241.0	341.5	1.72	353.8	184.0	84.9	169.8	240.6	1.923	0.77
15	1355	1155.7	243.0	345.4	2.01	351.0	179.8	85.6	171.2	236.9	1.952	0.79
16	1415	1117.8	244.2	349.2	2.30	347.8	175.9	85.9	171.9	233.2	1.977	0.81
17	1435	1391.2	244.8	352.5	2.58	344.6	172.7	86.0	171.9	230.0	1.995	0.84
18	1455	1041.9	245.0	355.4	2.89	341.2	169.6	85.8	171.6	226.8	2.012	0.86
19	1515	1304.5	245.2	358.3	3.17	338.0	166.7	85.6	171.3	223.8	2-027	0.89
20	1525	986.0	245.0	359.7	3.31	336.1	165.3	85.4	170.8	222.2	2.033	0.90
21	1535	957.0	245.1	350.7	3.46	334.7	164.0	85.4	170.7	220.9	2.041	0.91
101	1537	953.9	241.4	RELAXAS	TON TEST							
102	1538	963.6	240.9	PELEXA	TION TEST							
103	1540	963.0	220.1	PEINXA	TION TEST							
104	1544	952.0	236.0	RELAXA	TION TEST							
105	1551	961.2	232.6	PEINXAT	FICH TEST							
106	1559	960.5	230.0	RELAYAT	FION TEST							
107	1625	959.0	224.4	PELAXA	CICE TEST							
108	1/30	755.5	218.1	BELAXA	FION TEST							
109	2720	954.2	204.7	PETTY.	TON TEST							
170	2235	952.5 BED D	205.3	R MLAXA	FION TESS							
112	377	772.42	204+2	BETAVAT	104 9849 NTON MR87							
192	1120	050.0	19/.4	RELEAR.	TON INSI							
440	1720	350.0	190+1	RELAXA:	LIDE JEST		• • •					
22	1850	959 0	157.0	330 0	3 53 3 53	777.3	196 2	43 N	86.1	214.9	1.462	2. 18
22	1410	371 5	120 1	337.0	2002	262 7	100.2	32.1	64.2	219.9	1_324	3.76
23	1430	391.0	145 5	310 0	3 37	256 7	205 9	25.4	50.8	222.8	1.247	8.62
25	1440	991.0	130.9	376.1	3.27	268.6	199.8	34.4	68.8	222.7	1.344	3.08
26	1250	441.7	164.5	345.6	3.35	276.4	180.3	48.1	96.1	212.3	1.533	1.89
27	1500	968.0	188.2	759.1	3.45	284.9	167.0	59.0	117.9	206.3	1.706	1.52
28	1510	955.1	207.0	368.9	3.55	292.3	157-1	67.6	135.2	202.2	1.861	1.35
29	1520	940-2	225.0	376.5	3.66	301.1	149.4	75.8	151.7	200.0	2.015	1.21
30	1540	905.7	242.0	381.6	3.92	311.1	144.2	83.5	166.9	199.8	2.157	1.11
31	1600	870.6	247.0	381.6	4.20	315.1	144.1	85.5	171.0	201-1	2.187	1.07
32	1515	341.3	248.0	381.3	4.42	316.2	144.7	85.8	171.5	201.9	2.185	1.06
33	1618	922.0	254.8	385. B	4.57	317.6	140.1	88.7	177.5	199.3	2.267	1.05
34	1520	905.3	255.2	385.6	4.70	317.9	140.3	88.8	177.6	199.5	2.266	1.05
35	1622	790.5	255.0	385.1	4.91	317.9	140.7	88.6	177.2	199.8	2.259	1.05
36	1624	776.3	254.5	384.4	4.92	317.9	141.3	88.3	176.6	200.2	2.250	1.05
37	1626	757.0	253.2	783.7	5.07	317.3	142.2	87.5	175.1	200.6	2.231	1.05
38	1628	744.5	252.2	383.1	5.17	316.7	142.7	87.0	174.0	200.7	2.219	1.06

39	1530	729.3	251-2	382.5 5 28	316 3	182 0	04 h	170 0		·	
20	1635	715.2	242.1	377 8 5 30	313 5	143.4	30.4	172.9	201.0	2.206	1.06
81	1540	705.5	241 0	378 1 5 66	312.3	140.0	82-2	164.5	202.8	2.111	1.10
62	1650	687 8	720 5	370 5 5 64	311-1	14/.8	81./	163.3	202.2	2.105	1.11
12	1700	660 0	237.5	3/0.5 5.51	305.8	147.1	80.9	161.7	201.0	2.100	1.13
43		577.7	238.0	378.8 5.75	307.0	146.9	80.1	160.1	200.3	2.090	1.15
44	1702	540.0	245.0	384.0 5.97	307.7	141_6	83.0	166.1	197.0	2.173	1.13
45	1703	572.0	234.0	371.5 6.26	309.8	154.1	77-8	155.7	206.0	2 010	4 4 3
46	1705	533.0	201.0	357.0 6.79	293.9	168.6	62 7	125 3	200.0	2.010	1.13
47	1706	517.0	196.0	355.1 6.92	291 4	170 7	60.3	120.7	210.4	1 - 743	1.30
48	1707	509.0	195.0	355 3 6 98	200.2	170 6	50.5	120.7	210.9	1.707	1_42
89	1708	500.0	10/1 2	355 7 7 05	2,00.0	1/0.0	37.9	119.7	210.5	1.702	1.44
50	1710	NOn 6	101 0		250.0	169.9	59.5	118.9	209.5	1.700	1.46
50	1112	4 5 4 • C	192.0	350.1 . /.16	257.0	169.5	58.8	117.5	208.7	1.693	1.49
21	1713	401-0	191.2	356.5 7.34	295.0	169.1	57.9	115.9	207.7	1.685	1.53
22	175	454.0	188.5	356.2 7.40	282.7	169.3	56.7	113.4	207.1	1-670	1 59
53	1730	427.3	186.4	359.3 7.60	277.7	166.4	55.7	111.3	203.5	1.669	1 67
54	1740	408.4	185.7	360.4 7.75	275.7	165.2	55 2	110 5	202 0	1.007	1.07
201	1742	405.8	184.0	RETLYLETON TPS	r		5565	110-5	202.0	1.009	1.71
202	1743	406.7	193 0								
203	1745	106 7	102 7	BELAXAILON TESI		-					
205	1745	405 F	173.7	RPLAXATION TEST							
204	1749	476.5	183.2	RELAXATION TEST	•						
295	1/50	435.4	182.8	BELAXATION TEST							
205	1811	405.7	182.2	RELAYATION TEST	•						
207	1931	405.5	181.6	RELAVATION TEST	•						
208	1941	404.8	180.0	RELEXATION TEST							
209	2150	403.8	178.2	PELLYSTTON TROT	•						
210	1025	402.9	174.9	PETRENTON CPC							
211	1904	#07 8	173 0	PRIME TON FROM							
65	1076	390 0	400 0	PELANATION TEST							
22	4337	354.0	189.0	378.9 7.40	250.9	147.7	56.5	113.2	185.4	1.766	1.90
50	1937	354.2	190.8	379.5 8.09	261.5	147.0	57.3	114.5	185.2	1.779	1.88
57	1938	344_1	191.0	378.7 8.24	262.3	147.8	57.2	114.5	186.0	1 775	1 96
58	1939	304.4	191.0	377.7 8.55	262.8	148.7	57.1	114.1	186 7	1 767	1.00
59	1940	253.9	190.0	376.5 8.82	262.9	150.0	56 5	112 0	100.7	1.707	1.00
60	1941	239.0	189.0	375-5 9-06	262 7	151 0	55.0	112.7	10/.0	1. 753	1.88
61	1943	219.0	185.7	373.4 9.21	252.7	151.0	22.9	111.7	188.2	1.740	1.89
62	1945	217.0	185 n	373 0 0 25	201.9	153.2	54.3	108.7	189.4	1.709	1.95
63	1317	195 5	104 0	372.3 3.23	202.1	153.7	54.2	108.4	189.8	1.705	1.95
6.5	1947	170.0	180.0	372.2 9.46	262.9	1.54 - 3	54_3	108.6	.190. 5	1.704	1.93
04	1949	170.8	186.2	371.8 9_58	263.4	154.7	54.3	108.7	190.9	1.703	1.92
65	1951	*57 <u>•</u> 0	185.2	371.3 9.68	262.9	155.2	53.8	107.7	191.1	1.694	1 05
56	1953	142.2	185.2	371.0 9.80	263.0	155.5	53.8	107 5	101 2	1 600	1.73
67	1955	127.0	18 <u>0</u> 9	370-6 9-91	262 9	155 9	57 6	107 1	17143	1.092	3.94
68	1957	111.0	184.8	370.5 10.00	262 1	155.0	53.5	107.1	191.0	1.08/	1.95
69	2110	117 2	101 0	260 2 40 07	203.1	130.2	53.4	106.9	191.8	1.684	1.96
70	2010	00.0	101.0	303.2 10.07	201.0	15/.3	52.1	104.3	192.1	1.663	2.02
	2010	59.0	181.5	369.3 10.21	261.3	157.4	51.9	103.9	192.0	1.660	2.03
	2320	/3.4	181.5	369.3 10.35	260.7	157.0	51.9	103.7	191.6	1.661	2.04
72	2030	55.6	180.0	368.7 10.46	260.1	157.8	51.1	102-3	191.9	1.648	2 08
73	2050	36.0	180.2	370.6 10.61	258-5	156 . 2	51.1	102 3	190 3	1 655	2.00
70	2110	-0.9	181.8	370.8 10.90	259.2	155.9	51 7	102.2	100.3	1.000	2.11
301	2112	-3.2	179.8	RTINTATION TROT	23/02	• • • •	- 14 /	10363	190.3	1.003	2.08
302	2113	-3.2	179.5	PRINTING MPCM	•	•			*		
303	2115	-3.3	170 0	DETINATION MO							
300	2110	-2.2	470 -	PULATATION TEST							
305	2117		178.5	PELAXATION TEST							
303	2125	-3-5	177.8	BELIVATION TEST							
106	2141	-4.0	177.0	PEIAXATION TEST						•	
307	2211	-4.3	176.0	BPLANATION TEST							
308	2311	-5.0	175.0	RELAXATION TPST							
309	33	-5.8	174.0	BRINTATION FROM							
210	1222	- 5 5	170 9	BRINNMICH CROW							
311	1257	-7 0	160 0	DETANALIUN TEST							
75	1305	-15 2	17070Z	DELEXATION TEST				_			
	4345		174.4	J/0.9 11.01	245.9	150.0	48.4	96.9	182.3	1.646	2.43
	1310	-24.5	176.8	377.5 11.08	248.1	149.3	49.4	98.8	182.2	1-662	2-36
11	13.50	• 42.0	179.2	377.5 11.21	250.0	149.3	50_4	100.7	182-9	1.675	2.28
78	1340	-79.0	181.2	376.9 11.50	252.2	150.1	51-0	102.1	184.1	1.680	2 22
79	1400	-115.9	182.0	376.1 11.79	253.3	150.9	51.2	102 4	195 0	1.000	2.44
80	1420	-153-8	182-0	375.5 12 07	253 2	151 4	5182	102.44	103.0	1.0/9	2.19
81	1440	-191_4	182 0	375 3 43 34	233.2	12141	51.1	102.1	185.1	1.676	2.19
82	1500		102.00	375 4 43 44	273.2	151.4	. 50.9	101.8	185.3	1.672	2.20
87	1580	-217.	101.9	3/3.1 12.66	252+8		50.7	101_4	185.2	1.670	2.22
0 n	1.740		9.191	3/5.3 13.23	251.8	151.2	50.3	100.6	184.7	1.666	2.25
04	1020	•3×1.Z	187.5	375.0 13.82	250.1	150.4	49.9	99.7	183.6	1-663	2.30
00	1708	-477.3	182.0	376.8 14.51	249.1	149.8	49.7	99.3	182.9	1-663	2.33
											4 6 3 J

.

.

- 182 -

.

PRETO NORSA	NSOLIDATION LIVING STRP	PRESSURE		= 118 = 326	40 KPA .PO KPA			- 183 -
V) RM A	LIZED SHELP	TEST PPS	ULTS :	ST APT	19810730	EN D	19810803	
P.77	PPR Cent Stratn	NRMIZD HALP DEV STRESS KDA	NEMIZD DEV STRESS KPA	NRML OCT STRF: KPA	7D NRMLZD CHANGE FS IN PWP KPA			
•	0 00	0.06#	0 129	0 00				
2	0.05	0.112	0.225	0.90	9 0.000 7 0.064			
3	0.15	0.150	0.299	0.85	0.115			
5	0.36	0.198	0.396	0.83	2 0.152 8 0.179			
6	0.48	0.212	0.424	0.80	0.200			
7	0.61	0.224	0.449	0.79	5 0.219			
Ģ	0.99	0.240	0.480	0.77	B 0.234			
10	1.02	0.246	0.401	0.77	0.259			
17	1.10	0.250	0.499	0.76	3 0 <u>-</u> 267			
17	1.43	0.756	0.512	0.74	0.285			
14	1.72	0.260	0.520	0.73	0.300			
16	2.30	0.263	0.526	0.71	0.312 0.324			
17	2.58	0.263	0.526	0.704	0.334			
18	2.88	0.263	0.525	0.694	0.347			
20	3.31	0.261	0.523	0.680	0.352			
21	3.46	0.261	0.522	0.676	0.359			
22	3.42	0.098	0.197	0.573	0.295 0.257			
24	3.32	0.078	0.155	0.692	0.234			
25	3.27	0.105	0.211	0.692	0.253			
27	3.45	0. 80	0.361	0.631	0.354			
2P	3.55	0.207	0.414	0.619	0.384			
30	3.05	0.232	0.464	0.611	0_407			
31	4.20	0.262	0.523	0.615	5 0.423			
72 72	4.42	0.262	0.525	0.618	0.422			
34	4.70	0.272	0.547	0.610	0.435			
35	4.81	9.271	0.542	0.611	0.434			
10 37	5.07	0.270	0.536	0.612	0.431			
38	5.17	0.766	0.532	0.514	0.427			
39	5.28	0.264	0.529	0.615	0_425			
41	5.46	0.250	0.503	0.621	0.417			
42	5.61	0.247	0.495	0.615	0.413			
4.5	5.97	0.245	0.508	0.613	0_414			
45	5.25	0.238	0.476	0.630	0.392			
86	6.79	0.192	0.394	0.644	0.349			
49	6.99	0.183	0.369	0.645	0.342			
49	7.05	0.182	0.364	0.641	0.344			
50	7.16	0.120	0.360	0.639	0.345			
52	7.00	0. 74	0.347	0.634	0.345			
53	7.60	0.170	0_341	0.623	0.355			
55	7.90	0.173	0.334	0.618	0.355			
56	8.09	0.175	0.350	0.567	0.415			
57 58	8.55	0.175	0.350	0.569	0.414			
59	8.82	0.173	0.345	0.574	0.407			
50 51	9.06	0.171	0.342	0.576	0.404			
62	9.25	0.156	0.333	0.580	0.395			
63	9.46	0.165	0.332	0.583	0.394			
nu 65	9.58	0.165	0.333 0.330	0.584	0.393			
66	9.80	0.165	0.329	0.596	0.393			
67 59	9.91	0.164	0.329	0.586	0.389			
69	10.07	0.160	0.319	0.587	0.389 0.385			
70	10.21	0.159	0.318	0.588	0.385			
72	10.35	0.159	0.317 0.317	0.586	0.385			
73	10.61	0.156	0.313	0.582	0.789			
74 75	10.90	0.158	0.316	0.592	0.390			
76	11.08	0.151	0.302	0,558	0.409			
77	11.21	0.154	0.308	0.560	0.410			
78 79	17.50	0.156 0.157	0.312	0.563	0.409			
80	12.07	0.156	0.313	0.567	0.404			
81 82	12.36	0.156	0.311	0.567	0.404			
83	13.23	0.154	0.308	0.567	U.403 0_404			
		· · · · ·						

į.

.THIVERSITY OF HAVITORA SOIL MECHANICS LABORATORY

SAMPLE NO. = I 407HOLE NO. =6DEPTH =11.30 HETRES TO11.48 HETRESSAMPLE HEIGHT APP FR CONSOLIDATION =12.887 CENTIMETRESSAMPLE VOLUME APTER CONSOLIDATION =577.640CUBIC CENTIMETRESSAMPLE AREA APPTE CONSOLIDATION =44.825SQUARE CENTIMETRESCONSTANT LOAD=13.93 NPROVING RING FACTOR=4.1560 NPISTON AREA=5.0600 SQUARE CENTIMETRESINITIAL DIAL READING=1866.00 DIVISIONS

SHEAR TEST PESTLES STAFT 19810903 END 19810904

CONSOLIDATED UNDERTWEE TEINIAL TEST

ť

ΡŢ	PINE.	DISPL DIAL BDG	PPING DIAL BPG	PORE PRESS KPA	PER CENT STRAIN	EFFECT Sigma1 KPA	EFFECT SIG#A3 KPA	HALP DEV STRESS KPA	DEV Stress KPA	EFFECT OCT STBESS KPN	RATIO OF EPP SIGFA1 EFP SIFFA3	Å
٦	955	1866.0	75.3	211.3	0.00	115.3	74.7	20.3	40.6	88.2	1.544	00000 0
2	1005	1955.0	97.8	222.7	0.09	124.9	63.5	30.7	61.4	84.0	1.967	0.55
3	1315	1941_9	112.0	228.3	0.19	130.5	57.8	36.3	72.7	82.0	2.257	0.53
4	1025	1831.0	118.9	231.3	0.27	134.9	54-9	40.0	80.0	81.6	2.45/	0.51
5	1330	1803 0	12/00	212+2	0.19	139.4	ng 3	44.1	80.Z 91 6	80.0	2.0122	0.70
7	1355	1789-0	130.5	238.7	0.61	147.1	47.6	49.8	99_5	80-8	3-091	0.47
8	1115	1743.4	127.5	231.9	0.95	142.5	54.3	44.1	88.2	83.7	2.624	0.43
9	1130	1714.5	114.2	22f.5	1.18	135.6	59.R	37.9	75.8	85.1	2.267	0.43
101	1732	1711.5	112.5	RELAXA	TION TEST							
102	1133	1711.2	111.8	RELAYA'	TION TEST							
103	1735	1710.9	. 110.4	RELAXA'	TICN TEST							
104	1134	1710.4	104.2	RELAXA DETRUR	TION TEST TION TEST	:						
105	1201	1709-2	106.0	PULLINE RETBEL	TON TPS1							
107	1231	1708.2	105.5	PEIAXA	TION TEST	•						
108	1331	1705.5	104.0	BELAXA	TION TEST	1						
109	1531	1507.9	102.4	PEIAXA	TICN TPSI	1						
110	1721	1595.0	101.5	RTLINA	TION TEST							
111	957	1694.6	49.8	RELAXA	TION TEST		67 9			05 0	2 050	0 46
11	1020	1557.5	105.0	22202	1.44	127.7	43 2	22.5	67 1	85 6	2 054	0.45
17	1020	1574.5	104.2	222.9	1_87	130.3	64 1	33.1	66.2	86-2	2.032	0_42
13	1050	1574.9	103.9	221.9	2.03	130.1	64.3	32.9	65.8	86.2	2.023	0.42
14	1100	1586.2	104.2	222.0	2.17	130.3	64.4	33.0	65.9	86.4	2.024	0.42
15	1120	1550.0	104.0	221.0	2.45	130.9	65 . 3	32.8	65.6	87.2	2.004	0.39
16	1140	1507.8	103.4	220.4	2.78	130.4	65.6	32.4	64.8	87.2	1.988	0.38
17	1200	1472-3	103.5	220.3	3.06	130.4	65.6	32.4	64.8	87.2	1.987	0.37
18	1220	1411.9	103.5	219.6	3.35	130.8	6h - 2	32.5	64.6	8/./	1.9/5	0.30
20	1200	1242.0	104.2	220.4	3.04	130.2	07+2 66 8	32.0	68 5	88 3	1.965	0.31
21	1320	1322.0	104.0	218.4	1.74	131.6	67.2	32.2	64.4	88.7	1,959	0.30
22	1340	1291.9	104.6	218.1	4.53	132.4	67.6	32.4	64.8	89.2	1.958	0.28
23	1400	1241.5	104.5	217.9	4.85	132.1	67_6	32.2	64.5	89.1	1.954	0.28
24	1403	1229.8	105.9	218.1	4 ° 40	134.1	67.6	33.3	66.5	89.8	1.984	0.26
25	1405	1212.2	106.5	218.1	5.07	133.6	67.5	33.0	66.1	89.5	1.979	0.27
26	1010	1173.5	106.P	218.7	5.37	132.9	66.7	33.1	66.2	88.8	1.992	0.29
27	1415	1100 0	108.2	216-5	5.05	136.3	69.1	33.0	6/.2	91.5	1.972	0.20
20	1420	1364.5	108.5	216.7	6.22	135.9	68.9	33.5	67-0	91.2	1-973	0.20
30	1430	1025.3	109.3	215.1	6.52	137.8	70.3	33.8	67.5	92.8	1.960	0.14
31	1435	397.8	109.0	216.4	6.81	136.3	69.3	33.5	67.0	91.6	1.967	0.19
32	400	952.3	109.0	215.2	7.09	137.0	70.2	33.4	66.8	92. 5	1.952	0.15
33	1442	944.8	107.5	216.1	7.15	134.9	69_4	32.8	65.5	91.2	1.944	0.19
34	1450	925.0	107.2	214.9	7.28	135.7	70.5	32.6	65.2	92-2	1.924	0.15
30	1500	903.5 873 8	107.0	210-1	7 71	132+3	. 0/.4 .60 A	32-4	63 8	89.0	1.925	0.22
37	1500	795.3	105-2	216.5	8_31	131.4	68.6	31.4	62.8	89.5	1.915	0.23
38	1620	757.2	104.9	217.0	8.60	130.3	68.1	31.1	62.2	88.8	1.914	0.26
39	1530	735.8	104.8	216.7	8.77	130.5	68.4	31.1	62.1	89.1	1.908	0.25
40	1935	705 . 7	107.2	217.3	9.00	131.7	67.7	32.0	64.0	89.0	1.945	0.26
41	1540	557.9	107.2	217.5	9.30	.131.6 .	. 67.8	. 31.9 .	- 63.8	89.1	1.940	0.27
42	1650	590.9	109.2	217.2	9.90	132.1	67.9	32.1	64.2	89.3	1.945	0.25
4 J 1 H	1720	362.2	105.0	217.4	10.44	131.4	· 0/_0	31.0	647	89.5	1.953	0.25
45	1730	292.5	110.0	217.2	12.21	131.7	67.7	32.0	64.0	89.0	1.946	0.25
46	1732	272.5	113.8	218.8	12.37	133.3	66.3	33.5	67.0	88.6	2.010	0.28
47	1734	220.5	113.9	218.6	12.77	133.3	66 . 6	.33.4	66.7	88.8	2.002	0.28
48	1736	151.6	113.2	219.1	13.30	131.8	66.0	32.9	65.8	87.9	1.997	0.31
49	1738	95.0	113.2	219.6	13.74	131.0	65.5	32.7	65.5	87.3	1.999	0.33
50	1/40	39.9 _=== =	115.0	219.4	14.17	132.2	00.f	33.3	00.0	0/.8 97 4	2.002	0.31
57	1725	-114-9	115.7	217.2	15.37	131.5	65-7	32.7	66.2	87_4	2.014	0.33
53	1747	-129-5	110.5	217.3	15.48	129-6	67_6	31_0	62-0	88.3	1.918	0.28
-54	1750	-134.0	110.5	217.0	15.52	130.1	68.1	31.0	62.0	88.8	1.910	0.27
55	1800	-153.3	109.P	216.3	15.67	130.0	68.7	30.7	61.3	89.1	1.893	0.24
56	1510	-172.6	110.0	216.4	15.82	130.2	68.8	30.7	61.4	89.3	1.892	0.25
<i>1</i>	1816	÷155_0	110.5	210.4	15-90	1.50 . 5	65-6	30.9	61./	€7. <i>∠</i>	1.700	V.24

- 184 -

.

<u>}</u>

.

SAMPLE WC. = 7 407 HOLF WC. = 6 DPPTH = 11.30 METRES TO 11.48 METRES

.

CONSOLIDATION AKTAN STRESS	=	117.90 KPA
PRECONSOLIDATION PRESSURE	=	210.00 KPA
NORMALIZING STRESS	=	117.80 KPA

NOPMALTZED SHEAR TEST RESULTS START 19810903 END 19810904

Þ۳.	PFR	NEWLZD	NRMI ZD	NRELZD	NRMLZD
	~ + V +	HALF	<u>e ev</u>	0CT	CHANJE
	STRAIN	DEV	STRESS	SSSALE	IN PWP
		5"F 759	K P A	KPA	KPA
		KÖE			
٦	0.00	0.172	0.345	0.749	0.000
?	0.09	0.261	0.521	0.713	0.097
. 3	0.19	0.308	0.517	0-696	0.144
4	0.27	0.340	0.679	0_692	0.170
5	0.30	0.374	0.749	0.694	0.203
6	0.49	0.401	0.807	0-686	0.217
7	0.67	0.422	0.845	0.686	0.233
×	0.45	0. 7/4	0.728	0.710	0-175
4	1.18	0.722	0.643	0.722	0.129
10	7,04	0.294	0.567	0.725	0.707
11	1.07	0.257	0.509	0 725	0.094
42	2 02	0.270	0.0r2 n 550	0.731	0.091
1 1	2.17	0.277	0.550	0.732	0.091
16	2	0 279	0 557	0.700	0.000
16	2.4)	0 275	0.550	0.740	0.077
17	3.06	0.275	0.550	0.740	0.075
18	3,35	0.274	0.548	0.745	0.070
10	3.64	0-776	0.552	0.737	0.077
20	3.94	0.274	0.547	0.749	0.264
21	4.24	0.274	0.547	0.753	0.060
22	4.53	0.275	0.550	0.757	0.058
23	a.85	0.274	0.507	0.756	0.055
24	4.94	0.292	0.565	0.762	0.059
25	5.07	0.280	0.561	0.760	0.058
26	5.37	0.291	0.562	0.753	0.063
27	5.65	0.225	0.570	0.777	0.944
28	5.94	0.282	0.563	0.777	0.042
2م	6.22	9.284	0.569	0.775	0.945
30	5.52	0.287	0.573	0.788	0.032
31	6.81	0.284	0.569	0.778	0-043
12	7.09	0.294	0.567	0.785	0.033
31	7.17	0.275	0.725	0.774	0.041
34	7.27	0.277	0.553	0.783	0.031
36	7.42	0.275	0.5"	0.755	0.015
37	8 21	0.271	0.522	0.760	0.043
38	8.60	0.26#	0.533	0 75#	0.044
39	8.77	0.264	0 527	0 756	0.005
40	9.00	0.272	0.543	0.756	0.051
41	9.30	0.271	0.541	0.756	0-053
42	9.00	0.272	0.545	0.758	0.050
43	10,44	0.270	0.540	0.756	0.052
44	11.47	0.275	0.549	0.760	0.051
45	12.21	0.772	0.544	0.756	0.059
86	12.37	0.294	0.549	0.752	0.064
47	12.77	0.293	0,557	0.754	0.062
48	13.30	0.279	0.558	0.746	0.065
49	13.74	0.278	0.556	0.741	0.070
50	14.17	0.293	0.565	0.745	0.069
51	14.93	0.279	0.559	0.744	0.057
52	15.37	0.281	0.562	0.742	0.071
57	15,48	0.263	0.527	0.749	0.051
54	15.52	0.263	0-526	0.754	0.049
55	75.67	0.260	0.521	0.757	0.042
70	75.82	0.267	0.521	0.758	0.043
י ר	12.40	0+2+2	0.524	0. 757	0.043

......

ΡŢ	₽I₩₽	DIAL RDG	PPING DIAL BDG	PORE Prpss KPA	PFP CENT STRAIN	EPPECT SIGMA1 KPA	BFFECT SIGMA3 KPA	HALP DEV STPESS KPA	DEV STRESS KPA	EFFECT OCT STRESS KPA	PATIO OF EPP SIGMA1 EPP SIFMA3	λ
1	1025	1930.8	59.0	240.8	0.00	75.0	50.1	12.5	24.9	58.4	1.497	0000000
2	1030	1925.4	70.9	246.6	0.04	90.7	45.0	17.9	35.7	56.9	1.794	0.54
3	1340	1910.4	P5.2	253.6	0.16	87.0	38.0	24.5	49.0	54.3	2.290	0.53
4	1050	1894.4	94.5	257.6	0.28	91.3	33.8	28.8	57.5	53.0	2.703	0.51
5	1100	1273.9	101.0	260.1	0.40	94.9	31.4	31.7	63.5	52.6	3.021	0.50
6	1110	1950.5	106.3	261.6	0.54	98.1	29.9	34.1	68.2	52.6	3.282	0.48
7	1120	1847.5	108.9	261.9	0.64	100.3	29.7	35.3	70.6	53.2	3.376	0.46
8	1130	1932.8	113.5	263.3	0.75	102.9	28.2	37.4	74.7	53.1	3.649	0.45
ò	1140	1316.0	116.5	263.8	0.82	104.9	27.5	38.7	77.4	53.3	3.814	0.44
10	1200	1787.4	123.8	264.7	1.10	110.6	26.7	41.9	83.9	54.7	4.142	0.41
11	1220	1750.0	29.2	264.0	1.30	115.9	27.3	44.3	88.6	56.8	4.244	0.36
12	1240	1713.0	130.7	261.5	1.F8	119.4	29.7	44.8	89.7	59.6	4.020	0.32
13	1300	1675.0	126.4	257.2	1_97	119.5	34.0	42.8	85.5	62.5	3.516	0.27
14	1315	1643.0	120.2	253.9	2.22	117.0	37.3	39.9	79.7	63.9	3.137	0.24
101	1317	1641.0	114.9	RELIXAT	LION TEST	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i						
102	1319	1540.9	117.9	REIAVA	TION TEST							
103	1320	1640.5	115.8	RELAXA'	TON TPET	•						
104	1324	1640.1	174.8	REIATR	CION TEST							
105	1331	1639.7	113.4	RELAXA	TION TEST	•						
106	1746	1638.8	111.2	RELAYN	FION TEST							
197	1016	1638.0	109.5	RFLAXA	TION TEST	•						
108	1455	1637.1	107.9	RELAXAT	TION TEST							
109	232R	1631.8	105-8	RPLETA	עגאע אטוע	•						
110	1019	1628.0	104.8	RELAXA	TION TEST							
15	1330	1518.9	112.8	251.1	2.40	113.0	40.1	36.4	72.9	64.4	2.817	0.21
16	1040	1595.0	114.3	250.3	2.58	114.9	40.8	37.0	74.1	65.5	2.816	0.19
17	10.50	1582.3	112.8	249.8	2.69	114.1	41.5	36.3	72.6	65.7	2.751	0.19
18	1100	1562.0	111.5	24E.5	2.84	114.1	42 . 7	35.7	71.4	66.5	2.672	0.17
19	1120	1523.8	109.9	246.9	3.13	113.2	44.4	34.4	68.8	67.3	2.550	0.14
20	1140	1491.9	106.P	245.4	3.46	112.4	45.7	33.4	66.7	67.9	2.460	0.11
21	1200	1451.8	105.5	244.7	3.69	111.7	46.3	32.7	65.4	68.1	2.413	0.10
22	1220	1404.0	104.5	243.7	4.0F		47.1	32.2	64.3	68.5	2.365	0.07

ENT 19810914

CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAFIAL TEST

РŢ

ς.

SAMPLE NO. = T 408 HOLE NO.	= 6	DEPTH = 11.30 METRPS TO	11.48 METRES
SAMPLE HEIGHT APPER CONSOLIDATION SAMPLE VOLUME APPER CONSOLIDATION SAMPLE ARES FFIER CONSOLIDATION	= 12.991 = 593.540 = 44.919	CENTIMETRPS CUBIC CENTIMETRES SQUARE CENTIMETRPS	
CONSTANT LOND PROVING RING PATTOR PISTON AREA	= 13.93 = 4.1560 = 5.0600	N . N ./DIV SQUARE CENTIMETRES	
TNITIAL DIAT READING	= 1930.80	DIVISIONS	
SHEAR TEST RESULTS START 1981	0013 E	NT 19810914	

UNIVERSITY OF MANITORA SOIL MECHANICS LABORATORY ,

23	1230	1393.5	103.6	243.4	4.21	111.0	47.6	31.7	63.4	68.7	2.332	0.07
24	1232	1370.8	106.5	243.7	4.31	113.3	47.4	32.9	65.9	69.4	2.390	0.07
25	1235	1353.5	105.5	243.3	4.44	113.5	47.7	32.9	65.8	69.6	2-379	0.06
26	1240	1314.6	105.3	242.9	4.74	112.6	48.0	32.3	64.6	69.5	2.345	0.05
27	1245	1257.5	104.2	242.0	5.11	112.3	49.0	31.7	63.3	70.1	2-292	0.03
28	1250	1224.5	102.0	240.B	5.44	111.4	50.2	30.6	61-2	70.6	2.219	0.00
29	1255	1104.3	101.6	240.0	5.67	110.8	50.0	30.4	60.8	70.3	2.216	-0.02
30	1300	1155.8	9.5	239.3	5.97	110.3	51.6	29.3	58.7	71.2	2.137	-0.04
31	1320	1109.1	95.6	238.9	6.33	107.1	52.0	27.5	55.1	70.4	2.059	-0.06
32	1340	1368.5	٥5.2	238.7	6.64	105.9	51.3	27.3	54.6	69.5	2-065	-0.07
33	1000	1030.8	93.8	238.1	6.93	105.8	52.6	26.6	53.2	70.3	2.011	-0.10
34	1420	991.5	93.8	237.8	7.23	105.7	52.7	26.5	53.0	70.4	2.006	-0.11
35	1430	974.5	°3.0	238.0	7.36	105.0	52.8	26.1	52.2	70.2	1.989	-0-10
36	1432	957.0	95.0	238.0	7.42	106.7	52 8	27.0	53.9	70.8	2.021	-0.10
77	1475	929.5	94.8	237.5	7.64	136.8	53.2	26.8	53.6	71.1	2.008	-0-11
38	1440	399.4	94.7	237.2	7.94	106.8	53.4	26.7	53.4	71.2	2.000	-0.13
30	1445	871.3	04.4	236.7	8.16	196.9	53.9	26.5	53.0	71.6	1.983	-0.15
40	1450	829.4	94.0	236.0	8.49	107.2	54.7	25.2	52.5	72.2	1.959	-0.17
47	1455	786.0	°3.2	235.6	8.81	136.8	55.2	25.8	51.6	72.4	1.935	-0.19
42	1500	754.3	93.2	235.3	9.06	107.0	55.5	25.7	51.5	72.7	1.927	= 0 - 21
43	1502	740.1	91.2	235.1	9.10	105.4	55.7	24.9	49.7	72.3	1.893	-0-23
44	1557	643.2	90.2	236.1	9.91	103.2	54.7	24.2	48.5	70.9	1.886	-0.20
45	1530	580.0	90.2	235.9	10.40	103.0	54.8	24.1	48.2	70.9	1.880	-0.21
46	1650	542.5	P9.P	235.9	10.69	102.7	55.0	23.9	47.7	70.9	1.867	-0.22
47	1710	503.2	89.8	236.1	10.90	102.4	54.9	23.8	47.5	70.7	1.866	-0.21
48	1918	475.0	89.2	236.0	11.21	101.7	54.8	23.5	46.9	70.4	1.857	-0.22
49	1920	353.2	89.1	236.0	12.14	101.3	54.9	23.2	46.4	70.4	1_844	-0-22
50	1359	299.0	89.1	236.1	12.57	101.2	55.1	23.1	45.1	70.5	1.837	-0-22
51	1920	261.5	89.1	235.9	12.85	101.3	55.4	23.0	45.9	70.7	1.829	-0-23
52	1240	210.6	89.8	235.9	17.17	101.7	55.4	23.2	46.3	70.8	1.836	-0.23
53	2007	174.0	89.5	236.1	13.52	101.1	55.2	23.0	45.9	70.5	1.832	-0.22
54	2020	145.8	89.5	235.6	13.74	101.1	55.3	22.9	45.8	70.6	1.829	-0.25
55	2040	107.8	89.5	235.7	14.03	101.1	55.4	22.8	45.7	70.6	1.824	-0.25
56	2100	71.0	89.7	235.7	14,31	101.2	55.5	22.8	45.7	70.7	1.823	-0.25
57	2120	33.2	90.0	235.7	14.61	101.3	55.6	22.9	45.7	70.8	1.823	-0.25
5 8	2140	-5.5	P9.9	235.7	14.91	101.3	55.8	22.7	45.5	71.0	1.815	-0.25
59	2153	-30_2	89.8	235.6	15.10	101.2	55.9	22.6	45.3	71.0	1.810	-0.26
60	2155	• 3° °	91.8	235.4	15.16	102.8	55.9	23.4	46.9	71.5	1.838	-0.25
61	2230	- 92.0	۰2.2	234.5	15.57	103.7	56.8	23.5	46.9	72.4	1.826	-0-29
62	2205	-110_0	92.2	234.5	15.79	103.7	56.9	23.4	46.8	72.5	1.823	-0.29
63	2212	-169.0	°2. °	234.1	16.16	104.4	57.3	23.5	47.1	73.0	1.821	-0.30
64	2215	•175.5	90.5	234.4	16.21	102.3	57.1	22.6	45.2	72.2	1.792	-0.31
65	2225	-193-2	90.0	234.5	16.34	101.6	56 . 8	22.4	44.8	71.7	1.789	-0.32

- 187 -

٠.,

SAMPLE NO. = 7 408 HOLF NO. = 6 DEPTH = 11.30 YETPES TO 11.48 METRES

CONSOLIDATION PAIRS STRESS	=	78.00	(PA
PPPCONSOLTDATION PRESSURE	÷	210.00 1	(PA
NOPHALIZING STREES	=	78.00 F	TPA

NORMALIZED SHEAR TRST PPSULTS START 19810913 PND 19810914

57	000	NPMLZD	NRMIZD	NRM1.7D	NP#12D
•	C 287	HALF	DEV	00	CHANGE
	STPATN	DEV	STRESS	STRESS	TN PWP
		STEESS	KPA	KPA	KPA
		803		7 2 A	
		•			
1	0.00	0.160	0.319	0.749	0.000
2	0.04	0.229	0.45P	0.730	0.074
3	0.16	0.314	0.628	0.697	0.154
4	0.28	0.369	0.738	0.679	0.215
5	0.40	0.007	0.814	0.674	0.247
6	0.54	0.437	0.975	0.675	0.267
7	0.64	0.452	0.905	0.682	0.271
8	0.75	0.479	0.958	0.681	0.283
q	0.98	0.496	0.992	0.683	0.295
10	1_10	0.538	1.075	0.701	0.306
11	1_34	0,568	1.136	0.729	0,297
72	1.58	0.575	1.150	0.764	0.265
13	7,47	0.549	1.047	0.801	0.210
74	2.22	0.511	1.022	0.819	0.168
15	2.40	0.467	0.434	0_826	0.132
17	2.7*	0.4/5	0.950	0.840	0.122
17	2.55	9.455	0.931	0.943	0.175
10	2.74	0.408	0.915	0.852	0.041
20	3.44	0.441	0.852	0.553	0.077
20	3.40	0.428	0.420	0.877	0.059
2.	3.54	0.479	0.034	0. 73	0.055
22	4.00	0.04.2	0.013	0.8/9	0.037
23	4 <u>• · · ·</u>	0.405	0.015	0.000	0.033
25	u 11	0 422	0 9 4 4	0.007	0.037
26	4.74	0 414	0 828	0 801	0.032
27	5.11	0 006	0.812	n 990	0.025
วิต	5.44	0.392	0 788	0 905	0.003
79	5-67	0.300	0.779	0.901	-0 010
30	5.97	0.376	0.752	0.912	-0.013
31	6.33	0. 353	0.705	0.902	-0.024
37	6.54	0.350	0.700	0.891	-0.027
33	6.93	0.341	0.682	0.902	-0.035
2 11	7.23	0.340	0.690	0.902	-0.033
35	7.34	0.335	0.670	0.900	-0.076
36	7.42	0.346	0.691	0.907	-0.036
37	7.64	0.344	0.688	0.911	-0.042
20	7,04	0.342	0.684	0.913	-0.045
39	8,16	0"190	0.679	0.918	-0.053
40	8.49	0.336	0.673	0.925	-0.062
41	5.81	0.331	0.661	0.928	-0.057
42	۹.04	0.330	0.660	0.931	-0.071
43	9.10	0.319	0.678	0.927	-0.073
44	9.91	0.311	0.621	0.908	-0.060
45	10.40	0.709	0.618	0.909	-0.063
46	10.69	0.306	0-612	0.909	-0-063
47	10.99	0.305	0.609	0.907	-0.060
8.8	11.21	0.301	0.602	0.903	-0.062
49	12.14	0.297	0.594	0.902	-0-062
50	12.57	0.206	0.591	0.903	-0.060
51	12.95	0.295	0.589	0.907	-0.063
52	13.17	0.297	0.594	0.908	-0.063
53	13.52	0.294	0.589	0.904	-0.060
54	13.74	0.294	0.588	0.905	-0.067
55	14.03	0.293	0.585	0.905	-0.065
56	14.31	0.293	0.585	0.907	-0.065
57	14.51	0.293	0.586	0.908	~0.0 65
58	14.91	0.292	0.583	0.910	-0.065
59	15.10	0.290	0.581	0.910	-0.067
50	15.16	0.300	0.601	0.917	-0.069
n1 60	15.57	0.301	0.602	0.929	-0.091
62	15.79	0.300	0.600	0.930	-0.081
5 N J	16 31	0.102	0.503 0.600	0.936	-0.084
04 65	16 20	0.290	0.540	0.925	-U.UX2
	104.37	V # 4 0 1	V	NA 7/ V	- 4 - 46 1

- 189 -

RATIO OF

A

= 14.23 W. = 4.1560 W./DIV = 5.0600 SQUARE CENTIMETRES CONSTANT LOAD PROVING RING FACTOR DISTON APPA INITIAL DIAL READING. = 1916.20 DIVISIONS SHEAR TEST RESULTS STARM 19810930 ENT 19811002 CONSOLTDATED HUDBATNED TRIBITEST PT TIME DISPI PFING POFF PEP EFFECT EFFECT HRLP DEV EFFECT

-

.....

UNIVERSITY OF MANIMORA SOIL RECHANICS LABORATORY

HCLF NO. =

SAMPLE HEIGHT AFTER CONSOLIDATION = 13.130 CENTILETRES SAMPLE VOITHT AFTER CONSOLIDATION = 611.590 CUBIC CENTILETRES SAMPLE AFTER AFTER CONSOLIDATION = 46.580 SQUARE CENTILETRES

6

SAMPLE NO. = T 409

...

. __ . ..

DEPTH = 8.56 METRES TO 8.74 METRES

		RDG	RDG	KPA	STRAIN	KPA	KPL .	STRESS KPA	KPA KPA	STRESS KPA	EPP SIGPAT EPP SIPPA3	
٩	1240	1916.2	35.7	209.8	0.00	29.5	19.5	5.0	10.0	22.8	1.513	00000000
2	1255	1903.5	46.0	214.5	0.10	34.2	15.1	9.6	19.1	21.5	2.267	0.51
3	1305	1897.3	53.3	217.2	0.22	37.8	12.2	12.8	25.6	20.7	3.101	0.47
4	1315	1371.2	59.5	219.2	D. 34	41.5		15.5	. 31.1	20.8	3.990	0.45
5	1325	1857.8	62.6	220.7	0.48	42.6	8.8	16.9	33.8	20.1	4_843	0.46
6	*335	1332.0	66.7	221.5	0.63	45.2	7.P	18.7	37.4	20.3	5.797	0.43
7	1345	1817.0	69.5	222.4	0.76	46.9	7.1	19.9	39.8	20.4	6.610	0.42
8	1355	1799.8	71.2	222.3	0.89	48.3	7_0	20.6	41.3	20.8	6.900	0.40
9	1415	1762.6	74.3	223.4	1.17	50.0	6.1	21.9	43_9	20.7	8.196	0.40
10	1435	1725+2	78.E	223.5	1.45	53.6	5.9	23.9	47.7	21.8	9.091	0.36
11	1455	1683.8	81.9	223.3	1.73	56.2	5.9	25.2	50.3	22.7	9.533	0.33
12	1530	1522.0	87.0	222.5	2.24	61.5	7.0	27.2	54.5	25.2	8.785	0.29
13	1540	1604.8	87.2	222.0	2.37	52.0	7.4	27.3	54.6	25.6	8.380	0.27
14	1500	1567.2	89.0	221.1	2.66	64.4	8.4	28.0	56.0	27.1	7.667	0-25
15	1620	1529.6	90.2	219.B	2.94	56.6	9.7	28.4	56.9	28.7	6.864	0.21
16	1540	1401_4	89.0	217.5	3.24	66.5	11.7	27.4	54.8	30.0	5.687	0.17
17	1650	1472.3	85.8	216.9	3.38	55.2	12.5	26.9	53.7	30.4	5.296	0.16
101	1651	1471.0	86.7	RELAYA	TION TEST	•						
102	1652	1470.8	84.5	REIFXE	TION TEST							
103	1653	1470.7	84.0	PELAXA	TION TEST	•						
104	1655	1470.5	83.2	REINXA	TICN TEST							
105	1659	1470.2	82.0	BELAXA	TION TEST	•						
105	1706	1460.0	P1_0	PEINXA	IICN TPET	•						
107	1710	1469_6	P0.5	RTINXA	TION TEST							
108	1801	1468.3	78.2	PPIANA	TION TEST							
109	1023	1467.1	76.2	PELAXA	TION TEST	•						
110	2211	1466.2	75.0	REIBYA	TON TEST							
111	809	1466.0	73.2	RPLAYA	TICK TEST							
18	817	1462.1	76.8	216.0	3.46	58.7	13.7	22.5	45.0	28.7	4.286	0.18
19	920	1450-2	80.3	216.1	3.55	61.8	13.8	24.0	48.0	29.8	4.475	0.17
20	830	1431.5	81.6	215.5	3.69	63.4	14.4	24.5	49.0	30.7	4.403	0.15
21	840	1431.0	81.4	214.8	3.70	63.8	15.0	24.4	48.8	31.3	4.256	0.13
22	350	1394.2	80° <i>à</i>	214.6	3.98	63.7	15.4	24.1	48.3	31.5	4.133	0.13
23	900	1374.3	78.0	213.6		. 62.0	16.3	22.9	45.7	31.5	3.804	0.11
24	910	1355.0	75.2	212.5	4.27	60.6	17.3	21.6	43.3	31.7	3.500	0.08
25	920	133.7	72.5	211.8	4.44	59.0	18.1	20.4	40.9	31.7	3.258	0.06
26	930	1316.2	71.4	211.1	4.57	58.6	18.7	19.9	39.9	32.0	3.133	0.04
27	931	1311_2	72.2	211.2	4.61	59.2	18.6	20.3	40.6	32.1	3.180	0.05
28	935	1263.2	72.5	210.3	4.93	60.2	19.5	20.3	40.7	33.1	3.086	0.02
29	940	1223.0	71.2	210.0	5.28	59.5	20 . 1	19.7	39.4	33.2	2.960	0.01
30	945	1198.2	70.2	209.7	5.47	58.9	20.4	19.2	38.5	33. 2	2.886	-0.00

71	950	1170.5	70.5	209.7 5.68	58.9	20 3	10.2	20 6	33.3	3 994	
32	955	1121 0	69.0	209 0 6 06	60 1	2000	19.5	30.0	33.2	2.904	-0.00
22	1000	1000 5	69.0		50.1	20.0	18.0	31.3	33.2	2.791	-0.03
32	1000	1077.7		208.9 6.30	5/.4	20.9	18.2	36.5	33.1	2.746	-0.03
34	1301	1345.5	6/.5	208.6 6.33	57.1	21.2	17.9	35.9	33.2	2.693	-0-05
35	1010	1070.4	66.0	208.7 6.44	. 55.9	21.3	17.3	34.6	32.8	2-623	-0.04
36	1330	1371.8	64.2	208-6 6-74	54.3	21.3	16 5	33 0	33 3	2 640	
77	1035	1022.2	64.0	208 6 6 81	54.5	24 5	10.5	33.0	32.3	2.348	-0.05
201	1026	1111 0	67.0		346.3	21+5	10.4	32.8	32.4	2.524	-0.05
201	1030	1321.0	03.0	RELAXATION TEST							
202	1037	1021.1	62.9	PEIAVATION TEST							
203	1329	1121.0	f2.4	RELAXATION TEST							
204	1047	1020.9	62.0	RELAXATION TEST							
205	1050	1121.7	62 3	PRINTAN TRET		- ,					
204	1105	1020 3	(2.)	ELENAR LOR IESI							
205	105	1020-3	P.Z.+U	RELAXATION TEST							
207	1135	1029.0	60.8	BELAXATION TEST							
208	1235	1019.2	60.2	PEINVATION TEST							
209	1500	1318.5	59.4	RELAXATION TEST							
210	1575	1019-4	59.3	BVILYATTON TROP							
30	1520	1116 2	49 7	200 2 6 06							
	1534	1313.3	7 !• Z	204.2 0.90	51.2	20.8	15.2	30.4	30.9	2.463	-0.03
34	1540	1011.2	61.1	208.8 6.89	51.4	21.1	15.2	30.3	31. 2	2.438	-0.05
40	1550	003.5	61.9	208.9 7.03	51.9	21.0	15.4	30.9	31.3	2.471	-0.05
41	1600	975.5	63.0	208.9 7.16	52-6	20.7	15 0	31 0	21 2	2 530	-0.05
42	1602	959.5	63 0	209 11 7 29	52 0	20.5	46 7	20.5	31.3	2+339	-0.04
0.2	1605	377 6	6 9 0		53.0	20.0	10-3	32.5	37.3	2.587	-0.02
4.5	1003	721.7	C4. B	209-1 7-45	54.1	20.9	16.6	33.2	32.0	2.589	-0.03
4	1620	814 . 8	65.3	208.2 8.39	54.9	21.6	16.7	33.3	32.7	2.542	-0.07
45	1630	794.4	65.2	208.3 8.62	54.9	21.7	16.6	33.1	32.7	2. 526	=0.06
46	1640	671.2	65.1	207.5 9.48	55. 2	22.5	16.4	32 7	22 h	3 654	-0.00
47	1550	593.0	66.0	207 0 10 07	56 1	22.0	46 6	32.07	33.4	2.434	-0.10
n 9	1655	500 0	63.0	207.0 10.07	50.1	22.0	10.0	33.3	33.9	2.458	-0-12
4.0		· 3 · 0 • Z	53.5	207-2 10-18	54.2	22.8	15.7	31.4	33.3	2.379	-0.12
40	1790	5/2.5	63.8	207.5 10.24	53.9	22.5	15.7	31.4	33.0	2.396	-0.11
301	1703	569.6	۴2 . 4	BRIAXATION TEST							•••
302	1704	569.7	62.8	PFILYLTTON TPST							
303	1706	569.8	61 8	PRINTER TRET							
30.6	1713	560 5	67.0	PRINTER PROF							
305		567.5	02•Z	RETEXATION TEST							
505	1717	204.4	67.9	PEIRXATION TEST							
306	1732	569.2	61.5	RELEXATION TEST							
307	1755	569.0	61.4	RELLYATION TROT							
308	1923	569.3	P_0.4	REILYBOTON TROP							
909	2218	567 7	60 0	BRILING TON MUCH							
240	757		50.0	BUINARIUS IEI							
510	151	70/4/	24-0	RELATATION TEST							
50	801	545.4	60.5	207.0 10.29	51.6	22.7	14.4	28.9	32-3	2.271	-0.15
51	805	558.7	61.8	207.5 10.34	52-0	22.2	14.9	29.8	32 1	2 2/2	-0.42
52	810	548.9	67.4	207.5 10.41	52.5	22 2	15 1	20 2	37 7	2.343	-0.12
53	870	578 9	62 0	207 / 10 57	53 0	22.02	1.5.1	30.3	32.3	2.303	-0.11
5.0	910	n 55 n	63 6	20/ 5 40 00	23.2	42-0	75.3	30.6	32.8	2.353	-0.12
5-		472.44	03.5	206.7 10.84	54.3	23.3	15.5	31.0	33.6	2.330	-0.16
22	400	400.2	62.4	205.3 11.13	53.6	23.2	15-2	30.4	33.3	2.312	-0.17
56	920	419.2	63.8	206.1 11.40	54.5	23.5	15.5	31-0	33.8	2.321	-0 18
57	943	374.6	63.4	206.2 11.74	54.0	23 4	15 3	20 6	22 6	2 300	-0.10
58	1010	327.6	67.8	205.8 12.14	57 0	22 0	45 0	30.0	33.0	2.300	-0-1/
50	1030	285 6	63.0		53.0	23.0	15.0	30.0	33.8	2.261	-0.20
	10.00	20760	r2.0	200.1 12.42	53.0	23.7	74.9	29.9	33.7	2.261	-0.19
υĊ	1050	245.5	F-7.2	206.2 12.72	53.7	23.6	15.0	30.1	33.6	2.275	+0_18
61	1116	197_8	63.0	205.8 13.09	53.8	24.0	14-9	29.8	33.9	2.242	-0.20
62	1140	153.2	63.1	205.5 13.35	54.0	20 2	11 0	200	36 4	0 030	-0.20
63	1200	117.9	60.0	205 5 12 70	5 N N	20 0	45 0	27.0	34. 1	4.232	-0.22
6#	1215	96 0	67 0	20502 13070	 	24.0	12.4	30.4	34.1	2.267	-0.21
6 5	1220	20.0	10 Je 1	297-2 13-95	24.5	24.3	15.1	30.2	34.4	2.241	-0.23
	1220	n4•1	6 a • K	204.9 14.06	55.4	24.5	15_4	30.9	34.8	2.261	-0.23
6 6	1230	-3.9	66.2	204.8 14.62	56.5	24 - 7	15-9	31.8	35. 3	2.285	-0-23
67	1240	-94.6	66.8	204.5 15.24	57.0	25-0	16.0	32.0	35.7	2 270	-0 20
68	1250	-158 7	66.3	201 2 15 80	56 5	25. 9	10.00	32.00	3047	2.217	-0.24
69	1252	162 0	60.0	506 0 45 50	59.3	47.1	13.1	31.4	33.6	2.251	-0.26
70	1200		174. D	204+4 13+89 .		25.0	15.1	30.2	35.1	2.210	-0.27
	1300	- 1 74 <u>- 1</u>	64.9	204.4 16.00	55.5	25.2	15.1	30.3	35.3	2.201	-0-27
11	1 4 1 0	=294,7	55 . 1	204.4 16.15	55.5	25.1	15.2	30.4	35.2	2.209	-0-27
72	1320	-22?.7	64.5	204.6 16.29	54.5	24 - 6	14.9	29.9	34.6	2.215	=0.26
73	1340	-238-5	64-2	204.8 16.81	54.1	24 5	18 0	20 6	20 4	2 200	-0.25
74	1000	-276 3	6 1 9	208 1 14 70	54.1	27+3		27.0	34.4	2.204	-0-25
• •			1. H P. L.	ZUMel (CelU	>> • ∠	Z0+Z	15.0	30.0	35.2	2.189	-0.29

- 190 -

SAMPLE NO. = 7 409 HOLP NO. = 6 DEPTH = 8.56 METRES TO 8.74 METRES

END 19911002

CONSOLIDATION AVIAL STPESS = 29.70 KPA PPECONSOLIDATION PRESSURE = 270.00 KPA NORMALIZING SIRES = 29.70 KPA

NORMALIZED SHEAR TEST PESULES START 19810930

pm	DFR	N##175	N 8 41 7 D	NEWIZD	
•	CENT	HALP	rev	007	CHANGE
	COPATN	DFV	STRESS	STPPSS	TN PRP
		STRESS	KPA	KPA	KPA
		* PA			
٦	0.00	0.168	0.337	0.769	0.000
2	0.10	0.322	0.644	0.723	0.158
, u	0.22	0.432	0.953	0.648	0.249
5	0.49	0.569	1,139	0.676	0.367
6	0.63	0.630	1.260	0.683	0.394
7	0.76	0-671	1.341	0.696	0.424
н 0	0.49	0.695	1.391	0.699	0.421
10	1.45	0.804	1 607	0.734	0.455
11	1.73	0.948	1.695	0.764	0.455
12	2.24	0.017	1.835	0.847	0.429
11	2.51	0.943	1,839	0.952	0.411
15	2.90	0.058	1.915	0.965	0.337
16	3.24	0.923	1.945	1.009	0-259
17	3.39	0.004	1.809	1.024	0.239
10	3.45	0.758	1.515	1 002	0.209
20	3.64	0.825	1.650	1.035	0-192
21	3.70	0.822	1.645	1.053	0.163
22	3.99	0.912	1.625	1.060	0.162
23	4.13	0.759	1.529	1.062	0.128
25	4.44	0.698	1.376	1.068	0.057
26	4.57	0.671	1.343	1.077	0.044
27	4.61	0.693	1.345	1.081	0.047
29	5 28	0.585	7.369	1.173	0.017
30	5.47	0. 449	1.295	1.119	-0.007
12	5.68	0.F51	1.301	1.117	-0.003
32	5.06	0.627	1.254	1.118	-0.027
37 74	6,37	0_604	1.208	1.113	-0.033
35	6.44	0.592	1.164	1.105	-0.037
36	F.70	0.555	1.110	1.087	-0-040
37	6 96	0.552	1.103	1.092	-0.040
30	6.89	0.511	1.022	1.051	-0.025
4)	7.07	0.520	1.040	1.054	-0.034
41	7.16	0.536	1.073	1.055	-0-030
42	7.29	0.548	1.095	1.055	-0.013
a u	R_30	0.561	1.171	1.107	-0.024
45	8.62	0.558	1,115	1.102	-0.051
46	9.48	0.551	1.102	1.125	-0.077
47	10.07	0.560	1.120	1.141	-0.094
80	10.24	0.579	1.058	1-120	-0.085
50	10.29	0.486	0.972	1.098	-0.094
51	10.34	0.502	1.004	1.082	-0.077
52	10.41	0.509	1.079	1.087	-0.077
54	10.84	0.522	1.043	1.132	-0.111
55	11.13	0.512	1.025	1.123	-0.118
56	11.40	0.523	1.945	1_140	-0.125
ライ 58	12.14	0 505	1.031	1.131	-0.121
£9	12.47	0.503	1.006	1.133	+0.125
60	12.72	0.507	1.013	1.132	-0.121
51 67	13.09	0.502	1.004	1.143	-0.135
63	13.70	0.512	1.074	1.149	-U.145 -0.145
64	13.93	0.508	1.016	1.157	-0.155
65	14.06	0.520	1.040	1.172	-0.165
55 67	14.62	0.575	1.069	1.188	-0.169
68	15.80	0.579	1.057	1.198	-0.174
69	15.89	0.509	1.018	1.181	-0.18?
70	16.00	0.509	1.019	1.1PB	-0.182
71	10.15	0.503	1.022	1.186	-0.182
73	16.41	0.409	0.997	1.154	-0.163
7 a	16.70	0.504	1.009	1.185	-0.192

٠

÷

- 191 -

٩

•

,

UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA SOIL MECHANICS LABOPATORY

SAMPLE NO. = F 411HOLP NO. =6DEPTH =9.56 METRES TO8.74 METRESSAMPLE HPIGHT AFTER CONSOLIDATION =12.895 CENTIMETRESSAMPLE VOLUME AFTER CONSOLIDATION =586.490 CUBIC CENTIMETRESSAMPLE AFTA BFTER CONSOLIDATION =45.482 SQUARE CENTIMETRESCONSTANT LOBD =13.83 N .PROVING FING PRIME PARTOF =4.1560 N ./DIVPISTOW ARTA =5.0600 SQUARE CENTIMETRESINITIAL DIAL FEADING =1866.20 DIVISIONS

SHEAP PEST PESTLES START 19811012 END 19811014

CONSOLTDATED JNDPAINED TPTATTAL TEST

ΡŢ	FIME	DISPL	PFING	POPP	PFP	EFFECT	EFFECT	HALF	DEV	EFFECT	RATIO OF	A
		DIAL	DILL	PRESS	CENT	SIGMAN	SIGMA3	DEV	STRESS	OCT	EPP SIGEA1	
		RDG	RDG	KPA	STRAIN	KPA	KPA	STRESS	KPA	STRESS	EFP SIFFA3	
								KPA		KPA		
1	840	1866 2	637	210 1	0 00	89 2	57 8	15 7	31.4	68.3	1 5 <i>4</i> n	8888888
,	845	1961 5	7 1 1	218 7	0 00	01 0	52 /	10 7	38 /	66 2	1 720	0 66
2	743	10010.0	70.7	214.7	0.00	71.0	33.0	17.2	50.4	60 E	1.720	0.00
		1774.5	19.1	210.0	0.04	75.2	47.2	23.0	40.0	64. 5	1.933	0.50
-	901	1837.8	90.2	223.5	0.22	99.9	44.4	27.8	55.5	62.9	2.251	0.50
5	910	1423.0	96.4	226.1	0.34	102.9	41.8	30.6	61.1	62.2	2.462	0.54
6	923	1797.9	103.0	228.5	0.53	136.4	39.4	33.5	67.0	61.7	2.700	0.52
7	932	1732.3	107.0	229.7	0.65	108.7	39.1	35.3	70.6	61.6	2.852	0.50
8	940	1759.1	110.4	230.7	0.75	110.8	37.2	36.8	73.6	61.7	2.977	0.49
9	1000	1732.1	117.P	232.0	1.04	115.7	35.6	40.0	80.1	62.3	3.249	0.45
10	1020	1697.2	123.8	232.7	1.31	120.1	34.8	42.6	85.3	63.2	3.450	0.42
11	1040	1558.1	128.2	232.5	1.61	123.9	34.9	44.5	89_0	64.6	3.549	0.39
12	1100	1623 8	132.2	232.1	1.88	127 7	35 #	46.2	923	66 2	3 608	0.36
42	1120	1585 2	130 0	230.0	2 18	130 2	36 6	46.8	97.6	67 9	3 558	0.33
4 11	1100	1505 5	124.0	20042	2.10	130.2	30.0	4040	0 4 1	70 1	3 0 2 3	0.00
4 5		1507 7	134.0	220.0	2.40	132.0	2011	4/.0	244	70.1	3.431	0.25
17	1204	1502.4	133.7	225.1	2.02	133.0	41.0	40.4	92.5	71.9	3.203	0.20
- 6	1211	149/.8	132.8	225.7	2.93	133+5	41_6	45.9	91.9	72+2	3-208	0.26
101	1216	1480.7	130./	RELAXA	ION TEST	•						
102	1217	1490.2	129.5	PETEXY.	FION TEST							
103	1219	1479_9	128.2	RELAXA	FICE TEST							
100	1223	1479.4	126.3	BEIA7A:	CICN TEST							
105	1230	1479.9	124.2	PELAYA'	TON TEST	•						
106	1245	1477.9	121.8	PPIAXA:	TION TEST							
107	1215	1477.0	120.0	BFLAXA	TON TEST							
108	1441	1475.0	116.0	PEIRXA	TON TEST							
109	1519	1474.7	115.3	RFILTS	TOR							
110	1702	1474.0	115.7	RPIATA	TON TRET							
111	2147	1173 0	112 0	RPT NVE	TON TROT							
442	2,47	3073 0	110 0	DRIANS.	TON TEST							
47	723	1472.00	10.0	5518XA.	2 07 1251				75 5	60 3	7 757	0.22
1/	721	1470.0	114.7	224.7	3.07	110.0	43.1	3/.5	75.5	00.3	2. /32	0.33
13	930	1455.8	119.5	225.7	3.11	122.4	42.5	40.0	/9.9	09.1	2.000	0 30
19	940	144/.6	122.8	225.0	3.25	125.2	42.5	41.4	82.7	70.1	2.946	0.29
20	450	1424.5	119.3	223.6	3.39	123.6	44 . 7	39.7	79.5	70.6	2.802	0.24
21	1000	1407.7	113.2	221.2	3.56	120.4	46.4	37.0	74.0	71.1	2.594	0.26
22	1015	1379.1	109.0	219.4	3.79	118.4	48.3	35.1	70.1	71.7	2.451	0.24
23	1020	1357.2	107.7	218.7	3.87	117.8	48.9	34.5	68.9	71.9	2.409	0.23
24	1023	1357.8	109.2	219.3	3.94	118.4	48.2	35.1	70.2	71.6	2.456	0.24
25	1025	1339.3	109.6	218.6	4.09	119.3	48.9	35.2	70.4	72.4	2-440	0.22
26	1030	1307.9	107.4	217.3	4.36	118.4	50.1	34.2	68.3	72.9	2.364	0.20
27	1035	1261-3	105-0	216.5	4.69	116.9	50.9	33.0	66.0	72.9	2.296	0.19
28	1040	1225.7	104.1	215.7	4.97	116.9	51.9	32.5	65.0	73.6	2-252	0.17
29	1045	1185 . 6	102.1	215.1	5.77	115.5	57.4	31.5	63.1	73.0	2.204	0.16
20	1350	4988 2	101 9	21241	5 40	115.7	52 1	24 2	67 6	78 0	2 179	0.14
24	1100	1133 0	80 6	21464	5.00	112.7	53 F	21-2	50 7	73 /	3 116	0 1 4
37	1105	4448 0	77.0	214.0	2.70	113.2	33.3	27.7	597	73.4	2 000	0 15
72	1105	1114.0	97	214.1	5.03	112.2	23.2	29.4	50.1	73.1	2.090	0.10
33	1115	1095.5	97.2	214.0	5.9/	111.8	53.4	24.2	58.4	12.9	2.094	0.14
201	1116	1395.2	96.5	RELAXA	TION TEST	•			•			
202	1117	1044.9	95.5	RFILXA	LION TELL							
203	1119	1094.9	64.8	RPLAXE	TION TEST	•						
204	1123	1094.5	94.5	PEIRAN	TION TEST							
205	1130	1094.5	94.2	PELAXA'	TION TPSI	•						
206	1145	1094.2	93.2	REIBARA	TION TEST	•						
207	1336	1092.9	91.6	PELAXA	TION TEST	•						
208	1430	1092.5	91.4	PELAXE	TION TEST							
209	1533	1392.3	91.2	RPLEXA	TON TEST	•						
		·····										

34	1537	1091-1	92.2	215.2 6.01	135.4	52.3	27.0	54.1	70 3	2 030	6 23	
35	1500	1395.5	93.7	215.3 6.05	107-5	52-2	27.7	55.3	70.6	2.060	0.23	
36	1550	1057.4	95.4	215.2 6.19	109.0	52.3	28.4	56.7	71.7	2.080	0.22	
37	1600	1049.7	95.1	215.0 6.34	108.8	52.4	28.2	56.4	71.2	2 076	0.20	
38	1502	1337.9	97.0	215.4 6.42	109.9	52.0	29.0	57.9	71.3	2 114	0.20	
39	1605	1017.7	97.7	215.4 6.61	110.5	52.1	29.2	58.4	71.6	2 121	0.20	
a 0	1510	991.8	97.7	214.6 6.86	111.1	52.8	29.1	58.3	72.2	2 104	0.20	
41	1615	936.0	98.0	214.6 7.21	111.1	52.8	29-2	58.3	72.2	2.104	0 17	1.1
42	1620	900.9	99.0	214.6 7.49	112.0	53.0	29.5	59.0	72.7	2.112	0 16	
43	1625	959.2	99.0	214.0 7.82	112.2	53.4	29.4	58.8	73.0	2-101	0.14	
44	1630	823.4	99.0	213.8 8.09	112.3	53.7	29.3	58.6	73.2	2-091	0.14	
85	1632	B18 7	97.0	213.2 8.12	111.1	54.2	28.4	56.9	73.2	2.050	0 12	
46	1635	314.3	95.7	213.3 8.16	109.9	54.1	27.9	55.8	72.7	2-031	0.13	
47	1642	799.9	95.7	213.3 8.28	109.9	54.2	27.9	55.7	72.8	2-028	0.13	
301	1624	797.2	95.3	RELAXATION TE	ST							
302	1645	797.1	94.2	RELAXATION TE	ST							
101	1647	796.9	93.5	PELAXATION TE	ST							
304	1651	796.8	93.1	PRIAFATION TR	'ST							· · · · · ·
305	165P	796.8	93.0	PELLINATION TE	ST							i de
306	1710	795.5	91.8	REIAVATION TE	ST							1. E
307	1738	796.3	91.8	PELLYATION TP	ST.							
108	1925	105.7	91.2	PEINXATION TE	CT							
309	2010	795.3	90.2	RFLAXATION TE	57							
310	953	704.5	88.5	RELEVANION TE	57							1
8 8	956	792.4	90.8	214.4 8.33	104.8	53.2	25.8	51.6	70.4	1.969	0.21	
4 0	1020	750.5	94.9	214.3 8.65	108.1	53.3	27.4	54.8	71-6	2.028	0.18	
50	1040	717.2	95.0	214.0 8.94	108.3	53.6	27.4	54.7	71.8	2.021	0.17	
51	1103	F69.5	95.1	213.7 9.28	108.6	54 - 0	27-3	54.6	72.2	2-011	0.16	
52	1105	653_5	97.3	214.2 9.40	109.7	53.4	28.2	56.3	72.2	2-055	0.16	
63	1115	572.0	99.2	213.2 10.03	111.0	54 - 3	29.3	56.7	73.2	2-044	0.12	
54	1120	541.0	99.5	213.3 10.28	112.0	54 4	28.8	57.6	73.6	2.059	0.12	
55	1125	505.1	99.7	212.8 10.55	112.1	54.9	28.6	57.2	74.0	2.043	0.10	
56	1126	501.8	95.5	212.9 10.58	109.7	54.8	27.5	54.9	73.1	2-002	0.12	
57	1130	u94 . 4	°6.5	212.7 10.64	109.8	54.9	27.5	54.9	73.2	2.000	0.11	
58	1140	475.0	97.2	212.4 10.79	110.5	55.1	27.7	55.4	73.6	2.005	0.10	
59	1200	437.5	47.0	212.5 11.08	110.1	55.0	27.5	55.1	73.4	2-001	0.10	
60	1220	300.4	96 . P	212.5 11.37	109.7	55.0	27.4	54.7	73.2	1.995	0.10	
51	1240	361.5	96.8	212.4 11.67	109.6	55.1	27.3	54.5	73.3	1-990	0.10	
62	1307	310.0	95.0	212.7 12.06	108.4	54.8	26.9	53.6	72.7	1.979	0.12	
101	1309	309.4	94.8	PELAXATION TE	SI			-				1.1
402	1310	300.7	94.5	RELAXATION TE	ST							
403	1312	309.0	94.3	PEINXATION TE	CT.							
470	1316	ສຸງ ຄູ ດ	93.0	RELAXATION TP	ST							
405	1323	209.7	93.7	PELAXATION TE	57							
406	1337	308.5	97.0	PELAXATION TE	ST							
407	1415	307.8	92.2	RELAXATION TE	ST							
4 () (3	1500	207.4	92.1	RELAVATION TP	ST							
409	1948	306.2	90.2	RELEXATION TE	ST							
63	1952	303.5	°2.0	213.7 12.12	104.2	53.8	25.2	50.4	70.6	1.937	0.19	
64	2000	280.4	94.2	213.7 12.23	105.7	53.6	26.1	52.1	71.0	1.972	0.17	
65	2023	247.7	95.9	213.3 12.55	107.4	54.1	26.6	53.3	71.9	1.985	0.15	
66	2059	181.8	96.7	212.6 13.06	108.2	54 6	26.8	53.6	72.5	1.982	0.11	
57	2100	170.8	98.2	212.5 13.15	109.5	54.8	27.4	54.7	73.0	1.999	0.10	
68	2115	55.0	99.4	211.6 14.05	110.9	55.8	27.6	55.1	74.2	1.988	0.06	
59	2120	12.7	100.2	211.2 14.37	111.6	56.1	27.8	55.5	74.6	1.990	0.05	
70	2130	-57.1	100.5	211.1 14.92	111.6	56.2	27.7	55.4	74.7	1.986	0.04	
71	2140	-131.1	94 . 3	211.2 15.49	110.2	56.1	27.1	54.1	74.1	1.965	0.05	
71	2150	-212. 5	100.8	210.1 16.12	112.0	57.1	27.4	54.9	75.4	1.961	0.00	
77	2200	-2-2.6	100.8	209.6 16.66	111.9	57.4	27.3	54.5	75.6	1.950	-0.02	
73	2202	-293.4	99.0	210.1 16.75	113.0	56.9	26.6	53.1	74.6	1.933	0.00	
74	2210	-339.7	09.0	210.2 16.87	110.0	57.0	26.5	53.0	74.7	1.930	0.00	
75	2220	-728.8	98.5	210.6 17.02	109.1	56.5	26.3	52.6	74.0	1.930	0.02	
76	2230	-347.2	98.5	210.6 17.15	108.9	56.4	26.2	52.5	73.9	1.930	0.02	

- 193 -

SAMPLE	NC.	=	Ţ	417	POIT NO.	. =	6	Pep TH	=	8.56	METRES	T 0	8.74	eet bes

END 19811014

CONSOLIDATION AXIAL STRESS = 88.90 KPA PRETONSOLIDATION PRESSURE = 210.00 KPA NOFMALIZING SIRESS = 84.90 KPA

NORMALIZED SHEAR TEST RESULTS START 19811012

p -	P FR	NPMIND	WRMJ 7D	NRELZD	NRSLZD CHANGE
	CENT	RAIF	STREES	STRESS	IN PWP
	- : • • • .	STETSS	KPA	*PA	KPA
		Köl			
1	0.00	0.177	0.354	0.769	0.000
2	0.04	0.216	0.433	0.746	0.052
3	0.04	0.313	0.515	0.708	0.151
5	0.34	0.344	0.688	0.700	0.183
ĸ	0.53	0.377	0.754	0.695	0.207
7	0.45	0.347	0.878	0.695	0.232
× 0	1.04	0.451	0.902	0.701	0.247
10	1.31	0.430	0.960	0.712	0.255
11	1.51	0.501	1-002	0.745	0.202
12	2.19	0.527	1.054	0.764	0.234
14	2.44	0.530	1.060	0.789	0-207
15	2.87	0.523	1.045	0.810	0_176
16	2.94	0.425	0.850	0.769	0.162
18	3.11	0.450	0.00	0.779	0.169
10	3.25	0.466	0.931	0.787	0.165
20	3 20	0,448	0.492	0.800	0.125
27	3.70	0.795	0.799	0.807	0.105
23	9.97	0.388	0.775	0.809	0.097
24	3.94	0.395	0.790	0.815	0.095
25	4.36	0.785	0.769	0.821	0.081
27	4.69	0.372	0.743	0.921	0.072
29	4.97	0.366	0.732	0.828	0.063
20	5.27	0.352	0.705	0.833	0.048
31	5.76	0.336	0.573	0.827	0.044
32	5.83	0.331	0.661	0.823	0.045
33	5.97	0.329	0.609	0.792	0.057
35	6.05	0.312	0.623	0.796	0.059
36	6.19	0.719	0.639	0.802	0.057
37	6.34	0.717	0.535	0.802	0.045
19 10	5.42 5.61	0.729	0.658	0.906	0.060
40	6.85	0.328	0.656	0.813	0.051
<u>ц</u> 1	7.21	0.328	0.657	0.813	0.051
42 43	7.87	0.331	0.662	0.822	0.044
4 u	9,00	0.770	0.660	0.825	0.042
45	R.12	0.320	0.641	0.824	0.035
8K 117	9,16 9,29	0.314	0.527	0.819	0.036
48	P. 73	0.290	0.581	0.793	0.048
<u>a o</u>	8.65	0.309	0.617	0.806	0.04/
50	8.94	0.304	0.615	0.813	0.041
52	9.40	0.217	0_634	0.813	0.045
53	10.03	0.319	0.638	0.824	0.035
54	10.28	0.324	0.645	0.833	0.033
56	10.55	0.209	0.619	0.823	0.032
57	10.64	0.309	0.6*8	0.824	0.029
58	10.79	0.312	0-524	0.826	0.027
60	11.37	0.308	0.616	0.825	0.027
61	11.67	0.307	0.614	0.825	0.025
62	12.05	0.302	0.568	0.795	0_024
5 5 5 U	12.72	0.207	0.587	0.799	0.041
65	12.55	0.300	0.600	0.809	0.036
66	13.06	0.302	0.604	0.815	0+024
67	13.15	0.309	0.621	0.823 0.835	0.017
69	14.37	0.312	0.625	0.840	0.012
70	14.92	0.312	0.674	0.941	0.011
71	15.49	0.305	0.618	0_849	0.000
72	16.66	0.207	0.674	0.851	-0.005
73	16.75	0.799	0.598	0.940	0.000
74	16.87 17.07	0.299	0_597	0.834	0.005
1.3				-	

SOTE RECHANTES LABORATORY									
SFADLS	NC. = 7	413	HOLF NC. =	6	DEPT9 =	8.56	METRES TC	8.74 METRES	
ST HDLS	BETGHT	AFT FR COT	SOLIDATION =	13.104	CENTINETR	ES			
SAMPLE	AUTIKE	APTER CON	SCLIPATION =	595.780	CUBIC CEN	TIPETF	ES		
SAMPLE	APPA AP	TEP CONSO	IINATION =	45.466	SQUABE CE	NTIMES	TRES		

5.0600 SQUARE CENTIMETRES

END 19811103

REPROM

SIGMAN

56.0

56.5

56.6

59.7

71.6

73.6

75.1

78.4

81.7

84.6

95.0

86.8

86.1

80.8

83.1

83.5

82.7

80.4

79.0

78.6

77.8

77.4

KPA

PPPECT

SIGMA3

38.3

36.1 28.6

25.3

22.9

21.2

20.1

19.0

17.8

17.4

17.6

19.2

18.9

20.7

23.9

23.4 24.1

25.2

29.4

30.8

31.8

32.5

32.8

KPA

HALP

DEV

KPA

STRESS

8.9

10.2

20.6

23.4

25.2

26.8

29.1

30.3

32.1

33.5

33.9

33.9

32.7

28.4

29.9

29.7

28.7

25.5

24.1

23.4

22.6

22.3

,

DEV

KPA

STRESS

17.7

20.4 34.4

41.3

46.8

50.4

53.5

56.1

60.6

64.3

67.0

67.8

67.9

65.4

56.9

59.7

59.4

57.5

51.0

48.2

46.8

45.3

44.6

EFFECT

STRESS

44.2

42.9 40.1

39.1

38.5

38.0

37.9

37.7

3R. 0

38.8

39.9

49.8

41.5

42.5

42.9

43.3

44.4

86.4

46.9

47.4

47.6

47.7

0CT

KPA.

RATIO OF

EFF SIGFA1

EFF SIFMA3

1.463

1.564

2.204

2.631 3.042

3.379

3,664

3.954

4.403

4-695

4.806

4.725

4_591

4-157

3.379

3.552

3.463

3.281

2.734

2.566

2.470

2.394

2.361

A

00000000

0.79

0.57

0.56

0.53

0.53

0.51

0.50

0.48

0.44

0.42

0.40

0.38

0.37

0.35

0.34

0.33

0.31

0.26

0.24

0.22

0.21

0.20

۹.

4.1560 N ./DIV

= 1996.20 DIVISIONS

14.13

PER

CPNT

0.00

0.01

0.13

0.26

0.40

0.52

0.66

0.74

٦-07

1.35

1.63

1.92

2.07

RFLAXATION TEST BELAXATION TEST

PELLYATION TEST

PETRXATION TEST

PFIAXATION TEST BFIAXATION TEST PEIAVATION TEST FELAVATION TEST FFIAXATION TEST FFIAXATION TEST

RELAXATION TEST

2.15

2.28

2.43

2.57

2.87

3.16

3.51

3.75

4.03

PEIAVATICS TEST

REINVATION TEST

PEINXATION TEST

RELAVATION TEST

RELAXATION TEST

RELAXATION TEST

PEINXATION TEST

RPLAXATION TEST PEIANATION TEST

RELAXATION TEST

STRAIN

=

START 14811102

DORT

KPA

PRESS

240.3

242.4

249.8

253.4

255.8

257.5 258.6

259.5

260.8

261.0

260.P

260.1

259.5

257.7

254.0

254.6

253.9

252.8

248.9

246.6

246.1

245.8

PETHO

DTAL

49.9

52.8

68.2

75.8

81.9

P6.0

89.5

92.4

97.5

101.8

105.0

106.0

106.2

103.5

102.8

101.5

100.0

98.2

96.8

95.0

93.3

91.8

90.6

84.8 89.U

88.8

94.3

97.3

97.0

95.0

P7.º

85.0

83.5

82.0

R1.4

81.2

80.6

79.5

79.0

78.5

78.0

77.6

77.5

77.2

75.7

REG

UNIVERSITY OF SANITORA SOTL BECHANTES LABORA

PROVING PACHOP

TNITIAL DIAL READING

CONSULTDAMED INDURINED MEINAINI TECT

DISDI DTAL

RDG

1996.2

1994.9

1070.2

1962.0

1943.9

1928.5

1917.0

1992 5 1955 9

1810.5

1792.5

1761.8

1744.2

1725.2

1725.2

1729.4

1724.7

1724.1

1723.9

1722.3

1721.5

1720.9

1720.5

1720.4

1720.1

1715.0

1597.9

1577.5

1659.5

16?7.5

1536.8

1504_6

1467.8

1466.7

1465.1

1466.1

1465.8

1465.5

1465.2

1454.8

1464.3

1454.1

1463.7

SHEAR ARGA BESULAS

CONSTANT LOSD

PISTON AFRA

PT. ****

1

2

3

0

5

6

7

R

q

19

11

12

13

14

101

102

103

104

105

105

107

108

109

110

111

112

15

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

825

830

940

850

300

910

920

930

950

1010

1330

1040

1050

1100

1101

1102

1104

1108

1115

1200

1300

1429

1600

1700

1925

1930

1940

1950

2000

2020

2103

2120

2140

2141

2142

2144

2148

2157

2210 2240

2310

2338

24	805	1459.5	18.3	245.4 4.10	/4.4	32.4	21.0	42.0	46.4	2.295	0.21
25	P10	1450.3	74.7	245.5 4.17	75.6	32.4	21.6	43.2	46.8	2.332	0.20
26	920	1071.5	80.5	245.2 4.31	76.5	32.7	21.9	43.8	47.3	2.339	0.19
27	830	1012.3	80.7	244.8 4.46	77.0	33.1	21.9	43.9	47.7	2-326	0.17
28	950	1375.7	P1.0	244.4 4.74	77.5	33.5	22.0	84 O	48 2	2 314	0 16
20	910	1337 5	81 2	244 1 5 03	77 8	77 7	22.0	nn 1	19 /	2 200	0 1/
20	620	1337 0	01.2	7/3 4 5 33	70 4	30.1	22.0	44.0	40.4	2.500	0.14
50	930	1277.07	C 1.4		70.1	34.1	22J	44.0	48.6	2.289	0.13
31	933	124/**	82 .u	243.6 5.41	79.1	34 . 2	22.5	44.9	49.2	2.314	0.12
32	9.55	1273.1	P2.9	243.3 5.54	79.7	34.4	22.7	45.3	49.5	2.317	0.11
33	940	1234.0	82.7	243.4 5.81	79.4	34.4	22.5	45.0	49.4	2.308	0.11
34	945	1195.7	82.6	243.2 6.10	79.4	34.6	22.4	44.8	49.5	2.294	0.11
35	950	1157.7	82.4	242.8 6.40	79.5	35.0	22.2	44.5	49.8	2.271	0.09
36	955	1119.4	82.4	242.4 6.69	79.6	35.3	22-2	44.3	50-1	2.256	0.08
37	1000	1069.9	82.5	242.5 7.07	79.5	35.3	22-1	44.2	50-0	2-253	0_08
38	1005	1341 6	82.0	747 H 7 78	70 /	35 3	22.0	8.8. 1	50 0	2 2/0	0.00
30	1010	1006 9	97 5	24244 7421	93.0	36.0	22.0		50.0	2.240	0.00
	1010		CZ+0	241+6 7+33	70 6	30.0	22.0	44.0	50.7	2.223	0.05
40	1.3 - 5	77	C2+7	241.4 7.55	79.0	35.7	21.9	43.9	50.3	. 2. 229	0.06
41	1020		82.5	241.7 R.12	79.5	35.7	21.9	43.8	50.3	2.226	0.05
42	1025	997.6	82.4	241.5 8.38	79.6	36.1	21_8	43.5	50.6	2.206	0.05
43	1027	800°3	81.0	241.4 8.44	78.6	36.3	21.2	42.3	50.4	2.166	0.04
44	1030	663 9	80.5	241.4 8.40	78.0	36.1	21.0	41.9	50.1	2.161	0.05
45	1040	864.3	80.4	241.2 8.60	78.0	36.2	20.9	41.8	50.1	2.154	0.04
46	1050	446.5	80.4	241.4 8.77	77.8	36.1	20.9	41.7	50.0	2-155	0.05
47	1110	P10_0	P0.2	241.4 9.05	77.5	36.1	20.7	41.4	49.9	2.147	0.05
301	1111	809.2	78.9	BETLYBUTON TPS							••••
302	3117	819.1	78.6	PPILVLTTOP TEST							
202	1111	902 0	70 0	DELANDTON TRO							
303	1110	000 0	70.0	PRINTER PROPERTY							
205	1113	000 0	7/43	DELEXALLUS (221							
305	1127	000 0	7/•2	RELEVATION TOTS							
300	1200	595.0 507.0	70.4	PELAFACIOS TES:							
307	4242	007.0	/2.0	RELAXATION TEST							
108	1315	507.0	15.1	BELFXATION TEST							
309	1345	P05.P	75.4	RELAXATION TEST	E						
310	1504	806.7	75.0	PEINTATION TEST	•						
311	1017	905.6	74.0	PFLAXATION TEST							
48	1920	804.0	77.0	242.3 9.10	74.3	35.6	19.3	38.7	48.5	2.097	0.10
49	1930	785_0	78.5	241.7 9.24	76.0	36.1	19_9	39.9	49.4	2.105	0.06
50	1940	766.9	7º.9	241.5 9.38	76.5	36.3	20.1	40.2	49.7	2.106	0.05
51	1950	748.8	79.0	241.4 9.52	76.8	36.6	20-1	40-2	50.0	2-097	0.05
52	2010	709.0	79.2	240.7 9.82	77.0.	36 - 8	20.1	40.2	50.2	2.093	0_02
53	2020	690.0	79.5	241.1 9.97	76.8	36.4	20.2	40.4	49.9	2.110	0.04
50	2030	570-1	79.8	240.8 10.12	77.3	36.7	20 3	40.4	50 2	2 106	0 02
55	2053	628.0	80.0	240 8 10 44	77 2	36 6	20.3	00.0	50.1	2.100	0.02
56	2108	611 8	70 0	24080 10844	77 1	26 7	20.3	. 40.00	50-1	2.110	0.02
57	2110	500 D	01 0	24017 1010	77.0	30.0	20.2	40.4	50.2	2.100	0.02
50	2110	554 7	0,1.9	240.7 10.73	70.0	30.8	21.0	42.0	50.8	2.142	0.02
5-	2117	77'.2	n 1 - 2	240.5 11.03	/8.4	37.0	20.7	41.4	50.8	2-119	0.01
54	2120	572.8	61.5	240.5 11.32	78.5	37.1	20.7	41.4	50.9	2.117	0.01
50	2130	435.6	81.8	240.2 11.91	78.7	37.3	20.7	41.4	51.1	2.110	-0.00
61	2140	365.5	82.2	239.9 12.44	79.2	37.8	20.7	41.4	51.6	2.097	-0.02
62	2150	288.5	82.5	239.5 13.03	79.7	38.3	20.7	41.4	52.1	2.081	-0.03
53	2200	211.8	82.6	239.1 13.62	80.0	38.8	20.6	41.2	52.5	2.062	-0_05
64	2203	234.2	P1.5	239.0 13.68	79.2	38.9	20.1	40.3	52.3	2.036	-0.05
65	2210	190.8	81.0	239.0 13.78	78.7	38.8	19.9	39.9	52.1	2.027	-0.06
66	2220	169.9	81.0	238.9 13.94	78.7	38.9	19.9	39.8	52.2	2.023	-0.0F
67	2230	154-0	80.9	239 1 14 06	78.5	38.9	19.8	39.6	52-1	2.019	-0.05
											••••

· • • • •

- 196 -

<u>م</u>

SAMPLE NO. = T 413 HOLE NO. = 6 DEPTH = 8.56 METRES TO 8.74 METRES

 CONSOLIDATION AVIAL STRESS
 = 59.50 KPA

 PPECONSOLIDATION PRESSUPF
 = 210.00 KPA

 NORMALIZING STRESS
 = 59.50 KPA

NORMALIZED SHEAR TEST DESILIS START 19811102 END 19811103

	CENT	HATE	DEV	OCT	CHANGE
	STRATE	DEV	STRESS	STRES	IN PWP
		STEPSC KPA	KDY	KPA	KPA
1	0.00	0.149	0,298	0-743	0 000
2	0.01	0.171	0.342	0.721	0.035
2	0.13	0.289	0.578	0.674	0.160
4	0.26	0_347	0.694	0.656	0.220
5	0.49	0.393	0.786	0.647	0.261
7	0.66	0 450	0.848	0.534	0.289
8	0.79	0.472	0.943	0.634	0.323
q	1.07	0.509	1.018	0.538	0.345
10	1.35	0°∠π0	1.081	0.653	0.343
11	1.63	0.563	1.126	0.671	0.345
12	1.79	0.570	1.139	0.686	0.333
10	2.07	0.549	1.141	0.695	0.323
15	2.15	0.1178	0.456	0.720	0.230
16	2.24	0.502	1.704	0.728	0.243
17	2.43	0.409	0.0998	0.738	0.229
18	2.57	0.093	0.946	0.746	0.210
20	2.8/	0.428	0.957	0.780	0.145
20	3,51	0.40-	0 786	0.785	0.123
2?	3.75	0.781	0.741	0.800	0.097
23	4.03	0.375	0.750	0.801	0.092
24	4.10	0.753	0.705	0.780	0.085
25	4.17	0.767	0.725	0.796	0.087
20	4.31	0.355	0.736	0.745	0.082
28	4.74	0.770	0.740	0.810	0.075
29	5.03	0.370	0.741	0.813	0.064
30	5.37	0.369	0.739	0.819	0.055
31	5.41	0.378	0.755	0.927	0.055
32	5.54	0.381	0.762	0.832	0.05)
33 78	5-10	0.376	0.753	0.930	0.052
35	6.40	0.374	0.747	0_937	0.047
36	K.59	0.373	0.745	0.842	0.035
27	7.07	0.372	0.743	0.941	0.037
38	7.24	0.370	0.741	0.840	0.035
<u>н</u> о.	7.85	0.769	0.740	0.852	0.022
41	9,12	0.368	0.736	0.845	0.02/
42	8.38	0.366	0.732	0.851	0-020
47	9.44	0.356	0.7*2	0.847	0.019
44	9,19	0.352	0.705	0.842	0.019
45	8.64 e 77	0.351	0.702	0.842	0.015
47	9-05	0.348	0.595	0.940	0,011
48	9.10	0.325	0.650	0.815	0.034
49	9.24	0. 775	0.670	0.870	0.024
50	0.39	0.777	0.675	0.975	0.027
51	9.52	0.337	0.675	0.840	0.018
77 57	7.92	0.340	0.676	0.944	0.007
54	10.12	0.341	0.682	0.800	0.009
55	10.44	0.341	0.683	0.843	0.009
56	10.65	0.739	0.678	0.843	0.007
57	10.77	0.353	0.706	0.854	0.007
,, 50	11.30	0.548 0.340	0.695	0.954	0.003
60	11.91	0.349	0.696	0.850 N 850	0.003
61	12.44	0.748	0.697	0.868	-0.007
62	13.03	0.748	0.504	0.876	-0.013
53 64	13.62	0.344	0.692	0.883	-0.020
04 65	11.54	0.139	0.677	0_890	-0.022
66	17,94	0.334	0.569	0.877	-0.022
67	14.05	0.323	0.666	0.976	-0.02)

١

ć
UNIVERSITY OF SANITORA SOLL SECHANICS LABORATORY

SAMPLE NO. = T 416 HOLE NO. =	= f	DEPTH = 11.30 HETPES TO	11.48 MEIRES
SAMPLE HEIGHT APPER CONSCLIDATION	= 13.050	CENTIMETRES	
SAMPLE FOLDER APPEPP CONSOL IDAMJON	= 590.720	CUBIC CENTLMETRES	
STHATS TREF TALLA CONSOLIDILION	= 45.266	SQUAPE CENTIMETRES	
CONSTANT LOAD	= 13.93	u	
PROVING RING PACHOR	= 4.1560	N ./DIV	
PISTON AREA	= 5.0600	SQUARE CENTIMETRES	
TNITIAL DIAL PEADING	= 2051.00	DIVISIONS	

SHEAP FEST RESULTS START 19811106 END 19811108

CONSOLTOATED UNDERTNED TELAYIRI TEST

: J

P."	Ţ₩Ţ	NT SPL DIAL ROG	PRING DIAI RDG	PORT PRESS KPR	PEP CENT STRLIN	EFFECT SIGMA1 KPA	EFFECT SIGNA3 KPL	HALF Dev Stpess KPA	DEV STRESS KPA	EPPECI OCT Stress KPA	RATIO OF EPF SIGMA1 EPF SIFEA3	A
1	1312	2051.0	45.5	209-5	0.00	44.3	25 . 8	9.3	18 5	32 0	1 710	0000000
2	1315	2349.8	48.0	211.0	0.01	45.1	24.7	10.4	20.8	31. 2	1.858	0000000
3	1320	2042.9	56.5	214.6	0.06	49.4	20.B	74.3	28.6	30.3	2.376	0.51
4	130	2325.3	f7.0	218.3	0.19	55.3	17.1	19.1	38.2	29. B	3.234	0.45
5	1380	2009.1	72.9	219.0	0.32	59.0	15.4	21.8	43.6	29.9	3.829	0.42
<u>ج</u>	1350	1393.A	78.0	220.8	0.46	62.7	14.5	24.1	49.2	30.6	4.322	0.38
7	1400	1974 8	81.2	221.2	0.58	65.0	14.0	25.5	51.0	31.0	4.646	0.36
8	1110	1955.2	85.2	221.4	0.73	68.3	13.7	27.3	54.6	31.9	4.987	0.33
, q	1020	1015.8	89.1	221.4	0.88	71.9	13.9	29.0	58.1	33.2	5.209	0.30
.70	1430	1919.3	91.5	221.2	1.01	74.1	13.9	30.1	60.2	34.0	5.330	0.28
11	1400	1900.6	04.5	22 . 0	1.15	77.0	14.2	31_4	62.8	35.1	5.424	0_26
12	1500	1442.0	95.0	220.6	1.30	78.5	14.4	32.1	64.1	35.8	5.452	0.24
1 11	1530	1000.0	98.0	220.0	7.42	90.8	15.0	32.9	65.8	36.9	5.389	0.22
15	1530	1020.4	103.3	219.0	1.71	80.1	15.9	35.1	70.2	39.3	5.413	0.18
96	1500	1701 /	105.0	210.1	1.00	0,/.0	10.0	35.5	/1.0	40.5	5.224	0.16
17	1500	1753 7	105.0	217.3	1.75	07.1	1/+3	35.9	71.8	41.2	5.149	0.15
18	1610	1734.0	104 5	218 1	2.020	91.5	17.7	37.9	71.8	43.0	4.645	0.11
19	1515	1724.5	104.0	213.5	2 50	91.7	20.7	30.7	71.0	44.4	4 4 30	0.09
101	1617	1723.6	101.9	PEINTNY	TON TPST	J ,	21.42	30.5	10.5	44. /	4.320	0.08
102	1518	1723.4	101.5	RPLAXAT	TOP TEST							
103	1520	1723.0	100.4	RFIAXAT	ICN TEST							
104	1524	1722.6	98.2	RTLAYAT	TON TEST							
105	1631	1722-2	97.0	RELAXAT	ICN TEST							
106	1546	1721.7	95.8	RELATAT	TOK "EST							
107	1716	1720.5	95.0	BELAXA"	TON TEST							
108	1758	1727.2	93.6	BELNYA"	TON TEST							
109	2030	1718_4	91.2	RPLAXAT	ION TEST							
110	2342	1717.8	90.0	BRIANAT	TION TEST							
111	847	1716_R	86.0	RELAXAT	ION TEST							
20	850	1715.2	87.2	205.7	2.57	85.5	30 - 2	27.7	55.3	48.6	2.832	-0.10
21	900	1707.9	99.0	210.0	2.68	91.7	25.9	32.9	65.8	47.8	3.540	0.01
22	330	1543.0	101.5	210.0	2.82	93.7	25.8	34.0	67.9	48.4	3.633	0.01
23	920	1352.9	101.2	209.2	2.97	94.1	- 26 . 5		67.6	49.0	3.550	-0.01
24	340	1540.4	99.5	205.5	3.70	93.5	27.3	33.1	66.2	49.4	3.426	-0.02
26	1010	1567 0	40.2	200.3	3-39	91.4	29-5	31.0	61.9	50.1	3.100	-0.07
27	1330	1528 3	87 0	202.9	3.70	54.8	32.7	28.6	57.3	51+6	2.763	-0.17
28	1050	1490.1	84.5	201.0	4.01	00.1	33 . /	2/02	54.4	51.8	2.614	-0.22
29	1112	1451 0	87 9	100 7	4.30	95 0	34.3	20.0	52.0	51.8	2.508	-0.27
30	1120	1010.7	81.8	199.0	4.00	85 7	35.4	20.2	50.4	52.2	2.423	-0.31
201	1132	1412.2	81.0	RELAYAT	TON TEST	00.3	20.7	2467	47.4	32+4	2.3/0	-0.34
202	1133	1411.8	80.8	PPLAXAT	ION TEST							
203	1135	1411.7	79.9	PELAXAT	TON TEST							
204	1139	1411.7	74.5	PELIXAT	TON TEST							
205	1146	1411.5	79.3	RELAXAT	ICN TEST							
206	1155	1411.2	79.0	BBIFTAT	TON TAST							
207	1230	1410.8	78.0	RELAVAT	ION IEST							
209	1435	1409.9	76.0	PELAXAT	ION TEST							
209	1900	1409.0	75-3	PELLYAT	ION TEST							
210	910	1438.0	72.3	RELAXET	TON TEST							

~

31	970	1399.7	79.0	200-8 4-99	83.7	37 . 1	23.3	46.6	57 6	2,255	-0.21
32	930	1383.6	80.5	201-1 5-11	94.5	36.7	23.9	4010	52.6	2 303	-0.31
33	379	1366.8	80.2	200.8 5.24	84.5	37.0	23.8	47.5	52.8	2.284	=0.30
34	950	1745.2	80.1	200.5 5.41	84.5	37.2	23.7	47.3	53.0	2.273	-0-31
35	1337	1324.5	80.3	200.1 5.57	95.0	37.6	23.7	47.4	53.4	2-262	-0-33
36	1025	1281.6	80.3	198.6 5.90	86.2	38.9	23.6	47.3	54.7	2.216	-0.39
37	1035	1259.5	80.0	199.4 6.07	84.9	37.9	23.5	47.0	53.6	2-239	-0-36
78	1038	1247.3	81.5	109.9 6.16	85.7	37.5	24.1	48.2	53.6	2.285	-0.32
39	1340	1233.9	R2.0	199.7 6.29	86.2	37.6	24.3	48.6	53.8	2,292	-0.33
40	1045	1194_6	82.0	199.3 6.64	86.6	38.2	24.2	48.4	54.3	2.266	-0.34
41	1050	1156.3	P2.0	199.2 6.86	86.6	38.3	24.1	48.3	54.4	2.260	-0.35
42	1055	1114.8	P2.0	199.2 7.17	86.3	38.2	24.1	48.1	54.2	2.259	-0.35
47	1100	1375.0	82.1	198.7 7.47	86.8	38.8	24.0	48.0	54.8	2.238	-0.37
04	1105	1077.5	R3.0	198.9 7.77	37.2	38.6	24.3	48.6	54.8	2.260	-0.35
45	1110	1005.4	P2.0	198.7 8.01	86.5	38.8	23.8	47.7	54.7	2.228	-0.37
46	1115	967.0	82.2	198.4 8.31	86.9	39.2	23.8	47_7	55.1	2.216	-0.39
47	1117	961.2	P0.5	198.0 8.35	85.9	39.6	23.1	46.3	55.0	2.169	-0_41
0.9	1-20	955.0	80.5	198.2 8.40	95.7	39.5	23.1	46.2	54.9	2.169	-0.41
цo	1125	945.4	P0.5	198.0 8.47	95.7	39.5	23.1	46.2	54.9	2.169	-0-42
50	1130	936.9	80.0	198.1 8.54	85.3	30.6	22.8	45.7	54.8	2.154	-0.42
51	1135	927.1	P0.0	19P.3 8.61	85.1	39.4	22.9	45.7	54.6	2.159	-0.41
301	1136	926.2	79.7	PTINYATICN TEST							
302	1137	925.3	79.0	BELANATION TEST							
303	1130	925.1	78.5	RELAXATION TEST							
304	1143	926.0	78.0	EELNXATION TEST							
305	1150	925.7	77.4	PEINXATION TEST							
375	1205	925.2	77.0	RELAXATION TEST							
307	1220	424.4	75.8	BELAMATION TEST							
308	1230	924.4	76.9	BELAXATION TYST							
304	1158	424.2	14.1	PEIAXATION TEST							
310	1345	925.5	/6.4	ELLAXATION TEST							
317	1717	923.0	10.2	PEIAVATION TEST							
512	1949	921.0	74.8	RELAXATION TEST							
52	1922	921.0	7/-0	149.5 8.66	81.1	38.0	21.6	43.1	52.4	2.135	-0.41
51	3000	335 0	70.0		51.8	3/.4	22.0	43-9	52.5	2.160	-0.39
54	2001	997 9	79.7	100 2 0 01	52.5	35.0	22.2	44.3	52.8	2.166	-0.38
52	2010		70.5	199-3 8-91	83.0	38.3	22.5	44.7	53-2	2-167	-0.39
50	2020	27747	77.7	195.4 9.07	53./ 83.0	35.7	22.0	45.0	53.7	2.163	-0.40
5.8	2030	273 4	91 5	190 1 0 24	95.9	30. 7	22.0	4342	23.0	2.108	-0.40
50	2035	815 0	93.0	109 0 0 17	70.V	30.0	23.3	40.5	54.0	2.209	-0.3/
6.0	2000	7773	82.0	199 3 0 76	95.0	30.0	23.4	40.7	24+4 E# 0	2.209	-0-30
61	2050	707.0	83.0	197 9 10 33	27 1	37.3	27.44	40.7	54.9	2.190	-0.40
67	2120	570 1	87-5	197.4 10.89	86 9	57.0 UA U	23.0	47.5	55 0	2.100	-0.40
63	2110	552.5	83.0	196.9 11.48	87 5	40.9	23.3	40.5	56 #	2.132	-0.45
54	2.20	475.5	84.3	196.7 12-07	88.4	41.0	23.7	47.4	56.8	2.156	-0.45
65	2130	402.1	P4.0	196.5 12.64	88.0	41.7	23-4	46.9	56.7	2-140	=0.44
56	2132	391.2	82.7	196.2 12.72	97.3	#1.5	22.9	45.8	56.8	2.103	=0.49
67	2135	398.2	82.5	196.1 12.74	87.1	£1.5	22.8	45.6	56 7	2 199	-0.50
58	2149	779 0	82.5	196.4 12.81	86.8	41 2	22 B	45 6	56 4	2.106	-0.48
69	2145	368.2	82.5	196.5 12.90	86.7	<u>41.</u> 2	22-8	45.5	56-4	2.105	-0.48
70	2150	252.6	82.7	196.8 12.96	86.5	40.9	22-8	45_6	56-1	2.116	-0.47
71	2200	340.9	82.0	196.4 13.10	97.0	41.3	22.9	45.7	56.5	2.107	-0.48
72	2203	324.9	84.0	196.8 13.23	87.3	40_8	23.3	46.5	56.3	2.141	-0.45
73	2205	307.7	84.3	196.5 13.36	87.8	41.1	23.4	46.7	56.7	2.137	-0.46
74	2210	77.7	84.5	196.2 13.59	88.3	41.6	23.4	46.7	57.2	2.123	-0.47
75	2215	230.0	85.0	196.0 13.95	88.6	41.7	23.5	46.9	57.3	2.126	-0.48
76	2220	195.0	85.0	195.9 14.22	88.7	41.9	23.4	46_8	57.5	2.116	-0.48
77	2230	114.5	85.2	195.1 14.84	87.2	42.6	23.3	46.6	58.1	2.094	-0.51
78	2240	44.5	85.5	195.3 15.3P	88.9	42.4	23.3	46.5	57.9	2.098	-0.51
7 <u>9</u>	2245	73.2	85.0	195.0 15.46	98.9	42.8	23.1	46.1	58.2	2-077	-0.53
RO	2250	23.3	84.4	195.2 15.54	98.1	42.5	22.8	45.6	57.7	2.073	-0.53
R 1	2300	a • a	84.5	195.2 15.68	58.0	42.4	22.9	45.5	57.6	2.076	-0.53
92	2310	-13.9	84.7	195.4 15.82	88-0	47.3	22-8	45.7	57.5	2.080	-0.52

 \succ

- 199 -

이야지

.

SAMPLE NO. = T 416	"ROLF NO. =	6	DPDTH =	11.30 METRES TO	11.48 METRES
					1 10 4 C D M T M P D

START 19811106

CONSOLIDATION AVIAL STRESS	=	39.40	KPA
PRECONSOLIDATION PRESSURP	=	210.00	KPA
NOPERLIZING STRESS	=	39.40	K P A

NORTALTZED SHEAP TEST RESULTS

END 19811108

,

. .

.

p . 	PPP	NP#1.7D	*****		WR #1.7D
•	CENT	HALP	rev	007	CHANGE
	STRAIN	DEA	STRESS	STRESS	IN PWP
		STRESS	K P A	KPA	K P A
		KPA			
1	0.00	0.235	0.471	0-812	0,000
2	0.01	0.265	0.529	0.793	0.033
3	0.05	0.363	0.726	0.770	0.129
4	0.19	0.485	0.970	0.757	0.223
5	9.32	0.553	1.106	0.759	0.264
7	0.59	0.648	1-295	0.787	0.297
R	0.73	0.693	1.386	0.810	0.302
9	0.88	0.737	1.474	0.942	0.302
10	1.01	0.764	1.529	0.862	0.297
11	1.15	0.797	1.594	0.892	0.292
12	1,31	0.814	1.427	0.908	0.282
14	1.71	0.890	1.781	0-997	0.201
15	1.85	0.001	1.901	1.027	0.213
16	1.99	0.011	1.822	1.046	0.20?
17	2.29	0.911	1.823	1.108	0.147
18	2.43	0.901	1.802	1.126	0.117
19	2.70	0.395	1 700	7.135	0.102
21	2-69	0.835	1.670	1.214	0.013
22	2.82	0.962	1.724	1.230	0.013
23	2.97	0.858	1.715	1.244	-0.003
24	3.10	0.841	1.681	1.253	-0.025
25	3.39	0.786	1.572	1.273	-0.081
27	× , /') // 01	0.12/	7.454	1.310	+0.165
28	4.01	0.690	1 371	1 316	-0.20
29	4.60	0.639	1.279	1.325	-0.243
30	4.99	0.627	1.254	1,329	-0.266
31	4.94	0.591	1.182	1.336	-0.221
32	5.11	0.607	1.214	1.376	-0.213
2) 74	7.24	0.601	1.205	1.341	-0.221
25	5-57	0_602	1.204	1-356	-0.233
36	5.90	0.600	1.700	1.387	-0-277
37	6.07	0.596	1.192	1.359	-0.256
38	5.16	0.612	1.723	1.360	-0.244
79	6.29	0.616	1.233	1.365	-0.249
40	5.64 6.95	0.610	1.228	1.379	-0.259
42	7.17	0.611	1.227	1.377	=0.261
47	7.47	0.610	1.219	1.391	-0.274
44	7.77	0.617	1.234	1.391	-0.269
45	R_01	0.605	1.210	1.388	-0.274
46	9.31	0.605	1,210	1.398	-0.282
47	8.30	0.584	1.175	1.397	-0,292
89	8.47	0.596	1.172	1.343	-0-207
50	8.54	0.580	1.160	1.392	-0.289
51	9.51	0.579	1.159	1.385	-0.284
52	8.65	0.547	1.095	1.329	-0.254
53	8.72	0.558	1.115	1.334	-0.251
55	7.75 8.91	0.567	1.125	1.339	-0.257
56	9.07	0.571	1.143	1.363	-0.269
57	9.21	0.574	1.147	1.365	-0.269
58	9.36	0.591	1.101	1_371	-0.264
59	9.47	0.595	1.190	1.382	-0-272
67	10 33	0.594	1.186	1,393	-0.284
52	10.89	0.591	1.181	1.410	-0.294
63	11.48	0.592	1.184	1.430	-0.323
64	12.07	0.601	1.203	1.442	-0.325
65	12.64	0.595	1.189	1.440	-0.330
66	12.72	0.581	1.162	1.440	-0.339
68	12.74	0.578	1.157	1.439	-0.14)
69	12.90	0.578	1.155	1.431	-0.333
70	12.96	0.579	1.158	1.424	-0.322
71	13.10	0.580	1.161	1.435	-0.332
72	13.23	0.591	1.181	1.429	-0.322
15	13.35	0.593	7.785	7.438	-0.333
75	13.95	0.596	1.186	1.457	-U_335
76	14.22	0.594	1,197	1.459	-0.343
77	14.84	0.591	1.183	1.476	-0.365
78	15.39	0.591	1.181	1.470	-0.360
79 90	15.46	0.585	1.170	1.476	-0.363
81	10.04	0.579	7.758 9.960	1.465	-0.363
92	15.82	0.580	1.159	1.460	-0.358

-

EFFECT

STRESS

24.1

22.7

20.3

19.8 19.9

19.5

19.1

18.8

18.5

18.8

19.0

19.0

19.1

19.0

19_1

18.9

18.7

19.1

001

* P A

RATIO OF

EFF SIGPAT EFF SIFEAS

1.372

1.702

2.880

3.315

3.690

4.019

4.488

4.678

4.705

4.700

4.719

4.825

4.792

3.249

4.745

4.915

- 201 -

SAMPLE NO. = T 418 FOL" NO. = 6 DEPTH = 8.56 EFTRES TO SAMPLE HEIGHT AFTER CONSCLIPATION = 12.260 CENTIMETRES SAMPLP VOLUME AFTER CONSCLIDATION = 561.540 CUBIC CENTIMPTPES SAMPLY AREA AFITE CONSCLIDATION ÷ 45.803 SQUARE CENTIMETRES N . N ./DIV CONSTANT LOAD 14.32 PROVING RING PACTOR PISTON AREA 4.1560 = = 5.0600 SOUARE CENTIMETRES

STAPP 19811211

PORE

KPA

PPESS

238.8

242.0

247. 9

249.0

249.6

250.4

250.8

251.6

251.5

251.7

251.9

251.8

251.9

251.6

251.8

PEING

DIAL

37.0

42.5

54.2

56.9

59.5

f0.7

f1.8

62.0

62.2

63.0

67.5

67.8

64.5

F4.2

64.3

63.8

63.2

62.2

61.8

61.0

60.0

58.4

58.1

57.0

56.8

56.1

55.8

54.6

52.7

55.8 63.5

65.2

RDG

UNIVERSITY OF MANIMORA SOTE MECHANICS LABORATORY

TWIFISL DIAL READING

SHEAR TEST PROJETS

PT TT*F

9115

950

1300

1009

1720

1030

1340

1050

1100

1120

1140

1290

1220

1230

1240

1243

1240

1246

1250

1257

1312

1342

1442

1605

1582

1703

1934

2

347

850

900

910

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

٩

٦0

11

12

13

14

15

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

100

110

111

112

113

114

16

17

18

CONSOLIDATED INDEALNED TRIATIAL TEST

DISPL

DTAL

RDG

1447.5

1442.8

1423.2

1411.1 1391.0

1771.8

1335.5

1314.6

1279.2

1241.2

1202.0

1152.5

1145.5

1129.2

1123.4

1123.2

1123.0

1122.8

1121.5

1123.8

1120.7

1119.9

1119.5

1119.5

1119.0

1119.0

1116.5

1099.5

1175-8

8.74 METRES

= 1447.50 DIVISIONS

PTR

CENT

0.00

0.04

0.20

0.30

0.46

0.62

0.91

1.08

1.38

1.68

2.32

2.46

2.60

TEST

RELAXATION TEST

RELAXATION TEST

PEIAVATION TEST PEIAVATION TEST PEIAVATION TEST RFIAVATION TEST PEIAVATION TEST

PEINXATION TEST

RELAXATION TEST PEIXATION TEST RELAXATION TEST

RELAXATION TEST

BELAXATION TEST

2.70

2.84

3.03

PPLAXATION

249.8

252.0

252.2

STRAIP

END 19811212

EFFECT

SIGMAN

29.4

31.3

36.0

37.1

38.7

39.0

39.3

19.0

38.8

39.5

39.9

40.5

40.3

40.4

35.1

39.4

40.8

KPA

EFFECT

SIGMAB

21-4

19.4

12.5

11.2

10.5

۰ ٫

9.0

8.7

8.3

8.4

8.5

8.5

8.4

8.4

8.5

10.0

8.3

8.3

KPL

HALF

DEV

FPA

STEFSS

4.0

6.5 11.8

13.0

14.1

14.6

15.1

15.2

15.3

15.6

15.7

15.8

16.1

15.9

15.9

12.1

15.5

16.2

PEV

KPA

SIRESS

8.0

12.9 23.5 25.9

28.2

29.3

30.3

30.3

30.5

31.1

31.4

31.6

32.1

31.9

31.9

24.3

31.1

32.5

A

00000000

0.60

0.58

0.57

0.53

0.54

0.57

0.56

0.56

0.55

0.55

0.54

0.54

0.54

0.67 0.57 0.55

19	920	1052.8	65.5	251.9	3.14	41.2	8.5	16.4	32.7	19.4	4.851	0.53	1.1
20	934	1335.5	65.5	251.6	3.36	. 41.5 .	8. 8 .	. 16.3 .	32.7	19.7	4.711	0.52	
21	940	1024.3	65.2	251.5	3.45	41.2	8.8	16.2	32.4	19.6	4.679	0.52	
22	950	1335.5	64.8	251.3	3.61	41.0	9.0	16_0	32.0	19.7	4.552	0.52	
23	1000	987.5	64.2	251.0	3.75	40.8	9.4	15.7	31.4	19.9	4.339	0.52	
24	1020	945.8	F 3. 2	250.4	4.09	40.5	10 1	15.2	30-4	20. 2	4.010	0.52	
25	1040	904.9	61.8	249.7	4.43	39.7	10.6	14.5	29-1	20-3	3 . 745	0.52	
26	1100	374-2	61-2	249.4	4-68	. 39.5	11_0	14.2	28.5	20.5	3.590	0.52	
27	1120	831.0	60.0	248.6	5.00	39.1	11.7	13.7	27.4	20.8	3.340	0.50	
28	1130	801.8	59.2	268.3	5.27	38 7	12.1	13 3	26.6	21.0	3 198	0.51	11.1
20	1238	685 7	56 5	240.0	6 21	37 1	12 0	12.0	20.0	21 0	2 850	0.57	
20	1200	677 0	59.2	24/02	6 70	30 1	13.0	12.0	24.1	21.0	2.0.00	0.12	
30	5345	510 5	27.2	24742	4 60	30.4	12.0	1201	23.3	21.4	2.370	0.40	
20	1245	500 E	70.J	247.	6 0 0	30.2	12.7	12.0	23.3	21+3	2.9707	0.40	
32	1250	270-7	50.0	247.0	7 10	30.2	13-0	12.0	2742	21.4	·· 2.333	0.48	
	1200	500.0	57.0	245.9	7.19	38.2	13.1	12.5	25.1	21.5	2.910	0.47	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
54	1300	725.0	57.9	245.7	7.50	38.4	13.5	12.4	24.9	21.8	2.844	0_47	
50	1305	454.1	-/•e	245.7	1.52	35.2	13.5	12.4	24-1	21.7	2.832	0_4/	
30	1310	454.5	58.0	244.1	8.11	35.2	13.4	72.4	24.8	21.7	2.853	0.4/	
37	1315	415.2	58.0	246.5	8.42	38.3	13.5	12.4	24.8	21.8	2.834	0.46	
38	1320	375.2	57.9	246.3	8.74	38.2	13.6	12.3	24.6	21.8	2.809	0.45	
39	1325	341.2	58.0	246.4	9.02	38.2	13.6	12.3	24_6	21.8	2.808	0.46	
40	1333	291.8	56.8	245.9	9.43	37.5	14.0	11.8	23.5	21.8	2.679	0.46	
41	1340	278.5	56.2	245.8	9.54	37.1	14.1	11.5	23.0	21.8	2.630	0.47	
42	1350	258.6	56.1	246.2	9.70	36.7/	13.9	11.4	22.8	21.5	2.643	0.50	
43	1400	239.6	55.8	246.0	9.P5	36.6	14_0	11.3	22.6	21.5	2.612	0.49	
44	1420	203.6	56.0	246.1	10.15	36.7	14.1	11.3	22.6	21.6	2-605	0.50	
45	1240	155.7	56.2	246.2	10.46	36.7	14.0	11.4	22.7	21.6	2.623	0.50	
46	1457	133.1	56.0	246.5	10.72	36.3	13.8	11.2	22.5	21.3	2.629	0.53	
47	1530	72.3	55.9	245.8	11.22	36.5	14.2	11.2	22.3	21.6	2.571	0.49	
48	1532	51.8	57.7	246.3	11.38	37.4	13.7	11.9	23.7	21.6	2.731	0.48	
49	1535	27.3	58.0	246.5	11.58	37.7	13.8	11.9	23.9	21.8	2.730	0.48	
50	1540	-12-1	58.0	246.5	11-91	37.5	13.7	11-9	23.8	21-6	2-737	0.49	
51	1545	-46 0	58.2	246.3	12.19	37.6	13.7	11.9	23.9	21.7	2.744	0.47	:
52	1550	- 85.7	58.3	246.1	12.51	37.8	17.9	11_9	23.9	21.9	2.719	0.46	
53	1555	-117.2	58.1	246.8	12.76	37 0	13 8	11.8	23.6	21.7	2 713	0 48	
54	1500	-159.7	58.3	246.4	13 11	37 6	13 0	11.9	23.7	21 8	2 705	0 48	1 - E - E
55	1605	.194.7	58.5	246.2	13 39	37.0	13 0	11 0	23.8	21.0	2 712	0 47	
55	1510	- 777 7	50.5	200.2	13.33	37. 7	13.7	11.7	23.0	21.0	2 710	0.47	
50	15 10	-200.2	20.3	240.2	13.77	37	13.00	11.7	23.1	2107	2.715	0.47	
57	1612	- 354 0	~0.2 57 E	243.9	13.77	3/ /	14.5	1 / . /	23.4	22. 1	2.040	0.40	
50	1020	-274.5	57.5	245.4	13.00	37.8	14.0	11.4	22-5	21.0	2.032	0.51	
59	1728	-274 <u>-</u> 3	57.5	246.1	14.00	3/.0	14.2	11.4	22.8	21.8	2.608	0.49	
50	1048	• 2 9 7 • 1	5/.2	246.2	14.22	50.5	14.0	11.3	22.5	27.5	2.610	0.51	
61	1/10	- 5 5 1 . 4	58.0	246.1	14,57	37.2	14.1	11.5	23.1	21.8	2.638	0.48	
62	1730	-373.7	58.0	246.1	14.85	37.1	.14.1	11.5	23.0	21.8	2.631	0.49	
5	1/50	- 1 7 . 7	55.0	246.2	15.17	36.9	14.0	11.5	22.9	21.6	2.636	0.49	211 J
54	1310	-445.9	58.2	245.8	15.44	. 37.2	14.2	11.5	23.0	21.9	2.620	0.46	in the second
65	1930	_ 127 7	57 5	246 0	16 76	74 7	44 3	99 2	22 H	21 0	2 545	0 // 0	

- 202 -

- 203 -

.....

SIMPLE NO. = T #18HOLF NO. =6DEPTH =8.56 METRES TO8.74 METRESCONSOLIDATION AKIAL STERSS=31.60 KPAPRETONSOLIDATION PRESSURE=380.00 KPANORMALIZING STRESS=31.60 KPA

NORMALIZED SWEAR TEST RESULTS START 19811211 END 19811212

*

-

Đ.	PPP CENT STPAIN	NRMLZD HALP DSV STRESS KPB	NP#177 DEV Striss KPA	NBML7D OCT STRFSS KPA	NPMLZD CHANJE IN P#P KPA
	• • • •				
2	2.02	0.126	0.252	0.761	0.000
3	0.20	0.204	0.409	0.719	0.101
4	0.30	0.410	0.744	0 4 2 9	0.285
5	0.45	0.447	0.894	0.630	0.323
5	9.62	0.463	0.927	0.616	0.342
, 8	0.74	0.479	0.958	0.604	0.380
Ģ	1.08	0.483	0.940	0.595	0.405
10	1.38	0.492	0.955	0.585	0.402
11	1.69	0.498	0.995	0,601	0.403
12	2.00	0.500	1.000	0.602	0.411
10	2. 12	0.508	1.017	0.605	0.415
15	2.60	0.504	1.008	0.602	0.405
16	2.70	0.384	0.769	0.598	0.411
17	2.84	0.492	0.984	0.591	0-345
10	3.07	0.514	1.029	0.605	0.424
20	3.14	0.517	1.025	0.614	0.415
21	3.45	0.512	1 020	0.623	0.405
22	3.61	0.516	1.012	0.622	0.402
23	3.75	0.497	0.993	0.529	0_385
24	4.09	0.481	0.962	0.640	0.367
26	4.59	0.450	0.921	0.642	0.345
27	5.00	0.433	0.902	0.649	0.375
2 9	5.27	0.421	0.842	0.663	0.373
29	6.21	0.381	0.761	0.665	0-266
30	5.29 5.50	0.403	0.806	0.677	0.269
72	6.92	0.400	0.790	0.675	0.263
33	7.19	0.397	0.744	0.677	0.259
34	7.50	0.394	0.788	0-690	0.256
35	7.8?	0.391	0.783	0.698	0.250
37	5 - 17 8 - 17	0.393	0.786	0.686	0.250
38	8.74	0.342	0.783	0.688	0.244
39	9.02	0.389	0.778	0.590	0.237
40	9.43	0.372	0.744	0 691	0.241
41	9.54	0.764	0.727	0.489	0.227
42	9.70	0.361	0.723	0.681	0.234
44	10.15	0.357	0.714	0.681	0.228
45	10.45	0.360	0.719	0.685	0-231
46	10.72	0.356	0.711	0 470	0.234
47	11.22	0.353	0.705	0.685	0.244
4 9 4 9	17. <u>39</u> 14 Eo	0.775	0.750	0.684	0.237
50	11,01	0.378	0.755	0.689	0.244
51	12.19	0.778	0.753	0.685	0-244
52	12.51	0.378	0.756	0.697	0.237
53	12.76	0.374	0.748	0.686	0.231
55	13.30	0.375	0.750	0.690	0-241
56	13.71	0.375	0.753	0-691	0.234
57	13.77	0.771	0.747	V.687 0 700	0-234
58	13.88	0.362	0.723	0.684	V • 225 0. 241
57	14.00	0.361	0.722	0.690	0.231
61	14.51	0.357	0.713	0.681	0.234
62	14.85	0.764	0.729	0.690	0.231
63	15.17	0.353	0.725	V+703 0.685	V-231
65 65	15.44	0.764	0.728	0.692	0.227
	13673	V.254	0.708	0.639	0.222

UNIVERSIMY OF SANITORA SOIL MECHANICS LABORATORY

SAMPLE	NO. = 1 420	HOLF NO. =	- f	DEPT9 = 8	.56 HYTRES	TO 8.74 METRES
SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE	HEIGHT APTER VOLUMP AFTER APEN AFTER CO	CONSCLIDATION CONSCLIDATION DESCLIDATION	= 11.73 = 522.99 = 44.57	2 CENTIMETRES 2 CUBIC CENTI 3 SQUARE CENTI	H etr es Thetres	

.

CONSTANT LOAD	= 14.13 N .
Proving Ring Pattor	= 4.1563 N ./DIV
Piston Arga	= 2.8350 SOUARE CENTIMETRES
INITIAL DIAL READING	= 1077.90 PIVISIONS

SHEAR IEST PUSILUS STAPT 19811214 - END 19811214

CONSOLIDATED INDERTNEE TEIAVIAL TEST

ΡŢ	<u> 111</u>	DISPL DIAL PDG	PRING DIAL RDG	POPE PPESS KPA	PER CENT STRAIN	EPPECT SIGMA1 KPA	EPFECT SIG#13 KPA	HALF DEV STBESS KFA	DEV STRESS KPA	EFFECT OCT STRESS KPA	RATIC OF EPP SIGEA1 EPP SIPMA3	λ	
1	945	1977.9	36.5	210.2	0.00	51.7	40.4	10.6	21.3	47.5	1.526	0000000	
2	950	1072.6	45.0	215.2	0.05	54.6	35.4	14.6	29.2	45.1	1.824	0.63	
.1	1000	1355.0	56.8	221.5	0.20	69.3	29.2	20.1	40.1	42.6	2.373	0.60	
4	1010	1039.7	61.3	224.0	0.34	70.9	26.7	22.1	. 44_2	41.4	2.656	0.60	
5	1025	1001 1	r4.3 45 7	220.0	0.52	71.8	24.9	23.5	40.9	40.5	2.885	0.61	
7	1342	179.5	67.1	220.0	0 80	72.2	24.0	24+1	40.2	40.1	3.007	0.61	
8	1050	966.7	67.8	227.8	0-95	72.8	22.8	25-0	50.0	39.5	3.101	0.60	N. 1914
ä	1100	945.0	69,9	228.1	1.13	73.3	22.5	25.4	50.8	39.4	3,258	0.61	
10	1110	978 1	4 Q E	228.5	1.28	73.4	22.0	25.7	51.4	39.1	3.335	0.61	
11	1120	911.9	70.2	228.6	1.42	73.6	21.7	26.0	51.9	39.0	3.394	0.60	
12	1130	892.2	70.8	229.0	1.5P	73.B	21.4	26.2	52.4	38.9	3.449	0.60	
13	1140	372.6	71.2	229.0	1.75	73.9	21.2	26.3	52.7	38.8	3.486	0.60	
14	1200	835.8	72.2	229.2	2.06	74.5	21.1	26.7	53.4	38.9	3.533	0.59	
15	1270	790.1	73.0	229.3	2.38	75.1	21.1	27.0	54.0	39.1	3.559	0.58	•
16	1240	750.2	73.9	229.2	2.7	75.5	20.9	27.3	54_6	39.1	3.614	0.57	1
	1308	705.5 COE 3	74.2	229.0	.3.15	76.0	21.3	27.3	54.7	39.5	3.566	0.56	
10	1220	5 H T T	74.2	224.7	3.34	75.0	21.6	27.3	54.5	39.8	3.525	0.56	
101	1349	547 6	73.7	220.3	3607 8708 8863	12.9	21.9	2/.0	54.0	39.9	3.400	0.55	
102	1344	542.5	72.2	RTINE	TTON TEST								
103	1346	642.7	71.4	PELAYN	TICN TRAT								
104	1350	542.1	70.5	PEIAXA	TION TEST								
105	1357	642.0	69.5	RPINAN	TICN TEFT								
106	1412	5u1_u	68.2	RELLYA	TION TEST								
107	1002	640.5	61.0	BELEXA	TON TEST								
108	1542	539.5	65.8	RELAXA	TION TEST								1
109	1642	630.0	64.8	EFIANA!	TION TEST		•						-
110	1942	538.6	63.5	RFLAXA	TION TEST								
20	1945	675.5	67.9	228.3	3.77	70.6	21-9	24.3	48.7	38.1	3.222	0.66	
21	1950	527.º	71-5	228.8	3.84	73.2	21.4	25.9	51.8	38.7	3.423	0.61	
22	1900	507.5	73.2	229.0	4.01	74.5	21.2	26.6	53.3	39.0	3.513	0.59	
23	1970	544.6	/3.1	228.4	4.16	74.4	21-3	26.6	53.1	39.0	3.493	0.59	
24	1950	512 2	F G H	22/04	4.50	73.7	22.0	4 5+5 20 7	51.1	39.0	3.239	0.58	
26	2300	193 9	69.8	220.5	4.02	73.2	23.7	2467	4747	40.2	3.007	0.50	1.179.5
27	2002	497.0	69.7	225.9	5.04	73.7	23.7	2 4.4	40.5	40.0	3.059	0.55	Aleren .
28	2005	463.0	69.0	225.9	5.24	73.9	24.7	24.8	49.7	40.8	3.053	0.55	
29	2010	174.7	69.0	225.2	5.57	73.7	25.0	24.4	48.7	41.2	2.949	0.55	
30	2015	394.R	6H.2	224.7	5.91	73.3	25.5	23.9	47.8	41.4	2.876	0.55	
31	2020	350.0	67.B	224.3	6.20	73.1	25.8	23.7	47.3	41.6	2.835	0.54	
32	2025	311.1	67.1	224.0	6.54	72.6	26.0	23.3	46.6	41.5	2.791	0.55	
33	2030	27".8	66.3	223.5	6.87	72.2	26.5	22.9	45.7	41.7	2.725	0.54	
34	2375	234.9	F5.2	223.2	7.19	71.5	26.9	22.3	44.6	41.8	2.658	0.56	
1 35	2040	194.8	64-2	222.6	7.53	71.3	27.7	21.8	43.6	42.2	2.573	0.56	
.55	2342	191.9	63.0	222.4	7.64	70.4	27.9	21.2	42.5	42.1	2.522	0.58	
37	2042	161 2	F1.5	222.3	7.68	69.3	27.9	20.7	41.4	41.7	2.485	0.60	
30	2100	147 0	60 8	221.7	7 97	69.2	20.3	20.0	40_9	41.9	2.444	0.60	
40	2110	130.0	60.2	221.5	8 09	69.5	20.0	10 0	20 0	42.1	2 . 4 . 4	0.60	
41	2123	105-7	60.0	221.5	8.29	68.3	28 7	17+7 19 R	39.5	41.5	2.380	0.62	
42	2130	93.5	59.8	221.5	8.39	68-4	29.0	19.7	39.4	42.1	2-358	0.62	
43	2140	71.2	59.8	221.4	8.58	53.3	29.0	19.7	39.3	42.1	2-355	0.62	
94	2145	47.4	60.5	221.1	8.77	68.9	29.1	19.9	39.8	42.4	2.369	0.59	
45	2150	10.8	60.8	220.8	9.10	69.3	29.4	20.0	39.9	42.7	2.359	0.57	
46	2155	-27.R	60.5	220.5	9.42	69.1	29.5	19.8	39.6	42.7	2.341	0.56	
47	2200	- 56 . 7	60.5	220.6	9.74	59.0	29.6	19.7	39.4	42.7	2.331	0.57	
48	2205	-102-2	60.5	220.5	10.06	.69.1	29.8	19.6	39.3	42.9	2.318	0.57	
49	2210	• 7 35 • 8	60.5	220.3	10.35	69.0	29.9	19-6	39.1	42.9	2.309	0.56	
50	2215	-173-2	50.5 50.5	220.2	10.66	69.9	29.9	19.5	39.0	42.9	2-305	0.56	
51	2220	-158.1 -304 4	54.5	220.1	10.79	68.3	30.2	19.1	38.1	42.9	2.262	0.59	
52	2230	-275-1	- 9 . f	220.4	10.48	יאר 1 גד ב	50.2	18.9	57.9	42.8 49 F	2.254	0.01	
55	2250	-244_R	59.1	220.4	11.27	57 P	30.0	10.0 10.0	21.0	42+D 4277	∠ • ∠ ⊃ ⊃ > ⊃ 5 1	0.62	an start.
55	2300	-264_R	59.2	220.4	11_00	67.8	30-1	12.0	37.6	420 / 17 7	2.244	0.67	
56	2310	-280.1	59.3	220.1	11.58	67-9	30-3	18_8	37-6	42-8	2 241	0.61	
57	2320	-300.2	59.3	220.2	11.75	67.8	30.3	18.8	37.5	42.8	2.239	0.61	
58	2330	-*19.0	59.3	220.2	11.91	67.7	30.2	18.7	37.5	42.7	2.241	0.62	1

- 204 -

- -

SAMPLE NO. = T 420 HOLE NO. = 6 DEPTH = 3.56 METRES TO 8.74 METRES CONSOLIDATION AVIAL STRESS ± 61.90 KPA PREJONSOLIDATION PRESSURE = 380.00 FPA NOPHALTZING STRESS ÷ 61.90 KPA NORMALIZED SHEAR TEST RESULTS START 19811214 END 19811214 D. DFR WRMLZD NFTI7D NRMLZD NRELZD - -BALP DBA OCT CHANGE STRITE DEV STRESS STRESS IN PWP STRESS KPA KPX KPA KP3 ٦ 0.00 0.172 0.343 0.767 0.000 0.05 0.236 0.729 2 0.081 3 0.20 0.324 0.648 0.688 0.183 4 0.34 0.357 0.714 0.669 0.223 5 0.52 0. 770 0.758 0.655 0.252 6 0.65 0.289 0.778 0.265 0.647 0.275 7 0.84 0.399 0.798 0.645 0.95 8 0.404 0.907 0.637 Q 0_410 0.821 0.637 0.299 1.29 ٩0 0.415 0.830 0.632 0.296 1 1 1.4? 0.420 0.834 0.630 0.297 0.947 1.58 12 0.423 0.628 0.304 13 1.75 0.426 0.851 0.626 0.304 0.629 0.432 0.863 14 2.05 0.307 0.476 0.972 15 2. 34 0.632 0.309 16 2.71 0.441 0.863 0.632 0.307 17 3.15 0.001 0.813 0.704 0.638 18 3.34 0.441 0.461 0.643 3.67 3.77 19 0.436 0.872 0.645 0.292 20 0.393 0.785 0.616 0.292 3.94 21 0.019 0.878 0.625 0.300 22 23 4.01 0.430 0.861 0.629 0.304 0.859 0.479 4.15 0.630 0.30? 0.412 4.50 24 0.825 0.640 0.275 25 0.399 0.799 4.82 0.263 0.257 0.254 0.649 26 4, 99 0.546 27 5.04 0.401 0.902 0.657 28 5.24 0.401 0.903 0.659 0.254 29 5.57 0.393 0.787 0.666 0.242 30 5.01 0.785 0.773 0.570 0.234 6.20 0.382 31 0.765 0.672 0.229 32 6.54 0.752 0.571 0.223 0. 769 33 6.97 0.738 0.674 0.215 0.360 34 7.19 0.720 0.675 0.213 35 7.53 0.682 0.200 0.197 0.195 0.586 76 7.64 0.343 37 7.68 0.335 0.669 0-674 38 7.81 0.330 0.650 0.577 0.189 30 7.93 0.327 0.653 0.690 0.187 40 8.09 0.322 0.677 0.644 0.186 8.29 8.39 41 0.677 0.640 0.183 0.183 0.181 0.176 0.171 42 0.318 0.636 0.681 43 8.59 0.318 0.635 0.580 88 8.77 0.322 0.644 0.685 0.323 45 9.10 0.645 0.690 0.320 0.690 0.165 86 9.42 9.76 0.639 47 0.637 48 10.05 0.317 0.634 0.165 0.693 84 0. 716 0.694 0.163 10.35 0.632 10.66 0.315 0.630 0.693 0.162 50 51 10.79 0.308 0.616 0.693 0.160 0.165 52 10.94 0.306 0.612 0.692 0.165 53 11.11 0.304 0.608 0.687 54 11.27 0.304 0.608 0.689 0.163 0.303 0.304 0.303 0.165 0.163 0.162 11.44 11.58 11.75 0.690 55 0.607 56 0.608 0.692 0.692 57 0.303 0.162 58 11.91 0.605 0.690

- 206 -

.

.

- - - -

UNIVERSING OF SANIMOBA SOIL MECHANICS LAPOPAMORY

SAMPLE NC. = 7 422 HOLF NO. = 6 DEPTH = 8.74 HETRES TO 8.92 HETRES SAMPLE HETCHT AFTER CONSCLIDATION = 11.050 CENTIMETRES SAMPLE VOLUME AFTER CONSCLIDATION = 505.790 CUBIC CENTIMETRES SAMPLE AFTER CONSCLIDATION = 45.773 SQUARE CENTIMETRES CONSTANT LOAD

· •• ·• ·

. ..

PROVING RING FROMOP PISTON APPA	= 14.03 N . = 4.1560 N ./DIV = 5.0600 SQUARE CENTIMETRES
INTITL DITT READING	= 2000.00 DIVISIONS

SHEAR TEST PESTLES START 19820112 END 19820113

CONSOLIDATED JEOPEINET TETAXIAI TEST

PT	TIMF	DISPL DIAL PDG	PPING DIAL Erg	PORE Press KP1	PPR CENT STRAIN	EPPECT SIGMA1 KPA	EFFECT SIGMA3 KPA	HALP DEV STRESS KPA	PEV Stress KPA	EFFECT OCT STRESS KPA	RATIO OF EFF SIGRA1 EFF SIFMA3	Å
1	850	2000.0	54.8	207.9	0.00	85.4	62.5	11 5	77 0	70 1		
2	900	1995.8	64.9	213.3	0.04	89.3	57.2	16.0	32.1	67 9	1.50/	0000000
4	910	1981_?	83.5	222.6	0.17	97.0	48.1	24.4	48.9	6 4 4	7.016	0.59
5	920	1964.8	92.0	226.5	0.32	100.5	44.0	28.3	56 5	62 B	2.010	0.5/
<u>, 6</u>	920	1944.9	°5.2	229.4	0.50	101.3	41.1	30.1	60.2	61 2	2+204	0.50
7	940	1927.7	98.0	230.6	0.65	101.6	39 9	30.9	61 7	60 5	2.403	0.58
8	950	1912.0	99.5	231.8	0.80	101.8	38-8	31.5	63.0	59.9	2 - 24 /	0.58
9	959	1899.9	100.3	232.5	0.91	101.6	38.0	31.8	63.6	50 2	2.023	0.00
10	1310	1974 . P	102.0	233.5	1.13	102.0	37 - 0	32.5	65 0	597	2.075	0.00
11	1030	197.5	104.0	234.8	1.47	102.2	35.6	33.3	66.6	57 8	2.730	0.61
12	1350	1300.8	105.8	235.5	1.80	102.7	34.7	30.0	68 0	57 0	2.00/1	0.02
13	1110	1763.0	107.5	236.1	2.14	103.3	34.0	34_6	69.3	57 1	2.500	0.01
14	1120	1723.3	109.0	236.5	2.50	103.7	33.3	35.2	70.4	56.8	3 11/1	0.50
15	1140	1707.0	109.5	236.5	2.65	104.1	33.4	35.4	70.7	57.0	3 117	0.60
16	1150	1594.4	110.2	236.7	2.84	104.4	33.2	35.6	71.2	56.9	3 145	0.00
17	1200	1559.2	111.3	236.7	2.99	105.0	33.2	35-9	71.8	57 1	3 167	0.50
18	1220	1532.2	112.2	236.9	3.33	105.5	32.9	36.3	72.6	57.1	3 207	0.55
49	1240	1595.2	113.7	236.7	3.65	136.8	33.1	36.8	73.7	57.7	3.226	0.57
20	1330	1555.8	174.7	236.7	4.02	107.4	33.1	37.1	74.3	57.9	3 245	0 56
21	1320	1519.9	116.0	236.6	4.35	108.3	33.1	37-6	75-2	58.2	3.270	0.55
22	1340	1493.2	117.1	236.2	4.70	109.3	33.5	37.9	75.8	58.8	3.264	0.53
23	1400	1442.9	117.5	235.8	5.04	.109.6		38.0	75-9	59.0	3.253	0.53
24	1410	1423.5	117.5	235.3	5,22	109.9	34.1	37.9	75.8	59.4	3, 223	0.52
25	1420	1475.5	117.2	235.0	5.39	109.9	34.5	37.7	75.4	59.6	3,185	0.52
26	1437	1359.0	117.2	234.5	5.53	110.3	35.0	37.6	75.3	60.1	3, 151	0.51
26	1440	1365.0	117.3	234.3	5.74	110.4	35.2	37.6	75.2	60.3	3-136	0.51
27	1450	4449.4	116.9	234.0	5.89	110.1	35.4	37.4	74.7	60.3	3. 112	0.50
101	1452	1345.8	115.3	RELAXAT	ICN TEST							•••••
192	1457	1345.5	115.1	RELAXAT	ION TEST							
103	1455	1346.5	113.2	REIBXAT	TON TEST							
104	1459	1345.0	112.0	RELAXAT	ION TEST							
105	1506	1345.5	110.8	REINKAT	TON TEST							
106	1521	1345.2	108.5	RELAYAT	TON TEST							
107	1605	1343.9	106.5	RELAXAT	ION TEST	· ····•						
108	1/15	1343.1	104.5	RFLAXAT	ION TEST							
109	2116	1741.8	101.2	PULLANT	ION TEST							
110	530	1340.4	96.7	BELAXAT	ION TEST							

29	834	1339.8	101-2	230.3	5.98	101 7	20 E					
29	840	1730.5	103.9	233.6	6-06	100.0	39.5	30-6	61.2	59.9	2.550	0.58
30	850	1311.8	119.0	234 4	6 22	944 6	30.5	31.7	63.5	57.7	2.739	0.63
21	900	1293.5	117.5	233 0	6 20	111.0	35.3	38.1	76.2	60.7	3.160	0.50
32	920	1252.0	110 0	221 5	6 77	113.7	35.9	37.4	74.8	60.8	3.084	0.50
33	940	1214 8	102.0	271.5	7 11	100.5	38.3	34.1	68.2	61.0	2.780	0.52
34	1000	1176.2	98 0	220.0	7.12	102.4	41.2	30.6	61_2	61.6	2.485	0.54
35	1020	1139.5	911 5	220.5	7.90	100.8	43.2	28.8	57.6	62.4	2.333	0.54
36	1240	1097.5	62 6	22041	0 17	49.0	44.5	27.2	54.5	62.7	2.224	0.55
37	1100	1061.2	<u>an</u> e	224.3		97.9	45.0	26.5	52.9	52.6	2.176	0.55
38	1120	1127.8	90.0	723.1	6.70	95.7	45.7	25.5	51.0	62.7	2.116	0.56
39	1130	1005 1	90.5 00 0	223.0	6.60	96.6	46.0	25.3	50.6	62.9	2.099	0.56
80	1132	301 7	0.0	223.3	9.00	96.6	46 • 6	25.0	50.0	63.3	2.073	0.57
<u>a</u> 1	1135	970 0	92.5	223.9	9.12	97.6	45.6	26.0	52.0	62.9	2-141	0.55
112	1140	375 7	91.0	223.6	9.32	98.1	45.8	26.2	52.3	63.2	2.143	0.53
42	1115	903 5	93.0	223.0	9.64	97.9	45.7	26.1	52.2	63.1	2-142	0.54
<u> </u>	1150	250 3	92.5	223.2	10.02	97.6	46.1	25.8	51.5	63.3	2-118	0.53
	9955	212 4	92.0	223.3	10.40	97.0	46.1	25.5	50.9	63.1	2.104	0.55
45	1200	703 7	47.P	222.8	10.68	97.1	46.5	25.3	50.6	63.4	2.088	0.54
87	1200		92.5	222.7	11.01	97.6	46.6	25.5	51.0	63.6	2.094	0 53
	1202	750.1	89.5	222.2	11.04	95.5	47.0	24.3	48.5	63.2	2.033	0 54
90	1208	759-1	89.5	222.1	11.15	95.6	47.1	24.2	48.5	63.3	2 020	0.50
50	1272	594.4	89.3	221.6	11.91	95.3	47_4	24.0	47.9	63 4	2 0 1 1	0.50
50	1300	hh9.5	88.7	221.6	12.04	95.0	47.6	23.7	47.4	63.4	1.005	0.55
57	1320	523.5	88.0	221.5	12.41	94.2	47.6	23.3	46.6	63.1	1 070	0.00
52	1340	542.9	89.0	221.6	12.73	94.7	47.5	23-6	47.2	63 2	1 004	
7.5	1400	575.5	88.0	221.5	13.07	93.8	47.5	23.1	46.3	67 9	1 074	0.50
74	1402	545.0	91.0	221.4	13.17	96.2	47.6	24.3	48-6	63 8	2 021	0.58
77	1405	523.8	91.0	221.3	13.36	96.2	47.7	24.2	48 5	62 0	2-021	0.53
56	1410	488.8	91.1	221.1	13.68	96.3	47.9	24.2	40.5	64 0	2.010	0.52
5/	1915	446.8	91.0	221.1	14.06	96.0	47.9	24.0	40.4	64.0	2.010	0.52
58	1420	408.2	91.1	221.1	14.47	96.0	48.0	24.0	40.1	68 0	2.004	0.52
59	1425	369.8	91.0	220.6	14.75	96.0	49.3	23.9	40.0	64.0	1.999	0.53
60	1430	334.8	°1.)	221.0	15.07	95.5	48.0	23.8	4/s/ 87 E	64.2	1.988	0.51
51	1435	323.3	89.0	220.6	15.17	94.3	48.4	23.0	4/+3	03.8	1.990	0.53
62	1440	313.8	89.0	220.6	15.26	94.7	0.8 7	23.0	43.9	63.7	1-949	0.55
63	1500	276.5	89.3	220.7	15.60	94.0	40.3	22	47.9	63.6	1.950	0.55
64	1530	215.8	89.5	220.4	16.15	98.2	10 1 10 1	23.0	43.9	03.4	1.955	0.56
65	1550	173.0	89.5	220.7	16.49	93 9	48.3	22.07	40.8	63.7	1.946	0.55
66	1610	145.4	89.8	220.7	16.78	93.9	18 2	22.0	40.0	63.5	1.944	0.56
57	1530	104.2	89.5	220.7	17.16	93.3	40.2	44.0	42./	63.4	1.947	0.56
69	1700	59.3	90.0	220.6	17.56	93.7	40.0	44.0	45.2	63.2	1.941	0.57
							40.5	22.1	40.4	63.4	1.940	0.57

- 208 -

SAMPLE 40. = 7 422 POLE NO. = 6 DEPTH = 3.74 FETRES TO 8.92 METRES CONSOLIDATION AVIAL STRESS 93.00 KPA PRECONSOL TRATION PRESSURE = 380.00 MPA NORMALIZING STRESS = 93.00 KPA NORMALIZED SHEAR TEST PESULTS START 19820112 EN D 19820113 NFTLZD PT 000 NF*L7D NRMLZD NRMLZD Cpau HATP DEV CHANGE 007 STRESS STRESS STRATN D?V IN PWP 101 STOFES KPA KPA RPA 0.123 0.172 0.263 1 0.00 0.247 0.754 0.000 2 0.04 0.345 0.730 0.059 0.17 0.526 0.158 4 0.692 0.304 5 0-676 0.201 0.658 6 0.324 0.507 0.50 0.231 7 0.55 0.332 0.564 0.650 0.244 0.90 0.339 0.677 R 0.647 0.257 9 0.694 0.637 0.265 10 1.13 0.350 0.600 0.631 0.275 1.47 0.358 0.716 0.622 11 0,289 12 1.80 0.366 0.771 0.297 0.617 0.373 13 2.14 0.745 0.614 0.303 0.378 0.390 0.393 1 11 2.50 0.757 0.610 0.308 15 0.760 2.65 0.613 0. 703 16 0.745 2.84 0.612 0.310 2.99 0.386 0.772 0.781 0.792 17 0.614 0.310 18 0.614 0.312 19 0.396 3.66 0.620 0.310 4.02 0.793 0.709 20 0.622 0.310 21 4.35 0.000 0.808 0.309 0.625 4.70 0.408 0.915 22 0.532 0.304 23 5.04 0.408 0.816 0.635 0.300 0.438 24 5.22 0.008 0.815 0.295 25 5.38 0.405 0.811 0.641 0.291 5.53 26 0.075 0.400 0.646 0.285 0.800 26 0.494 0.648 0.284 0.904 5.99 0.402 27 0.649 0.281 28 5.98 0.329 0.658 0-644 0.241 6.04 0.542 29 0.341 0.620 0.274 30 0.410 0.820 6.23 0.653 0.285 21 6.39 0.402 0.804 0.654 0.280 32 6.77 0.365 0.733 0.655 37 7.11 0.729 0.658 0.652 0.223 34 7.46 0.310 0.619 0.671 0.200 0.293 0.294 0.274 7.90 0.586 0.674 0.185 9.17 0.549 36 0.674 0.178 77 0.548 8.50 0.674 0.170 0.27? 38 0.544 0.538 8.80 0.676 0.168 9.00 0.250 39 0.680 0.165 0.290 9.12 0.560 40 0.677 0.172 0.281 41 0.563 0.680 0.169 0.281 0.280 0.277 0.274 0.274 0.561 9.64 0.678 42 0.169 43 10.02 0-580 0.165 0.547 44 10.40 0.678 0.165 45 10.68 0.548 46 11.01 0.274 0.684 0.159 87 11.04 0.251 0.679 11.15 28 0.261 0.521 0.680 0.153 11.91 ЦQ 0.258 0.515 0.681 0.147 50 12.04 0.255 0.509 0.147 0.682 12.41 12.73 0.251 51 0.501 0.679 0.145 52 0.50P 0.580 0.147 0.249 53 13.07 0.498 0.677 0.146 54 13.17 0.522 0.145 0.686 0.261 0.260 55 13.36 0.521 0.687 0.144 56 13.68 0_638 0.142 57 14.06 0.259 0.517 0.687 0.142 58 14.41 0.258 0.516 0.598 0.142 50 14.75 0.256 0.513 0.690 0.137 60 0.255 15.07 0.511 0.686 0.141 15.17 61 0.247 0.494 0.685 0.137 62 0.247 0.493 0.684 0.137 63 15.60 0.404 0.682 0.135 0.246 64 16.15 0.492 0.685 0.134 65 16.49 0.490 0.683 0.139 56 16.79 0.246 0.491 0.682 0.139

67

68

17.16

17.56

0.243

0.244

0.486

0.488

0.679

0.682

0.139

0.137

	SIGMA1 KPA	SIGMA3 KPA	STPESS KPA	OCT STPESS KPA	STRAIN %	STRAIN K	STPAIN ¥	K D S	۲	FNERGY KN-M/VOL	ENERGY KN-M/VOL
1	118.7	77.2	41.5	91.0	1.556	9.229	1.995	0.0	0.0	0 403	0.000
2	135.4	93.8	41_6	107.7	1.816	0.314	2.445	29.8	0.3	0.493	0.493
3	153.1	111_F	41.5	125.4	2.099	0.447	2.992	59.6	0.5	0.679	1_172
4	171.1	129.8	41.3	143.6	2.337	0.569	3.475	91.0	0.9	0.682	1.854
5	187.8	145.2	41.5	160.1	2.583	3.687	3.957	119.6	1.2	0.767	2.621
6	205.6	154.1	41.5	177.9	2.815	0.829	4.472	150.5	1.5	0.895	3.516
7	222.7	191.2	41.5	195.0	3.025	0.932	4,890	180.1	1.8	0.809	4.325
Ŗ	239.7	198.2	41.5	212.0	3.236	1.036	5.308	209.6	2.0	0.880	5-206
Q	256.5	214.9	41.5	228.8	3.473	1.183	5.839	238.6	2.4	1.195	6.401
10	274.4	?32.7	41.7	246.6	3.734	1.366	6.456	269.4	2.7	1.512	7.913
1 1	292.3	250.P	41.5	264.6	3.960	1.529	7.037	300.7	3.1	1.493	9.395
12	309.4	267.9	41.5	281.7	4.233	1.692	7.617	330.3	3.4	1.609	11-005
13	325.2	284.6	41.6	298.5	4.457	1.825	8.107	359.3	3.7	1.447	12.451
14	344.9	303.5	±1.4	317.3	4.721	2.007	8.735	391.9	4.1	1.956	14.408
15	360.4	318.4	42.0	332.4	4.994	2.184	9.362	418.1	4.4	2.063	16.471
16	378.5	337.3	41.2	351.0	5.258	2.341	9.941	450.3	4.8	2.008	18.479
17	395.0	353.4	41.6	367.3	5.527	2.485	10.504	478.4	5.1	2.056	20.535
1 R	414.0	372.6	41.0	386.4	5.815	2.650	11.115	511.6	5.5	2.337	22-872
19	430.9	389.5	41.4	403.3	6.604	2.504	11.613	540.9	6.0	2.224	25.096
20	448.1	406.7	41.4	420.5	6.331	2.907	12.145	570.7	6.1	2.005	27.101
21	465.5	424.1	41.4	437.9	6.595	3.04)	12.676	600.8	6.4	2.315	29.417
22	482.4	441.1	41.3	454.9	6.839	3.151	13.142	€30.2	6.7	2.115	31.533

TEST RESULTS STAPT 19810520 EVD 19810614

DEA

SAMPLE NO. = T 401 HOLE NO. = 6 DEPTH = 11.48 METRES TO 11.66 METBES

EVTEL

RADIAL

VOL

EFFFCT

The All Complete State State Statements of State Statements and an an

UNIVERSITY OF HANIFORA SOIL MECHANICS LARORATORY PRERGY CALTULATIONS ***** ENGINPERING STRAIN ****

PRECT

77

PPPECT

LS VV

DELTA

TOTAL

LSSV

UNIVERSITY OF HANITOPA Soil Bechanics Labopatopy Energy Calculations

**** BNGINEPRING STRAIN ****

SAMPLP VO. = 7 402 HOIF NO. = 6 DEPTH = 11.48 METERS TO 11.66 METRES

TEST RESULTS START 19810525 END 19810728

Ъ.	BFPBCT SIGNA1 KPA	BFFPCT SIGMA3 KPA	PEV STRESS KP4	EFFECT OCT SIRESS KPA	AYIAL STRAIN S	RADIAL STRAIN S	VOL STRAIN T	LSSV KPA	LSNV	DELTA ENERGY KN-M/VOL	TOTAL ENERGY KN-H/VOL
٦	118.9	77.2	41.7	91.1	1.508	0.275	2.061	0.0	0.0	0 503	0.000
2	136.6	95.2	41.4	109.0	1.847	0.369	. 2.585	31.0	0.4	0 744	0.593
3	154.4	113.0	41.4	126.8	2.096	0.539	3.174	61.8	0.7	0.710	1.309
4	170.8	129.4	41.4	143.2	2.316	9.629	3.574	90.2	0.9	0.576	1.885
5	199.2	147.0	41.3	161.7	2.505	0.665	3.836	122.2	1.1	0-441	2.326
6	205.0	163.5	41.5	177.3	2.709	0.755	4-221	149.4	1.4	0.683	3.010
7	223.3	191.9	41.4	195.7	2.922	0.895	4.711	181.2	1.7	0.935	3.944
8	239.9	199.3	40.6	211_8	3.141	1.030	5.202	209.1	2.0	1.023	4.968
9	257.7	215.2	42.5	229.4	3.425	1.224	5.873	239.5	2.3	1.505	6.473
10	274.8	233.3	41.5	247.1	3.638	1.363	6.364	270.3	2.6	1.191	7.663
11	292.1	250.7	41_4	264.5	3.829	1.484	6.797	300.3	2.9	1.127	8.791
12	309.1	?F7_F	47.5	281.4	4.043	1.585	7.214	329.7	3.1	7. 169	9,960
13	326.8	295.4	41.4	299.2	4.233	1.712	7.656	360.4	3.4	T. 301	11.261

UNIVERSITY OF MAXIMOBA SOIL MECHANICS LABOPATORY WNERGY CALCULATIONS

ŗ

**** ENGINEERING STRATN ****

SAMPLE NO. = P 403 HOLP NO. = 6 PEPTH = 11.48 METRES TO 11.66 METRES

TEST RESULTS STAFT 19810608 END 19810620

ΡŢ	EFFECT SIGMA1 FPA	BPF200 SIGMA3 KPA	PEV Stress KPA	EFPPCT OCT STPPSS KPA	AXIAL STRAIN K	PADIAL SIBAIN S	VOL STRAIN S	LSSV KPA	LSNV T	DELTA ENERGY KN-M/VOL	TOTAL ENERGY KN-M/VOL
1	118.9	77.3	41.6	91.2	1.503	0.115	1.732	0.0	0.0		0.000
2	131.9	81.2	50.7	98.1	1.761	0.119	1.996	14.1	0.3	0.328	0.328
3	148.1	86.9	61.2	107.3	2.078	0.107	2.292	32.2	0.6	0.420	0.754
4	164.2	92.2	72.0	116.2	2.392	0.074	2.540	50.0	0.9	0.431	1.186
5	178.8	96.9	e 1.9	124.2	2.630	0.042	2.713	66.0	1.1	0.347	1.532
6	187.5	100.0	87.6	129.2	2.820	0.009	2.837	75.8	1.3	0.283	1.815
7	198.4	102.4	92.0	133.1	2.973	-0.010	2.952	83.4	1.5	0.254	2.069
8	200.9	104.3	96.6	136.5	3.112	-0.043	3.026	90.5	1.6	0.208	2.277
9	208.5	105.2	103.3	139.6	3.455	-0.103	3.249	97.9	2.0	0.576	2.853
10	223.1	112.7	110.0	149.5	3.795	-0_187	3.422	115.6	2.3	0.552	3_405
11	240-1	117.8	122.3	159.6	4.430	-0-347	3.735	134.1	3.0	1.101	4.506
12	255.1	123.4	131.7	167.3	6.030	-0.914	4.202	•51.0	4.8	2.594	7.100
13	272.7	128.7	144.0	176.7	6.329	-1.056	4.217	170.1	5.1	0.431	7.531

.

UNIVERSITY OF EANIMOBA Soil MPCHANICS LABORATORY ENERGY CALCULATIONS

**** ENGINFERING STRATH ****

SFHDT& NO" = 1 808 FOLP NC. = 6 DEPTH = 11.48 METRES TO 11.66 METRES

TEST	RESULTS	START	19810626	END	1981081	19					
р.т.	BPPBCT Sigman KPA	BPPPCT Sigta3 KPA	PEV Stress FPA	PFFECT OCT STPESS KPA	AVIAL STRAIN T	BADIAL SIBAIN K	VOL STRAIN %	LSS V KPA	ls hv \$	DELTA Energy KR-H/Vol	TOTAL ENERGY KN-5/VOL
1	118.8	2.7	41.6	91 . 1	1.253	0_36 2	1.978	0.0	0.0		0.000
2	131.9	91.3	50.5	98.2	1.602	0.342	2.286	14.3	0.4	0.405	0.405
3	147.2	85.9	f1.3	106.3	1.922	0.315	2.552	31.0	0.7	0.401	0.806
4	164.3	92.5	71.7	116.5	2.251	0.309	2.869	50.4	1.0	0.502	1.308
5	179.7	99.0	P1.7	125.2	2.502	0.273	3.049	67.6	1.3	0.364	1.672
6	187.5	100.5	87.0	129.5	2.667	0.293	3.254	76.2	1.4	0.343	2.015
7	194.9	103.0	01 <u>.</u> 0	133.6	2.807	0.24)	3.305	84.4	1.6	0.177	2.192
R	202.6	105.7	96.9	138.0	2.944	0.189	3.323	93.0	1.7	0.148	2.340
ġ	211.0	109.9	102.1	142.9	3.124	0.194	3.511	102.5	1.9	0.381	2.721
10	219.8	111 _. R	107.0	147.5	3.336	0-147	3.631	111.3	2.1	0.354	3.075
11	225.4	113.5	111.9	150.8	3.586	0_115	3.819	118.3	2.4	0.485	3.560
12	233.6	116.3	117.3	155.4	3.874	0.059	3.991	127.4	2.7	0.528	4.038
13	241.0	118.7	122.3	159.5	4.346	-0.058	4.230	135.6	3.1	0.846	4.934
14	256.0	123.9	132.1	167.9	5.289	-0.298	4.693	152.3	4.1	1.761	6.695
15	268.9	129.7	140.2	175.4	7.766	-1.299	5.169	166.8	6.9	3_974	10.669

INTERSITY OF MANIMORA SOLL ESCRANTCS LAROPATORY ENERGY CALCHIATIONS

**** BRGINPERING STRAIN ****

SAMPLE NO. = T 405 FOLE NO. = 6 DEPTH = 11.48 METRES TO 11.66 METRES

END 19810705 TEST RESULTS STAPE 19810630

PT	EFFECT SIGMAN KPA	EPPECT SIGMA3 KPA	NEV Strpss KPR	EFFECT OCT STPESS KPA	AXIAI. STBAIN S	RADIAL STRAIN %	VOL Strain	LSSV KPA	LSNV K	DELTA ENERGY KN-M/VOL	TOTAL En Ergy KN-M/Vol
1	117.8	76.9	40.9	90.5	1_472	0.244	1.960	0.0	0.0		0.000
2	117.6	58.1	49.5	84.6	1.549	0.180	1.909	12.4	0.1	-0.002	-0.002
3	118.9	60. 2	58.7	79.B	1.702	0.078	1.858	23.6	0.3	V.V5V	0.048
4	119.9	52.7	67.2	75.1	2.009	-0.118	1.773	34.3	0.7	0.145	0.193
5	122.1	43.8	78.3	6 9.9	2.305	-0.267	1.770	47.0	1.1	0.214	0.407

- 211 -

UNIVERSITY OF MANITOPA SOIL BECHANICS LABORATORY ENPRGY CALCULATIONS **** BRGIVEPRING STRATW **** SAFPLE NO. = T 406 HOLP NO. = 6 DEPTH = 11.30 METRES TO 11.48 METRES TEST RESULTS START 19810710 END 19810801 EFFECT BRERCH DEV RFFEC" AXIAL FADIAL VOL LSSV LSNV DELTA TOTAL SIGMA1 KPA SIGMA3 KPA STRESS KPA OCT STRESS STRAIN S STEAIN S STRAIN ENERGY ENERGY 5 KPA * KN-E/VOL KN-M/VOL KPl 116.9 75.9 a 1. O 89.6 1.480 0.362 2-294 0.0 0.0 0.000 0.002 119.4 69.4 50.0 86.1 1.593 0.271 9.5 2.136 0.2 0.002 -0.119 119.7 50-9 58.8 80.5 1.650 0 1 20 1 0.05 **^** "

3	119.7	50.9	58.8	80.5	1.650	0.129	1.905	21.4	0.4	-0.119	-0.117
4	120.2	52.5	67.7	75.1	1.715	0_044	1.803	33.3	0.5	-0.017	-0.134
5	120.6	48.5	72.1	72.5	1.790	-0.019	1.752	38.9	0.6	0.027	-0.108
ę	121.1	44.7	76.1	70.2	1.885	-3.081	1.723	44.3	0.7	0.057	-0.051
7	120.5	39_8	80.8	66.7	1.998	-3.183	1.623	51.2	0.9	0.04/	-0.004

UNIVERSITY OF MANIMORA SOIL MECHANICS LABOPATORY PNERGY CALCULATIONS

P"

1

2

**** ENGINPERING STRAIN ****

SAMPLE NO. = T 407 FOLP NC. = DEPTH = 11.30 HFTRES TO 11.48 HETRES 6

TEST RESULTS STAPT 19810903 END 19810904

₽ ™	EPFSCF SIGNA1 KPA	PPPPCT SIGMA3 KPA	DPV STRESS KP1	BIFFECT OCT STRESS	AYTAL STRAIN	BADTAL SPRAIN	VOL STRAIN	LSSV	LS NV	DELTA ENERGY	TOTAL ENERGY
				KD1	•	•	~	PFA	-		K N-H/ VOL
1	115.3	74.7	40.6	88.2	0.000	0.000	0_000	0.0	0.0		0.000
2	124.9	63.5	61.4	84.0	0.090	-3.045	0.000	18.5	0.1	0.046	0-046
3	130.5	57.8	72.7	82.0	0. 190	-0.095	0.000	28.3	0-2	0.067	0-113
4	134.9	54.0	80.0	81.6	0.270	-0_135	0.000	34.2	0.3	0.061	0- 174
5	139.4	51.2	P8.2	80.6	0.390	-0 195	0 000	n4 4	0.5	0.101	0 275
6	143.9	103	04 6	90.9	0 490	-0-000	0.000		0.5	0.082	0.275
-	407 4	• 7•.7	7 4 .0	0V+0	0.440	-0.240	0.000	45.9	0.0	0.126	0.357
,		47.0	99.5	80.8	0.610	-0-305	0.000	89. 6	0.7	0.319	0.483
в	142.5	54.3	88.2	83.7	0.950	. = 0 _ 475.	0.000	39.7	1.2	0.189	0.802
9	135.6	59.8	75.R	85.1	1.180	-0.590	0.000	29.3	1.4		0.991

2

- 212 -

UNIVERSITY OF MANITOPA SOIL MECHANICS LABOPATORY ENERGY CALCULATIONS

**** ENGINFERING STRAIN ****

SAMPLE NO. = P 408	HOLE NO. =	6	DEPTH =	11.30 EFTRES TO	11.48 HETRES

STARM 19810913 TEST RESULTS END 19810914

P.	EFFECT SIGNAT KPA	EPPECT Sigma3 KPA	DEV STRESS KPA	EFFECT OCT STRFSS KFA	AYIAL STRAIN K	RADIAL STRAIN S	VOL STBAIN S	LSSV KPA	L SNV K	DELTA ENEBGY KN-E/VOL	TOTA L En Ergy K N-L/Vol
1	75.0	50.1	24.9	58.4	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0		0.000
2	80.7	45.0	35.7	56.9	0.040	-).02)	0.000	9.2	0.0	0.012	0.012
3	87.0	38.0	49.0	54.3	0.160	-0.080	0.000	20.9	0.2	0.051	0.063
4	91.3	33.8	57.5	53.0	0.280	-0.140	0.000	28.2	0.3	0.064	0.127
5	94.9	31_4	63.5	52.6	0.400	-0.200	0.000	33.1	0.5	0.073	0.199
6	98.1	29.9	68.2	52.6	0.540	-0.270	0.000	36.7	0.7	0.092	0.292
7	100.3	29.7	70.6	53.2	0.640	-0.320	0.000	38.4	0.8	0-069	0.361
P	102.9	28.2	78.7	53.1	0.750	-0-375	0.000	41.7	0.9	0.000	0-441
9	104.9	?7.5	77.4	53.7	0.890	-0.440	0.000	43.8	1.1	0.099	0.540
10	110.6	25.7	83.9	54.7	1.100	-0.550	0.000	48.6	1.3	0.050	0.717
11	115.9	27.3	88.6	56.8	1.390	-0.695	0.000	52.1	1.7	0.250	0.967
12	119.4	29.7	RY . 7	59.6	1.680	-0.840	0.000	52.9	2.1	0.259	1.226
13	119.5	34-0	85.5	62.5	1_970	-0.985	0.000	50.0	2.4	0.207	1.480
14	117.0	37.3	79.7	6 3.9	2.220	-1.110	0.000	45.7	2.7	0.20/	1.686

NERGY CALCULATIONS

2

**** PRGINEEDING STRAIN ****

DEPTH = 8.56 MPTRES TO 8.74 METRES HOLP NO. = SAMPLE NO. = T 439 6

TEST RESTLES START 19810930 END 19811002

Þ.	BYPBCT Sigma1 KPA	RPPECT SIGMB3 KPA	DEV STRESS KDB	EFFFCT OCT STRESS KPA	AXIAL STRFIN 4	RADIAL STRAIN S	VOL STRAIN S	LSSV KPA	LSNV %	DELTA ENERGY KN-M/VOL	TOTAL ENERGY KN-M/VOL
1	29.5	19.5	10.0	22.P	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.015	0.000
2	34.2	15.1	19.1	21.5	0.100	-0-050	0.000	7.8	0.1	0.015	0.015
3	37.9	12.2	25.6	20.7	0.220	-0.110	0.000	13.2	0.3	0.027	0-041
4	41.5	10.4	31.1	20.P	0.340	-9.170	0.000	17.6	0.4	0.034	0.075
5	42.6	8.8	33.8	20.1	0.480	-0.24)	0.000	20.0	0.6	0.045	0.121
6	45.2	7 . 8	37.4	20.3	0.630	-0.315	0.000	22.8	0.8	0_053	0.174
7	46.9	7.1	39.8	20.4	0.760	-0.380	0.070	24.7	0.9	0.050	0.224
8	48.3	7.0	41.3	20.8	0_ 890	-0.445	0.000	25 . B	1.1	0.053	0.277
9	50.0	5.1	43.9	20.7	1.170	-0.585	0.000	27.9	1.4	0.119	0.396
10	53.6	5.9	47.7	21.8	1.450	-0.725	0.000	30.8	1.8	0.128	0.525
11	56.2	5,9	50.3	22.7	1-730	-0.865	0_000	32.9	2.1	0.137	0.662
12	61.5	7-0	54.5	25.2	2,240	-1,120	0.000	36.6	2.7	0.267	0.929
4.2	67.0	7 1	50 6	25 6	2 370	-1.185	0.000	36.7	2.9	0.071	1.000
13	62.0	/•••	56.0	23.0	2.270	-1.220	0.000	30.7	2 2	0.160	1. 160
.14	64.4	8.4	50.0	2/•1	2.000	+1.330	0.000	30.3	3.3	0.159	1 210
15	66.5	9.7	56.9	28.7	2.940	-1.470	0.000	39.6	3.0	0.168	1.010
16	66.5	11.7	54. R	30.0	3.240	-1.620	0.000	38.6	4.0	0.076	1.460
17	66.2	12.5	53.7	30.4	3.380	-1.690	0.000	38.0	4.1		1.502

- 213 -

- 214 -

UNIVERSITY OF MANITCHA SOIL MECHANICS LABORATORY PNERGY CALCULATIONS

**** ENGINPERING STRAIN ****

SAMPLE NO. = F 410 HOLE NO. = 6 DEPTH = 8.56 METRPS TO 8.74 METRES

.

T BC T	RESULTS	STAP	19811005	END	19811009

₽ ~	EPFEC" SIGMA1	EFFPCT SIGMA3	DEV STRESS	EFFECT OCT	ATIAL STRAIN	RADIAL STRAIN	. VOL STRAIN	LSSV	LSNV	DELTA Energy	TOTA L En ergy
	K P A	KPA	* PA	STRPSS KPA	¥.	¥.	4	KPL	X	KN-K/VOL	KN-M/VOL
٦	29.6	19.1	10.5	22.6	1.225	0.385	1.997	0.0	0.0	0-123	0.000
2	36.4	16.8	19.6	23.3	1.648	0.341	2.329	7.5	0.4	0.131	0.123
3	#2.1	13.2	28.9	22.8	2.068	0.227	2.521	15.0	0.9	0.209	0.254
4	48.1	10.0	3P.1	22.7	2.656	-9.015	2.625	22.5	1.5	0.268	0.463
5	54.3	7.1	47.2	22.8	3.301	-0_382	2.537	30.0	2.3	0.172	0,731
5	57.5	5.4	52.1	22.8	3.651	-0.573	2.505	34.0	2.8		0.902

UNIVERSITY OF MAXIMUPA SOIL MECHANICS LABOREMORY ENGRGY CALCULATIONS

.

**** SNGTNEPPING SIRAIN ****

SAMPLE VO. = 7	u 11	HOLF NC. =	E	DPPTH =	8.56	EETRES	ΤC	8.74	METRES
TEST RECUITS	STAPT 1	9811012	END 1	9811014					

рŢ	EFFFCT STGMA1	BRRECT SIGMAB	PEV Strpss	BFFBCT OCT	AYTAL STRAIN	RADIAL STRAIN	VOL STRAIN	LSSV	LSNV	DELTA ENERGY	TOTA L Energy
	KPA	KPA	KPA	STPESS FPI	٩	۲	*	KPA	۶	KK-M/MOL	K N-M/VOL
1	89.2	57.8	31.4	68.3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0	5.014	0.000
2	91.9	53.4	38.4	66.2	0.040	-0.020	0.000	6.7	0.0	0.014	0.014
3	95.2	49.2	46.0	64.5	0.090	-0.045	0.000	13.6	0.1	0.021	0.035
4	d à " d	44.4	55.5	€2.ª	0.220	-0.110	0.000	21.8	0.3	0.066	0.101
5	102.9	41.8	61.1	62.2	0.340	-0.170	0.000	26.5	0.4	0.070	0.171
£	106.4	39.4	f7.0	61.7	0.530	-9.265	0.000	31.2	0.6	0 093	0.293
7	108.7	38.1	70.6	E1.6	0.650	-0.325	0.000	34.0	0.8		0.375
8	110.5	37.2	73.6	61.7	0.750	-0-375	0.000	36.3	0.9	0.072	0.447
9	115.7	25.6	R0.1	62.3	1_040	-0.520	0.000	41.1	1.3	0.223	0.670
10	120.1	34.8	85.3	63.2	1.310	-0.655	0.000	44.9	1.6	0.225	0.894
11	123.9	34.9	P9.0	64.6	1.610	-0.805	0.000	47.5	2.0	0.261	1.155
12	127.7	35.0	92.3	66.2	1.880	-0.940	0.000	49.9	2.3	0.245	1.400
13	130.2	36.6	93.6	€7.8	2.280	-1.140	0.000	50.8	2.8	0.372	1.772
14	132.8	38.7	94.1	70.1	2.480	-1.240	0.000	51.3	3.0	0.105	1.959
15	133.8	41.0	92.8	71.9	2. 820	-1.410	0.000	50.5	3.5	0.315	2.277
16	133.5	41.6	01.9	72.2	2.930	-1.465	0.000	49.9	3.6	0.102	2.379

- 215 -

UNIVERSITY OF MANITOPA Soil Techanics Laboratory Energy Calculations

**** ENGINEERING STRAIN ****

SAMPLE NO. = T 412 HOLE NO. = 6 DEPTH = 8.56 METRES TO 8.74 METRES

TEST	RESULTS	START	19811017	END	198 1102	4	•••=•					
PT	EFPECT SIGMA1	EFFECT SIGMA3	DEV STRPSS	EFFECT OCT	AYIAL STRAIN	BADIAL Sfeain	VOL STRAIN	LSSV	LS NV	DELTA Energy	TOTAL Energy	

	FP A	KPA	KPA	STPESS KPN	SIREER K	51 BA18 %	SIDALR %	"PA	7	KN-M/VOL KN-	E / VOL
٩	89.1	57.9	31.2	6R.3	3.635	1.032	5.700	0.0	0.0	0, 285	.000
2	97.5	53.7	43.9	68.3	3.937	1.000	5.938	10.3	0.3	0.240	246
3	105.7	49.4	56.3	68.2	4.254	0.890	6-034	20.5	0.7	0.236	454
u	114.3	#5.5	68.8	68_4	4.622	3.713	6.047	30.7	1.1	0. 0.352	691
5	122.5	41.4	81.1	68.4	5.090	9.480	6.050	40.7	1.7	0.300 1.	.043
6	127.0	30.4	87_4	68.7	5.486	9.243	5.967	45.9	2.2	1. 0.605	343
7	130.2	36.9	93.3	68.0	6.205	-0.177	5.850	50.7	3.1	1.	948

UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA SOTE MECHANICS LABORATORY ENERGY CALCULATIONS

**** ENGINFERING SIRAIN ****

SAMPLE NO. = T 413 HOLF NC. = 6 DEPTH = 8.56 METRES TO 8.74 METRES

TIST RESULTS START 19811102 ERD 19811103

Đ.	FPPBC" Sigma1 TPA	BPFECT SIGNA3 KPA	DEV Stress KP1	BFFECT OCT STRESS KPA	ATIPL Strpin %	PADIAL SIBAIN K	VOL STRAIN V	LSSV KPA	LSNV S	PELTA ENFRGY KN-H/VOL	TOTAL ENERGY KN-M/VOL
1	56.0	38.3	17.7	44.2	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0	0_0		0.000
2	56.5	36.1	20.4	42.9	0.010	-0.005	0.000	3.2	0.0	0.002	0.002
3	63.0	28.6	34.4	40.1	0.130	-0.065	0.000	15.4	0.2	0.033	0.035
4	5F.F	25.3	41.3	39.1	0.260	-0.130	0.000	21.2	0.3	0.049	0.084
5	69.7	22.9	46.8	38.5	0.430	-0.215	0.000	25.7	0.5	0.075	0.159
6	71_5	21.2	50.4	38.0	0.520	-0-260	0.000	28.8	0.6	0.044	0.203
7	73.6	20.1	53.5	37.9	0.650	-0.330	0.000	31.2	0.8	0.073	0.275
8	75.1	19.0	56.1	37.7	0.790	-0-395	0.000	33. 3	1.0	0.071	0.347
9	78.4	17.8	60.6	38.0	1.070	-0.535	0.000	36.6	1.3	0.163	0.510
10	81.7	17.4	64.3	38.R	1.350	-0.675	0.000	39.2	1.7	0.175	0.685
11	84.5	17.6	67_0	39.9	1.630	-0.815	0.000	40.9	2.0	0.184	0.869
12	86.0	18.2	€7.8	40.B	1.790	-0.895	0.000	41.3	2.2	0.105	0.976
13	86.8	18.0	67.9	41.5	1.920	-0.960	0.000	41.2	2.4	0.08B	1.065
14	86.1	20.7	65.4	42.5	2.070	-1_035	0.000	39.1	2.5	0.100	1. 165

- 216 -

UNIVERSITY OF HAVITOBA SOIL APCHANICS LABORATORY ENERGY CALCULATIONS

**** BNGINEPRING SERATH ****

SAMPLE NO. = T 414 HOLP NO. = 6 DEPTH = 8.74 HETRES TO 8.91 BETRES .

.. ..

.

TEST RESULTS START 19811105 END 198111122

ÞŢ	PPPEC" SIGMA1	EPPPC" SIGNA3	DEV Stress	EFFECT OCT	AXIAL STRAIN	BADIAL STRAIN	VOL STRAIN	LSSV	LSNV	DELTA Energy	TOTAL Energy
	NFA	nr A	* PA	KPA KPA	*	*	X	KPA	x	KN-H/VOL R	N-M/VOL
1	59.5	38.5	21.0	45.5	2.529	3.784	4.097	0.0	0.0		0.000
2	68.0	35.8	32.2	46.5	2.727	3.743	4.214	9.3	0.2	0.096	0.096
3	72.0	32.4	39.5	45.6	2.886). 69 5	4.276	15.2	0.4	0.078	0.174
4	77.9	29.0	48.9	45.3	3.148	0.615	4.394	22.8	0.7	0.149	0.323
5	84.5	26.0	58.5	45.5	3.399	3.509	4-417	30.6	1.0	0.144	0.467
6	87.4	24.5	62.9	45.5	3.561	3.409	4.376	34.2	1.2	0 130	0.555
7	90.6	22.9	6 7.7	45.5	3.749	0.330	4.408	38.1	1.4	0 170	0-686
8	93.6	21.3	72.3	45.4	4_031	0.148	4.327	41_9	1.8	0.064	0.865
9	96.5	19.8	76.7	45.4	4.403	-3.070	4.263	45.5	2.2	V= 204	1.129

.. .

UNIVERSITY OF MANIFORA SOIL MECHANICS LABORATORY ENERGY CALCULATIONS

**** ENGINEERING STRATN ****

SAMPLE NO. = T 415 HOLP NO. = 6 DEPTS = 11.30 HETRES TO 11.48 HETRES - -TEST RESULTS 19811027 START

19811031

.

END

PT BFFECT RFFECT DEV EFFECT RADIAL AXIAL VOL LSSV LSNV DELTA TOTAL SIGNAT SIGMA3 STRESS OCT STRAIN SPRAIN STRAIN ENPRGY ENERGY KPA RPA KPA STRESS 8 ŝ KPA 8 \$ KE-H/VOL KE-E/VOL KPA 78.0 1 50.8 27.2 59.9 0.665 0.053 0.772 0.0 0.0 0.000 0.098 2 86.4 46.8 39.6 60.0 .. 0.858 -0.003 10.1 0.2 0.098 0.145 3 94.5 42.4 52.1 59.8 1.133 -0.125 0.883 20.3 0.5 0.243 0.201 8 102.4 37.9 64.5 59.4 1.495 -3.313 0.858 30.5 1.0 0.444 0.294 5 110.9 34.1 76.8 1.938 -0.565. 0.806 40.5 0.738 1.5

.

UNIVERSITY OF NAVITORA SOIL MPCHANICS LABORATORY BYERGY CALCULATIONS **** ENGINPERING STRAIN ****

SAMPLE NO. = " 416 HOLP NO. = 6 FEPTH = 11.30 HETRES TO 11.48 METRES

. ..

TEST RESULTS STAFT 19811106 END 19811108

PT	BPFBCT STGHL1	PPPECT STGMP3	TEV STRESS	E E P F C T	AXTAL	RADIAL	VOL	LSFV	LSNV	DELTA ENEPGY	TOTAL
	KPA	KPA	KPA	STPESS KPA	5	\$	¥	KPA	*	KK-M/VOL	KN-M/VOL
1	44.3	25. P	18.5	32.0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0		0.000
2	45.1	24.7	20.8	31.2	0.010	-0.005	0.000	2.3	0.0	0.002	0_002
3	49.4	20.8	28.E	30.3	0.060	-0.030	0.000	8.7	0.1	0.012	0.014
4	55.3	17.1	38,2	29.8	0.190	-3.095	0.000	16.5	0.2	0.043	0 _058
5	59-0	15.4	43.6	29.9	0.320	- 0, 160	0,000	20.8	0_4	0.053	0-111
	67.7	1 H E	19.2	30.6	0 160	-0 220	0 000	2000	0 6	0.064	0 175
3	02.0	4. C	40.2 Ed 0	30.0	0.490	-0.250	0.000	24.4	0.7	0.060	0.025
'	65.0	14.0	0.10	31.0	0.580	-0.249	0.000	20.0	0.7	0.079	0.235
8	68.3	13.7	54.6	31.9	0.730	- 3. 365	0.000	29.5	0.9	0.085	0_314
9	71.9	13.8	58.1	33.2	0.880	-3.443	0.000	32.4	1.1	0-077	0.398
10	74.1	13.9	f0.2	34.0	1.010	-0.505	0.000	34.2	1.2	0.086	0.475
11	77.0	14.2	62.8	35.1	1.150	-0.575	0.000	36.6	1.4	0.005	0.561
12	78.5	14.4	64.1	35.8	1.300	-9.653	0.000	37.8	1.6	0.075	0.657
۰ <u>۶</u>	80.8	15.0	65.8	36.9	1.420	- 3 - 7 10	0.000	39.6	1.7	0.078	0.735
14	86.1	15.9	70.2	39.3	1.710	-0.855	0.000	44.1	2.1	0.197	0.932
15	87.9	16.8	71.0	40.5	1.850	-3.925	0.000	45.3	2.3	0.099	1.031
16	99.1	17.3	71-8	41.7	1,990	- 3- 995	0,000	46.4	2.4	0.100	1.131
17	91.5	19 7	71 B	43.6	2.280	-1.183	0.000	48.0	2.8	0.208	1.339
• •			74.0	-5.0	2.200		0.000	40.0	2.0	0.107	
15	91./	20. /	/1.0	44.4	2.430	-1.215	0.000	u/. 9	3.0	0.050	1.445
19	91.7	21.2	70.5	44.7	2.500	-1.250	0.000	47.8	3.1		1.495

- 217 -

UNIVERSITY OF HANITCHA SOIL MECHANICS LABORATORY ENERGY CALCULATIONS

**** ENGINEFRING STRAIN ****

SAMPLE WO. = T 417 HOLP NO. = 6 DEPTH = 11.30 METRES TO 11.48 METRES

-

TEST RESOLTS STAPT 19811106 END 19811114

EFFECT Sigmat KPA	EFFFCT SIGMA3 KPA	PEV Strfss KPA	EFFECT OCT STRESS KP1	AYIAI STRAIN S	RADIAL STRAIN S	VOL STRAIN X	LSSV KPA	LS NV	DELTA ENERGY KN-M/VOL	TOTAL Energy KN-M/Vol
38.8	25.3	13.5	29.8	-0.076	-3.143	-0.356	0.0	0.0		0.000
37.5	21.7	15.8	27.0	0.129	-3.243	-0.625	5.3	0.2	-0.071	-0_071
38.1	19.9	18.2	26.0	-0.145	-0.319	-0.784	7.7	0.3	-0.036	=0_107
37.7	17.3	20.4	24.1	-0.153	-3.388	-0.929	11.4	0.4	-0.029	-0-135
37.3	14.5	22.8	22.1	-0.155	-3.46)	-1.075	15.3	0.5	-0.024	=0,159
37.1	13.1	24.0	21.1	-0.155	-).521	-1.198	17.3	0.5	-0.017	-0-176
76.9	11.8	25.1	20.2	-0.149	-0.578	-1.305	19.2	0.6	-0.012	+0_188
37.0	10.9	26.2	19.5	-0.148	-2.643	-1.446	20.6	0.7	-0.016	-0_203
36.4	9.1	27.3	18.2	-0.158	-3.785	-1.727	23.0	0.9	-0.031	-0.234
36.0	6.4	29.6	16.3	0.019	-1.059	-2.100	26.9	1.3	0.021	-0.213
	EWPECT SIGNAI KPA 38.8 37.5 38.1 37.7 37.3 37.3 37.1 36.9 37.0 36.4 36.0	B WPECT EPFPCT SIGMA1 SIGMA3 RPA KPA 38.8 25.3 37.5 21.7 38.1 19.9 37.7 17.3 37.3 14.5 37.1 13.1 36.9 11.8 37.0 10.8 36.4 9.1 36.0 6.4	B PP DC T PP PC T PE V SIGMA1 SIGMA3 STRFSS 38.8 25.3 13.5 37.5 21.7 15.8 38.1 19.9 18.2 37.7 17.3 20.4 37.3 14.5 22.8 37.1 13.1 24.0 36.9 T1.8 25.1 37.0 10.8 26.2 36.4 9.1 27.3 36.0 6.4 29.6	BPPCP PFPCT PEV BFPCT OCT SIGMA1 SIGMA3 STRFSS OCT STRFSS 38.8 25.3 13.5 29.8 37.5 21.7 15.8 27.0 38.1 19.9 18.2 26.0 37.7 17.3 20.4 24.1 37.3 14.5 22.8 22.1 37.1 13.1 24.0 21.1 36.9 11.8 25.1 20.2 37.0 10.8 26.2 19.5 36.4 9.1 27.3 18.2 36.0 6.4 29.6 16.3	E #PEC* PPFCT PEV EFFEC* AYIAI SIGMA1 SIGMA3 SIGMA3 STRFSS OC* STRAIN 38.4 25.3 13.5 29.8 -0.076 37.5 21.7 15.8 27.0 -0.129 38.1 19.9 18.2 26.0 -0.145 37.7 17.3 20.4 24.1 -0.155 37.3 14.5 22.8 22.1 -0.155 37.1 13.1 24.0 21.1 -0.155 37.0 10.8 26.2 19.5 -0.148 36.4 9.1 27.3 18.2 -0.158 36.0 6.4 29.6 16.3 0.019	EPPECT PEV EFFECT NTAI RADIAL SIGMAI SIGMAS STRFSS OCT STRAIN STRAIN 38.4 25.3 13.5 29.8 -0.076 -3.143 37.5 21.7 15.8 27.0 -0.129 -3.243 38.1 19.9 18.2 26.0 -0.145 -3.319 37.7 17.3 20.4 24.1 -0.153 -3.388 37.3 14.5 22.8 22.1 -0.155 -3.463 37.1 13.1 24.0 21.1 -0.155 -3.521 36.9 11.8 25.1 20.2 -0.148 -0.578 37.0 10.9 26.2 19.5 -0.148 -0.643 36.4 9.1 27.3 18.2 -0.158 -0.785 36.0 6.4 29.6 16.3 0.019 -1.059	EPPECT PEV STRPSC PEV STRPSC AVIAI RADIAL VOL SIGMAI SIGMAS SIGMAS STRPSS STRPSS STRAIN STRAIN <t< td=""><td>BFPECT PEF PEF STRFSS BFFECT AYIAI STRAIN STRAIN</td><td>E # PEC* PEFCT PEV EFFEC* AYIAI RADIAL VOL LSSV LSNV SIG#A1 SIG#A3 SIG#A3 STRFSS CC* STRAIN STRAIN STRAIN STRAIN KPA KPA KPA KPA KPA STRFSS STRAIN <tde< td=""><td>E PP PCT PEV EFF PCT PEV STRPSS AVIAI RADIAL VOL LSSV LSNV DELTA SIGMA1 SIGMA3 STRPSS STRPSS OCT STRAIN STRAIN STRAIN KPA N DELTA ENERGY 38.9 25.3 13.5 29.8 -0.076 -J.143 -0.356 0.0 0.0 -0.071 38.1 19.9 18.2 26.0 -0.145 -J.319 -0.784 7.7 0.3 -0.029 37.7 17.3 20.4 24.1 -0.155 -J.463 -1.075 15.3 0.5 -0.024 37.3 14.5 22.8 22.1 -0.155 -J.463 -1.075 15.3 0.5 -0.017 36.9 11.8 25.1 20.2 -0.148 -J.578 -1.305 19.2 0.6 37.0 10.9 26.2 19.5 -0.148 -J.643 -1.446 20.6 0.7 -0.012 36.4</td></tde<></td></t<>	BFPECT PEF PEF STRFSS BFFECT AYIAI STRAIN STRAIN	E # PEC* PEFCT PEV EFFEC* AYIAI RADIAL VOL LSSV LSNV SIG#A1 SIG#A3 SIG#A3 STRFSS CC* STRAIN STRAIN STRAIN STRAIN KPA KPA KPA KPA KPA STRFSS STRAIN <tde< td=""><td>E PP PCT PEV EFF PCT PEV STRPSS AVIAI RADIAL VOL LSSV LSNV DELTA SIGMA1 SIGMA3 STRPSS STRPSS OCT STRAIN STRAIN STRAIN KPA N DELTA ENERGY 38.9 25.3 13.5 29.8 -0.076 -J.143 -0.356 0.0 0.0 -0.071 38.1 19.9 18.2 26.0 -0.145 -J.319 -0.784 7.7 0.3 -0.029 37.7 17.3 20.4 24.1 -0.155 -J.463 -1.075 15.3 0.5 -0.024 37.3 14.5 22.8 22.1 -0.155 -J.463 -1.075 15.3 0.5 -0.017 36.9 11.8 25.1 20.2 -0.148 -J.578 -1.305 19.2 0.6 37.0 10.9 26.2 19.5 -0.148 -J.643 -1.446 20.6 0.7 -0.012 36.4</td></tde<>	E PP PCT PEV EFF PCT PEV STRPSS AVIAI RADIAL VOL LSSV LSNV DELTA SIGMA1 SIGMA3 STRPSS STRPSS OCT STRAIN STRAIN STRAIN KPA N DELTA ENERGY 38.9 25.3 13.5 29.8 -0.076 -J.143 -0.356 0.0 0.0 -0.071 38.1 19.9 18.2 26.0 -0.145 -J.319 -0.784 7.7 0.3 -0.029 37.7 17.3 20.4 24.1 -0.155 -J.463 -1.075 15.3 0.5 -0.024 37.3 14.5 22.8 22.1 -0.155 -J.463 -1.075 15.3 0.5 -0.017 36.9 11.8 25.1 20.2 -0.148 -J.578 -1.305 19.2 0.6 37.0 10.9 26.2 19.5 -0.148 -J.643 -1.446 20.6 0.7 -0.012 36.4

UNIVERSITY OF MANIFORA Soil Fromanics Laboratory Energy calculations

**** ENGINEERING STRAIN ****

SAMPLE NO. = T 418 HOLF NO. = 6 DEPTH = 8.56 METRES TO 8.74 METRES

-

TEST	RPSULTS	STRFT	19811211	END	19811212

ΡŢ	EPPECT Signa1 KPA	EPFECT SIGMA3 KPA	DEV STBESS KPA	EFPECT OCT STRESS KPA	AXIAL STRAIN T	RADIAL SFBAIN %	VOL STRAIN ¥	LSSV Kpa	LS NV K	DELTA ENERGY KN-M/VOL	TOTAL ENEBGY KN-M/VOL
1	29.4	21.4	8.0	24.1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.00#	0.000
2	31.3	18.4	12.9	22.7	0.040	-0.020	0_000	4.6	0.0	0.004	0.004
3	36.0	12.5	23.5	20.3	0.200	-0_100	0.000	14.2	0.2	0.029	0.033
4	37.1	11.2	25.9	19.8	0.300	-0.15)	0.000	16.4	0.4	0.025	0.058
5	38.7	10.5	28.2	19.9	0_460	-0.230	0.000	18.0	0.6	0.043	0.101
6	39.0	9.7	29.3	19.5	0.620	-0.310	0.000	19.1	0.8	0.046	0.147
7	39.3	9.0	30.3	19.1	0.790	-3.395	0.000	20.1	1.0	0.051	0.198
8	39.0	8.7	30.3	18.8	0.910	-).455	0.000	.20. 4	1.1	0.036	0.234
9	38.9	8.3	30.5	18.5	1.080	-0.54)	0.000	20.8	1.3	0.052	0.286
10	39.5	8.4	31.1	18.8	1.380	-0.690	0.000	21.0	1.7	0.092	0.378
11	39.9	8.5	31.4	19.0	1.680	-0.84)	0.000	21.0	2.1	0.094	0.472
12	40.1	8.5	31.6	19.0	2.000	-1.000	0.000	21. 1	2.4	0.101	0.573
13	40.5	8.4	32.1	19.1	2.320	-1.160	0.000	21.5	2.8	0.102	0.675
14	40.3	8.4	31.9	19.0	2.460	-1.230	0.000	21-4	3.0	0.045	0.720
15	40_4	8.5	31.9	19.1	2.600	-1.300	0.000	21.3	3.2	0.045	0.764

.

¥

UNIVERSITY OF HARIMORE SOIL MECHANICS LABORAMORY BUERGY CALCULATIONS

**** PRGINPERING STRAIN ****

SAMPLE NO. = T 419 HOLE NC. = 6 DEPTH = 8.74 FETERS TO 8.92 METRES TEST RESULTS STAFT 19811210 END 19811215

ΡT	BPPECI Sigma 1	PPPECT SIGNA3	DEV Stress	EFFFCT OCT	AVIAL STRAIR	RADIAL STRAIN	VCL STRAIN	LSSV	LSNV	DELTA Energy	TOTAL ENERGY
	KPA	KPA	¥ PL	STRFSS KPA	K	\$	% .	KPA	5	KB-H/VOL	KN-M/VOL
٦	32.1	21.0	11.1	24.7	6.127	0.957	8.042	0.0	0.0	-0.006	0.000
2	31.9	16.F	15.2	21.7	6.184	0.892	7.969	6.2	.0 . 1	-0.000	-0.006
3	32.5	13.2	19.3	19.6	6.262	0.805	7.875	11.0	0.3	-0.003	-0.007
4	32.5	9.0	23.5	16.8	6.363	0.644	7.651	17.0	0.5	0,054	-0.010
5	32.8	5-2	27.6	14.4	6.672	0.313	7.297	22.4	1.1	0.419	0-044
6	33.8	3.2	30.6	13.4	7.661	1.374	10.409	25.2	1.6		0.462

UNIVERSITY OF MANIFORA Soll Echanics Laboratory Energy Calculations

**** ENGINEERING STRAIN ****

SAMPLY NO. = 7 420 HOLY NO. = 6 DEPTH = 8.56 METRPS TO 8.74 METRES TEST RESULTS STAPT 19811214 END 19811214

рŢ	EPPPCT SIGTAT KPA	PPFEOM Sigmas KPA	DEV STRESS KPA	EFFECT OCT STPESS FPA	AVIAL STRAIN K	PADIAL STEAIN %	VCL STRAIN T	LSSV KPA	LSNV K	DELTA ENERGY KK-M/VOL	TOTÀL ENERGY KN-M/VOL
. 1	61.7	40.u	21.3	47.5	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0		0.000
2	64.6	35.4	29.2	45.1	0.050	-0.025	0.000	7.6	0.1	0.053	0.013
3	69.3	29.2	40.1	42.6	0.200	-0.100	0.000	17.6	0.2	0.052	0.065
4	70.9	26.7	44.2	21.2	0.340	-0.170	0.000	21.4	0.4	0.000	0.124
5	71.8	24.9	46.9	40.5	0.520	-0.260	0.000	24.1	0.6	0.062	0.206
6	72.2	24.0	#R.2	40.1	0.650	-0.325	0.000	25.5	0.8	0.002	0.267
7	72.9	23.5	49_4	40_0	0.840	-0.420	0.000	26.4	1.0	0 055	0.360
8	72.8	22.8	50.0	39.5	0.950	-0-475	0.000	27.3	1.2	0.035	0.415
9	73.7	22.5	50.8	39.8	1.130	-0.565	0.000	27.8	1.4	0 077	0.506
10	73.4	22.0	51.4	39.1	1.280	-0,640	0.000	28.5	1.6	0 077	0.582
11	73.6	21.7	51.9		1_420	-9.713	0.000	29.0	1.7	0-083	0.654
12	73.8	21.4	52.4	38.9	1.580	-0.790	0.000	29.5	1.9	0,089	0.738
13	73.9	21.2	52.7	36.8	1.750	-0.875	0.000	29.8	2.1	0, 164	0.827
14	74.5	21.1	53.4	38.9	2.060	-1.030	0.000	30.1	2.5	0.172	0_992
15	75.1	21.1	54.0	30.1	2.380	-1.190	0.000	30.4	2.9	0_179	1.164
16	75.5 ·	20.9	54.6	39.1	2.710	-1.355	0.000	30.8	3.3	0.240	1.343
17	76.0	21.3	54.7	39_5	3.150	-1.575	0.000	30.6	3.9	0.104	1.583
18	76.1	21.6	54.5	39.8	3.340	-1.673	0.000	30.2	4.1	0.179	1.687
19	75.9	21.9	54.0	39.9	3.670	-1.835	0.000	29.8	4.5		1.866

· · · · · ·

UNIVERSITY OF MANIMORA Soil Mechanics Laboramory Evergy Calculations

**** ENGINEERING STRATE ****

SAMPLE HO. = T 421 HOLE NO. = 6 DEPTH = 8.74 METRES TO 8.91 METRES

TEST RESENTES STIRT 19820108 BND 19820119

ΡT	EPPECT SIGNA1	SPFFCT SIGMA3	DEV Stpess	EFFFC" CCT	AXIAL STRAIN	RADIAL STRAIN	VOI STRAIN	LSSV	ISNV	DELTA Energy	TO"AL ENERGY
	KPA ·	KPA	KPN	SIPFSS KFA	2	s.	*	KPA	2	KF-F/VOL	KN-E/VOL
1	62.0	40.2	21.8	47.5	8.017	1.63)	11.278	0.0	0.0	0 121	0.000
2	64.4	38. R	25.6	47.3	8.229	1.615	11.458	3.1	0.2	0. (2)	0.121
3	67.6	37.6	30.0	47.6	8.159	1.602	11.663	6.7	0.4	0.142	0.264
ų	70.2	36.0	34.2	47.4	8.640	1.563	11.765	10.1	0.6	0.096	0.359
5	73.1	34.7	38.4	47.5	B.770	1.553	11.876	13.6	0.8	0.086	0.446
5	74.4	33.9	40.5	47.4	8.886	1.515	11.919	15.3	0.9	0.061	0.506
7	75.7	33.2	42.5	47.4	8.971	1_479	11_928	16.9	1.0	0.038	0.544
8	77.3	32.7	44.6	47.6	9.102	1.430	11.962	18.6	1.1	0.000	0.613
Ģ	78.4	31.6	46.8	47.2	9.224	1.429	12.082	20.4	1.2	0.094	0.707
10	79.8	31.0	48.8	47.3	9.019	1.372	12.164	22.0	1.4		0.826
11	81.5	30.6	50.9	47.6	9.672	1.306	12.283	23.8	1.7	0.163	0.989
12	84.0	29.0	55.0	47.3	10.001	1.184	12.369	27.1	2.1	0.200	1.189
13	86.7	27.6	59.1	47.3	10.557	0.967	12.492	30.5	2.7	0.352	1.541
14	89.5	26.4	63.1	47.4	11.349	0.631	12.611	. 33.7	3.6	0.010	2.057
15	92.5	24.5	69.0	47.2	12.244	0.179	12.602	37.7	4.7	0.584	2.641

UNIVERSITY OF TANITOPA SOIN MECHANITS LABOPATORY ENTRON CALCULATIONS											
**** PNGINEFRING STRAIN ****											
SAMPLE NO. = T 422 HOLE NO. = 6 DEPTH = 8.74 METRES TO 8.92 METRES											
TEST	RESULTS	START	19820112	END	1982011	3					
₽ ™	EFFECT Sigmat KPA	VPPECT SIGMA3 KPR	NEV STRESS KPA	EFFECT OCT STRESS KPA	AVIAL STRAIN %	PADIAL STRAIN S	VOL STRAIN K	LSSV KPN	LSNV K	DELTA ENERGY KK-M/VOL	TOTAL Energy KN-F/Vol
1	85.4	F 2.5	22.9	70.1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0	0 011	0.000
2	89.3	57.2	32.1	67.9	0.040	-0.020	0.000	8.4	0.0	0.053	0.011
3	97.0	48.1	48.9	64.4	0.170	-0.085	0.000	23.4	0.2	0.033	0.064
LL LL	100.5	44.0	56.5	62.8	0.320	-0.160	0.000	30.2	0_4	0.079	0.143
5	101.3	41.1	60.2	61.2	0.500	-0.250	0.000	34.2	0.6	0.105	0.248
6	101.6	39.9	61.7	69.5	0_650	-0.325	0_000	35.8	0.8	0.091	0.339
7	101.8	38.8	63.0	59.8	0.800	-0.400	0.000	37.3	1.0	0.094	0.433
8	101.6	38.0	63.6	59.2	0.910	-0.455	0.000	38.2	1.1	0.070	0.502
9	102.0	37.0	65.0	58.7	1.130	-0.565	0.000	39.7	1.4	0.141	0_644
10	102.2	35.6	66.6	*7. 8	1.470	-0.735	0.000	41.6	1.8	0.224	0.867
11	102-7	34.7	6P_0	57.4	1.800	-0.900	0_000	43.0	2.2	0.222	1.090
12	103.3	34.0	69.7	57.1	2.140	-1.070	0.000	40.3	2.6	0.233	1.323
43	103-7	33.3	70.4	56.8	2.500	-1.250	0.000	45.2	3.1	0.251	1.574
. 1 N	100 1	33.4	70.7	57.0	2, 650	-1.325	0.000	45.2	3.2	0.106	1.680
45		22.2	71.7	56.9	2,840	-1-423	0.000	45.6	3.5	0.135	1.815
10	104.4		71 8	57.1	2,990	-1.495	0.000	45.8	3.7	0.107	1.922
47	105.0		77 5	57 1	3, 330	=1.665	0,000	46.4	4.1	0.245	2.168
17	102.7	32.7	72.0	\$7.7	3.660	-1-833	0_000	46.8	4.5	0.241	2.409
15	105.0		74.3	67 0	n 020	=2.010	0.000	47.0	4.9	0.266	2.676
, u	10/.4	12.1	74.3	59 7	a 350	-2.175	0.000	47.5	5.3	0.247	2.922
20	108.3	53.1	75.2	27.2	4.350	-2.175	0.000	67 5	5.8	0.264	3, 187
21	109.3	33.5	75.8	58.8	4.700	-2.350	0.000		6.2	0.258	3_444
22	109.6	33.7	75.9	59.0	5.040	-2.525	0.000	-/	6 8	0.137	3, 581
23	109.9	34.1	75.8	59.4	5. 220	-2.010	0.000	+1+U +C -		0.121	3.702
24	109.9	34.5	75.4	59.6	5.380	-2.690	0.000	40.0	0.0	0.113	3, 815
25	110.3	35.0	75.3	60.1	5.530	-2.765	0.000	46+2	0.0	0_158	3.073
26	110.4	35.2	75.2	60.3	5.740	-2.870	0.000	46.0	7.0	0.112	J. 7/J
27	710.1	35.4	74.7	60.3	5.890	-2.945	0_000	45.6	7.2		4.000

.

- 221 -

•