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#### Abstract

In order for the various levels of government, the biomedical research community, and Aboriginal leadership to more carefully assess the needs of the Canadian Aboriginal population they must have an accurate picture of its demographic and epidemiological characteristics. Researchers of Aboriginal health have often used various data sources without a full appreciation of the flaws inherent in the data. This thesis examines the effect of the under-reporting of vital events upon one such data source, namely the Indian Register, and subsequent ramifications for the epidemiological and demographic analysis of the Manitoba Status Indian population. The study compares the magnitude of the problem for the aggregate of six bands from 1979 through 1983 with further differentiation into sex, residential and regional categories. Each of these populations was adjusted for the late- and under-reporting of vital events in order to obtain a corresponding set of population data for comparison purposes. The principal methodologies employed include direct and indirect standardization of mortality rates, life table analysis of mortality, and analysis of fertility and reproduction. These analyses reveal a preponderance of both birth and death reporting problems associated with the off-reserve populations although all populations were affected to some degree. Demographic and epidemiological calculations for all populations were affected to an extent depending upon the magnitude of the reporting problems and the age strata in which they were concentrated. Mortality rates tended to be inflated as a result of reporting problems.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction and Literature Review

The demographic compositions of Canadian Aboriginal populations are not well known or documented. While there is no scarcity of data concerning the populations, the data sources upon which analyses and inferences must be based have been increasingly scrutinized and criticized over the last two decades. Epidemiologists and demographers alike have used the various data sources in their research, often without a full appreciation of the flaws inherent in the data (Sugerman et al, 1993; Sugerman \& Lawson, 1993; Snipp, 1986; Frost et al, 1992). These data quality issues must be addressed, especially if the research results are to be considered in program and policy planning, implementation, and evaluation. Implications of these for planning and programming employment, housing, social assistance, education, and health care delivery are especially profound.

Issues pertaining to the health of the Canadian Aboriginal populations are prominent among the interests of various levels of government, the biomedical research community, as well as Aboriginal leadership. In order for these parties to more carefully assess the needs of the populations they must have an accurate picture of demographic and epidemiological characteristics (e.g. population size and age/sex structure, mortality, fertility, and residential distribution). Several authors have mentioned the need for more reliable, meaningful data concerning the Aboriginal population (Mao et al., 1992:350; Piche \& George, 1973: 367; Cook, 1980:3). As well, various studies indicate that this population is not as healthy as the general Canadian population (e.g. Mao et al., 1986; Young, 1992; Mao et al., 1992; Morrison et al., 1986; Evers \& Rand, 1982). As of 1993
the infant mortality rate among Aboriginal infants had decreased but was still 1.7 times that of the larger population. Suicide rates, especially for ages 15 through 24 are five to eight times greater than the national rates (Lemchuk-Favel, 1996). Mortality rates in general tend to be higher for every age group and category. The need for accurate, reliable data concerning the Aboriginal population in Canada is clear.

Two major problem areas complicate the task of the researcher of Aboriginal health. The first of these is associated with the seemingly straightforward problem of defining the population of interest. The second area includes problems of a methodological nature. Each source of information concerning Canada's Aboriginal population has its own inherent advantages and disadvantages. Nevertheless, lack of synchrony between data resources confound methodological considerations as well as interpretations of results.

A Note on Terminology: For this analysis the Canadian "Aboriginal" population will include all descendents of the original inhabitants of what is now Canada. This includes those groups designated by the Canadian government as Indian, Metis and Inuit. The primary focus of the research will be specifically the Status or Registered Indian population and this designation is described below.

## Problems Faced By The Researcher Of Aboriginal Health

## Problem I: Defining the Registered Indian Population:

A first stage in any demographic or epidemiological investigation involves a definition of the population of interest and herein lays the first problem confronted by the
researcher of Aboriginal health. The problem has its roots in the very nature of ethnic identity. How is the Status "Indian" defined? Unfortunately when it comes to the definition of an Indian one notes the blurring of legislative and biological meanings. As will become clear the "Status" Indian definition is based substantially upon legislative and legal criteria rather than upon biological or sociological criteria. Another difficulty encountered in defining the Indian population is related to the ever-changing nature of the definition. From its legislative origins to the present day the Status Indian population has changed not only in real demographics but also as a simple result of changes in definition.

## Who is a Status Indian?:

The population of interest for this research is the Status or Registered Indian population of Manitoba. In 1962, Ferd Owl attempted to address the question of "Who and what is an American Indian"? The answer to this question was long and complex and displayed a large number of labels that are applied to people of Native descent. The question is equally appropriate, and the results as complicated, if it is asked of the Canadian Indian. Snipp (1986:237) comments on the difficulty of analyzing a phenomenon such as ethnic identity. What criteria must an individual meet in order to be considered a member of Canada's Status Indian population? To be brief, if an individual meets the criteria embodied in government legislation (in its original and/or revised forms) then that individual is considered a "Status", "Treaty" or "Registered" Indian. The individual's name and unique treaty number is recorded upon a band-organized list and then, he or she is granted treaty or legislative rights and privileges that are not available to "non-Status" Indians, other individuals of Aboriginal ancestry, or non-Indians.

Presently these rights and privileges include, but are not restricted to, access to postsecondary education assistance, uninsured health benefits, and hunting, fishing and trapping rights. In many respects therefore the "Indian" population has been defined in large part by the Canadian government and not by Canadian Aboriginals themselves. As a consequence it is incorrect to assume that this "legislatively-defined population" is a realistic portrayal of Canada's Aboriginal population. Instead it is an attempt by the Canadian government to define a service population (Isfeld,1997). This will become ever more apparent through an illustration of the government legislation related to the Canadian Aboriginal.

Another aspect of the problem has already been alluded to and concerns the consequences of the ever-changing nature of the Status Indian definition. Government legislation concerning Canadian Aboriginals has periodically changed over time and so has the definition of an "Indian". As a result the Indian Register has undergone changes as well. This has had the effect of essentially changing the size and characteristics of the population. For example, the impact of Section 12 (and the resulting enfranchisements) of the Indian Act of 1951 would be most felt by young to middle-aged women and their children. Depending on government legislation individuals may gain or lose Indian Status. This continuous change in legislation therefore leads to changes in the nature of the Indian population as defined by the Department of Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC). Halli, Trovato and Driedger (1990) have commented on the difficulty of describing a population that can gain and lose members simply through changes in the legal status of individuals. In order to illustrate the legislative and ever-changing nature
of the Indian definition it is necessary to briefly examine the government legislation pertaining to it.

## Government Legislation in the Beginning:

The first statutory definition of an "Indian" was set forth in 1850 through the Act for the Better Protection of the Lands and Property of the Indians in Lower Canada. An Indian was defined as:

1. First - All persons of Indian blood, reputed to belong to the particular Body or Tribe of Indians interested in such lands, and their descendents.
2. Secondly - All persons intermarried with any such Indians and residing amongst them and the descendants of all such persons.
3. Thirdly - All persons residing among such Indians, whose parents on either side were or are Indians of such Body or tribe, or entitled to be considered as such; and
4. Fourthly - All persons adopted in infancy by any such Indian, and residing in Village or upon the lands of such Tribe or Body of Indians, and their Descendents (Frideres, 1998: 20).

It is important to note the biological, cultural and inclusive nature of this definition. With time the definition of who is to be considered an Indian has narrowed in scope and become increasingly legislative or legal to the exclusion of biological or cultural considerations (Frideres, 1998). This can be illustrated by comparing the four points above to the "Indian definition" outlined much later in the Indian Act of 1951. Section 11 of the Indian Act outlined inclusionary guidelines for Registered Indian Status.

According to this legislation the following individuals were eligible for Indian Status:
(a) Anyone who, on May 261874 was.....considered to be entitled to hold, use or enjoy the lands and other immovable property belonging to or appropriated to the use of the various tribes, bands, or bodies of Indians in Canada.
(b) Is a member of a band,
(i) for whose use and benefit, in common, lands have been set apart since May 26 1874, have been agreed by treaty to be set apart, or
(ii) that has been declared by the governor in Council to be a band....
(c) Is a male person who is a direct descendent in the male line of a male person described in paragraph (a) or (b).
(d) Is the legitimate child of,
(i) a male person described in paragraph (a) or (b), or
(ii) a person described in paragraph (c).
(e) Is the legitimate child of a female person described in paragraph (a),(b) or (d).
(f) Is the wife or widow of a person who is entitled to be registered by virtue of paragraph (a), (b), (c), (d), or (e).

Two important observations are apparent in a comparison of the two legislative definitions. First, in 101 years the criteria used by the government to define an Indian changed drastically. Secondly, the changes have been at the expense of any cultural or biological considerations. From an inclusive definition focusing on land, residence, biology and relationships the legislation has moved to a focus upon treaty and legitimacy with a clear bias towards males. The patrilineal bias is as unmistakable as the change from biological and cultural considerations to legal and legislative ones. Section 12 of this same piece of legislation can further illustrate the ever-changing and legislative nature of the Indian definition. Increasingly complex guidelines were presented concerning who was not considered an Indian and who could be "enfranchised" or stripped of their Indian Status.

## Enfranchisement:

Enfranchisement is a term given to the process by which an individual may voluntarily give up their Indian Status or have it legally removed. The beginnings of this
process came with the 1850 Act for the Better Protection of the Lands and Property of the Indians in Lower Canada; the same document that first attempted to define the Indian. An amendment to this legislation in 1851 was the first to make the distinction between Status and non-Status Indians. Not only were white males excluded from living with Indians, but the provision also excluded white males married to Indian women from obtaining legal status as Indians (Leslie \& Maguire, 1978). The 1857 Act to Encourage the Gradual Civilization of the Indian Tribes in the Canadas was the first to provide for the voluntary surrender of Indian status and band membership (INAC, 1991). Other amendments to the legislation regarding enfranchisement were made periodically. A few of the most significant changes included:

1876: The British North American Act - presented the original incarnation of the modern Indian Act. This Act detailed the removal of Status from Indian women and their children if they married a non-Status man.

1880: Amendment - allowed the automatic enfranchisement of any individuals that gained a university degree.

1933: Amendment - further empowered the government to order the enfranchisement of individuals as it saw fit (INAC, 1991).

1951: Indian Act - involuntary enfranchisement provisions were retained, including those that discriminated against Aboriginal women.

1985: Bill C-31 Amendment - allowed Aboriginal people who had been voluntarily or involuntarily enfranchised under the discriminatory provisions of the Indian Act to apply for reinstatement of their Indian Status.

Enfranchisements reached a peak of 13,760 between the period 1948 and 1968 primarily due to the tabling of the Indian Act of 1951 (Frideres, 1998: 25). This large
number was likely a result of two things. First, new provisions allowed for the enfranchisement of more individuals than previously. Secondly, with the establishment of the Indian Register and Office of the Registrar the entire registration process, while becoming exceedingly complex also became more efficient. The Department of Indian Affairs was able to apply a greater number of eligibility rules and other regulations to the incoming applications for Indian Status (INAC, 1991). Some of the enfranchisements were processed voluntarily upon application to Indian Affairs in order that Indians and their minor unmarried children might be privy to certain perceived privileges, for example voting rights. Others were enfranchised as a direct result of the sexually discriminatory provisions in Section 12 of the Indian Act. For example, an Indian woman (and her children) could have her Status revoked if she married other than a Status Indian man. The process of enfranchisement further illustrates the difficulty involved in defining the Canadian Indian. Complex legislative guidelines decided who could no longer be called Indian. These guidelines also changed often, allowing for the enfranchisement of more and more individuals, until the passing of Bill C-31 in 1985.

## Bill C-31:

The latest changes to the Indian Act were enacted in 1985 with the passing of Bill $C-31$. The changes were introduced for the following reasons: a) the elimination of sexually discriminatory registration criteria; b) provisions for restoration of Status and band membership; c) provision for first time registration of first generation descendents; d) elimination of enfranchisement provisions, and; e) provisions for transfer of control over band membership to band councils (Isfeld, 1997:31).

## Summary: Defining the Registered Indian Population:

In summary then, the definition of the Status/Registered Canadian Indian is legislative in nature and has undergone many significant changes. The first definition to be used was based primarily on biological attributes while later definitions became more narrow in scope and legal in context. Isfeld (1997:2) notes "...the term Indian is essentially a legal concept, developed over 100 years ago as a means of regulating the relationship between a colonial and an indigenous population". Therefore the "Indian" category has been and still is, defined and described by the European-Canadian majority and not by Canadian Aboriginals themselves. The resulting Status Indian population therefore may not be a realistic depiction of the Indian population, but rather an attempt by the Euro-Canadian government to define a serviceable population. Similarly Halli, Trovato, and Driedger (1990) note that, "A closer examination of the criteria employed in the legal definition of Indian.... reveals little or no overlap between these and either cultural and biological variables". Researchers carrying out epidemiological and demographic investigations of Canada's Aboriginal population have continued to use this Status Indian population when other data on Aboriginal ancestry is unavailable (Moffat et al., 1988).

It should also be noted here that the definition of an "Indian" might also be different depending on the data source being used. For example, the census tabulates those individuals whom have self-identified as Aboriginal. On the other hand, the Indian Register, which will be discussed in detail below, recognizes as Indians only those that meet the criteria and stipulations set forth by current government legislation. The First Nations and Inuit Health Branch (formerly the Medical Services Branch of Health and

Welfare Canada) also utilizes this definition. Unfortunately, there exists a paucity of literature pertaining to the potential consequences of using such data sources in the evaluation of native health and demography. The importance of recognizing these issues cannot be overstated. As Aboriginal people become more involved in managing their government and health care systems, it is crucial that all parties be able to accurately define those demographic and epidemiological parameters that aid in administrative decision-making.

## Problem 2: Describing the Registered Indian Population

Even after one is able to accurately define the Aboriginal population in Canada it is still often difficult to describe this population. This leads us to the second major problem associated with the study of Aboriginal health. Different data sources are often not directly comparable, transposable or mutually transparent. Several authors have noted the importance of accurately characterizing the Aboriginal population (Siggner \& Locatelli, 1980:7; Cook, 1980:3). The ramifications of not doing so could be very serious. A study carried out by Frost et al. (1992) indicates that the lower cancer incidence observed in Native Americans compared to Caucasians is partially due to racial misclassification. Similarly, Rosenberg et al. (1999) blame racial misclassification for the understated mortality rates exhibited for American Indians in the United States.

The primary sources of data concerning Canada's Aboriginal population are the Indian Register, the First Nations and Inuit Health Branch, as well as census and postcensus surveys conducted by Statistics Canada. Each of these has its own advantages and disadvantages and the data obtained from one is often not comparable to the
corresponding data from another. The following is a brief description of these information sources and how useful or misleading they can be in Aboriginal research.

## Census:

The census is carried out every five years by Statistics Canada. Data from the census includes information on age, sex, marital status, as well as cultural, socioeconomic, family and household aspects. It is used for many purposes, from calculating population and migration projections to analyzing the impact of social programs. Information on the Aboriginal population of Canada derives from the responses to questions on ethnic origins and/or membership in an Aboriginal Band. Cross-tabulation of Aboriginal origin with demographic, social and economic data represents an important source of information on Registered Indian conditions (Boyd \& Rosenberg, 1987:4). A multitude of limitations have been identified in the use of census data for the purpose of demographic and epidemiological analysis. Some of these are purely methodological problems while others are related to the lack of comparability of the census data from year to year and with other data sources. They include the problems of non-response to, and respondent error in the interpretation of, specific questions with consequent over- or under-enumeration, the very nature of the self-reporting process, and other miscellaneous difficulties.

## First Nations and Inuit Health Branch:

The First Nations and Inuit Health Branch (FNIHB), previously the Medical Services Branch of the Department of Health and Welfare Canada, is assigned the task of administering the health of Canada's Aboriginal peoples. It is a good source of
information regarding births and deaths, primarily because the reporting takes place locally. It is also not very susceptible to the problem of late reporting (Murray, 1980:81). FNIHB data does have another outstanding advantage. Data are, conditional upon the adequacy of event reporting, subject to continuous updating. For example, if the department in 1979 became aware of a birth that occurred in 1977, then that birth would have been reallocated to the 1977 birth cohort in the database and the numbers amended in subsequent publications. Therefore, vital events data, given the passage of sufficient time for recovery of late-reported births and deaths, are considered to be quite accurate (Rokala, 1999: Personal Communication). Census data and Indian Register data are not continuously updated in this manner.

Still however, this data source is subject to some limitations. The most notable problem is the variation in coverage and collection procedures that one encounters from region to region across Canada. As far as coverage is concerned, in the Atlantic Provinces, Quebec, and Ontario field staff collect information for only those First Nations people living on reserves. On the other hand, for Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta, British Columbia and the Yukon, data are obtained from the respective provincial and territorial databases and includes events that occurred both on the reserves and off (Lemchuk-Favel 1996:2). Other idiosyncrasies exist as well from region to region. For example, those communities in Quebec that are subject to the James Bay Agreement do not provide data to the FNIHB nor do those bands currently involved in the transfer of their own health care management. For the Pacific region data is unavailable for the years 1985 and 1986 (Lemchuk-Favel, 1996:4). Manitoba and Saskatchewan have a unique system. Births occurring among Aboriginals are tracked through the Provincial

Health Insurance Department. Lists of births are sent to the FNIHB to obtain a Medicare number and parents must register new births in order for the child to be covered by Medicare (Ram \& Romaniuc, 1985:6).

While the above problems simply make it difficult to make regional comparisons there are other problems inherent in the FNIHB data. Boyd \& Rosenberg (1987:26) outline several other problems not directly related to larger demographic and epidemiological analyses. Briefly, these include changes in the International Classification of Diseases (ICD), miscounting of medical resource usage on and off reserve, along with the aggregation of all data to larger regional levels. When all is said and done the database maintained by the FNIHB is a valuable source of information for use in demographic and epidemiological analyses, particularly because of the continuous updating process that they are subject to.

## The Indian Register:

The Indian Register is maintained by the Department of Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC). It represents a list of all individuals who have been granted Indian Status through the provisions in the Indian Act. This is quite unlike the selfidentification of ethnic origins that characterizes the census. Information recorded within the Register includes name, age, sex, marital status, band status, and occasionally information regarding religion and place of residence (Murray, 1980:72). Events such as births, deaths and marriages are also tracked. It is continuously updated and maintained throughout the year. The Indian Register was established in 1951 for administrative purposes along with the Office of the Registrar and was subsequently computerized in
1965. The Registrar is responsible for determining the eligibility of individuals for Indian Status. The Register is considered by some to be one of the most useful sources of demographic data available to the researcher of native health. It is used by INAC to supply population counts, the denominators in demographic and epidemiological analyses. Like the other information sources mentioned however, the Register has its own inherent limitations. The inconsistent reporting of vital events is the most serious problem associated with using the Indian Register as a source of demographic data. Data are often subject to lengthy reporting delays and in some cases events may never be reported at all. This is evident in the case of both deaths as well as births.

## Irregularities in the Reporting of Deaths:

Mortality data is crucial to the examination of population health. One particular report suggests that the late reporting of deaths is the single largest problem associated with Indian Register data (INAC, 1993:6). In some instances it may take up to 3-5 years for a death to be reported (Boyd \& Rosenberg, 1987:2; INAC, 1984:9). There are two methods by which INAC adjusts the Indian Register data in order to account for these instances. If both reporting dates and actual event dates are available then the researcher can simply reallocate the deaths to the year in which they occurred, thereby reducing the population count in that year and thereafter. One can also estimate the expected number of late-reported deaths in one year by extrapolating from previous trends. The underreporting of deaths is also thought to contribute to Indian Register data problems. The procedures used by INAC to correct for this phenomenon are complex.

## Irregularities in the Reporting of Births:

The discrepancies in the reporting of vital events can also compromise fertility data. The under-reporting of births can adversely affect Indian Register data. It is quite possible that a child may die before being registered, in which case the birth will go completely unreported. While it is not thought to be as serious a problem as latereporting, Ram and Romaniuc (1985:13) note that this under-reporting has probably led to an underestimate of Aboriginal fertility rates for most provinces from 1971-1976 and for select provinces from 1976-1978. While not as serious a problem as in the first half of the century the researcher still must address this under-reporting. The adjustment procedures used by INAC for the under-reporting of births are similar to those carried out for the case of deaths and are far too complex to elaborate upon here.

The late reporting of births to INAC is perhaps the largest problem associated with using the Indian Register as a source of demographic data. It is first important to illustrate the magnitude of the problem. This phenomenon was first considered by Graham-Cummings (1968), and since then several authors have recognized the need to address it. Piche \& George (1973:381) and Ram \& Romaniuc (1985:33) even suggested that some fertility measures might be underestimated for some time periods due to the late reporting of births. They found that for births between 1971 and 1982, between 45 and $92 \%$ were not reported in the same year that they occurred. Nault et al (1993:6) illustrate the problem quite well. They note that in 1980 there were 5172 Registered Indian children less than one year of age in Canada. Surprisingly however, in 1981 that cohort (now aged 1 year) was 7215. The size of the cohort therefore increased by 2043 children. This is puzzling because mortality should be the only factor involved in
dictating the size of this segment of the population. The reason for this discrepancy is the inconsistency associated with birth reporting or registration. Most births are reported within 6 years of their occurrence. However, some may continue to be reported even up to 18 years following the event (Nault et al 1993:7). Even more troubling is the fact that the extent of the late reporting has varied markedly from year to year, actually increasing with time (Ram \& Romaniuc 1985:6). In 1965, $81 \%$ of births were reported in the same year they occurred, $11.8 \%$ were reported one year later, $1.5 \%$ two years later and the remaining $5.7 \%$ were reported three or more years later. In 1971 however the percentages were $69.1,18.7,3.2$ and $9 \%$ respectively. As a further example, for 1990 the end-year Statistics Canada Registered Indian population as measured by the census was reported as 490,178 . Once the births were reallocated to the correct year however the national total was 511,382 , or $4.3 \%$ higher (INAC, 1993). Of course the majority of the impact would be upon the youngest age groups, those under 5 years of age.

The reasons behind the late reporting of births are not well known but some suggestions have been made. The increased out-migration from reserves may make it difficult for Band administrators to keep track of those births occurring off the reserve. Another possible explanation for the apparent late reporting of births is simply the time of year during which a birth occurs. If a birth occurs in November or December for example, it may not be reported to INAC until the following year. Since the Indian Register population totals are end-year then the individual will show up in the following year's total rather than the current. The problem is a very real one and must be addressed by the health researcher.

There are two different methods by which INAC adjusts their numbers for the late reporting of births, depending upon the situation. If the event is registered at some point in time then the birth can be reallocated to the year in which it occurred, as long as the actual birth-date has been recorded. If the event has not yet been registered a different procedure is carried out. For example the researcher may, in the year 2000 wish to know how many births from the year 1999 still have not been reported. The approach is then one of estimation based on previous trends.

## Research Objectives:

The objective of this research is to address the problem of describing Manitoba's Registered Indian population. An attempt will be made to accurately define this population for the period of time between 1979 and 1983 and provide more realistic estimates of some demographic and epidemiological indicators within that time period. In so doing I hope to accomplish two things. First, I will address the limitations of data sources, particularly the Indian Register, currently used for these purposes. Secondly, I will attempt to establish an accurate baseline, free of idiosyncrasy, from which long-term trends may be more realistically portrayed in Manitoba.

## Research Questions:

1. What is the magnitude of the problem of the inconsistent reporting of vital events for Manitoba's Status Indian population for the period 1979-1983?
2. How do the adjusted population totals for Manitoba compare to those totals presented by INAC?
3. How do the adjusted totals affect the age-sex structure of this population and subsequent fertility and mortality measures?
4. Can the adjusted population totals obtained be used to set a convenient, reliable baseline population from which further trends may be considered?

## Chapter 2

## Materials

## Data Sources:

Two primary sources of demographic and epidemiological data were used in this study. Mortality and fertility data were derived from the databases of the First Nations and Inuit Health Branch, as previously reported by Isfeld (1997). During the time period of interest the Medical Services Branch of the Department of National Health and Welfare (the previous form of the FNIHB) was responsible for the collection, collation, and reporting of this data. For this reason the MSB designation will be utilized for the remainder of the analysis. Mortality and fertility events are reported to the MSB via the local health care community, from band reports and also from nursing stations in most communities. Data pertaining to descendents and members are then crosschecked with the Indian Register in order to confirm Indian Status. End-year population counts for this analysis were obtained from Indian Register-based summary reports. These are published on a yearly basis by Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC).

## DATA ABSTRACTION:

Data abstraction was undertaken for the years 1979 through 1983 for an aggregate of six bands considered to be among the largest in the province of Manitoba and assumed to be representative of the Manitoba Status Indian population. Separately these populations were too small to allow reliable statistical analyses, but in the aggregate it was assumed that their condition was representative of the larger Manitoba Aboriginal condition as a whole (Isfeld, 1997). MSB birth and death data for the six bands were
aggregated and averaged over the five-year period to produce standard counts of births and deaths (Appendix 1). There are both advantages and disadvantages to using MSB data for research purposes. These have been outlined in detail in the introductory section but it is worth repeating that the data are subject to continuous revision when new information becomes available. Therefore one can be reasonably confident of the accuracy of the databases.

## InFORMATION SUPPLIED:

MSB: For each mortality record the following information was available from the database: a) year of death; b) band affiliation; c) residence at time of death (on/off reserve); d) sex; and e) age at death. This included those deaths occurring within the afore-mentioned bands only. For each birth record data provided are similar and included: a) band affiliation at time of birth; b) sex; c) date of birth; d) maternal age at time of birth; e) and residential status of mother at time of birth (on/off-reserve).

INAC: Total Registered Indian population counts were obtained from the Indian Register summary reports published by INAC. This data suffers from several limitations, primarily the late- and under-reporting of vital events, but one goal of this research was to analyze and minimize these limitations.

Standard: For comparative purposes the 1992 Manitoba population was used. Postcensal population estimates were taken from publications of the Population Estimates Section of the Demography Division of Statistics Canada. Mortality data for this population was obtained from the Health Statistics Division.

## ADJUSTMENTS TO THE DATA:

In order to carry out the intended research it was necessary to make some adjustments to the data supplied. These adjustments took into account: a) residence categories; b) cases in which age was recorded as "unknown"; c) the standard conversion of end-year populations to mid-year; d) and the aggregation of the data into appropriate age categories; e) construction of standard schedules of mortality and fertility.

Residence Categories: INAC differentiates between six primary residence categories based on band administration and location. These are as follows: a) living on a reserve that is administered by the person's own band; b) a reserve administered by a band other than their own; c) living on crown land administered by their own band; d) crown land administered by another band; e) crown land not administered by any specific band; f) and off-reserve. For this research the six categories were collapsed into two, being simply on- and off-reserve. The former consisted of those individuals falling into the onreserve own band category and those living on crown land administered by their own band. All others were placed into the off-reserve category. The justification for this grouping procedure was two-fold. If one assumes that each band has its own distinct cultural and geographical characteristics then it can be suggested that the "own" band categories may be combined to represent the on-reserve population. Also, it was still necessary to identify a relatively large off-reserve population in order to make comparison with the on-reserve population more meaningful. For any situation in which the residential status was unknown then the individual was identified as off-reserve. Since this total number was quite small it was unlikely to have any noticeable detrimental effect upon the analyses.

Unknown: In cases where age was recorded as unknown the record was placed in the age $85+$ category. It was possible that due to memory loss, the subject simply could not remember their precise age.

Mid-Year Totals: It is standard demographic convention to convert end-year population counts to mid-year counts. This was carried out with the INAC data for the sake of comparison to other research materials.

Age Categories: For the analysis it was necessary to keep the data in single age categories as well as group it into standard demographic age categories ( $<1,1-4,5-9,10$ $14 \ldots 80-84,85+$ ). One of the foci of this research was an illustration of the problem of the late reporting of births and so it was especially important to keep the data in one-year age strata for the younger ages (i.e. ages $<1$ through 5). To begin with the birth cohort was referred to as age cohort 0 . Subsequently this cohort became the 1980 age 1 cohort, the 1981 age 2 cohort and so on until the termination of the data set at 1983. For the purpose of abridged life table analysis, and for comparison to the Manitoba 1992 population it was necessary to aggregate the data into standard age categories as well.

## Organization of the Data:

Fertility Data: The fertility data was organized into a spreadsheet compatible with Excel, Quattro Pro and NCSS and the following extra columns of information were added to that already mentioned. Based upon band affiliation, a code was established to identify each record as either Northern or Southern. This facilitated the evaluation of any role of inaccessibility in the inconsistent reporting of vital events. This was not a foolproof
method for defining "remoteness". However, if any anomalies presented themselves they may have suggested avenues for possible future research. The birth records were also allocated according to the time of the year in which they occurred, in three-month intervals. These adjustments were made in order to explore the possible effects of seasonality upon vital events reporting.

Mortality Data: The Northern versus Southern distinction was also added to the mortality spreadsheet. While the deaths could not be allocated according to the time of the year in which they occurred, the age-at-death field was rounded to the nearest year.

Inconsistent Reporting of Vital Events: The third and final spreadsheet incorporated both INAC population counts and MSB birth and death data. For each year and single age category, it was possible to compare "expected" population totals in the given year to "actual" population totals suggested by the INAC summary reports.

## Chapter 3

## METHODOLOGY

The methods utilized in this research were similar in many respects to those employed by Isfeld (1997) in an analysis of Manitoba's Registered Indian population, with the primary difference being the emphasis upon delays and other discrepancies in the reporting of vital events. Otherwise, the demographic, statistical and epidemiological procedures used were suitable for the purpose of answering the research questions posed.

## The Error of Closure:

As will be illustrated, births and deaths do not always accurately account for the changes in a population's size from one year to the next. In the case of Manitoba's Aboriginal population certain data quality issues, particularly within the time period of interest in this study, virtually guarantee that mortality and fertility data alone would not account entirely for the changes in population size from year to year. The Error of Closure $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ was used to measure this phenomenon. It takes into account factors other than mortality and fertility that may be involved in the population's apparent decrease or increase in size. It may have included the inconsistent reporting of births and deaths. It was calculated by taking one year's population as reported by INAC, subtracting its deaths, adding its births (both as reported by the MSB), and then dividing this result by the following year's population total. This number was then subtracted from 1, giving the proportion of population growth not accounted for by natural increase. Unfortunately this procedure did not allow for the differentiation between the effects of various types of reporting discrepancies and migration. While the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ may outline the magnitude of the
problem of the inconsistent reporting of vital events, regional (north/south) and residential (on-/off-reserve) migration must be considered as a potential source of error. For this research, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ calculations were completed for each population of interest (north, south, males, females, on-reserve, off-reserve) for the period of time from 1979-1983. If irregularities in the reporting of vital events were related to the characteristics that differentiated these subpopulations then the respective Ec may have demonstrated it.

## Irregularities in the Reporting of Vital Events:

The primary focus of this research was the problem of discrepancies in the reporting of vital events. The MSB data were informative in this regard as a source of demographic and epidemiological data. The problem was analyzed using the following methods.

A Note on Nomenclature: In order to describe the population changes from one year to the next within a cohort the following designation was applied: $\mathrm{YEAR}_{\mathrm{x}}$ denoted any year of interest where $\mathbf{x}$ was the age of the cohort in that particular year. It followed then that the 1979 age 12 cohort, designated $1979_{12}$ became the $1980_{13}$ cohort, the $1981_{14}$ cohort, and so on.

## a) Under-reporting of births:

Consider the following example. According to the Indian Register the 1979 total population birth cohort went through the following changes in size:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1979_{0}=255 \\
& 1980_{1}=379 \\
& 1981_{2}=387 \\
& 1982_{3}=392 \\
& 1983_{4}=393
\end{aligned}
$$

The problem is illustrated quite clearly here. The cohort size of 393 in 1983 suggests that at least that many births occurred in 1979. By 1980, 379 of these births were reported, and more were reported in subsequent years. This accounting procedure was carried out in order to illustrate the magnitude of the problem of under-reporting births. The results were presented as "the percentage of births being reported within $1,2,3$, and 4 years", with the denominator being the maximum cohort size achieved within the window of time available for the research (1979 through 1983).

## Other methodological notes concerning the late reporting of births:

In all instances MSB-reported deaths occurring within each cohort were taken into account. It was hoped that through analyzing the occurrence of under-reported births it would be possible to directly or indirectly adjust the population counts for Manitoba's Status Indian population.

One explanation for the observation of under-reported births may have been a large proportion of births occurring late in the year. For example, if a birth occurred in December it may not have been reported to INAC until the following year. As a result the birth would have shown up in the MSB database as a December birth but would not have been counted in that particular year's Indian Register population total (Rokala, 2000: pers comm). In order to assess the potential impact of differential monthly fertility the births were aggregated into three-month intervals for each population of interest. If for some reason there was a substantially large proportion of late-year births off-reserve compared to on-reserve, then it may have explained an apparent excess of late-reported
births. A standard chi-square test was used for this portion of the analysis with the null hypothesis assuming equal proportions of births in each three-month interval.

## b) Under-reporting of deaths:

The examination of the problem of death-reporting discrepancies was quite complicated, especially in the short time period being considered within this research. Most deaths are reported within 5 years of their occurrence. The data did not allow a check of monthly mortality intervals but it was possible to get an idea of the magnitude of the problem. The mortality data supplied by the MSB made it possible to follow a cohort through time just as the fertility data did. These numbers were then compared to the corresponding population totals supplied by the INAC summary reports. For example, the age 52 cohort in 1979 (197952) reported by INAC numbered 58 and apparently remained static through 1983. Interestingly however the MSB mortality data reported three deaths within this cohort in 1980 and one more in 1981. It was very likely therefore that these deaths were not yet reported to INAC or perhaps may never be reported.

## Appearance in the Data Tables:

One can gain a better understanding by observing the following example:
Year IR Count MSB Deaths
1978460
$197946 \quad 1$
$1980 \quad 450$

Example: Period 1978-1979: The cohort population, according to INAC, remained unchanged. Peculiarly enough however the MSB reported one death to the cohort in
1979. There is a good reason for this observation. While the death may have occurred in 1979, it was not reported promptly in that year. Consequently, when the death was reported at a later date the record would have been immediately deleted from the Indian Register for the year in which it was finally reported. On the other hand, the MSB would have revised their data to show that a death occurred in 1979. It is quite plausible therefore that a situation could exist in which the INAC population count remained unchanged even while the MSB reported one or more death events.

## Other methodological notes concerning late reported deaths:

The discrepancies observed were divided into two types. If the MSB reported a death within a specific cohort without a corresponding decrease occurring in the corresponding Indian Register population it was classified as a type 1 discrepancy. If however the MSB did not report a death while a decrease was noted in the Indian Register then it was classified as a type 2 discrepancy.

This accounting procedure was completed for every cohort of every population starting from age 10 to age 84 , followed by a 5 -year age stratum summary. The age 10 minimum was set because the majority of late births would have been accounted for by age 10 and therefore should not confound the analysis of death-reporting irregularities. It was assumed therefore that the only process affecting the size of this age $10+$ population was mortality. The age 85+ category was disregarded for this portion of the analysis. The all-inclusive nature of the category did not allow the same accounting procedure to be carried out. In cases where there was an apparent increase in cohort size from one year to the next this change was disregarded and a situation of "no late-reported deaths"
was noted. It was also important to note the potential confounding effects of migration in this portion of the analysis. If an individual moved from a reserve to an off-reserve location and died then the record may have shown an on-reserve death while the Indian Register may have counted the person as off-reserve. Unfortunately it was not feasible within this study to track the migration of individuals.

## Adjusting the Population Counts:

Two sets of data were available for comparison. The first constituted the unadjusted data set and consisted of the aggregated data taken directly from the Indian Register summary reports. The adjusted data set was obtained through the replacement of births and deaths into the appropriate year according to the MSB data. These adjustments were performed under the following guidelines.

Age 0 Cohort: This consisted of the maximum cohort size exhibited for this particular cohort over the available time-span minus the deaths reported up to that particular maximum cohort size.

Ages 1-10: Any apparent additions to the cohort throughout the period of interest were reallocated to the respective 1979 cohort. Deaths occurring over the period of analysis were accounted for. In each year after 1979 the cohort size was then reduced according to the number of MSB-reported deaths taking place within that cohort.

Ages 10-85+: After age 10 it was assumed that all births had been reported. Therefore any apparent population increases were disregarded. Using the 1979 population as a base the population counts for each cohort in each year were reduced according to the number of deaths reported by the MSB.

The adjusted and unadjusted population counts were then subjected to the analyses described below. The values obtained using both sets of data were then compared in order to assess the impact of vital event-reporting discrepancies upon the epidemiological and demographic analysis of the Manitoba Registered Indian population. The unadjusted counts used in this analysis represented the respective population and cohort sizes that resulted from reporting discrepancies. The adjusted counts represented the more accurate and realistic population sizes that could be expected had the effect of reporting discrepancies been minimized.

## Mortality Analysis:

In order to analyze the effect of reporting irregularities upon the demography and epidemiology of the Registered Indian population some commonly used mortality and fertility calculations were carried out. Each process is described below. In every case a standard schedule of vital events was used. It was then possible to isolate the effect of the changing denominators resulting from the adjustment procedures, and therefore the effect of reporting discrepancies upon these calculations. The resulting parameters do not reflect the actual demographic and epidemiological experience of each population in question. They do however provide a means by which the relative affect of reporting discrepancies upon the populations can be compared.

Three types of mortality rates were calculated for each population of interest, both adjusted and unadjusted. This included the crude mortality rate (CMR), indirectly standardized rate and directly standardized rate. In addition, a decomposition of the crude mortality rates based upon the direct standardization procedure was also completed.

Crude Mortality Rate (CMR): The CMR is simply a measure of the average death rate calculated over all age categories.

Indirectly Standardized Mortality Rates (ISMR): The adjustment procedures described above were carried out upon the Indian Register-based population counts, which served as the denominators in the mortality analysis. It was preferable to observe the effect of these adjustment procedures and hence, changing denominators, upon the mortality measures for each age category and population of interest. For this reason indirectly standardized mortality rates were calculated. This procedure was carried out using both the gross and age $<1$ to 9 populations using both adjusted and unadjusted counts. The 1992 Manitoba population was used as the standard, and the standard schedule of deaths described in Appendix I was also employed in this portion of the analysis. The methodology followed that described by Rothman (1986).

Directly Standardized Mortality Rates (DSMR): If the age distributions of two populations are relatively different then a simple comparison of crude rates can be very misleading. The seemingly lower mortality rate for one population may be a product of the greater proportion of one population being in younger age categories. Investigators generally make it a point to use "standardized" rates (Pollard et al.,1974:64). The choice of a standard population for this procedure is completely arbitrary. The resulting mortality rates are simply for comparison purposes. For this procedure the standard population was the 1992 Manitoba population.

Several standardization procedures were carried out in this research with the 1992 Manitoba population being used as the standard. In each case the calculations were completed for both the gross populations and for the age $<1$ to 9 population. Mortality
rate comparisons included north versus south populations, on- versus off- reserve populations, and male versus female populations. The adjustment procedures described above impacted the population totals, the denominators in the mortality analysis.

Further calculations were carried out upon the standardized rates, including the binomial variance, standard error and $95 \%$ confidence intervals. The variation was estimated by calculating the binomial variance via the method of Armitage (1971). The standard error then followed as the square root of this variance. This is a measure of the average amount of variation exhibited in the data set and is depicted in standard units. Finally, $95 \%$ confidence intervals were computed.

This standardized rate was essential for making meaningful comparisons. It did not however give any indication of the principal contributor to the difference in the crude rate. For this reason a decomposition of the mortality differences was also carried out. Of the difference in the crude rates of two populations some is a result of the difference in their age distributions while some was due to real differences in the death rates. The composition component reflects the effect of age structure on the difference in crude rates. It is a weighted average of the difference in the two age distributions. The rates component is the actual difference in mortality and it is a weighted average of the differences in age-specific death rates. The direct standardization and decomposition techniques were based upon the formulae and procedures presented by Das Gupta (1993).

## Life Table Construction and Analysis:

The life table provides the investigator with an invaluable tool for studying the mortality experience of the population of interest. It portrays the progress of a cohort of individuals as it is reduced by mortality until every individual has died. The final table
consists of a record of mortality rates, the probabilities associated with dying, the actual number of deaths and survivors, and the life expectancies experienced by each age category of the given population (McVey Jr. \& Kalbach, 1995:427).

As with many demographic modeling procedures some assumptions are necessary: a) The life table is closed to in- and out- migration; b) each age category is subject to a fixed schedule of age-specific mortality rates; c) the cohort originates from a standard number of births (100 000 is generally used in order to aid in comparison); d) deaths are distributed evenly within the year for each age category; e) the life table is constructed for only one sex at a time (due to the small population sizes in this analysis it was necessary to circumvent this assumption for the sake of the fertility analysis); f) and finally, these are expected numbers. There will of course be some variation and this will generally depend on the size of the population. Statistics show that the smaller the population the greater the potential for variations and consequently deviations from the expected values. The following is a brief summary of the variables required for the construction of the life table.
$\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{x}}$ : probability of dying for an individual of exact age $\mathbf{x}$, before reaching age $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{n}$.
$S_{x}$ : number surviving to exact age $\mathbf{x}$ out of 100,000 born.
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{x}}$ : number dying between age $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{n}$ out of 100,000 born.
$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{x}}$ : total years lived in the interval between age $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{n}$ per 100,000 born; also the life table stationary population.
$\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{x}}$ : total years lived beyond age x , per 100,000 born.
$\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{x}}$ : average number of years lived within the interval by those $\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{x}}$ who died within it. For the sake of consistency with Isfeld (1997) a will equal 0.07 for the $<1$ age category. This small value reflects the fact that most deaths in this category occur very early in the first
year. For the other age categories (excluding 85+), the individuals dying have lived, on average, half of the year, hence the a value of 0.50 .
$\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}}$ : expectation of life at age $\mathbf{x}$.

The life table calculations were carried out for both the adjusted and unadjusted populations. Standard schedules of mortality were utilized so that differences in the probabilities associated with mortality became a function only of cohort size. It was hoped that this would better illustrate the impact of the adjustment procedures, and therefore the impact of reporting discrepancies, upon the mortality measures. In a few circumstances the number of deaths in the standard schedule of mortality exceeded the actual population total for the corresponding age category, thereby compromising the resulting survivorship and expectation of life calculations. This was the case for the south male (1981 \& 1982), off-reserve female (1981), and off-reserve male (1980-1982) populations. In order to carry out some portions of the fertility analysis it was necessary to have viable survivorship data. Therefore unisex life tables were produced for each of the total, and overall north, south, on- and off-reserve populations. The methodology used was based upon that described by McVey Jr. \& Kalbach (1995).

## Reproduction and Fertility Analysis:

The final analyses and comparisons carried out upon both the adjusted and unadjusted populations consisted of a detailed analysis of fertility and reproduction. All calculations were carried out upon the gross populations, using a standard schedule of fertility. The following measures were obtained.

Crude Birth Rate (CBR): This value was calculated by dividing the total number of births by the total mid-year population. This measure was of limited use since the denominator included those segments of the population that were not "at risk" of giving birth, for example females outside the childbearing ages and males.

General Fertility Rate (GFR): This was a more meaningful measure of fertility than the CBR as it divided the total number of births by the mid-year female population within the childbearing years. For the fertility analysis this population included all females from the age of 15 to 49 . Births occurring for females aged less than 15 were included into the $15-$ 19 age category. Those occurring for females over the age of 49 were allocated to the 4549 age category.

Total Fertility Rate (TFR): This was used to express the total number of births a woman would have within her childbearing years if the age-specific fertility rates were to remain constant. It was calculated by summing the female age-specific fertility rates and multiplying by five (for the number of years represented in each age group).

Gross Reproductive Rate (GRR): This measure was derived from the TFR. It represented the average number of daughters that would be born to a hypothetical female if she experienced the characteristic age-specific fertility rates. The GRR was a useful indication of the replacement of females in the childbearing segment of the population (McVey \& Kalbach, 1995).

Net Maternity Function: This value was a product of the age-specific fertility rates for female births, and the corresponding survivorship probabilities associated with females surviving to that particular age obtained from the life table.

Mean Age at Childbearing (MAC): This value was calculated by weighting the net maternity function by the average age within each category and then dividing the total of weighted values by the un-weighted ones (Keyfitz \& Flieger, 1971).

Net Reproductive Rate (NRR): This measure was similar to the GRR in that it also considered female births only. In this case however further consideration was given to the effect of mortality. The NRR was calculated by summing the measures obtained for net maternity function for each age stratum and then dividing this by five in order to obtain the average number of daughters produced by a woman during her complete lifetime.

Intrinsic Rate of Natural Increase (r): This measure represented an estimate of the growth rate experience of the populations of interest, based upon a stable population model.

## Chapter 4

## Results

## The Populations:

The total study population was divided into six sub-populations for analysis: male, female, north, south, on-reserve and off-reserve. End-year age-specific population counts for 1979 through 1983 were adjusted for reporting discrepancies using the methods described to obtain end-year adjusted population counts for the same years. These data sets were then converted to mid-year adjusted and unadjusted age-specific population counts. The complete data set therefore, disaggregated by sex, consisted of 15 end-year adjusted and 15 end-year unadjusted populations for the years 1979 through 1983, as well as 15 mid-year adjusted and 15 mid-year unadjusted populations for 1980 through 1983. These are all available in standard age categories (Appendix II). In some cases population totals were too small to carry out meaningful analyses, particularly in the case of life table calculations and fertility measures. These will be noted, although not discussed, where appropriate.

The average percentage difference between adjusted and unadjusted end-year agespecific population counts for each population from 1980 through 1982 are presented in Table 1. Positive values were produced when the adjustment procedures increased the size of an age stratum while negative values resulted from decreases. In all populations the youngest age strata experienced the most significant increases in size. After the age of 4 the values indicated a general decrease in the proportions.

Table 1: Average \% Difference Between Adjusted and Unadjusted Population Counts for All Populations, 1980-1982.


Figure 1: Average Percentage Difference Between Adjusted and Unadjusted Total, Male and Female Population Counts.


Figure 2: Average Percentage Difference Between Adjusted and Unadjusted On-Reserve and Off-Reserve Population Counts.


Table 1 shows that for males under the age of 1 the adjustments resulted in this cohort constituting $0.69 \%$ more of the population than in the unadjusted case. For ages $1-4$ this proportion was increased by $0.20 \%$. The same age categories within the female population averaged approximately $0.67 \%$ and $0.24 \%$ respectively. Noteworthy patterns were not observed at other ages. After plotting the average difference between the adjusted and unadjusted population counts the patterns became clearer (Figure 1). An increase in size was associated with the youngest cohorts while in most cases older cohorts, particularly those from age 10 to 34 experienced decreases as a result of the adjustment procedures. In this case the plots run along a nearly identical path. The increases and decreases in cohort sizes associated with the adjustments were similar for the male, female and total populations.

There appeared to be no significant differential impact of the adjustments upon the total north population compared to the south. This could also be said after separate analysis of males and females within these groups.

The most notable differential effects of the adjustment procedures were upon the overall on- and off-reserve populations (Figure 2). The average proportion difference between adjusted and unadjusted end-year populations for the on-reserve population aged $<1$ was relatively small at $0.58 \%$. For the off-reserve population the adjusted proportion for the age category was $0.87 \% \%$. Differences in the proportion were also observed within separate analyses of males and females. They were much larger for both offreserve populations ( $0.91 \%$ for males, $0.83 \%$ for females) than they were on-reserve ( $0.59 \%$ for females, $0.57 \%$ for females). The average differences between adjusted and unadjusted on- and off-reserve counts associated with the adjustment procedures are
plotted in Figure 2. As in the male, female and total comparison the youngest age strata experienced the most significant increases in size. Older strata experienced both increases and decreases in size, including one very notable observation. From age 15 to 39 the off-reserve population experienced a marked decrease in size. The corresponding on-reserve population was actually increased in size. A similar pattern, albeit to a lesser degree was noted in older age strata as well. This speaks to the potential role of residential mobility in the late- and under-reporting of vital events.

## Error of Closure:

Errors of closure $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ for end-year unadjusted populations are presented in Table 2. These assess the ability or inability of natural increase to account for overall population growth. Normally the calculation of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ would also entail a consideration of in- and out-migration. It was not possible to isolate the effect of regional and residential migration. Therefore, any inability of natural increase to account for population growth was a reflection of both reporting discrepancies and migration effects. $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ calculations must be considered cautiously, especially upon separate consideration of males and females within residential and regional sub-categories and the relatively small accompanying populations. Positive $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ values indicated that more population growth occurred than would have been expected through natural increase. Negative values were produced if population growth was less than would have been expected by natural increase.

From 1979-1980 and 1980-1981 the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ averaged approximately one half of a percent. $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ 's for the male population were $0.37 \%$ for the 1979-1980 time period and

Table 2: Annual Increase/Decrease of End-Year Populations by Natural Increase and Error of Closure.

| A. Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | $\underline{\mathrm{P}(0)}$ | P (1) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{P}(0)$ | Births | Deaths | Nat. Inc. | E. |
| 1979-80 | 13787 | 14216 | 429 | 423 | 55 | 368 | 0.43\% |
| 1980-81 | 14216 | 14635 | 419 | 444 | 104 | 340 | 0.54\% |
| 1981-82 | 14635 | 14953 | 318 | 434 | 74 | 360 | -0.28\% |
| 1982-83 | 14953 | 15391 | 438 | 533 | 76 | 457 | -0.12\% |
| B. Males: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period | $\mathrm{P}(0)$ | P (1) | P(1)-P(0) | Births | Deaths | Nat. Inc. | $\underline{E}_{\text {c }}$ |
| 1979-80 | 7112 | 7320 | 208 | 218 | 37 | 181 | 0.37\% |
| 1980-81 | 7320 | 7517 | 197 | 245 | 63 | 182 | 0.20\% |
| 1981-82 | 7517 | 7676 | 159 | 221 | 48 | 173 | -0.18\% |
| 1982-83 | 7676 | 7885 | 209 | 280 | 50 | 230 | -0.27\% |
| C. Females: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period | $\underline{\mathrm{P}(0)}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{P}(1)}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{P}(0)}$ | Births | Deaths | Nat. Inc. | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| 1979-80 | 6675 | 6896 | 221 | 205 | 18 | 187 | 0.49\% |
| 1980-81 | 6896 | 7118 | 222 | 199 | 41 | 158 | 0.90\% |
| 1981-82 | 7118 | 7277 | 159 | 213 | 26 | 187 | -0.38\% |
| 1982-83 | 7277 | 7506 | 229 | 253 | 26 | 227 | 0.03\% |
| D. Northern: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period | $\underline{\mathrm{P}}(0)$ | $\underline{\mathrm{P}(1)}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{P}(0)}$ | Births | Deaths | Nat. Inc. | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| 1979-80 | 6616 | 6811 | 195 | 207 | 28 | 179 | 0.23\% |
| 1980-81 | 6811 | 7024 | 213 | 217 | 41 | 176 | 0.53\% |
| 1981-82 | 7024 | 7177 | 153 | 190 | 37 | 153 | 0.00\% |
| 1982-83 | 7177 | 7387 | 210 | 263 | 40 | 223 | -0.18\% |

E. Southern:

| $\underline{\text { Period }}$ | $\frac{P(0)}{}$ | $\underline{P(1)}$ | $\underline{P(1)-P(0)}$ | $\underline{\text { Births }}$ | Deaths | Nat. Inc. | $E_{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1979-80$ | 7171 | 7405 | 234 | 216 | 27 | 189 | $\mathbf{0 . 6 1 \%}$ |
| $1980-81$ | 7405 | 7611 | 206 | 227 | 63 | 164 | $\mathbf{0 . 5 5 \%}$ |
| $1981-82$ | 7611 | 7776 | 165 | 244 | 37 | 207 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 5 4 \%}$ |
| $1982-83$ | 7776 | 8004 | 228 | 270 | 36 | 234 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 7 \%}$ |

F. On Reserve:

| $\underline{\text { Period }}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{P}(0)}{}$ | $\underline{P(1)}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{P}(0)}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Births }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Deaths }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Nat. Inc. }}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}}$ | 266 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1979-80$ | 9641 | 9967 | 326 | 266 | 45 | 221 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 5 \%}$ |
| $1980-81$ | 9967 | 10185 | 218 | 295 | 78 | 217 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 \%}$ |
| $1981-82$ | 10185 | 10259 | 74 | 285 | 63 | 222 | $\mathbf{- 1 . 4 4 \%}$ |
| $1982-83$ | 10259 | 10588 | 329 | 361 | 65 | 296 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 1 \%}$ |

G. Off Reserve:

| $\underline{\text { Period }}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{P}(0)}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{P}(1)}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{P}(0)}$ | $\underline{\text { Births }}$ | $\underline{\text { Deaths }}$ | $\underline{\text { Nat. Inc. }}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1979-80$ | 4146 | 4249 | 103 | 155 | 10 | 145 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 9 9 \%}$ |
| $1980-81$ | 4249 | 4450 | 201 | 148 | 26 | 122 | $\mathbf{1 . 7 8 \%}$ |
| $1981-82$ | 4450 | 4694 | 244 | 149 | 11 | 138 | $\mathbf{2 . 2 6 \%}$ |
| $1982-83$ | 4694 | 4803 | 109 | 172 | 11 | 161 | $\mathbf{- 1 . 0 8 \%}$ |

## H. North Males:

| Period | $\underline{\mathrm{P}(0)}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{P}(1)}$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{P}(0)$ | Births | Deaths | Nat. Inc. | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979-80 | 3357 | 3446 | 89 | 99 | 21 | 78 | 0.32\% |
| 1980-81 | 3446 | 3556 | 110 | 117 | 23 | 94 | 0.45\% |
| 1981-82 | 3556 | 3637 | 81 | 105 | 25 | 80 | 0.03\% |
| 1982-83 | 3637 | 3736 | 99 | 136 | 24 | 112 | -0.35\% |

## I. North Females:

| $\underline{\text { Period }}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{P}(0)}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{P}(1)}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1979-80$ | 3259 | 3365 |
| $1980-81$ | 3365 | 3468 |
| $1981-82$ | 3468 | 3540 |
| $1982-83$ | 3540 | 3651 |


| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{P}(0)$ | Births | Deaths | Nat. Inc. | $\underline{E}_{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 106 | 108 | 7 | 101 | 0.15\% |
| 103 | 100 | 8 | 92 | 0.32\% |
| 72 | 85 | 12 | 73 | -0.03\% |
| 111 | 127 | 16 | 111 | 0.00\% |

J. South Males:

| $\underline{\text { Period }}$ | $\underline{P(0)}$ | $\underline{P(1)}$ | $\underline{P(1)-P(0)}$ | $\underline{\text { Bitths }}$ | $\underline{\text { Deaths }}$ | Nat. Inc. | $\underline{E_{c}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1979-80$ | 3755 | 3874 | 119 | 119 | 16 | 103 | $\mathbf{0 . 4 1 \%}$ |
| $1980-81$ | 3874 | 3961 | 87 | 128 | 40 | 88 | $-\mathbf{0 . 0 3 \%}$ |
| $1981-82$ | 3961 | 4039 | 78 | 116 | 23 | 93 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 3 7 \%}$ |
| $1982-83$ | 4039 | 4149 | 110 | 144 | 26 | 118 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 1 9 \%}$ |

K. South Females:

| $\underline{\text { Period }}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{P}(0)}{}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{P}(1)}{}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{P}(0)}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Births }}{}$ | $\underline{\text { Deaths }}$ | Nat. Inc. | $\underline{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1979-80$ | 3416 | 3531 | 115 | 97 | 11 | 86 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 2 \%}$ |
| $1980-81$ | 3531 | 3650 | 119 | 99 | 23 | 76 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 8 \%}$ |
| $1981-82$ | 3650 | 3737 | 87 | 128 | 14 | 114 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 7 2 \%}$ |
| $1982-83$ | 3737 | 3855 | 118 | 126 | 10 | 116 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5 \%}$ |

## N. On Reserve Males:

| $\underline{\text { Period }}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{P}(0)}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{P}(1)}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{P}(0)}$ | $\underline{\text { Births }}$ | Deaths | Nat. Inc. | $\underline{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1979-80$ | 5034 | 5191 | 157 | 141 | 30 | 111 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 9 \%}$ |
| $1980-81$ | 5191 | 5290 | 99 | 160 | 47 | 113 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 2 6 \%}$ |
| $1981-82$ | 5290 | 5358 | 68 | 150 | 42 | 108 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 7 5 \%}$ |
| $1982-83$ | 5358 | 5509 | 151 | 186 | 43 | 143 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5 \%}$ |

## O. On Reserve Females:

| $\underline{\text { Period }}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{P}(0)}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{P}(1)}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{P}(0)}$ | $\underline{\text { Births }}$ | $\underline{\text { Deaths }}$ | $\underline{\text { Nat. Inc. }}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1979-80$ | 4607 | 4776 | 169 | 125 | 15 | 110 | $\mathbf{1 . 2 4 \%}$ |
| $1980-81$ | 4776 | 4895 | 119 | 135 | 31 | 104 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 1 \%}$ |
| $1981-82$ | 4895 | 4901 | 6 | 135 | 21 | 114 | $\mathbf{- 2 . 2 0 \%}$ |
| $1982-83$ | 4901 | 5079 | 178 | 175 | 22 | 153 | $\mathbf{0 . 4 9 \%}$ |


| L. Off Reserve Males: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | $\underline{\mathrm{P}} \mathbf{( 0 )}$ | P(1) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{P}(0)$ | Births | Deaths | Nat. Inc. | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {c }}$ |
| 1979-80 | 2078 | 2129 | 51 | 77 | 7 | 70 | -0.89\% |
| 1980-81 | 2129 | 2227 | 98 | 85 | 16 | 69 | 1.30\% |
| 1981-82 | 2227 | 2318 | 91 | 71 | 6 | 65 | 1.12\% |
| 1982-83 | 2318 | 2376 | 58 | 94 | 7 | 87 | -1.22\% |
| M. Off Reserve Females: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period | $\underline{\mathrm{P}}(0)$ | $\underline{\mathrm{P}(1)}$ | P(1)-P(0) | Births | Deaths | Nat. Inc. | $\underline{E}_{\text {c }}$ |
| 1979-80 | 2068 | 2120 | 52 | 80 | 3 | 77 | -1.18\% |
| 1980-81 | 2120 | 2223 | 103 | 64 | 10 | 54 | 2.20\% |
| 1981-82 | 2223 | 2376 | 153 | 78 | 5 | 73 | 3.37\% |
| 1982-83 | 2376 | 2427 | 51 | 78 | 4 | 74 | -0.95\% |

$0.20 \%$ for $1980-1981$. The corresponding female values were $0.49 \%$ and $0.90 \%$ respectively. In most cases the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ 's were negatively signed for the 1981-1982 and 19821983 periods.
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ 's for the first two years were slightly larger for the overall south population compared to the north. For the south, from 1979-1980 natural increase failed to account for approximately $0.60 \%$ of the growth. No difference was observed upon comparison of the north and south male populations but the same could not be said for the females. Substantial differences were observed in both the 1979-1980 and 1980-1981 periods. In fact, for 1980-1981 well over $1.00 \%$ of population increase could not be attributed to natural increase. As in the overall male and female comparison the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ 's were either negative or close to zero for the latter two years.

The largest values, both negative and positive, occurred in the on- and off-reserve categories. The total, male and female on-reserve $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ 's for the 1979-1980 period were all positive. For females specifically, $1.24 \%$ of the population growth could not be accounted for by natural increase. For the periods 1980-1981 and 1982-1983 the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ 's were less significant. From 1981-1982, especially for females the $E_{c}$ 's were considerably
larger and negative in value, indicating that less growth occurred than would have been expected by natural increase. This was especially true for females. The off-reserve values were very different from those for the on-reserve population. In most cases offreserve $E_{c}$ 's for each time period were the opposite of those for the corresponding onreserve population. For example, the $1979-1980 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ for the off-reserve females was $-1.18 \%$ while for on-reserve females the it was $+1.24 \%$; for the off-reserve total population it was $-0.99 \%$ and for the on-reserve total population it was $+1.05 \%$. The highest $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ in the analysis was obtained for off-reserve females from 1981-1982 when $3.37 \%$ of the growth could not be accounted for by natural increase.

## Irregularities in the Reporting of Births:

Table 3 displays the cumulative average percentage of births reported within one to five years of their occurrence throughout the time period. The percentages varied widely, especially for births reported within the 1st year, being as low as $50 \%$ and as high as $70 \%$. In each reporting year the percentage reported for males was approximately 1 $2 \%$ lower than the total and female populations.

Nearly $66 \%$ of births in the north population were reported within the first year but for the south populations it was just under 63\%. The situation was less clear upon separate consideration of males and females. Inter-regional comparison revealed that the percentages in each category were slightly higher for south males compared to north males. In addition, the percentage reported in the first year for south females was also slightly lower than for north females. A comparison of on- and off-reserve populations was equally interesting. For the first and second reporting years the percentage-reported

Table 3: Average Cumulative Percentage of Births Reported Within One to Five Years, 1979-1983.

| Population | \%Reported 1st Year | \% Reported 2nd Year | \% Reported 3rd Year: | \% Reported 4 th Year | \% Reported 5th Yeat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | -64.56 | 96.19 | 98.22 | - 9949 | 100.00 |
| Male | 65.05 | 96.59 | 99.02 | 100.00 |  |
| Female | 64.02 | 95,77 | 97.35 | 98.94 | 100.00 |
| North | 66.13 | 95.14 | 98.92 | 100.00 |  |
| South | 63.16 | 97.13 | 97.61 | 99.04 | 100.00 |
|  |  |  | S |  |  |
| On-Reserve | 67.38 | 100.00 | - | - |  |
| Off-Reserve | 51.18 | 77.17 | 86.61 | 99.21 | 100.00 |
| North Male | 61.96 | 94.51 | 98.90 | 100.00 | -4.4.3 |
| South Male | 67.54 | 98.25 | 99.12 | 100.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| North Female | 70.21 | 95.74 | 98.94 | 100.00 | - |
| South Female | . 57.89 | 95.79 | 95.79 | 97.89 | 100.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Res Male | 69.18 | 100.00 |  |  |  |
| Off-Res Male | 50.00 | 80.30 | 90.91 | 98.48 | 100.00 |
|  |  |  |  | 난․a |  |
| On-Res Female | 65.44 | 100.00 | - | - | - |
| Off-Res Female | 52.46 | P73.77 | 81.97 | 10000 | 198540 |

for on-reserve populations greatly exceeded those of the corresponding off-reserve populations. These were as high as $65 \%$ for on-reserve females and as low as $52 \%$ for off-reserve females.

Seasonality of Births: Chi-square values were calculated in order to compare the seasonal distribution of births throughout the year. The values for each population are presented in Table 4. Those that exceeded the critical value of $7.81(\mathrm{p}=0.05,3$ degrees of freedom) have been highlighted. Statistically significant differences in three-month interval fertility existed for the male, off-reserve, north, north male, and off-reserve male populations for 1982, as well as for the 1980 on-reserve population. The excess births were primarily concentrated within the periods from January to March and October to December.

Table 4: Chi-square Calculations: Seasonality of Births, 1979-1983.

| Population | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 3.496 | 1.444 | 4.450 | 7.180 | 3.218 |
| Male | 0.955 | 5.339 | 4.878 | 8.484: | 6.029 |
| Female | 4.557 | 1.498 | 2.226 | 2.455 | 0.107 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Reserve | 2.212 | 2.331 | 2.125 | 2.144 | 5.416 |
| Off-Reserve: | 6.712 | 3.076 | 2.919 | 7.913: | 0.558 |
| North | 2.660 | 1.599 | 2.594: | 9.8 | 4.118 |
| South | 1.353 | 0.852 | 2.022 | 1.803 | 6.207 |
|  | \% |  |  |  |  |
| North Male | 2.333 | 7.061 | 5.222 | 9.400* | 4.294 |
| South Male | 0.664 | 0.765 | 1813 | 1.862 | 3.667 |
| North Female | 6.511 | 0.889 | 0.880 | 1.824 | 54 |
| South Female | 1.417 | 0.856 | 2.455 | 2.313 | 3.905 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Res Male | 2.371 | 9.213* | 2.250 | 2.693 | 7.161 |
| On-ResFemale | 3.587 | 5.080 | 2.807 | 1.622 | 1.251 |
| Offres Male :mb: | 7.641 | 7.000 | 4.271 | 7930* | 2.170 |
| Off-Res Female | 1.176 | 1.100 | 1.625 | 5.179 | 3.436 |

* denotes statistical significance at $\mathrm{p}<0.05,3$ degrees of freedom.


## Irregularities in the Reporting of Deaths:

Table 5 provides a summary of MSB-reported deaths for each population of interest during the time period as well as the total number of reporting discrepancies observed. The latter included two types of situations; those in which the Indian Register cohort size did not decrease although a death was reported by the MSB; and those in which a decrease in the Indian Register cohort size was noted in the absence of a corresponding MSB-reported death. For the sake of comparison a ratio of reporting irregularities to reported deaths is also included for each population in the table. A higher ratio suggested a more serious problem of death-reporting discrepancies. A comparison of female and male populations showed a consistently higher ratio for the former. In each year the ratios for the north population were also slightly higher than for the south. Upon separate analysis of males and females however no obvious patterns presented

Table 5: Irregularities in the Reporting of Deaths.


themselves. The ratios were quite high for the off-reserve population compared to onreserve, and this was also true in most cases for males and females within these categories. For example, from 1979 to 1980 there were six deaths reported to the MSB.

For the same time period there were two instances whereupon these deaths were not recorded in the Indian Register and 71 instances when the Indian Register decreased
without any apparent death being reported by the MSB. In the most extreme case one death was recorded by the MSB for off-reserve females 1979-1980 while in 44 cases the changes in Indian Register cohort size did not correspond to the reported deaths.

## Mortality Analysis I. Crude Mortality Rates (CMR):

All calculations in the mortality analysis have been summarized in Appendix III. Crude Mortality Rates for the gross populations and the populations aged $<1$ to 9 have been summarized in tables 6 and 7 respectively.

Gross Population: In nearly every case the CMR's were decreased as a result of the adjustment procedures. Males and females did not differ markedly in this respect. Both were decreased by less than two percent in each year.

The situation was very similar for the north and south comparison. Upon disaggregation however CMR's for the north male population were more strongly and consistently affected by the adjustments than their south counterparts. The opposite was true for females. Changes in the south population were more significant for males.

The on-reserve population CMR's were only minimally affected by the adjustments. Total, male and female on-reserve CMR's were decreased by $0.62 \%$ to $1.72 \%$. Off-reserve rates on the other hand were markedly affected by the adjustments. For example, after adjustment the off-reserve 1980 CMR was reduced from 18.32 to 17.41 deaths per thousand people. This represented a decrease of nearly $5 \%$. Similar changes were observed upon separate consideration of males and females.

Ages $<1$ to 9: The adjustment procedures greatly affected the CMR's for the population aged $<1$ to 9 . This was a reflection of the significant increases in population size associated with the adjustments to these particular age groups. As in the case of the gross

Table 6: Crude Mortality Rates per 1000 Population, Adjusted* and Unadjusted Population Counts, 1980-1982.

| Population | 1980 | $1980^{*}$ | \%Diff | 1981 | 1981** | \% Diff | 1982 | 1982*: | \% Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 5.50 | 5.40 | -1.81 | 5.34 | 5.28 | -1.12 | 5.20 | 5.17 | -0.72 |
| Male | 10.66 | 10.47 | -1.822 | 10.37 | 10.25 | -1.17 | 10.13 | 10.05 | -0.82 |
| Female | 11.34 | 11.13 | -1.85 | 10.98 | 10.86 | -1.07 | 10.69 | 10.63 | -0.58 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 11.46 | 11.23 | -1.97 | 11.12 | 10.97 | -1.35 | 10.84 | 10.74 | -0.91 |
| South | 10.56 | 10.37 | -1.71 | 10.25 | 10.12 | -1.21 | 10.00 | 9.90 | -1.07 |
| On-Reserve | 1.85 | 7.76 | -1.18 |  | . | - | \%.3. | 1,43 | 1.26 |
| Off-Reserve | 18.32 | 17.41 | -4.95 | 17.68 | 17.03 | -3.69 | 16.82 | 16.70 | -0.74 |
| \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Male | 22.62 | 22.10 | -2.30 | 21.96 | 21.64 | -1.43 | 21.39 | 21.16 | -1.07 |
| South Male | 20.16 | 1987 | -1.47 | 19.63 | 1942 | -1111 | . 19.23 | 19.06 | -0.87 |
| Nomh Female | 23.22 | 22.81 | -1.75 | 22.50 | 22.24 | -1.18 | 21.94 |  | -0.76 |
| South Female | 22.14 | 21.68 | -2.06 | 21.41 | 21.13 | -1.32 | 20.82 | 20.56 | -1.28 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Reserve Male | 15.05 | 14.88 | -1.08 | 14.68 | 14.59 | -0.64 | 14.45 | 14.33 | -0.82 |
| Off-Reserve Male | 36.53 | 34.64 | -5.17 | 35.29 | 33.85 | -4.09 | 33.82 | 33.22 | -1.77 |
| On-Reserve Female | 16.40 | 16.19 | -1.26 | 15.91 | 13.01 | -0.62 | 15.71 | 15.44 | -1.72 |
| Off-Reserve Female | 36.67 | 34.95 | -4.68 | 35.37 | 34.19 | -3.33 | 33.41 | 33.49 | 0.26 |

populations the effect was not very different for males compared to females.
South population CMR's were decreased to a larger extent than those for the north as a result of adjustments. Intra-regional comparison for the population aged $<1$ to 9 revealed a pattern similar to the gross analysis. CMR's for the north population were more noticeably affected than females. In the south population females were affected to a greater degree than males.

Overall, male and female off-reserve CMR's were dramatically affected by the adjustment procedures. For example the 1980 rate was decreased from 19.86 to 16.87 deaths per thousand people which represented a decrease of approximately $15 \%$. Corresponding on-reserve CMR's did not change appreciably. Intra-residential comparison showed no significant differences between males and females.

Table 7: Crude Mortality Rates per 1000 Population, Adjusted* and Unadjusted Population Counts, Ages <1 to 9, 1980-1982.

| Population | 1980 | 1980* | \%Diff | 1981 | 1981* | \%Dif | 1982 | 1982* | \%Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 2.88 | 2.70 | -6.25 | 2.85 | 2.72 | -4.40 | 2.85 | 2.75 | -3.70 |
| Male | 5.60 | 5.26 | -6.17 | 5.50 | 5.26 | -4.45 | 5.49 | 5.29 | -3.70 |
| Female | 5.91 | 5.54 | -6.30 | 5.89 | 5.63 | -4.35 | 5.95 | 5.73 | -3.65 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 5.71 | 5.35 | -6.18 | 5.64 | 5.40 | -4.22 | 5.64 | 5.46 | -3.08 |
| South | 5.80 | 5.43 | -6.37 | 5.74 | 5.48 | 4.68 | 5.78 | 5.53 | -4.37 |
| On-Reserve | 4.10 | 3.91 | -4.45 | 4.06 | 3.94 | -2.97 | 4112 | 3.96 | -3.81 |
| Off-Reserve | 9.67 | 8.22 | -15.02 | 9.53 | 8.35 | -12.38 | 9.31 | 8.55 | -8.16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Male | 11.24 | 10.46 | -6.94 | 11.01 | 10.51 | -4.58 | 10.93 | 10.56 | -3.45 |
| South Male | 11.17 | 10.55 | -5.56 | 10.99 | 10.52 | -4.30 | 11.01 | 10.56 | -4.13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Female | 11.59 | 10.96 | -5.48 | 11.54 | 11.10 | -3.83 | 11.63 | 11.32 | -2.67 |
| South Female | 12.07 | 11.19 | -7.32 | 12.02 | 11.42 | -4.98 | 12.15 | 11.60 | -4.54 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Reserve Male | 7.97 | 7.63 | -4.30 | 7.87 | 7.64 | -2.99 | 7.97 | 7.67 | -3.76 |
| Off-Reserve Male | 18.87 | 16.01 | -15.14 | 18.24 | 16.06 | -11.97 | 17.60 | 16.15 | -8.22 |
| On-Reserve Female | 8.42 | 8.04 | -4.53 | 8.35 | 8.12 | -2.80 | 8.51 | 8.18 | -3.87 |
| Off-Reserve Female | 19.86 | 16.87 | -15.03 | 19.93 | 17.41 | -12.66 | 19.71 | 18.12 | -8.07 |

## Mortality Analysis II. Indirectly standardized mortality rates (ISMR):

Indirectly standardized rates were calculated in order to illustrate the effect of the adjustment procedures upon mortality measures. In most cases the adjusted population rates were lower than those for the unadjusted population counts. This was not surprising because the adjustments generally resulted in an increase in population size. These rates are summarized in Tables 8 and 9.

Gross Population: Male and female rates were affected equally by the adjustment procedures. The same was true for the overall north and south populations. Upon disaggregation the indirectly standardized rates for the south male population were decreased to a larger extent than their north counterparts as a result of the adjustments. In addition, intra-regional comparison showed that the adjustments more strongly affected
the north female and south male rates compared to the north males and south females respectively.

Large differentials were exhibited between the indirectly standardized rates for the on- and off-reserve populations. Off-reserve rates were substantially reduced compared to those for the on-reserve population. Upon separate consideration of

Table 8: Indirectly Standardized Mortality Rates, Adjusted* and Unadjusted Gross Population Counts (deaths per thousand people).

| Pepulation | 1980 | 1980* | \%Diff | 1981 | 1981 * | \%Diff | 1982 | $1982^{*}$ | \%Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 15.97 | 15.44 | -3.30 | 15.44 | 15.14 | -1.93 | 15.06 | 14.90 | -1.05 |
| Male | 31.96 | 30.63 | -4.14 | 31.00 | 30.48 | -1.69 | 30.41 | 30.27 | -0.45 |
| Female | 31.91 | 30.89 | -3.20 | 30.72 | 30.02 | -2.25 | 29.63 | 29.35 | -0.97 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 30.31 | 29.44 | -2.87 | 29.15 | 28.39 | -2.59 | 28.32 | 27.80 | -1.84 |
| South | 33.60 | 32.37 | -3.69 | 32.71 | 32.26 | -1.36 | 32.05 | 31.91 | -0.44 |
| On-Reserve | 21.12 | 20.47 | -3.06 | 20.40 | 20.17 | -1.12 | 19.99 | 20.20 | 1.08 |
| Off-Reserve | 65.01 | 61.34 | -5.64 | 63.06 | 60.21 | -4.53 | 60.95 | 58.54 | -3.96 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |
| North Male | 62.45 | 60.36 | -3.35 | 59.59 | 58.91 | -1.13 | 58.02 | 57.69 | -0.56 |
| South Male | 64.93 | 62.04 | -4.45 | 64.49 | 61.94 | -3.94 | 63.12 | 61.66 | -2.32 |
| North Female | 58.87 | 56.58 | -3.88 | 56.18 | 54.74 | -2.56 | 54.25 | 53.22 | -1.90 |
| South Female | 69.50 | 66.79 | -3.90 | 65.77 | 66.17 | 0.60 | 65.10 | 64.31 | -1.20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Reserve Male | 40.67 | 39.66 | -2.48 | 39.97 | 39.67 | -0.75 | 39.41 | 39.94 | 1.35 |
| Off-Reserve Male | 144.40 | 133.06 | -7.85 | 136.62 | 128.35 | -6.05 | 129.51 | 124.95 | -3.52 |
| On-Reserve Fenale | 43.37 | 41.88 | -3.43 | 41.22 | 40.86 | -0.89 | 39.97 | 40.67 | 1.74 |
| Off-Reserve Female | 116.93 | 113.54 | -2.90 | 115.00 | 111.58 | -2.97 | 114.56 | 107.79 | -5.91 |

males and females the pattern was similar to the overall on- and off-reserve population comparison. Off-reserve male and female rates were generally reduced more noticeably than their on-reserve counterparts. Within the on-reserve population female rates were slightly more affected than their male counterparts. Off-reserve male rates for 1980 and 1981 were decreased to a larger extent than females after adjustment.

Ages $<1$ to 9: Male and female rates were again equally affected by the adjustment procedures. The same could not be said for the north and south comparison. In each year the south indirectly standardized rates were more strongly affected than the north. South female rates were more markedly affected by the adjustment procedures than their north counterparts but the opposite was true for males. Intra-regional comparison showed that

Table 9: Indirectly Standardized Mortality Rates, Adjusted* and Unadjusted Population Counts, Age $<1$ to 9 (deaths per thousand people).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 3.68 | 2.75 | -25.33 | 3.47 | 2.77 | -20.18 | 3.41 | 2.79 | 18. |
| M | 7.22 | 5.37 | -25.63 | 6.79 | 5.35 | 21.14 | 6.59 | 539 | 18 |
| Female | 7.49 | 5.64 | -24.64 | 7.08 | 5.72 | -19.14 | 7.09 | 5.81 | -18.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 7.49 | 5.70 | -23.87 | 6.98 | 5.66 | -18.91 | 6.80 | 5.81 | -14.50 |
| South |  | 3 | 28.35 | 68 | 5.4 | -21.39 | 6.8 | 5.38 | 21.52 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Off-Reserve | 14.05 | 8.93 | -36.45 | 12.88 | 9.14 | -29.03 | 12.33 | 9.16 | -25.71 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Male | 15.97 | 11.53 | -27.80 | 14.25 | 8.97 | -37.06 | 12.93 | 8.83 | -31.70 |
| Solith | 13.1 | 100 | -2 | 12 | 102 | -211 | 3 | 10. | 22.18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Female | 15.95 | 11.28 | -29.28 | 14.67 | 11.49 | -21.66 | 14.03 | 11.11 | -20.78 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Reserve Male | 9.69 | 7.57 | -21.85 | 9.41 | 7.73 | -17.87 | 9.34 | 7.90 | -15.43 |
| Off-Reserve Male | 28.30 | 17.4 | -38.25 | 24.41 | 1719 | 2050 | 2197 | 1650 | 24.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Off-Reserve Female | 27.89 | 18.03 | -35.37 | 27.27 | 19.54 | -28.34 | 27.54 | 20.29 | -26.32 |

the adjustments more strongly affected the male rates within the north population while in the south population both sexes were affected equally.

As in the gross population analysis the largest differentials were exhibited between the on- and off-reserve populations. Off-reserve rates were reduced to a larger extent compared to those for the on-reserve population as a result of the adjustments.

Upon separate consideration of males and females the pattern was not unlike the overall
on- and off-reserve population comparison. Off-reserve male and female rates were more strongly affected than their on-reserve counterparts. Within the on-reserve population female and male rates were similarly affected, and the same was true for the corresponding off-reserve populations.

## Mortality Analysis III. Directly standardized mortality rates (DSMR):

Tables 10 and 11 provide a summary of the directly standardized rates along with the percentage difference between the age-standardized mortality rates (DSMR's) for adjusted and unadjusted population counts. These differences were an indication of the effect of vital event reporting inconsistencies. Positive values resulted if the adjustment procedures led to a higher standardized rate while negative values indicated a decrease in the rate.
A. Gross Population: In each year the adjustments led to decreases in the directly standardized mortality rate of the total population, from as large as $2.15 \%$ in 1980 to a negligible $0.15 \%$ in 1982. A similar effect was observed for the female population with the exception of 1982 when a more notable decrease was observed. The male population showed increases in the DSMR for 1981 and 1982, and a decrease in 1980.

The north population also experienced a decrease in DSMR's for each year while in two years the south rates were increased as a result of adjustments. The south male rates were decreased significantly, as much as $5.99 \%$ in 1981, while for two years the corresponding north male rates actually increased. A comparison of the north and south female populations showed slightly more significant increases in mortality rates for the latter in 1981 and 1982.

The differential effect of the adjustments upon directly standardized mortality rates was illustrated by the on- and off-reserve comparison. In each year the off-reserve rates decreased by no less than $3.37 \%$ while on-reserve rates decreased in 1980 but increased thereafter. After plotting the adjusted and unadjusted gross on- and off-reserve rates this differential effect became clearer (Figure 3, pg. 63). In the on-reserve case the plots were nearly identical. On the other hand the DSMR's were consistently lower after adjustment for the off-reserve population. Large changes, both increases and decreases, were associated with the off-reserve males and females compared to their on-reserve counterparts.

Table 10: Directly Standardized Mortality Rates, Adjusted* and Unadjusted Gross Population Counts (deaths per thousand people).

| Population | 1980 | 1980* | \%Diff | 1981 | 1981* | \%Diff | 1982 | 1982* | \%Diff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 12.68 | 12.41 | -2.15 | 12.26 | 12.15 | -0.84 | 11.88 | 11.90 | 0.15 |
| Male | 26.25 | 25.26 | -3.71 | 25.62 | 25.74 | 0.45 | 25.50 | 26.41 | 3.53 |
| Female | 25.82 | 25.34 | -1.83 | 24.79 | 24.49 | -1.22 | 23.69 | 23.51 | -0.79 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 24.08 | 23.62 | -1.94 | 23.14 | 22.72 | -1.80 | 22.43 | 22.10 | -1.45 |
| South | 27.18 | 26.47 | -2.63 | 26.72 | 27.10 | 1.43 | 26.17 | 27.33 | 4.47 |
| On-Reserve | 16.91 | 16.56 | -2.08 | 16.27 | 16.29 | 0.11 | 15.81 | 16.36 | 3.45 |
| Off-Reserve | 55.24 | 52.82 | -4.39 | 53.59 | 51.47 | -3.96 | 51.45 | 49.71 | -3.37 |
| 3-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Male | 51.70 | 50.58 | -2.16 | 48.08 | 48.56 | 0.98 | 47.23 | 47.73 | 1.07 |
| South Male | 54.02 | 51.59 | -4.50 | 56.92 | 53.51 | -5.99 | 58.68 | 58.15 | -0.91 |
| North Female | 48.88 | 47.69 | -2.43 | 46.46 | 45.60 | -1.86 | 44.59 | 43.83 | -1.71 |
| South Female | 56.25 | 55.35 | -1.60 | 53.53 | 55.69 | 4.04 | 52.18 | 52.93 | 1.44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Reserve Male | 33.26 | 32.45 | -2.43 | 32.86 | 32.83 | -0.11 | 32.87 | 34.03 | 3.52 |
| Off-Reserve Male | 127.97 | 120.51 | -5.83 | 121.77 | 132.41 | 8.74 | 118.21 | 131.78 | 11.47 |
| On-Reserve Female | 34.91 | 34.15 | -2.17 | 33.18 | 33.17 | -0.03 | 31.98 | 32.61 | 1.98 |
| Off-Reserve Female | 112.62 | 115.02 | 2.13 | 110.50 | 112.92 | 2.19 | 104.07 | 100.03 | -3.88 |

B. Ages $<1$ to 9: The adjustment procedures most directly affected the youngest age categories. Therefore it came as no surprise that the DSMR's were reduced for every population in every year as a result of the adjustments. The total population rates were decreased by approximately $17 \%$ to $25 \%$ over the time period. For each year the male and female populations were nearly equally affected by the adjustments.

Mortality rates for the south population in each year were more strongly affected by the adjustments than those for the north population. Decreases in the DSMR's were more significant for south females compared to north females and also for north males versus north females.

Table 11: Directly Standardized Mortality Rates, Adjusted* and Unadjusted Population Counts, Ages $<1$ to 9 (deaths per 1000 people).

| Hepalar | 1980 | 980* | \% Dif | 198 | 1981 | -114 |  | 1982* | (17.25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 3.67 | 2.75 | -24.91 | 3.43 | 2.77 | -19.38 | 3.38 | 2.79 | -17.25 |
| Male | 7.18 | 5.35 | -25.38 | 6.73 | 5.35 | -20.41 | 6.52 | 5.39 | -17.29 |
| Female | 7.46 | 5.67 | -24.01 | 7.01 | 5.72 | -18.31 | 7.00 | 5.80 | -17.20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 7.48 | 5.68 | -24.08 | 6.92 | 5.65 | -18.39 | 6.74 | 5.80 | -13.95 |
| South | 7.16 | 5.35 | -25.23 | 6.80 | 5.42 | -20.30 | 6.77 | 5.40 | -20.23 |
| On-Reserve | 494 | 3.89 | -21.25 | 6 |  | 15.37 | 4.66 |  | , |
| Off-Reserve | 14.34 | 8.89 | -38.03 | 12.97 | 9.13 | -29.65 | 12.34 | 9.17 | -25.69 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Male | 16.14 | 11.42 | -29.25 | 14.20 | 11.22 | -21.00 | 12.88 | 11.10 | -13.76 |
| South Male | 13.02 | 10.14 | -22.17 | 12.79 | 10.20 | 11 | 13.24 | 10.44 | -21.19 |
| North Female | 14.03 | 11.31 | -19.40 |  | 11.39 | -15.84 | 14.15 | 12.06: | -14.78 |
| South Female | 15.94 | 11.35 | -28.82 | 14.53 | 11.50 | -20.82 | 13.87 | 11.20 | -19.21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Reserve Male | 9.56 | 7.57 | -20.85 | 9.27 | 7.69 | -17.01 | 9.22 | 7.86 | -14.68 |
| Off-ReserveMale: | 29.09 | 173 | -40 | 246 | 17.26 | -29.93 | 2201 | 1675 | -23.89 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On-Reserve Female | 10.13 | 7.99 | -21.11 | 9.38 | 8.05 | -14.19 | 9.42 | 8.08 | -14.17 |
| Off-Reserve Female | 28.33 | 18.06 | -36.26 | 27.42 | 19.40 | -29.24 | 27.73 | 20.12 | -27.44 |

Substantial differences existed between the on- and off-reserve adjusted and unadjusted rates for the population aged $<1$ to 9 . This effect is illustrated clearly in Figure 4 (Pg. 64). Rates for both populations were decreased after adjustment, although in the off-reserve case these decreases were nearly two times more than those for the onreserve population. this was true after separate consideration of males and females as well. In fact, the 1980 adjusted rate for the off-reserve male population declined by nearly $45 \%$. The effect of the adjustments upon the mortality rates was more noticeable for the on-reserve male population than for the on-reserve females.

Figure 3: Directly Standardized Mortality Rates for On- and Off-Reserve Populations, Adjusted* and Unadjusted Population Counts, 1980-1982.


## Mortality Analysis III. Decomposition of the difference in crude rates:

Decomposition ratios were calculated in order to determine the extent to which the difference between the standard and given population's mortality rates was a result of
real mortality differences or simply age composition differences. These ratios are summarized in Table 12. They were obtained for two different scenarios:
A. Gross population, using the adjusted as the standard population and unadjusted as the given population; and
B. Population aged $<1$ to 9 , using the adjusted as the standard population and unadjusted as the given population.

Figure 4: Directly Standardized Mortality Rates for On- and Off-
Reserve Populations, Adjusted* and Unadjusted Population Counts, Ages <1 to 9, 1980-1982.

A. Gross Population; Adjusted as Standard: The total decomposition ratios averaged approximately 0.63 , indicating that $63 \%$ of the difference in adjusted and unadjusted crude mortality rates was due to age composition differences and not real mortality differences. A comparison of the male and female populations revealed higher decomposition ratios for the latter in 1981 and 1982.

For the same years decomposition ratios for the north population were higher than those for the south, and separate consideration of males and females revealed more interesting trends. The ratios were higher for south males compared to north males and were also very high for north females compared to their southern counterparts for the years 1981 and 1982. Intra-regional comparison revealed higher ratios for south males compared to south females and for north females compared to north males.

As in other portions of this analysis the most striking differences occurred between the on- and off-reserve populations. For 1980 and 1981 decomposition ratios for the on-reserve population were approximately 0.70 and 0.66 , while the corresponding off-reserve ratios did not exceed 0.40. In most cases the on-reserve values for both males and females were larger than those for the off-reserve population. Intra-residential comparisons showed a slight difference between males and females on-reserve but no discernible patterns emerged off-reserve. In three cases in 1982 the ratios were well over $1.00 \%$. These included the on-reserve male, off-reserve female, and overall on-reserve populations. This was a result of the relatively significant increases in the size of the age 20-24 and 25-29 cohorts resulting from the adjustment procedures.
B. Ages $<1$ to 9; Adjusted as Standard: In most cases the decomposition ratios were higher than those for the corresponding gross population. For example, the decomposition ratio for the gross 1980 female population indicated nearly $58 \%$ of the difference between the adjusted and unadjusted crude rates was due to age composition dissimilarities, which in turn resulted from the adjustment procedures themselves. It
stands to reason therefore that the decomposition ratio would be higher for those populations which were most affected by the inconsistent reporting of vital events.

Accordingly, the corresponding 1980 age $<1$ to 9 female decomposition ratio was higher at nearly 0.76 . Male and female values within this age range did not differ significantly.

Table 12: Decomposition Ratios from Direct Standardization Analysis, Gross, and Ages $<1$ to 9.


A comparison of the overall north and south populations showed no discernible patterns, and neither did the north male versus south male comparison. The decomposition ratios for south females averaged 0.2 to 0.4 higher than their north counterparts but this was the only notable result. Intra-regional comparisons showed no apparent differences between males and females.

Once again large discrepancies presented themselves within the on- and offreserve comparison. The overall ratio differences ranged from 0.04 to 0.21 . This pattern was true for the individual sexes as well. Intra-residential comparison revealed slightly higher ratios for on-reserve females compared to males but there were no significant findings within the off-reserve populations.

## Life Table Analysis:

Appendix IV contains all pertinent life tables. As mentioned in the methodology some life tables were omitted if, in any age category, the number of deaths (taken from the standard schedule of mortality) exceeded the total size of that age stratum. Separate unisex tables for the total, north, south, on- and off-reserve populations were created in order to obtain the requisite survivorship probabilities for use in the fertility analysis. Survivorship: Survivorship functions, derived from the $S_{x}$ column of the life table were increased in nearly every case after the populations were subjected to the adjustment procedures. Male survivorship functions were increased to a larger extent than females.

There was no noticeable difference in the effect of the adjustments upon north survivorships compared to south. Within the male population, measures for the north were more noticeably increased than the south, although this comparison was based upon one year only. For the corresponding female populations survivorship increases were larger in magnitude for the south population in all three years. North male survivorships were affected more than those for north females in 1980 and 1981 although the differences were quite negligible. In addition, south population survivorship increases
were more substantial for females compared to males, however this again was based upon one year only.

Comparison of the effect of the adjustment procedures upon survivorships between the on- and off-reserve populations showed some notable patterns. In the overall comparison the off-reserve population exhibited a very substantial improvement in survivorship compared to the on-reserve population. The same was true for females specifically. Within the on-reserve population male survivorships were increased slightly but consistently compared to females.

Expectation of Life ( $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{0}}$ ): Total population $\mathrm{e}_{0}$ 's were increased after adjustment in 1980 and 1981 while decreases were noted in 1982. Male values increased to a larger degree than females for each year in most age categories. In some instances, particularly in 1981 and 1982 the female population experienced decreased $\mathrm{e}_{0}$ 's after adjustment.

In the first two years $\mathrm{e}_{0}$ 's for the south population experienced more significant increases than the north. Expectations of life for the youngest north categories decreased after adjustments to a much larger degree than the south. In other age strata decreases in $\mathrm{e}_{0}$ 's were generally more significant for the south compared to the north. Available data showed that in almost all instances the adjustments led to improvements in $\mathrm{e}_{0}$ 's for both north and south populations. South female $e_{0}$ 's were actually decreased in several age strata after adjustment. In other cases, for each year the south female values were increased to a larger degree than those for the north female population with the latter also experiencing some decreased $\mathrm{e}_{0}$ 's. Intra-regional comparison was not particularly meaningful for the south because there was only a 1980 male life table, although more significant improvements were noted for the females in that year with the exception of
those cohorts over the age of 70 . Considering the north population, male $\mathrm{e}_{0}$ 's were increased to a larger degree than their female counterparts in 1980 and 1981 in nearly all age categories with the latter even experiencing decreasing $\mathrm{e}_{0}$ 's in several cases.

The off-reserve populations were greatly affected by the adjustments and so the associated $\mathrm{e}_{0}$ 's experienced larger improvements compared to the on-reserve populations, especially within the youngest age strata. For example, the 1980 off-reserve $\mathrm{e}_{0}$ for the age $<1$ category increased by $5.34 \%$ while the corresponding on-reserve $e_{0}$ increased by only $1.08 \%$. Two other interesting patterns emerged. On-reserve $\mathrm{e}_{0}$ 's for 1981 and 1982 decreased for nearly all age categories although the changes were not particularly substantial. The same situation was observed in 1982 for the off-reserve population aged 5-24 although in this case the changes were quite significant. Separate analysis of males and females revealed very different situations in each year. In both cases the youngest age strata experienced decreases in their $\mathrm{e}_{0}$ 's after adjustment. In 1981 on-reserve male values almost uniformly increased while female life expectancies decreased. This situation was reversed in 1982. Direct comparison between off-reserve males and females was not possible due to the small size of the populations.

Life tables were not obtained for those populations in which one or more cohorts were smaller than the corresponding age-specific deaths totals extracted from the standard schedule of mortality. This included the south male (1981-1982), offreserve female (1981), and off-reserve male (1980-1982) populations.

## Fertility and Reproduction Analysis:

A standard schedule of fertility was used in the fertility and reproduction analysis, so that any differences in calculated values were a reflection of the adjustment
procedures, and therefore an indirect result of discrepancies in the reporting of vital events. It was necessary to use overall total, north, south, on- and off-reserve survivorships in the analysis due to the problems reported in the methodology. In most cases, and for most years, the adjustments led to increased fertility and reproduction variables. All results in this portion of the analysis, including the percentage difference between adjusted and unadjusted calculations are summarized in Table 11.

Crude Birth Rate (CBR): Total population crude birth rates averaged approximately 20 births per one thousand people throughout the period of interest. Adjusted figures were elevated by nearly 6 to 8 births per thousand. Similar results were noted for the other four populations. These increases were slightly greater in magnitude for the south and off-reserve populations compared to their counterparts.

Total Fertility Rate (TFR): Differences in TFR's reflected the adjustments made to the childbearing female population, and therefore inconsistencies in the reporting of deaths. The differences were larger for the south compared to the north population. In 1982 adjustments to the former increased the expected births (per one thousand women) by approximately 73. Adjusted on-reserve rates did not differ significantly from unadjusted for at least 1980 and 1981 although in 1982 the rate was actually decreased by $1.80 \%$. The effect of the adjustments was quite striking upon consideration of the off-reserve population. In 1982 for example the number of expected births increased by almost $9 \%$. Gross Reproduction Rate (GRR): The GRR differed from the TFR in that it utilized female births only. Any differences in calculated values were a result of changes in the denominators and hence changes resulting from irregularities in death-reporting. The patterns observed were similar to those mentioned above for the TFR.

Net Reproduction Rate (NRR): The adjustment procedures affected two of the variables that were used in the calculation of the NRR. The first of these was the size of the population of childbearing age (15-49). This was used in the determination of the net maternity function portion of the calculation. The adjustments also affected the survivorship functions of the same age categories. The largest contributor to the difference in adjusted and unadjusted NRR measures was the survivorship, which in turn was most affected by the discrepancies in the reporting of births. In each year the difference between adjusted and unadjusted measures was larger for the south population compared to the north population. On-reserve differences were quite insignificant compared to those for the off-reserve population. The adjustments actually led to an

Table 13: Fertility and Reproductive Measures for All Populations, Adjusted* and Unadjusted Population Counts, 1980-1982.

| Population | Year | CBR | CBR* | \%Diff | TFR | TER* | \%, Diff | GRR | GRR* | \%䍔f |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total - | 1980 | 31.98 | 31.41 | -1.81 | 3561.5 | 3561.9 | 0.01 | 1.706 | 1.706 | 0.01 |
|  | 1981 | 31.04 | 30.70 | -1.12 | 3358.0 | 3374.1 | 0.48 | 1.608 | 1.616 | 0.49 |
|  | 1982 | 30.27 | 30.05 | -0.72 | 3175.3 | 3207.2 | 1.01 | 1.520 | 1.536 | 1.03 |
| North | 1980 | 66.68 | 65.36 | -1.97 | 7626.2 | 7617.2 | -0.12 | 3.657 | 3.652 | -0.11 |
|  | 1981 | 64.72 | 63.84 | -1.35 | 7216.4 | 7231.5 | 0.21 | 3.458 | 3.466 | 0.24 |
|  | 1982 | 63.05 | 62.47 | -0.91 | 6838.6 | 6859.7 | 0.31 | 3.275 | 3.286 | 0.35 |
| South | 1980 | 61.41 | 60.36 | 1.71 | 6683.7 | 6692.5 | 0.13 | 3.198 | 3.202 | 0.13 |
|  | 1981 | 59.63 | 58.91 | -1.21 | 6283.4 | 6278.0 | -0.09 | 3.007 | 3.002 | -0.16 |
|  | 1982 | 58.20 | 57.58 | -1.07 | 5921.2 | 5940.2 | 0.32 | 2.834 | 2.840 | 0.19 |
| On-reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980 | 45.68 | 45.14 | -1.18 | 5333.1 | 5337.7 | 0.09 | 2.564 | 2.567 | 0.10 |
|  | 1981 | 44.45 | 44.14 | -0.69 | 5015.5 | 5022.3 | 0.14 | 2.410 | 2.414 | 0.16 |
|  | 1982 | 43.81 | 43.26 | -1.26 | 4829.1 | 4741.9 | -1.81 | 2.319 | 2.277 | -1.82 |
| Off-reserve | 1980 | 106.59 | 101.31 | -4.95 | 11433.9 | 11449.2 | 0.13 | 5.440 | 5.448 | 0.14 |
|  | 1981 | 102.87 | 99.07 | -3.69 | 10686.9 | 10948.7 | 2.45 | 5.090 | 5.216 | 2.49 |
| 3-290 | 1982 | 97.88 | 9716 | -0.74 | 9633.7 | 10489.9 | 8.89 | 4.590 | 5.004 | 9.01 |

increase of over $13 \%$ in 1982. It was also interesting to note that in 1982 the NRR was decreased by $1.12 \%$ as a result of the adjustments.

Mean Age at Childbearing (MAC): Like the NRR's the MAC was calculated using the net maternity function. Therefore, differences between adjusted and unadjusted values were a result of the changing survivorship functions as well as the changing childbearing population size. The adjustments resulted in quite negligible changes in MAC's. Slight increases were noted for the north and on-reserve populations while south and off-reserve values were decreased.

Table 13 Continued:


Intrinsic Rate of Natural Increase (r): Here again the two variables affected by the adjustments were the survivorship probabilities and the childbearing population size. In all but one case, specifically the 1982 on-reserve female population, the $r$ values were increased after adjustment. Total population growth rates ranged from approximately 4\% to $8 \%$ throughout the time period of interest. The south growth rates were more strongly affected by the adjustments than the north. Changes in the on-reserve growth rates were
relatively small, especially compared to those for the off-reserve population. In fact, the off-reserve rate in 1982 was raised by approximately $10 \%$.

## Chapter 5

## DISCUSSION

## I. Isolation of the Problem

## Error of Closure:

The $E_{c}$ was used to assess the ability of natural increase to account for overall population growth from one year to the next. A calculation of natural increase should always entail a consideration of migration. Migration data was not available so it was not possible to isolate the effect of migration. Therefore, any inability of natural increase to account for population growth was a reflection of both reporting discrepancies and the effect of regional (north/south) and residential (on-/off-reserve) migration. While it was not possible to meaningfully quantify the relative contribution of each of these factors to the $E_{c}$ it was still useful as an indicator of the severity of these events. Discrepancies between population increase according to the Indian Register and that described by natural increase were evident for all populations in practically all years. For various reasons however, specifically irregularities in the reporting of vital events, the experiences of some populations were markedly different in some years. $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ measures were split almost evenly between negative values (less population growth than expected by natural increase) and positive values (more growth than expected).

Two general patterns presented themselves in this portion of the analysis. In most cases $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ 's for females were larger in absolute value than their male counterparts. This suggested a higher likelihood of reporting problems within the female populations. Similarly, off-reserve $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ 's tended to be larger than the corresponding on-reserve values, again suggesting a more significant reporting problem. Another interesting observation
was made concerning the on- versus off-reserve comparison. For those years in which a positive $E_{c}$ was obtained for the on-reserve population, a negative $E_{c}$ was obtained for the corresponding off-reserve population. The reverse situation was true as well. In essence, when less growth occurred in one population than natural increase would suggest, the opposite population experienced more growth than expected. This pattern may have been a product of residential mobility. For example, if a substantial number of on-reserve individuals moved to off-reserve locations without the opposite situation occurring in the same period then a negative on-reserve $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ may be observed.

On-Reserve: From 1980 to 1981 the Indian Register summary reports showed an increase in the end-year on-reserve population from 9967 to 10185 . This increase of 218 individuals was quite similar to that suggested by natural increase. According to the MSB birth and death data, specifically 295 births and 78 deaths, natural increase was 217. The resulting $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ was a very negligible 218. This suggested good correlation between the Indian Register and the reporting of births and deaths by the MSB, at least compared to the variation seen in 1980,1982 and 1983 when $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ 's were $+1.05 \%,-1.44 \%$ and $0.31 \%$ respectively. The $E_{c}$ however only gave an indication of the net change in population size from one year to the next so that discrepancies in individual cohorts remained hidden.

Off-Reserve: During the same time period the Indian Register showed an increase in the end-year off-reserve population from 4249 to 4450 . This change of 201 individuals was very different from the calculated natural increase of only 122 obtained from the MSB data. Unlike the on-reserve population therefore the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ was relatively substantial. A
value of $1.78 \%$ suggested very poor correlation between the Indian Register and MSB data sources. As in the on-reserve case, examination of the net change in population sizes failed to reveal trends within different cohorts.

## Irregularities in the Reporting of Births:

The results obtained were comparable to those of other researchers in so far as the total population was concerned. Ram \& Romaniuc (1985:33) noted that among births in the Canadian Registered Indian 1971 population $69.1 \%$ were reported in the year they occurred. The corresponding average percentage calculated in this study was $64.6 \%$. Disaggregation into subpopulations revealed some striking patterns, not the least of which were the on- and off-reserve results. The cumulative percentage of births reported within each year was relatively low for all off-reserve populations compared to those for the on-reserve populations. This suggested a very significant problem of reporting delays associated with the former.

Seasonality: If a larger proportion of a population's births were to occur late in the year then one might expect reporting delays to be more likely. The chi-square values showed that indeed there were statistically significant differences in the proportion of births reported within different three-month intervals. Of the six populations that showed statistically significant chi-square values five of them did exhibit a large proportion of births within the October to December interval. When compared to the corresponding late-reported birth totals for those particular populations however no significant patterns emerged. While there was certainly reason to presume that births occurring later in the
year were more likely to go unreported until the following year, in this analysis there was nothing of particular note.

On-Reserve: The Indian Register showed a cohort size of 220 in 1981 (19810). After adjustment an expected cohort size of 270 was obtained. Therefore, approximately $81 \%$ of the births occurring in that year were reported promptly. This percentage was quite high compared to the corresponding values for other populations in the analysis. There was not sufficient evidence to suggest that a large proportion of births occurring late in the year could have led to the under-reporting exhibited in this year.

Off-Reserve: The Indian Register showed a cohort size of 82 in 1981. An adjusted cohort size of 121 was obtained, showing that only $67.8 \%$ of births occurring in the year were promptly reported. This percentage was much lower than those for most other populations, especially compared to the $81 \%$ obtained for the on-reserve population in this year. As in the case of the on-reserve population there was not sufficient evidence to suggest that patterns of seasonality could have led to this low reporting percentage.

## Irregularities in the Reporting of Deaths:

Both the reporting date and the actual date of occurrence of each death event were unavailable for this study. Therefore indirect means were utilized in order to analyze the problem of death-reporting irregularities. One may note that male and female events from Table 3 (pg. 51) did not add up to the appropriate totals. In the accounting procedure any apparent increases in cohort size from one year to the next for ages over 11 were disregarded. This was done for two reasons. First, it was assumed that all births
were reported by age 10 . Secondly, the effects of migration were disregarded simply because the available data did not allow an accurate portrayal of the movement of individuals from one population to the next. Note the following example. The female cohort underwent an apparent increase of 276 to 278 from one year to the next. Two deaths were recorded by the MSB. The accounting procedure therefore showed two

|  | $\underline{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | d | Type 1 | Type 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males | 274 | 271 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Females | $\frac{276}{550}$ | $\frac{278}{549}$ | $\frac{2}{2}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{2}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Type 2 discrepancies in the reporting of deaths. During this period the male cohort of the same age decreased by three with no deaths being reported, leading to a sum of three Type 1 discrepancies. In the total population accounting however, a change from 550 to 549 was noted, with two deaths occurring. As a result the accounting showed only one death going unreported. Thus the total number of Type 1 and Type 2 discrepancies was essentially an artificial measure of the severity of the irregularities associated with the reporting of deaths. Due to the use of inherently flawed baseline populations, along with the problems associated with apparent cohort size increases (possibly due to migration) the data could not be used to construct a meaningful "adjusted" set of deaths for each population. The Type 1 and Type 2 totals however were used as an indirect measure of the relative magnitude of reporting discrepancies associated with each population.

The results of this portion of the analysis were consistent with those for the birthreporting irregularities. Most notably, the ratio of MSB-reported deaths to total deathreporting discrepancies suggested a substantially larger death-reporting problem for off-
reserve populations compared to on-reserve. As shown in this research the end result of these discrepancies was an apparent increase in the size of the population in question. In particular the effect was noted in those strata from age 20 through 35 . In the absence of birth-reporting discrepancies this could therefore lead to a decrease in resulting mortality and fertility rates. This effect will be explored further in the discussion dealing with these rates.

On-Reserve: A total number of 90 reporting inconsistencies were noted. On 60 occasions the Register cohort size decreased with no corresponding death being reported by the MSB (Type 1). On 30 occasions the MSB reported a death within a cohort while no corresponding decrease was observed in the Indian Register (Type 2). These reporting problems were concentrated within the age 15-19, 20-24 and 25-29 strata. The resulting ratio of 1.76 (discrepancies to deaths) indicated that for every death recorded by the MSB nearly two reporting discrepancies were exhibited.

Off-Reserve: In the off-reserve case a total of 33 discrepancies were noted between MSB-recorded deaths and changes in the Indian Register population. This was broken down into nineteen Type 1 and fourteen Type 2 discrepancies, and they were not concentrated within any particular age stratum. The ratio for this population was similar to that for the 1981 on-reserve population, at 1.83. The severity of reporting problems associated with death events therefore seemed to be relatively equal for both the 1981 onand off-reserve populations.

## Adjustments:

Each population analyzed in this study was subjected to a meticulous adjustment process in order to minimize the impact of irregularities associated with the reporting of births and deaths. These adjustments were carried out directly upon single age cohorts and took into account population totals from Indian Register summary reports as well as death totals from the MSB. After obtaining adjusted cohorts sizes from the unadjusted cohorts these were then divided by the appropriate population total in order to determine the percentage contribution of each of these age strata to that total. The difference between each adjusted and unadjusted percentage was then obtained. This step was completed for 1980, 1981 and 1982 data and a set of average percentages was obtained, for each population. These average differences were presented in Table 1, and indicated which of the populations were more likely subject to reporting inconsistencies. In every case the age $<1$ and 1-4 strata experienced positive increases in their contribution to the total population after the adjustments were carried out. This was a direct result of the reallocation of births into the correct year in which they occurred. The most substantial post-adjustment increases in these cohort sizes were associated with those populations in which the late-reporting of births was a significant problem.

Comparison of the overall north and south populations suggested both were similarly affected by the irregularities in the reporting of vital events. Upon disaggregation into males and females it was interesting to note that both north and south males in the earliest age groups appeared to be more vulnerable to reporting problems. The adjustments also appeared to have a relatively significant impact upon those individuals aged 20 to 29 residing in the south. For both males and females those
particular age groups were decreased further than their north counterparts, again suggesting a more substantial problem of death reporting. This difference could compare in no way to those exhibited between the on- and off-reserve populations however. The magnitude of the increase in cohort size for the youngest off-reserve age strata suggested a very significant problem of delayed birth-reporting. Unlike the north and south comparison the impact of the adjustments was no different for females than it was for males. Similar patterns in the cohorts aged 20-29 were noted however. Again within this age range, and particularly for females, the on-reserve population showed an increase in size after adjustment (a rarity considering adjustments to these ages only took into account deaths) while off-reserve populations were decreased. All of this pointed to significant reporting irregularities.

The adjustment procedures themselves were not without problems. Until the age of ten, cohorts were adjusted for birth-reporting irregularities, even for those cases in which there was no available MSB birth data; for example in adjusting the 1979 ${ }_{1}$ cohort, the same cohort in 1983 (19835) was "taken back" under the assumption that most births had been reported by that time. While this assumption may not have held true in every case it was a necessary step. After the age of ten the 1979 population was used as the baseline cohort size. This base population was then reduced in each subsequent year according to the deaths reported by the MSB. It was for these reasons that in the following analysis of these adjusted and unadjusted populations only the years 1980, 1981 and 1982 were utilized. It was hoped that through these means it was possible to minimize the problems of the late- and under-reporting of vital events and thereby allow a meaningful comparison of each of the populations of interest in this research.

On-Reserve: The net end-year population size was increased by 58 individuals from 10185 to 10243 as a result of the adjustments, representing a change of less than one percent. This masked several interesting observations. Adjusting for birth-reporting discrepancies led to the addition of a total of 70 individuals to the youngest cohorts of the population. This included a substantial increase of nearly $23 \%$ in the size of the age $<1$ cohort. The remainder of the adjustments led to 60 reductions and 48 additions for a net decrease of 12 individuals. A more detailed breakdown showed relatively significant decreases in cohort size after adjustment for ages 10 through 19 as well as ages 30 through 44. Increases in size were noted from ages 20 to 24 . The remainder of the age strata experienced both minor increases and decreases in no particular order. Off-Reserve: In this case the net end-year population size was increased dramatically by 119 individuals from 4450 to 4569 which represented a change of nearly $2.5 \%$. As in the on-reserve population the effect of the adjustments depended upon the particular age stratum being considered. Adjusting for irregularities in birth-reporting led to the addition of 143 individuals to the population under the age of 10 . In fact the birth cohort was increased by nearly $48 \%$. Adjusting for the discrepancies in the reporting of deaths led to 60 deletions and 36 additions. The resulting net decrease therefore was 24 individuals. Further analysis showed significant decreases in the age 20-24 and 25-29 strata, as well as a slight increase in the 35-39 stratum. Other strata only experienced very minor increases and decreases.

## II. Effect of the Reporting Discrepancies Upon Epidemiological and Demographic

## Variables:

The following discussion of the 1981 on-reserve and off-reserve populations explains in detail the potential effect of reporting discrepancies upon some demographic and epidemiological variables.

## A. Mortality Analysis: Crude Mortality Rates (CMR)

On-Reserve: Reporting discrepancies led to a slightly inflated CMR of 17.68 deaths per thousand people compared to an adjusted rate of 17.03 . The identical mortality schedule was applied in both cases and therefore the increase in the crude rate reflected a net increase in the population size after being adjusted for the irregularities in the reporting of vital events. It is worth noting here that in most other cases, for other populations in the analysis, crude mortality rates were also inflated as a result of reporting problems. This was further illustrated upon consideration of the population aged $<1$ to 9 . The crude rate was elevated considerably as a result of reporting irregularities. The rate of 9.53 was decreased to 8.35 deaths per thousand people after adjustment of the data. This inflated rate was a result of the inconsistencies associated with the reporting of births. Off-Reserve: In this case the CMR was again increased as a result of reporting discrepancies. The original rate of 7.64 deaths per thousand was decreased after adjustment to obtain rate of 7.59. This was also a result of the decreased net mid-year population size (4355 from 4522) resulting from primarily the birth-reporting discrepancies. The crude rate for the population aged $<1$ to 9 was also elevated as a
result of reporting irregularities, from 3.93 to 4.67 deaths per thousand people. In both the on- and off-reserve situations the effect of reporting discrepancies upon the crude mortality rate was predictable. As in the case of most other populations net population sizes were underestimated. The CMR calculations utilized a standard mortality schedule so that the rates depended only upon the net size of each population.

## B. Mortality Analysis: Indirectly Standardized Mortality Rates (ISMR):

On-Reserve: A more meaningful way to analyze the effect of the late- and underreporting of vital events upon mortality rates was through indirect standardization. In this case the standard population (1992 Manitoba) age-specific death rates were applied to the given population (1981 adjusted and unadjusted on-reserve) so that the difference in the calculated rates was a product of the changes in cohort size resulting from the procedures to minimize the reporting discrepancies. Unlike the crude rate however some allowance was made for the different age structures. In this case the indirectly standardized rate for the on-reserve population was elevated from 20.17 to 20.40 deaths per thousand people as a result of the reporting problems. This was a relatively small increase but it was still more significant than the negligible increase in crude mortality rates determined previously. Not unexpectedly, the indirectly standardized rate for the population aged <1 to 9 experienced a dramatic increase as a result of reporting discrepancies (from 3.94 to 4.74 deaths per thousand population). This was a reflection of the substantial undercounting of individuals in the birth cohort. In the gross analysis this effect was masked by increases in age-specific mortality experienced in several cohorts as a result of the population adjustments. This was an important observation. While the individual
contribution of either birth-reporting problems or death-reporting problems upon the mortality rates may have been significant, when the net aggregate results were analyzed the effect was much less so.

Off-Reserve: The effect of reporting discrepancies was more evident upon consideration of the 1981 off-reserve population. In this case the indirectly standardized rate was elevated from 60.21 to 63.06 deaths per thousand people as a result of reporting problems. For the age $<1$ to 9 population a dramatic increase was noted. The unadjusted rate was 12.88 deaths per thousand people and after adjusting for reporting problems it was decreased to 9.14. Inaccurate reporting of births and the inaccurate reporting of deaths did less to "cancel" each other out than in the case of the on-reserve population. This was primarily a result of the apparently much more severe problem of birth reporting.

## C. Mortality Analysis: Directly Standardized Mortality Rates (DSMR):

On-Reserve: These rates were calculated for two reasons. First, in order to verify those calculated through the indirect standardization procedure; and second and more importantly, to carry out a decomposition analysis for the sake of analyzing the relative contribution of age structure changes resulting from the adjustment procedures compared to real mortality differences. The overall on-reserve 1981 directly standardized rate was actually deflated ( 16.29 per thousand people) as a result of reporting irregularities. This was a relatively unusual observation in the standardization analysis. In every case the adjustments led to net increases in the end-year, and therefore mid-term populations used in the calculation. The significant reporting discrepancies occurring within certain
cohorts, particularly the age 20-24 and 25-29 cohorts led to much lower sizes than expected and it was these particular age-specific mortality rates that contributed to the lower-than-expected unadjusted standardized mortality rate. Upon consideration of ages $<1$ to 9 the opposite situation was observed. This elevated rate of 4.67 deaths per thousand people, compared to a revised rate of 3.93 , also reflected the exclusion of a significant proportion of individuals within the youngest cohorts resulting from irregularities in birth-reporting.

Off-Reserve: The off-reserve 1981 directly standardized rate was increase from 51.47 to 53.59 deaths per thousand people as a result of reporting irregularities. Note that in this case reporting discrepancies did not affect the age 20-24 and 25-29 cohorts to the extent seen in the on-reserve analysis. Therefore the problems associated with the reporting of births were primarily responsible for the inflated mortality rate. A similar situation was observed for the population aged $<1$ to 9 . The elevated rate of 12.97 deaths per thousand people, compared to the adjusted rate of 9.13 reflected the exclusion of a significant proportion of individuals within the youngest cohorts due to irregularities in birthreporting.

## D. Mortality Analysis: Decomposition of the Difference in Crude Rates:

On-Reserve: Of the difference in adjusted and unadjusted population crude mortality rates part was due to real mortality differences (rates component) and part was due to changes in age structure (composition component). By utilizing the adjusted population as the standard in the direct standardization procedure, the unadjusted population as the given, and the standard schedule of mortality it was possible to examine the effect of the
changes in age structure resulting from reporting discrepancies. The adjusted and unadjusted crude rates were 7.59 and 7.64 deaths per thousand people respectively. The resulting decomposition ratio (ratio of composition to rates components) for the onreserve 1981 population was 0.6613 , indicating that approximately $66 \%$ of the difference in these crude rates could be attributed to the change in age-structure associated with the late- and under-reporting of births and deaths. Similarly, for the corresponding age $<1$ to 9 population the on-reserve ratio was 0.8196 .

Off-Reserve: In the case of the 1981 off-reserve population the adjusted and unadjusted crude rates were 17.03 and 17.68 deaths per thousand people respectively. Of the total difference of 0.65 between these two rates only $40 \%$ could be attributed to differences in age structure associated with the adjustment procedures. The decomposition ratio for the age $<1$ to 9 off-reserve population was 0.6613 .

## E. Life Table Analysis: Survivorship Probability ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}$ ):

On-Reserve: Here again the standard schedule of mortality was utilized so that any changes in life table variables were a reflection of the adjustment procedures and therefore a reflection of discrepancies in the reporting of vital events. Of particular interest in the life table analysis were the survivorship probabilities because they were then utilized in the fertility analysis. The changes in the 1981 on-reserve survivorships resulting from the late- and under-reporting of vital events are summarized below. Unadjusted population survivorship functions for this population were lower as a result of reporting problems, indicating that the characteristic age-specific mortality rates for the stationary population would have resulted in a smaller proportion of individuals living
within each cohort. Reporting discrepancies within the youngest age categories affected the survivorship functions to a larger extent than those taking place for ages 10 and over. In fact, if cohort sizes for the age <1, 1-4 and 5-9 were kept constant, in many cases

| Age | Adjusted | Unadjusted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\underline{S}_{x}$ |
| $<1$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1-4 | 0.9756 | 0.9687 |
| 5-9 | 0.9654 | 0.9584 |
| 10-14 | 0.9619 | 0.9549 |
| 15-19 | 0.9551 | 0.9482 |
| 20-24 | 0.9415 | 0.9348 |
| 25-29 | 0.9208 | 0.9139 |
| 30-34 | 0.8967 | 0.8899 |
| 35-39 | 0.8802 | 0.8736 |
| 40-44 | 0.8494 | 0.8441 |
| 45-49 | 0.8135 | 0.8089 |
| 50-54 | 0.7732 | 0.7690 |
| 55-59 | 0.7113 | 0.7072 |
| 60-64 | 0.6785 | 0.6743 |
| 65-69 | 0.6052 | 0.6022 |
| 70-74 | 0.4865 | 0.4818 |
| 75-79 | 0.4225 | 0.4167 |
| 80-84 | 0.2817 | 0.2808 |
| 85+ | 0.1536 | 0.1532 |

reporting irregularities resulted in higher $S_{x}$ functions for those cohorts aged 10 and over. The net result however was still an underestimate of survivorship for the 1981 on-reserve population. These observations show the substantial impact that the late- and underreporting of births and deaths may have upon subsequent mortality measures.

Off-Reserve: The following chart presents the adjusted and unadjusted survivorship probabilities for the 1981 off-reserve population. These probabilities, like the on-reserve population, were also underestimated as a result of reporting problems. Discrepancies in the reporting of vital events within the youngest cohorts affected the survivorship functions noticeably for all strata. Due to the greater magnitude of reporting
discrepancies within the youngest segment of the off-reserve population, particularly those associated with births, the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}$ functions were underestimated further than the onreserve population.

| Age | Adjusted | Unadjusted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{x}$ | $\underline{S_{x}}$ |
| <1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1-4 | 0.9433 | 0.9141 |
| 5-9 | 0.9211 | 0.8887 |
| 10-14 | 0.9145 | 0.8819 |
| 15-19 | 0.9016 | 0.8694 |
| 20-24 | 0.8710 | 0.8395 |
| 25-29 | 0.8134 | 0.7874 |
| 30-34 | 0.7664 | 0.7438 |
| 35-39 | 0.7428 | 0.7205 |
| 40-44 | 0.6999 | 0.6764 |
| 45-49 | 0.6493 | 0.6266 |
| 50-54 | 0.5814 | 0.5597 |
| 55-59 | 0.4577 | 0.4419 |
| 60-64 | 0.4005 | 0.3859 |
| 65-69 | 0.2549 | 0.2430 |
| 70-74 | 0.1019 | 0.0911 |
| 75-79 | 0.0468 | 0.0432 |
| 80-84 | 0.0015 | 0.0000 |
| 85+ | 0.0001 | 0.0000 |

## F. Life Table Analysis: Expectation of Life ( $\mathrm{e}_{0}$ ):

On-Reserve: The effect of reporting irregularities upon expectation of life measures for the 1981 on-reserve population was quite different from the pattern shown by the survivorship probabilities. The $\mathrm{e}_{0}$ at birth was lower than expected while for nearly every other age stratum the $e_{0}$ was inflated. This was a reflection of the combined effects of two different phenomena. The late-reporting of births led to a much smaller than expected age $<1$ cohort. On the other hand, death-reporting inconsistencies led to exaggerated cohort sizes in older age strata.

| Age | Adjusted | Unadjusted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{e}_{0}$ | $\underline{e}_{0}$ |
| <1 | 64.16 | 63.74 |
| 1-4 | 64.77 | 64.80 |
| 5-9 | 61.43 | 61.47 |
| 10-14 | 56.64 | 56.68 |
| 15-19 | 52.03 | 52.07 |
| 20-24 | 47.74 | 47.78 |
| 25-29 | 43.76 | 43.82 |
| 30-34 | 39.87 | 39.93 |
| 35-39 | 35.57 | 35.63 |
| 40-44 | 31.77 | 31.79 |
| 45-49 | 28.06 | 28.06 |
| 50-54 | 24.39 | 24.39 |
| 55-59 | 21.30 | 21.30 |
| 60-64 | 17.21 | 17.21 |
| 65-69 | 13.99 | 13.98 |
| 70-74 | 11.79 | 11.84 |
| 75-79 | 8.20 | 8.30 |
| 80-84 | 6.05 | 6.11 |
| 85+ | 4.00 | 4.13 |

Off-Reserve: The off-reserve life expectancies were affected in a similar way as those for the on-reserve population although once again the changes were slightly more significant. These are shown below. The more substantial problem of late birth reporting was manifested in a lower than expected $\mathrm{e}_{0}$ for the age $<1$ cohort. In addition the existence of death-reporting inaccuracies generally contributed to higher than expected expectations of life.

| Age | Adjusted <br> $\underline{e}_{0}$ | Unadjusted <br> $\underline{e}_{0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $<1$ | 48.00 | 46.30 |
| $1-4$ | 49.88 | 49.64 |
| $5-9$ | 47.03 | 47.00 |
| $10-14$ | 42.35 | 42.35 |
| $15-19$ | 37.93 | 37.92 |
| $20-24$ | 34.17 | 34.18 |
| $25-29$ | 31.41 | 31.28 |
| $30-34$ | 28.18 | 27.97 |
| $35-39$ | 24.00 | 23.79 |
| $40-44$ | 20.32 | 20.18 |
| $45-49$ | 16.71 | 16.58 |
| $50-54$ | 13.37 | 13.27 |
| $55-59$ | 11.30 | 11.14 |
| $60-64$ | 7.56 | 7.39 |
| $65-69$ | 5.45 | 5.26 |
| $70-74$ | 4.88 | 4.87 |
| $75-79$ | 2.67 | 2.50 |
| $80-84$ | 2.88 | 0.00 |
| $85+$ | 1.63 | 0.00 |

## G. Fertility Analysis: Crude Birth Rate (CBR):

On-Reserve: Reporting discrepancies resulted in a slightly inflated CBR for this population; 44.45 versus the adjusted rate of 44.14 . This difference was a result of the offsetting effects of two different processes. The occurrence of late- and under-reported births resulted in a decreased population size and therefore an increase in the CBR. On the other hand, death-reporting discrepancies tended to bring about increases in population size and therefore decreases in the CBR. This was illustrated by the overall mid-year population size change from 10079 (without discrepancies being taken into account) to 10149 after adjustments. This was a negligible difference of 70 individuals, indicating that the overall effect of reporting problems was therefore quite minimal.

Off-Reserve: The off-reserve population CBR was increased to a larger extent than the on-reserve population as a result of reporting problems. This reflected the substantial underestimate of the total mid-year population size of 4355 compared to the adjust total of 4522. Based upon the adjusted population size and the standard schedule of mortality a CBR of 99.07 births per thousand people would have been expected. Instead, reporting discrepancies led to an increase of $3.69 \%$ and a rate of 102.87 . As in the case of the onreserve population for this year an overall decrease was noted for the population over the age of 9 as a result of adjustments while the youngest cohorts experienced increases. The nearly $4 \%$ increase in the crude rate (compared to $0.70 \%$ for the corresponding onreserve population) was a result of the significant underestimation of the population aged $<1$ to 9 and therefore of the undercounting of births. While the size of the remainder of the population was slightly overestimated the effect of this upon the CBR was not significant enough to offset that of the undercounting.

## H. Fertility Analysis: Total Fertility Rate (TFR):

On-Reserve: The effects of reporting discrepancies upon the TFR were relatively minimal as well. According to the results a hypothetical cohort of 1000 women of reproductive age, experiencing the characteristic age-specific birth rates, would expect to give birth to approximately 5016 children. The standard schedule of births was also utilized in this case. The denominators in the calculations however consisted of only those women of childbearing age, in this case 15 to 49. The TFR was actually depressed as a result of discrepancies in the reporting of deaths. The consequent increase in the
denominator, from a more realistic, adjusted value of 3001 to 3011 , led to the decreased TFR of 5016 compared to 5022.

Off-Reserve: As a result of reporting discrepancies the expected number of births for the hypothetical cohort off-reserve was also underestimated although in this case it was by a more significant margin than the on-reserve population. Based upon the unadjusted data the TFR suggested that 10687 children would be born to a hypothetical cohort of 1000 women. After repairing the data however an expected TFR of 10949 was obtained. Reporting discrepancies therefore resulted in an underestimate of approximately 261 births, a decrease of $2.45 \%$. The on-reserve population TFR was underestimated as well but only by $0.12 \%$. Like the on-reserve population, changes in the childbearing population size were affected by reporting problems and this in turn affected the calculation of the TFR. While the change in overall size of this particular population was quite small, from 1480 to 1476 , relatively significant changes were noted in the 20-24 and 25-29 age strata. It was primarily the overestimation of these cohort sizes that contributed to the underestimate of the TFR.

## I. Fertility Analysis: Gross Reproduction Rate (GRR):

On-Reserve: Calculation of the GRR differed from the TFR in that it utilized female births only. Again, in this case a standard set of female births was utilized so that any consequences of the late- and under-reporting of vital events (deaths in this case) were manifested in changes in the size of the female childbearing population. Not surprisingly then the adjusted and unadjusted GRR's were virtually identical. Whether including or excluding the death-reporting discrepancies, the GRR indicated that approximately 2.4
female births could be expected for each female in the population in her lifetime, given the characteristic fertility experience.

Off-Reserve: Like the TFR, reporting discrepancies led to inflated cohort sizes for the age 20-24 and 25-29 strata. This resulted in a depressed GRR of 5.09 rather than an expected value of 5.22 .

## J. Fertility Analysis: Net Reproduction Rate (NRR):

On-Reserve: The effect of reporting discrepancies upon the NRR for this population was relatively minimal. The average number of daughters produced by a woman during her lifetime, given the characteristic fertility and mortality conditions, was 2.215. After minimizing the effect of the reporting discrepancies a higher NRR of 2.235 was obtained. The lower NRR was a result of the combined effects of two factors involved in the calculation. Survivorship probabilities, obtained from the unisex life table were affected by irregularities occurring in the reporting of births and deaths. The effects of these reporting discrepancies upon the survivorship probabilities have been described in great detail previously. In addition, age-specific birth rates were determined using a standard set of births which was constant, and the female age-specific childbearing population. The latter was slightly inflated from 3001 to 3011 as a result of reporting discrepancies. The end result therefore was a slightly lower than expected NRR.

Off-Reserve: The effect of reporting discrepancies upon the off-reserve NRR was somewhat predictable given those upon the previous fertility measures. The average number of daughters produced by a woman was lower than expected as a result of reporting problems at 5.401. After adjustment the NRR was slightly higher at 5.638 and
this represented an underestimate of approximately $4.20 \%$ as a result of reporting discrepancies. In contrast the on-reserve NRR was underestimated by less than $1.0 \%$. This came as no surprise because the two primary variables used in the calculation of the NRR were both affected in a different way by reporting discrepancies, depending upon the population being considered. Off-reserve survivorship probabilities and age-specific fertility rates based upon the childbearing population were affected to a larger degree than their on-reserve counterparts.

## K. Fertility Analysis: Mean Age at Childbearing (MAC):

On-Reserve: The MAC was hardly affected by the reporting discrepancies within this population. Only a slight decrease to 25.69 years of age compared to an expected of 25.74 was observed. Like the NRR, determination of the MAC utilized the net maternity function that was calculated using the age-specific childbearing population in conjunction with the standard set of female births. Therefore the slight decrease in the MAC resulting from reporting discrepancies was not unlike that exhibited for the NRR.

Off-Reserve: The off-reserve MAC was also largely unaffected by reporting discrepancies. A minimal decrease from 24.25 to 24.23 years of age was observed. Unlike the case of the GRR and NRR, the calculation of the MAC involved weighting the net maternity function by the average age within each category and then dividing the total of weight values by the unweighted ones. These steps essentially "smoothed out" the effect of the reporting discrepancies upon the childbearing population. The result was that very little difference was observed between the original and adjusted MAC's for this off-reserve population.

## L. Fertility Analysis: Intrinsic Rate of Natural Increase ( $r$ ):

On-Reserve: As in the case of the GRR and NRR a slight decrease in the intrinsic rate of natural increase from 3.006 to 2.973 was noted due to reporting discrepancies. This represented a change of just over $1.0 \%$. Reporting irregularities affected two specific variables involved in the calculation of the $\boldsymbol{r}$, namely the survivorship probabilities and the age-specific childbearing population totals. The resulting growth rate for the 1981 on-reserve population was actually decreased.

Off-Reserve: A more significant decrease in the $r$ was noted for the off-reserve population compared to that for the on-reserve population. Reporting discrepancies led to a $4.3 \%$ decrease, from 5.638 to 5.401 . The more significant effect of reporting problems for the off-reserve population was the culmination of the combined effects upon the survivorship functions and the childbearing population.

Note on the use of standard fertility schedules: A standard schedule of fertility was used for two reasons. First, it was necessary to retain some consistency in the calculations. The survivorship functions extracted from the life table analysis were originally obtained using a standard schedule of mortality. In addition, the use of a standard set of births was required in order to meaningfully isolate and assess the differential impact of reporting discrepancies upon these fertility calculations. The resulting values were not realistic depictions of the actual reproductive experience of the population in question. Rather, they were used to compare the situations of different populations.

## Chapter 6

## Summary and Conclusions

The Indian Register has continuously come under scrutiny as a data source. The purpose of this study was to assess the utility of such data in the demographic and epidemiological analysis of Manitoba's Registered Indian population. The research questions posed in chapter one are addressed below.

1. Inconsistencies in the reporting of vital events: Magnitude of the problem.

Through the calculation of the error of closure it became clear that births and deaths did not account for the change in size of each population from one year to the next. This was the case for every population in each year, although the $E_{c}$ was relatively large in some instances. $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ 's tended to be high for females and off-reserve populations, suggesting that these populations were subjected to a more significant number of reporting inconsistencies. However, great care was necessary in the interpretation of these error values. It was not possible to isolate the contribution of in- and out-migration to the error of closure. Residential (on-/off-reserve) and regional (north/south) migration may have affected the calculations, as shown for the 1980 on- and off-reserve comparison in chapter five. It was necessary therefore to examine separately the birth and death reporting inconsistencies.

Delays in the reporting of births were most significant for the off-reserve populations. While the majority of on-reserve births were reported within two years, it took nearly four or five years for all off-reserve births to be reported. This suggested that
significant difficulties existed in "keeping track" of births occurring off-reserve. In no case did seasonal fertility patterns correlate with the existence of birth-reporting discrepancies.

The determination of the number of births being reported from one year to the next was not without its own source of error. With only a five-year window with which to work, any births occurring outside of this time frame will have gone uncounted. As a result of the procedures that were followed the expected or corrected total number of births for each population, in each year was taken to be the largest size achieved by that cohort within this five year span. In reality it is quite likely that the cohort reached higher total sizes outside of the years of interest, in which case the percentages reported here would be overestimated.

The ratios obtained from Table 5 suggested that the off-reserve populations were subject to the most severe problems of death-reporting discrepancies. The absolute number of discrepancies was lower for the off-reserve populations in every case. Upon weighting these by the deaths however the ratio of discrepancies to actual reported deaths ranged from approximately $2: 1$ to a substantial $44: 1$ in the case of off-reserve females.

The reader is again cautioned about the artificial nature of these ratios. While it did indicate the severity of death-reporting discrepancies it did not allow the construction of an "adjusted" mortality schedule. It was just not possible to determine the actual year of occurrence of a death based upon the data.
2. Adjusting the populations to correct for discrepancies in vital events reporting:

The adjusted populations, from which subsequent mortality and fertility estimates were calculated, represented the populations that would have been expected if the irregularities associated with vital event-reporting were not present. The effect of the reporting discrepancies could be divided into two primary categories. First, discrepancies in birth-reporting led, in every case to decreases in the size of the population aged $<10$. Discrepancies in the remainder of the population generally led to inflated population sizes. Notable differential effects were exhibited in the on- and off-reserve comparison. It was no surprise that the off-reserve population experienced the largest problems associated with reporting problems. Upon direct comparison with the on-reserve population the pattern was unlike any others in the analysis and Figure 2 (pg. 44) illustrated this. Every time discrepancies led to a decrease in certain cohorts in one population, a corresponding increase was noticed in the other. This speaks to the potential role of residential (on-/off-reserve) mobility in the inconsistent reporting of vital events.

The adjustment procedures carried out in this analysis were problematic in two ways. First, in adjusting for birth-reporting irregularities, only a relatively short timespan was available. For example, determination of the "corrected" number of births in 1979 depended upon cohort sizes reported through 1983. On the other hand, obtaining an adjusted set of 1982 births involved a consideration of only that year along with 1983. As a result the adjusted sizes for these particular cohorts in later years in the period of interest were likely underestimated.

The second potential problem was associated with the discrepancies in death reporting. It was not possible to allocate type 1 or type 2 reporting discrepancies into the appropriate year. Therefore it was necessary to utilize the 1979 population as a baseline from which to adjust each population based upon the occurrence of deaths. Like the other years however the 1979 populations were still not realistic. It was desirable to minimize the effect of reporting discrepancies upon each population. However, the inherently flawed nature of this 1979 population virtually guaranteed that the corrections that were carried out would not completely account for the reporting discrepancies.
3. Effect of reporting discrepancies upon selected epidemiological and demographic calculations.

The effect of vital event-reporting irregularities upon the mortality and fertility estimates calculated in this study depended upon two factors. The first of these was the actual magnitude of the birth or death-reporting discrepancies. Secondly, the effect depended upon the age stratum in which the problems occurred. It was the combination of these factors that influenced the calculation of these estimates. As a general rule, discrepancies in the reporting of births led to an underestimate of overall population size while death-reporting irregularities led to an overestimate. The epidemiological and demographic variables calculated in this analysis were affected by reporting discrepancies in the following general ways.

Mortality - These rates (CMR, ISMR, DSMR) tended to be overestimated while survivorship probabilities tended to be underestimated. Expectation of life at birth was underestimated while those for other strata were overestimated.

Fertility and Reproduction - Given a standard schedule of births, the TFR, GRR, NRR and $r$ were underestimated as a result of reporting problems. The CBR was generally overestimated.
4. Utilization of these methods for establishment of a reliable, convenient baseline population:

The adjustment procedures were useful in two ways. First, the resulting population counts were helpful for comparison reasons. As long as each population of interest was "corrected" in the same way the relative differential effect of reporting discrepancies within each could be meaningfully considered. In addition, the procedures themselves allowed the isolation of the two types of reporting discrepancies, those affecting birth events and those related to deaths. Much of the discussion has emphasized the problematic nature of these adjustment procedures. It can be stated with some certainty that the effect of reporting discrepancies upon the age structure of each population and subsequent calculations was minimized to some degree. However, it would not be prudent to attempt to utilize these "adjusted" populations as a source of reliable baseline data.

## Appendix I

Standard Data Sets for Use in Mortality and Fertility Calculations

| (I) MANITOBA 1992 |  |  | (II) STANDARD SCHEDULE*** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Population* | Deaths** | Deaths |
| <1 | 16600 | 113 | 7 |
| 1-4 | 66900 | 23 | 3 |
| 5-9 | 81900 | 16 | 1 |
| 10-14 | 78500 | 14 | 2 |
| 15-19 | 80800 | 54 | 4 |
| 20-24 | 82900 | 67 | 5 |
| 25-29 | 91000 | 78 | 4 |
| 30-34 | 96400 | 90 | 2 |
| 35-39 | 88600 | 102 | 3 |
| 40-44 | 78400 | 121 | 3 |
| 45-49 | 64600 | 197 | 3 |
| 50-54 | 50700 | 218 | 4 |
| 55-59 | 45900 | 318 | 2 |
| 60-64 | 45900 | 542 | 4 |
| 65-69 | 44800 | 825 | 6 |
| 70-74 | 38800 | 1126 | 4 |
| 75-79 | 30400 | 1339 | 6 |
| 80-84 | 19600 | 1404 | 6 |
| 85+ | 15800 | 2332 | 8 |
| Totals | 1118500 | 8979 | 77 |
| (III) STANDARD FERTILITY SCHEDULES*** |  |  |  |
| Age | All | Female |  |
|  | Births | Births |  |
| 10-14 | 6 | 2 |  |
| 15-19 | 144 | 65 |  |
| 20-24 | 163 | 78 |  |
| 25-29 | 82 | 40 |  |
| 30-34 | 37 | 19 |  |
| 35-39 | 13 | 7 |  |
| 40-44 | 3 | 1 |  |
| 45-49 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Totals | 448 | 212 |  |
| SOURCES: |  |  |  |
| * Demography Division, Population Estimates Section, |  |  |  |
| Adjusted for net census undercoverage and includes non-permanent residents. |  |  |  |
| Estimates rounded to nearest 100. |  |  |  |
| ** Health Statistics Division, Statistics Canada |  |  |  |
| *** First Nations and Inuit Health Branch, Five year averages obtained from Total Manitoba |  |  |  |

## Appendix II:

Adjusted and Unadjusted Populations in Standard Age Categories, 1979-1983.

1A. End-Year Unadjusted Population, 1979.

|  | Total |  |  | North |  |  | South |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |
| <1 | 134 | 121 | 255 | 57 | 66 | 123 | 77 | 55 | 132 |
| 1.4 | 772 | 707 | 1479 | 386 | 363 | 749 | 386 | 344 | 730 |
| 5-9 | 1036 | 1018 | 2054 | 527 | 512 | 1039 | 509 | 506 | 1015 |
| 10-14 | 1078 | 1040 | 2118 | 494 | 504 | 998 | 584 | 536 | 1120 |
| 15-19 | 957 | 909 | 1866 | 420 | 428 | 848 | 537 | 481 | 1018 |
| 20-24 | 691 | 636 | 1327 | 321 | 306 | 627 | 370 | 330 | 700 |
| 25-29 | 522 | 510 | 1032 | 242 | 230 | 472 | 280 | 280 | 560 |
| 30-34 | 409 | 386 | 795 | 194 | 181 | 375 | 215 | 205 | 420 |
| 35-39 | 330 | 301 | 631 | 153 | 132 | 285 | 177 | 169 | 346 |
| 40-44 | 263 | 235 | 498 | 116 | 119 | 235 | 147 | 116 | 263 |
| 45-49 | 223 | 188 | 411 | 98 | 92 | 190 | 125 | 96 | 221 |
| 50-54 | 160 | 155 | 315 | 65 | 66 | 131 | 95 | 89 | 184 |
| 55-59 | 148 | 132 | 280 | 77 | 71 | 148 | 71 | 61 | 132 |
| 60-64 | 106 | 98 | 204 | 48 | 58 | 106 | 58 | 40 | 98 |
| 65-69 | 98 | 73 | 171 | 58 | 41 | 99 | 40 | 32 | 72 |
| 70-74 | 90 | 69 | 159 | 50 | 37 | 87 | 40 | 32 | 72 |
| 75-79 | 51 | 38 | 89 | 26 | 19 | 45 | 25 | 19 | 44 |
| 80-84 | 28 | 29 | 57 | 18 | 15 | 33 | 10 | 14 | 24 |
| $85+$ | 16 | 30 | 46 | 7 | 19 | 26 | 9 | 11 | 20 |
|  | 7112 | 6675 | 13787 | 3357 | 3259 | 6616 | 3755 | 3416 | 7171 |
|  | On-Reserve |  |  | Off-Res |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |  |  |  |
| $<1$ | 101 | 89 | 190 | 33 | 32 | 65 |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | 562 | 516 | 1078 | 210 | 191 | 401 |  |  |  |
| 5-9 | 704 | 687 | 1391 | 332 | 331 | 663 |  |  |  |
| 10-14 | 697 | 708 | 1405 | 381 | 332 | 713 |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 685 | 668 | 1353 | 272 | 241 | 513 |  |  |  |
| 20-24 | 542 | 469 | 1011 | 149 | 167 | 316 |  |  |  |
| 25-29 | 384 | 307 | 691 | 138 | 203 | 341 |  |  |  |
| 30-34 | 268 | 215 | 483 | 141 | 171 | 312 |  |  |  |
| 35-39 | 221 | 179 | 400 | 109 | 122 | 231 |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 171 | 151 | 322 | 92 | 84 | 176 |  |  |  |
| 45-49 | 163 | 125 | 288 | 60 | 63 | 123 |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 119 | 111 | 230 | 41 | 44 | 85 |  |  |  |
| 55-59 | 105 | 111 | 216 | 43 | 21 | 64 |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 80 | 79 | 159 | 26 | 19 | 45 |  |  |  |
| 65-69 | 79 | 63 | 142 | 19 | 10 | 29 |  |  |  |
| 70-74 | 76 | 57 | 133 | 14 | 12 | 26 |  |  |  |
| 75-79 | 39 | 30 | 69 | 12 | 8 | 20 |  |  |  |
| 80-84 | 25 | 24 | 49 | 3 | 5 | 8 |  |  |  |
| 85+ | 13 | 18 | 31 | 3 | 12 | 15 |  |  |  |
|  | 5034 | 4607 | 9641 | 2078 | 2068 | 4146 |  |  |  |

2A. End-Year Unadjusted Population, 1980.


3A. End-Year Unadjusted Population, 1981.


4A. End-Year Unadjusted Population, 1982.

| Total |  |  |  | North |  |  | South |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ang | Males | female | Total | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |
| <1 | 152 | 143 | 295 | 81 | 66 | 147 | 71 | 77 | 148 |
| $1-4$ | 798 | 754 | 1552 | 379 | 388 | 767 | 419 | 366 | 785 |
| 5-9 | 1043 | 940 | 1983 | 540 | 486 | 1026 | 503 | 454 | 957 |
| 10-14 | 1020 | 1074 | 2094 | 479 | 527 | 1006 | 541 | 547 | 1088 |
| 15-19 | 1106 | 1005 | 2111 | 502 | 466 | 968 | 604 | 539 | 1143 |
| 20-24 | 823 | 804 | 1627 | 364 | 368 | 732 | 459 | 436 | 895 |
| 25-29 | 601 | 601 | 1202 | 287 | 281 | 568 | 314 | 320 | 634 |
| 30-34 | 469 | 446 | 915 | 219 | 205 | 424 | 250 | 241 | 491 |
| 35-39 | 377 | 349 | 726 | 167 | 167 | 334 | 210 | 182 | 392 |
| 40-44 | 305 | 270 | 575 | 148 | 123 | 271 | 157 | 147 | 304 |
| 45-49 | 233 | 206 | 439 | 104 | 102 | 206 | 129 | 104 | 233 |
| 50-54 | 185 | 180 | 365 | 76 | 86 | 162 | 109 | 94 | 203 |
| 55-59 | 164 | 128 | 292 | 75 | 64 | 139 | 89 | 64 | 153 |
| 60-64 | 118 | 110 | 228 | 61 | 54 | 115 | 57 | 56 | 113 |
| 65-69 | 84 | 93 | 177 | 43 | 58 | 101 | 41 | 35 | 76 |
| 70-74 | 85 | 66 | 151 | 54 | 39 | 93 | 31 | 27 | 58 |
| 75-79 | 63 | 43 | 106 | 33 | 22 | 55 | 30 | 21 | 51 |
| 80-84 | 37 | 32 | 69 | 16 | 17 | 33 | 21 | 15 | 36 |
| 85+ | 13 | 33 | 46 | 9 | 21 | 30 | 4 | 12 | 16 |
|  | 7676 | 7277 | 14953 | 3637 | 3540 | 7177 | 4039 | 3737 | 7776 |
| On-Reserve |  |  |  | Off-Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |  |  |  |
| $<1$ | 110 | 109 | 219 | 42 | 34 | 76 |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | 554 | 526 | 1080 | 244 | 228 | 472 |  |  |  |
| 5.9 | 694 | 638 | 1332 | 349 | 302 | 651 |  |  |  |
| 10-14 | 663 | 727 | 1390 | 357 | 347 | 704 |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 763 | 690 | 1453 | 343 | 315 | 658 |  |  |  |
| 20-24 | 592 | 567 | 1159 | 231 | 237 | 468 |  |  |  |
| 25-29 | 439 | 368 | 807 | 162 | 233 | 395 |  |  |  |
| 30-34 | 335 | 254 | 589 | 134 | 192 | 326 |  |  |  |
| 35-39 | 261 | 197 | 458 | 116 | 152 | 268 |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 207 | 166 | 373 | 98 | 104 | 202 |  |  |  |
| 45-49 | 161 | 137 | 298 | 72 | 69 | 141 |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 149 | 117 | 266 | 36 | 63 | 99 |  |  |  |
| 55-59 | 115 | 97 | 212 | 49 | 31 | 80 |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 85 | 96 | 181 | 33 | 14 | 47 |  |  |  |
| 65-69 | 67 | 78 | 145 | 17 | 15 | 32 |  |  |  |
| 70-74 | 71 | 53 | 124 | 14 | 13 | 27 |  |  |  |
| 75-79 | 55 | 34 | 89 | 8 | 9 | 17 |  |  |  |
| 80-84 | 27 | 24 | 51 | 10 | 8 | 18 |  |  |  |
| 85+ | 10 | 23 | 33 | 3 | 10 | 13 |  |  |  |
|  | 5358 | 4901 | 10259 | 2318 | 2376 | 4694 |  |  |  |

5A. End-Year Unadjusted Population, 1983.

| Total |  |  | North |  |  | South |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |
| <1 | 187 | 176 | 363 | 99 | 97 | 196 | 88 | 79 | 167 |
| 1-4 | 812 | 765 | 1577 | 378 | 368 | 746 | 434 | 397 | 831 |
| 5-9 | 1051 | 952 | 2003 | 536 | 500 | 1036 | 515 | 452 | 967 |
| 10-14 | 1023 | 1052 | 2075 | 514 | 516 | 1030 | 509 | 536 | 1045 |
| 15-19 | 1091 | 1029 | 2120 | 479 | 480 | 959 | 612 | 549 | 1161 |
| 20-24 | 884 | 866 | 1750 | 398 | 408 | 806 | 486 | 458 | 944 |
| 25-29 | 635 | 617 | 1252 | 290 | 280 | 570 | 345 | 337 | 682 |
| 30-34 | 483 | 480 | 963 | 226 | 227 | 453 | 257 | 253 | 510 |
| 35-39 | 396 | 372 | 768 | 176 | 171 | 347 | 220 | 201 | 421 |
| 40-44 | 312 | 283 | 595 | 155 | 130 | 285 | 157 | 153 | 310 |
| 45-49 | 242 | 214 | 456 | 105 | 111 | 216 | 137 | 103 | 240 |
| 50-54 | 198 | 186 | 384 | 91 | 84 | 175 | 107 | 102 | 209 |
| 55-59 | 157 | 133 | 290 | 66 | 61 | 127 | 91 | 72 | 163 |
| 60-64 | 131 | 117 | 248 | 67 | 66 | 133 | 64 | 51 | 115 |
| 65-69 | 83 | 91 | 174 | 38 | 55 | 93 | 45 | 36 | 81 |
| 70-74 | 84 | 65 | 149 | 57 | 41 | 98 | 27 | 24 | 51 |
| 75-79 | 67 | 45 | 112 | 33 | 18 | 51 | 34 | 27 | 61 |
| 80-84 | 33 | 31 | 64 | 17 | 17 | 34 | 16 | 14 | 30 |
| 85+ | 16 | 32 | 48 | 11 | 21 | 32 | 5 | 11 | 16 |
|  | 7885 | 7506 | 15391 | 3736 | 3651 | 7387 | 4149 | 3855 | 8004 |
| On-Reserve |  |  | Off-Reserve |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female |  |  |  |  |
| $<1$ | 146 | 141 | 287 | 41 | 35 | 76 |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | 558 | 543 | 1101 | 254 | 222 | 476 |  |  |  |
| 5-9 | 694 | 656 | 1350 | 357 | 296 | 653 |  |  |  |
| 10-14 | 683 | 692 | 1375 | 340 | 360 | 700 |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 730 | 707 | 1437 | 361 | 322 | 683 |  |  |  |
| 20-24 | 638 | 615 | 1253 | 246 | 251 | 497 |  |  |  |
| 25-29 | 474 | 400 | 874 | 161 | 217 | 378 |  |  |  |
| 30-34 | 347 | 278 | 625 | 136 | 202 | 338 |  |  |  |
| 35-39 | 265 | 203 | 468 | 131 | 169 | 300 |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 214 | 180 | 394 | 98 | 103 | 201 |  |  |  |
| 45-49 | 167 | 137 | 304 | 75 | 77 | 152 |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 157 | 122 | 279 | 41 | 64 | 105 |  |  |  |
| 55-59 | 111 | 95 | 206 | 46 | 38 | 84 |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 99 | 102 | 201 | 32 | 15 | 47 |  |  |  |
| 65-69 | 64 | 74 | 138 | 19 | 17 | 36 |  |  |  |
| 70-74 | 70 | 53 | 123 | 14 | 12 | 26 |  |  |  |
| 75-79 | 56 | 36 | 92 | 11 | 9 | 20 |  |  |  |
| 80-84 | 24 | 24 | 48 | 9 | 7 | 16 |  |  |  |
| 85+ | 12 | 21 | 33 | 4 | 11 | 15 |  |  |  |
|  | 5509 | 5079 | 10588 | 2376 | 2427 | 4803 |  |  |  |

1B. End-Year Adjusted Population, 1979.

|  | Total |  |  |  | North |  |  | South |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |
|  | <1 | 206 | 189 | 395 | 92 | 94 | 186 | 114 | 95 | 209 |
|  | 1-4 | 845 | 767 | 1612 | 431 | 394 | 825 | 413 | 373 | 786 |
|  | 5-9 | 1044 | 1037 | 2081 | 533 | 518 | 1051 | 514 | 519 | 1033 |
|  | 10-14 | 1078 | 1040 | 2118 | 494 | 504 | 998 | 584 | 536 | 1120 |
|  | 15-19 | 957 | 909 | 1866 | 420 | 428 | 848 | 537 | 481 | 1018 |
|  | 20-24 | 691 | 636 | 1327 | 321 | 306 | 627 | 370 | 330 | 700 |
|  | 25-29 | 522 | 510 | 1032 | 242 | 230 | 472 | 280 | 280 | 560 |
|  | 30-34 | 409 | 386 | 795 | 194 | 181 | 375 | 215 | 205 | 420 |
|  | 35-39 | 330 | 301 | 631 | 153 | 132 | 285 | 177 | 169 | 346 |
|  | 40-44 | 263 | 235 | 498 | 116 | 119 | 235 | 147 | 116 | 263 |
|  | 45-49 | 223 | 188 | 411 | 98 | 92 | 190 | 125 | 96 | 221 |
|  | 50-54 | 160 | 155 | 315 | 65 | 66 | 131 | 95 | 89 | 184 |
|  | 55-59 | 148 | 132 | 280 | 77 | 71 | 148 | 71 | 61 | 132 |
|  | 60-64 | 106 | 98 | 204 | 48 | 58 | 106 | 58 | 40 | 98 |
|  | 65-69 | 98 | 73 | 171 | 58 | 41 | 99 | 40 | 32 | 72 |
|  | 70-74 | 90 | 69 | 159 | 50 | 37 | 87 | 40 | 32 | 72 |
|  | 75-79 | 51 | 38 | 89 | 26 | 19 | 45 | 25 | 19 | 44 |
|  | 80-84 | 28 | 29 | 57 | 18 | 15 | 33 | 10 | 14 | 24 |
|  | 85+ | 16 | 30 | 46 | 7 | 19 | 26 | 9 | 11 | 20 |
| $\underset{f}{8}$ |  | 7265 | 6822 | 14087 | 3443 | 3324 | 6767 | 3824 | 3498 | 7322 |
|  | On-Reserve |  |  |  | Off-Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |  |  |  |
|  | $<1$ | 146 | 136 | 282 | 66 | 61 | 127 |  |  |  |
|  | 1-4 | 584 | 532 | 1116 | 280 | 247 | 527 |  |  |  |
|  | 5-9 | 713 | 703 | 1416 | 343 | 348 | 691 |  |  |  |
|  | 10-14 | 697 | 708 | 1405 | 381 | 332 | 713 |  |  |  |
|  | 15-19 | 685 | 668 | 1353 | 272 | 241 | 513 |  |  |  |
|  | 20-24 | 542 | 469 | 1011 | 149 | 167 | 316 |  |  |  |
|  | 25-29 | 384 | 307 | 691 | 138 | 203 | 341 |  |  |  |
|  | 30-34 | 268 | 215 | 483 | 141 | 171 | 312 |  |  |  |
|  | 35-39 | 221 | 179 | 400 | 109 | 122 | 231 |  |  |  |
|  | 40-44 | 171 | 151 | 322 | 92 | 84 | 176 |  |  |  |
|  | 45-49 | 163 | 125 | 288 | 60 | 63 | 123 |  |  |  |
|  | 50-54 | 119 | 111 | 230 | 41 | 44 | 85 |  |  |  |
|  | 55-59 | 105 | 111 | 216 | 43 | 21 | 64 |  |  |  |
|  | 60-64 | 80 | 79 | 159 | 26 | 19 | 45 |  |  |  |
|  | 65-69 | 79 | 63 | 142 | 19 | 10 | 29 |  |  |  |
|  | 70-74 | 76 | 57 | 133 | 14 | 12 | 26 |  |  |  |
|  | 75-79 | 39 | 30 | 69 | 12 | 8 | 20 |  |  |  |
|  | 80-84 | 25 | 24 | 49 | 3 | 5 | 8 |  |  |  |
|  | $85+$ | 13 | 18 | 31 | 3 | 12 | 15 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5110 | 4686 | 9796 | 2192 | 2170 | 4362 |  |  |  |

2B. End-Year Adjusted Population, 1980.


3B. End-Year Adjusted Population, 1981.


4B. End-Year Adjusted Population, 1982.

| Total |  |  |  | North |  |  | South |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |
| $<1$ | 200 | 194 | 394 | 96 | 83 | 179 | 104 | 111 | 215 |
| 1-4 | 816 | 770 | 1586 | 388 | 392 | 780 | 428 | 378 | 806 |
| 5-9 | 1053 | 947 | 2000 | 549 | 488 | 1037 | 505 | 459 | 964 |
| 10-14 | 1014 | 1075 | 2089 | 478 | 529 | 1007 | 537 | 546 | 1083 |
| 15-19 | 1099 | 998 | 2097 | 498 | 467 | 965 | 601 | 531 | 1132 |
| 20-24 | 823 | 797 | 1620 | 365 | 372 | 737 | 458 | 425 | 883 |
| 25-29 | 601 | 592 | 1193 | 291 | 280 | 571 | 310 | 312 | 622 |
| 30-34 | 466 | 438 | 904 | 218 | 201 | 419 | 248 | 237 | 485 |
| 35-39 | 373 | 349 | 722 | 165 | 165 | 330 | 208 | 214 | 422 |
| 40-44 | 304 | 271 | 575 | 147 | 123 | 270 | 157 | 148 | 305 |
| 45-49 | 231 | 204 | 435 | 104 | 103 | 207 | 127 | 101 | 228 |
| 50-54 | 188 | 181 | 369 | 77 | 85 | 162 | 111 | 96 | 207 |
| 55-59 | 160 | 129 | 289 | 73 | 65 | 138 | 87 | 64 | 151 |
| 60-64 | 123 | 113 | 236 | 61 | 55 | 116 | 62 | 58 | 120 |
| 65-69 | 89 | 88 | 177 | 47 | 57 | 104 | 42 | 31 | 73 |
| 70.74 | 84 | 70 | 154 | 50 | 41 | 91 | 34 | 29 | 63 |
| 75-79 | 63 | 45 | 108 | 37 | 23 | 60 | 26 | 22 | 48 |
| 80-84 | 37 | 34 | 71 | 17 | 17 | 34 | 20 | 18 | 38 |
| 85+ | 13 | 32 | 45 | 8 | 22 | 30 | 5 | 10 | 15 |
|  | 7737 | 7327 | 15064 | 3669 | 3568 | 7237 | 4070 | 3790 | 7860 |
|  | On-Reserve | Off-Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |  |  |  |
| $<1$ | 138 | 141 | 279 | 62 | 53 | 115 |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | 572 | 551 | 1123 | 254 | 232 | 486 |  |  |  |
| 5-9 | 719 | 652 | 1371 | 356 | 309 | 665 |  |  |  |
| 10-14 | 668 | 733 | 1401 | 351 | 349 | 700 |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 742 | 687 | 1429 | 357 | 311 | 668 |  |  |  |
| 20-24 | 610 | 600 | 1210 | 213 | 197 | 410 |  |  |  |
| 25-29 | 460 | 398 | 858 | 141 | 194 | 335 |  |  |  |
| 30-34 | 336 | 257 | 593 | 134 | 181 | 315 |  |  |  |
| 35-39 | 249 | 195 | 444 | 116 | 154 | 270 |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 198 | 162 | 360 | 98 | 109 | 207 |  |  |  |
| 45-49 | 154 | 137 | 291 | 72 | 67 | 139 |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 150 | 121 | 271 | 36 | 60 | 96 |  |  |  |
| 55-59 | 108 | 100 | 208 | 49 | 29 | 78 |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 90 | 94 | 184 | 33 | 19 | 52 |  |  |  |
| 65-69 | 65 | 73 | 138 | 18 | 15 | 33 |  |  |  |
| 70-74 | 70 | 60 | 130 | 14 | 10 | 24 |  |  |  |
| 75-79 | 53 | 36 | 89 | 10 | 9 | 19 |  |  |  |
| 80-84 | 27 | 25 | 52 | 10 | 9 | 19 |  |  |  |
| $85+$ | 10 | 17 | 27 | 3 | 11 | 14 |  |  |  |
|  | 5419 | 5039 | 10458 | 2327 | 2318 | 4645 |  |  |  |

5B. End-Year Adjusted Population, 1983.

| Total |  |  |  | North |  |  | South |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |
| $<1$ | 187 | 176 | 363 | 99 | 97 | 196 | 88 | 79 | 167 |
| 1-4 | 812 | 765 | 1577 | 378 | 368 | 746 | 434 | 397 | 831 |
| $5-9$ | 1052 | 953 | 2005 | 538 | 500 | 1038 | 514 | 453 | 967 |
| 10-14 | 1022 | 1053 | 2075 | 515 | 516 | 1031 | 509 | 537 | 1046 |
| 15-19 | 1082 | 1024 | 2106 | 479 | 483 | 962 | 603 | 541 | 1144 |
| 20-24 | 885 | 853 | 1738 | 400 | 408 | 808 | 485 | 445 | 930 |
| 25-29 | 642 | 612 | 1254 | 298 | 283 | 581 | 344 | 329 | 673 |
| 30-34 | 476 | 469 | 945 | 222 | 219 | 441 | 254 | 250 | 504 |
| 35.39 | 395 | 370 | 765 | 175 | 168 | 343 | 220 | 232 | 452 |
| 40-44 | 309 | 286 | 595 | 153 | 131 | 284 | 156 | 155 | 311 |
| 45-49 | 238 | 210 | 448 | 105 | 111 | 216 | 133 | 99 | 232 |
| 50-54 | 202 | 188 | 390 | 93 | 83 | 176 | 109 | 105 | 214 |
| 55-59 | 152 | 135 | 287 | 64 | 62 | 126 | 88 | 73 | 161 |
| 60-64 | 136 | 116 | 252 | 68 | 66 | 134 | 68 | 51 | 119 |
| 65.69 | 84 | 90 | 174 | 39 | 55 | 94 | 45 | 35 | 80 |
| 70-74 | 87 | 70 | 157 | 57 | 43 | 100 | 30 | 27 | 57 |
| 75-79 | 72 | 49 | 121 | 38 | 21 | 59 | 34 | 28 | 62 |
| 80-84 | 32 | 31 | 63 | 8 | 14 | 22 | 15 | 18 | 33 |
| 85+ | 15 | 29 | 44 | 9 | 22 | 31 | 6 | 7 | 13 |
|  | 7880 | 7479 | 15359 | 3738 | 3650 | 7388 | 4135 | 3861 | 7996 |
| On-Reserve |  |  |  | Off-Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |  |  |  |
| $<1$ | 146 | 141 | 287 | 41 | 35 | 76 |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | 564 | 551 | 1115 | 254 | 222 | 476 |  |  |  |
| 5-9 | 719 | 673 | 1392 | 357 | 296 | 653 |  |  |  |
| 10-14 | 689 | 699 | 1388 | 340 | 364 | 704 |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 716 | 697 | 1413 | 366 | 327 | 693 |  |  |  |
| 20-24 | 642 | 643 | 1285 | 246 | 210 | 456 |  |  |  |
| 25-29 | 506 | 432 | 938 | 136 | 180 | 316 |  |  |  |
| 30-34 | 342 | 277 | 619 | 134 | 192 | 326 |  |  |  |
| 35-39 | 256 | 204 | 460 | 139 | 166 | 305 |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 200 | 173 | 373 | 109 | 113 | 222 |  |  |  |
| 45-49 | 163 | 138 | 301 | 75 | 72 | 147 |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 154 | 127 | 281 | 48 | 61 | 109 |  |  |  |
| 55-59 | 106 | 96 | 202 | 46 | 39 | 85 |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 99 | 102 | 201 | 37 | 14 | 51 |  |  |  |
| 65-69 | 61 | 70 | 131 | 23 | 20 | 43 |  |  |  |
| 70-74 | 72 | 61 | 133 | 15 | 9 | 24 |  |  |  |
| 75-79 | 59 | 38 | 97 | 13 | 11 | 24 |  |  |  |
| 80-84 | 22 | 26 | 48 | 10 | 6 | 16 |  |  |  |
| 85+ | 9 | 12 | 21 | 4 | 13 | $17$ |  |  |  |
|  | 5525 | 5160 | 10685 | 2393 | 2350 | $4743$ |  |  |  |

1C. Mid-Year Unadjusted Population, 1980.

|  | Total |  |  |  | North |  |  | South |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |
|  | <1 | 136 | 133 | 268 | 58 | 72 | 130 | 78 | 61 | 139 |
|  | 1-4 | 792 | 722 | 1514 | 393 | 368 | 761 | 399 | 354 | 753 |
|  | 5-9 | 1035 | 1005 | 2040 | 528 | 509 | 1037 | 508 | 496 | 1004 |
|  | 10-14 | 1064 | 1051 | 2115 | 492 | 515 | 1007 | 572 | 536 | 1108 |
|  | 15-19 | 988 | 923 | 1911 | 433 | 427 | 860 | 555 | 496 | 1051 |
|  | 20-24 | 717 | 664 | 1380 | 323 | 320 | 643 | 394 | 344 | 738 |
|  | 25-29 | 528 | 521 | 1049 | 245 | 236 | 481 | 283 | 286 | 568 |
|  | 30-34 | 421 | 397 | 818 | 201 | 186 | 387 | 220 | 212 | 432 |
|  | 35-39 | 334 | 311 | 645 | 156 | 136 | 292 | 178 | 175 | 353 |
|  | 40-44 | 279 | 236 | 514 | 127 | 120 | 247 | 152 | 116 | 268 |
|  | 45-49 | 227 | 195 | 422 | 98 | 95 | 192 | 130 | 100 | 230 |
|  | 50-54 | 160 | 152 | 312 | 66 | 68 | 134 | 94 | 85 | 179 |
|  | 55-59 | 147 | 133 | 280 | 75 | 67 | 142 | 72 | 66 | 138 |
|  | 60-64 | 110 | 101 | 211 | 51 | 60 | 111 | 59 | 41 | 100 |
|  | 65-69 | 93 | 75 | 168 | 54 | 45 | 99 | 39 | 31 | 69 |
|  | 70-74 | 91 | 73 | 164 | 50 | 39 | 89 | 41 | 34 | 75 |
|  | 75-79 | 52 | 37 | 89 | 28 | 19 | 47 | 24 | 18 | 42 |
|  | 80-84 | 30 | 31 | 61 | 18 | 15 | 33 | 13 | 16 | 29 |
|  | 85+ | 16 | 30 | 46 | 8 | 19 | 27 | 8 | 11 | 19 |
| $\bigcirc$ |  | 7220 | 6790 | 14007 | 3404 | 3312 | 6719 | 3819 | 3478 | 7295 |
|  | On-Reserve |  |  |  | Off-Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |  |  |  |
|  | $<1$ | 104 | 99 | 202 | 32 | 34 | 66 |  |  |  |
|  | $1-4$ | 578 | 525 | 1102 | 214 | 198 | 412 |  |  |  |
|  | 5-9 | 698 | 683 | 1381 | 337 | 322 | 659 |  |  |  |
|  | 10-14 | 695 | 716 | 1411 | 369 | 335 | 704 |  |  |  |
|  | 15-19 | 706 | 676 | 1382 | 282 | 247 | 529 |  |  |  |
|  | 20-24 | 548 | 489 | 1037 | 169 | 175 | 343 |  |  |  |
|  | 25-29 | 391 | 317 | 708 | 137 | 205 | 341 |  |  |  |
|  | 30-34 | 281 | 226 | 507 | 140 | 172 | 312 |  |  |  |
|  | 35-39 | 228 | 186 | 413 | 107 | 126 | 232 |  |  |  |
|  | 40-44 | 181 | 153 | 334 | 98 | 83 | 181 |  |  |  |
|  | 45-49 | 167 | 129 | 296 | 60 | 66 | 126 |  |  |  |
|  | 50-54 | 120 | 108 | 228 | 40 | 44 | 84 |  |  |  |
|  | 55-59 | 105 | 109 | 213 | 43 | 24 | 67 |  |  |  |
|  | 60-64 | 83 | 83 | 166 | 28 | 18 | 45 |  |  |  |
|  | 65-69 | 74 | 64 | 137 | 19 | 12 | 31 |  |  |  |
|  | 70-74 | 76 | 60 | 136 | 15 | 13 | 28 |  |  |  |
|  | 75-79 | 43 | 30 | 73 | 10 | 7 | 16 |  |  |  |
|  | 80-84 | 26 | 24 | 50 | 5 | 7 | 12 |  |  |  |
|  | $85+$ | 13 | 19 | 31 | 3 | 12 | 15 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5117 | 4696 | 9807 | 2108 | 2100 | 4203 |  |  |  |

2C. Mid-Year Unadjusted Population, 1981.

| Total |  |  |  | North |  |  | South |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |
| <1 | 148 | 144 | 292 | 69 | 75 | 144 | 79 | 69 | 148 |
| 1-4 | 806 | 750 | 1555 | 391 | 384 | 775 | 415 | 366 | 781 |
| 5-9 | 1045 | 974 | 2019 | 539 | 494 | 1033 | 507 | 480 | 986 |
| 10-14 | 1046 | 1073 | 2118 | 490 | 531 | 1021 | 556 | 542 | 1098 |
| 15-19 | 1031 | 948 | 1979 | 455 | 431 | 886 | 576 | 518 | 1093 |
| 20-24 | 766 | 726 | 1492 | 334 | 349 | 683 | 432 | 377 | 809 |
| 25-29 | 551 | 551 | 1102 | 257 | 251 | 507 | 294 | 301 | 595 |
| 30-34 | 442 | 416 | 857 | 216 | 196 | 411 | 226 | 220 | 446 |
| 35-39 | 348 | 326 | 674 | 159 | 142 | 301 | 190 | 184 | 373 |
| 40-44 | 297 | 251 | 547 | 142 | 126 | 267 | 155 | 125 | 280 |
| 45-49 | 231 | 199 | 430 | 99 | 96 | 194 | 133 | 103 | 236 |
| 50-54 | 168 | 156 | 324 | 70 | 75 | 144 | 99 | 81 | 180 |
| 55-59 | 151 | 133 | 284 | 75 | 61 | 136 | 76 | 72 | 148 |
| 60-64 | 114 | 106 | 220 | 55 | 62 | 116 | 60 | 45 | 104 |
| 65-69 | 84 | 85 | 168 | 48 | 53 | 101 | 36 | 32 | 68 |
| 70-74 | 92 | 74 | 166 | 51 | 41 | 92 | 41 | 33 | 74 |
| 75-79 | 54 | 37 | 91 | 29 | 20 | 49 | 25 | 18 | 43 |
| 80-84 | 34 | 32 | 66 | 18 | 15 | 33 | 16 | 18 | 33 |
| 85+ | 16 | 31 | 47 | 10 | 20 | 29 | 6 | 12 | 18 |
|  | 7424 | 7012 | 14431 | 3507 | 3422 | 6922 | 3922 | 3596 | 7513 |
| On-Reserve |  |  |  | Off-Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |  |  |  |
| $<1$ | 108 | 110 | 217 | 40 | 35 | 75 |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | 591 | 538 | 1129 | 215 | 212 | 426 |  |  |  |
| 5-9 | 698 | 669 | 1366 | 348 | 305 | 653 |  |  |  |
| 10-14 | 690 | 730 | 1419 | 356 | 343 | 699 |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 726 | 682 | 1407 | 305 | 267 | 572 |  |  |  |
| 20-24 | 570 | 532 | 1102 | 196 | 194 | 390 |  |  |  |
| 25-29 | 405 | 346 | 751 | 146 | 205 | 351 |  |  |  |
| 30-34 | 303 | 241 | 543 | 139 | 175 | 314 |  |  |  |
| 35-39 | 244 | 192 | 436 | 104 | 134 | 238 |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 195 | 157 | 352 | 102 | 94 | 196 |  |  |  |
| 45-49 | 166 | 131 | 297 | 65 | 68 | 133 |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 129 | 110 | 239 | 39 | 46 | 85 |  |  |  |
| 55-59 | 107 | 104 | 210 | 45 | 30 | 74 |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 86 | 91 | 177 | 28 | 16 | 44 |  |  |  |
| 65-69 | 64 | 71 | 135 | 20 | 14 | 33 |  |  |  |
| 70-74 | 77 | 61 | 138 | 15 | 13 | 28 |  |  |  |
| 75-79 | 46 | 31 | 77 | 9 | 6 | 15 |  |  |  |
| 80-84 | 27 | 24 | 51 | 7 | 9 | 15 |  |  |  |
| 85+ | 13 | 21 | 33 | 3 | 11 | 14 |  |  |  |
|  | 5245 | 4841 | 10079 | 2182 | 2177 | 4355 |  |  |  |

3C. Mid-Year Unadjusted Population, 1982.


## 4C. Mid-Year Unadjusted Population, 1983.

|  |  | Total |  |  | North |  |  | South |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |
|  | $<1$ | 170 | 160 | 330 | 90 | 82 | 172 | 80 | 78 | 158 |
|  | 1-4 | 805 | 760 | 1565 | 379 | 378 | 757 | 427 | 382 | 809 |
|  | 5-9 | 1047 | 946 | 1993 | 538 | 493 | 1031 | 509 | 453 | 962 |
|  | 10-14 | 1022 | 1063 | 2085 | 497 | 522 | 1019 | 525 | 542 | 1067 |
|  | 15-19 | 1099 | 1017 | 2116 | 491 | 473 | 964 | 608 | 544 | 1152 |
|  | 20-24 | 854 | 835 | 1689 | 381 | 388 | 769 | 473 | 447 | 920 |
|  | 25-29 | 618 | 609 | 1227 | 289 | 281 | 570 | 330 | 329 | 659 |
|  | 30-34 | 476 | 463 | 939 | 223 | 216 | 439 | 254 | 247 | 501 |
|  | 35-39 | 387 | 361 | 748 | 172 | 169 | 341 | 215 | 192 | 407 |
|  | 40-44 | 309 | 277 | 586 | 152 | 127 | 279 | 157 | 150 | 307 |
|  | 45-49 | 238 | 210 | 448 | 105 | 107 | 212 | 133 | 104 | 237 |
|  | 50-54 | 192 | 183 | 375 | 84 | 85 | 169 | 108 | 98 | 206 |
|  | 55-59 | 161 | 131 | 292 | 71 | 63 | 134 | 90 | 68 | 158 |
|  | 60-64 | 125 | 114 | 239 | 64 | 60 | 124 | 61 | 54 | 115 |
|  | 65-69 | 84 | 92 | 176 | 41 | 57 | 98 | 43 | 36 | 79 |
|  | 70-74 | 85 | 66 | 151 | 56 | 40 | 96 | 29 | 26 | 55 |
|  | 75-79 | 65 | 44 | 109 | 33 | 20 | 53 | 32 | 24 | 56 |
|  | 80-84 | 35 | 32 | 67 | 17 | 17 | 34 | 19 | 15 | 34 |
| $\cdots$ | 85+ | 15 | 33 | 48 | 10 | 21 | 31 | 5 | 12 | 17 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ |  | 7781 | 7392 | 15173 | 3687 | 3596 | 7283 | 4094 | 3796 | 7890 |
|  |  | -Rese |  |  | f-Rese |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |  |  |  |
|  | $<1$ | 128 | 125 | $253$ | 42 | 35 | 77 |  |  |  |
|  | 1-4 | 556 | 535 | 1091 | 249 | 225 | 474 |  |  |  |
|  | 5-9 | 694 | 647 | 1341 | 353 | 299 | 652 |  |  |  |
|  | 10-14 | 673 | 710 | 1383 | 349 | 354 | 703 |  |  |  |
|  | 15-19 | 747 | 699 | 1446 | 352 | 319 | 671 |  |  |  |
|  | 20-24 | 615 | 591 | 1206 | 239 | 244 | 483 |  |  |  |
|  | 25-29 | 457 | 384 | 841 | 162 | 225 | 387 |  |  |  |
|  | 30-34 | 341 | 266 | 607 | 135 | 197 | 332 |  |  |  |
|  | 35-39 | 263 | 200 | 463 | 124 | 161 | 285 |  |  |  |
|  | 40-44 | 211 | 173 | 384 | 98 | 104 | 202 |  |  |  |
|  | 45-49 | 164 | 137 | 301 | 74 | 73 | 147 |  |  |  |
|  | 50-54 | 153 | 120 | 273 | 39 | 64 | 103 |  |  |  |
|  | 55-59 | 113 | 96 | 209 | 48 | 35 | 83 |  |  |  |
|  | 60-64 | 92 | 99 | 191 | 33 | 15 | 48 |  |  |  |
|  | 65-69 | 66 | 76 | 142 | 18 | 16 | 34 |  |  |  |
|  | 70-74 | 71 | 53 | 124 | 14 | 13 | 27 |  |  |  |
|  | 75-79 | 56 | 35 | 91 | 10 | 9 | 19 |  |  |  |
|  | 80-84 | 26 | 24 | 50 | 10 | 8 | 18 |  |  |  |
|  | 85+ | 11 | 22 | 33 | 4 | 11 | 15 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5434 | 4990 | 10424 | 2347 | 2402 | 4749 |  |  |  |

1D. Mid-Year Adjusted Population, 1980.

| Age | Total |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Female |  |
| $<1$ | 204 | 195 | 399 |
| 1-4 | 845 | 769 | 1614 |
| 5-9 | 1043 | 1021 | 2064 |
| 10-14 | 1064 | 1050 | 2114 |
| 15-19 | 986 | 924 | 1910 |
| 20-24 | 717 | 664 | 1381 |
| 25-29 | 528 | 519 | 1046 |
| 30-34 | 421 | 398 | 819 |
| 35-39 | 334 | 312 | 646 |
| 40-44 | 278 | 236 | 514 |
| 45-49 | 228 | 195 | 422 |
| 50-54 | 160 | 153 | 312 |
| 55-59 | 148 | 132 | 280 |
| 60-64 | 110 | 101 | 210 |
| 65-69 | 96 | 75 | 171 |
| 70-74 | 91 | 74 | 164 |
| 75-79 | 52 | 36 | 87 |
| 80-84 | 32 | 34 | 65 |
| 85+ | 17 | 30 | 47 |
|  | 7354 | 6918 | 14265 |


| North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males | Female | Total |
| 92 | 97 | 189 |
| 425 | 391 | 816 |
| 535 | 516 | 1050 |
| 493 | 516 | 1008 |
| 433 | 427 | 860 |
| 324 | 323 | 646 |
| 247 | 234 | 481 |
| 201 | 186 | 387 |
| 156 | 136 | 292 |
| 127 | 120 | 247 |
| 98 | 95 | 193 |
| 66 | 67 | 133 |
| 76 | 67 | 143 |
| 51 | 60 | 111 |
| 55 | 45 | 99 |
| 50 | 40 | 90 |
| 28 | 19 | 47 |
| 19 | 16 | 35 |
| 8 | 20 | 27 |
| 3484 | 3375 | 6854 |


| South |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males | Female | Total |
| 112 | 98 | 210 |
| 419 | 379 | 798 |
| 512 | 506 | 1017 |
| 572 | 535 | 1106 |
| 553 | 497 | 1050 |
| 393 | 342 | 735 |
| 281 | 285 | 566 |
| 220 | 213 | 433 |
| 178 | 176 | 354 |
| 151 | 117 | 268 |
| 130 | 100 | 230 |
| 94 | 86 | 180 |
| 73 | 65 | 138 |
| 59 | 41 | 100 |
| 42 | 31 | 72 |
| 41 | 34 | 74 |
| 24 | 17 | 41 |
| 13 | 18 | 30 |
| 9 | 11 | 20 |
| 3876 | 3551 | 7422 |


|  |  | Re |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |
| $<1$ | 146 | 140 | 286 | 61 | 60 | 120 |
| 1-4 | 590 | 537 | 1126 | 276 | 247 | 523 |
| 5-9 | 706 | 692 | 1398 | 350 | 345 | 695 |
| 10-14 | 692 | 716 | 1408 | 374 | 334 | 708 |
| 15-19 | 704 | 672 | 1375 | 283 | 252 | 535 |
| 20-24 | 554 | 493 | 1047 | 163 | 172 | 334 |
| 25-29 | 391 | 316 | 707 | 137 | 203 | 340 |
| 30-34 | 277 | 226 | 503 | 144 | 173 | 317 |
| 35-39 | 226 | 182 | 407 | 108 | 131 | 239 |
| 40-44 | 180 | 153 | 332 | 99 | 84 | 182 |
| 45-49 | 166 | 128 | 294 | 62 | 67 | 128 |
| 50-54 | 120 | 109 | 229 | 40 | 44 | 84 |
| 55-59 | 105 | 109 | 214 | 43 | 23 | 66 |
| 60-64 | 82 | 83 | 165 | 28 | 18 | 46 |
| 65-69 | 77 | 63 | 140 | 20 | 12 | 32 |
| 70-74 | 76 | 61 | 137 | 15 | 13 | 28 |
| 75-79 | 41 | 30 | 71 | 11 | 6 | 17 |
| 80-84 | 26 | 27 | 53 | 6 | 7 | 13 |
| $85+$ | 14 | 19 | 32 | 3 | 12 | 15 |
|  | 5173 | 4756 | 9924 | 2223 | 2203 | 4422 |

2D. Mid-Year Adjusted Population, 1981.

|  |  | Total |  |  | North |  |  | South |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |
|  | $<1$ | 205 | 192 | 397 | 96 | 96 | 192 | 109 | 96 | 205 |
|  | 1-4 | 833 | 778 | 1610 | 405 | 394 | 799 | 427 | 384 | 811 |
|  | 5-9 | 1054 | 983 | 2037 | 546 | 501 | 1047 | 510 | 483 | 993 |
|  | 10-14 | 1042 | 1072 | 2113 | 489 | 533 | 1022 | 553 | 539 | 1092 |
|  | 15-19 | 1026 | 946 | 1972 | 455 | 433 | 887 | 572 | 513 | 1085 |
|  | 20-24 | 767 | 727 | 1493 | 336 | 352 | 688 | 431 | 375 | 805 |
|  | 25-29 | 550 | 540 | 1090 | 259 | 246 | 505 | 291 | 294 | 585 |
|  | 30-34 | 441 | 415 | 856 | 216 | 194 | 409 | 226 | 237 | 462 |
|  | 35-39 | 347 | 326 | 673 | 158 | 142 | 300 | 191 | 185 | 375 |
|  | 40-44 | 295 | 252 | 547 | 141 | 126 | 267 | 155 | 126 | 281 |
|  | 45-49 | 232 | 199 | 431 | 99 | 96 | 195 | 134 | 103 | 237 |
|  | 50-54 | 168 | 156 | 324 | 70 | 74 | 143 | 99 | 82 | 181 |
|  | 55.59 | 153 | 134 | 286 | 76 | 62 | 137 | 78 | 72 | 150 |
|  | 60-64 | 114 | 107 | 220 | 54 | 62 | 116 | 62 | 45 | 106 |
|  | 65-69 | 90 | 83 | 172 | 50 | 52 | 102 | 40 | 31 | 71 |
|  | 70-74 | 92 | 76 | 168 | 51 | 44 | 95 | 41 | 33 | 74 |
|  | 75-79 | 55 | 36 | 91 | 30 | 20 | 50 | 25 | 16 | 41 |
|  | 80-84 | 33 | 36 | 69 | 18 | 16 | 34 | 15 | 20 | 35 |
|  | 85+ | 15 | 30 | 45 | 9 | 20 | 29 | 7 | 10 | 16 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{A}$ |  | 7512 | 7088 | 14594 | 3558 | 3463 | 7017 | 3966 | 3644 | 7605 |
|  |  | -Rese |  |  | f-Rese |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |  |  |  |
|  | $<1$ | 142 | 138 | 280 | 63 | 54 | 117 |  |  |  |
|  | 1-4 | 595 | 546 | 1141 | 255 | 248 | 503 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 703 | 671 | 1374 | 367 | 330 | 697 |  |  |  |
|  | 10-14 | 683 | 730 | 1413 | 362 | 342 | 703 |  |  |  |
|  | 15-19 | 721 | 672 | 1393 | 305 | 274 | 579 |  |  |  |
|  | 20-24 | 583 | 545 | 1128 | 184 | 182 | 366 |  |  |  |
|  | 25-29 | 411 | 343 | 754 | 140 | 197 | 336 |  |  |  |
|  | 30-34 | 296 | 241 | 537 | 146 | 174 | 320 |  |  |  |
|  | 35-39 | 239 | 183 | 422 | 109 | 143 | 252 |  |  |  |
|  | 40-44 | 191 | 156 | 347 | 104 | 96 | 200 |  |  |  |
|  | 45-49 | 164 | 131 | 295 | 68 | 68 | 136 |  |  |  |
|  | 50-54 | 129 | 111 | 240 | 40 | 45 | 84 |  |  |  |
|  | 55-59 | 107 | 105 | 212 | 46 | 29 | 75 |  |  |  |
|  | 60-64 | 85 | 90 | 175 | 29 | 17 | 45 |  |  |  |
|  | 65-69 | 70 | 68 | 138 | 20 | 15 | 35 |  |  |  |
|  | 70.74 | 78 | 64 | 142 | 15 | 12 | 27 |  |  |  |
|  | 75-79 | 44 | 31 | 75 | 11 | 5 | 16 |  |  |  |
|  | 80-84 | 25 | 27 | 51 | 9 | 10 | 18 |  |  |  |
|  | $85+$ | 13 | 19 | 32 | 2 | 11 | 13 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5279 | 4871 | 10149 | 2275 | 2252 | 4522 |  |  |  |

3D. Mid-Year Adjusted Population, 1982.


## 4D. Mid-Year Adjusted Population, 1983.

| Total |  |  |  | North |  |  | South |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total | Males | Female | Total |
| <1 | 194 | 185 | 379 | 98 | 90 | 188 | 96 | 95 | 191 |
| 1-4 | 814 | 768 | 1582 | 383 | 380 | 763 | 431 | 388 | 819 |
| 5-9 | 1053 | 950 | 2003 | 544 | 494 | 1038 | 510 | 456 | 966 |
| 10-14 | 1018 | 1064 | 2082 | 497 | 523 | 1020 | 523 | 542 | 1065 |
| 15-19 | 1091 | 1011 | 2102 | 489 | 475 | 964 | 602 | 536 | 1138 |
| 20-24 | 854 | 825 | 1679 | 383 | 390 | 773 | 472 | 435 | 907 |
| 25-29 | 622 | 602 | 1224 | 295 | 282 | 577 | 327 | 321 | 648 |
| 30-34 | 471 | 454 | 925 | 220 | 210 | 430 | 251 | 244 | 495 |
| 35-39 | 384 | 360 | 744 | 170 | 167 | 337 | 214 | 223 | 437 |
| 40-44 | 307 | 279 | 586 | 150 | 127 | 277 | 157 | 152 | 309 |
| 45-49 | 235 | 207 | 442 | 105 | 107 | 212 | 130 | 100 | 230 |
| 50-54 | 195 | 185 | 380 | 85 | 84 | 169 | 110 | 101 | 211 |
| 55-59 | 156 | 132 | 288 | 69 | 64 | 133 | 88 | 69 | 157 |
| 60-64 | 130 | 115 | 245 | 65 | 61 | 126 | 65 | 55 | 120 |
| 65-69 | 87 | 89 | 176 | 43 | 56 | 99 | 44 | 33 | 77 |
| 70-74 | 86 | 70 | 156 | 54 | 42 | 96 | 32 | 28 | 60 |
| 75-79 | 68 | 47 | 115 | 38 | 22 | 60 | 30 | 25 | 55 |
| 80-84 | 35 | 33 | 68 | 13 | 16 | 29 | 18 | 18 | 36 |
| 85+ | $14$ | 31 | 45 | 9 | 22 | 31 | 6 | 9 | 15 |
|  | $7809$ | $7403$ | 15212 | 3704 | 3609 | 7313 | 4103 | 3826 | 7929 |
|  | On-Reserve |  |  | Off-Reserve <br> Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<1$ Age | $\frac{\text { Males }}{142}$ | $\frac{\text { Female }}{141}$ | $\frac{\text { Total }}{283}$ | $\frac{\text { Males }}{52}$ | $\frac{\text { Female }}{44}$ | $\frac{\text { Total }}{96}$ |  |  |  |
| $<1$ 1.4 | 142 568 | 141 551 | 283 1119 | 52 254 | 44 227 | 96 481 |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | 568 719 | 551 663 | 1119 | 254 357 | 303 | 660 |  |  |  |
| 10-14 | 679 | 716 | 1395 | 346 | 357 | 703 |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 729 | 692 | 1421 | 362 | 319 | 681 |  |  |  |
| 20-24 | 626 | 622 | 1248 | 230 | 204 | 434 |  |  |  |
| 25-29 | 483 | 415 | 898 | 139 | 187 | 326 |  |  |  |
| 30-34 | 339 | 267 | 606 | 134 | 187 | 321 |  |  |  |
| 35-39 | 253 | 200 | 453 | 128 | 160 | 288 |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 199 | 168 | 367 | 104 | 111 | 215 |  |  |  |
| 45-49 | 159 | 138 | 297 | 74 | 70 | 144 |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 152 | 124 | 276 | 42 | 61 | 103 |  |  |  |
| 55-59 | 107 | 98 | 205 | 48 | 34 | 82 |  |  |  |
| 60-64 | 95 | 98 | 193 | 35 | 17 | 52 |  |  |  |
| 65-69 | 63 | 72 | 135 | 21 | 18 | 39 |  |  |  |
| 70-74 | 71 | 61 | 132 | 15 | 10 | 25 |  |  |  |
| 75-79 | 56 | 37 | 93 | 12 | 10 | 22 |  |  |  |
| 80-84 | 25 | 26 | 51 | 10 | 8 | 18 |  |  |  |
| 85+ | 10 | 15 | 25 | 4 | 12 | 16 |  |  |  |
|  | 5472 | 5100 | 10572 | 2360 | 2334 | 4694 |  |  |  |

## Appendix III:

Directly Standardized Mortality Rates with Associated Statistical and Decomposition Calculations.

Appendix IIIA: Mortality Rates and Associated Calculations for Adjusted and Unadjusted Populations. Counts, 1980-1982.

| Total | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | 1982 | 1982* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard Crude | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 |
| Given Crude | 5.50 | 5.40 | 5.34 | 5.28 | 5.20 | 5.17 |
| ASMR: | 12.68 | 12.41 | 12.26 | 12.15 | 11.88 | 11.90 |
| Binomial Variance | 2.621E-06 | $2.5483 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.468 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.458 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.3221 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.3614 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00162 | 0.00160 | 0.00157 | 0.00157 | 0.00152 | 0.00154 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 3.17 | 3.13 | 3.08 | 3.07 | 2.99 | 3.01 |
| Comp. Component | -6.22 | -6.12 | -6.09 | -6.05 | -5.96 | -5.99 |
| Rates Component | 3.69 | 3.49 | 3.39 | 3.30 | 3.14 | 3.13 |
| Overall | -2.53 | -2.63 | -2.69 | -2.75 | -2.82 | -2.86 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -1.69 | -1.75 | -1.79 | -1.83 | -1.90 | -1.92 |
| Male | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | $\underline{1982}$ | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 |
| Given Crude | 10.66 | 10.47 | 10.37 | 10.25 | 10.13 | 10.05 |
| ASMR: | 26.25 | 25.26 | 25.62 | 25.74 | 25.50 | 26.41 |
| Binomial Variance | $9.7137 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $9.2347 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 9.3528E-06 | 9.3801E-06 | $9.1984 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $9.4077 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00312 | 0.00304 | 0.00306 | 0.00306 | 0.00303 | 0.00307 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 6.11 | 5.96 | 5.99 | 6.00 | 5.94 | 6.01 |
| Comp. Component | -10.47 | -10.04 | -10.30 | -10.41 | -10.36 | -10.86 |
| Rates Component | 13.11 | 12.48 | 12.64 | 12.63 | 12.47 | 12.88 |
| Overall | 2.64 | 2.44 | 2.34 | 2.22 | 2.10 | 2.02 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.80 | -0.80 | -0.81 | -0.82 | -0.83 | -0.84 |
| Female | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | $\underline{1982}$ | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 |
| Given Crude | 11.34 | 11.13 | 10.98 | 10.86 | 10.69 | 10.63 |
| ASMR: | 25.82 | 25.34 | 24.79 | 24.49 | 23.69 | 23.51 |
| Binomial Variance | $1.0263 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.0096 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.5765 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $9.4779 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 8.754E-06 | $8.6279 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00320 | 0.00318 | 0.00309 | 0.00308 | 0.00296 | 0.00294 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 6.28 | 6.23 | 6.07 | 6.03 | 5.80 | 5.76 |
| Comp. Component | -9.83 | -9.67 | -9.48 | -9.38 | -9.07 | -9.00 |
| Rates Component | 13.14 | 12.78 | 12.44 | 12.21 | 11.73 | 11.60 |
| Overall | 3.31 | 3.10 | 2.95 | 2.84 | 2.66 | 2.60 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.75 | -0.76 | -0.76 | -0.77 | -0.77 | -0.78 |


| North | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | 1982 | 1982* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard Crude | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 |
| Given Crude | 11.46 | 11.23 | 11.12 | 10.97 | 10.84 | 10.74 |
| ASMR: | 24.08 | 23.62 | 23.14 | 22.72 | 22.43 | 22.10 |
| Binomial Variance | $8.4643 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $8.2674 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $7.9079 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 7.6971E-06 | 7.4793E-06 | 7.3007E-06 |
| Standard Error | 0.00291 | 0.00288 | 0.00281 | 0.00277 | 0.00273 | 0.00270 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 5.70 | 5.64 | 5.51 | 5.44 | 5.36 | 5.30 |
| Comp. Component | -8.81 | -8.67 | -8.49 | -8.34 | -8.27 | -8.15 |
| Rates Component | 12.24 | 11.88 | 11.59 | 11.28 | 11.08 | 10.86 |
| Overall | 3.43 | 3.21 | 3.10 | 2.95 | 2.81 | 2.71 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.72 | -0.73 | -0.73 | -0.74 | -0.75 | -0.75 |
| South | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | $\underline{1982}$ | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 |
| Given Crude | 10.56 | 10.37 | 10.25 | 10.12 | 10.00 | 9.90 |
| ASMR: | 27.18 | 26.47 | 26.72 | 27.10 | 26.17 | 27.33 |
| Binomial Variance | $1.1056 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.0718E-05 | 1.0716E-05 | 1.0929E-05 | $1.0197 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.0728 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00333 | 0.00327 | 0.00327 | 0.00331 | 0.00319 | 0.00328 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 6.52 | 6.42 | 6.42 | 6.48 | 6.26 | 6.42 |
| Comp. Component | -11.07 | -10.77 | -10.99 | -11.24 | -10.84 | -11.49 |
| Rates Component | 13.59 | 13.12 | 13.21 | 13.34 | 12.82 | 13.36 |
| Overall | 2.53 | 2.35 | 2.22 | 2.10 | 1.98 | 1.87 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.81 | -0.82 | -0.83 | -0.84 | -0.85 | -0.86 |
| On-Reserve | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | $\underline{1982}$ | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 |
| Given Crude | 7.85 | 7.76 | 7.64 | 7.59 | 7.53 | 7.43 |
| ASMR: | 16.91 | 16.56 | 16.27 | 16.29 | 15.81 | 16.36 |
| Binomial Variance | 4.4078E-06 | 4.2847E-06 | 4.1086E-06 | 4.1692E-06 | 3.8843E-06 | 4.2371E-06 |
| Standard Error | 0.00210 | 0.00207 | 0.00203 | 0.00204 | 0.00197 | 0.00206 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 4.11 | 4.06 | 3.97 | 4.00 | 3.86 | 4.03 |
| Comp. Component | -7.05 | -6.89 | -6.83 | -6.86 | -6.64 | -7.00 |
| Rates Component | 6.88 | 6.62 | 6.44 | 6.42 | 6.14 | 6.40 |
| Overall | -0.18 | -0.27 | -0.39 | -0.44 | -0.50 | -0.59 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -1.03 | -1.04 | -1.06 | -1.07 | -1.08 | -1.09 |
| Off-Reserve | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | 1982 | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 |
| Given Crude | 18.32 | 17.41 | 17.68 | 17.03 | 16.82 | 16.70 |
| ASMR: | 55.24 | 52.82 | 53.59 | 51.47 | 51.45 | 49.71 |
| Binomial Variance | 4.1233E-05 | $3.8989 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.9766E-05 | $3.7107 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.696 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.5378 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00642 | 0.00624 | 0.00631 | 0.00609 | 0.00608 | 0.00595 |
| 95\% C.L. ( $+/-$ ) | 12.59 | 12.24 | 12.36 | 11.94 | 11.92 | 11.66 |
| Comp. Component | -21.34 | -20.58 | -20.84 | -20.10 | -20.22 | -19.37 |
| Rates Component | 31.64 | 29.96 | 30.50 | 29.10 | 29.01 | 28.05 |
| Overall | 10.29 | 9.39 | 9.65 | 9.00 | 8.80 | 8.67 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.67 | -0.69 | -0.68 | -0.69 | -0.70 | -0.69 |


| North Male | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | 1982 | 1982* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard Crude | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 |
| Given Crude | 22.62 | 22.10 | 21.96 | 21.64 | 21.39 | 21.16 |
| ASMR: | 51.70 | 50.58 | 48.08 | 48.56 | 47.23 | 47.73 |
| Binomial Variance | $2.2041 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.1373 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.4662 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.3081E-05 | $2.4032 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.2194 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00469 | 0.00462 | 0.00497 | 0.00480 | 0.00490 | 0.00471 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 9.20 | 9.06 | 9.73 | 9.42 | 9.61 | 9.23 |
| Comp. Component | -17.10 | -16.78 | -15.60 | -16.00 | -15.45 | -15.83 |
| Rates Component | 31.69 | 30.86 | 29.53 | 29.61 | 28.81 | 28.96 |
| Overall | 14.59 | 14.07 | 13.93 | 13.61 | 13.36 | 13.13 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.54 | -0.54 | -0.53 | -0.54 | -0.54 | -0.55 |
| North Female | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | $\underline{1982}$ | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 |
| Given Crude | 23.22 | 22.81 | 22.50 | 22.24 | 21.94 | 21.77 |
| ASMR: | 48.88 | 47.69 | 46.46 | 45.60 | 44.59 | 43.83 |
| Binomial Variance | $3.1722 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.0935 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.8965 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.8267 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.7002 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.6205 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00563 | 0.00556 | 0.00538 | 0.00532 | 0.00520 | 0.00512 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 11.04 | 10.90 | 10.55 | 10.42 | 10.18 | 10.03 |
| Comp. Component | -15.26 | -14.83 | -14.39 | -14.07 | -13.72 | -13.40 |
| Rates Component | 30.45 | 29.62 | 28.86 | 28.27 | 27.63 | 27.14 |
| Overall | 15.19 | 14.79 | 14.47 | 14.21 | 13.91 | 13.74 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.50 | -0.50 | -0.50 | -0.50 | -0.50 | -0.49 |
| South Male | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | 1982 | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 |
| Given Crude | 20.16 | 19.87 | 19.63 | 19.42 | 19.23 | 19.06 |
| ASMR: | 54.02 | 51.59 | 56.92 | 53.51 | 58.68 | 58.15 |
| Binomial Variance | $2.5915 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.7302 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 9.8048E-06 | 1.9023E-05 | -1.5826E-05 | -1.6372E-05 |
| Standard Error | 0.00509 | 0.00523 | 0.00313 | 0.00436 | - | - |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 9.98 | 10.24 | 6.14 | 8.55 | - | - |
| Comp. Component | -19.70 | -18.59 | -21.44 | -19.80 | -22.52 | -22.32 |
| Rates Component | 31.83 | 30.43 | 33.04 | 31.19 | 33.72 | 33.35 |
| Overall | 12.13 | 11.84 | 11.61 | 11.39 | 11.20 | 11.03 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.62 | -0.61 | -0.65 | -0.63 | -0.67 | -0.67 |
| South Female | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | $\underline{1982}$ | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 |
| Given Crude | 22.14 | 21.68 | 21.41 | 21.13 | 20.82 | 20.56 |
| ASMR: | 56.25 | 55.35 | 53.53 | 55.69 | 52.18 | 52.93 |
| Binomial Variance | $3.8527 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.837 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.6652 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.7508 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.474 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.3735 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00621 | 0.00619 | 0.00605 | 0.00612 | 0.00589 | 0.00581 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 12.17 | 12.14 | 11.87 | 12.00 | 11.55 | 11.38 |
| Comp. Component | -19.79 | -19.54 | -18.76 | -20.01 | -18.41 | -18.92 |
| Rates Component | 33.90 | 33.20 | 32.15 | 33.11 | 31.20 | 31.45 |
| Overall | 14.11 | 13.66 | 13.38 | 13.10 | 12.79 | 12.53 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.58 | -0.59 | -0.58 | -0.60 | -0.59 | -0.60 |


| On-Reserve Male | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | $\underline{1982}$ | 1982* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard Crude | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 |
| Given Crude | 15.05 | 14.88 | 14.68 | 14.59 | - 14.45 | 14.33 |
| ASMR: | 33.26 | 32.45 | 32.86 | 32.83 | 32.87 | 34.03 |
| Binomial Variance | 1.3818E-05 | 1.3721E-05 | $1.3635 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.372 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.3162E-05 | $1.3445 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00372 | 0.00370 | 0.00369 | 0.00370 | 0.00363 | 0.00367 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 7.29 | 7.26 | 7.24 | 7.26 | 7.11 | 7.19 |
| Comp. Component | -11.64 | -11.29 | -11.63 | -11.66 | -11.75 | -12.42 |
| Rates Component | 18.66 | 18.15 | 18.28 | 18.22 | 18.17 | 18.72 |
| Overall | 7.02 | 6.86 | 6.65 | 6.56 | 6.42 | 6.30 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.62 | -0.62 | -0.64 | -0.64 | -0.65 | -0.66 |
| On-Reserve Femalf | $\underline{1980}$ | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | 1982 | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 |
| Given Crude | 16.40 | 16.19 | 15.91 | 15.81 | 15.71 | 15.44 |
| ASMR: | 34.91 | 34.15 | 33.18 | 33.17 | 31.98 | 32.61 |
| Binomial Variance | $1.6827 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.6367 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.5512 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.5456E-05 | $1.4563 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.4732E-05 |
| Standard Error | 0.00410 | 0.00405 | 0.00394 | 0.00393 | 0.00382 | 0.00384 |
| 95\% C.L. ( $+/-$ ) | 8.04 | 7.93 | 7.72 | 7.71 | 7.48 | 7.52 |
| Comp. Component | -11.75 | -11.44 | -11.10 | -11.14 | -10.57 | -11.07 |
| Rates Component | 20.12 | 19.60 | 18.98 | 18.92 | 18.25 | 18.49 |
| Overall | 8.37 | 8.16 | 7.88 | 7.78 | 7.68 | 7.41 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.58 | -0.58 | -0.58 | -0.59 | -0.58 | -0.60 |
| Off-Reserve Male | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | $\underline{1982}$ | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 |
| Given Crude | 36.53 | 34.64 | 35.29 | 33.85 | 33.82 | 33.22 |
| ASMR: | 127.97 | 120.51 | 121.77 | 132.41 | 118.21 | 131.78 |
| Binomial Variance | -0.00023442 | -0.00022326 | -0.00021632 | -0.00111889 | -0.0002127 | -0.00111684 |
| Standard Error | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Comp. Component | -48.72 | -45.91 | -46.22 | -52.24 | -45.16 | -52.23 |
| Rates Component | 77.22 | 72.52 | 73.48 | 78.06 | 70.95 | 77.42 |
| Overall | 28.50 | 26.61 | 27.26 | 25.82 | 25.79 | 25.19 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.63 | -0.63 | -0.63 | -0.67 | -0.64 | -0.67 |
| Off-Reserve Femali | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | $\underline{1982}$ | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 | 8.03 |
| Given Crude | 36.67 | 34.95 | 35.37 | 34.19 | 33.41 | 33.49 |
| ASMR: | 112.62 | 115.02 | 110.50 | 112.92 | 104.07 | 100.03 |
| Binomial Variance | 0.00010972 | $9.6297 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.3924 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.6232 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.00010804 | 0.0001085 |
| Standard Error | 0.01047 | 0.00981 | 0.00969 | 0.00750 | 0.01039 | 0.01042 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 20.53 | 19.23 | 19.00 | 14.70 | 20.37 | 20.42 |
| Comp. Component | -40.73 | -42.81 | -40.34 | -42.15 | -38.17 | -36.03 |
| Rates Component | 69.37 | 69.74 | 67.69 | 68.31 | 63.55 | 61.50 |
| Overall | 28.64 | 26.92 | 27.34 | 26.16 | 25.38 | 25.47 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.59 | -0.61 | -0.60 | -0.62 | -0.60 | -0.59 |

Appendix IIIB: Mortality Rates and Associated Calculations for Adjusted and Unadjusted Populations Counts, Ages >1 to 9, 1980-1982.

| Total | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | 1982 | 1982* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard Crude | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Given Crude | 2.88 | 2.70 | 2.85 | 2.72 | 2.85 | 2.75 |
| ASMR: | 3.67 | 2.75 | 3.43 | 2.77 | 3.38 | 2.79 |
| Binomial Variance | $1.2286 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $6.807 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.0698 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 6.8753E-07 | $1.0337 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $7.0142 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00111 | 0.00083 | 0.00103 | 0.00083 | 0.00102 | 0.00084 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 2.17 | 1.62 | 2.03 | 1.63 | 1.99 | 1.64 |
| Comp. Component | -0.49 | -0.04 | -0.38 | -0.03 | -0.34 | -0.03 |
| Rates Component | 2.45 | 1.82 | 2.30 | 1.83 | 2.27 | 1.86 |
| Overall | 1.96 | 1.78 | 1.93 | 1.80 | 1.94 | 1.83 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.20 | -0.02 | -0.16 | -0.02 | -0.15 | -0.02 |
| Male | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | 1982 | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Given Crude | 5.60 | 5.26 | 5.50 | 5.26 | 5.49 | 5.29 |
| ASMR: | 7.18 | 5.35 | 6.73 | 5.35 | 6.52 | 5.39 |
| Binomial Variance | 4.624E-06 | $2.5462 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.0437 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.5458 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 3.7904E-06 | $2.5854 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00215 | 0.00160 | 0.00201 | 0.00160 | 0.00195 | 0.00161 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 4.21 | 3.13 | 3.94 | 3.13 | 3.82 | 3.15 |
| Comp. Component | -0.89 | -0.06 | -0.70 | -0.06 | -0.59 | -0.06 |
| Rates Component | 5.57 | 4.40 | 5.28 | 4.40 | 5.16 | 4.43 |
| Overall | 4.68 | 4.34 | 4.58 | 4.34 | 4.57 | 4.37 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.16 | -0.01 | -0.13 | -0.01 | -0.11 | -0.01 |
| Female | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | 1982 | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Given Crude | 5.91 | 5.54 | 5.89 | 5.63 | 5.95 | 5.73 |
| ASMR: | 7.46 | 5.67 | 7.01 | 5.72 | 7.00 | 5.80 |
| Binomial Variance | $4.9563 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.8494 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 4.3622E-06 | $2.9042 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 4.3584E-06 | $2.9797 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00223 | 0.00169 | 0.00209 | 0.00170 | 0.00209 | 0.00173 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 4.36 | 3.31 | 4.09 | 3.34 | 4.09 | 3.38 |
| Comp. Component | -0.87 | -0.07 | -0.64 | -0.05 | -0.60 | -0.04 |
| Rates Component | 5.86 | 4.69 | 5.61 | 4.77 | 5.63 | 4.85 |
| Overall | 4.99 | 4.62 | 4.97 | 4.71 | 5.03 | 4.81 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.15 | -0.01 | -0.11 | -0.01 | -0.11 | -0.01 |


| North | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | 1982 | 1982* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard Crude | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Given Crude | 5.71 | 5.35 | 5.64 | 5.40 | 5.64 | 5.46 |
| ASMR: | 7.48 | 5.68 | 6.92 | 5.65 | 6.74 | 5.80 |
| Binomial Variance | 5.0194E-06 | $2.8573 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 4.2785E-06 | $2.8323 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 4.0391E-06 | 2.9788E-06 |
| Standard Error | 0.00224 | 0.00169 | 0.00207 | 0.00168 | 0.00201 | 0.00173 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 4.39 | 3.31 | 4.05 | 3.30 | 3.94 | 3.38 |
| Comp. Component | -0.99 | -0.19 | -0.73 | -0.15 | -0.63 | -0.19 |
| Rates Component | 5.78 | 4.62 | 5.45 | 4.63 | 5.35 | 4.74 |
| Overall | 4.79 | 4.43 | 4.72 | 4.48 | 4.72 | 4.55 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.17 | -0.04 | -0.13 | -0.03 | -0.12 | -0.04 |
| South | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | $\underline{1982}$ | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Given Crude | 5.80 | 5.43 | 5.74 | 5.48 | 5.78 | 5.53 |
| ASMR: | 7.16 | 5.35 | 6.80 | 5.42 | 6.77 | 5.40 |
| Binomial Variance | 4.5707E-06 | $2.5502 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 4.1202E-06 | $2.6123 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.081 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.5952 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00214 | 0.00160 | 0.00203 | 0.00162 | 0.00202 | 0.00161 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 4.19 | 3.13 | 3.98 | 3.17 | 3.96 | 3.16 |
| Comp. Component | -0.77 | 0.05 | -0.61 | 0.03 | -0.57 | 0.07 |
| Rates Component | 5.65 | 4.46 | 5.43 | 4.52 | 5.43 | 4.53 |
| Overall | 4.88 | 4.51 | 4.83 | 4.56 | 4.86 | 4.61 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.14 | 0.01 | -0.11 | 0.01 | -0.10 | 0.02 |
| On-Reserve | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | $\underline{1982}$ | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Given Crude | 4.10 | 3.91 | 4.06 | 3.94 | 4.12 | 3.96 |
| ASMR: | 4.94 | 3.89 | 4.67 | 3.93 | 4.66 | 3.99 |
| Binomial Variance | $2.1996 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.3523 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.9644 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 1.3826E-06 | $1.9456 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.4198 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00148 | 0.00116 | 0.00140 | 0.00118 | 0.00139 | 0.00119 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 2.91 | 2.28 | 2.75 | 2.30 | 2.73 | 2.34 |
| Comp. Component | -0.50 | 0.02 | -0.38 | 0.00 | -0.33 | -0.02 |
| Rates Component | 3.68 | 2.98 | 3.51 | 3.02 | 3.53 | 3.06 |
| Overall | 3.18 | 3.00 | 3.14 | 3.02 | 3.20 | 3.04 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.14 | 0.01 | -0.11 | 0.00 | -0.09 | -0.01 |
| Off-Reserve | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | $\underline{1982}$ | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Given Crude | 9.67 | 8.22 | 9.53 | 8.35 | 9.31 | 8.55 |
| ASMR: | 14.34 | 8.89 | 12.97 | 9.13 | 12.34 | 9.17 |
| Binomial Variance | $1.7904 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.9017 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 1.4625E-05 | 7.2748E-06 | $1.3271 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 7.348E-06 |
| Standard Error | 0.00423 | 0.00263 | 0.00382 | 0.00270 | 0.00364 | 0.00271 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 8.29 | 5.15 | 7.50 | 5.29 | 7.14 | 5.31 |
| Comp. Component | -2.48 | -0.37 | -1.84 | -0.43 | -1.63 | -0.34 |
| Rates Component | 11.23 | 7.67 | 10.45 | 7.86 | 10.02 | 7.97 |
| Overall | 8.76 | 7.30 | 8.61 | 7.43 | 8.40 | 7.63 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.22 | -0.05 | -0.18 | -0.05 | -0.16 | -0.04 |


| North Male | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | 1982 | 1982* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard Crude | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Given Crude | 11.24 | 10.46 | 11.01 | 10.51 | 10.93 | 10.56 |
| ASMR: | 16.14 | 11.42 | 14.20 | 11.22 | 12.88 | 11.10 |
| Binomial Variance | $2.2461 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.125 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.7335 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.0884 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.4231E-05 | $1.0688 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00474 | 0.00335 | 0.00416 | 0.00330 | 0.00377 | 0.00327 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 9.29 | 6.57 | 8.16 | 6.47 | 7.39 | 6.41 |
| Comp. Component | -2.59 | -0.52 | -1.70 | -0.39 | -1.04 | -0.29 |
| Rates Component | 12.90 | 10.06 | 11.79 | 9.97 | 11.06 | 9.93 |
| Overall | 10.32 | 9.54 | 10.09 | 9.59 | 10.02 | 9.64 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.20 | -0.05 | -0.14 | -0.04 | -0.09 | -0.03 |
| North Female | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | 1982 | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Given Crude | 11.59 | 10.96 | 11.54 | 11.10 | 11.63 | 11.32 |
| ASMR: | 14.03 | 11.31 | 13.53 | 11.39 | 14.15 | 12.06 |
| Binomial Variance | $1.6818 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.1058E-05 | $1.567 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.1205E-05 | $1.7165 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.2514 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00410 | 0.00333 | 0.00396 | 0.00335 | 0.00414 | 0.00354 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 8.04 | 6.52 | 7.76 | 6.56 | 8.12 | 6.93 |
| Comp. Component | -1.30 | -0.19 | -1.07 | -0.16 | -1.35 | -0.40 |
| Rates Component | 11.97 | 10.23 | 11.69 | 10.34 | 12.06 | 10.80 |
| Overall | 10.67 | 10.04 | 10.62 | 10.18 | 10.71 | 10.40 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.11 | -0.02 | -0.09 | -0.02 | -0.11 | -0.04 |
| South Male | $\underline{1980}$ | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | 1982 | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Given Crude | 11.17 | 10.55 | 10.99 | 10.52 | 11.01 | 10.56 |
| ASMR: | 13.02 | 10.14 | 12.79 | 10.26 | 13.24 | 10.44 |
| Binomial Variance | 1.4557E-05 | 8.9787E-06 | 1.4078E-05 | $9.1672 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 1.51E-05 | $9.4696 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00382 | 0.00300 | 0.00375 | 0.00303 | 0.00389 | 0.00308 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 7.48 | 5.87 | 7.35 | 5.93 | 7.62 | 6.03 |
| Comp. Component | -1.00 | 0.23 | -0.97 | 0.14 | -1.20 | 0.06 |
| Rates Component | 11.25 | 9.40 | 11.04 | 9.46 | 11.29 | 9.57 |
| Overall | 10.25 | 9.63 | 10.07 | 9.60 | 10.09 | 9.64 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.09 | 0.02 | -0.09 | 0.02 | -0.11 | 0.01 |
| South Female | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | $\underline{1982}$ | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Given Crude | 12.07 | 11.19 | 12.02 | 11.42 | 12.15 | 11.60 |
| ASMR: | 15.94 | 11.35 | 14.53 | 11.50 | 13.87 | 11.20 |
| Binomial Variance | $2.1652 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.1163 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.8003 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.1444 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.6408 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.0914 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00465 | 0.00334 | 0.00424 | 0.00338 | 0.00405 | 0.00330 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 9.12 | 6.55 | 8.32 | 6.63 | 7.94 | 6.48 |
| Comp. Component | -2.05 | -0.08 | -1.34 | -0.04 | -0.92 | 0.22 |
| Rates Component | 13.20 | 10.35 | 12.44 | 10.55 | 12.15 | 10.46 |
| Overall | 11.16 | 10.27 | 11.10 | 10.50 | 11.24 | 10.68 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.15 | -0.01 | -0.11 | 0.00 | -0.08 | 0.02 |


| On-Reserve Male | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | 1982 | 1982* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard Crude | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Given Crude | 7.97 | 7.63 | 7.87 | 7.64 | 7.97 | 7.67 |
| ASMR: | 9.56 | 7.57 | 9.27 | 7.69 | 9.22 | 7.86 |
| Binomial Variance | $8.0441 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 5.0432E-06 | 7.5538E-06 | 5.1991E-06 | $7.4496 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 5.4279E-06 |
| Standard Error | 0.00284 | 0.00225 | 0.00275 | 0.00228 | 0.00273 | 0.00233 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 5.56 | 4.40 | 5.39 | 4.47 | 5.35 | 4.57 |
| Comp. Component | -0.88 | 0.03 | -0.77 | -0.03 | -0.69 | -0.11 |
| Rates Component | 7.93 | 6.68 | 7.73 | 6.75 | 7.74 | 6.86 |
| Overall | 7.05 | 6.71 | 6.96 | 6.72 | 7.05 | 6.75 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.11 | 0.00 | -0.10 | 0.00 | -0.09 | -0.02 |
| On-Reserve Female | $\underline{1980}$ | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | 1982 | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Given Crude | 8.42 | 8.04 | 8.35 | 8.12 | 8.51 | 8.18 |
| ASMR: | 10.13 | 7.99 | 9.38 | 8.05 | 9.42 | 8.08 |
| Binomial Variance | 8.9807E-06 | $5.6213 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 7.6896E-06 | $5.6957 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 7.7445E-06 | $5.7397 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00300 | 0.00237 | 0.00277 | 0.00239 | 0.00278 | 0.00240 |
| 95\% C.L. ( $+/-$ ) | 5.87 | 4.65 | 5.44 | 4.68 | 5.45 | 4.70 |
| Comp. Component | -0.94 | 0.03 | -0.57 | 0.04 | -0.51 | 0.05 |
| Rates Component | 8.44 | 7.09 | 8.00 | 7.16 | 8.09 | 7.21 |
| Overall | 7.50 | 7.12 | 7.43 | 7.20 | 7.59 | 7.26 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.11 | 0.00 | -0.07 | 0.01 | -0.06 | 0.01 |
| Off-Reserve Male | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | $\underline{1982}$ | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Given Crude | 18.87 | 16.01 | 18.24 | 16.06 | 17.60 | 16.15 |
| ASMR: | 29.09 | 17.33 | 24.63 | 17.26 | 22.01 | 16.75 |
| Binomial Variance | $6.6513 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.5143 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 4.8844E-05 | $2.5065 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.9553 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.3828 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00816 | 0.00501 | 0.00699 | 0.00501 | 0.00629 | 0.00488 |
| 95\% C.L. ( $+/-$ ) | 15.98 | 9.83 | 13.70 | 9.81 | 12.33 | 9.57 |
| Comp. Component | -5.27 | -0.70 | -3.31 | -0.63 | -2.29 | -0.31 |
| Rates Component | 23.22 | 15.79 | 20.63 | 15.77 | 18.98 | 15.54 |
| Overall | 17.95 | 15.09 | 17.32 | 15.14 | 16.68 | 15.23 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.23 | -0.04 | -0.16 | -0.04 | -0.12 | -0.02 |
| Off-Reserve Femalı | 1980 | 1980* | 1981 | 1981* | $\underline{1982}$ | 1982* |
| Standard Crude | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Given Crude | 19.86 | 16.87 | 19.93 | 17.41 | 19.71 | 18.12 |
| ASMR: | 28.33 | 18.06 | 27.42 | 19.40 | 27.73 | 20.12 |
| Binomial Variance | $6.3123 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.7302 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 5.9439E-05 | $3.1174 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.0802 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.3389 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00794 | 0.00523 | 0.00771 | 0.00558 | 0.00780 | 0.00578 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 15.57 | 10.24 | 15.11 | 10.94 | 15.28 | 11.33 |
| Comp. Component | -4.37 | -0.62 | -3.87 | -1.05 | -4.14 | -1.05 |
| Rates Component | 23.31 | 16.57 | 22.88 | 17.54 | 22.93 | 18.25 |
| Overall | 18.94 | 15.95 | 19.01 | 16.49 | 18.79 | 17.20 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.19 | -0.04 | -0.17 | -0.06 | -0.18 | -0.06 |

Appendix IIIC: Mortality Rates and Associated Calculations for Adjusted and Unadjusted Populations
Counts, 1980-1982. (Adjusted as Standard Population)

|  | Total |  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1980 | 1981 | $\underline{1982}$ | 1980 | 1981 | $\underline{1982}$ |
| Standard Crude | 5.40 | 5.28 | 5.17 | 10.47 | 10.25 | 10.05 | 11.13 | 10.86 | 10.63 |
| Given Crude | 5.50 | 5.34 | 5.20 | 10.66 | 10.37 | 10.13 | 11.34 | 10.98 | 10.69 |
| ASMR: | 5.69 | 5.46 | 5.30 | 11.12 | 10.60 | 10.24 | 11.70 | 11.25 | 10.91 |
| Binomial Variance | 4.105E-07 | $3.768 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $3.543 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.479 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 1.355E-06 | $1.266 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.673 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.539 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 1.454E-06 |
| Standard Error | 0.00064 | 0.00061 | 0.00060 | 0.00122 | 0.00116 | 0.00113 | 0.00129 | 0.00124 | 0.00121 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 1.26 | 1.20 | 1.17 | 2.38 | 2.28 | 2.21 | 2.53 | 2.43 | 2.36 |
| Comp. Component | -0.15 | -0.10 | -0.07 | -0.38 | -0.18 | -0.06 | -0.29 | -0.22 | -0.18 |
| Rates Component | 0.25 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.57 | 0.30 | 0.14 | 0.50 | 0.34 | 0.24 |
| Overall | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.12 | 0.06 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.61 | -0.63 | -0.66 | -0.66 | -0.59 | -0.41 | -0.58 | -0.65 | -0.75 |
|  | North |  |  | South |  | On-Reserve |  |  |  |
|  | 1980 | $\underline{1981}$ | 1982 | 1980 | 1981 | $\underline{1982}$ | 1980 | $\underline{1981}$ | $\underline{1982}$ |
| Standard Crude | 11.23 | 10.97 | 10.74 | 10.37 | 10.12 | 9.90 | 7.76 | 7.59 | 7.43 |
| Given Crude | 11.46 | 11.12 | 10.84 | 10.56 | 10.25 | 10.00 | 7.85 | 7.64 | 7.53 |
| ASMR: | 11.79 | 11.39 | 11.04 | 10.97 | 10.44 | 10.11 | 8.11 | 7.77 | 7.50 |
| Binomial Variance | 1.701E-06 | 1.582E-06 | 1.485E-06 | $1.45 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 1.319E-06 | $1.247 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 8.185E-07 | 7.492E-07 | 7.03E-07 |
| Standard Error | 0.00130 | 0.00126 | 0.00122 | 0.00120 | 0.00115 | 0.00112 | 0.00090 | 0.00087 | 0.00084 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 2.56 | 2.47 | 2.39 | 2.36 | 2.25 | 2.19 | 1.77 | 1.70 | 1.64 |
| Comp. Component | -0.26 | -0.23 | -0.18 | -0.34 | -0.13 | -0.03 | -0.22 | -0.10 | 0.06 |
| Rates Component | 0.49 | 0.38 | 0.28 | 0.52 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.31 | 0.16 | 0.03 |
| Overall | 0.23 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.09 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.54 | -0.60 | -0.65 | -0.65 | -0.51 | -0.23 | -0.70 | -0.66 | 1.95 |


|  | Off-Reserve |  |  | North Male |  |  | North Female |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | $\underline{1980}$ | 1981 | 1982 |
| Standard Crude | 17.41 | 17.03 | 16.70 | 22.10 | 21.64 | 21.16 | 22.81 | 22.24 | 21.77 |
| Given Crude | 18.32 | 17.68 | 16.82 | 22.62 | 21.96 | 21.39 | 23.22 | 22.50 | 21.94 |
| ASMR: | 19.18 | 18.30 | 17.63 | 23.50 | 22.34 | 21.61 | 23.86 | 22.98 | 22.40 |
| Binomial Variance | 4.196E-06 | $3.755 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 3.459E-06 | $6.055 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.619 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.237 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $6.416 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 5.993E-06 | $5.728 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00205 | 0.00194 | 0.00186 | 0.00246 | 0.00237 | 0.00229 | 0.00253 | 0.00245 | 0.00239 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 4.01 | 3.80 | 3.65 | 4.82 | 4.65 | 4.49 | 4.96 | 4.80 | 4.69 |
| Comp. Component | -0.57 | -0.44 | -0.65 | -0.67 | -0.26 | -0.15 | -0.54 | -0.41 | -0.41 |
| Rates Component | 1.48 | 1.09 | 0.77 | 1.19 | 0.58 | 0.38 | 0.95 | 0.68 | 0.58 |
| Overall | 0.91 | 0.65 | 0.12 | 0.52 | 0.31 | 0.23 | 0.41 | 0.27 | 0.17 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.39 | -0.40 | -0.84 | -0.56 | -0.46 | -0.39 | -0.57 | -0.61 | -0.71 |


|  | South Male |  | South Female |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{1980}$ | $\underline{1981}$ | $\underline{1982}$ | $\underline{1980}$ | $\underline{1981}$ | $\underline{1982}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard Crude | 19.87 | 19.42 | 19.06 |  | 21.68 | 21.13 | 20.56 |
| Given Crude | 20.16 | 19.63 | 19.23 |  | 22.14 | 21.41 | 20.82 |
| ASMR: | 21.06 | 20.55 | 19.84 |  | 23.07 | 21.53 | 21.18 |
| Binomial Variance | $4.641 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.18 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.999 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.894 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.247 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.047 \mathrm{E}-06$ |  |
| Standard Error | 0.00215 | 0.00204 | 0.00200 | 0.00243 | 0.00229 | 0.00225 |  |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 4.22 | 4.01 | 3.92 | 4.76 | 4.49 | 4.40 |  |
| Comp. Component | -0.76 | -0.80 | -0.50 | -0.70 | 0.05 | -0.20 |  |
| Rates Component | 1.06 | 1.01 | 0.66 |  | 1.15 | 0.23 | 0.46 |
| Overall | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.17 |  | 0.46 | 0.28 | 0.27 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.72 | -0.78 | -0.75 | -0.61 | 0.22 | -0.43 |  |

On-Reserve Male

| $\underline{1980}$ | $\underline{1981}$ | $\underline{1982}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14.88 | 14.59 | 14.33 |
| 15.05 | 14.68 | 14.45 |
| 15.54 | 14.97 | 14.42 |
| $2.795 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.611 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.433 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 0.00167 | 0.00162 | 0.00156 |
| 3.28 | 3.17 | 3.06 |
| -0.41 | -0.23 | 0.08 |
| 0.57 | 0.32 | 0.04 |
| 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.12 |
| -0.71 | -0.71 | 1.89 |


| On-Reserve Female |  |  |  | Off-Reserve Male |  |  | Off-Reserve Female |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1981 | $\underline{1982}$ | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| Standard Crude | 16.19 | 15.81 | 15.44 | 34.64 | 33.85 | 33.22 | 34.95 | 34.19 | 33.49 |
| Given Crude | 16.40 | 15.91 | 15.71 | 36.53 | 35.29 | 33.82 | 36.67 | 35.37 | 33.41 |
| ASMR: | 16.96 | 16.14 | 15.66 | 38.89 | 36.18 | 34.31 | 37.40 | 36.04 | 35.75 |
| Binomial Variance | $3.387 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.087 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.951 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 1.125E-05 | $1.161 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.383 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.249 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.17E-05 |
| Standard Error | 0.00184 | 0.00176 | 0.00172 | 0.00335 | 0.00341 | 0.00327 | 0.00372 | 0.00353 | 0.00342 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 3.61 | 3.44 | 3.37 | 6.57 | 6.68 | 6.41 | 7.29 | 6.93 | 6.70 |
| Comp. Component | -0.47 | -0.18 | 0.15 | -1.65 | -0.12 | 0.10 | -0.17 | -0.29 | -1.88 |
| Rates Component | 0.67 | 0.28 | 0.12 | 3.54 | 1.57 | 0.50 | 1.88 | 1.47 | 1.79 |
| Overall | 0.21 | 0.10 | 0.27 | 1.89 | 1.44 | 0.60 | 1.71 | 1.18 | -0.09 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.69 | -0.65 | 1.23 | -0.47 | -0.08 | 0.19 | -0.09 | -0.20 | -1.05 |

Appendix IIIID: Mortality Rates and Associated Calculations for Adjusted and Unadjusted Populations Counts, Ages $>1$ to 9, 1980-1982 (Adjusted as Standard Population)

|  | Total |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1981 | $\underline{1982}$ | 1980 | 1981 | $\underline{1982}$ | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| Standard Crude | 2.70 | 2.72 | 2.75 | 5.26 | 5.26 | 5.29 | 5.54 | 5.63 | 5.73 |
| Given Crude | 2.88 | 2.85 | 2.85 | 5.60 | 5.50 | 5.49 | 5.91 | 5.89 | 5.95 |
| ASMR: | 3.59 | 3.37 | 3.32 | 7.03 | 6.60 | 6.39 | 7.29 | 6.89 | 6.91 |
| Binomial Variance | 1.175E-06 | $1.031 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $9.975 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $4.423 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.885 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.632 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.74 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 4.214E-06 | 4.238E-06 |
| Standard Error | 0.00108 | 0.00102 | 0.00100 | 0.00210 | 0.00197 | 0.00191 | 0.00218 | 0.00205 | 0.00206 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 2.12 | 1.99 | 1.96 | 4.12 | 3.86 | 3.74 | 4.27 | 4.02 | 4.04 |
| Comp. Component | -0.59 | -0.45 | -0.41 | -1.19 | -0.94 | -0.79 | -1.15 | -0.87 | -0.85 |
| Rates Component | 0.77 | 0.58 | 0.51 | 1.53 | 1.18 | 0.99 | 1.53 | 1.13 | 1.06 |
| Overall | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.35 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.37 | 0.26 | 0.22 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.77 | -0.78 | -0.79 | -0.77 | -0.79 | -0.79 | -0.76 | -0.77 | -0.80 |


|  | North |  |  | South |  | On-Reserve |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| Standard Crude | 5.35 | 5.40 | 5.46 | 5.43 | 5.48 | 5.53 | 3.91 | 3.94 | 3.96 |
| Given Crude | 5.71 | 5.64 | 5.64 | 5.80 | 5.74 | 5.78 | 4.10 | 4.06 | 4.12 |
| ASMR: | 7.01 | 6.59 | 6.33 | 7.29 | 6.88 | 6.95 | 4.98 | 4.68 | 4.62 |
| Binomial Variance | $4.371 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 3.862E-06 | $3.549 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.768 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 4.22E-06 | 4.308E-06 | $2.241 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.964 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.912 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00209 | 0.00197 | 0.00188 | 0.00218 | 0.00205 | 0.00208 | 0.00150 | 0.00140 | 0.00138 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 4.10 | 3.85 | 3.69 | 4.28 | 4.03 | 4.07 | 2.93 | 2.75 | 2.71 |
| Comp. Component | -1.10 | -0.84 | -0.62 | -1.23 | -0.97 | -1.00 | -0.75 | -0.55 | -0.45 |
| Rates Component | 1.45 | 1.07 | 0.80 | 1.60 | 1.24 | 1.25 | 0.93 | 0.67 | 0.61 |
| Overall | 0.35 | 0.24 | 0.17 | 0.37 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.16 |
| Decomp. Ratio | $-0.76$ | -0.78 | -0.78 | -0.77 | -0.78 | -0.80 | -0.80 | -0.82 | -0.74 |


|  | Off-Reserve |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1980 | 1981 | $\underline{1982}$ |
|  | Standard Crude | 8.22 | 8.35 | 8.55 |
|  | Given Crude | 9.67 | 9.53 | 9.31 |
|  | ASMR: | 13.15 | 11.79 | 11.46 |
|  | Binomial Variance | 1.486E-05 | 1.196E-05 | 1.137E-05 |
|  | Standard Error | 0.00385 | 0.00346 | 0.00337 |
|  | 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 7.55 | 6.78 | 6.61 |
|  | Comp. Component | -2.70 | -1.86 | -1.79 |
|  | Rates Component | 4.15 | 3.03 | 2.55 |
|  | Overall | 1.45 | 1.18 | 0.76 |
|  | Decomp. Ratio | -0.65 | -0.61 | -0.70 |


| North Male |  | North Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{1980}$ | 1981 | 1982 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| 10.46 | 10.51 | 10.56 | 10.96 | 11.10 | 11.32 |
| 11.24 | 11.01 | 10.93 | 11.59 | 11.54 | 11.63 |
| 14.60 | 13.24 | 12.23 | 13.58 | 13.17 | 13.21 |
| $1.807 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.495 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.283 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.573 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.482 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.483E-05 |
| 0.00425 | 0.00387 | 0.00358 | 0.00397 | 0.00385 | 0.00385 |
| 8.33 | 7.58 | 7.02 | 7.77 | 7.55 | 7.55 |
| -2.74 | -1.90 | -1.17 | -1.73 | -1.44 | -1.41 |
| 3.52 | 2.41 | 1.55 | 2.36 | 1.89 | 1.72 |
| 0.78 | 0.50 | 0.38 | 0.63 | 0.44 | 0.31 |
| -0.78 | -0.79 | -0.76 | -0.73 | -0.77 | -0.82 |


| South Male |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | 1980 | 1981 | $\underline{1982}$ |
| Standard Crude | 10.55 | 10.52 | 10.56 |
| Given Crude | 11.17 | 10.99 | 11.01 |
| ASMR: | 13.62 | 13.15 | 13.40 |
| Binomial Variance | $1.603 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.491E-05 | $1.547 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Standard Error | 0.00400 | 0.00386 | 0.00393 |
| 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 7.85 | 7.57 | 7.71 |
| Comp. Component | -2.07 | -1.85 | -2.03 |
| Rates Component | 2.69 | 2.32 | 2.48 |
| Overall | 0.62 | 0.47 | 0.45 |
| Decomp. Ratio | -0.77 | -0.80 | -0.82 |


| $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | On-Reserve Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | South | 1980 | 1981 | $\underline{1982}$ |
|  | Standard Crude | 8.04 | 8.12 | 8.18 |
|  | Given Crude | 8.42 | 8.35 | 8.51 |
|  | ASMR: | 10.21 | 9.47 | 9.53 |
|  | Binomial Variance | $9.153 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $7.839 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $7.937 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
|  | Standard Error | 0.00303 | 0.00280 | 0.00282 |
|  | 95\% C.L. (+/-) | 5.93 | 5.49 | 5.52 |
|  | Comp. Component | -1.52 | -1.00 | -0.92 |
|  | Rates Component | 1.90 | 1.23 | 1.25 |
|  | Overall | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.33 |
|  | Decomp. Ratio | -0.80 | -0.81 | -0.74 |


| South Female <br> $\underline{1980}$ | $\underline{1981}$ | $\underline{1982}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.19 | 11.42 | 11.60 |
| 12.07 | 12.02 | 12.15 |
| 15.75 | 14.43 | 14.42 |
| $2.116 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.775 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.783 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 0.00460 | 0.00421 | 0.00422 |
| 9.01 | 8.26 | 8.28 |
| -2.96 | -2.06 | -1.96 |
| 3.85 | 2.66 | 2.51 |
| 0.88 | 0.60 | 0.55 |
| -0.77 | -0.77 | -0.78 |

Off-Reserve Male

| $\underline{1980}$ | $\underline{1981}$ | $\underline{1982}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.01 | 16.06 | 16.15 |
| 18.87 | 18.24 | 17.60 |
| 26.57 | 22.83 | 21.28 |
| $5.481 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.176 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.699 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 0.00740 | 0.00646 | 0.00608 |
| 14.51 | 12.67 | 11.92 |
| -5.89 | -3.75 | -3.09 |
| 8.74 | 5.94 | 4.54 |
| 2.86 | 2.18 | 1.45 |
| -0.67 | -0.63 | -0.68 |

On-Reserve Male

| $\underline{1980}$ | $\underline{1981}$ | $\underline{1982}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 7.63 | 7.64 | 7.67 |
| 7.97 | 7.87 | 7.97 |
| 9.64 | 9.19 | 8.97 |
| $8.175 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $7.405 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $7.025 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 0.00286 | 0.00272 | 0.00265 |
| 5.60 | 5.33 | 5.19 |
| -1.42 | -1.15 | -0.89 |
| 1.76 | 1.38 | 1.19 |
| 0.34 | 0.24 | 0.30 |
| -0.81 | -0.83 | -0.75 |

Off-Reserve Female

| $\underline{1980}$ | $\underline{1981}$ | $\underline{1982}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.87 | 17.41 | 18.12 |
| 19.86 | 19.93 | 19.71 |
| 26.33 | 24.35 | 24.68 |
| $5.423 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.643 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.755 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 0.00736 | 0.00681 | 0.00690 |
| 14.43 | 13.36 | 13.52 |
| -5.08 | -3.65 | -4.09 |
| 8.06 | 6.17 | 5.68 |
| 2.98 | 2.52 | 1.59 |
| -0.63 | -0.59 | -0.72 |

## Appendix IV:

Abridged Life Tables for Adjusted and Unadjusted Populations, 1979-1983.


|  | Life Table: Total 1981 Adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | $\underline{\underline{n}}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {i }}$ | tis | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{gi}^{\text {i }}$ | 1 | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $\underline{L}_{i}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | <1 | 397 |  | 0.017632 | 0.07 | 0.017348 | 100000 | 1735 | 0.07 | 98387 | 6936045 | 69.360 |
|  | 1-4 | 1610 | 3 | 0.001863 | 0.5 | 0.007426 | 98265 | 730 | 0.5 | 391602 | 6837659 | 69.584 |
|  | 5-9 | 2037 | 1 | 0.000491 | 0.5 | 0.002452 | 97536 | 239 | 0.5 | 487080 | 6446057 | 66.089 |
|  | 10-14 | 2113 | 2 | 0.000947 | 0.5 | 0.004721 | 97296 | 459 | 0.5 | 485334 | 5958977 | 61.246 |
|  | 15-19 | 1972 | 4 | 0.002028 | 0.5 | 0.010091 | 96837 | 977 | 0.5 | 481742 | 5473644 | 56.524 |
|  | 20-24 | 1493 | 5 | 0.003349 | 0.5 | 0.016606 | 95860 | 1592 | 0.5 | 475320 | 4991901 | 52.075 |
|  | 25-29 | 1090 | 4 | 0.003670 | 0.5 | 0.018182 | 94268 | 1714 | 0.5 | 467055 | 4516581 | 47.912 |
|  | 30-34 | 856 | 2 | 0.002336 | 0.5 | 0.011614 | 92554 | 1075 | 0.5 | 460083 | 4049526 | 43.753 |
|  | 35-39 | 673 | 3 | 0.004458 | 0.5 | 0.022043 | 91479 | 2016 | 0.5 | 452354 | 3589443 | 39.238 |
|  | 40-44 | 547 | 3 | 0.005484 | 0.5 | 0.027051 | 89463 | 2420 | 0.5 | 441263 | 3137089 | 35.066 |
|  | 45-49 | 431 | 3 | 0.006961 | 0.5 | 0.034208 | 87043 | 2978 | 0.5 | 427769 | 2695826 | 30.971 |
|  | 50-54 | 324 | 4 | 0.012346 | 0.5 | 0.059880 | 84065 | 5034 | 0.5 | 407741 | 2268056 | 26.980 |
|  | 55-59 | 286 | 2 | 0.006993 | 0.5 | 0.034364 | 79031 | 2716 | 0.5 | 388367 | 1860316 | 23.539 |
|  | 60-64 | 220 | 4 | 0.018182 | 0.5 | 0.086957 | 76315 | 6636 | 0.5 | 364987 | 1471949 | 19.288 |
|  | 65-69 | 172 | 6 | 0.034884 | 0.5 | 0.160428 | 69679 | 11178 | 0.5 | 320450 | 1106962 | 15.887 |
|  | 70-74 | 168 | 4 | 0.023810 | 0.5 | 0.112360 | 58501 | 6573 | 0.5 | 276071 | 786512 | 13.444 |
|  | 75-79 | 91 | 6 | 0.065934 | 0.5 | 0.283019 | 51928 | 14697 | 0.5 | 222897 | 510441 | 9.830 |
|  | 80-84 | 69 | 6 | 0.086957 | 0.5 | 0.357143 | 37231 | 13297 | 0.5 | 152914 | 287544 | 7.723 |
|  | $85+$ | 45 | 8 | 0.177778 | 1 | 1.265891 | 23934 | 23934 | 1 | 134630 | 134630 | 5.625 |
| $\underset{\omega}{\omega}$ | Life Table: Total 1981 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | d | $t$ | $\mathrm{a}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | ${ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | $<1$ | 292 | 7 | 0.023973 | 0.07 | 0.023450 | 100000 | 2345 | 0.07 | 97819 | 6888801 | 68.888 |
|  | 1-4 | 1555 | 3 | 0.001929 | 0.5 | 0.007687 | 97655 | 751 | 0.5 | 389119 | 6790982 | 69.541 |
|  | $5-9$ | 2019 | 1 | 0.000495 | 0.5 | 0.002473 | 96904 | 240 | 0.5 | 483922 | 6401863 | 66.064 |
|  | 10-14 | 2118 | 2 | 0.000944 | 0.5 | 0.004710 | 96665 | 455 | 0.5 | 482185 | 5917941 | 61.221 |
|  | 15-19 | 1979 | 4 | 0.002021 | 0.5 | 0.010055 | 96209 | 967 | 0.5 | 478628 | 5435756 | 56.499 |
|  | 20-24 | 1492 | 5 | 0.003351 | 0.5 | 0.016617 | 95242 | 1583 | 0.5 | 472253 | 4957128 | 52.048 |
|  | 25-29 | 1102 | 4 | 0.003630 | 0.5 | 0.017986 | 93659 | 1685 | 0.5 | 464085 | 4484875 | 47.885 |
|  | 30-34 | 857 | 2 | 0.002334 | 0.5 | 0.011601 | 91975 | 1067 | 0.5 | 457206 | 4020790 | 43.716 |
|  | 35-39 | 674 | 3 | 0.004451 | 0.5 | 0.022010 | 90908 | 2001 | 0.5 | 449537 | 3563584 | 39.200 |
|  | 40-44 | 547 | 3 | 0.005484 | 0.5 | 0.027051 | 88907 | 2405 | 0.5 | 438522 | 3114047 | 35.026 |
|  | 45-49 | 430 | 3 | 0.006977 | 0.5 | 0.034286 | 86502 | 2966 | 0.5 | 425095 | 2675525 | 30.930 |
|  | 50-54 | 324 | 4 | 0.012346 | 0.5 | 0.059880 | 83536 | 5002 | 0.5 | 405175 | 2250431 | 26.940 |
|  | 55-59 | 284 | 2 | 0.007042 | 0.5 | 0.034602 | 78534 | 2717 | 0.5 | 385876 | 1845256 | 23.496 |
|  | 60-64 | 220 | 4 | 0.018182 | 0.5 | 0.086957 | 75816 | 6593 | 0.5 | 362600 | 1459380 | 19.249 |
|  | 65-69 | 168 | 6 | 0.035714 | 0.5 | 0.163934 | 69224 | 11348 | 0.5 | 317748 | 1096780 | 15.844 |
|  | 70-74 | 166 | 4 | 0.024096 | 0.5 | 0.113636 | 57876 | 6577 | 0.5 | 272936 | 779032 | 13.460 |
|  | 75-79 | 91 | 6 | 0.065934 | 0.5 | 0.283019 | 51299 | 14519 | 0.5 | 220198 | 506096 | 9.866 |
|  | 80-84 | 66 | 6 | 0.090909 | 0.5 | 0.370370 | 36780 | 13622 | 0.5 | 149846 | 285898 | 7.773 |
|  | 85+ | 47 | 8 | 0.170213 | , | 1.290326 | 23158 | 23158 | 1 | 136053 | 136053 | 5.875 |



|  | Life Table: Females 1980 Adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | $\mathrm{n}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{g}^{\text {j }}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{a}^{\mathbf{j}}$ | gi | 1. | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {j }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $L_{i}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ |
|  | <1 | 195 | 7 | 0.035897 | 0.07 | 0.034738 | 100000 | 3474 | 0.07 | 96769 | 5653390 | 56.534 |
|  | 1-4 | 769 | 3 | 0.003901 | 0.5 | 0.015484 | 96526 | 1495 | 0.5 | 383116 | 5556620 | 57.566 |
|  | 5-9 | 1021 | 1 | 0.000979 | 0.5 | 0.004885 | 95032 | 464 | 0.5 | 473998 | 5173504 | 54.440 |
|  | 10-14 | 1050 | 2 | 0.001905 | 0.5 | 0.009479 | 94567 | 896 | 0.5 | 470596 | 4699507 | 49.695 |
|  | 15-19 | 924 | 4 | 0.004329 | 0.5 | 0.021413 | 93671 | 2006 | 0.5 | 463341 | 4228911 | 45.146 |
|  | 20-24 | 664 | 5 | 0.007530 | 0.5 | 0.036955 | 91665 | 3387 | 0.5 | 449857 | 3765570 | 41.080 |
|  | 25-29 | 519 | 4 | 0.007707 | 0.5 | 0.037807 | 88278 | 3338 | 0.5 | 433045 | 3315713 | 37.560 |
|  | 30-34 | 398 | 2 | 0.005025 | 0.5 | 0.024814 | 84940 | 2108 | 0.5 | 419432 | 2882668 | 33.938 |
|  | 35-39 | 312 | 3 | 0.009615 | 0.5 | 0.046948 | 82832 | 3889 | 0.5 | 404440 | 2463237 | 29.738 |
|  | 40-44 | 236 | 3 | 0.012712 | 0.5 | 0.061602 | 78944 | 4863 | 0.5 | 382561 | 2058796 | 26.079 |
|  | 45-49 | 195 | 3 | 0.015385 | 0.5 | 0.074074 | 74081 | 5487 | 0.5 | 356684 | 1676236 | 22.627 |
|  | 50-54 | 153 | 4 | 0.026144 | 0.5 | 0.122699 | 68593 | 8416 | 0.5 | 321925 | 1319551 | 19.237 |
|  | 55-59 | 132 | 2 | 0.015152 | 0.5 | 0.072993 | 60177 | 4392 | 0.5 | 289903 | 997627 | 16.578 |
|  | 60-64 | 101 | 4 | 0.039604 | 0.5 | 0.180180 | 55784 | 10051 | 0.5 | 253794 | 707724 | 12.687 |
|  | 65-69 | 75 | 6 | 0.080000 | 0.5 | 0.333333 | 45733 | 15244 | 0.5 | 190555 | 453930 | 9.926 |
|  | 70-74 | 74 | 4 | 0.054054 | 0.5 | 0.238095 | 30489 | 7259 | 0.5 | 134296 | 263376 | 8.638 |
|  | 75-79 | 36 | 6 | 0.166667 | 0.5 | 0.588235 | 23230 | 13664 | 0.5 | 81987 | 129080 | 5.557 |
|  | 80-84 | 34 | 6 | 0.176471 | 0.5 | 0.612245 | 9565 | 5856 | 0.5 | 33185 | 47093 | 4.923 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{u}$ | 85+ | 30 | 8 | 0.266667 | 1 | 2.515980 | 3709 | 3709 | 1 | 13908 | 13908 | 3.750 |
|  | Life Table: Females 1980 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $t$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{G}_{\mathbf{j}}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {i }}$ | $\underline{L}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | <1 | 133 | 7 | 0.052632 | 0.07 | 0.050176 | 100000 | 5018 | 0.07 | 95334 | 5555553 | 55.556 |
|  | 1-4 | 722 | 3 | 0.004155 | 0.5 | 0.016484 | 94982 | 1566 | 0.5 | 376798 | 5460219 | 57.487 |
|  | 5-9 | 1005 | 1 | 0.000995 | 0.5 | 0.004963 | 93417 | 464 | 0.5 | 465925 | 5083421 | 54.417 |
|  | 10-14 | 1051 | 2 | 0.001903 | 0.5 | 0.009470 | 92953 | 880 | 0.5 | 462565 | 4617496 | 49.675 |
|  | 15-19 | 923 | 4 | 0.004334 | 0.5 | 0.021436 | 92073 | 1974 | 0.5 | 455431 | 4154930 | 45.127 |
|  | 20-24 | 664 | 5 | 0.007530 | 0.5 | 0.036955 | 90099 | 3330 | 0.5 | 442172 | 3699500 | 41.060 |
|  | 25-29 | 521 | 4 | 0.007678 | 0.5 | 0.037665 | 86770 | 3268 | 0.5 | 425678 | 3257328 | 37.540 |
|  | 30-34 | 397 | 2 | 0.005038 | 0.5 | 0.024876 | 83501 | 2077 | 0.5 | 412315 | 2831650 | 33.911 |
|  | 35-39 | 311 | 3 | 0.009646 | 0.5 | 0.047096 | 81424 | 3835 | 0.5 | 397535 | 2419335 | 29.713 |
|  | 40-44 | 236 | 3 | 0.012712 | 0.5 | 0.061602 | 77590 | 4780 | 0.5 | 375999 | 2021800 | 26.058 |
|  | 45-49 | 195 | 3 | 0.015385 | 0.5 | 0.074074 | 72810 | 5393 | 0.5 | 350566 | 1645802 | 22.604 |
|  | 50-54 | 152 | 4 | 0.026316 | 0.5 | 0.123457 | 67417 | 8323 | 0.5 | 316275 | 1295235 | 19.212 |
|  | 55-59 | 133 | 2 | 0.015038 | 0.5 | 0.072464 | 59094 | 4282 | 0.5 | 284763 | 978960 | 16.566 |
|  | 60-64 | 101 | 4 | 0.039604 | 0.5 | 0.180180 | 54811 | 9876 | 0.5 | 249367 | 694197 | 12.665 |
|  | 65-69 | 75 | 6 | 0.080000 | 0.5 | 0.333333 | 44935 | 14978 | 0.5 | 187231 | 444830 | 9.899 |
|  | 70-74 | 73 | 4 | 0.054795 | 0.5 | 0.240964 | 29957 | 7219 | 0.5 | 131739 | 257599 | 8.599 |
|  | 75-79 | 37 | 6 | 0.162162 | 0.5 | 0.576923 | 22738 | 13118 | 0.5 | 80896 | 125860 | 5.535 |
|  | $80-84$ | 31 | 6 | 0.193548 | 0.5 | 0.652174 | 9620 | 6274 | 0.5 | 32416 | 44964 | 4.674 |
|  | 85+ | 30 | 8 | 0.266667 | 1 | 2.564290 | 3346 | 3346 | 1 | 12548 | 12548 | 3.750 |



|  | Life Table: Females 1982 Adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | $\underline{n}^{\text {i }}$ | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | $t_{1}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{1}$ | $\stackrel{l_{i}}{10000}$ | $\underset{3580}{\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}}}$ | ${ }_{0}^{\mathrm{a}_{i}}$ | $\underset{96670}{\frac{L_{i}}{0}}$ | $\frac{1_{i}}{5776028}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{e_{i}}{57.760} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $<1$ | 189 | 7 | 0.037037 | 0.07 | 0.035804 | 100000 | 3580 | 0.07 | $96670$ | 5776028 5679358 | 57.760 58.903 |
|  | 1-4 | 777 | 3 | 0.003861 | 0.5 | 0.015326 | 96420 | 1478 | 0.5 | 382723 | 5679358 | 58.903 |
|  | 5-9 | 954 | 1 | 0.001048 | 0.5 | 0.005227 | 94942 | 496 | 0.5 | 473469 | 5296635 | 55.788 |
|  | 10-14 | 1079 | 2 | 0.001854 | 0.5 | 0.009225 | 94446 | 871 | 0.5 | 470050 | 4823166 | 51.068 |
|  | 15-19 | 976 | 4 | 0.004098 | 0.5 | 0.020284 | 93574 | 1898 | 0.5 | 463127 | 4353116 | 46.520 |
|  | 20-24 | 779 | 5 | 0.006418 | 0.5 | 0.031586 | 91676 | 2896 | 0.5 | 451142 | 3889989 | 42.432 |
|  | 25-29 | 572 | 4 | 0.006993 | 0.5 | 0.034364 | 88781 | 3051 | 0.5 | 436276 | 3438847 | 38.734 |
|  | 30-34 | 429 | 2 | 0.004662 | 0.5 | 0.023041 | 85730 | 1975 | 0.5 | 423710 | 3002571 | 35.024 |
|  | 35-39 | 339 | 3 | 0.008850 | 0.5 | 0.043290 | 83754 | 3626 | 0.5 | 409708 | 2578861 | 30.791 |
|  | 40-44 | 269 | 3 | 0.011152 | 0.5 | 0.054250 | 80129 | 4347 | 0.5 | 389776 | 2169153 | 27.071 |
|  | 45-49 | 200 | 3 | 0.015000 | 0.5 | 0.072289 | 75782 | 5478 | 0.5 | 365213 | 1779377 | 23.480 |
|  | 50-54 | 171 | 4 | 0.023392 | 0.5 | 0.110497 | 70304 | 7768 | 0.5 | 332097 | 1414163 | 20.115 |
|  | 55-59 | 132 | 2 | 0.015152 | 0.5 | 0.072993 | 62535 | 4565 | 0.5 | 301264 | 1082067 | 17.303 |
|  | 60-64 | 112 | 4 | 0.035714 | 0.5 | 0.163934 | 57971 | 9503 | 0.5 | 266094 | 780802 | 13.469 |
|  | 65-69 | 88 | 6 | 0.068182 | 0.5 | 0.291262 | 48467 | 14117 | 0.5 | 207044 | 514708 | 10.620 |
|  | 70-74 | 72 | 4 | 0.055556 | 0.5 | 0.243902 | 34351 | 8378 | 0.5 | 150807 | 307663 | 8.957 |
|  | 75-79 | 42 | 6 | 0.142857 | 0.5 | 0.526316 | 25972 | 13670 | 0.5 | 95688 | 156856 | 6.039 |
|  | 80-84 | 34 | 6 | 0.176471 | 0.5 | 0.612245 | 12303 | 7532 | 0.5 | 42683 | 61168 | 4.972 |
|  | 85+ | 31 | 8 | 0.258065 | 1 | 2.365836 | 4770 | 4770 | 1 | 18485 | 18485 | 3.875 |
| $\checkmark$ | Life Table: Females 1982 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\underline{n}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $t$ | $\mathrm{a}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 1 | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ i | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\underline{L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | ${ }_{\text {e }}$ |
|  | $<1$ | 144 | 7 | 0.048611 | 0.07 | 0.046509 | 100000 | 4651 | 0.07 | 95675 | 5714053 | 57.141 |
|  | 1-4 | 758 | 3 | 0.003958 | 0.5 | 0.015707 | 95349 | 1498 | 0.5 | 378401 | 5618379 | 58.924 |
|  | 5-9 | 948 | 1 | 0.001055 | 0.5 | 0.005260 | 93852 | 494 | 0.5 | 468023 | 5239977 | 55.833 |
|  | 10-14 | 1079 | 2 | 0.001854 | 0.5 | 0.009225 | 93358 | 861 | 0.5 | 464636 | 4771954 | 51.115 |
|  | 15-19 | 982 | 4 | 0.004073 | 0.5 | 0.020161 | 92497 | 1865 | 0.5 | 457821 | 4307318 | 46.567 |
|  | 20-24 | 782 | 5 | 0.006394 | 0.5 | 0.031466 | 90632 | 2852 | 0.5 | 446029 | 3849497 | 42.474 |
|  | 25-29 | 586 | 4 | 0.006826 | 0.5 | 0.033557 | 87780 | 2946 | 0.5 | 431535 | 3403468 | 38.773 |
|  | 30-34 | 435 | 2 | 0.004598 | 0.5 | 0.022727 | 84834 | 1928 | 0.5 | 419351 | 2971933 | 35.032 |
|  | 35-39 | 340 | 3 | 0.008824 | 0.5 | 0.043165 | 82906 | 3579 | 0.5 | 405584 | 2552582 | 30.789 |
|  | 40-44 | 268 | 3 | 0.011194 | 0.5 | 0.054446 | 79328 | 4319 | 0.5 | 385840 | 2146997 | 27.065 |
|  | 45-49 | 201 | 3 | 0.014925 | 0.5 | 0.071942 | 75008 | 5396 | 0.5 | 361551 | 1761158 | 23.479 |
|  | 50-54 | 171 | 4 | 0.023392 | 0.5 | 0.110497 | 69612 | 7692 | 0.5 | 328831 | 1399606 | 20.106 |
|  | 55-59 | 131 | 2 | 0.015267 | 0.5 | 0.073529 | 61920 | 4553 | 0.5 | 298219 | 1070775 | 17.293 |
|  | 60-64 | 110 | 4 | 0.036364 | 0.5 | 0.166667 | 57367 | 9561 | 0.5 | 262933 | 772557 | 13.467 |
|  | 65-69 | 93 | 6 | 0.064516 | 0.5 | 0.277778 | 47806 | 13279 | 0.5 | 205831 | 509624 | 10.660 |
|  | 70-74 | 69 | 4 | 0.057971 | 0.5 | 0.253165 | 34527 | 8741 | 0.5 | 150781 | 303792 | 8.799 |
|  | 75-79 | 41 | 6 | 0.146341 | 0.5 | 0.535714 | 25786 | 13814 | 0.5 | 94394 | 153012 | 5.934 |
|  | 80-84 | 32 | 6 | 0.187500 | 0.5 | 0.638298 | 11972 | 7642 | 0.5 | 40755 | 58618 | 4.896 |
|  | $85+$ | 33 | 8 | 0.242424 | 1 | 2.409815 | 4330 | 4330 | 1 | 17862 | 17862 | 4.125 |


|  | Life Table: Males 1980 Adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | $\mathrm{n}_{\text {i }}$ | d | ti | $\mathrm{a}^{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\underline{1}$ | ¢ | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $\underline{L}_{i}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | $<1$ | 204 | 7 | 0.034314 | 0.07 | 0.033253 | 100000 | 3325 | 0.07 | 96908 | 5821865 | 58.219 |
|  | 1-4 | 845 | 3 | 0.003550 | 0.5 | 0.014101 | 96675 | 1363 | 0.5 | 383973 | 5724958 | 59.219 |
|  | 5-9 | 1043 | 1 | 0.000959 | 0.5 | 0.004782 | 95312 | 456 | 0.5 | 475418 | 5340985 | 56.037 |
|  | 10-14 | 1064 | 2 | 0.001880 | 0.5 | 0.009355 | 94856 | 887 | 0.5 | 472060 | 4865567 | 51.294 |
|  | 15-19 | 986 | 4 | 0.004057 | 0.5 | 0.020080 | 93968 | 1887 | 0.5 | 465125 | 4393507 | 46.755 |
|  | 20-24 | 717 | 5 | 0.006974 | 0.5 | 0.034270 | 92081 | 3156 | 0.5 | 452518 | 3928383 | 42.662 |
|  | 25-29 | 528 | 4 | 0.007576 | 0.5 | 0.037175 | 88926 | 3306 | 0.5 | 436365 | 3475864 | 39.087 |
|  | 30-34 | 421 | 2 | 0.004751 | 0.5 | 0.023474 | 85620 | 2010 | 0.5 | 423076 | 3039500 | 35.500 |
|  | 35.39 | 334 | 3 | 0.008982 | 0.5 | 0.043924 | 83610 | 3672 | 0.5 | 408870 | 2616424 | 31.293 |
|  | 40-44 | 278 | 3 | 0.010791 | 0.5 | 0.052539 | 79938 | 4200 | 0.5 | 389189 | 2207555 | 27.616 |
|  | 45-49 | 228 | 3 | 0.013158 | 0.5 | 0.063694 | 75738 | 4824 | 0.5 | 366629 | 1818366 | 24.009 |
|  | 50-54 | 160 | 4 | 0.025000 | 0.5 | 0.117647 | 70914 | 8343 | 0.5 | 333712 | 1451737 | 20.472 |
|  | 55-59 | 148 | 2 | 0.013514 | 0.5 | 0.065359 | 62571 | 4090 | 0.5 | 302631 | 1118025 | 17.868 |
|  | 60-64 | 110 | 4 | 0.036364 | 0.5 | 0.166667 | 58481 | 9747 | 0.5 | 268040 | 815394 | 13.943 |
|  | 65-69 | 96 | 6 | 0.062500 | 0.5 | 0.270270 | 48734 | 13171 | 0.5 | 210744 | 547355 | 11.231 |
|  | 70-74 | 91 | 4 | 0.043956 | 0.5 | 0.198020 | 35563 | 7042 | 0.5 | 160209 | 336611 | 9.465 |
|  | 75-79 | 52 | 6 | 0.115385 | 0.5 | 0.447761 | 28521 | 12771 | 0.5 | 110678 | 176402 | 6.185 |
|  | 80-84 | 32 | 6 | 0.187500 | 0.5 | 0.638298 | 15750 | 10053 | 0.5 | 53618 | 65724 | 4.173 |
|  | 85+ | 17 | 8 | 0.470588 | 1 | 2.240670 | 5697 | 5697 | 1 | 12106 | 12106 | 2.125 |
| $\infty$ | Life Table: Males 1980 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\underline{n}_{i}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $t$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{g}^{1}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {i }}$ | $\underline{L_{i}}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | $<1$ | 136 | 7 | 0.051471 | 0.07 | 0.049119 | 100000 | 4912 | 0.07 | 95432 | 5713779 | 57.138 |
|  | 1-4 | 792 | 3 | 0.003788 | 0.5 | 0.015038 | 95088 | 1430 | 0.5 | 377492 | 5618347 | 59.086 |
|  | 5-9 | 1035 | 1 | 0.000966 | 0.5 | 0.004819 | 93658 | 451 | 0.5 | 467162 | 5240855 | 55.957 |
|  | 10-14 | 1064 | 2 | 0.001880 | 0.5 | 0.009355 | 93207 | 872 | 0.5 | 463854 | 4773692 | 51.216 |
|  | 15-19 | 988 | 4 | 0.004049 | 0.5 | 0.020040 | 92335 | 1850 | 0.5 | 457048 | 4309838 | 46.676 |
|  | 20-24 | 717 | 5 | 0.006974 | 0.5 | 0.034270 | 90484 | 3101 | 0.5 | 444670 | 3852790 | 42.580 |
|  | 25-29 | 528 | 4 | 0.007576 | 0.5 | 0.037175 | 87384 | 3248 | 0.5 | 428797 | 3408119 | 39.002 |
|  | 30-34 | 421 | 2 | 0.004751 | 0.5 | 0.023474 | 84135 | 1975 | 0.5 | 415738 | 2979323 | 35.411 |
|  | 35-39 | 334 | 3 | 0.008982 | 0.5 | 0.043924 | 82160 | 3609 | 0.5 | 401779 | 2563584 | 31.202 |
|  | 40-44 | 279 | 3 | 0.010753 | 0.5 | 0.052356 | 78551 | 4113 | 0.5 | 382475 | 2161806 | 27.521 |
|  | 45-49 | 227 | 3 | 0.013216 | 0.5 | 0.063966 | 74439 | 4762 | 0.5 | 360290 | 1779331 | 23.903 |
|  | 50-54 | 160 | 4 | 0.025000 | 0.5 | 0.117647 | 69677 | 8197 | 0.5 | 327893 | 1419041 | 20.366 |
|  | 55-59 | 147 | 2 | 0.013605 | 0.5 | 0.065789 | 61480. | 4045 | 0.5 | 297287 | 1091148 | 17.748 |
|  | 60-64 | 110 | 4 | 0.036364 | 0.5 | 0.166667 | 57435 | 9573 | 0.5 | 263244 | 793861 | 13.822 |
|  | 65-69 | 93 | 6 | 0.064516 | 0.5 | 0.277778 | 47863 | 13295 | 0.5 | 206075 | 530617 | 11.086 |
|  | 70-74 | 91 | 4 | 0.043956 | 0.5 | 0.198020 | 34567 | 6845 | 0.5 | 155725 | 324542 | 9.389 |
|  | 75-79 | 52 | 6 | 0.115385 | 0.5 | 0.447761 | 27722 | 12413 | 0.5 | 107579 | 168817 | 6.090 |
|  | 80-84 | 30 | 6 | 0.200000 | 0.5 | 0.666667 | 15309 | 10206 | 0.5 | 51031 | 61238 | 4.000 |
|  | $85+$ | 16 | 8 | 0.500000 | 1 | 2.293864 | 5103 | 5103 | 1 | 10206 | 10206 | 2.000 |


|  | Life Table: Males 1981 Adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | t | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{g}^{\text {i }}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{i}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{i}$ | $\underline{\text { I }}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | <1 | 205 | 7 | 0.034146 | 0.07 | 0.033095 | 100000 | 3310 | 0.07 | 96922 | 5861788 | 58.618 |
|  | 1-4 | 833 | 3 | 0.003601 | 0.5 | 0.014303 | 96690 | 1383 | 0.5 | 383996 | 5764865 | 59.622 |
|  | 5-9 | 1054 | 1 | 0.000949 | 0.5 | 0.004733 | 95308 | 451 | 0.5 | 475410 | 5380869 | 56.458 |
|  | 10-14 | 1042 | 2 | 0.001919 | 0.5 | 0.009551 | 94856 | 906 | 0.5 | 472017 | 4905459 | 51.715 |
|  | 15-19 | 1026 | 4 | 0.003899 | 0.5 | 0.019305 | 93950 | 1814 | 0.5 | 465218 | 4433442 | 47.189 |
|  | 20-24 | 767 | 5 | 0.006519 | 0.5 | 0.032072 | 92137 | 2955 | 0.5 | 453296 | 3968224 | 43.069 |
|  | 25-29 | 550 | 4 | 0.007273 | 0.5 | 0.035714 | 89182 | 3185 | 0.5 | 437946 | 3514928 | 39.413 |
|  | 30-34 | 441 | 2 | 0.004535 | 0.5 | 0.022422 | 85997 | 1928 | 0.5 | 425163 | 3076981 | 35.780 |
|  | 35-39 | 347 | 3 | 0.008646 | 0.5 | 0.042313 | 84069 | 3557 | 0.5 | 411450 | 2651818 | 31.544 |
|  | 40-44 | 295 | 3 | 0.010169 | 0.5 | 0.049587 | 80511 | 3992 | 0.5 | 392576 | 2240369 | 27.827 |
|  | 45-49 | 232 | 3 | 0.012931 | 0.5 | 0.062630 | 76519 | 4792 | 0.5 | 370614 | 1847793 | 24.148 |
|  | 50-54 | 168 | 4 | 0.023810 | 0.5 | 0.112360 | 71727 | 8059 | 0.5 | 338485 | 1477178 | 20.595 |
|  | 55-59 | 153 | 2 | 0.013072 | 0.5 | 0.063291 | 63667 | 4030 | 0.5 | 308263 | 1138693 | 17.885 |
|  | 60-64 | 114 | 4 | 0.035088 | 0.5 | 0.161290 | 59638 | 9619 | 0.5 | 274142 | 830430 | 13.925 |
|  | 65-69 | 90 | 6 | 0.066667 | 0.5 | 0.285714 | 50019 | 14291 | 0.5 | 214366 | 556288 | 11.122 |
|  | 70.74 | 92 | 4 | 0.043478 | 0.5 | 0.196078 | 35728 | 7005 | 0.5 | 161125 | 341922 | 9.570 |
|  | 75-79 | 55 | 6 | 0.109091 | 0.5 | 0.428571 | 28722 | 12310 | 0.5 | 112838 | 180797 | 6.295 |
|  | 80-84 | 33 | 6 | 0.181818 | 0.5 | 0.625000 | 16413 | 10258 | 0.5 | 56419 | 67959 | 4.141 |
|  | 85+ | 15 | 8 | 0.533333 | 1 | 2.198030 | 6155 | 6155 | 1 | 11540 | 11540 | 1.875 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega}$ | Life Table: Males 1981 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\underline{1}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | $t$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | $\square_{i}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{i}$ | $\underline{L}_{i}$ | $1{ }_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | <1 | 148 | 7 | 0.047297 | 0.07 | 0.045305 | 100000 | 4530 | 0.07 | 95787 | 5775631 | 57.756 |
|  | 1-4 | 806 | 3 | 0.003722 | 0.5 | 0.014778 | 95470 | 1411 | 0.5 | 379056 | 5679844 | 59.494 |
|  | 5-9 | 1045 | 1 | 0.000957 | 0.5 | 0.004773 | 94059 | 449 | 0.5 | 469171 | 5300788 | 56.356 |
|  | 10-14 | 1046 | 2 | 0.001912 | 0.5 | 0.009515 | 93610 | 891 | 0.5 | 465822 | 4831617 | 51.614 |
|  | 15-19 | 1031 | 4 | 0.003880 | 0.5 | 0.019212 | 92719 | 1781 | 0.5 | 459142 | 4365795 | 47.086 |
|  | 20-24 | 766 | 5 | 0.006527 | 0.5 | 0.032113 | 90938 | 2920 | 0.5 | 447388 | 3906653 | 42.960 |
|  | 25-29 | 551 | 4 | 0.007260 | 0.5 | 0.035651 | 88017 | 3138 | 0.5 | 432242 | 3459266 | 39.302 |
|  | 30-34 | 442 | 2 | 0.004525 | 0.5 | 0.022371 | 84880 | 1899 | 0.5 | 419650 | 3027023 | 35.663 |
|  | 35-39 | 348 | 3 | 0.008621 | 0.5 | 0.042194 | 82981 | 3501 | 0.5 | 406150 | 2607373 | 31.421 |
|  | 40-44 | 297 | 3 | 0.010101 | 0.5 | 0.049261 | 79479 | 3915 | 0.5 | 387609 | 2201223 | 27.696 |
|  | 45-49 | 231 | 3 | 0.012987 | 0.5 | 0.062893 | 75564 | 4752 | 0.5 | 365939 | 1813614 | 24.001 |
|  | 50-54 | 168 | 4 | 0.023810 | 0.5 | 0.112360 | 70812 | 7956 | 0.5 | 334167 | 1447675 | 20.444 |
|  | 55-59 | 151 | 2 | 0.013245 | 0.5 | 0.064103 | 62855 | 4029 | 0.5 | 304204 | 1113507 | 17.715 |
|  | 60-64 | 114 | 4 | 0.035088 | 0.5 | 0.161290 | 58826 | 9488 | 0.5 | 270410 | 809304 | 13.758 |
|  | 65-69 | 84 | 6 | 0.071429 | 0.5 | 0.303030 | 49338 | 14951 | 0.5 | 209313 | 538894 | 10.922 |
|  | 70-74 | 92 | 4 | 0.043478 | 0.5 | 0.196078 | 34387 | 6743 | 0.5 | 155079 | 329581 | 9.584 |
|  | 75-79 | 54 | 6 | 0.111111 | 0.5 | 0.434783 | 27645 | 12019 | 0.5 | 108174 | 174502 | 6.312 |
|  | 80-84 | 34 | 6 | 0.176471 | 0.5 | 0.612245 | 15625 | 9566 | 0.5 | 54210 | 66327 | 4.245 |
|  | $85+$ | 16 | 8 | 0.500000 | 1 | 2.221955 | 6059 | 6059 | 1 | 12117 | 12117 | 2.000 |


|  | Life Table: Males 1982 Adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | $\underline{n}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{g}^{\text {i }}$ | $1{ }^{\text {I }}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {i }}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | $\underline{1_{i}}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{j}$ |
|  | <1 | 204 | 7 | 0.034314 | 0.07 | 0.033253 | 100000 | 3325 | 0.07 | 96908 | 5902874 | 59.029 |
|  | 1-4 | 818 | 3 | 0.003667 | 0.5 | 0.014563 | 96675 | 1408 | 0.5 | 383883 | 5805967 | 60.057 |
|  | 5-9 | 1059 | 1 | 0.000944 | 0.5 | 0.004710 | 95267 | 449 | 0.5 | 475212 | 5422083 | 56.915 |
|  | 10-14 | 1024 | 2 | 0.001953 | 0.5 | 0.009718 | 94818 | 921 | 0.5 | 471787 | 4946871 | 52.172 |
|  | 15-19 | 1068 | 4 | 0.003745 | 0.5 | 0.018553 | 93897 | 1742 | 0.5 | 465128 | 4475084 | 47.660 |
|  | 20-24 | 807 | 5 | 0.006196 | 0.5 | 0.030506 | 92155 | 2811 | 0.5 | 453745 | 4009956 | 43.513 |
|  | 25-29 | 584 | 4 | 0.006849 | 0.5 | 0.033670 | 89343 | 3008 | 0.5 | 439196 | 3556211 | 39.804 |
|  | 30-34 | 458 | 2 | 0.004367 | 0.5 | 0.021598 | 86335 | 1865 | 0.5 | 427014 | 3117015 | 36.104 |
|  | 35-39 | 365 | 3 | 0.008219 | 0.5 | 0.040268 | 84470 | 3401 | 0.5 | 413848 | 2690001 | 31.845 |
|  | 40-44 | 301 | 3 | 0.009967 | 0.5 | 0.048622 | 81069 | 3942 | 0.5 | 395490 | 2276153 | 28.077 |
|  | 45-49 | 232 | 3 | 0.012931 | 0.5 | 0.062630 | 77127 | 4831 | 0.5 | 373560 | 1880663 | 24.384 |
|  | 50-54 | 183 | 4 | 0.021858 | 0.5 | 0.103627 | 72297 | 7492 | 0.5 | 342754 | 1507103 | 20.846 |
|  | 55-59 | 159 | 2 | 0.012579 | 0.5 | 0.060976 | 64805 | 3952 | 0.5 | 314145 | 1164349 | 17.967 |
|  | 60-64 | 119 | 4 | 0.033613 | 0.5 | 0.155039 | 60853 | 9435 | 0.5 | 280680 | 850204 | 13.971 |
|  | 65-69 | 87 | 6 | 0.068966 | 0.5 | 0.294118 | 51419 | 15123 | 0.5 | 219285 | 569525 | 11.076 |
|  | 70-74 | 89 | 4 | 0.044944 | 0.5 | 0.202020 | 36296 | 7332 | 0.5 | 163147 | 350239 | 9.650 |
|  | 75-79 | 60 | 6 | 0.100000 | 0.5 | 0.400000 | 28963 | 11585 | 0.5 | 115852 | 187093 | 6.460 |
|  | 80-84 | 34 | 6 | 0.176471 | 0.5 | 0.612245 | 17378 | 10640 | 0.5 | 60291 | 71240 | 4.099 |
|  | 85+ | 13 | 8 | 0.615385 | 1 | 2.146117 | 6738 | 6738 | 1 | 10950 | 10950 | 1.625 |
| $\stackrel{+}{\circ}$ | Life Table: Males 1982 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\underline{n}^{\text {i }}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{g}^{\text {i }}$ | 1. | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{i}$ | $\underline{L}$ | Ii | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | $<1$ | 155 | 7 | 0.045161 | 0.07 | 0.043341 | 100000 | 4334 | 0.07 | 95969 | 5829811 | 58.298 |
|  | 1-4 | 799 | 3 | 0.003755 | 0.5 | 0.014907 | 95666 | 1426 | 0.5 | 379811 | 5733842 | 59.936 |
|  | 5-9 | 1050 | 1 | 0.000952 | 0.5 | 0.004751 | 94240 | 448 | 0.5 | 470080 | 5354030 | 56.813 |
|  | 10-14 | 1031 | 2 | 0.001940 | 0.5 | 0.009653 | 93792 | 905 | 0.5 | 466697 | 4883950 | 52.072 |
|  | 15-19 | 1075 | 4 | 0.003721 | 0.5 | 0.018433 | 92887 | 1712 | 0.5 | 460154 | 4417253 | 47.555 |
|  | 20-24 | 807 | 5 | 0.006196 | 0.5 | 0.030506 | 91175 | 2781 | 0.5 | 448919 | 3957099 | 43.401 |
|  | 25-29 | 585 | 4. | 0.006838 | 0.5 | 0.033613 | 88393 | 2971 | 0.5 | 434538 | 3508180 | 39.688 |
|  | 30-34 | 460 | 2 | 0.004348 | 0.5 | 0.021505 | 85422 | 1837 | 0.5 | 422517 | 3073642 | 35.982 |
|  | 35-39 | 368 | 3 | 0.008152 | 0.5 | 0.039947 | 83585 | 3339 | 0.5 | 409577 | 2651125 | 31.718 |
|  | 40-44 | 302 | 3 | 0.009934 | 0.5 | 0.048465 | 80246 | 3889 | 0.5 | 391507 | 2241547 | 27.933 |
|  | 45-49 | 232 | 3 | 0.012931 | 0.5 | 0.062630 | 76357 | 4782 | 0.5 | 369829 | 1850040 | 24.229 |
|  | 50-54 | 181 | 4 | 0.022099 | 0.5 | 0.104712 | 71575 | 7495 | 0.5 | 339136 | 1480211 | 20.681 |
|  | 55-59 | 160 | 2 | 0.012500 | 0.5 | 0.060606 | 64080 | 3884 | 0.5 | 310690 | 1141075 | 17.807 |
|  | 60-64 | 116 | 4 | 0.034483 | 0.5 | 0.158730 | 60196 | 9555 | 0.5 | 277094 | 830385 | 13.795 |
|  | 65-69 | 82 | 6 | 0.073171 | 0.5 | 0.309278 | 50641 | 15662 | 0.5 | 214051 | 553291 | 10.926 |
|  | 70-74 | 88 | 4 | 0.045455 | 0.5 | 0.204082 | 34979 | 7139 | 0.5 | 157049 | 339240 | 9.698 |
|  | 75-79 | 59 | 6 | 0.101695 | 0.5 | 0.405405 | 27840 | 11287 | 0.5 | 110986 | 182191 | 6.544 |
|  | 80.84 | 36 | 6 | 0.166667 | 0.5 | 0.588235 | 16554 | 9738 | 0.5 | 58425 | 71206 | 4.301 |
|  | $85+$ | 15 | 8 | 0.533333 | , | 2.158801 | 6816 | 6816 | 1 | 12780 | 12780 | 1.875 |


|  | Life Table: North 1980 Adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {i }}$ | $t_{i}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{i}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\underline{L}_{1}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | <1 | 189 | 7 | 0.037037 | 0.07 | 0.035804 | 100000 | 3580 | 0.07 | 96670 | 5684467 | 56.845 |
|  | 1-4 | 816 | 3 | 0.003676 | 0.5 | 0.014599 | 96420 | 1408 | 0.5 | 382863 | 5587796 | 57.953 |
|  | 5-9 | 1050 | 1 | 0.000952 | 0.5 | 0.004751 | 95012 | 451 | 0.5 | 473932 | 5204933 | 54.782 |
|  | 10-14 | 1008 | 2 | 0.001984 | 0.5 | 0.009872 | 94561 | 933 | 0.5 | 470470 | 4731001 | 50.031 |
|  | 15-19 | 860 | 4 | 0.004651 | 0.5 | 0.022989 | 93627 | 2152 | 0.5 | 462755 | 4260532 | 45.505 |
|  | 20-24 | 646 | 5 | 0.007740 | 0.5 | 0.037965 | 91475 | 3473 | 0.5 | 448692 | 3797777 | 41.517 |
|  | 25-29 | 481 | 4 | 0.008316 | 0.5 | 0.040733 | 88002 | 3585 | 0.5 | 431048 | 3349084 | 38.057 |
|  | 30-34 | 387 | 2 | 0.005168 | 0.5 | 0.025510 | 84417 | 2154 | 0.5 | 416703 | 2918036 | 34.567 |
|  | 35-39 | 292 | 3 | 0.010274 | 0.5 | 0.050083 | 82264 | 4120 | 0.5 | 401019 | 2501333 | 30.406 |
|  | 40-44 | 247 | 3 | 0.012146 | 0.5 | 0.058939 | 78144 | 4606 | 0.5 | 379205 | 2100313 | 26.878 |
|  | 45-49 | 193 | 3 | 0.015544 | 0.5 | 0.074813 | 73538 | 5502 | 0.5 | 353937 | 1721109 | 23.404 |
|  | 50-54 | 133 | 4 | 0.030075 | 0.5 | 0.139860 | 68037 | 9516 | 0.5 | 316394 | 1367172 | 20.095 |
|  | 55-59 | 143 | 2 | 0.013986 | 0.5 | 0.067568 | 58521 | 3954 | 0.5 | 282719 | 1050779 | 17.956 |
|  | 60-64 | 111 | 4 | 0.036036 | 0.5 | 0.165289 | 54567 | 9019 | 0.5 | 250286 | 768059 | 14.076 |
|  | 65-69 | 99 | 6 | 0.060606 | 0.5 | 0.263158 | 45547 | 11986 | 0.5 | 197772 | 517774 | 11.368 |
|  | 70-74 | 90 | 4 | 0.044444 | 0.5 | 0.200000 | 33561 | 6712 | 0.5 | 151026 | 320002 | 9.535 |
|  | 75-79 | 47 | 6 | 0.127660 | 0.5 | 0.483871 | 26849 | 12991 | 0.5 | 101767 | 168976 | 6.294 |
|  | 80-84 | 35 | 6 | 0.171429 | 0.5 | 0.600000 | 13858 | 8315 | 0.5 | 48502 | 67209 | 4.850 |
|  | 85+ | 27 | 8 | 0.296296 | 1 | 2.295803 | 5543 | 5543 | 1 | 18708 | 18708 | 3.375 |
| $\stackrel{ \pm}{ \pm}$ | Life Table: North 1980 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\underline{\underline{n}}$ | di |  | ai |  | li | di | ai | $\underline{\text { Li }}$ | $\underline{\text { Ti }}$ | ei |
|  | $<1$ | $\overline{30} 0$ | 7 | $0.053846$ | 0.07 | $0.051278$ | 100000 | 5128 | 0.07 | 95231 | 5584436 | 55.844 |
|  | 1-4 | 761 | 3 | 0.003942 | 0.5 | 0.015645 | 94872 | 1484 | 0.5 | 376520 | 5489205 | 57.859 |
|  | 5-9 | 1037 | 1 | 0.000964 | 0.5 | 0.004810 | 93388 | 449 | 0.5 | 465816 | 5112685 | 54.747 |
|  | 10-14 | 1007 | 2 | 0.001986 | 0.5 | 0.009881 | 92939 | 918 | 0.5 | 462397 | 4646869 | 49.999 |
|  | 15-19 | 860 | 4 | 0.004651 | 0.5 | 0.022989 | 92020 | 2115 | 0.5 | 454813 | 4184471 | 45.473 |
|  | 20-24 | 643 | 5 | 0.007776 | 0.5 | 0.038139 | 89905 | 3429 | 0.5 | 440952 | 3729658 | 41.484 |
|  | 25-29 | 481 | 4 | 0.008316 | 0.5 | 0.040733 | 86476 | 3522 | 0.5 | 423574 | 3288706 | 38.030 |
|  | 30-34 | 387 | 2 | 0.005168 | 0.5 | 0.025510 | 82954 | 2116 | 0.5 | 409477 | 2865132 | 34.539 |
|  | 35-39 | 292 | 3 | 0.010274 | 0.5 | 0.050083 | 80837 | 4049 | 0.5 | 394066 | 2455654 | 30.378 |
|  | 40-44 | 247 | 3 | 0.012146 | 0.5 | 0.058939 | 76789 | 4526 | 0.5 | 372629 | 2061589 | 26.848 |
|  | 45-49 | 192 | 3 | 0.015625 | 0.5 | 0.075188 | 72263 | 5433 | 0.5 | 347731 | 1688960 | 23.372 |
|  | 50-54 | 134 | 4 | 0.029851 | 0.5 | 0.138889 | 66830 | 9282 | 0.5 | 310943 | 1341228 | 20.069 |
|  | 55-59 | 142 | 2 | 0.014085 | 0.5 | 0.068027 | 57548 | 3915 | 0.5 | 277952 | 1030285 | 17.903 |
|  | 60-64 | 111 | 4 | 0.036036 | 0.5 | 0.165289 | 53633 | 8865 | 0.5 | 246002 | 752333 | 14.027 |
|  | 65-69 | 99 | 6 | 0.060606 | 0.5 | 0.263158 | 44768 | 11781 | 0.5 | 194387 | 506331 | 11.310 |
|  | 70-74 | 89 | 4 | 0.044944 | 0.5 | 0.202020 | 32987 | 6664 | 0.5 | 148275 | 311944 | 9.457 |
|  | 75-79 | 47 | 6 | 0.127660 | 0.5 | 0.483871 | 26323 | 12737 | 0.5 | 99772 | 163669 | 6.218 |
|  | 80-84 | 33 | 6 | 0.181818 | 0.5 | 0.625000 | 13586 | 8491 | 0.5 | 46702 | 63897 | 4.703 |
|  | 85+ | 27 | 8 | 0.296296 | 1 | 2.339451 | 5095 | 5095 | 1 | 17195 | 17195 | 3.375 |


|  | Life Table: North 1981 Adjusted |  |  | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{a}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\mathrm{G}^{\text {i }}$ | $\underline{1}$ | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $L_{i}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | $\underline{n_{i}}$ | $\underline{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | <1 | 192 | 7 | 0.036458 | 0.07 | 0.035263 | 100000 | 3526 | 0.07 | 96721 | 5747857 | 57.479 |
|  | 1-4 | 799 | 3 | 0.003755 | 0.5 | 0.014907 | 96474 | 1438 | 0.5 | 383019 | 5651137 | 58.577 |
|  | 5-9 | 1047 | 1 | 0.000955 | 0.5 | 0.004764 | 95036 | 453 | 0.5 | 474046 | 5268118 | 55.433 |
|  | 10-14 | 1022 | 2 | 0.001957 | 0.5 | 0.009737 | 94583 | 921 | 0.5 | 470612 | 4794072 | 50.686 |
|  | 15-19 | 887 | 4 | 0.004510 | 0.5 | 0.022297 | 93662 | 2088 | 0.5 | 463089 | 4323460 | 46.160 |
|  | 20-24 | 688 | 5 | 0.007267 | 0.5 | 0.035689 | 91574 | 3268 | 0.5 | 449697 | 3860372 | 42.156 |
|  | 25-29 | 505 | 4 | 0.007921 | 0.5 | 0.038835 | 88305 | 3429 | 0.5 | 432954 | 3410674 | 38.624 |
|  | 30-34 | 409 | 2 | 0.004890 | 0.5 | 0.024155 | 84876 | 2050 | 0.5 | 419255 | 2977721 | 35.083 |
|  | 35-39 | 300 | 3 | 0.010000 | 0.5 | 0.048780 | 82826 | 4040 | 0.5 | 404029 | 2558466 | 30.890 |
|  | 40-44 | 267 | 3 | 0.011236 | 0.5 | 0.054645 | 78786 | 4305 | 0.5 | 383165 | 2154437 | 27.346 |
|  | 45-49 | 195 | 3 | 0.015385 | 0.5 | 0.074074 | 74480 | 5517 | 0.5 | 358609 | 1771272 | 23.782 |
|  | 50-54 | 143 | 4 | 0.027972 | 0.5 | 0.130719 | 68963 | 9015 | 0.5 | 322280 | 1412662 | 20.484 |
|  | 55-59 | 137 | 2 | 0.014599 | 0.5 | 0.070423 | 59949 | 4222 | 0.5 | 289188 | 1090383 | 18.189 |
|  | 60-64 | 116 | 4 | 0.034483 | 0.5 | 0.158730 | 55727 | 8846 | 0.5 | 256520 | 801195 | 14.377 |
|  | 65-69 | 102 | 6 | 0.058824 | 0.5 | 0.256410 | 46881 | 12021 | 0.5 | 204354 | 544674 | 11.618 |
|  | 70.74 | 95 | 4 | 0.042105 | 0.5 | 0.190476 | 34860 | 6640 | 0.5 | 157702 | 340320 | 9.762 |
|  | 75.79 | 50 | 6 | 0.120000 | 0.5 | 0.461538 | 28220 | 13025 | 0.5 | 108540 | 182618 | 6.471 |
|  | 80-84 | 34 | 6 | 0.176471 | 0.5 | 0.612245 | 15196 | 9303 | 0.5 | 52719 | 74078 | 4.875 |
|  | 85+ | 29 | 8 | 0.275862 | 1 | 2.243687 | 5892 | 5892 | 1 | 21359 | 21359 | 3.625 |
|  | Life Table: North 1981 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\underline{\underline{n}}$ | $\underline{\text { d }}$ | 4 | ${ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\text {i }}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $\underline{L}_{i}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\text {i }}$ |
|  | $<1$ | 144 | 7 | 0.048611 | 0.07 | 0.046509 | 100000 | 4651 | 0.07 | 95675 | 5672689 | 56.727 |
|  | 1-4 | 775 | 3 | 0.003871 | 0.5 | 0.015365 | 95349 | 1465 | 0.5 | 378467 | 5577015 | 58.490 |
|  | 5-9 | 1033 | 1 | 0.000968 | 0.5 | 0.004829 | 93884 | 453 | 0.5 | 468287 | 5198548 | 55.372 |
|  | 10-14 | 1021 | 2 | 0.001959 | 0.5 | 0.009747 | 93431 | 911 | 0.5 | 464877 | 4730261 | 50.629 |
|  | 15-19 | 886 | 4 | 0.004515 | 0.5 | 0.022321 | 92520 | 2065 | 0.5 | 457438 | 4265384 | 46.102 |
|  | 20-24 | 683 | 5 | 0.007321 | 0.5 | 0.035945 | 90455 | 3251 | 0.5 | 444146 | 3807946 | 42.098 |
|  | 25-29 | 507 | 4 | 0.007890 | 0.5 | 0.038685 | 87204 | 3373 | 0.5 | 427584 | 3363799 | 38.574 |
|  | 30-34 | 411 | 2 | 0.004866 | 0.5 | 0.024038 | 83830 | 2015 | 0.5 | 414113 | 2936215 | 35.026 |
|  | 35-39 | 301 | 3 | 0.009967 | 0.5 | 0.048622 | 81815 | 3978 | 0.5 | 399130 | 2522103 | 30.827 |
|  | 40-44 | 267 | 3 | 0.011236 | 0.5 | 0.054645 | 77837 | 4253 | 0.5 | 378551 | 2122973 | 27.275 |
|  | 45-49 | 194 | 3 | 0.015464 | 0.5 | 0.074442 | 73584 | 5478 | 0.5 | 354223 | 1744422 | 23.707 |
|  | 50-54 | 144 | 4 | 0.027778 | 0.5 | 0.129870 | 68106 | 8845 | 0.5 | 318417 | 1390199 | 20.412 |
|  | 55-59 | 136 | 2 | 0.014706 | 0.5 | 0.070922 | 59261 | 4203 | 0.5 | 285797 | 1071782 | 18.086 |
|  | 60-64 | 116 | 4 | 0.034483 | 0.5 | 0.158730 | 55058 | 8739 | 0.5 | 253442 | 785984 | 14.276 |
|  | 65-69 | 101 | 6 | 0.059406 | 0.5 | 0.258621 | 46319 | 11979 | 0.5 | 201646 | 532543 | 11.497 |
|  | 70-74 | 92 | 4 | 0.043478 | 0.5 | 0.196078 | 34340 | 6733 | 0.5 | 154865 | 330897 | 9.636 |
|  | 75-79 | 49 | 6 | 0.122449 | 0.5 | 0.468750 | 27606 | 12941 | 0.5 | 105681 | 176031 | 6.376 |
|  | 80-84 | 33 | 6 | 0.181818 | 0.5 | 0.625000 | 14666 | 9166 | 0.5 | 50414 | 70351 | 4.797 |
|  | 85+ | 29 | 8 | 0.275862 | 1 | 2.283119 | 5500 | 5500 | 1 | 19936 | 19936 | 3.625 |


|  | Life Table: North 1982 Adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | $\underline{n}_{\text {i }}$ | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{g}^{\text {i }}$ | 1 | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\underline{\text { L }}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | <1 | 186 | 7 | 0.037634 | 0.07 | 0.036362 | 100000 | 3636 | 0.07 | 96618 | 5800130 | 58.001 |
|  | 1-4 | 786 | 3 | 0.003817 | 0.5 | 0.015152 | 96364 | 1460 | 0.5 | 382535 | 5703511 | 59.187 |
|  | $5-9$ | 1041 | 1 | 0.000961 | 0.5 | 0.004792 | 94904 | 455 | 0.5 | 473382 | 5320976 | 56.067 |
|  | 10-14 | 1016 | 2 | 0.001969 | 0.5 | 0.009794 | 94449 | 925 | 0.5 | 469932 | 4847594 | 51.325 |
|  | 15-19 | 934 | 4 | 0.004283 | 0.5 | 0.021186 | 93524 | 1981 | 0.5 | 462666 | 4377662 | 46.808 |
|  | 20-24 | 724 | 5 | 0.006906 | 0.5 | 0.033944 | 91543 | 3107 | 0.5 | 449944 | 3914995 | 42.767 |
|  | 25-29 | 546 | 4 | 0.007326 | 0.5 | 0.035971 | 88435 | 3181 | 0.5 | 434223 | 3465051 | 39.182 |
|  | 30-34 | 420 | 2 | 0.004762 | 0.5 | 0.023529 | 85254 | 2006 | 0.5 | 421255 | 3030828 | 35.551 |
|  | 35-39 | 316 | 3 | 0.009494 | 0.5 | 0.046368 | 83248 | 3860 | 0.5 | 406590 | 2609573 | 31.347 |
|  | 40-44 | 273 | 3 | 0.010989 . | 0.5 | 0.053476 | 79388 | 4245 | 0.5 | 386327 | 2202983 | 27.750 |
|  | 45-49 | 201 | 3 | 0.014925 | 0.5 | 0.071942 | 75143 | 5406 | 0.5 | 362199 | 1816656 | 24.176 |
|  | 50-54 | 157 | 4 | 0.025478 | 0.5 | 0.119760 | 69737 | 8352 | 0.5 | 327804 | 1454457 | 20.856 |
|  | 55-59 | 138 | 2 | 0.014493 | 0.5 | 0.069930 | 61385 | 4293 | 0.5 | 296194 | 1126653 | 18.354 |
|  | 60-64 | 116 | 4 | 0.034483 | 0.5 | 0.158730 | 57092 | 9062 | 0.5 | 262806 | 830459 | 14.546 |
|  | 65-69 | 105 | 6 | 0.057143 | 0.5 | 0.250000 | 48030 | 12008 | 0.5 | 210132 | 567653 | 11.819 |
|  | 70-74 | 94 | 4 | 0.042553 | 0.5 | 0.192308 | 36023 | 6927 | 0.5 | 162794 | 357521 | 9.925 |
|  | 75-79 | 56 | 6 | 0.107143 | 0.5 | 0.422535 | 29095 | 12294 | 0.5 | 114741 | 194727 | 6.693 |
|  | 80-84 | 32 | 6 | 0.187500 | 0.5 | 0.638298 | 16801 | 10724 | 0.5 | 57196 | 79986 | 4.761 |
|  | 85+ | 30 | 8 | 0.266667 | 1 | 2.204078 | 6077 | 6077 | 1 | 22789 | 22789 | 3.750 |
| $\stackrel{\oplus}{\omega}$ | Life Table: North 1982 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $t_{i}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 1. | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\underline{L}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\text {i }}$ |
|  | <1 | 150 | 7 | 0.046667 | 0.07 | 0.044726 | 100000 | 4473 | 0.07 | 95841 | 5740986 | 57.410 |
|  | 1-4 | 772 | 3 | 0.003886 | 0.5 | 0.015424 | 95527 | 1473 | 0.5 | 379163 | 5645145 | 59.094 |
|  | 5-9 | 1029 | 1 | 0.000972 | 0.5 | 0.004847 | 94054 | 456 | 0.5 | 469130 | 5265982 | 55.989 |
|  | 10-14 | 1016 | 2 | 0.001969 | 0.5 | 0.009794 | 93598 | 917 | 0.5 | 465699 | 4796852 | 51.249 |
|  | 15-19 | 934 | 4 | 0.004283 | 0.5 | 0.021186 | 92681 | 1964 | 0.5 | 458498 | 4331153 | 46.732 |
|  | 20-24 | 720 | 5 | 0.006944 | 0.5 | 0.034130 | 90718 | 3096 | 0.5 | 445848 | 3872656 | 42.689 |
|  | 25-29 | 547 | 4 | 0.007313 | 0.5 | 0.035907 | 87622 | 3146 | 0.5 | 430243 | 3426807 | 39.109 |
|  | 30-34 | 424 | 2 | 0.004717 | 0.5 | 0.023310 | 84475 | 1969 | 0.5 | 417454 | 2996564 | 35.473 |
|  | 35-39 | 318 | 3 | 0.009434 | 0.5 | 0.046083 | 82506 | 3802 | 0.5 | 403026 | 2579110 | 31.260 |
|  | 40-44 | 274 |  | 0.010949 | 0.5 | 0.053286 | 78704 | 4194 | 0.5 | 383036 | 2176084 | 27.649 |
|  | 45-49 | 200 | 3 | 0.015000 | 0.5 | 0.072289 | 74510 | 5386 | 0.5 | 359086 | 1793048 | 24.064 |
|  | 50-54 | 157 | 4 | 0.025478 | 0.5 | 0.119760 | 69124 | 8278 | 0.5 | 324924 | 1433962 | 20.745 |
|  | 55-59 | 138 | 2 | 0.014493 | 0.5 | 0.069930 | 60846 | 4255 | 0.5 | 293591 | 1109038 | 18.227 |
|  | 60-64 | 116 |  | 0.034483 | 0.5 | 0.158730 | 56591 | 8983 | 0.5 | 260497 | 815446 | 14.410 |
|  | 65-69 | 102 | 6 | 0.058824 | 0.5 | 0.256410 | 47608 | 12207 | 0.5 | 207523 | 554949 | 11.657 |
|  | 70-74 | 94 | 4 | 0.042553 | 0.5 | 0.192308 | 35401 | 6808 | 0.5 | 159985 | 347427 | 9.814 |
|  | 75-79 | 52 | 6 | 0.115385 | 0.5 | 0.447761 | 28593 | 12803 | 0.5 | 110958 | 187442 | 6.556 |
|  | 80-84 | 33 | 6 | 0.181818 | 0.5 | 0.625000 | 15790 | 9869 | 0.5 | 54279 | 76484 | 4.844 |
|  | 85+ | 30 | 8 | 0.266667 | 1 | 2.230882 | 5921 | 5921 | 1 | 22205 | 22205 | 3.750 |


|  | Life Table: North Male 1980 Adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 1 | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{i}$ | $\underline{1}^{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\text {i }}$ |
|  | <1 | 92 | 7 | 0.076087 | 0.07 | 0.071059 | 100000 | 7106 | 0.07 | 93392 | 4430638 | 44.306 |
|  | 1-4 | 425 | 3 | 0.007059 | 0.5 | 0.027842 | 92894 | 2586 | 0.5 | 366404 | 4337247 | 46.690 |
|  | 5-9 | 535 | 1 | 0.001869 | 0.5 | 0.009302 | 90308 | 840 | 0.5 | 449439 | 3970843 | 43.970 |
|  | 10-14 | 493 | 2 | 0.004057 | 0.5 | 0.020080 | 89468 | 1797 | 0.5 | 442847 | 3521405 | 39.360 |
|  | 15-19 | 433 | 4 | 0.009238 | 0.5 | 0.045147 | 87671 | 3958 | 0.5 | 428460 | 3078558 | 35.115 |
|  | 20-24 | 324 | 5 | 0.015432 | 0.5 | 0.074294 | 83713 | 6219 | 0.5 | 403017 | 2650097 | 31.657 |
|  | 25-29 | 247 | 4 | 0.016194 | 0.5 | 0.077821 | 77494 | 6031 | 0.5 | 372392 | 2247080 | 28.997 |
|  | 30-34 | 201 | 2 | 0.009950 | 0.5 | 0.048544 | 71463 | 3469 | 0.5 | 348642 | 1874688 | 26.233 |
|  | 35-39 | 156 | 3 | 0.019231 | 0.5 | 0.091743 | 67994 | 6238 | 0.5 | 324375 | 1526046 | 22.444 |
|  | 40-44 | 127 | 3 | 0.023622 | 0.5 | 0.111524 | 61756 | 6887 | 0.5 | 291562 | 1201671 | 19.458 |
|  | 45-49 | 98 | 3 | 0.030612 | 0.5 | 0.142180 | 54869 | 7801 | 0.5 | 254840 | 910110 | 16.587 |
|  | 50-54 | 66 | 4 | 0.060606 | 0.5 | 0.263158 | 47067 | 12386 | 0.5 | 204372 | 655269 | 13.922 |
|  | 55-59 | 76 | 2 | 0.026316 | 0.5 | 0.123457 | 34681 | 4282 | 0.5 | 162702 | 450897 | 13.001 |
|  | 60-64 | 51 | 4 | 0.078431 | 0.5 | 0.327869 | 30400 | 9967 | 0.5 | 127080 | 288195 | 9.480 |
|  | 65-69 | 55 | 6 | 0.109091 | 0.5 | 0.428571 | 20433 | 8757 | 0.5 | 80271 | 161114 | 7.885 |
|  | 70-74 | 50 | 4 | 0.080000 | 0.5 | 0.333333 | 11676 | 3892 | 0.5 | 48649 | 80844 | 6.924 |
|  | 75-79 | 28 | 6 | 0.214286 | 0.5 | 0.697674 | 7784 | 5431 | 0.5 | 25343 | 32195 | 4.136 |
|  | 80-84 | 19 | 6 | 0.315789 | 0.5 | 0.882353 | 2353 | 2076 | 0.5 | 6575 | 6852 | 2.912 |
|  | 85+ | 8 | 8 | 1.000000 | 1 | 3.775952 | 277 | 277 | 1 | 277 | 277 | 1.000 |
| $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | Life Table: North Male 1980 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | 4 | $\mathrm{a}_{i}$ | $\mathrm{g}^{\text {i }}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{d}^{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $\underline{L_{i}}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | <1 | 58 |  | 0.120690 | 0.07 | 0.108510 | 100000 | 10851 | 0.07 | 89909 | 4238745 | 42.387 |
|  | 1-4 | 393 | 3 | 0.007634 | 0.5 | 0.030075 | 89149 | 2681 | 0.5 | 351234 | 4148836 | 46.538 |
|  | 5-9 | 528 | 1 | 0.001894 | 0.5 | 0.009425 | 86468 | 815 | 0.5 | 430302 | 3797603 | 43.919 |
|  | 10-14 | 492 | 2 | 0.004065 | 0.5 | 0.020121 | 85653 | 1723 | 0.5 | 423956 | 3367301 | 39.313 |
|  | 15-19 | 433 |  | 0.009238 | 0.5 | 0.045147 | 83929 | 3789 | 0.5 | 410174 | 2943346 | 35.069 |
|  | 20-24 | 323 | 5 | 0.015480 | 0.5 | 0.074516 | 80140 | 5972 | 0.5 | 385772 | 2533171 | 31.609 |
|  | 25-29 | 245 | 4 | 0.016327 | 0.5 | 0.078431 | 74169 | 5817 | 0.5 | 356300 | 2147399 | 28.953 |
|  | 30-34 | 201 | 2 | 0.009950 | 0.5 | 0.048544 | 68351 | 3318 | 0.5 | 333462 | 1791099 | 26.204 |
|  | 35-39 | 156 | 3 | 0.019231 | 0.5 | 0.091743 | 65033 | 5966 | 0.5 | 310251 | 1457637 | 22.414 |
|  | 40-44 | 127 | 3 | 0.023622 | 0.5 | 0.111524 | 59067 | 6587 | 0.5 | 278867 | 1147386 | 19.425 |
|  | 45-49 | 98 | 3 | 0.030612 | 0.5 | 0.142180 | 52480 | 7462 | 0.5 | 243744 | 868519 | 16.550 |
|  | 50-54 | 66 | 4 | 0.060606 | 0.5 | 0.263158 | 45018 | 11847 | 0.5 | 195473 | 624775 | 13.878 |
|  | 55-59 | 75 | 2 | 0.026667 | 0.5 | 0.125000 | 33171 | 4146 | 0.5 | 155490 | 429301 | 12.942 |
|  | 60-64 | 51 | 4 | 0.078431 | 0.5 | 0.327869 | 29025 | 9516 | 0.5 | 121333 | 273811 | 9.434 |
|  | 65-69 | 54 | 6 | 0.111111 | 0.5 | 0.434783 | 19508 | 8482 | 0.5 | 76338 | 152478 | 7.816 |
|  | 70-74 | 50 | 4 | 0.080000 | 0.5 | 0.333333 | 11027 | 3676 | 0.5 | 45944 | 76141 | 6.905 |
|  | 75-79 | 28 | 6 | 0.214286 | 0.5 | 0.697674 | 7351 | 5129 | 0.5 | 23934 | 30197 | 4.108 |
|  | 80-84 | 18 | 6 | 0.333333 | 0.5 | 0.909091 | 2222 | 2020 | 0.5 | 6061 | 6263 | 2.818 |
|  | $85+$ | 8 | 8 | 1.000000 | 1 | 3.851124 | 202 | 202 | 1 | 202 | 202 | 1.000 |


|  | Life Table: North Male 1981 Adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | $\underline{n}_{\underline{i}}$ | d | t | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{g}^{\text {i }}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{a}^{\text {i }}$ | $\underline{L}_{\underline{1}}$ | $\underline{1}$ | ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
|  | <1 | 96 | 7 | 0.072917 | 0.07 | 0.068286 | 100000 | 6829 | 0.07 | 93649 | 4490283 | 44.903 |
|  | 1-4 | 405 | 3 | 0.007407 | 0.5 | 0.029197 | 93171 | 2720 | 0.5 | 367245 | 4396633 | 47.189 |
|  | 5-9 | 546 | 1 | 0.001832 | 0.5 | 0.009116 | 90451 | 825 | 0.5 | 450194 | 4029388 | 44.548 |
|  | 10-14 | 489 | 2 | 0.004090 | 0.5 | 0.020243 | 89627 | 1814 | 0.5 | 443597 | 3579194 | 39.935 |
|  | 15-19 | 455 | 4 | 0.008791 | 0.5 | 0.043011 | 87812 | 3777 | 0.5 | 429619 | 3135598 | 35.708 |
|  | 20-24 | 336 | 5 | 0.014881 | 0.5 | 0.071736 | 84035 | 6028 | 0.5 | 405106 | 2705979 | 32.200 |
|  | 25-29 | 259 | 4 | 0.015444 | 0.5 | 0.074349 | 78007 | 5800 | 0.5 | 375536 | 2300873 | 29.496 |
|  | 30-34 | 216 | 2 | 0.009259 | 0.5 | 0.045249 | 72207 | 3267 | 0.5 | 352868 | 1925337 | 26.664 |
|  | 35.39 | 158 | 3 | 0.018987 | 0.5 | 0.090634 | 68940 | 6248 | 0.5 | 329079 | 1572469 | 22.809 |
|  | 40-44 | 141 | 3 | 0.021277 | 0.5 | 0.101010 | 62692 | 6332 | 0.5 | 297627 | 1243390 | 19.833 |
|  | 45-49 | 99 | 3 | 0.030303 | 0.5 | 0.140845 | 56359 | 7938 | 0.5 | 261951 | 945764 | 16.781 |
|  | 50-54 | 70 | 4 | 0.057143 | 0.5 | 0.250000 | 48421 | 12105 | 0.5 | 211843 | 683813 | 14.122 |
|  | 55-59 | 76 | 2 | 0.026316 | 0.5 | 0.123457 | 36316 | 4483 | 0.5 | 170371 | 471970 | 12.996 |
|  | 60-64 | 54 | 4 | 0.074074 | 0.5 | 0.312500 | 31832 | 9948 | 0.5 | 134293 | 301599 | 9.475 |
|  | 65-69 | 50 | 6 | 0.120000 | 0.5 | 0.461538 | 21885 | 10101 | 0.5 | 84172 | 167306 | 7.645 |
|  | 70-74 | 51 | 4 | 0.078431 | 0.5 | 0.327869 | 11784 | 3864 | 0.5 | 49262 | 83134 | 7.055 |
|  | 75-79 | 30 | 6 | 0.200000 | 0.5 | 0.666667 | 7920 | 5280 | 0.5 | 26402 | 33872 | 4.277 |
|  | 80-84 | 18 | 6 | 0.333333 | 0.5 | 0.909091 | 2640 | 2400 | 0.5 | 7200 | 7470 | 2.830 |
|  | $85+$ | 9 | 8 | 0.888889 | , | 3.744798 | 240 | 240 | 1 | 270 | 270 | 1.125 |
| $\underset{\sim}{A}$ | Life Table: North Male 1981 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | t | $\mathrm{a}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{g}^{\text {i }}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | ${ }_{3}$ | $\underline{L}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | $<1$ | 69 | 7 | 0.101449 | 0.07 | 0.092703 | 100000 | 9270 | 0.07 | 91379 | 4364711 | 43.647 |
|  | 1-4 | 391 | 3 | 0.007673 | 0.5 | 0.030227 | 90730 | 2742 | 0.5 | 357434 | 4273332 | 47.100 |
|  | 5-9 | 539 | 1 | 0.001855 | 0.5 | 0.009234 | 87987 | 812 | 0.5 | 437905 | 3915898 | 44.505 |
|  | 10-14 | 490 | 2 | 0.004082 | 0.5 | 0.020202 | 87175 | 1761 | 0.5 | 431471 | 3477993 | 39.897 |
|  | 15-19 | 455 | 4 | 0.008791 | 0.5 | 0.043011 | 85414 | 3674 | 0.5 | 417884 | 3046522 | 35.668 |
|  | 20-24 | 334 | 5 | 0.014970 | 0.5 | 0.072150 | 81740 | 5898 | 0.5 | 393956 | 2628637 | 32.159 |
|  | 25-29 | 257 | 4 | 0.015564 | 0.5 | 0.074906 | 75842 | 5681 | 0.5 | 365010 | 2234681 | 29.465 |
|  | 30-34 | 216 | 2 | 0.009259 | 0.5 | 0.045249 | 70161 | 3175 | 0.5 | 342870 | 1869672 | 26.648 |
|  | 35-39 | 159 | 3 | 0.018868 | 0.5 | 0.090090 | 66987 | 6035 | 0.5 | 319846 | 1526802 | 22.793 |
|  | 40-44 | 142 | 3 | 0.021127 | 0.5 | 0.100334 | 60952 | 6116 | 0.5 | 289470 | 1206956 | 19.802 |
|  | 45-49 | 99 | 3 | 0.030303 | 0.5 | 0.140845 | 54836 | 7723 | 0.5 | 254873 | 917486 | 16.731 |
|  | 50-54 | 70 | 4 | 0.057143 | 0.5 | 0.250000 | 47113 | 11778 | 0.5 | 206119 | 662613 | 14.064 |
|  | 55-59 | 75 | 2 | 0.026667 | 0.5 | 0.125000 | 35335 | 4417 | 0.5 | 165631 | 456494 | 12.919 |
|  | 60-64 | 55 | 4 | 0.072727 | 0.5 | 0.307692 | 30918 | 9513 | 0.5 | 130806 | 290863 | 9.408 |
|  | 65-69 | 48 | 6 | 0.125000 | 0.5 | 0.476190 | 21405 | 10193 | 0.5 | 81541 | 160057 | 7.478 |
|  | 70-74 | 51 | 4 | 0.078431 | 0.5 | 0.327869 | 11212 | 3676 | 0.5 | 46870 | 78516 | 7.003 |
|  | 75-79 | 29 | 6 | 0.206897 | 0.5 | 0.681818 | 7536 | 5138 | 0.5 | 24834 | 31646 | 4.199 |
|  | 80-84 | 18 | 6 | 0.333333 | 0.5 | 0.909091 | 2398 | 2180 | 0.5 | 6539 | 6812 | 2.841 |
|  | $85+$ | 10 | 8 | 0.800000 | 1 | 3.796612 | 218 | 218 | 1 | 272 | 272 | 1.250 |


| Age | $\underline{n}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | t | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $I_{1}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\underline{L}$ | $1{ }^{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <1 | 99 | 7 | 0.070707 | 0.07 | 0.066344 | 100000 | 6634 | 0.07 | 93830 | 4552150 | 45.522 |
| $1-4$ | 390 | 3 | 0.007692 | 0.5 | 0.030303 | 93366 | 2829 | 0.5 | 367804 | 4458320 | 47.751 |
| 5-9 | 553 | 1 | 0.001808 | 0.5 | 0.009001 | 90536 | 815 | 0.5 | 450644 | 4090517 | 45.181 |
| 10-14 | 483 | 2 | 0.004141 | 0.5 | 0.020492 | 89721 | 1839 | 0.5 | 444011 | 3639872 | 40.569 |
| 15-19 | 481 | 4 | 0.008316 | 0.5 | 0.040733 | 87883 | 3580 | 0.5 | 430465 | 3195862 | 36.365 |
| 20-24 | 356 | 5 | 0.014045 | 0.5 | 0.067843 | 84303 | 5719 | 0.5 | 407217 | 2765397 | 32.803 |
| 25-29 | 279 | 4 | 0.014337 | 0.5 | 0.069204 | 78584 | 5438 | 0.5 | 379323 | 2358180 | 30.008 |
| 30-34 | 221 | 2 | 0.009050 | 0.5 | 0.044248 | 73145 | 3237 | 0.5 | 357636 | 1978857 | 27.054 |
| 35-39 | 162 | 3 | 0.018519 | 0.5 | 0.088496 | 69909 | 6187 | 0.5 | 334078 | 1621221 | 23.190 |
| 40-44 | 146 | 3 | 0.020548 | 0.5 | 0.097720 | 63722 | 6227 | 0.5 | 303044 | 1287143 | 20.199 |
| 45-49 | 102 | 3 | 0.029412 | 0.5 | 0.136986 | 57495 | 7876 | 0.5 | 267787 | 984099 | 17.116 |
| 50-54 | 75 | 4 | 0.053333 | 0.5 | 0.235294 | 49619 | 11675 | 0.5 | 218909 | 716313 | 14.436 |
| 55-59 | 75 | 2 | 0.026667 | 0.5 | 0.125000 | 37944 | 4743 | 0.5 | 177863 | 497404 | 13.109 |
| 60-64 | 58 | 4 | 0.068966 | 0.5 | 0.294118 | 33201 | 9765 | 0.5 | 141593 | 319541 | 9.624 |
| 65-69 | 48 | 6 | 0.125000 | 0.5 | 0.476190 | 23436 | 11160 | 0.5 | 89280 | 177948 | 7.593 |
| 70-74 | 51 | 4 | 0.078431 | 0.5 | 0.327869 | 12276 | 4025 | 0.5 | 51318 | 88668 | 7.223 |
| 75-79 | 34 | 6 | 0.176471 | 0.5 | 0.612245 | 8251 | 5052 | 0.5 | 28626 | 37350 | 4.527 |
| 80-84 | 17 | 6 | 0.352941 | 0.5 | 0.937500 | 3199 | 2999 | 0.5 | 8498 | 8723 | 2.727 |
| 85+ | 9 | 8 | 0.888889 | 1 | 3.679586 | 200 | 200 | 1 | 225 | 225 | 1.125 |
| Life Table: North Male 1982 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\underline{n}_{\text {i }}$ | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | L | $\mathrm{a}_{\underline{i}}$ | $\mathrm{g}^{\text {i }}$ | $\underline{1}$ | ${ }_{\text {d }}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {i }}$ | $L_{i}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| <1 | 80 | 7 | 0.087500 | 0.07 | 0.080916 | 100000 | 8092 | 0.07 | 92475 | 4468096 | 44.681 |
| 1-4 | 381 | 3 | 0.007874 | 0.5 | 0.031008 | 91908 | 2850 | 0.5 | 361934 | 4375621 | 47.608 |
| 5-9 | 545 | 1 | 0.001835 | 0.5 | 0.009132 | 89059 | 813 | 0.5 | 443260 | 4013687 | 45.068 |
| 10-14 | 484 | 2 | 0.004132 | 0.5 | 0.020450 | 88245 | 1805 | 0.5 | 436715 | 3570427 | 40.460 |
| 15-19 | 483 | 4 | 0.008282 | 0.5 | 0.040568 | 86441 | 3507 | 0.5 | 423436 | 3133712 | 36.253 |
| 20-24 | 354 | 5 | 0.014124 | 0.5 | 0.068213 | 82934 | 5657 | 0.5 | 400527 | 2710276 | 32.680 |
| 25-29 | 276 | 4 | 0.014493 | 0.5 | 0.069930 | 77277 | 5404 | 0.5 | 372874 | 2309749 | 29.889 |
| 30-34 | 221 | 2 | 0.009050 | 0.5 | 0.044248 | 71873 | 3180 | 0.5 | 351413 | 1936875 | 26.949 |
| 35-39 | 163 | 3 | 0.018405 | 0.5 | 0.087977 | 68693 | 6043 | 0.5 | 328355 | 1585462 | 23.081 |
| 40-44 | 147 | 3 | 0.020408 | 0.5 | 0.097087 | 62649 | 6082 | 0.5 | 298040 | 1257107 | 20.066 |
| 45-49 | 102 | 3 | 0.029412 | 0.5 | 0.136986 | 56567 | 7749 | 0.5 | 263462 | 959067 | 16.955 |
| 50-54 | 74 | 4 | 0.054054 | 0.5 | 0.238095 | 48818 | 11623 | 0.5 | 215031 | 695605 | 14.249 |
| 55-59 | 76 | 2 | 0.026316 | 0.5 | 0.123457 | 37195 | 4592 | 0.5 | 174493 | 480574 | 12.921 |
| 60-64 | 58 | 4 | 0.068966 | 0.5 | 0.294118 | 32603 | 9589 | 0.5 | 139041 | 306081 | 9.388 |
| 65-69 | 44 | 6 | 0.136364 | 0.5 | 0.508475 | 23014 | 11702 | 0.5 | 85814 | 167040 | 7.258 |
| 70-74 | 53 | 4 | 0.075472 | 0.5 | 0.317460 | 11312 | 3591 | 0.5 | 47581 | 81226 | 7.181 |
| 75-79 | 31 | 6 | 0.193548 | 0.5 | 0.652174 | 7721 | 5035 | 0.5 | 26016 | 33645 | 4.358 |
| 80-84 | 18 | 6 | 0.333333 | 0.5 | 0.909091 | 2685 | 2441 | 0.5 | 7324 | 7629 | 2.841 |
| 85+ | 10 | 8 | 0.800000 | 1 | 3.729384 | 244 | 244 | 1 | 305 | 305 | 1.250 |



|  | Age | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\pm$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | I | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {i }}$ | $\underline{L}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\text {i }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <1 | 96 | 7 | 0.072917 | 0.07 | 0.068286 | 100000 | 6829 | 0.07 | 93649 | 4441367 | 44.414 |
|  | 1-4 | 394 | 3 | 0.007614 | 0.5 | 0.030000 | 93171 | 2795 | 0.5 | 367095 | 4347718 | 46.664 |
|  | 5-9 | 501 | 1 | 0.001996 | 0.5 | 0.009930 | 90376 | 897 | 0.5 | 449638 | 3980622 | 44.045 |
|  | 10-14 | 533 | 2 | 0.003752 | 0.5 | 0.018587 | 89479 | 1663 | 0.5 | 443236 | 3530985 | 39.462 |
|  | 15-19 | 433 | 4 | 0.009238 | 0.5 | 0.045147 | 87816 | 3965 | 0.5 | 429167 | 3087749 | 35.162 |
|  | 20-24 | 352 | 5 | 0.014205 | 0.5 | 0.068587 | 83851 | 5751 | 0.5 | 404877 | 2658582 | 31.706 |
|  | 25-29 | 246 | 4 | 0.016260 | 0.5 | 0.078125 | 78100 | 6102 | 0.5 | 375246 | 2253705 | 28.857 |
|  | 30-34 | 194 | 2 | 0.010309 | 0.5 | 0.050251 | 71998 | 3618 | 0.5 | 350947 | 1878459 | 26.090 |
|  | 35-39 | 142 | 3 | 0.021127 | 0.5 | 0.100334 | 68380 | 6861 | 0.5 | 324749 | 1527512 | 22.338 |
|  | 40-44 | 126 | 3 | 0.023810 | 0.5 | 0.112360 | 61519 | 6912 | 0.5 | 290316 | 1202763 | 19.551 |
|  | 45-49 | 96 | 3 | 0.031250 | 0.5 | 0.144928 | 54607 | 7914 | 0.5 | 253251 | 912446 | 16.709 |
|  | 50-54 | 74 | 4 | 0.054054 | 0.5 | 0.238095 | 46693 | 11117 | 0.5 | 205672 | 659196 | 14.118 |
|  | 55-59 | 62 | 2 | 0.032258 | 0.5 | 0.149254 | 35576 | 5310 | 0.5 | 164604 | 453524 | 12.748 |
|  | 60-64 | 62 | 4 | 0.064516 | 0.5 | 0.277778 | 30266 | 8407 | 0.5 | 130311 | 288920 | 9.546 |
|  | 65-69 | 52 | 6 | 0.115385 | 0.5 | 0.447761 | 21859 | 9787 | 0.5 | 84825 | 158609 | 7.256 |
|  | 70-74 | 44 | 4 | 0.090909 | 0.5 | 0.370370 | 12071 | 4471 | 0.5 | 49179 | 73784 | 6.112 |
|  | 75-79 | 20 | 6 | 0.300000 | 0.5 | 0.857143 | 7600 | 6515 | 0.5 | 21715 | 24605 | 3.237 |
|  | 80-84 | 16 | 6 | 0.375000 | 0.5 | 0.967742 | 1086 | 1051 | 0.5 | 2802 | 2890 | 2.661 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\perp}$ | 85+ | 20 | 8 | 0.400000 | 1 | 4.034679 | 35 | 35 | 1 | 88 | 88 | 2.500 |
|  | Life Table: North Female 1981 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\mathrm{n}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $L_{1}$ | $\mathrm{a}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{g}^{\text {i }}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {i }}$ |
|  | $<1$ | 75 | 7 | 0.093333 | 0.07 | 0.085879 | 100000 | 8588 | 0.07 | 92013 | 4356281 | 43.563 |
|  | $1-4$ | 384 | 3 | 0.007813 | 0.5 | 0.030769 | 91412 | 2813 | 0.5 | 360023 | 4264268 | 46.649 |
|  | 5-9 | 494 | 1 | 0.002024 | 0.5 | 0.010070 | 88599 | 892 | 0.5 | 440766 | 3904245 | 44.066 |
|  | 10-14 | 531 | 2 | 0.003766 | 0.5 | 0.018657 | 87707 | 1636 | 0.5 | 434445 | 3463478 | 39.489 |
|  | 15-19 | 431 | 4 | 0.009281 | 0.5 | 0.045351 | 86071 | 3903 | 0.5 | 420596 | 3029033 | 35.192 |
|  | 20-24 | 349 | 5 | 0.014327 | 0.5 | 0.069156 | 82167 | 5682 | 0.5 | 396631 | 2608438 | 31.745 |
|  | 25-29 | 251 | 4 | 0.015936 | 0.5 | 0.076628 | 76485 | 5861 | 0.5 | 367773 | 2211806 | 28.918 |
|  | 30-34 | 196 | 2 | 0.010204 | 0.5 | 0.049751 | 70624 | 3514 | 0.5 | 344336 | 1844034 | 26.111 |
|  | 35-39 | 142 | 3 | 0.021127 | 0.5 | 0.100334 | 67110 | 6733 | 0.5 | 318719 | 1499697 | 22.347 |
|  | 40-44 | 126 | 3 | 0.023810 | 0.5 | 0.112360 | 60377 | 6784 | 0.5 | 284925 | 1180979 | 19.560 |
|  | 45-49 | 96 | 3 | 0.031250 | 0.5 | 0.144928 | 53593 | 7767 | 0.5 | 248547 | 896054 | 16.720 |
|  | 50-54 | 75 | 4 | 0.053333 | 0.5 | 0.235294 | 45826 | 10783 | 0.5 | 202173 | 647506 | 14.130 |
|  | 55-59 | 61 | 2 | 0.032787 | 0.5 | 0.151515 | 35043 | 5310 | 0.5 | 161943 | 445333 | 12.708 |
|  | 60-64 | 62 | 4 | 0.064516 | 0.5 | 0.277778 | 29734 | 8259 | 0.5 | 128020 | 283390 | 9.531 |
|  | 65-69 | 53 | 6 | 0.113208 | 0.5 | 0.441176 | 21474 | 9474 | 0.5 | 83687 | 155370 | 7.235 |
|  | 70-74 | 41 | 4 | 0.097561 | 0.5 | 0.392157 | 12000 | 4706 | 0.5 | 48237 | 71683 | 5.973 |
|  | 75-79 | 20 | 6 | 0.300000 | 0.5 | 0.857143 | 7294 | 6252 | 0.5 | 20841 | 23446 | 3.214 |
|  | 80-84 | 15 | 6 | 0.400000 | 0.5 | 1.000000 | 1042 | 1042 | 0.5 | 2605 | 2605 | 2.500 |
|  | 85+ | 20 | 8 | 0.400000 | 1 | 4.098948 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0.000 |


|  | Age | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{a}^{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $L_{i}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<1$ | 88 | 7 | 0.079545 | 0.07 | 0.074066 | 100000 | 7407 | 0.07 | 93112 | 4478727 | 44.787 |
|  | 1-4 | 396 | 3 | 0.007576 | 0.5 | 0.029851 | 92593 | 2764 | 0.5 | 364846 | 4385615 | 47.364 |
|  | 5-9 | 488 | 1 | 0.002049 | 0.5 | 0.010194 | 89829 | 916 | 0.5 | 446858 | 4020770 | 44.760 |
|  | 10-14 | 534 | 2 | 0.003745 | 0.5 | 0.018553 | 88914 | 1650 | 0.5 | 440445 | 3573912 | 40.195 |
|  | 15-19 | 453 | 4 | 0.008830 | 0.5 | 0.043197 | 87264 | 3770 | 0.5 | 426897 | 3133467 | 35.908 |
|  | 20-24 | 369 | 5 | 0.013550 | 0.5 | 0.065531 | 83495 | 5471 | 0.5 | 403794 | 2706571 | 32.416 |
|  | 25-29 | 267 | 4 | 0.014981 | 0.5 | 0.072202 | 78023 | 5633 | 0.5 | 376032 | 2302776 | 29.514 |
|  | 30-34 | 199 | 2 | 0.010050 | 0.5 | 0.049020 | 72390 | 3549 | 0.5 | 353077 | 1926744 | 26.616 |
|  | 35-39 | 154 | 3 | 0.019481 | 0.5 | 0.092879 | 68841 | 6394 | 0.5 | 328221 | 1573667 | 22.859 |
|  | 40-44 | 127 | 3 | 0.023622 | 0.5 | 0.111524 | 62447 | 6964 | 0.5 | 294825 | 1245446 | 19.944 |
|  | 45-49 | 99 | 3 | 0.030303 | 0.5 | 0.140845 | 55483 | 7814 | 0.5 | 257878 | 950621 | 17.134 |
|  | 50-54 | 82 | 4 | 0.048780 | 0.5 | 0.217391 | 47668 | 10363 | 0.5 | 212435 | 692743 | 14.533 |
|  | 55-59 | 63 | 2 | 0.031746 | 0.5 | 0.147059 | 37306 | 5486 | 0.5 | 172813 | 480307 | 12.875 |
|  | 60-64 | 59 | 4 | 0.067797 | 0.5 | 0.289855 | 31820 | 9223 | 0.5 | 136040 | 307494 | 9.664 |
|  | 65-69 | 57 | 6 | 0.105263 | 0.5 | 0.416667 | 22597 | 9415 | 0.5 | 89444 | 171454 | 7.588 |
|  | 70-74 | 43 | 4 | 0.093023 | 0.5 | 0.377358 | 13181 | 4974 | 0.5 | 53471 | 82010 | 6.222 |
|  | 75.79 | 22 | 6 | 0.272727 | 0.5 | 0.810811 | 8207 | 6655 | 0.5 | 24400 | 28538 | 3.477 |
|  | 80-84 | 16 | 6 | 0.375000 | 0.5 | 0.967742 | 1553 | 1503 | 0.5 | 4007 | 4138 | 2.665 |
|  | 85+ | 21 | 8 | 0.380952 | 1 | 3.934744 | 50 | 50 | 1 | 131 | 131 | 2.625 |
| $\stackrel{\square}{6}$ | Life Table: North Female 1982 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\underline{\underline{n}}^{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{g}^{\text {i }}$ | 5 | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {d }}$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\underline{L_{i}}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | $<1$ | 70 | 7 | 0.100000 | 0.07 | 0.091491 | 100000 | 9149 | 0.07 | 91491 | 4393688 | 43.937 |
|  | 1-4 | 392 | 3 | 0.007653 | 0.5 | 0.030151 | 90851 | 2739 | 0.5 | 357925 | 4302197 | 47.354 |
|  | 5-9 | 484 | 1 | 0.002066 | 0.5 | 0.010277 | 88112 | 906 | 0.5 | 438294 | 3944272 | 44.764 |
|  | 10-14 | 532 | 2 | 0.003759 | 0.5 | 0.018622 | 87206 | 1624 | 0.5 | 431971 | 3505977 | 40.203 |
|  | 15-19 | 451 | 4 | 0.008869 | 0.5 | 0.043384 | 85582 | 3713 | 0.5 | 418628 | 3074007 | 35.919 |
|  | 20.24 | 366 | 5 | 0.013661 | 0.5 | 0.066050 | 81869 | 5407 | 0.5 | 395828 | 2655378 | 32.434 |
|  | 25-29 | 271 | 4 | 0.014760 | 0.5 | 0.071174 | 76462 | 5442 | 0.5 | 368704 | 2259551 | 29.551 |
|  | 30-34 | 203 | 2 | 0.009852 | 0.5 | 0.048077 | 71020 | 3414 | 0.5 | 346562 | 1890847 | 26.624 |
|  | 35-39 | 156 | 3 | 0.019231 | 0.5 | 0.091743 | 67605 | 6202 | 0.5 | 322520 | 1544285 | 22.843 |
|  | 40-44 | 127 | 3 | 0.023622 | 0.5 | 0.111524 | 61403 | 6848 | 0.5 | 289895 | 1221765 | 19.898 |
|  | 45-49 | 98 | 3 | 0.030612 | 0.5 | 0.142180 | 54555 | 7757 | 0.5 | 253383 | 931870 | 17.081 |
|  | 50-54 | 83 | 4 | 0.048193 | 0.5 | 0.215054 | 46798 | 10064 | 0.5 | 208831 | 678486 | 14.498 |
|  | 55-59 | 62 | 2 | 0.032258 | 0.5 | 0.149254 | 36734 | 5483 | 0.5 | 169964 | 469655 | 12.785 |
|  | 60-64 | 58 | 4 | 0.068966 | 0.5 | 0.294118 | 31251 | 9192 | 0.5 | 133278 | 299691 | 9.590 |
|  | 65-69 | 58 | 6 | 0.103448 | 0.5 | 0.410959 | 22060 | 9066 | 0.5 | 87635 | 166412 | 7.544 |
|  | 70-74 | 41 | 4 | 0.097561 | 0.5 | 0.392157 | 12994 | 5096 | 0.5 | 52231 | 78777 | 6.063 |
|  | 75-79 | 21 | 6 | 0.285714 | 0.5 | 0.833333 | 7898 | 6582 | 0.5 | 23037 | 26546 | 3.361 |
|  | 80-84 | 16 | 6 | 0.375000 | 0.5 | 0.967742 | 1316 | 1274 | 0.5 | 3397 | 3509 | 2.665 |
|  | 85+ | 21 | 8 | 0.380952 | , | 3.987291 | 42 | 42 | . | 111 | 111 | 2.625 |


|  | Life Table: South 1980 Adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | $\underline{n}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {j }}$ | 4 | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $L^{1}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{i}$ |
|  | <1 | 210 | 7 | 0.033333 | 0.07 | 0.032331 | 100000 | 3233 | 0.07 | 96993 | 5777401 | 57.774 |
|  | 1-4 | 798 | 3 | 0.003759 | 0.5 | 0.014925 | 96767 | 1444 | 0.5 | 384179 | 5680408 | 58.702 |
|  | 5-9 | 1017 | 1 | 0.000983 | 0.5 | 0.004904 | 95323 | 467 | 0.5 | 475444 | 5296229 | 55.561 |
|  | 10-14 | 1106 | 2 | 0.001808 | 0.5 | 0.009001 | 94855 | 854 | 0.5 | 472141 | 4820785 | 50.823 |
|  | 15-19 | 1050 | 4 | 0.003810 | 0.5 | 0.018868 | 94001 | 1774 | 0.5 | 465573 | 4348643 | 46.262 |
|  | 20-24 | 735 | 5 | 0.006803 | 0.5 | 0.033445 | 92228 | 3085 | 0.5 | 453427 | 3883071 | 42.103 |
|  | 25-29 | 566 | 4 | 0.007067 | 0.5 | 0.034722 | 89143 | 3095 | 0.5 | 437978 | 3429644 | 38.473 |
|  | 30-34 | 433 | 2 | 0.004619 | 0.5 | 0.022831 | 86048 | 1965 | 0.5 | 425328 | 2991666 | 34.767 |
|  | 35-39 | 354 | 3 | 0.008475 | 0.5 | 0.041494 | 84083 | 3489 | 0.5 | 411695 | 2566337 | 30.521 |
|  | 40-44 | 268 | 3 | 0.011194 | 0.5 | 0.054446 | 80594 | 4388 | 0.5 | 392002 | 2154643 | 26.734 |
|  | 45-49 | 230 | 3 | 0.013043 | 0.5 | 0.063158 | 76206 | 4813 | 0.5 | 368999 | 1762641 | 23.130 |
|  | 50-54 | 180 | 4 | 0.022222 | 0.5 | 0.105263 | 71393 | 7515 | 0.5 | 338179 | 1393642 | 19.521 |
|  | 55-59 | 138 | 2 | 0.014493 | 0.5 | 0.069930 | 63878 | 4467 | 0.5 | 308224 | 1055463 | 16.523 |
|  | 60-64 | 100 | 4 | 0.040000 | 0.5 | 0.181818 | 59411 | 10802 | 0.5 | 270051 | 747239 | 12.577 |
|  | 65-69 | 72 | 6 | 0.083333 | 0.5 | 0.344828 | 48609 | 16762 | 0.5 | 201141 | 477188 | 9.817 |
|  | 70-74 | 74 | 4 | 0.054054 | 0.5 | 0.238095 | 31847 | 7583 | 0.5 | 140280 | 276047 | 8.668 |
|  | 75-79 | 41 | 6 | 0.146341 | 0.5 | 0.535714 | 24265 | 12999 | 0.5 | 88826 | 135767 | 5.595 |
|  | 80-84 | 30 | 6 | 0.200000 | 0.5 | 0.666667 | 11266 | 7510 | 0.5 | 37552 | 46941 | 4.167 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ | 85+ | 20 | 8 | 0.400000 | 1 | 2.472441 | 3755 | 3755 | 1 | 9388 | 9388 | 2.500 |
|  | Life Table: South 1980 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\underline{n}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $t$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $\underline{L}_{1}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | <1 | 139 | 7 | 0.050360 | 0.07 | 0.048107 | 100000 | 4811 | 0.07 | 95526 | 5672347 | 56.723 |
|  | 1-4 | 753 | 3 | 0.003984 | 0.5 | 0.015810 | 95189 | 1505 | 0.5 | 377747 | 5576821 | 58.587 |
|  | 5-9 | 1004 | 1 | 0.000996 | 0.5 | 0.004968 | 93684 | 465 | 0.5 | 467258 | 5199073 | 55.496 |
|  | 10-14 | 1108 | 2 | 0.001805 | 0.5 | 0.008985 | 93219 | 838 | 0.5 | 464001 | 4731815 | 50.760 |
|  | 15-19 | 1051 | 4 | 0.003806 | 0.5 | 0.018850 | 92381 | 1741 | 0.5 | 457554 | 4267814 | 46.198 |
|  | 20-24 | 738 | 5 | 0.006775 | 0.5 | 0.033311 | 90640 | 3019 | 0.5 | 445652 | 3810260 | 42.037 |
|  | 25-29 | 568 | 4 | 0.007042 | 0.5 | 0.034602 | 87621 | 3032 | 0.5 | 430524 | 3364608 | 38.400 |
|  | 30-34 | 432 | 2 | 0.004630 | 0.5 | 0.022883 | 84589 | 1936 | 0.5 | 418105 | 2934085 | 34.686 |
|  | 35-39 | 353 | 3 | 0.008499 | 0.5 | 0.041609 | 82653 | 3439 | 0.5 | 404668 | 2515980 | 30.440 |
|  | 40-44 | 268 | 3 | 0.011194 | 0.5 | 0.054446 | 79214 | 4313 | 0.5 | 385288 | 2111312 | 26.653 |
|  | 45-49 | 230 | 3 | 0.013043 | 0.5 | 0.063158 | 74901 | 4731 | 0.5 | 362679 | 1726024 | 23.044 |
|  | 50-54 | 179 | 4 | 0.022346 | 0.5 | 0.105820 . | 70171 | 7425 | 0.5 | 332289 | 1363344 | 19.429 |
|  | 55-59 | 138 | 2 | 0.014493 | 0.5 | 0.069930 | 62745 | 4388 | 0.5 | 302756 | 1031055 | 16.432 |
|  | 60-64 | 100 | 4 | 0.040000 | 0.5 | 0.181818 | 58357 | 10610 | 0.5 | 265261 | 728299 | 12.480 |
|  | 65-69 | 69 | 6 | 0.086957 | 0.5 | 0.357143 | 47747 | 17052 | 0.5 | 196103 | 463039 | 9.698 |
|  | 70-74 | 75 | 4 | 0.053333 | 0.5 | 0.235294 | 30694 | 7222 | 0.5 | 135417 | 266935 | 8.697 |
|  | 75-79 | 42 | 6 | 0.142857 | 0.5 | 0.526316 | 23472 | 12354 | 0.5 | 86477 | 131519 | 5.603 |
|  | 80-84 | 29 | 6 | 0.206897 | 0.5 | 0.681818 | 11118 | 7581 | 0.5 | 36640 | 45042 | 4.051 |
|  | 85+ | 19 | 8 | 0.421053 | 1 | 2.504869 | 3538 | 3538 | 1 | 8402 | 8402 | 2.375 |




|  | Life Table: South Male 1980 Adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | $\underline{n}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{a}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{1}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{d}^{\text {i }}$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $L_{i}$ | $1{ }^{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | $<1$ | 112 | 7 | 0.0625 | 0.07 | 0.059066745 | 100000 | 5907 | 0.07 | 94507 | 4693838 | 46.938 |
|  | 1-4 | 419 | 3 | 0.007159905 | 0.5 | 0.028235 | 94093 | 2657 | 0.5 | 371060 | 4599332 | 48.881 |
|  | 5-9 | 512 | 1 | 0.001953125 | 0.5 | 0.009718 | 91437 | 889 | 0.5 | 454961 | 4228272 | 46.243 |
|  | 10-14 | 572 | 2 | 0.003496503 | 0.5 | 0.017331 | 90548 | 1569 | 0.5 | 448817 | 3773311 | 41.672 |
|  | 15-19 | 553 | 4 | 0.007233273 | 0.5 | 0.035524 | 88979 | 3161 | 0.5 | 436991 | 3324494 | 37.363 |
|  | 20-24 | 393 | 5 | 0.012722646 | 0.5 | 0.061652 | 85818 | 5291 | 0.5 | 415862 | 2887503 | 33.647 |
|  | 25-29 | 281 | 4 | 0.014234875 | 0.5 | 0.068729 | 80527 | 5534 | 0.5 | 388798 | 2471641 | 30.693 |
|  | 30-34 | 220 | 2 | 0.009090909 | 0.5 | 0.044444 | 74992 | 3333 | 0.5 | 366630 | 2082842 | 27.774 |
|  | 35-39 | 178 | 3 | 0.016853933 | 0.5 | 0.080863 | 71659 | 5795 | 0.5 | 343811 | 1716212 | 23.950 |
|  | 40-44 | 151 | 3 | 0.01986755 | 0.5 | 0.094637 | 65865 | 6233 | 0.5 | 313741 | 1372402 | 20.837 |
|  | 45-49 | 130 | 3 | 0.023076923 | 0.5 | 0.109091 | 59632 | 6505 | 0.5 | 281895 | 1058660 | 17.753 |
|  | 50-54 | 94 | 4 | 0.042553191 | 0.5 | 0.192308 | 53126 | 10217 | 0.5 | 240090 | 776765 | 14.621 |
|  | 55-59 | 73 | 2 | 0.02739726 | 0.5 | 0.128205 | 42910 | 5501 | 0.5 | 200796 | 536675 | 12.507 |
|  | 60-64 | 59 | 4 | 0.06779661 | 0.5 | 0.289855 | 37408 | 10843 | 0.5 | 159935 | 335880 | 8.979 |
|  | 65-69 | 42 | 6 | 0.142857143 | 0.5 | 0.526316 | 26565 | 13982 | 0.5 | 97873 | 175945 | 6.623 |
|  | 70-74 | 41 | 4 | 0.097560976 | 0.5 | 0.392157 | 12584 | 4935 | 0.5 | 50581 | 78072 | 6.204 |
|  | 75-79 | 24 | 6 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.769231 | 7649 | 5884 | 0.5 | 23535 | 27491 | 3.594 |
|  | 80-84 | 13 | 6 | 0.461538462 | 0.5 | 1.071429 | 1765 | 1891 | 0.5 | 4098 | 3956 | 2.241 |
|  | 85+ | 9 | 8 | 0.888888889 | 1 | 3.978791014 | -126 | -126 | 1 | -142 | -142 | 1.125 |
| $\bar{\omega}$ | Life Table: South Male 1980 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | t | $\mathrm{a}_{i}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $\underline{L}_{i}$ | $\underline{1}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | <1 | 78 | 7 | 0.08974359 | 0.07 | 0.082830 | 100000 | 8283 | 0.07 | 92297 | 4564338 | 45.643 |
|  | 1-4 | 399 | 3 | 0.007518797 | 0.5 | 0.029630 | 91717 | 2718 | 0.5 | 361433 | 4472041 | 48.759 |
|  | 5-9 | 508 | 1 | 0.001968504 | 0.5 | 0.009794 | 88999 | 872 | 0.5 | 442818 | 4110608 | 46.187 |
|  | 10-14 | 572 | 2 | 0.003496503 | 0.5 | 0.017331 | 88128 | 1527 | 0.5 | 436820 | 3667790 | 41.619 |
|  | 15-19 | 555 | 4 | 0.007207207 | 0.5 | 0.035398 | 86600 | 3066 | 0.5 | 425338 | 3230970 | 37.309 |
|  | 20-24 | 394 | 5 | 0.012690355 | 0.5 | 0.061501 | 83535 | 5137 | 0.5 | 404831 | 2805632 | 33.586 |
|  | 25-29 | 283 | 4 | 0.014134276 | 0.5 | 0.068259 | 78397 | 5351 | 0.5 | 378609 | 2400801 | 30.623 |
|  | 30-34 | 220 | 2 | 0.009090909 | 0.5 | 0.044444 | 73046 | 3246 | 0.5 | 357114 | 2022192 | 27.684 |
|  | 35-39 | 178 | 3 | 0.016853933 | 0.5 | 0.080863 | 69800 | 5644 | 0.5 | 334887 | 1665078 | 23.855 |
|  | 40-44 | 152 | 3 | 0.019736842 | 0.5 | 0.094044 | 64155 | 6033 | 0.5 | 305694 | 1330191 | 20.734 |
|  | 45-49 | 130 | 3 | 0.023076923 | 0.5 | 0.109091 | 58122 | 6341 | 0.5 | 274758 | 1024497 | 17.627 |
|  | 50-54 | 94 | 4 | 0.042553191 | 0.5 | 0.192308 | 51781 | 9958 | 0.5 | 234012 | 749739 | 14.479 |
|  | 55-59 | 72 | 2 | 0.027777778 | 0.5 | 0.129870 | 41823 | 5432 | 0.5 | 195538 | 515726 | 12.331 |
|  | 60-64 | 59 | 4 | 0.06779661 | 0.5 | 0.289855 | 36392 | 10548 | 0.5 | 155588 | 320188 | 8.798 |
|  | 65-69 | 39 | 6 | 0.153846154 | 0.5 | 0.555556 | 25843 | 14357 | 0.5 | 93324 | 164600 | 6.369 |
|  | 70-74 | 41 | 4 | 0.097560976 | 0.5 | 0.392157 | 11486 | 4504 | 0.5 | 46169 | 71276 | 6.206 |
|  | 75-79 | 24 | 6 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.769231 | 6982 | 5371 | 0.5 | 21482 | 25107 | 3.596 |
|  | 80-84 | 13 | 6 | 0.461538462 | 0.5 | 1.071429 | 1611 | 1726 | 0.5 | 3740 | 3625 | 2.250 |
|  | 85+ | 8 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 4.033590064 | -115 | -115 | 1 | -115 | -115 | 1.000 |



|  | Life Table: South Female 1981 Adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | <1 | 96 | 7 | 0.072917 | 0.07 | 0.068286 | 100000 | 6829 | 0.07 | 93649 | 4508715 | 45.087 |
|  | 1-4 | 384 | 3 | 0.007813 | 0.5 | 0.030769 | 93171 | 2867 | 0.5 | 366952 | 4415066 | 47.386 |
|  | 5-9 | 483 | 1 | 0.002070 | 0.5 | 0.010299 | 90305 | 930 | 0.5 | 449198 | 4048114 | 44.827 |
|  | 10-14 | 539 | 2 | 0.003711 | 0.5 | 0.018382 | 89375 | 1643 | 0.5 | 442766 | 3598916 | 40.268 |
|  | 15-19 | 513 | 4 | 0.007797 | 0.5 | 0.038241 | 87732 | 3355 | 0.5 | 430271 | 3156150 | 35.975 |
|  | 20-24 | 375 | 5 | 0.013333 | 0.5 | 0.064516 | 84377 | 5444 | 0.5 | 408274 | 2725879 | 32.306 |
|  | 25-29 | 294 | 4 | 0.013605 | 0.5 | 0.065789 | 78933 | 5193 | 0.5 | 381683 | 2317605 | 29.362 |
|  | 30-34 | 237 | 2 | 0.008439 | 0.5 | 0.041322 | 73740 | 3047 | 0.5 | 361083 | 1935922 | 26.253 |
|  | 35-39 | 185 | 3 | 0.016216 | 0.5 | 0.077922 | 70693 | 5509 | 0.5 | 339694 | 1574839 | 22.277 |
|  | 40-44 | 126 | 3 | 0.023810 | 0.5 | 0.112360 | 65184 | 7324 | 0.5 | 307612 | 1235146 | 18.948 |
|  | 45-49 | 103 | 3 | 0.029126 | 0.5 | 0.135747 | 57860 | 7854 | 0.5 | 269666 | 927534 | 16.031 |
|  | 50-54 | 82 | 4 | 0.048780 | 0.5 | 0.217391 | 50006 | 10871 | 0.5 | 222853 | 657868 | 13.156 |
|  | 55-59 | 72 | 2 | 0.027778 | 0.5 | 0.129870 | 39135 | 5082 | 0.5 | 182969 | 435015 | 11.116 |
|  | 60-64 | 45 | 4 | 0.088889 | 0.5 | 0.363636 | 34053 | 12383 | 0.5 | 139306 | 252046 | 7.402 |
|  | 65-69 | 31 | 6 | 0.193548 | 0.5 | 0.652174 | 21670 | 14133 | 0.5 | 73018 | 112739 | 5.203 |
|  | 70-74 | 33 | 4 | 0.121212 | 0.5 | 0.465116 | 7537 | 3506 | 0.5 | 28922 | 39721 | 5.270 |
|  | 75-79 | 16 | 6 | 0.375000 | 0.5 | 0.967742 | 4032 | 3902 | 0.5 | 10404 | 10799 | 2.679 |
|  | 80-84 | 20 | 6 | 0.300000 | 0.5 | 0.857143 | 130 | 111 | 0.5 | 372 | 395 | 3.036 |
|  | 85+ | 10 | 8 | 0.800000 | 1 | 4.316706 | 19 | 19 | 1 | 23 | 23 | 1.250 |
| $\tilde{u}_{n}$ | Life Table: South Female 1981 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\underline{n}_{\text {n }}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | ${ }_{\text {L }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $1{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\underline{L}$ | $\underline{1}$ | ${ }_{\text {e }}$ |
|  | $<1$ | 69 | 7 | 0.101449 | 0.07 | 0.092703 | 100000 | 9270 | 0.07 | 91379 | 4384505 | 43.845 |
|  | 1-4 | 366 | 3 | 0.008197 | 0.5 | 0.032258 | 90730 | 2927 | 0.5 | 357065 | 4293126 | 47.318 |
|  | 5-9 | 480 | 1 | 0.002083 | 0.5 | 0.010363 | 87803 | 910 | 0.5 | 436740 | 3936061 | 44.828 |
|  | 10-14 | 542 | 2 | 0.003690 | 0.5 | 0.018282 | 86893 | 1589 | 0.5 | 430494 | 3499321 | 40.272 |
|  | 15-19 | 518 | 4 | 0.007722 | 0.5 | 0.037879 | 85305 | 3231 | 0.5 | 418445 | 3068827 | 35.975 |
|  | 20-24 | 377 | 5 | 0.013263 | 0.5 | 0.064185 | 82073 | 5268 | 0.5 | 397197 | 2650383 | 32.293 |
|  | 25-29 | 301 | 4 | 0.013289 | 0.5 | 0.064309 | 76805 | 4939 | 0.5 | 371679 | 2253186 | 29.336 |
|  | 30-34 | 220 | 2 | 0.009091 | 0.5 | 0.044444 | 71866 | 3194 | 0.5 | 351346 | 1881507 | 26.181 |
|  | 35-39 | 184 | 3 | 0.016304 | 0.5 | 0.078329 | 68672 | 5379 | 0.5 | 329913 | 1530161 | 22.282 |
|  | 40-44 | 125 | 3 | 0.024000 | 0.5 | 0.113208 | 63293 | 7165 | 0.5 | 298552 | 1200248 | 18.963 |
|  | 45-49 | 103 | 3 | 0.029126 | 0.5 | 0.135747 | 56128 | 7619 | 0.5 | 261591 | 901695 | 16.065 |
|  | 50-54 | 81 | 4 | 0.049383 | 0.5 | 0.219780 | 48509 | 10661 | 0.5 | 215890 | 640104 | 13.196 |
|  | 55-59 | 72 | 2 | 0.027778 | 0.5 | 0.129870 | 37847 | 4915 | 0.5 | 176949 | 424214 | 11.209 |
|  | 60-64 | 45 | 4 | 0.088889 | 0.5 | 0.363636 | 32932 | 11975 | 0.5 | 134723 | 247265 | 7.508 |
|  | 65-69 | 32 | 6 | 0.187500 | 0.5 | 0.638298 | 20957 | 13377 | 0.5 | 71342 | 112542 | 5.370 |
|  | 70-74 | 33 | 4 | 0.121212 | 0.5 | 0.465116 | 7580 | 3526 | 0.5 | 29087 | 41200 | 5.435 |
|  | 75-79 | 18 | 6 | 0.333333 | 0.5 | 0.909091 | 4054 | 3686 | 0.5 | 11058 | 12113 | 2.988 |
|  | 80-84 | 18 | 6 | 0.333333 | 0.5 | 0.909091 | 369 | 335 | 0.5 | 1005 | 1056 | 2.864 |
|  | 85+ | 12 | 8 | 0.666667 | 1 | 4.326588 | 34 | 34 | 1 | 50 | 50 | 1.500 |


|  | Life Tab | Fem |  |  |  |  | 1 | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {i }}$ | $1{ }_{\text {i }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <1 | 101 | 7 | 0.069307 | 0.07 | 0.065110 | 100000 | 6511 | 0.07 | 93945 | 4595614 | 45.956 |
|  | 1-4 | 381 | 3 | 0.007874 | 0.5 | 0.031008 | 93489 | 2899 | 0.5 | 368158 | 4501669 | 48.152 |
|  | 5-9 | 466 | 1 | 0.002146 | 0.5 | 0.010672 | 90590 | 967 | 0.5 | 450533 | 4133511 | 45.629 |
|  | 10-14 | 546 | 2 | 0.003663 | 0.5 | 0.018149 | 89623 | 1627 | 0.5 | 444050 | 3682978 | 41.094 |
|  | 15-19 | 523 | 4 | 0.007648 | 0.5 | 0.037523 | 87997 | 3302 | 0.5 | 431729 | 3238928 | 36.807 |
|  | 20-24 | 411 | 5 | 0.012165 | 0.5 | 0.059032 | 84695 | 5000 | 0.5 | 410975 | 2807199 | 33.145 |
|  | 25-29 | 306 | 4 | 0.013072 | 0.5 | 0.063291 | 79695 | 5044 | 0.5 | 385865 | 2396224 | 30.067 |
|  | 30-34 | 245 | 2 | 0.008163 | 0.5 | 0.040000 | 74651 | 2986 | 0.5 | 365790 | 2010359 | 26.930 |
|  | 35-39 | 200 | 3 | 0.015000 | 0.5 | 0.072289 | 71665 | 5181 | 0.5 | 345374 | 1644568 | 22.948 |
|  | 40-44 | 142 | 3 | 0.021127 | 0.5 | 0.100334 | 66484 | 6671 | 0.5 | 315746 | 1299195 | 19.541 |
|  | 45-49 | 102 | 3 | 0.029412 | 0.5 | 0.136986 | 59814 | 8194 | 0.5 | 278585 | 983449 | 16.442 |
|  | 50.54 | 89 | 4 | 0.044944 | 0.5 | 0.202020 | 51620 | 10428 | 0.5 | 232030 | 704864 | 13.655 |
|  | 55.59 | 70 | 2 | 0.028571 | 0.5 | 0.133333 | 41192 | 5492 | 0.5 | 192228 | 472835 | 11.479 |
|  | 60-64 | 53 | 4 | 0.075472 | 0.5 | 0.317460 | 35700 | 11333 | 0.5 | 150165 | 280606 | 7.860 |
|  | 65-69 | 32 | 6 | 0.187500 | 0.5 | 0.638298 | 24366 | 15553 | 0.5 | 82949 | 130442 | 5.353 |
|  | 70-74 | 30 | 4 | 0.133333 | 0.5 | 0.500000 | 8813 | 4407 | 0.5 | 33050 | 47492 | 5.389 |
|  | 75-79 | 20 | 6 | 0.300000 | 0.5 | 0.857143 | 4407 | 3777 | 0.5 | 12591 | 14442 | 3.277 |
|  | 80-84 | 19 | 6 | 0.315789 | 0.5 | 0.882353 | 630 | 555 | 0.5 | 1759 | 1852 | 2.941 |
|  | 85+ | 10 | 8 | 0.800000 | 1 | 4.165003 | 74 | 74 | 1 | 93 | 93 | 1.250 |
| 6 | Life Table: South Female 1982 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\underline{n}$ | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Li | $\mathrm{a}_{i}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{1}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $\underline{L}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\text {i }}$ |
|  | $<1$ | 74 | 7 | 0.094595 | 0.07 | 0.086946 | 100000 | 8695 | 0.07 | 91914 | 4481101 | 44.811 |
|  | 1-4 | 367 | 3 | 0.008174 | 0.5 | 0.032172 | 91305 | 2937 | 0.5 | 359347 | 4389187 | 48.071 |
|  | 5-9 | 464 | 1 | 0.002155 | 0.5 | 0.010718 | 88368 | 947 | 0.5 | 439472 | 4029840 | 45.603 |
|  | 10-14 | 548 | 2 | 0.003650 | 0.5 | 0.018083 | 87421 | 1581 | 0.5 | 433152 | 3590368 | 41.070 |
|  | 15-19 | 532 | 4 | 0.007519 | 0.5 | 0.036900 | 85840 | 3168 | 0.5 | 421281 | 3157216 | 36.780 |
|  | 20-24 | 417 | 5 | 0.011990 | 0.5 | 0.058207 | 82672 | 4812 | 0.5 | 401332 | 2735934 | 33.094 |
|  | 25-29 | 315 | 4 | 0.012698 | 0.5 | 0.061538 | 77860 | 4791 | 0.5 | 377323 | 2334602 | 29.984 |
|  | 30-34 | 232 | 2 | 0.008621 | 0.5 | 0.042194 | 73069 | 3083 | 0.5 | 357637 | 1957279 | 26.787 |
|  | 35-39 | 184 | 3 | 0.016304 | 0.5 | 0.078329 | 69986 | 5482 | 0.5 | 336224 | 1599642 | 22.857 |
|  | 40-44 | 141 | 3 | 0.021277 | 0.5 | 0.101010 | 64504 | 6516 | 0.5 | 306231 | 1263418 | 19.587 |
|  | 45-49 | 103 | 3 | 0.029126 | 0.5 | 0.135747 | 57988 | 7872 | 0.5 | 270263 | 957187 | 16.507 |
|  | 50-54 | 88 | 4 | 0.045455 | 0.5 | 0.204082 | 50117 | 10228 | 0.5 | 225014 | 686924 | 13.707 |
|  | 55-59 | 69 | 2 | 0.028986 | 0.5 | 0.135135 | 39889 | 5390 | 0.5 | 185968 | 461911 | 11.580 |
|  | 60-64 | 52 | 4 | 0.076923 | 0.5 | 0.322581 | 34498 | 11129 | 0.5 | 144671 | 275943 | 7.999 |
|  | 65-69 | 35 | 6 | 0.171429 | 0.5 | 0.600000 | 23370 | 14022 | 0.5 | 81795 | 131272 | 5.617 |
|  | 70-74 | 29 | 4 | 0.137931 | 0.5 | 0.512821 | 9348 | 4794 | 0.5 | 34755 | 49477 | 5.293 |
|  | 75-79 | 20 | 6 | 0.300000 | 0.5 | 0.857143 | 4554 | 3904 | 0.5 | 13012 | 14722 | 3.233 |
|  | 80-84 | 16 | 6 | 0.375000 | 0.5 | 0.967742 | 651 | 630 | 0.5 | 1679 | 1710 | 2.629 |
|  | 85+ | 12 | 8 | 0.666667 | 1 | 4.261347 | 21 | 21 | 1 | 31 | 31 | 1.500 |



|  | Life Table: On-Reserve 1981 Adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {i }}$ | 4 | $\mathrm{a}_{i}$ | $\mathrm{g}^{\text {i }}$ | 1 | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{i}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{i}$ |
|  | <1 | 280 | 7 | 0.025000 | 0.07 | 0.024432 | 100000 | 2443 | 0.07 | 97728 | 6416018 | 64.160 |
|  | 1-4 | 1141 | 3 | 0.002629 | 0.5 | 0.010462 | 97557 | 1021 | 0.5 | 388186 | 6318291 | 64.765 |
|  | 5-9 | 1374 | 1 | 0.000728 | 0.5 | 0.003632 | 96536 | 351 | 0.5 | 481804 | 5930105 | 61.429 |
|  | 10-14 | 1413 | 2 | 0.001415 | 0.5 | 0.007052 | 96185 | 678 | 0.5 | 479232 | 5448301 | 56.644 |
|  | 15-19 | 1393 | 4 | 0.002872 | 0.5 | 0.014255 | 95507 | 1361 | 0.5 | 474132 | 4969069 | 52.028 |
|  | 20-24 | 1128 | 5 | 0.004433 | 0.5 | 0.021920 | 94146 | 2064 | 0.5 | 465569 | 4494937 | 47.744 |
|  | 25-29 | 754 | 4 | 0.005305 | 0.5 | 0.026178 | 92082 | 2411 | 0.5 | 454384 | 4029367 | 43.758 |
|  | 30-34 | 537 | 2 | 0.003724 | 0.5 | 0.018450 | 89671 | 1654 | 0.5 | 444221 | 3574983 | 39.868 |
|  | 35-39 | 422 | 3 | 0.007109 | 0.5 | 0.034924 | 88017 | 3074 | 0.5 | 432400 | 3130762 | 35.570 |
|  | 40-44 | 347 | 3 | 0.008646 | 0.5 | 0.042313 | 84943 | 3594 | 0.5 | 415730 | 2698362 | 31.767 |
|  | 45-49 | 295 | 3 | 0.010169 | 0.5 | 0.049587 | 81349 | 4034 | 0.5 | 396660 | 2282632 | 28.060 |
|  | 50-54 | 240 | 4 | 0.016667 | 0.5 | 0.080000 | 77315 | 6185 | 0.5 | 371112 | 1885972 | 24.393 |
|  | 55-59 | 212 | 2 | 0.009434 | 0.5 | 0.046083 | 71130 | 3278 | 0.5 | 347455 | 1514860 | 21.297 |
|  | 60-64 | 175 | 4 | 0.022857 | 0.5 | 0.108108 | 67852 | 7335 | 0.5 | 320922 | 1167405 | 17.205 |
|  | 65-69 | 138 | 6 | 0.043478 | 0.5 | 0.196078 | 60517 | 11866 | 0.5 | 272918 | 846483 | 13.988 |
|  | 70-74 | 142 | 4 | 0.028169 | 0.5 | 0.131579 | 48651 | 6401 | 0.5 | 227250 | 573565 | 11.789 |
|  | 75-79 | 75 | 6 | 0.080000 | 0.5 | 0.333333 | 42249 | 14083 | 0.5 | 176038 | 346316 | 8.197 |
|  | 80-84 | 51 | 6 | 0.117647 | 0.5 | 0.454545 | 28166 | 12803 | 0.5 | 108824 | 170277 | 6.045 |
|  | 85+ | 32 | 8 | 0.250000 | 1 | 1.602934 | 15363 | 15363 | 1 | 61453 | 61453 | 4.000 |
| $\underset{\sim}{\vec{\infty}}$ | Life Table: On-Reserve 1981 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {i }}$ | t | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | ${ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {i }}$ | $L_{i}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\text {i }}$ |
|  | $<1$ | 217 | 7 | 0.032258 | 0.07 | 0.031319 | 100000 | 3132 | 0.07 | 97087 | 6373791 | 63.738 |
|  | 1-4 | 1129 | 3 | 0.002657 | 0.5 | 0.010573 | 96868 | 1024 | 0.5 | 385424 | 6276703 | 64.796 |
|  | 5-9 | 1366 | 1 | 0.000732 | 0.5 | 0.003654 | 95844 | 350 | 0.5 | 478345 | 5891279 | 61.467 |
|  | 10-14 | 1419 | 2 | 0.001409 | 0.5 | 0.007022 | 95494 | 671 | 0.5 | 475793 | 5412934 | 56.684 |
|  | 15-19 | 1407 | 4 | 0.002843 | 0.5 | 0.014114 | 94823 | 1338 | 0.5 | 470770 | 4937142 | 52.067 |
|  | 20-24 | 1102 | 5 | 0.004537 | 0.5 | 0.022432 | 93485 | 2097 | 0.5 | 462182 | 4466372 | 47.776 |
|  | 25-29 | 751 | 4 | 0.005326 | 0.5 | 0.026281 | 91388 | 2402 | 0.5 | 450935 | 4004190 | 43.815 |
|  | 30-34 | 543 | 2 | 0.003683 | 0.5 | 0.018248 | 88986 | 1624 | 0.5 | 440871 | 3553255 | 39.930 |
|  | 35-39 | 436 | 3 | 0.006881 | 0.5 | 0.033822 | 87362 | 2955 | 0.5 | 429424 | 3112385 | 35.626 |
|  | 40-44 | 352 | 3 | 0.008523 | 0.5 | 0.041725 | 84407 | 3522 | 0.5 | 413233 | 2682960 | 31.786 |
|  | 45-49 | 297 | 3 | 0.010101 | 0.5 | 0.049261 | 80886 | 3985 | 0.5 | 394467 | 2269728 | 28.061 |
|  | 50-54 | 239 | 4 | 0.016736 | 0.5 | 0.080321 | 76901 | 6177 | 0.5 | 369063 | 1875261 | 24.385 |
|  | 55-59 | 210 | 2 | 0.009524 | 0.5 | 0.046512 | 70724 | 3290 | 0.5 | 345398 | 1506198 | 21.297 |
|  | 60-64 | 177 | 4 | 0.022599 | 0.5 | 0.106952 | 67435 | 7212 | 0.5 | 319143 | 1160800 | 17.214 |
|  | 65-69 | 135 | 6 | 0.044444 | 0.5 | 0.200000 | 60223 | 12045 | 0.5 | 271001 | 841657 | 13.976 |
|  | 70-74 | 138 | 4 | 0.028986 | 0.5 | 0.135135 | 48178 | 6511 | 0.5 | 224614 | 570655 | 11.845 |
|  | 75-79 | 77 | 6 | 0.077922 | 0.5 | 0.326087 | 41667 | 13587 | 0.5 | 174369 | 346042 | 8.305 |
|  | 80-84 | 51 | 6 | 0.117647 | 0.5 | 0.454545 | 28080 | 12764 | 0.5 | 108492 | 171672 | 6.114 |
|  | 85+ | 33 | 8 | 0.242424 | 1 | 1.608003 | 15316 | 15316 | 1 | 63181 | 63181 | 4.125 |


|  | $\frac{\text { Life Tabl }}{\text { Age }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\text {i }}$ | di | $t_{i}$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | I | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $L_{i}$ | $1{ }_{\text {i }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <1 | 275 | 7 | 0.025455 | 0.07 | 0.024866 | 100000 | 2487 | 0.07 | 97687 | 6450942 | 64.509 |
|  | 1-4 | 1134 | 3 | 0.002646 | 0.5 | 0.010526 | 97513 | 1026 | 0.5 | 388001 | 6353254 | 65.153 |
|  | 5-9 | 1370 | 1 | 0.000730 | 0.5 | 0.003643 | 96487 | 352 | 0.5 | 481556 | 5965254 | 61.824 |
|  | 10-14 | 1409 | 2 | 0.001419 | 0.5 | 0.007072 | 96135 | 680 | 0.5 | 478978 | 5483698 | 57.041 |
|  | 15-19 | 1409 | 4 | 0.002839 | 0.5 | 0.014094 | 95456 | 1345 | 0.5 | 473914 | 5004720 | 52.430 |
|  | 20-24 | 1192 | 5 | 0.004195 | 0.5 | 0.020756 | 94110 | 1953 | 0.5 | 465668 | 4530806 | 48.144 |
|  | 25-29 | 822 | 4 | 0.004866 | 0.5 | 0.024038 | 92157 | 2215 | 0.5 | 455246 | 4065138 | 44.111 |
|  | 30-34 | 572 | 2 | 0.003497 | 0.5 | 0.017331 | 89942 | 1559 | 0.5 | 445811 | 3609892 | 40.136 |
|  | 35-39 | 437 | 3 | 0.006865 | 0.5 | 0.033746 | 88383 | 2983 | 0.5 | 434458 | 3164081 | 35.800 |
|  | 40-44 | 356 | 3 | 0.008427 | 0.5 | 0.041265 | 85400 | 3524 | 0.5 | 418191 | 2729624 | 31.963 |
|  | 45-49 | 290 | 3 | 0.010345 | 0.5 | 0.050420 | 81876 | 4128 | 0.5 | 399060 | 2311433 | 28.231 |
|  | 50-54 | 262 | 4 | 0.015267 | 0.5 | 0.073529 | 77748 | 5717 | 0.5 | 374448 | 1912372 | 24.597 |
|  | 55-59 | 210 | 2 | 0.009524 | 0.5 | 0.046512 | 72031 | 3350 | 0.5 | 351780 | 1537925 | 21.351 |
|  | 60-64 | 182 | 4 | 0.021978 | 0.5 | 0.104167 | 68681 | 7154 | 0.5 | 325519 | 1186144 | 17.270 |
|  | 65-69 | 138 | 6 | 0.043478 | 0.5 | 0.196078 | 61527 | 12064 | 0.5 | 277473 | 860626 | 13.988 |
|  | 70-74 | 137 | 4 | 0.029197 | 0.5 | 0.136054 | 49463 | 6730 | 0.5 | 230489 | 583152 | 11.790 |
|  | 75-79 | 84 | 6 | 0.071429 | 0.5 | 0.303030 | 42733 | 12949 | 0.5 | 181291 | 352663 | 8.253 |
|  | 80-84 | 49 | 6 | 0.122449 | 0.5 | 0.468750 | 29784 | 13961 | 0.5 | 114015 | 171372 | 5.754 |
|  | 85+ | 29 | 8 | 0.275862 | 1 | 1.575879 | 15823 | 15823 | 1 | 57357 | 57357 | 3.625 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i}$ | Life Table: On-Reserve 1982 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\underline{n}_{\text {i }}$ | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | t | $\mathrm{a}_{i}$ | $\mathrm{g}^{\text {i }}$ | 1 | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | $\underline{L}_{i}$ | 1 | $\underline{e}_{i}$ |
|  | <1 | 220 | 7 | 0.031818 | 0.07 | 0.030904 | 100000 | 3090 | 0.07 | 97126 | 6421978 | 64.220 |
|  | 1-4 | 1106 | 3 | 0.002712 | 0.5 | 0.010791 | 96910 | 1046 | 0.5 | 385547 | 6324852 | 65.265 |
|  | 5-9 | 1347 | 1 | 0.000742 | 0.5 | 0.003705 | 95864 | 355 | 0.5 | 478431 | 5939305 | 61.956 |
|  | 10-14 | 1406 | 2 | 0.001422 | 0.5 | 0.007087 | 95509 | 677 | 0.5 | 475851 | 5460874 | 57.177 |
|  | 15-19 | 1428 | 4 | 0.002801 | 0.5 | 0.013908 | 94832 | 1319 | 0.5 | 470862 | 4985023 | 52.567 |
|  | 20-24 | 1150 | 5 | 0.004348 | 0.5 | 0.021505 | 93513 | 2011 | 0.5 | 462537 | 4514161 | 48.273 |
|  | 25-29 | 793 | 4 | 0.005044 | 0.5 | 0.024907 | 91502 | 2279 | 0.5 | 451812 | 4051625 | 44.279 |
|  | 30-34 | 573 | 2 | 0.003490 | 0.5 | 0.017301 | 89223 | 1544 | 0.5 | 442255 | 3599813 | 40.346 |
|  | 35-39 | 452 | 3 | 0.006637 | 0.5 | 0.032644 | 87679 | 2862 | 0.5 | 431240 | 3157558 | 36.013 |
|  | 40-44 | 366 | 3 | 0.008197 | 0.5 | 0.040161 | 84817 | 3406 | 0.5 | 415569 | 2726318 | 32.144 |
|  | 45-49 | 294 | 3 | 0.010204 | 0.5 | 0.049751 | 81411 | 4050 | 0.5 | 396928 | 2310749 | 28.384 |
|  | 50-54 | 259 | 4 | 0.015444 | 0.5 | 0.074349 | 77360 | 5752 | 0.5 | 372423 | 1913821 | 24.739 |
|  | 55-59 | 211 | 2 | 0.009479 | 0.5 | 0.046296 | 71609 | 3315 | 0.5 | 349755 | 1541399 | 21.525 |
|  | 60-64 | 181 | 4 | 0.022099 | 0.5 | 0.104712 | 68293 | 7151 | 0.5 | 323589 | 1191644 | 17.449 |
|  | 65-69 | 142 | 6 | 0.042254 | 0.5 | 0.191083 | 61142 | 11683 | 0.5 | 276503 | 868054 | 14.197 |
|  | 70-74 | 131 | 4 | 0.030534 | 0.5 | 0.141844 | 49459 | 7015 | 0.5 | 229757 | 591551 | 11.960 |
|  | 75-79 | 83 | 6 | 0.072289 | 0.5 | 0.306122 | 42444 | 12993 | 0.5 | 179736 | 361794 | 8.524 |
|  | 80-84 | 51 | 6 | 0.117647 | 0.5 | 0.454545 | 29451 | 13387 | 0.5 | 113787 | 182059 | 6.182 |
|  | 85+ | 34 | 8 | 0.235294 | 1 | 1.571617 | 16064 | 16064 | 1 | 68272 | 68272 | 4.250 |



|  | Life Table: On-Reserve Male 1981 Adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\underline{a r}^{1}$ | gi | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $L_{i}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | <1 | 142 | 7 | 0.049296 | 0.07 | 0.047135 | 100000 | 4713 | 0.07 | 95616 | 5288757 | 52.888 |
|  | 1-4 | 595 | 3 | 0.005042 | 0.5 | 0.019967 | 95287 | 1903 | 0.5 | 377341 | 5193141 | 54.500 |
|  | 5-9 | 703 | 1 | 0.001422 | 0.5 | 0.007087 | 93384 | 662 | 0.5 | 465265 | 4815800 | 51.570 |
|  | 10-14 | 683 | 2 | 0.002928 | 0.5 | 0.014535 | 92722 | 1348 | 0.5 | 460241 | 4350535 | 46.920 |
|  | 15-19 | 721 | 4 | 0.005548 | 0.5 | 0.027360 | 91374 | 2500 | 0.5 | 450622 | 3890293 | 42.575 |
|  | 20-24 | 583 | 5 | 0.008576 | 0.5 | 0.041982 | 88874 | 3731 | 0.5 | 435044 | 3439671 | 38.703 |
|  | 25-29 | 411 | 4 | 0.009732 | 0.5 | 0.047506 | 85143 | 4045 | 0.5 | 415605 | 3004627 | 35.289 |
|  | 30-34 | 296 | 2 | 0.006757 | 0.5 | 0.033223 | 81099 | 2694 | 0.5 | 398757 | 2589022 | 31.924 |
|  | 35-39 | 239 | 3 | 0.012552 | 0.5 | 0.060852 | 78404 | 4771 | 0.5 | 380094 | 2190265 | 27.936 |
|  | 40-44 | 191 | 3 | 0.015707 | 0.5 | 0.075567 | 73633 | 5564 | 0.5 | 354255 | 1810172 | 24.584 |
|  | 45-49 | 164 | 3 | 0.018293 | 0.5 | 0.087464 | 68069 | 5954 | 0.5 | 325461 | 1455916 | 21.389 |
|  | 50-54 | 129 | 4 | 0.031008 | 0.5 | 0.143885 | 62115 | 8937 | 0.5 | 288233 | 1130455 | 18.199 |
|  | 55-59 | 107 | 2 | 0.018692 | 0.5 | 0.089286 | 53178 | 4748 | 0.5 | 254020 | 842222 | 15.838 |
|  | 60-64 | 85 | 4 | 0.047059 | 0.5 | 0.210526 | 48430 | 10196 | 0.5 | 216660 | 588202 | 12.145 |
|  | 65-69 | 70 | 6 | 0.085714 | 0.5 | 0.352941 | 38234 | 13494 | 0.5 | 157435 | 371542 | 9.718 |
|  | 70-74 | 78 | 4 | 0.051282 | 0.5 | 0.227273 | 24740 | 5623 | 0.5 | 109642 | 214107 | 8.654 |
|  | 75-79 | 44 | 6 | 0.136364 | 0.5 | 0.508475 | 19117 | 9721 | 0.5 | 71284 | 104465 | 5.465 |
|  | 80-84 | 25 | 6 | 0.240000 | 0.5 | 0.750000 | 9397 | 7047 | 0.5 | 29364 | 33181 | 3.531 |
|  | 85+ | 13 | 8 | 0.615385 | 1 | 2.745061 | 2349 | 2349 | 1 | 3817 | 3817 | 1.625 |
| の | Life Table: On-Reserve Male 1981 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\underline{n}^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{i}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $1{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {j }}$ | $\underline{L}_{\text {i }}$ | $\underline{1}^{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{\text {e }}$ |
|  | $<1$ | 108 | 7 | 0.064815 | 0.07 | 0.061130 | 100000 | 6113 | 0.07 | 94315 | 5207479 | 52.075 |
|  | 1-4 | 591 | 3 | 0.005076 | 0.5 | 0.020101 | 93887 | 1887 | 0.5 | 371774 | 5113164 | 54.461 |
|  | 5-9 | 698 | 1 | 0.001433 | 0.5 | 0.007138 | 92000 | 657 | 0.5 | 458357 | 4741391 | 51.537 |
|  | 10-14 | 690 | 2 | 0.002899 | 0.5 | 0.014388 | 91343 | 1314 | 0.5 | 453430 | 4283033 | 46.889 |
|  | 15-19 | 726 | 4 | 0.005510 | 0.5 | 0.027174 | 90029 | 2446 | 0.5 | 444028 | 3829603 | 42.538 |
|  | 20-24 | 570 | 5 | 0.008772 | 0.5 | 0.042918 | 87582 | 3759 | 0.5 | 428515 | 3385575 | 38.656 |
|  | 25-29 | 405 | 4 | 0.009877 | 0.5 | 0.048193 | 83824 | 4040 | 0.5 | 409018 | 2957060 | 35.277 |
|  | 30-34 | 303 | 2 | 0.006601 | 0.5 | 0.032468 | 79784 | 2590 | 0.5 | 392443 | 2548042 | 31.937 |
|  | 35-39 | 244 | 3 | 0.012295 | 0.5 | 0.059642 | 77193 | 4604 | 0.5 | 374457 | 2155599 | 27.925 |
|  | 40-44 | 195 | 3 | 0.015385 | 0.5 | 0.074074 | 72589 | 5377 | 0.5 | 349505 | 1781141 | 24.537 |
|  | 45-49 | 166 | 3 | 0.018072 | 0.5 | 0.086455 | 67212 | 5811 | 0.5 | 321535 | 1431637 | 21.300 |
|  | 50-54 | 129 | 4 | 0.031008 | 0.5 | 0.143885 | 61402 | 8835 | 0.5 | 284921 | 1110101 | 18.079 |
|  | 55-59 | 107 | 2 | 0.018692 | 0.5 | 0.089286 | 52567 | 4693 | 0.5 | 251100 | 825180 | 15.698 |
|  | 60-64 | 86 | 4 | 0.046512 | 0.5 | 0.208333 | 47873 | 9974 | 0.5 | 214433 | 574080 | 11.992 |
|  | 65-69 | 64 | 6 | 0.093750 | 0.5 | 0.379747 | 37900 | 14392 | 0.5 | 153518 | 359647 | 9.489 |
|  | 70-74 | 77 | 4 | 0.051948 | 0.5 | 0.229885 | 23507 | 5404 | 0.5 | 104027 | 206129 | 8.769 |
|  | 75-79 | 46 | 6 | 0.130435 | 0.5 | 0.491803 | 18103 | 8903 | 0.5 | 68259 | 102102 | 5.640 |
|  | 80-84 | 27 | 6 | 0.222222 | 0.5 | 0.714286 | 9200 | 6572 | 0.5 | 29572 | 33843 | 3.679 |
|  | 85+ | 13 | 8 | 0.615385 | 1 | 2.730906 | 2629 | 2629 | 1 | 4271 | 4271 | 1.625 |


|  | Life Table: On-Reserve Male 1982 Adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | $\underline{n i}^{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{d}^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{i}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\underline{L}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{e}_{\text {i }}$ |
|  | $<1$ | 138 | 7 | 0.050725 | 0.07 | 0.048440 | 100000 | 4844 | 0.07 | 95495 | 5317325 | 53.173 |
|  | 1-4 | 584 | 3 | 0.005137 | 0.5 | 0.020339 | 95156 | 1935 | 0.5 | 376753 | 5221830 | 54.876 |
|  | 5-9 | 713 | 1 | 0.001403 | 0.5 | 0.006988 | 93221 | 651 | 0.5 | 464475 | 4845076 | 51.974 |
|  | 10-14 | 674 | 2 | 0.002967 | 0.5 | 0.014728 | 92569 | 1363 | 0.5 | 459438 | 4380602 | 47.322 |
|  | 15-19 | 731 | 4 | 0.005472 | 0.5 | 0.026991 | 91206 | 2462 | 0.5 | 449875 | 3921164 | 42.992 |
|  | 20-24 | 605 | 5 | 0.008264 | 0.5 | 0.040486 | 88744 | 3593 | 0.5 | 434739 | 3471289 | 39.116 |
|  | 25-29 | 442 | 4 | 0.009050 | 0.5 | 0.044248 | 85151 | 3768 | 0.5 | 416337 | 3036550 | 35.661 |
|  | 30-34 | 321 | 2 | 0.006231 | 0.5 | 0.030675 | 81384 | 2496 | 0.5 | 400677 | 2620212 | 32.196 |
|  | 35-39 | 248 | 3 | 0.012097 | 0.5 | 0.058708 | 78887 | 4631 | 0.5 | 382857 | 2219536 | 28.136 |
|  | 40-44 | 196 | 3 | 0.015306 | 0.5 | 0.073710 | 74256 | 5473 | 0.5 | 357596 | 1836678 | 24.734 |
|  | 45-49 | 157 | 3 | 0.019108 | 0.5 | 0.091185 | 68782 | 6272 | 0.5 | 328232 | 1479083 | 21.504 |
|  | 50-54 | 144 | 4 | 0.027778 | 0.5 | 0.129870 | 62510 | 8118 | 0.5 | 292257 | 1150851 | 18.411 |
|  | 55-59 | 108 | 2 | 0.018519 | 0.5 | 0.088496 | 54392 | 4813 | 0.5 | 259927 | 858594 | 15.785 |
|  | 60-64 | 88 | 4 | 0.045455 | 0.5 | 0.204082 | 49579 | 10118 | 0.5 | 222598 | 598666 | 12.075 |
|  | 65-69 | 65 | 6 | 0.092308 | 0.5 | 0.375000 | 39461 | 14798 | 0.5 | 160309 | 376068 | 9.530 |
|  | 70-74 | 75 | 4 | 0.053333 | 0.5 | 0.235294 | 24663 | 5803 | 0.5 | 108807 | 215759 | 8.748 |
|  | 75-79 | 49 | 6 | 0.122449 | 0.5 | 0.468750 | 18860 | 8841 | 0.5 | 72198 | 106952 | 5.671 |
|  | 80-84 | 25 | 6 | 0.240000 | 0.5 | 0.750000 | 10019 | 7514 | 0.5 | 31310 | 34754 | 3.469 |
|  | $85+$ | 11 | 8 | 0.727273 | 1 | 2.707989 | 2505 | 2505 | 1 | 3444 | 3444 | 1.375 |
| N | Life Table: On-Reserve Male 1982 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\underline{n}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{g}^{\text {i }}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | ${ }_{3}$ | $\underline{L}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{e}_{i}$ |
|  | $<1$ | 110 | 7 | 0.063636 | 0.07 | 0.060081 | 100000 | 6008 | 0.07 | 94412 | 5255616 | 52.556 |
|  | 1-4 | 572 | 3 | 0.005245 | 0.5 | 0.020761 | 93992 | 1951 | 0.5 | 372065 | 5161204 | 54.911 |
|  | 5-9 | 699 | 1 | 0.001431 | 0.5 | 0.007128 | 92041 | 656 | 0.5 | 458563 | 4789139 | 52.033 |
|  | 10-14 | 675 | 2 | 0.002963 | 0.5 | 0.014706 | 91385 | 1344 | 0.5 | 453563 | 4330576 | 47.389 |
|  | 15-19 | 744 | 4 | 0.005376 | 0.5 | 0.026525 | 90041 | 2388 | 0.5 | 444232 | 3877013 | 43.058 |
|  | 20-24 | 589 | 5 | 0.008489 | 0.5 | 0.041563 | 87652 | 3643 | 0.5 | 429154 | 3432781 | 39.164 |
|  | 25-29 | 426 | 4 | 0.009390 | 0.5 | 0.045872 | 84009 | 3854 | 0.5 | 410412 | 3003627 | 35.754 |
|  | 30-34 | 323 | 2 | 0.006192 | 0.5 | 0.030488 | 80156 | 2444 | 0.5 | 394668 | 2593215 | 32.352 |
|  | 35-39 | 258 | 3 | 0.011628 | 0.5 | 0.056497 | 77712 | 4390 | 0.5 | 377583 | 2198547 | 28.291 |
|  | 40-44 | 203 | 3 | 0.014778 | 0.5 | 0.071259 | 73321 | 5225 | 0.5 | 353545 | 1820964 | 24.835 |
|  | 45-49 | 161 | 3 | 0.018634 | 0.5 | 0.089021 | 68097 | 6062 | 0.5 | 325328 | 1467419 | 21.549 |
|  | 50-54 | 143 | 4 | 0.027972 | 0.5 | 0.130719 | 62035 | 8109 | 0.5 | 289900 | 1142092 | 18.411 |
|  | 55-59 | 112 | 2 | 0.017857 | 0.5 | 0.085470 | 53925 | 4609 | 0.5 | 258105 | 852192 | 15.803 |
|  | 60-64 | 86 | 4 | 0.046512 | 0.5 | 0.208333 | 49316 | 10274 | 0.5 | 220896 | 594087 | 12.046 |
|  | 65-69 | 64 | 6 | 0.093750 | 0.5 | 0.379747 | 39042 | 14826 | 0.5 | 158145 | 373191 | 9.559 |
|  | 70-74 | 75 | 4 | 0.053333 | 0.5 | 0.235294 | 24216 | 5698 | 0.5 | 106835 | 215046 | 8.880 |
|  | 75-79 | 50 | 6 | 0.120000 | 0.5 | 0.461538 | 18518 | 8547 | 0.5 | 71224 | 108210 | 5.843 |
|  | 80-84 | 28 | 6 | 0.214286 | 0.5 | 0.697674 | 9971 | 6957 | 0.5 | 32465 | 36987 | 3.709 |
|  | 85+ | 12 | 8 | 0.666667 | 1 | 2.662676 | 3015 | 3015 | 1 | 4522 | 4522 | 1.500 |


|  | Age | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {i }}$ | 9i | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {a }}$ | $\underline{L}^{1}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{e}}_{\text {i }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <1 | 140 | 7 | 0.050000 | 0.07 | 0.047778 | 100000 | 4778 | 0.07 | 95557 | 5023231 | 50.232 |
|  | 1-4 | 537 | 3 | 0.005587 | 0.5 | 0.022099 | 95222 | 2104 | 0.5 | 376680 | 4927675 | 51.749 |
|  | 5-9 | 692 | 1 | 0.001445 | 0.5 | 0.007199 | 93118 | 670 | 0.5 | 463913 | 4550995 | 48.874 |
|  | 10-14 | 716 | 2 | 0.002793 | 0.5 | 0.013870 | 92447 | 1282 | 0.5 | 459032 | 4087082 | 44.210 |
|  | 15-19 | 672 | 4 | 0.005952 | 0.5 | 0.029326 | 91165 | 2673 | 0.5 | 449142 | 3628050 | 39.796 |
|  | 20-24 | 493 | 5 | 0.010142 | 0.5 | 0.049456 | 88492 | 4376 | 0.5 | 431518 | 3178908 | 35.923 |
|  | 25-29 | 316 | 4 | 0.012658 | 0.5 | 0.061350 | 84115 | 5160 | 0.5 | 407675 | 2747390 | 32.662 |
|  | 30-34 | 226 | 2 | 0.008850 | 0.5 | 0.043290 | 78955 | 3418 | 0.5 | 386229 | 2339715 | 29.634 |
|  | 35-39 | 182 | 3 | 0.016484 | 0.5 | 0.079156 | 75537 | 5979 | 0.5 | 362737 | 1953485 | 25.861 |
|  | 40-44 | 153 | 3 | 0.019608 | 0.5 | 0.093458 | 69558 | 6501 | 0.5 | 331537 | 1590749 | 22.869 |
|  | 45-49 | 128 | 3 | 0.023438 | 0.5 | 0.110701 | 63057 | 6980 | 0.5 | 297834 | 1259212 | 19.969 |
|  | 50-54 | 109 | 4 | 0.036697 | 0.5 | 0.168067 | 56077 | 9425 | 0.5 | 256821 | 961378 | 17.144 |
|  | 55-59 | 109 | 2 | 0.018349 | 0.5 | 0.087719 | 46652 | 4092 | 0.5 | 223029 | 704557 | 15.102 |
|  | 60.64 | 83 | 4 | 0.048193 | 0.5 | 0.215054 | 42560 | 9153 | 0.5 | 189917 | 481529 | 11.314 |
|  | 65.69 | 63 | 6 | 0.095238 | 0.5 | 0.384615 | 33407 | 12849 | 0.5 | 134913 | 291612 | 8.729 |
|  | 70-74 | 61 | 4 | 0.065574 | 0.5 | 0.281690 | 20558 | 5791 | 0.5 | 88313 | 156699 | 7.622 |
|  | 75-79 | 30 | 6 | 0.200000 | 0.5 | 0.666667 | 14767 | 9845 | 0.5 | 49224 | 68386 | 4.631 |
|  | 80-84 | 27 | 6 | 0.222222 | 0.5 | 0.714286 | 4922 | 3516 | 0.5 | 15822 | 19162 | 3.893 |
|  | $85+$ | 19 | 8 | 0.421053 | I | 3.075781 | 1406 | 1406 | 1 | 3340 | 3340 | 2.375 |
| 3 | Life Table: On-Reserve Female 1980 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{i}$ | $\mathrm{g}^{\text {i }}$ | 1. | d | ai | $\underline{L}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | $<1$ | 99 | 7 | 0.070707 | 0.07 | 0.066344 | 100000 | 6634 | 0.07 | 93830 | 4924945 | 49.249 |
|  | 1-4 | 525 | 3 | 0.005714 | 0.5 | 0.022599 | 93366 | 2110 | 0.5 | 369242 | 4831115 | 51.744 |
|  | 5-9 | 683 | 1 | 0.001464 | 0.5 | 0.007294 | 91256 | 666 | 0.5 | 454614 | 4461873 | 48.894 |
|  | 10-14 | 716 | 2 | 0.002793 | 0.5 | 0.013870 | 90590 | 1256 | 0.5 | 449809 | 4007259 | 44.235 |
|  | 15-19 | 676 | 4 | 0.005917 | 0.5 | 0.029155 | 89334 | 2604 | 0.5 | 440157 | 3557450 | 39.822 |
|  | 20-24 | 489 | 5 | 0.010225 | 0.5 | 0.049850 | 86729 | 4323 | 0.5 | 422837 | 3117293 | 35.943 |
|  | 25-29 | 317 | 4 | 0.012618 | 0.5 | 0.061162 | 82406 | 5040 | 0.5 | 399428 | 2694457 | 32.698 |
|  | 30-34 | 226 | 2 | 0.008850 | 0.5 | 0.043290 | 77365 | 3349 | 0.5 | 378455 | 2295029 | 29.665 |
|  | 35-39 | 186 | 3 | 0.016129 | 0.5 | 0.077519 | 74016 | 5738 | 0.5 | 355737 | 1916575 | 25.894 |
|  | 40-44 | 153 | 3 | 0.019608 | 0.5 | 0.093458 | 68279 | 6381 | 0.5 | 325440 | 1560837 | 22.860 |
|  | 45-49 | 129 | 3 | 0.023256 | 0.5 | 0.109890 | 61897 | 6802 | 0.5 | 292482 | 1235397 | 19.959 |
|  | 50-54 | 108 | 4 | 0.037037 | 0.5 | 0.169492 | 55096 | 9338 | 0.5 | 252132 | 942914 | 17.114 |
|  | 55-59 | 109 | 2 | 0.018349 | 0.5 | 0.087719 | 45757 | 4014 | 0.5 | 218752 | 690782 | 15.097 |
|  | 60-64 | 83 | 4 | 0.048193 | 0.5 | 0.215054 | 41744 | 8977 | 0.5 | 186275 | 472030 | 11.308 |
|  | 65-69 | 64 | 6 | 0.093750 | 0.5 | 0.379747 | 32766 | 12443 | 0.5 | 132725 | 285756 | 8.721 |
|  | 70-74 | 60 | 4 | 0.066667 | 0.5 | 0.285714 | 20323 | 5807 | 0.5 | 87101 | 153031 | 7.530 |
|  | 75-79 | 30 | 6 | 0.200000 | 0.5 | 0.666667 | 14517 | 9678 | 0.5 | 48389 | 65930 | 4.542 |
|  | 80-84 | 24 | 6 | 0.250000 | 0.5 | 0.769231 | 4839 | 3722 | 0.5 | 14889 | 17541 | 3.625 |
|  | 85+ | 19 | 8 | 0.421053 | 1 | 3.148055 | 1117 | 1117 | 1 | 2652 | 2652 | 2.375 |



|  | $\frac{\text { Life Table }}{\text { Age }}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {n }}$ | di |  | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\underline{1}$ | di | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\underline{L}$ | 1 | $\underline{e_{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<1$ | 137 | 7 | 0.051095 | 0.07 | 0.048777 | 100000 | 4878 | 0.07 | 95464 | 5142596 | 51.426 |
|  | 1-4 | 551 | 3 | 0.005445 | 0.5 | 0.021544 | 95122 | 2049 | 0.5 | 376391 | 5047132 | 53.059 |
|  | 5-9 | 657 | 1 | 0.001522 | 0.5 | 0.007582 | 93073 | 706 | 0.5 | 463601 | 4670741 | 50.184 |
|  | 10-14 | 735 | 2 | 0.002721 | 0.5 | 0.013514 | 92367 | 1248 | 0.5 | 458716 | 4207141 | 45.548 |
|  | 15-19 | 678 | 4 | 0.005900 | 0.5 | 0.029070 | 91119 | 2649 | 0.5 | 448974 | 3748424 | 41.138 |
|  | 20-24 | 587 | 5 | 0.008518 | 0.5 | 0.041701 | 88470 | 3689 | 0.5 | 433128 | 3299451 | 37.294 |
|  | 25-29 | 380 | 4 | 0.010526 | 0.5 | 0.051282 | 84781 | 4348 | 0.5 | 413036 | 2866322 | 33.809 |
|  | 30-34 | 252 | 2 | 0.007937 | 0.5 | 0.038911 | 80433 | 3130 | 0.5 | 394342 | 2453287 | 30.501 |
|  | 35-39 | 189 | 3 | 0.015873 | 0.5 | 0.076336 | 77304 | 5901 | 0.5 | 371765 | 2058945 | 26.635 |
|  | 40-44 | 160 | 3 | 0.018750 | 0.5 | 0.089552 | 71403 | 6394 | 0.5 | 341027 | 1687180 | 23.629 |
|  | 45-49 | 134 | 3 | 0.022388 | 0.5 | 0.106007 | 65008 | 6891 | 0.5 | 307813 | 1346153 | 20.707 |
|  | 50-54 | 118 | 4 | 0.033898 | 0.5 | 0.156250 | 58117 | 9081 | 0.5 | 267883 | 1038340 | 17.866 |
|  | 55-59 | 102 | 2 | 0.019608 | 0.5 | 0.093458 | 49036 | 4583 | 0.5 | 233724 | 770457 | 15.712 |
|  | 60-64 | 94 | 4 | 0.042553 | 0.5 | 0.192308 | 44453 | 8549 | 0.5 | 200895 | 536733 | 12.074 |
|  | 65-69 | 73 | 6 | 0.082192 | 0.5 | 0.340909 | 35905 | 12240 | 0.5 | 148923 | 335839 | 9.354 |
|  | 70-74 | 62 | 4 | 0.064516 | 0.5 | 0.277778 | 23664 | 6573 | 0.5 | 101888 | 186916 | 7.899 |
|  | 75-79 | 35 | 6 | 0.171429 | 0.5 | 0.600000 | 17091 | 10255 | 0.5 | 59818 | 85028 | 4.975 |
|  | 80-84 | 25 | 6 | 0.240000 | 0.5 | 0.750000 | 6836 | 5127 | 0.5 | 21364 | 25209 | 3.688 |
|  | 85+ | 18 | 8 | 0.444444 | 1 | 2.934978 | 1709 | 1709 | 1 | 3845 | 3845 | 2.250 |
| 9 | Life Table: On-Reserve Female 1982 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\underline{n}_{\text {i }}$ | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | ${ }_{\text {L }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{i}$ | $\mathrm{gi}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{g}^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{3}$ | $\underline{L}_{1}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  | $<1$ | 110 | 7 | 0.063636 | 0.07 | 0.060081 | 100000 | 6008 | 0.07 | 94412 | 5068936 | 50.689 |
|  | 1-4 | 535 | 3 | 0.005607 | 0.5 | 0.022181 | 93992 | 2085 | 0.5 | 371798 | 4974524 | 52.925 |
|  | 5-9 | 648 | 1 | 0.001543 | 0.5 | 0.007686 | 91907 | 706 | 0.5 | 457769 | 4602726 | 50.080 |
|  | 10-14 | 731 | 2 | 0.002736 | 0.5 | 0.013587 | 91201 | 1239 | 0.5 | 452905 | 4144957 | 45.449 |
|  | 15-19 | 685 | 4 | 0.005839 | 0.5 | 0.028777 | 89962 | 2589 | 0.5 | 443335 | 3692051 | 41.040 |
|  | 20-24 | 561 | 5 | 0.008913 | 0.5 | 0.043592 | 87373 | 3809 | 0.5 | 427342 | 3248716 | 37.182 |
|  | 25-29 | 367 | 4 | 0.010899 | 0.5 | 0.053050 | 83564 | 4433 | 0.5 | 406737 | 2821374 | 33.763 |
|  | 30-34 | 250 | 2 | 0.008000 | 0.5 | 0.039216 | 79131 | 3103 | 0.5 | 387896 | 2414637 | 30.514 |
|  | 35-39 | 194 | 3 | 0.015464 | 0.5 | 0.074442 | 76028 | 5660 | 0.5 | 365989 | 2026741 | 26.658 |
|  | 40-44 | 163 | 3 | 0.018405 | 0.5 | 0.087977 | 70368 | 6191 | 0.5 | 336363 | 1660752 | 23.601 |
|  | 45-49 | 133 | 3 | 0.022556 | 0.5 | 0.106762 | 64177 | 6852 | 0.5 | 303757 | 1324388 | 20.636 |
|  | 50-54 | 116 | 4 | 0.034483 | 0.5 | 0.158730 | 57326 | 9099 | 0.5 | 263880 | 1020631 | 17.804 |
|  | 55-59 | 99 | 2 | 0.020202 | 0.5 | 0.096154 | 48226 | 4637 | 0.5 | 229539 | 756751 | 15.692 |
|  | 60-64 | 95 | 4 | 0.042105 | 0.5 | 0.190476 | 43589 | 8303 | 0.5 | 197189 | 527212 | 12.095 |
|  | 65-69 | 78 | 6 | 0.076923 | 0.5 | 0.322581 | 35286 | 11383 | 0.5 | 147976 | 330023 | 9.353 |
|  | 70-74 | 56 | 4 | 0.071429 | 0.5 | 0.303030 | 23904 | 7244 | 0.5 | 101410 | 182048 | 7.616 |
|  | 75-79 | 33 | 6 | 0.181818 | 0.5 | 0.625000 | 16660 | 10413 | 0.5 | 57269 | 80638 | 4.840 |
|  | 80-84 | 24 | 6 | 0.250000 | 0.5 | 0.769231 | 6248 | 4806 | 0.5 | 19223 | 23368 | 3.740 |
|  | $85+$ | 23 | 8 | 0.347826 | 1 | 3.002552 | 1442 | 1442 | 1 | 4145 | 4145 | 2.875 |



| Age | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $t_{i}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {i }}$ | $\underline{L}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <1 | 117 | 7 | 0.059829 | 0.07 | 0.056676 | 100000 | 5668 | 0.07 | 94729 | 4799843 | 47.998 |
| 1-4 | 503 | 3 | 0.005964 | 0.5 | 0.023576 | 94332 | 2224 | 0.5 | 372882 | 4705114 | 49.878 |
| 5-9 | 697 | 1 | 0.001435 | 0.5 | 0.007148 | 92108 | 658 | 0.5 | 458897 | 4332232 | 47.034 |
| 10-14 | 703 | 2 | 0.002845 | 0.5 | 0.014124 | 91450 | 1292 | 0.5 | 454021 | 3873336 | 42.355 |
| 15-19 | 579 | 4 | 0.006908 | 0.5 | 0.033956 | 90158 | 3061 | 0.5 | 443139 | 3419314 | 37.926 |
| 20-24 | 366 | 5 | 0.013661 | 0.5 | 0.066050 | 87097 | 5753 | 0.5 | 421103 | 2976176 | 34.171 |
| 25-29 | 336 | 4 | 0.011905 | 0.5 | 0.057803 | 81344 | 4702 | 0.5 | 394966 | 2555073 | 31.411 |
| 30-34 | 320 | 2 | 0.006250 | 0.5 | 0.030769 | 76642 | 2358 | 0.5 | 377316 | 2160106 | 28.184 |
| 35-39 | 252 | 3 | 0.011905 | 0.5 | 0.057803 | 74284 | 4294 | 0.5 | 360686 | 1782790 | 24.000 |
| 40-44 | 200 | 3 | 0.015000 | 0.5 | 0.072289 | 69990 | 5060 | 0.5 | 337302 | 1422105 | 20.319 |
| 45-49 | 136 | 3 | 0.022059 | 0.5 | 0.104530 | 64931 | 6787 | 0.5 | 307685 | 1084803 | 16.707 |
| 50-54 | 84 | 4 | 0.047619 | 0.5 | 0.212766 | 58143 | 12371 | 0.5 | 259790 | 777117 | 13.366 |
| 55-59 | 75 | 2 | 0.026667 | 0.5 | 0.125000 | 45773 | 5722 | 0.5 | 214559 | 517327 | 11.302 |
| 60-64 | 45 | 4 | 0.088889 | 0.5 | 0.363636 | 40051 | 14564 | 0.5 | 163845 | 302769 | 7.560 |
| 65-69 | 35 | 6 | 0.171429 | 0.5 | 0.600000 | 25487 | 15292 | 0.5 | 89204 | 138924 | 5.451 |
| 70-74 | 27 | 4 | 0.148148 | 0.5 | 0.540541 | 10195 | 5511 | 0.5 | 37197 | 49720 | 4.877 |
| 75-79 | 16 | 6 | 0.375000 | 0.5 | 0.967742 | 4684 | 4533 | 0.5 | 12088 | 12522 | 2.673 |
| 80-84 | 18 | 6 | 0.333333 | 0.5 | 0.909091 | 151 | 137 | 0.5 | 412 | 434 | 2.875 |
| 85+ | 13 | 8 | 0.615385 | 1 | 4.243500 | 14 | 14 | 1 | 22 | 22 | 1.625 |
| Life Table: Off-Reserve 1981 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\underline{n}_{i}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | tis | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | İ | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{ai}_{1}$ | $\underline{L}$ | $\underline{\text { I }}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{1}$ |
| <1 | 75 | 7 | 0.093333 | 0.07 | 0.085879 | 100000 | 8588 | 0.07 | 92013 | 4629922 | 46.299 |
| 1-4 | 426 | 3 | 0.007042 | 0.5 | 0.027778 | 91412 | 2539 | 0.5 | 360570 | 4537909 | 49.642 |
| 5-9 | 653 | 1 | 0.001531 | 0.5 | 0.007628 | 88873 | 678 | 0.5 | 442670 | 4177339 | 47.004 |
| 10-14 | 699 | 2 | 0.002861 | 0.5 | 0.014205 | 88195 | 1253 | 0.5 | 437843 | 3734669 | 42.346 |
| 15-19 | 572 | 4 | 0.006993 | 0.5 | 0.034364 | 86942 | 2988 | 0.5 | 427242 | 3296827 | 37.920 |
| 20-24 | 390 | 5 | 0.012821 | 0.5 | 0.062112 | 83954 | 5215 | 0.5 | 406736 | 2869585 | 34.180 |
| 25-29 | 351 | 4 | 0.011396 | 0.5 | 0.055402 | 78740 | 4362 | 0.5 | 382794 | 2462849 | 31.278 |
| 30-34 | 314 | 2 | 0.006369 | 0.5 | 0.031348 | 74378 | 2332 | 0.5 | 366059 | 2080055 | 27.966 |
| 35-39 | 238 |  | 0.012605 | 0.5 | 0.061100 | 72046 | 4402 | 0.5 | 349225 | 1713996 | 23.790 |
| 40-44 | 196 | 3 | 0.015306 | 0.5 | 0.073710 | 67644 | 4986 | 0.5 | 325755 | 1364771 | 20.176 |
| 45-49 | 133 | 3 | 0.022556 | 0.5 | 0.106762 | 62658 | 6689 | 0.5 | 296566 | 1039016 | 16.582 |
| 50-54 | 85 | 4 | 0.047059 | 0.5 | 0.210526 | 55969 | 11783 | 0.5 | 250385 | 742449 | 13.265 |
| 55-59 | 74 | 2 | 0.027027 | 0.5 | 0.126582 | 44186 | 5593 | 0.5 | 206946 | 492064 | 11.136 |
| 60-64 | 44 | 4 | 0.090909 | 0.5 | 0.370370 | 38593 | 14294 | 0.5 | 157229 | 285118 | 7.388 |
| 65-69 | 33 | 6 | 0.181818 | 0.5 | 0.625000 | 24299 | 15187 | 0.5 | 83528 | 127890 | 5.263 |
| 70-74 | 28 | 4 | 0.142857 | 0.5 | 0.526316 | 9112 | 4796 | 0.5 | 33571 | 44362 | 4.868 |
| 75-79 | 15 | 6 | 0.400000 | 0.5 | 1.000000 | 4316 | 4316 | 0.5 | 10791 | 10791 | 2.500 |
| 80-84 | 15 | 6 | 0.400000 | 0.5 | 1.000000 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |  | - |
| 85+ | 14 | 8 | 0.571429 | 1 | 4.419081 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |


|  |  | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | $\pm$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\underline{1}$ | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\underline{L i}^{\text {i }}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<1$ | 118 | 7 | 0.059322 | 0.07 | 0.056220 | 100000 | 5622 | 0.07 | 94772 | 4850358 | 48.504 |
|  | 1-4 | 487 | 3 | 0.006160 | 0.5 | 0.024341 | 94378 | 2297 | 0.5 | 372917 | 4755586 | 50.389 |
|  | 5-9 | 681 | 1 | 0.001468 | 0.5 | 0.007315 | 92081 | 674 | 0.5 | 458720 | 4382669 | 47.596 |
|  | 10-14 | 702 | 2 | 0.002849 | 0.5 | 0.014144 | 91407 | 1293 | 0.5 | 453803 | 3923949 | 42.928 |
|  | 15-19 | 635 | 4 | 0.006299 | 0.5 | 0.031008 | 90114 | 2794 | 0.5 | 443586 | 3470146 | 38.508 |
|  | 20-24 | 395 | 5 | 0.012658 | 0.5 | 0.061350 | 87320 | 5357 | 0.5 | 423207 | 3026560 | 34.661 |
|  | 25-29 | 335 | 4 | 0.011940 | 0.5 | 0.057971 | 81963 | 4751 | 0.5 | 397936 | 2603353 | 31.763 |
|  | 30-34 | 317 | 2 | 0.006309 | 0.5 | 0.031056 | 77211 | 2398 | 0.5 | 380063 | 2205417 | 28.563 |
|  | 35-39 | 264 | 3 | 0.011364 | 0.5 | 0.055249 | 74814 | 4133 | 0.5 | 363735 | 1825354 | 24.399 |
|  | 40-44 | 210 | 3 | 0.014286 | 0.5 | 0.068966 | 70680 | 4875 | 0.5 | 341215 | 1461619 | 20.679 |
|  | 45-49 | 139 | 3 | 0.021583 | 0.5 | 0.102389 | 65806 | 6738 | 0.5 | 312184 | 1120404 | 17.026 |
|  | 50-54 | 91 | 4 | 0.043956 | 0.5 | 0.198020 | 59068 | 11697 | 0.5 | 266098 | 808220 | 13.683 |
|  | 55-59 | 80 | 2 | 0.025000 | 0.5 | 0.117647 | 47371 | 5573 | 0.5 | 222924 | 542122 | 11.444 |
|  | 60-64 | 48 | 4 | 0.083333 | 0.5 | 0.344828 | 41798 | 14413 | 0.5 | 172958 | 319198 | 7.637 |
|  | 65-69 | 34 | 6 | 0.176471 | 0.5 | 0.612245 | 27385 | 16766 | 0.5 | 95009 | 146240 | 5.340 |
|  | 70-74 | 24 | 4 | 0.166667 | 0.5 | 0.588235 | 10619 | 6246 | 0.5 | 37478 | 51230 | 4.825 |
|  | 75-79 | 19 | 6 | 0.315789 | 0.5 | 0.882353 | 4372 | 3858 | 0.5 | 12217 | 13753 | 3.145 |
|  | 80-84 | 19 | 6 | 0.315789 | 0.5 | 0.882353 | 514 | 454 | 0.5 | 1437 | 1536 | 2.985 |
|  | 85+ | 13 | 8 | 0.615385 | 1 | 4.135689 | 61 | 61 | 1 | 98 | 98 | 1.625 |
| - | Life Table: Off-Reserve 1982 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age | $\underline{n i}^{\text {i }}$ | $\underline{\text { d }}$ | ti | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $\underline{L_{i}}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\text {i }}$ |
|  | <1 | 79 | 7 | 0.088608 | 0.07 | 0.081862 | 100000 | 8186 | 0.07 | 92387 | 4732463 | 47.325 |
|  | 1-4 | 451 | 3 | 0.006652 | 0.5 | 0.026258 | 91814 | 2411 | 0.5 | 362434 | 4640076 | 50.538 |
|  | 5-9 | 651 | 1 | 0.001536 | 0.5 | 0.007651 | 89403 | 684 | 0.5 | 445305 | 4277643 | 47.847 |
|  | 10-14 | 704 | 2 | 0.002841 | 0.5 | 0.014104 | 88719 | 1251 | 0.5 | 440466 | 3832338 | 43.196 |
|  | 15-19 | 629 | 4 | 0.006359 | 0.5 | 0.031299 | 87468 | 2738 | 0.5 | 430494 | 3391872 | 38.779 |
|  | 20-24 | 439 | 5 | 0.011390 | 0.5 | 0.055371 | 84730 | 4692 | 0.5 | 411921 | 2961378 | 34.951 |
|  | 25-29 | 378 | 4 | 0.010582 | 0.5 | 0.051546 | 80038 | 4126 | 0.5 | 389878 | 2549457 | 31.853 |
|  | 30-34 | 322 | 2 | 0.006211 | 0.5 | 0.030581 | 75913 | 2321 | 0.5 | 373760 | 2159579 | 28.448 |
|  | 35-39 | 256 | 3 | 0.011719 | 0.5 | 0.056926 | 73591 | 4189 | 0.5 | 357483 | 1785820 | 24.267 |
|  | 40-44 | 204 | 3 | 0.014706 | 0.5 | 0.070922 | 69402 | 4922 | 0.5 | 334704 | 1428337 | 20.581 |
|  | 45-49 | 139 | 3 | 0.021583 | 0.5 | 0.102389 | 64480 | 6602 | 0.5 | 305894 | 1093632 | 16.961 |
|  | 50-54 | 93 | 4 | 0.043011 | 0.5 | 0.194175 | 57878 | 11238 | 0.5 | 261293 | 787738 | 13.610 |
|  | 55-59 | 80 | 2 | 0.025000 | 0.5 | 0.117647 | 46639 | 5487 | 0.5 | 219479 | 526445 | 11.288 |
|  | 60-64 | 45 | 4 | 0.088889 | 0.5 | 0.363636 | 41152 | 14965 | 0.5 | 168351 | 306966 | 7.459 |
|  | 65-69 | 33 | 6 | 0.181818 | 0.5 | 0.625000 | 26188 | 16367 | 0.5 | 90021 | 138615 | 5.293 |
|  | 70-74 | 27 | 4 | 0.148148 | 0.5 | 0.540541 | 9820 | 5308 | 0.5 | 35831 | 48594 | 4.948 |
|  | 75-79 | 17 | 6 | 0.352941 | 0.5 | 0.937500 | 4512 | 4230 | 0.5 | 11985 | 12763 | 2.829 |
|  | 80-84 | 17 | 6 | 0.352941 | 0.5 | 0.937500 | 282 | 264 | 0.5 | 749 | 778 | 2.758 |
|  | 85+ | 13 | 8 | 0.615385 | 1 | 4.244909 | 18 | 18 | 1 | 29 | 29 | 1.625 |


| Age | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{i}$ | $\mathrm{a}^{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{i}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\text {i }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <1 | 60 | 7 | 0.116667 | 0.07 | 0.105247 | 100000 | 10525 | 0.07 | 90212 | 3565459 | 35.655 |
| 1-4 | 247 | 3 | 0.012146 | 0.5 | 0.047431 | 89475 | 4244 | 0.5 | 349413 | 3475247 | 38.840 |
| 5-9 | 345 | 1 | 0.002899 | 0.5 | 0.014388 | 85231 | 1226 | 0.5 | 423091 | 3125834 | 36.675 |
| 10-14 | 334 | 2 | 0.005988 | 0.5 | 0.029499 | 84005 | 2478 | 0.5 | 413830 | 2702743 | 32.174 |
| 15-19 | 252 | 4 | 0.015873 | 0.5 | 0.076336 | 81527 | 6223 | 0.5 | 392076 | 2288913 | 28.076 |
| 20-24 | 172 | 5 | 0.029070 | 0.5 | 0.135501 | 75304 | 10204 | 0.5 | 351009 | 1896836 | 25.189 |
| 25-29 | 203 | 4 | 0.019704 | 0.5 | 0.093897 | 65100 | 6113 | 0.5 | 310218 | 1545828 | 23.745 |
| 30-34 | 173 | 2 | 0.011561 | 0.5 | 0.056180 | 58987 | 3314 | 0.5 | 286651 | 1235610 | 20.947 |
| 35-39 | 131 | 3 | 0.022901 | 0.5 | 0.108303 | 55673 | 6030 | 0.5 | 263292 | 948959 | 17.045 |
| 40-44 | 84 | 3 | 0.035714 | 0.5 | 0.163934 | 49644 | 8138 | 0.5 | 227873 | 685667 | 13.812 |
| 45-49 | 67 | 3 | 0.044776 | 0.5 | 0.201342 | 41505 | 8357 | 0.5 | 186635 | 457794 | 11.030 |
| 50-54 | 44 | 4 | 0.090909 | 0.5 | 0.370370 | 33149 | 12277 | 0.5 | 135050 | 271159 | 8.180 |
| 55-59 | 23 | 2 | 0.086957 | 0.5 | 0.357143 | 20871 | 7454 | 0.5 | 85722 | 136109 | 6.521 |
| 60-64 | 18 | 4 | 0.222222 | 0.5 | 0.714286 | 13417 | 9584 | 0.5 | 43127 | 50388 | 3.755 |
| 65-69 | 12 | 6 | 0.500000 | 0.5 | 1.111111 | 3834 | 4259 | 0.5 | 8519 | 7261 | 1.894 |
| 70-74 | 13 | 4 | 0.307692 | 0.5 | 0.869565 | -426 | -370 | 0.5 | -1204 | -1258 | 2.954 |
| 75-79 | 6 | 6 | 1.000000 | 0.5 | 1.428571 | -56 | -79 | 0.5 | -79 | -54 | 0.981 |
| 80-84 | 7 | 6 | 0.857143 | 0.5 | 1.363636 | 24 | 32 | 0.5 | 38 | 25 | 1.045 |
| 85+ | 12 | 8 | 0.666667 | 1 | 7.246742 | -9 | -9 | 1 | -13 | -13 | 1.500 |
| Life Table: Off-Reserve Female 1980 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ase | $\underline{n}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {i }}$ | L | $\mathrm{a}_{i}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $I_{1}$ | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{i}$ | $\underline{L}$ | $1{ }^{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| $<1$ | 34 | 7 | 0.205882 | 0.07 | 0.172797 | 100000 | 17280 | 0.07 | 83930 | 3255410 | 32.554 |
| 1-4 | 198 | 3 | 0.015152 | 0.5 | 0.058824 | 82720 | 4866 | 0.5 | 321149 | 3171480 | 38.340 |
| 5-9 | 322 | 1 | 0.003106 | 0.5 | 0.015408 | 77854 | 1200 | 0.5 | 386273 | 2850331 | 36.611 |
| 10.14 | 335 | 2 | 0.005970 | 0.5 | 0.029412 | 76655 | 2255 | 0.5 | 377638 | 2464058 | 32.145 |
| 15-19 | 247 | 4 | 0.016194 | 0.5 | 0.077821 | 74400 | 5790 | 0.5 | 357527 | 2086420 | 28.043 |
| 20-24 | 175 | 5 | 0.028571 | 0.5 | 0.133333 | 68610 | 9148 | 0.5 | 320182 | 1728894 | 25.199 |
| 25-29 | 205 | 4 | 0.019512 | 0.5 | 0.093023 | 59462 | 5531 | 0.5 | 283483 | 1408712 | 23.691 |
| 30-34 | 172 | 2 | 0.011628 | 0.5 | 0.056497 | 53931 | 3047 | 0.5 | 262037 | 1125229 | 20.864 |
| 35-39 | 126 | 3 | 0.023810 | 0.5 | 0.112360 | 50884 | 5717 | 0.5 | 240127 | 863192 | 16.964 |
| 40-44 | 83 | 3 | 0.036145 | 0.5 | 0.165746 | 45167 | 7486 | 0.5 | 207118 | 623065 | 13.795 |
| 45-49 | 66 | 3 | 0.045455 | 0.5 | 0.204082 | 37680 | 7690 | 0.5 | 169178 | 415947 | 11.039 |
| 50-54 | 44 | 4 | 0.090909 | 0.5 | 0.370370 | 29991 | 11108 | 0.5 | 122184 | 246769 | 8.228 |
| 55-59 | 24 | 2 | 0.083333 | 0.5 | 0.344828 | 18883 | 6511 | 0.5 | 78136 | 124585 | 6.598 |
| 60-64 | 18 | 4 | 0.222222 | 0.5 | 0.714286 | 12372 | 8837 | 0.5 | 39766 | 46449 | 3.754 |
| 65-69 | 12 | 6 | 0.500000 | 0.5 | 1.111111 | 3535 | 3927 | 0.5 | 7855 | 6683 | 1.891 |
| 70-74 | 13 | 4 | 0.307692 | 0.5 | 0.869565 | -393 | -342 | 0.5 | -1110 | -1172 | 2.984 |
| 75-79 | 7 | 6 | 0.857143 | 0.5 | 1.363636 | -51 | -70 | 0.5 | -81 | -62 | 1.211 |
| 80-84 | 7 | 6 | 0.857143 | 0.5 | 1.363636 | 19 | 25 | 0.5 | 30 | 19 | 1.045 |
| 85+ | 12 | 8 | 0.666667 | 1 | 7.256735 | -7 | -7 | 1 | -10 | -10 | 1.500 |


| Age | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $t$ | $\underline{\text { a }}$ | $\underline{\text { g }}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {i }}$ | $\underline{L}^{\text {i }}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <1 | 52 | 7 | 0.134615 | 0.07 | 0.119638 | 100000 | 11964 | 0.07 | 88874 | 3616809 | 36.168 |
| 1-4 | 241 | 3 | 0.012448 | 0.5 | 0.048583 | 88036 | 4277 | 0.5 | 343591 | 3527935 | 40.074 |
| 5-9 | 314 | 1 | 0.003185 | 0.5 | 0.015798 | 83759 | 1323 | 0.5 | 415488 | 3184344 | 38.018 |
| 10-14 | 348 | 2 | 0.005747 | 0.5 | 0.028329 | 82436 | 2335 | 0.5 | 406342 | 2768856 | 33.588 |
| 15-19 | 298 | 4 | 0.013423 | 0.5 | 0.064935 | 80101 | 5201 | 0.5 | 387500 | 2362515 | 29.494 |
| 20-24 | 192 | 5 | 0.026042 | 0.5 | 0.122249 | 74899 | 9156 | 0.5 | 351606 | 1975015 | 26.369 |
| 25-29 | 192 | 4 | 0.020833 | 0.5 | 0.099010 | 65743 | 6509 | 0.5 | 312442 | 1623409 | 24.693 |
| 30-34 | 178 | 2 | 0.011236 | 0.5 | 0.054645 | 59234 | 3237 | 0.5 | 288077 | 1310967 | 22.132 |
| 35-39 | 151 | 3 | 0.019868 | 0.5 | 0.094637 | 55997 | 5299 | 0.5 | 266736 | 1022891 | 18.267 |
| 40-44 | 109 | 3 | 0.027523 | 0.5 | 0.128755 | 50698 | 6528 | 0.5 | 237169 | 756155 | 14.915 |
| 45-49 | 67 | 3 | 0.044776 | 0.5 | 0.201342 | 44170 | 8893 | 0.5 | 198617 | 518986 | 11.750 |
| 50-54 | 53 | 4 | 0.075472 | 0.5 | 0.317460 | 35277 | 11199 | 0.5 | 148386 | 320370 | 9.082 |
| 55-59 | 31 | 2 | 0.064516 | 0.5 | 0.277778 | 24078 | 6688 | 0.5 | 103668 | 171984 | 7.143 |
| 60-64 | 18 | 4 | 0.222222 | 0.5 | 0.714286 | 17389 | 12421 | 0.5 | 55895 | 68316 | 3.929 |
| 65-69 | 15 | 6 | 0.400000 | 0.5 | 1.000000 | 4968 | 4968 | 0.5 | 12421 | 12421 | 2.500 |
| 70-74 | 11 | 4 | 0.363636 | 0.5 | 0.952381 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 |
| 75.79 | 8 | 6 | 0.750000 | 0.5 | 1.304348 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 |
| 80-84 | 10 | 6 | 0.600000 | 0.5 | 1.200000 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 |
| $85+$ | 11 | 8 | 0.727273 | 1 | 6.744174 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Life Table: Off-Reserve Female 1982 Unadjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\underline{n}_{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{d}^{\text {j }}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 1 | ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\underline{L}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| <1 | 34 | 7 | 0.205882 | 0.07 | 0.172797 | 100000 | 17280 | 0.07 | 83930 | 3427264 | 34.273 |
| 1-4 | 224 | 3 | 0.013393 | 0.5 | 0.052174 | 82720 | 4316 | 0.5 | 322250 | 3343334 | 40.417 |
| 5-9 | 300 | 1 | 0.003333 | 0.5 | 0.016529 | 78404 | 1296 | 0.5 | 388783 | 3021084 | 38.532 |
| 10-14 | 348 | 2 | 0.005747 | 0.5 | 0.028329 | 77109 | 2184 | 0.5 | 380082 | 2632302 | 34.138 |
| 15-19 | 298 | 4 | 0.013423 | 0.5 | 0.064935 | 74924 | 4865 | 0.5 | 362458 | 2252220 | 30.060 |
| 20-24 | 222 | 5 | 0.022523 | 0.5 | 0.106610 | 70059 | 7469 | 0.5 | 331622 | 1889762 | 26.974 |
| 25-29 | 219 | 4 | 0.018265 | 0.5 | 0.087336 | 62590 | 5466 | 0.5 | 299284 | 1558140 | 24.894 |
| 30-34 | 185 | 2 | 0.010811 | 0.5 | 0.052632 | 57124 | 3007 | 0.5 | 278102 | 1258856 | 22.037 |
| 35-39 | 146 | 3 | 0.020548 | 0.5 | 0.097720 | 54117 | 5288 | 0.5 | 257365 | 980754 | 18.123 |
| 40-44 | 105 | 3 | 0.028571 | 0.5 | 0.133333 | 48829 | 6511 | 0.5 | 227868 | 723389 | 14.815 |
| 45-49 | 68 | 3 | 0.044118 | 0.5 | 0.198675 | 42318 | 8408 | 0.5 | 190572 | 495522 | 11.709 |
| 50-54 | 55 | 4 | 0.072727 | 0.5 | 0.307692 | 33911 | 10434 | 0.5 | 143468 | 304949 | 8.993 |
| 55-59 | 32 | 2 | 0.062500 | 0.5 | 0.270270 | 23477 | 6345 | 0.5 | 101521 | 161481 | 6.878 |
| 60-64 | 15 |  | 0.266667 | 0.5 | 0.800000 | 17132 | 13705 | 0.5 | 51395 | 59961 | 3.500 |
| 65-69 | 15 | 6 | 0.400000 | 0.5 | 1.000000 | 3426 | 3426 | 0.5 | 8566 | 8566 | 2.500 |
| 70-74 | 13 | 4 | 0.307692 | 0.5 | 0.869565 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 |
| 75-79 | 8 | 6 | 0.750000 | 0.5 | 1.304348 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 |
| 80-84 | 8 | 6 | 0.750000 | 0.5 | 1.304348 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 |
| 85+ | 10 | 8 | 0.800000 | 1 | 6.867293 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 |
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