

SOME VECTOR, VIRUS, HOST-PLANT RELATIONSHIPS OF THE
SIX-SPOTTED LEAFHOPPER, Macrosteles fascifrons (Stål)^o
AND ASTER YELLOWS VIRUS IN MANITOBA.

A Thesis

Presented to

The Department of Entomology
Faculty of Agriculture and Home Economics
The University of Manitoba

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree
Doctor of Philosophy

by

Howard Percival Richardson

January 1966



ABSTRACT

by

Howard Percival Richardson

SOME VECTOR, VIRUS, HOST-PLANT RELATIONSHIPS OF THE
SIX-SPOTTED LEAFHOPPER, Macrosteles fascifrons (Stål^o),
AND ASTER YELLOWS VIRUS IN MANITOBA.

Contact and systemic insecticides formulated as emulsive concentrates (EC), wettable powders (WP), and granules (G) were compared at various times, rates and intervals of application to control the six-spotted leafhopper, Macrosteles fascifrons (Stål^o), and prevent the spread of aster yellows virus (AYV) to head lettuce and carrots. The insecticides malathion EC, Baygon EC and G and phorate G, at the rate of one pound per acre controlled the six-spotted leafhopper and prevented the spread of AYV to the head lettuce in the spring and summer crops of 1960, 1961 and 1962. The same insecticides gave only partial protection to the spring crop and failed to protect the summer crop of 1963 because of a combination of a large population of M. fascifrons with a high percentage (ten per cent) of infective leafhoppers. Other insecticides tested against M. fascifrons on head lettuce were less effective.

The start of applications of insecticides to the spring crops may be delayed for two or three weeks after the plants emerge. On summer crops, spray applications must begin at crop emergence.

DDT EC and Carbaryl WP, one pound per acre, one application per week gave a significant reduction in carrots affected by AYV when the spray applications were started within two to three weeks of crop emergence.

Less than 0.5 p.p.m. of malathion was found on lettuce heads which were analyzed nine days after the last of 15 applications.

Three strains of AYV, "A", "B", and "C", were isolated from lettuce, zinnia and celery, respectively, in Manitoba. The three strains were separated by symptoms on aster, Nicotiana rustica var. humilis and celery. The criteria: 1) plant height from first leaf node to tip; 2) plant height from first to last exposed leaf node; 3) number of exposed leaf nodes and 4) axillary growth on aster were also successfully used to separate the strains.

The transmission of the three strains of AYV by single infective M. fascifrons showed that the six-spotted leafhopper, male or female, is a consistent and reliable transmitter after a two-day inoculation feed; that aster is a poor indicator of the proportion of infective leafhoppers; that stinkweed and head lettuce are superior indicator plants; and that different species of host plants vary in their susceptibility to different strains.

The acquisition of the three strains of AYV by M. fascifrons from 14 different host plants showed that it depended on the strain of AYV and the host plant.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to thank Dr. A. E. Hannah, Director, Research Station, Canada Department of Agriculture, Winnipeg, Manitoba for permission to use the project as a thesis, and Dr. A. G. Robinson, Department of Entomology, University of Manitoba, for accepting the project as a thesis.

Thanks are due also to Mr. P. H. Westdal for doing the final statistical analysis of data presented in Chapters IV, V, VI, and VII; to Dr. L. B. Smith and Dr. W. Ives for advice on statistical methods; to Mr. R. Cheale for photographing the plants in Fig. 8, 10, and 19; to Dr. P. Barker, Dr. W. C. McDonald and others for reviewing the manuscript and Mr. J. Ilchyna, Mr. N. Brandt, Mr. D. Tiltman and Mr. G. Cox for assistance in carrying out the field experiments.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAGE
I. INTRODUCTION.	1
The problem.	2
Importance of the problem.	2
Organization of the thesis	3
II. REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE.	4
Control of insect vectors of plant viruses . . .	4
Vector, virus, host-plant relationships.	8
III. GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS	17
IV. EXPERIMENTS ON CONTROL OF <u>M. fascifrons</u>	
DURING 1960	32
Materials and methods.	32
Results and discussion	34
V. EXPERIMENTS ON CONTROL OF <u>M. fascifrons</u>	
DURING 1961	41
Materials and methods.	41
Results and discussion	42
VI. EXPERIMENTS ON CONTROL OF <u>M. fascifrons</u>	
DURING 1962	51
Materials and methods.	51
Results and discussion	52
VII. EXPERIMENTS ON CONTROL OF <u>M. fascifrons</u>	
DURING 1963	56
Materials and methods.	56

CHAPTER	PAGE
Results and discussion	57
VIII. DIFFERENTIATION OF THREE STRAINS OF ASTER YELLOW S VIRUS	68
Materials and methods.	68
Results and discussion	68
IX. TRANSMISSION BY <u>M. fascifrons</u> OF THREE STRAINS OF ASTER YELLOW S VIRUS TO FOURTEEN DIFFERENT HOST PLANTS.	89
Materials and methods.	89
Results and discussion	89
X. ACQUISITION BY <u>M. fascifrons</u> OF THREE STRAINS OF ASTER YELLOW S VIRUS FROM FOURTEEN DIFFERENT HOST PLANTS.	93
Materials and methods.	93
Results and discussion	93
XI. SUMMARY	98
BIBLIOGRAPHY.	103
APPENDIX A.	108
Richardson, H. P. and P. H. Westdal. 1963. Control of the six-spotted leafhopper, <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> (Stål) and aster yellows on head lettuce in Manitoba. Can. J. Plant Sci. 43:12-17.	109

APPENDIX A (Cont'd)	PAGE
Richardson, H. P. and P. H. Westdal. 1964. Experiments on control of the six-spotted leafhopper, <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> , and aster yellows on head lettuce with contact and systemic insecticides in Manitoba. Can. J. Plant Sci. 44:393-396..	115
APPENDIX B	119
See list of tables for Appendix B	xi

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	PAGE
I. Per cent of head lettuce plants infected with aster yellows after application of malathion at two lb. per acre at two- and four-day intervals with treatment one beginning at crop emergence against <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> , Spring crop 1960.	37
II. Total and seasonal mean number of <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> for three replicates after application of malathion at two lb. per acre at four-day intervals, with treatment one beginning at crop emergence, Spring crop 1960.	38
III. Percentage of head lettuce plants infected with aster yellows after application of malathion at two lb. per acre at two- and four-day intervals with treatment one beginning at crop emergence against <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> , Summer crop 1960.	39
IV. Percentage of carrot plants infected with aster yellows after application of DDT and Carbaryl, each at two lb. per acre, at six-day intervals with treatment one beginning at crop emergence against <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u>	40
V. Percentage of head lettuce plants infected with aster yellows after application of malathion,	

TABLE

PAGE

	Carbaryl and Baygon, with treatment one be- ginning at crop emergence against <u>Macrosteles</u> <u>fascifrons</u> , Spring crop 1961	46
VI.	Total and seasonal mean number of <u>Macrosteles</u> <u>fascifrons</u> after application of malathion, Carbaryl, and Baygon, with treatment one be- ginning at crop emergence, Spring crop 1961.	47
VII.	Percentage of head lettuce plants infected with aster yellows after application of malathion, Carbaryl and Baygon, with treatment one be- ginning at crop emergence against <u>Macrosteles</u> <u>fascifrons</u> , Summer crop 1961	48
VIII.	Total and seasonal mean number of <u>Macrosteles</u> <u>fascifrons</u> after application of malathion, Carbaryl and Baygon, with treatment one be- ginning at crop emergence, Summer crop 1961.	49
IX.	Seasonal and mean number of leafhoppers after application of malathion and Carbaryl against <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> , Summer crop 1961	50
X.	Total and seasonal mean number of <u>Macrosteles</u> <u>fascifrons</u> for each insecticide, Spring crop 1962	53
XI.	Percentage of head lettuce plants infected with aster yellows virus, Spring crop 1962.	54

TABLE	PAGE
XII. Total and mean number of <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> for each insecticide tested, Summer crop 1962 . .	55
XIII. Percentage of head lettuce plants examined and found infected with aster yellows virus, Portage la Prairie, Spring crop 1963.	59
XIV. Total and seasonal mean number of <u>Macrosteles</u> <u>fascifrons</u> , Portage la Prairie, Spring crop 1963.	60
XV. Percentage and number of head lettuce plants examined and found infected with aster yellows virus, Winnipeg, Spring crop 1963	61
XVI. Total and seasonal mean number of <u>Macrosteles</u> <u>fascifrons</u> per 50 sweeps per treatment, Winnipeg, Spring crop 1963.	62
XVII. Percentage and number of head lettuce plants examined and found infected with aster yellows virus, Portage la Prairie, Summer crop 1963 . . .	63
XVIII. Total and seasonal mean number of <u>Macrosteles</u> <u>fascifrons</u> per 50 sweeps per treatment, Portage la Prairie, Summer crop 1963.	64
XIX. Total number of <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> , adults and nymphs on AC 47031, and check, Portage la Prairie, Spring crop 1963	65
XX. Mean and LSD for 40 aster plants for each of	

TABLE

PAGE

	three criteria and the per cent of plants showing axillary growth, a fourth criterion used to differentiate AYV strains "A", "B", and "C" and check.	70
XXI.	Mean and LSD for 50 aster plants for each of three criteria and the per cent of plants showing axillary growth, a fourth criterion used to differentiate AYV strains "A", "B", and "C" and check.	71
XXII.	Mean and LSD for 25 aster plants for each of three criteria and the per cent of plants showing axillary growth, a fourth criterion used to differentiate AYV strains "A", "B", "C" and check.	72
XXIII.	Total and per cent transmission of three strains of aster yellows virus by infected single <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> to fourteen different host plants.	90
XXIV.	Total and per cent transmission of three strains of aster yellows virus to aster by single <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> based on acquisition from fourteen different host plants.	96
XXV.	Differential transmission of aster yellows virus strains "A", "B", and "C" based on acquisition	

TABLE

PAGE

by <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> from fourteen	
different host plants	97

LIST OF TABLES

APPENDIX B

TABLE	PAGE
I. Number of head lettuce plants examined and found infected with aster yellows virus after application of malathion at two pounds per acre, Spring crop 1960.	120
II. Total number of <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> per replicate for seven dates of sweeping on head lettuce, Spring crop 1960.	121
III. Number of head lettuce plants examined and found infected with aster yellows virus, Summer crop 1960.	122
IV. Malathion residue on head lettuce nine days after applications ceased	123
V. Number of carrot plants examined and found infected with aster yellows virus	124
VI. Total number of <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> per 50 sweeps per subplot made on seven different dates, Spring crop 1961	125
VII. Number of head lettuce plants examined and found infected with aster yellows virus, Spring crop 1961.	126
VIII. Total number of <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> per	

TABLE

PAGE

	50 sweeps per subplot made on five different dates, Summer crop 1961.	127
IX.	Number of head lettuce plants examined and found infected with aster yellows virus, Summer crop 1961	128
X.	Total number of <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> , fifty sweeps per replicate for four replicates for each date of sweeping, Spring crop 1962.	129
XI.	Number of heads of lettuce examined and found infected with aster yellows virus per replicate per treatment, Spring crop 1962.	130
XII.	Total number of <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> per fifty sweeps per replicate for one date of sweeping, September 17, Summer crop 1962	131
XIII.	Number of <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> per 50 sweeps per plot, Portage la Prairie, Spring crop 1963	132
XIV.	Number of head lettuce plants examined and found infected with aster yellows virus, Portage la Prairie, Spring crop 1963	133
XV.	Number of <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> per 50 sweeps per plot, Winnipeg, Spring crop 1963	134
XVI.	Number of head lettuce plants examined and found infected with aster yellows virus, Winnipeg	

TABLE	PAGE
Spring crop 1963	135
XVII. Number of <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> per 50 sweeps per plot, Portage la Prairie, Summer crop 1963 . .	136
XVIII. Number of head lettuce plants examined and found infected with aster yellows virus, Portage la Prairie, Summer crop 1963.	137
XIX. Transmission of three strains of aster yellows virus by <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> to fourteen different hosts.	138
XX. Transmission of three strains of aster yellows virus by single <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> based on acquisition from fourteen different host plants	139
XXI. Analysis of variance table for transmission of three strains of aster yellows virus "A", "B", and "C" by individual infective <u>Macrosteles</u> <u>fascifrons</u> to fourteen different hosts.	140
XXII. Analysis of variance table for transmission of three strains of aster yellows virus "A", "B", and "C" by individual <u>Macrosteles fascifrons</u> based on acquisition from fourteen different host plants.	141

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1.	Head lettuce, var. Imperial 456, showing symptoms of AYV, brown exudate on stiff, chlorotic strap-like terminal leaves	20
2.	Cellulose nitrate cage over an aster, four-leaf stage, grown in a three-inch peat pot.	24
3.	Metacrylic plastic tube cage over an aster grown in a four-inch clay pot.	26
4.	Polyethylene bag cage over an aster grown in a three-inch peat pot.	27
5.	Greenhouse bench showing a series of polyethylene bag cages used in a transmission test.	30
6.	Aster, var. Giant Pink, plants showing symptoms of AYV strains "A", "B", and "C"	66
7.	<u>Nicotiana rustica</u> var. <u>humilis</u> showing symptoms of AYV strains "A", "B", and "C". The plant infected with strain "B" is supported with a straw.	67
8.	Head lettuce var. Imperial 456, showing symptoms of AYV strains "A", "B", and "C"	75
9.	Stinkweed, <u>Thlaspi arvense</u> L., rosette stage showing symptoms of AYV strains "A", "B", and "C".	76
10.	Stinkweed, <u>Thlaspi arvense</u> L., flowering stage, showing symptoms of AYV strains "A", "B", and "C".	77

FIGURE

PAGE

11.	Celery, var. Utah, showing symptoms of AYV strains "B", and "C"	78
12.	Plantain, <u>Plantago major</u> L., showing symptoms of AYV strains "B" and "C"	79
13.	Barley, var. Parkland, showing symptoms of AYV in order from left to right strains "C", "A", and "B" and check.	80
14.	Onion, var. Ebenezer, showing symptoms of AYV in order from left to right strains "C", "B", and "A" and check.	81
15.	Flax, var. Redwood, showing symptoms of AYV strains "A", "B", and "C".	82
16.	Sunflower, var. Peredovik, showing symptoms of AYV strains "A", "B", and "C"	83
17.	Sunflower, var. Commander, showing symptoms of AYV strains "A", "B", and "C"	84
18.	Carrot, var. Spécial Long Type Nantes, showing symptoms of AYV strains "A", "B", and "C".	85
19.	Tame buckwheat, var common, showing symptoms of AYV strains "A", "B", and "C"	86
20.	Wild buckwheat, <u>Polygonum convolvulus</u> L. showing symptoms of AYV strains "A", "B", and "C".	87
21.	Wheat, var. Selkirk, showing symptoms of AYV strain "B"	88

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The recognition of plant virus infections as a distinct class of disorders and of insects as vectors of the plant viruses dates back to the end of the nineteenth century (Cook, 1947). Since then, it has been shown that most plant species of economic importance are infected by one or more virus diseases, many of which are transmitted by insects (Chapman, 1959). In Manitoba, wheat is known to be infected by three insect-transmitted viruses: barley yellow dwarf, aster yellows, and wheat striate mosaic; oats by two: barley yellow dwarf and oat blue dwarf; barley by four: barley yellow dwarf, oat blue dwarf, aster yellows, and wheat striate mosaic; flax by two: oat blue dwarf and aster yellows, and most other crops, vegetables and herbaceous ornamentals by at least one: aster yellows. Barley yellow dwarf, a virus disease of world distribution, was discovered in 1951 by Oswald and Houston (Bruehl, 1961), and the oat blue dwarf as recently as 1961 (Banttari and Moore, 1961).

The protection of the crops from the insect-transmitted viruses may be based on the development of crop varieties resistant to the insect or the disease, or, on the use of chemicals. Each method has its particular advantages or disadvantages, but common to each is the necessity for a thorough understanding of the vector, virus and host-plant relationships. The complexity of the relationship is illustrated by the aster yellows virus (AYV). It is a complex of virus

strains (Granados, 1965) some with different host ranges, different host effects and different vectors in different parts of its range. Macrosteles fascifrons (Stål), the six-spotted leafhopper, is the major vector, though only one of at least 25 different species of leafhoppers which are capable of transmitting AYV. In Manitoba the migrant populations of M. fascifrons are the major source, through the eggs they deposit, of the local population that develops in Manitoba. The migrants are also the major source of AYV which they carry with them and inject into the local crop and weed plants. The diseased crop and weed plants form the major source of infection for the generations of M. fascifrons which develop in Manitoba.

The problem

The problem is the protection of the crops from infection by AYV. The first method studied was the direct destruction of the six-spotted leafhopper by chemicals. For this purpose a series of insecticides were evaluated to determine the most effective time of application, rates and interval of application.

The second method was a study to determine the relationship of the vector and virus with a number of common host plants. The purpose was to elucidate the facts that may prove effective in reducing AYV infections, possibly through the reduction of certain host plants or in the production of resistant crop plants.

Importance of the problem

The host range of M. fascifrons and AYV includes most of

the cereal crops, oil seed crops, vegetables and many of the herbaceous ornamentals grown in Manitoba. Although the losses due to AYV infection seldom exceed ten per cent of most crops, these losses have practically eliminated commercial production of head lettuce in Manitoba, and constitute serious problems on carrots and celery; in some years some growers have lost their entire celery crops. The loss in most of the other crops caused by the virus disease although low, represents another addition to the cost of production and to the cost of the final food product.

Organization of the thesis

The chemicals used in the tests are referred to by their trade names or company designations throughout the thesis. The chemical names are given in the section on Materials and Methods Chapter III. The results of the field tests are presented in Chapters IV to VII.

The laboratory tests conducted at the Canada Department of Agriculture, Research Station, Winnipeg, to differentiate three strains of aster yellows virus, and on the ability of M. fascifrons to transmit three strains of AYV to and from fourteen different host plants are given in Chapters VIII, IX and X respectively.

Two publications based on the results presented in Chapters IV, V, VI and VII are given in Appendix A. Summary tables of data that form the basis for the final Tables presented in the text are given in Appendix B.

CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Control of insect vectors of plant viruses

The control of vectors of plant viruses to prevent the spread of diseases has been attempted with insecticides, trap crops, and various types of barriers. A survey of the literature on insecticidal control of the spread of plant viruses was presented by Broadbent (1957). In this review he listed the earlier successes and failures by a number of workers attempting to reduce the spread of spotted tomato wilt on tomatoes by thrips, potato viruses by aphids, aster yellows virus on lettuce, carrots, and endive by M. fascifrons and curly top of sugar beets by the leafhopper Circulifer tenellus Baker. Broadbent (1957) concluded from the early attempts to control the spread of viruses by using such insecticides as nicotine, derris and pyrethrum, that it is not sufficient to kill the insects on the crop, that insufficient insecticide remained active on the foliage, and very frequent applications were necessary to prevent virus spread. These were expensive and often failed because incoming insects introduced virus or spread it from plant to plant in the intervals between applications. An increased incidence of potato virus Y in potato fields sprayed with insecticides has been reported by various workers (Chapman, 1951; McEwen, 1953; Roland, 1953). McEwen (1953) showed that insecticides kept the foliage green late in the season thus making the plants susceptible to late-season infection. Chapman (1951) hypothesized that parathion increased aphid activity and

Roland (1953) suggested that it attracted aphids. According to Shanks and Chapman (1965), aphids, after initial probes made longer feeding probes on parathion-treated tobacco than on untreated leaves and vice-versa on DDT-treated leaves. Neither material affected aphid acquisition of potato virus Y but parathion tended to decrease and DDT to increase the number of transmissions to treated plants. The authors found that the insecticides required 51-180 min. to kill 90 per cent of the aphids two hours after application and much longer three days after treatment, while aphids can transmit non-persistent viruses in less than a minute. They also found that the winged aphids remain longer on parathion-treated plants than on DDT-treated plants, and, that infected aphids transmitted potato virus Y slightly more often to parathion-treated than to untreated tobacco plants.

It was shown by Becker and Rich (1956) and by Morgan (1965) that the control of aphids, Pentatrichopus spp., on strawberry beds prevented the spread of virus diseases and, as a result, increased runner and fruit production. Morgan (1965) showed that one application of Meta-Systox or dimethoate during the spring to prune trees gave a season-long control of aphids and suggested that limitation of the spread of viruses in the field through control of insect vectors, whether or not the identity and behavior characteristics of such vectors are known, is feasible.

Harrison et al (1963) and Murant et al (1965) showed that the nematocide D-D effectively controlled two species of nematodes Xiphinema diversicaudatum (Micol) and Longiforus elongatus (de Mon) and thus

prevented the spread of virus diseases in strawberry beds. According to Murrant et al (1965) the effects lasted throughout the four year experiment.

Strong and Rawlins (1958) tested four insecticides: DDT, demeton, malathion and parathion at various dosages for the control of M. fascifrons on lettuce. The materials were sprayed on plants growing outdoors and which were taken into the laboratory for tests after different lengths of time. Their conclusion was that none of the insecticides applied as sprays was very effective for as long as three days. Further tests were carried out by Strong and Rawlins (1958) in the field using the same four insecticides and using populations of infective insects. The authors were able to differentiate between incidence of disease on sprayed and unsprayed lettuce, and in the residual effectiveness of the insecticides tested. The differences between the insecticides were not evident and the degree of protection was not satisfactory for commercial conditions. Chiykowski (1958), found malathion effective for the control of M. fascifrons on head lettuce and prevention of infection by AYV. Miller (1960) recommended DDT and malathion for the control of M. fascifrons on carrots and lettuce in Ontario.

Thompson and Rawlins (1961) tested the systemic insecticides phorate, dimethoate and Di-Syston to control M. fascifrons and reduce the incidence of lettuce yellows. The insecticides, applied at the rate of one pound per acre at time of seeding as granules or as drenches, were more effective than seed treatments and equally or more effective than malathion applied twice weekly as a spray. Phorate was more

effective than Di-Syston or dimethoate. The systemic materials were effective four to five weeks following soil application but appeared to lose much of their effectiveness six weeks after application. The systemics gave a significant reduction in the incidence of lettuce yellows.

Thompson (1965) applied combined sprays of DDT one pound and malathion 1.25 pound per acre at weekly intervals for the control of the leafhopper vectors of lettuce yellows. The reduction in lettuce yellows was significant. Twice weekly applications of the insecticide mixture were more effective than weekly applications. Granular phorate one pound per acre at seeding time was as effective as Di-Syston and an Experimental Insecticide 43064. Control of lettuce and carrot yellows with phorate was as effective as that with malathion or Carbaryl.

The use of barriers both physical and insecticidal has been tried by a number of workers. Pepper and Haenseler (1939) used a seven foot high cloth fence to enclose a plot of lettuce. At harvest the fenced lettuce showed a one per cent infection of lettuce yellows and the check 31.8 per cent. The fence proved impractical in commercial operations. Plant barriers dusted with insecticides were tested but failed to stop migrating aphids and proved uneconomic (Crumb and McWhorter, 1948). This was again shown by Thompson (1956) in which he sprayed a barrier of sweet corn that completely surrounded lettuce and carrot beds. The barrier failed to stop the six-spotted leafhopper.

Vector, virus, and host-plant relationship

The relationship between the six-spotted leafhopper and the aster yellows virus was first discovered by Kunkel (1924). The host of the virus was the China aster, Callistephus chinensis Nees. Following this discovery, Kunkel (1926) showed that AYV could be transmitted experimentally by M. fascifrons to more than 50 different species in 23 different families of plants. In California, Severin (1929) observed celery and Zinnia elegans Jacq. infected with yellows and transmitted the yellows from Z. elegans to aster and back to Z. elegans. The symptoms in aster were similar to those of aster yellows. Kunkel (1931) failed to transmit aster yellows to celery and concluded that the two strains of aster yellows were not identical. Further evidence of the difference between the two strains was provided by Severin (1934) who used infected plants obtained from California, Idaho, Indiana, Maine, New York and Wisconsin as source of AYV, to show that celery was susceptible only to the California strain of the virus. Further tests by Kunkel (1955) showed that the two strains could be readily separated on the basis of symptoms on aster, Nicotiana rustica L., Vinca rosea L., and Z. elegans. The identification of the aster yellows and California aster yellows strains was followed by the identification of a number of additional strains of AYV (Kunkel, 1937a, 1937b, 1945; Frazier and Posnette, 1948; Freitag, 1948, 1964; Raymer and Milbrath, 1960; Lee, 1962; and Granados, 1965).

Vector-virus relationship

The relationship between M. fascifrons and the aster yellows

virus has been closely studied since Kunkel (1924) discovered that M. fascifrons was the vector of AYV. No other species has been found that transmits the Eastern strain of AYV though 25 species of leafhoppers have been recorded as vectors of the Western strains or celery-infecting strains (Wallis, 1960; Chiykowski, 1962, 1963).

The ability of the various stages of M. fascifrons to acquire AYV from infected plants was studied by Kunkel (1926) who performed 34 experiments with different ages of nymphs, and with viruses obtained from different host plants. He showed the existence and approximate length of the incubation period. He concluded that nymphs at all stages and adults of both sexes were capable of acquiring the virus. In one experiment in which 80 newly hatched nymphs were confined for successive periods on three healthy aster plants, the virus incubated in the nymphs and was transmitted by them. This result was obtained with a culture kept at a relatively low temperature. At temperatures of 70° F, or above Kunkel (1962) found that most of the insects matured before the incubation period of the virus was completed. Whipp (1951) also found that all stages of the insect were able to acquire the virus when reared on diseased plants. The proportion of the colonies which acquired the virus, however, was less with the first and second instar nymphs than with later instars. Both nymphs and adults were able to obtain the virus from both old and young leaves (Kunkel, 1926) and according to Chapman (1949) noninfective leafhoppers acquired the virus from symptomless leaves below the last evidence of the disease on aster plants as well as from distinctly symptomatic foliage.

The performance of individual M. fascifrons in acquiring and transmitting aster yellows virus was considered. Kunkel (1926) took young adults which had hatched and been reared on an infected aster and placed them individually on a succession of healthy asters with an exposure period of one to seven days. The 30 transmission records show that all the insects that lived long enough to give a transmission record were virus carriers. Both males and females were included in the group tested. According to Chapman (1949) starving the insect had no particular effect on its transmission of aster yellows, and such treatment often killed the insects in three to four hours. Various amounts of light per day did not affect the acquisition or transmission of AYV by the insect. The AYV did not affect the longevity of the leafhopper (Kunkel, 1926; Severin, 1947); and Kunkel (1929) and Dobrosky (1929, 1931) failed to find any cytological differences between infective or virus-free leafhoppers. However, Littau and Maramorosch (1956, 1960) showed cytological changes in nuclei of trophocytes or fat body cells of M. fascifrons infected with one strain of AYV but not with a second strain.

The effect of heat on the virus in M. fascifrons has been reported by several workers. Kunkel (1937) showed that infected colonies of six-spotted leafhoppers subjected to heat treatments lasting one day or longer at about 31° or 32° C lost the ability to transmit yellows either permanently or temporarily. The colonies held at these temperatures for 12 days or longer suffered permanent loss of ability to transmit AYV. Colonies treated from one to eleven days regained

ability to transmit AYV after periods varying from a few hours up to many days. Chapman (1949) found that constant temperatures of 32°C for ten days had no significant effect on the ability of the leafhoppers to transmit the virus. He found that following temperatures of 36°C for five days the leafhoppers regained their ability to transmit the virus after a post treatment period of seven to ten days, but temperatures of 40°C caused the insects to lose the virus permanently. He showed that the incubation period of the virus in the insect was longer at 18°C and 32°C than at 24°C and 28°C and insects held at 36°C did not become infective. According to Lee (1961) the thermal inactivation point of AYV in insects was higher than previously reported by Kunkel (1937) or Chapman (1949). He also found that 32°C for ten to thirteen days did not affect ability of infective colonies of six-spotted leafhoppers to transmit a celery-infecting isolate of AYV (Wis. CAYV). Colonies treated at 36°C for 10 days regained their ability to transmit the virus within six to eight days and infective colonies subjected to five-day exposures at 40°C regained their ability to transmit the virus within 18 days.

The acquisition and transmission of AYV by the six-spotted leafhopper were studied by Chapman (1949). He states that acquisition of the virus was accomplished in about 20 per cent of small colonies of six-spotted leafhoppers during infection feeding periods of two hours. One colony acquired the virus in a feeding period of only 16 minutes. A high percentage of transmission (Chapman, 1949) was affected by small colonies of viruliferous insects in test feeding periods of five to ten

hours. Single leafhoppers infected three per cent of the plants when fed on small asters for one hour and colonies of 16 leafhoppers gave 28 per cent infection in similar tests but no mass action phenomena were evident. Maramorosch (1953) used the Eastern strain of AYV and found that an occasional insect, after feeding for only ten minutes, acquired enough virus to become infective. However, with acquisition times of 8 and 24 hours, 37 per cent and 41 per cent of M. fascifrons became infective. Similarly he found that while a small number of plants could be infected during a ten minute inoculation period, the percentage of plants infected increased with feeding times of 100 and 1000 minutes. Strong and Rawlins (1958), however, found that infective insects resting on healthy plants for ten minutes transmitted Eastern AYV almost as readily as those caged on plants for six hours, but the percentage of infection was considerably higher for insects caged on healthy plants for 24 hours. Using a celery-infecting isolate of AYV and the same insect vector, Chiykowski (1958) found the shortest acquisition time to be two hours and the shortest inoculation time to be 15 minutes. Lee (1962) found that transmission of a celery-infecting isolate of AYV was an exponential function of both acquisition and inoculation periods, for feeding times arranged in a geometric progression from 0.125 to 32 hours. In a single acquisition test conducted transmission did not occur when feeding periods were less than two hours; however, transmission did occur over the entire range of inoculation times tested. The per cent transmission from a 32 hour acquisition time, or from a similar inoculation time were, 77.7 and 73.7 per cent respect-

ively. Maramorosch (1964) showed that the transmission of the Eastern strain of AYV by the six-spotted leafhopper fluctuated during a 16 hour period. Transmissions were less frequent during the first eight hours of each day and five distinct peaks, two in the morning hours and three in the afternoon, were repeatedly and consistently detected.

The ability of individual six-spotted leafhoppers to transmit AYV has been examined. Kunkel (1926) found that some individual leafhoppers transmit the Eastern strain of AYV to all plants on which they feed for as long as one day, while others transmit it with much less certainty. Chapman (1949) found that infective leafhoppers, after long periods on immune barley plants, transmitted the virus to asters rather erratically in short test-feeding periods but consistently in longer ones. He showed, also, that single insects introduced into large cages with many plants remained on one plant for as long as ten consecutive days and transmitted yellows to practically every plant on which they fed. After 18 days of incubation of the Eastern strain AYV in the six-spotted leafhopper, no differences were found in the infectivity of infective leafhoppers during infection periods of three, six and ten days on china aster (Strong and Rawlins, 1958). After 18 days of virus incubation two infective insects per plant were as successful as large numbers in inoculation of all healthy plants. Infective insects which rested on plants for ten minutes transmitted virus almost as readily as those caged on plants for six hours, but per cent of infection was considerably higher for insects caged on plants for 24 hour periods.

Most infective leafhoppers inoculated only one plant each 24 hour period of individual confinement to groups of plants. After becoming infective, 70 to 78 per cent of infective leafhoppers inoculated all plants on which they were confined individually for 12 to 48 hours in serial transfers. Such consistent infection was not obtained with one hour confinement periods.

Virus host-plant relationships

Aster yellows virus has a very wide host plant range. Wallis (1960) listed 297 species of plants in 51 families which were susceptible to the virus. According to Sackston (1959), the virus has been found in 80 genera in about 30 families in Canada. To the above host lists two more hosts in the grass family have been added: barley (Banttari and Moore, 1960) and wheat (Chiykowski, 1963, 1965).

The symptoms caused by AYV on its hosts are: stunting, chlorosis and sterility (Kunkel, 1926; Chiykowski, 1964). The use of the effect of specific strains of AYV on the same host plants has been one method used to separate the strains (Kunkel, 1931; Severin, 1934; Kunkel, 1955; Freitag, 1948, 1964; and Granados, 1965). The host plants commonly used are aster C. chinensis; N. rustica; periwinkle, V. rosea; plantain, Plantago major L; and celery, Apium graveolens L. var. dulce Pers. Three strains of celery-infecting AYV were identified in California (Freitag, 1958) and Granados (1965) identified eight in Wisconsin of which two were related to the Western or celery-infecting group which either did not infect celery or infected celery occasionally.

One strain which infected celery was classified as intermediate because of symptoms resembling both Eastern and Western AYV.

Temperature affects incubation of aster yellows virus in the host plant as it does in the insect. Kunkel (1941) showed that plants of N. rustica and V. rosea infected with AYV would recover after subjecting the plants to high temperatures of 40°C for three weeks and 38° to 42°C for two weeks, respectively. Periwinkle also recovered after immersion in a water bath at 40° to 45°C for a few hours. Chapman (1949) found that the incubation period of the virus was similarly affected in insects, asters and carrots, when held at similar temperatures. The period became shorter in both host plants as the temperature was increased from 16° to 28°C but became longer at 32°C.

Resistance of the host plants to infection by aster yellows virus has been shown in carrots, sunflowers and flax. Based on the incubation period of AYV, 22.9 days in small carrots, 43.2 days in large carrots, Severin (1932) concluded that in all probability large carrots are more resistant to the disease. Putt and Sackston (1960) claimed that resistance to aster yellows had been identified in sunflowers. The resistance to aster yellows appeared to be qualitatively inherited and single cross hybrids between resistant and susceptible lines showed the resistance to be dominant.

Martin et al (1961) showed that the flax variety Abyssinian (C.I. 302) contributed a greater number of plants free from the AYV symptoms than other varieties tested. A selected strain of Abyssinian with a high degree of resistance to aster yellows was considered sat-

isfactory as a parent in a breeding program. Frederiksen (1964) found that varieties of flax selected for resistance or tolerance to aster yellows are not acceptable from an agronomic point of view.

Vector host relationship

The six-spotted leafhopper has a wide range of food plants. Osborn (1916) found it in the grasslands and oat fields of Maine and mentions it as a serious pest on wheat, oats and barley crops in the Northwest (Osborn, 1912). Kunkel (1926) listed 22 species on which the leafhoppers feed and reproduce and seven species on which they do not flourish. Wallis (1960) listed 144 species of plants as hosts of the leafhopper. Among 38 plant species, that Wallis (1962) sampled, over a period of three years, there were no leafhoppers taken on collards, eggplant or okra. He found celeriac, celery and carrot more susceptible to infestation than any of the other plants. He listed carrot, lettuce and onion in a descending order of susceptibility as did McClanahan (1962). Lee and Robinson (1958) listed lettuce, aster, parsley, carrot or flax in a descending order of preference. According to McClanahan (1962) the reason for leafhopper preference for certain plant species cannot be explained. It does not seem to be a function of physical characteristics such as leaf form, color or pubescence. The insects move about for several minutes before starting to feed, and possibly are influenced by a chemoreceptor mechanism.

CHAPTER III

GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments

In Manitoba three crops of head lettuce, a transplant crop, and two field seeded crops, and one crop of carrots are grown each year. The transplant crop is harvested by mid-June and usually escapes severe infection. The two seeded head lettuce crops spring and summer, if they are not protected may be completely destroyed.

In the experiments the head lettuce (Imperial 456) and carrots (Special Long Type Nantes) were seeded with a "Planet Junior" seeder in rows two feet apart in plots approximately 50 x 50 feet. The plots were separated from each other by seven feet of cultivated soil. The lettuce seedlings were thinned to one foot between plants.

The insecticides used in the experiments are given in the following list by the common names designated by the Entomological Society of America (Billings, 1965) or the registered trade names or Company designations for experimental materials together with their chemical names.

<u>Names used in the thesis</u>	<u>Chemical names</u>
American Cyanamid (AC) 43064	2-(diethoxyphosphinothioylimino)- 1,3-dithiolane
American Cyanamid (AC) 47470	2-(diethoxyphosphinylimino-4- methyl-1,3-dithiolane)
American Cyanamid (AC) 47031	2-(diethoxyphosphinylimino)- 1,3-dithiolane
American Cyanamid (AC) 47300	NOT KNOWN
Baygon ^R	o-isopropoxyphenyl methylcarbamate
Captan ^R	N-((trichloromethyl)thio)-4-cyclo hexene- 1,2-dicarboximide
* Carbaryl	1-naphthyl N-methylcarbamate
* DDT	1,1,1-trichloro-2,2-bis(p- chlorophenyl) ethane
Delnav ^R * (dioxathion)	p-dioxane-2,3-diyl ethyl ethyl phosphorodithioate
* Dimethoate	O,O-dimethyl S-(N-methyl carbamoylmethyl) phosphorodithioate
Di-Syston ^R * (disulfoton)	O,O-diethyl S-(2-(ethylthio) ethyl) phosphorodithioate
* Endosulfan	6,7,8,9,10,10-hexachloro-1,5, 5a,6,9,9a-hexahydro-6,9-methano 2,4,3-benzodioxathiepin-3-oxide
* Malathion	S-(1,2-bis(ethoxycarbonyl) ethyl) O,O-dimethyl phosphoro- dithioate
Meta-Systox ^R * (oxydemetonmethyl)	S-(2-(ethylsulfinyl)ethyl)O,O- dimethyl phosphorothioate
* Phorate	O,O-diethyl S-((ethylthio)methyl) phosphorodithioate
TEPP ^R * (sulfotepp)	ethyl thiopyrophosphate

* - common name

R - Registered product

The insecticides formulated as wettable powders or emulsive concentrates were applied at various rates in 15 gallons of water per acre with a two gallon compressed air sprayer equipped with a "T" - jet nozzle, at a pressure of 60 p.s.i.

The insecticides formulated as granules were applied in rows two feet apart with a "V" belt seeder at a depth of one inch. The lettuce seed was planted immediately afterwards on the same rows at a depth of a half inch and the carrots at a depth of a half inch.

The lettuce crops were swept with a 15 inch diameter insect net to obtain an estimate of the number of six-spotted leafhoppers on each plot. A sweep was a single stroke of the net over a distance of approximately three feet (Westdal et al, 1961).

When the head lettuce was marketable, heads were sectioned and examined for symptoms of aster yellows virus. Lesions and latex deposits (Grogan et al, 1955) on the plants were the main symptoms sought (Fig. 1). The carrots were dug up and examined for symptoms of the disease. The incidence of disease was recorded as a percentage of the total number of plants examined.



FIGURE 1

HEAD LETTUCE, var. IMPERIAL 456, SHOWING SYMPTOMS
OF AYV, BROWN EXUDATE ON STIFF, CHLOROTIC STRAP-
LIKE TERMINAL LEAVES.

Laboratory experiments

The virus free M. fascifrons used in the laboratory experiments were obtained from a culture that originated from one female M. fascifrons. The culture was reared in a cage on oats (var. Rodney) which is not known to be susceptible to AYV. Groups of M. fascifrons from the culture were checked periodically on aster to verify their freedom from AYV.

Three strains of AYV were isolated and maintained in asters. The one non-celery infecting strain "A" was obtained from field infected lettuce at Winnipeg in 1960. Of the two celery infecting strains "B" and "C", "B" was obtained from a field infected Zinnia, Winnipeg, 1964, and "C" from a field infected celery plant, Winnipeg, 1963. Celery was used to differentiate the celery and non-celery-infecting strains. The two celery infecting strains were separated on the basis of symptoms in aster and N. rustica var. humilis.

Strain "B" in both aster and N. rustica caused a rapid initial growth producing a spindly plant. In aster the rapid growth was followed by stunting but without the formation of the terminal rosette characteristic of the strain "C" and "A". Axillary growth was a common feature of strain "B" and not of "A" or "C".

In addition to these different aster and N. rustica growth habits, three other criteria were used to identify the strains.

- 1) the height of the plant from the first leaf node to plant tip.
- 2) the distance from the first leaf node to the last observable leaf node.

3) the number of observable leaf nodes.

The above criteria were used on a series of plants for each replicate of the experiment to certify the identity of the three virus strains.

The host plants used in the experiments were either from registered seed stocks in case of crop plants or were collected from identified species in case of weeds. Fourteen different hosts were used in the laboratory experiments and are as follows:

<u>Common name</u>	<u>Scientific name</u>	<u>Variety</u>
Aster	<u>Callistephus chinensis</u> Nees	Giant Pink
Barley	<u>Hordeum vulgare</u> L.	Parkland
Carrot	<u>Daucus carota</u> L.	Special Long Type Nantes
Celery	<u>Apium graveolens</u> L.	Utah
Common plantain	<u>Plantago major</u> L.	-
Flax	<u>Linum usitatissimum</u> L.	Redwood
Head lettuce	<u>Lactuca sativa</u> L.	Imperial 456
Onion	<u>Allium cepa</u> L.	Ebenezer
Stinkweed	<u>Thlaspi arvense</u> L.	-
Sunflower	<u>Helianthus annuus</u> L.	Peredovik
Sunflower	<u>Helianthus annuus</u> L.	Commander
Tame buckwheat	<u>Fagopyrum esculentum</u> M.	Common
Wheat	<u>Triticum aestivum</u> L.	Selkirk
Wild buckwheat	<u>Polygonum convolvulus</u> L.	-

Seeds of species with large seeds such as: sunflower, wheat,

barley, flax and tame buckwheat were treated with Captan and sown three to four seeds per three-inch pot made of peat. On germination, all but one healthy seedling were removed. The sunflower seedlings were used within a week of germination when the two cotyledon leaves had fully expanded; wheat and barley were used within a week of germination when the seedlings were one to three inches tall, and flax and tame buckwheat were used at the four leaf stage. The seeds of the small seeded hosts as aster, lettuce, onion, carrot, celery, wild buckwheat, stinkweed and common plantain were treated with Captan and then sown in a six-inch clay pot. The seedlings less than one week old were transplanted individually to three-inch peat pots and were used at the four to six leaf stage. The times of seeding were scheduled to provide plants at the required time and stage of growth. Ninety plants of each host were required for each replicate of the test.

The leafhoppers were handled with a glass-tube aspirator. In the first half of the experiment to determine the ability of the leafhopper to transmit the aster yellows virus to aster, cylindrical cages of cellulose nitrate six inches long by two and one-half inches in diameter were used (Fig. 2). The top end and a one and one-half inch hole in the side of the cage were closed with nylon cloth. A one-half inch opening, stoppered with a cork, in the side, was used for introducing and removing leafhoppers with the aspirator.

Two types of cages were used in the second half of the experiment in which the ability of the leafhoppers to remove the aster yellows virus was determined. One was a metacrylic plastic tube cage




FIGURE 2

CELLULOSE NITRATE CAGE OVER AN ASTER FOUR-LEAF
STAGE, GROWN IN A THREE-INCH PEAT POT.

eight inches long by four inches in diameter (Fig. 3). The top of the tube and two side holes, each two inches in diameter, were closed with nylon cloth. A one-inch opening in the side, closed with a rubber stopper was used to introduce and remove insects. The second cage was a 1 pound polyethylene bag measuring $3\frac{1}{2}$ inches by $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches by 8 inches long (Fig. 4). A sharp pointed pair of forceps was used to punch several hundred small holes in the bag to permit circulation of air.

The laboratory experiments were carried out in a greenhouse in which the temperatures were thermostatically controlled. Four compartments of a greenhouse at Canada Department of Agriculture, Winnipeg, Man., were used. One compartment, maintained at $21 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ was used to grow virus free plants. A second compartment, at 24 to 26°C was used to retain the exposed plants until symptoms appeared on them. The plants were grown on moist beds of fine gravel which provided a rooting medium and a constant source of water. These two compartments were sprayed twice weekly with TEPP for insect control. A third compartment, at $26 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ was used to produce virus-free M. fascifrons. The leafhoppers were reared on oats, in cages which were approximately three feet square and four feet high covered with 60 mesh Saran screening. A fourth compartment, at $24 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ was used to expose the infective leafhoppers to the host plants. This was done using cellulose nitrate or polyethylene bag cages. Cultures of the three virus strains were maintained in this compartment in metacrylic tube cages. All transfers of M. fascifrons were made in a room which was attached to the greenhouse. The precautions of handling only one virus strain at




FIGURE 3

METACRYLIC PLASTIC TUBE CAGE OVER AN ASTER GROWN
IN A FOUR-INCH CLAY POT.



FIGURE 4

POLYETHYLENE BAG CAGE OVER AN ASTER GROWN
IN A THREE-INCH PEAT POT.

a time and accounting for all the insects transferred eliminated possibilities of contamination. In addition room lights were left off so that any free leafhoppers would be attracted to the window, the major light source, where they could be captured.

In the experiment (Chapter IX) to determine the ability of M. fascifrons to infect the fourteen host plants with the three strains of aster yellows virus, it was necessary, due to lack of greenhouse space, to run Replicates 1, 2 and 3 successively. For each replicate of each strain of AYV approximately 150 nymphs, 2nd to 4th instar, were placed on an infected aster and retained there for ten days. Ninety nymphs for each strain of aster yellows virus were then removed and placed individually on a seedling aster at the four-leaf stage, grown in a three-inch peat pot which was covered with the plastic bag cage. The bag was pulled down over the edge of the peat pot and held in place with a rubber band. The remaining nymphs of each strain were retained on a single virus free aster. After a period of one week the cages containing the single leafhopper nymphs were examined and dead insects were replaced with live nymphs. The single leafhoppers were retained on the aster plants until the aster either showed symptoms of aster yellows or for a period which lasted until two weeks after the date on which the last plant showed symptoms. The sex of each leafhopper was noted. The leafhoppers whose host plants showed symptoms of aster yellows were considered to have shown themselves to be transmitters of aster yellows. For each strain of AYV, a group of 30 infective leafhoppers were selected at random and were individually caged on a seedling aster,

four-leaf stage, grown in a three-inch peat pot, using the cellulose nitrate cage, for a period of two days. The open end of the cage was forced into the soil in the peat pot. After a feeding period of two days, each leafhopper was transferred to a second seedling host plant for two days. The transfers were made every two days until all of the fourteen hosts were exposed. The death of a test leafhopper was recorded and the leafhopper was replaced with another infective individual which carried the same strain. After the two day feeding period, the cages and leafhoppers were removed and the plants were grown until they showed symptoms of aster yellows, or, in case of negative results, until the plant set seed.

A record was made of those leafhoppers which died during the test. The last host plant was noted and if that particular plant failed to show symptoms it was discarded because it was unknown whether or not the leafhopper fed before it died. If the plant was positive for aster yellows symptoms then the result was retained.

In the experiment (Chapter X) to determine the ability of M. fascifrons to acquire the three different strains of AYV from the infected host plants, 150 virus free nymphs, 2nd to 4th instar were caged on each host infected with each strain of the virus for a period of ten days. After the ten day period 90 nymphs, in three replicates of 30, for each host infected with each strain of AYV were caged in plastic bag cages on individual aster plants. (Fig. 5). The asters were at the four-leaf stage, grown in a peat pot. The leafhoppers were retained until the asters showed the virus or for two weeks after the



FIGURE 5

GREENHOUSE BENCH SHOWING A SERIES OF POLY-
ETHYLENE BAG CAGES USED IN A TRANSMISSION
TEST

last plant showed the virus symptoms. The remaining nymphs were caged on a virus-free aster plant. One week after the test was set up each cage was examined and any dead leafhopper nymphs were replaced. As soon as the nymphs became adult the sex was recorded. Any leafhopper that died before the first symptoms of AYV appeared in the test was recorded. If the plant failed to show symptoms of AYV it was discarded, but if the plant was positive the record was retained. This was done because it was impossible to determine whether or not the leafhopper had acquired sufficient virus to be infective and whether or not it would have transmitted the virus to the aster plant.

CHAPTER IV

EXPERIMENTS ON CONTROL OF M. fascifrons DURING 1960

Materials and methods

This experiment was designed to evaluate malathion for the control of the six-spotted leafhopper and prevention of the spread of aster yellows to the spring and summer crops of head lettuce and carrots. It was also designed to determine the most effective time, rate and interval of application of the insecticide. Malathion was found to be ineffective in New York, (Strong and Rawlins, 1958, 1959) and effective in Wisconsin, U.S.A. (Chiykowski, 1958) for the control of M. fascifrons on head lettuce and the prevention of infection by aster yellows virus. In Wisconsin, treatments were necessary throughout the season (Chapman, 1949) but in Manitoba the spring transplant crop, harvested about June 15, was grown without application of insecticides although the six-spotted leafhoppers arrived at least one month before harvest (Westdal et al, 1961).

The experiments were carried out at the Special Crops Substation, Canada Department of Agriculture, Portage la Prairie, Man. The experiments on the spring and summer crops of head lettuce and on carrots were of similar designs. The plots were arranged in a randomized split-plot design replicated three times. The subplots were treated on the following dates:

Spray schedule dates

<u>Treatment Number</u>	Subplot A	Subplot B
	<u>Malathion 2 lb./ac.</u> <u>at 2-day interval</u>	<u>Malathion 2 lb./ac.</u> <u>at 4-day interval</u>
1	May 27	May 27
2	June 9	June 9
3	June 21	June 21
4	July 3	July 3
5	July 15	July 15
6 (check)	-	-

Each spray schedule when commenced was continued until five days before harvest.

The summer spray schedules were the same as the spring spray schedules. They commenced on: Treatment 1-Aug. 8, T. 2-Aug. 22, T. 3-Sept. 3, T. 4-Sept. 15, T. 5-Sept. 27, T. 6 was a check.

The subplots in the carrot experiment were treated on the following dates:

Spray schedule dates

<u>Treatment Number</u>	Subplot A	Subplot B
	<u>DDT 2 lb./ac.</u>	<u>Carbaryl 2 lb./ac.</u>
1	June 13	June 13
2	July 13	July 13
3	July 31	July 31
4	Aug. 18	Aug. 18
5 (check)	-	-

Each spray schedule was continued until five days before harvest.

Malathion and DDT were formulated as emulsive concentrates and Carbaryl as a wettable powder.

The lettuce was swept with an insect net to obtain a relative

measure of the number of the leafhoppers in each plot. Sweeps were started about three weeks after crop emergence. Fifty sweeps were made on each subplot which was sprayed at four-day intervals with malathion. The sweeps were made at eight-day intervals, three days after the last spray application.

For residue analysis, six heads of lettuce per replicate were taken at random from each of the five subplots treated with malathion at the four-day interval and from the check plots. The heads were collected at the time of harvest, five days after the last application of insecticide. They were stored in plastic bags at 40°F for four days, after which they were analysed for malathion residues by the Canada Department of National Health and Welfare, Winnipeg, Man. The method of analysis was that listed by Horwitz (1960).

Results and discussion

On head lettuce, malathion applied at both two- and four-day intervals reduced the leafhopper population (Table II). This reduction of the leafhopper population brought about a reduction in the incidence of aster yellows (Table I). On the spring crop, the treatments differed at the one percent level of significance in the seasonal mean number of leafhoppers and in the per cent of heads which were infected with aster yellows virus (Tables I and II). There was no difference between the first three treatments (Tables I and II). This was because early in the year, the leafhopper population was at a low level. When the third treatment, late in June, was started, the

population was beginning to build up rapidly on lettuce and by the fourth treatment leafhopper populations were high. There was no difference between the first three treatments of the summer crop (Table III) in reduction of the incidence of aster yellows infected heads. This may have been partly because many plants had not emerged when the first treatment was applied and partly due to slow dispersal of leafhoppers to the test area while the vegetation was sparse. The leafhopper populations remained at a very low level during the entire experiment. Attempts to sweep for leafhoppers failed to give any consistent data and were abandoned.

The incidence of aster yellows, as determined by symptoms shown in the field, was higher in the spring crops than in the summer crops, particularly in the check and later treatments (Tables I and III). This was thought to be due to slow development of the virus in cool fall weather (Chapman, 1949 and Self and Darling, 1953). To determine whether or not the virus was latent, approximately 50 lettuce plants without symptoms were taken from the check plots at time of harvest and held in the greenhouse at approximately 24°C for two weeks. Virus was acquired from 66 per cent of the lettuce plants by virus-free leafhoppers and transmitted to aster.

Residue analysis showed that nine days after the last of fifteen applications of malathion at a rate of two pounds per acre at four-day intervals the residues were less than 0.5 ppm (Appendix B, Table IV). The tolerance limit was 8 ppm *. The results show that there were no

* Doroznski, J., Can. Dept. Natl. Health and Welfare, Winnipeg, Man. (personal communication).

accumulations of residues. There was no difference in the amount of residue on plants from plots that received fifteen applications and those that received only the last three applications.

On carrots, DDT and Carbaryl were equally effective when applied within a month of crop emergence in reducing the per cent of plants infected with aster yellows virus (Table IV). The treatments begun on July 31 or later did not prevent the spread of aster yellows virus by the six-spotted leafhopper. The low rate of infection in the check, however, places in doubt the economy of the insecticide applications. The cost of insecticide and application would probably exceed the value of the carrots saved.

TABLE I

PERCENT OF HEAD LETTUCE PLANTS INFECTED WITH ASTER YELLOWS
AFTER APPLICATION OF MALATHION AT 2 LB. PER ACRE AT 2- AND 4-DAY
INTERVALS WITH TREATMENT 1 BEGINNING AT CROP EMERGENCE AGAINST
Macrosteles fascifrons, SPRING CROP 1960 *

Treatment and date started	No. applications of insecticides		No. of plants		Per cent plants infected **	
	2-day	4-day	Examined	Infected		
1. May 27	29	15	1381	29	2	***
2. June 9	23	12	1407	37	3	
3. June 21	17	9	1317	31	2	
4. July 5	11	6	1427	176	12	
5. July 11	5	3	1347	360	27	
6. (check)	-	-	1294	311	36	

* 2- and 4-day data combined since there was no significant difference between these treatments at the 5% level of significance.

** Angular transformations applied before analysis (Goulden, 1952)

*** Any two means connected by the same line are not significantly different from each other at the 1% level of significance by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

TABLE II

TOTAL AND SEASONAL MEAN NUMBER OF Macrosteles fascifrons FOR
THREE REPLICATES AFTER APPLICATION OF MALATHION AT 2 LB. PER
ACRE AT 4-DAY INTERVALS WITH TREATMENT 1 BEGINNING AT CROP
EMERGENCE, SPRING CROP 1960

Treatment and date started	No. applications of insecticides 4-day	Total no. <u>M. fascifrons</u>	Mean no. <u>M. fascifrons</u>
1. May 27	15	18	6 *
2. June 9	12	17	6
3. June 21	9	115	38
4. July 3	6	180	60
5. July 15	3	638	213
6. (check)	-	2802	934

* Any two means connected by the same line are not significantly different from each other at the 1% level of significance by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

TABLE III

PERCENTAGE OF HEAD LETTUCE PLANTS INFECTED WITH ASTER YELLOWS AFTER
APPLICATION OF MALATHION AT 2 LB. PER ACRE AT 2- and 4-DAY INTERVALS
WITH TREATMENT 1 BEGINNING AT CROP EMERGENCE AGAINST
Macrosteles fascifrons, SUMMER CROP 1960 *

Treatment and date started	No. applications of insecticides		No. of plants		Per cent plants infected **	
	2-day	4-day	Examined	Infected		
1. Aug. 8	28	16	1082	24	2	***
2. Aug. 22	21	12	940	14	2	
3. Sept. 3	15	9	967	48	5	
4. Sept. 15	9	5	1069	101	9	
5. Sept. 27	3	2	994	98	10	
6. (check)	-	-	1086	142	13	

* 2- and 4-day data combined since there was no significant difference between these treatment intervals.

** Angular transformation applied before analysis (Goulden, 1952).

*** Any two means connected by the same line are not significantly different from each other at the 5% level of significance by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

TABLE IV

PERCENTAGE OF CARROT PLANTS INFECTED WITH ASTER YELLOWS AFTER APPLICATION OF DDT AND CARBARYL, EACH AT 2 LB. PER ACRE, AT 6-DAY INTERVALS WITH TREATMENT 1 BEGINNING AT CROP EMERGENCE AGAINST Macrostoteles fascifrons

Treatment and date started	No. of carrot plants				Per cent plants infected *	
	DDT		Carbaryl		DDT **	Carbaryl **
	Examined	Infected	Examined	Infected		
1. June 13	1830	6	1872	9	0.3	0.5
2. July 13	1826	11	1936	31	0.6	1.6
3. July 31	2019	57	2089	50	2.8 ***	2.4 ***
4. Aug. 18	2306	89	2260	72	3.9	3.2
5. (check)	4274	74	4274	62	3.2	3.2

* Angular transformation applied before analysis (Goulden, 1952).

** DDT and Carbaryl not significantly different.

*** Any two means connected by the same line are not significantly different from each other at the 1% level of significance by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

CHAPTER V

EXPERIMENTS ON CONTROL OF M. fascifrons DURING 1961

Materials and methods

The experiments were designed to evaluate malathion EC and to test Carbaryl WP and Baygon WP, at different rates and intervals of application, for the control of M. fascifrons to prevent the spread of aster yellows on head lettuce. The treatments were similar to those in 1960 in which the plants were sprayed at two-week intervals in an attempt to determine the most effective time to commence treatment for economic control.

The experiments were carried out at the Special Crops Substation of the Canada Department of Agriculture, Portage la Prairie, Man. The experiments on the spring and summer crops of head lettuce were similar; the plots were arranged in a randomized split-plot design which was replicated three times. The four subplots were treated as follows:



Treatment	Spray schedule dates			
	Subplot A malathion 3-day intervals 1 lb./ac.	Subplot B malathion 6-day intervals 2 lb./ac.	Subplot C Carbaryl 6-day intervals 1 lb./ac.	Subplot D Carbaryl 6-day intervals 2 lb./ac.
		<u>SPRING CROP</u>		
1	May 29	May 29	May 29	May 29
2	June 13	June 13	June 13	June 13
3	June 28	June 28	June 28	June 28
4	July 13	July 13	July 13	July 13
5 (check)	-	-	-	-
	malathion 3-day intervals 1 lb./ac.	Carbaryl 6-day intervals 1 lb./ac.	Baygon 3-day intervals 1 lb./ac.	Baygon 6-day intervals 1 lb./ac.
		<u>SUMMER CROP</u>		
1	July 28	July 28	July 28	July 28
2	Aug. 9	Aug. 9	Aug. 9	Aug. 9
3	Aug. 21	Aug. 21	Aug. 21	Aug. 21
4	Sept. 2	Sept. 2	Sept. 2	Sept. 2
5 (check)	-	-	-	-

To obtain a relative measure of the number of M. fascifrons, fifty sweeps were made on each subplot, beginning three weeks after crop emergence. All subplots of the spring and summer crops were swept at six-day intervals. The sweeps were made two days after every second spray of the insecticides which were applied at three-day intervals, and five days after the insecticides applied at six-day intervals. Samples of heads of lettuce were sectioned to determine the per cent infection of aster yellows virus.

Results and discussions

Malathion and Carbaryl in the spring crop and malathion, Carbaryl and Baygon in the summer crop of head lettuce (Tables VI and

VIII) at the rates and intervals tested effectively reduced the populations of the six-spotted leafhopper. This reduction resulted in a lower incidence of aster yellows (Tables V and VII). The treatments differed at the one per cent level of significance in the spring and summer crops. This was probably because, as in 1960, the leafhopper population was at a low level. By the time of the third treatment, late in June the population was beginning to build up rapidly on lettuce and by the time of the fourth treatment leafhopper populations were high (Table VI). There was no difference at the one per cent level of significance between the first three treatments of the summer crop (Table VIII). As in 1960 this may have been due to the slow dispersal of the leafhoppers to the test area while vegetation was sparse. There was no difference at the five per cent level of significance in the incidence of aster yellows between plots with different insecticides rates, or intervals of application. However, in the spring crop there was a difference at the one per cent level of significance in the mean seasonal number of leafhoppers (Table IX).

Malathion applied at six-day intervals was not as effective as the other insecticides in controlling the six-spotted leafhopper; a higher incidence of aster yellows would therefore, be expected. There was a small increase in the incidence of aster yellows, but it was not statistically different, nor was it proportional to the difference in numbers of leafhoppers. It has been shown that in 1960, (Chapter IV), malathion applied at four-day intervals was as effective as at two-day intervals. It is likely that at two pounds per acre, malathion was

still effective but to a diminishing degree on the 5th and 6th days, permitting more invading leafhoppers to survive. However, the time for virus inoculation would be limited and the leafhoppers would be destroyed with the next application of insecticide on the 6th day. With a larger leafhopper population and/or a higher percentage of infective individuals than in 1961, the decreasing effectiveness of the insecticide toward the end of the six-day interval would probably be reflected in a significantly higher incidence of aster yellows.

Carbaryl and Baygon gave effective control of leafhoppers but were not satisfactory for use on lettuce. Lettuce treated with Carbaryl became heavily infested with aphids and was unmarketable. Very few aphids were noted in any of the other plots. Baygon left a heavy white deposit which detracted from the appearance of the lettuce.

In treatments 1, 2, and 3 of the spring lettuce crop of 1961 (Table V), most of the infected heads had minor aster yellows symptoms which showed only on the growing tip of the plant. This did not affect the market value of the lettuce. In contrast, most of the infected heads in treatment 4 and the check had typical advanced aster yellows symptoms. The minor symptoms were the result of a heavy influx of leafhoppers into the plots a short time before harvest.

The incidence of aster yellows, as determined by symptoms expressed in the field, was higher in the spring crops than in the summer crops, particularly in the check and later treatments (Table V). This was thought to be due to low development of the virus in cool autumn weather (Chapman, 1949, Self and Darling, 1953). To determine

whether or not the virus was latent, approximately 50 lettuce plants without symptoms were taken from the check plots at time of harvest, and held in the greenhouse at approximately 24°C for two weeks. Virus-free leafhoppers were placed on the lettuce for a period of one week, then on virus-free aster to determine rate of transmission. In 1961, 47 per cent of the lettuce plants had latent virus infections.

Malathion applied at the rate of one pound toxicant per acre at three-day intervals was the most suitable treatment for the control of the six-spotted leafhopper and aster yellows on head lettuce. The results show that treatment need not be started until about four weeks after emergence of spring-seeded crops, and for summer crops the treatments should commence at crop emergence.

TABLE V

PERCENTAGE OF HEAD LETTUCE PLANTS INFECTED WITH ASTER
 YELLOWS AFTER APPLICATIONS OF MALATHION AND CARBARYL,
 WITH TREATMENT 1 BEGINNING AT CROP EMERGENCE AGAINST
Macrosteles fascifrons, SPRING CROP 1961 *

Treatment and date started	Number of plants		Per cent plants infected **	
	Examined	Infected		
1. May 29	1233	279	23	***
2. June 13	1091	238	22	
3. June 28	1171	225	20	
4. July 13	1004	619	61	
5. (check)	1079	629	58	

* Data for all insecticides, rates and intervals of application are combined.

** Angular transformation applied before analysis (Goulden, 1952)

*** Any two means connected by the same line are not significantly different from each other at the 1% level by Duncan's Multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

TABLE VI

TOTAL AND SEASONAL MEAN NUMBER OF Macrosteles fascifrons
AFTER APPLICATION OF MALATHION AND CARBARYL, WITH TREATMENT 1
BEGINNING AT CROP EMERGENCE, SPRING CROP 1961

Treatment and date started	Total number <u>M. fascifrons</u>	Mean number <u>M. fascifrons</u> *
1. May 29	6710	80 **
2. June 13	6464	78
3. June 28	5328	64
4. July 13	17617	210
5. (check)	24243	289

* Data for all insecticides, rates and intervals of application are combined.

** Any two means connected by the same line are not significantly different from each other at the 1% level of significance by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

TABLE VII

PERCENTAGE OF HEAD LETTUCE PLANTS INFECTED WITH ASTER
 YELLOWS AFTER APPLICATIONS OF MALATHION, CARBARYL AND
 BAYGON, WITH TREATMENT 1 BEGINNING AT CROP EMERGENCE
 AGAINST Macrosteleles fascifrons, SUMMER CROP 1961 *

Treatment and date started	Number of plants		Per cent plants infected **	
	Examined	Infected		
1. July 28	2273	62	3	***
2. Aug. 9	2194	136	6	
3. Aug. 21	2257	243	13	
4. Sept. 2	2248	365	16	
5. (check)	2244	381	17	

* Data for all insecticides, rates and intervals of application are combined.

** Angular transformation applied before analysis (Goulden, 1952).

*** Any two means connected by the same line are not significantly different from each other at the 1% level of significance by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

TABLE VIII

TOTAL AND SEASONAL MEAN NUMBER OF Macrosteles fascifrons
AFTER APPLICATION OF MALATHION, CARBARYL AND BAYGON, WITH
TREATMENT 1 BEGINNING AT CROP EMERGENCE, SUMMER CROP 1961

Treatment and date started	Total number <u>M. fascifrons</u>	Mean number <u>M. fascifrons</u> *
1. July 28	774	14 **
2. Aug. 9	775	15
3. Aug. 21	886	17
4. Sept. 2	5757	86
5. (check)	6734	107

* Data for all insecticides, rates and intervals of application are combined.

** Any two means connected by the same line are not significantly different from each other at the 1% level of significance by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

TABLE IX

SEASONAL MEAN NUMBER OF LEAFHOPPERS AFTER APPLICATION
OF MALATHION AND CARBARYL AGAINST Macrosteles fascifrons,
SPRING CROF 1961

Insecticide	Rate (lb./acre)	Application interval (days)	Mean number <u>M. fascifrons</u>
Malathion EC	1	3	75 *
Carbaryl WP	2	6	80
Carbaryl WP	1	6	88
Malathion EC	2	6	187
Check	-	-	289

* Any two means connected by the same line are not significantly different from each other at the 1% level of significance by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

CHAPTER VI

EXPERIMENTS ON CONTROL OF M. fascifrons DURING 1962

Materials and methods

Systemic insecticides applied to the soil or plant, as granules at time of seeding or as sprays during the growing season, were compared with malathion the recommended insecticide. The experiments on the spring and summer crop of head lettuce were similar. The plots were arranged in a randomized block design. The insecticides which were tested, the formulations, rates and interval of application are as follows:

Insecticide	Toxicant per ac.	Interval of application
Baygon G	1 lb.	1 app. at seeding
Di-Syston G	1 lb.	1 app. at seeding
AC 43064 G	1 lb.	1 app. at seeding
Phorate G	1 lb.	1 app. at seeding
Baygon EC	1 lb.	2 app. per season
Meta-SystoxR EC	8 oz.	2 app. per season
Dimethoate EC	1 lb.	2 app. per season
AC 47300 EC	1 lb.	2 app. per season
Malathion	1 lb.	2 app. per week (3- and 4-day interval)
Delnav G	1 lb.	1 app. at seeding
Delnav EC	1 lb.	1 app. per week

The first nine materials were applied to the spring crop; all the materials were used on the summer crop.

The first applications of the insecticides which were formulated as emulsifiable concentrates were made one week after the spring

crop emerged and at crop emergence in the summer crop. The second application of the systemic insecticides was three weeks after the first application.

The lettuce crops, as in previous experiments, were swept with a net to obtain a relative measure of the number of leafhoppers on each plot. The sweeps were started about three weeks after the crop emerged. Fifty sweeps were made on the day before the four-day application of malathion. At harvest, the heads of lettuce were sectioned and examined for symptoms which were mainly lesions and latex deposits.

Results and discussion

During 1962 the populations of the six-spotted leafhopper were low (Table X and XII), and the percentage of infective leafhoppers was also low (0.2%). Subsequently, the incidence of aster yellows on the spring crop, (Table XI) was too low to show differences between the insecticides tested. On the summer crop, the incidence of aster yellows appeared negligible; the crop was not sampled to determine rate of infection by aster yellows virus. The results (Table XII) show that a number of insecticides gave a significant reduction of the population of leafhoppers. Based on the results of experiments performed during 1960 and 1961, a reduction in leafhopper population resulted in a reduction of the incidence of aster yellows on the head lettuce.

TABLE X

TOTAL AND SEASONAL MEAN NUMBER OF Macrosteles fascifrons
FOR EACH INSECTICIDE, SPRING CROP 1962

Insecticide	No. of application insecticides	Total number of <u>M. fascifrons</u>	Seasonal mean
Malathion EC	15	30	2 *
Phorate G	1	108	7
Baygon G	1	128	8
Baygon EC	2	165	10
Meta-SystoxR EC	2	299	12
Dimethoate EC	2	274	17
AC 47300 EC	2	311	19
Di-Syston G	1	352	22
AC 43064 G	1	586	37
Check	-	637	40

* Any two means connected by the same line are not significantly different from each other at the 1% level of significance by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

TABLE XI

PERCENTAGE OF HEAD LETTUCE PLANTS INFECTED WITH ASTER
YELLOW VIRUS, SPRING CROP 1962

Insecticide	Number of applications insecticides	Total no. of plants		Per cent infection *
		Examined	Infected	
Baygon EC	2	472	9	0.02 **
AC 47300 EC	2	474	9	0.02
Baygon G	1	504	15	0.03
Malathion EC	15	308	10	0.03
Phorate G	1	399	16	0.04
Meta-SystoxR EC	2	482	22	0.04
Dimethoate EC	2	475	20	0.04
Di-Syston G	1	469	21	0.04
AC 43064 G	1	480	26	0.05
Check	-	505	26	0.05

* Angular transformation applied before analysis (Goulden, 1952).

** An analysis of variance showed there was no difference between insecticides tested to reduce the incidence of aster yellows virus.

TABLE XII

TOTAL AND MEAN NUMBER OF Macrosteles fascifrons FOR
EACH INSECTICIDE TESTED, SUMMER CROP 1962

Insecticides	No. applications insecticides	Total no. of <u>M. fascifrons</u>	Mean
Baygon G	1	21	5
Malathion EC	11	25	6
Delnav EC	6	26	6
Phorate G	1	30	8
Baygon EC	2	42	10
Meta-SystoxR EC	2	44	11
Dimethoate EC	2	53	13
AC 43064 G	1	57	14
Delnav G	1	60	15
AC 47300 EC	2	72	18
Di-Syston G	1	72	20
Check	-	89	22

* Any two means connected by the same line are not significantly different from each other at the 1% level of significance by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

CHAPTER VII

EXPERIMENTS ON CONTROL OF M. fascifrons DURING 1963

Materials and methods

The 1963 experiments were designed to compare the most effective insecticides of previous tests with two new compounds for the control of the M. fascifrons and to prevent the spread of AYV on head lettuce.

The insecticides tested with amount of toxicant per acre and interval of applications are as follows:

Insecticide	Toxicant per acre	Interval of application
Baygon G	1 lb.	at seeding
Phorate G	1 lb.	at seeding
AC 47031 G	1 lb.	at seeding
AC 47470 G	1 lb.	at seeding
Malathion EC	1 lb.	twice weekly (3- and 4-day)
Baygon EC	1 lb.	weekly
Delnav EC	1 lb.	weekly
Endosulfan EC	1 lb.	weekly

Two experiments were carried out on the spring crop, one at Portage la Prairie, and one at Winnipeg, Manitoba. A third experiment on the summer crop was carried out at Portage la Prairie. These experiments were similar, varying only in the number of insecticides tested. The plots were arranged in a randomized block design, and were replicated four times.

The first applications of the insecticides as emulsifiable

concentrates were made one to two weeks after the spring crop of lettuce emerged. The spray schedule was continued until five days before the harvest.

The lettuce crops were swept with a net to obtain a measure of the number of leafhoppers on each plot. The sweeps were started three weeks after the crop emerged. Fifty sweeps were made the day before the four-day application of malathion, and the weekly application of Baygon, Delnav and Endosulfan.

At harvest the heads of lettuce were sectioned and examined for symptoms, mainly lesions, and latex deposits of AYV infection.

Leafhoppers collected near harvest in the check plots of both spring and summer crops, were caged on asters to determine the proportion that were infective.

Results and discussion

During 1963, control of the leafhopper was not directly reflected in the incidence of AYV in lettuce (Tables XIII, XIV, XV, XVI, XVII and XVIII). Malathion was more effective than Baygon G, Phorate, and Baygon EC in reducing the numbers of leafhoppers, but was generally less effective in preventing AYV. Delnav, AC 47470 G, and Endosulfan were less effective than malathion although better than no insecticide at all. AC 47031 G appeared to act as an attractant and resulted in a higher population of both adults and nymphs than in the check (Table XIX). This population level was not reflected in a similar incidence of AYV (Table XIII). Nymphs occurred only in the

check plots and in the plots treated with AC 47031 G indicating that Baygon G, Phorate G, and AC 47470 G prevented oviposition or development of nymphs during the nine weeks of the test.

The incidence of AYV was higher on the summer crop than on the spring crop at Portage la Prairie 1963, although the seasonal mean numbers of leafhoppers were much higher in the spring crop (Table XIV) than in the summer crop (Table XVIII). However virus transmission tests showed that the percentage of infective leafhoppers in the check plots at the time of harvest was 1.3 and 10.0 per cent in the spring and summer crops, respectively. It is also apparent that given a high percentage of infective leafhoppers it is not possible to prevent a high incidence of AYV on field plots with the insecticides tested.

In 1963, malathion the recommended insecticide, was reasonably effective against the leafhopper and AYV in the spring crops, but not in the summer crop. However, under commercial conditions where the entire crop as well as border areas are sprayed, better control of the leafhopper and AYV should be obtained.

The systemic insecticides Baygon (G and EC) and phorate G were better than malathion in 1963 for the prevention of AYV in head lettuce. Similar results were reported for phorate by Thompson and Rawlins (1961), and for Baygon wettable powder by Richardson and Westdal (1963). Baygon has a much lower mammalian toxicity (Anonymous, 1963) than phorate and in granular form is more convenient and cheaper to apply than malathion. Systemic insecticides such as Baygon may prove to be suitable for reducing the leafhopper and AYV.

TABLE XIII

PERCENTAGE OF HEAD LETTUCE PLANTS EXAMINED
AND FOUND INFECTED WITH ASTER YELLOWS VIRUS,
PORTAGE LA PRAIRIE, SPRING CROP 1963

Insecticide	No. of applications of insecticide	Total no. plants		Per cent plants infected *	
		Examined	Infected		
Baygon G	1	764	227	34	**
Phorate G	1	801	305	38	
Baygon EC	8	766	321	42	
Malathion EC	15	772	330	43	
AC 47470 G	1	817	418	51	
Delnav EC	8	791	524	66	
Endosulfan EC	8	751	523	70	
AC 47031 G	1	789	567	72	
Check	-	757	688	91	

* Angular transformation applied before analysis (Goulden, 1952).

** Any two means connected by the same line are not significantly different from each other at the 1% level by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

TABLE XIV

TOTAL AND SEASONAL MEAN NUMBER OF Macrosteles fascifrons,
PORTAGE LA PRAIRIE, SPRING CROP 1963

Insecticide	No. of applications of insecticide	Total number <u>M. fascifrons</u>	Mean number <u>M. fascifrons</u>
Malathion EC	15	3161	132 *
Baygon EC	8	5297	221
Phorate G	1	5385	224
Baygon G	1	7825	326
Delnav EC	8	10208	425
Endosulfan EC	8	12103	504
AC 47470 G	1	12260	511
Check	-	13559	565
AC 47031 G	1	17863	744

* Any two means connected by the same line are not significantly different from each other at the 1% level by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

TABLE XV

PERCENTAGE AND NUMBER OF HEAD LETTUCE PLANTS
EXAMINED AND FOUND INFECTED WITH ASTER YELLOWS VIRUS,
WINNIPEG, SPRING CROP 1963

Insecticide	No. of applications of insecticide	Total plants		Per cent plants infected *	
		Examined	Infected		
Baygon G	1	1204	140	9	**
Malathion EC	11	1141	149	13	
Phorate G	1	1175	186	16	
Check	-	1171	760	65	

* Angular transformation applied before analysis (Goulden, 1952).

** Any two means connected by the same line are not significantly different from each other at the 1% level by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

TABLE XVI
TOTAL AND SEASONAL MEAN NUMBER OF
Macrosteles fascifrons PER 50 SWEEPS PER TREATMENT,
WINNIPEG, SPRING CROP 1963

Insecticide	No. of applications of insecticide	Total number <u>M. fascifrons</u>	Mean number <u>M. fascifrons</u>
Malathion EC	11	576	32 *
Phorate G	1	1085	60
Baygon G	1	1098	61
Check	-	2401	133

* Any two means connected by the same line are not significantly different from each other at the 1% level by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

TABLE XVII

PERCENTAGE AND NUMBER OF HEAD LETTUCE PLANTS EXAMINED
AND FOUND INFECTED WITH ASTER YELLOWS VIRUS,
PORTAGE LA PRAIRIE, SUMMER CROP 1963

Insecticide	No. of applications of insecticide	Total plants		Per cent plants infected *
		Examined	Infected	
Baygon G	1	980	723	74
Baygon EC	8	946	771	82 **
Malathion EC	16	942	804	84
Phorate G	1	973	817	85
Check	-	952	928	97

* Angular transformation applied before analysis (Goulden, 1952).

** Any two means connected by the same line are not significantly different from each other at the 1% level of significance by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

TABLE XVIII

TOTAL AND SEASONAL MEAN NUMBER OF
Macrosteles fascifrons PER 50 SWEEPS PER TREATMENT,
 PORTAGE LA PRAIRIE, SUMMER CROP 1963

Insecticides	Applications of insecticide	Total number <u>M. fascifrons</u>	Mean number <u>M. fascifrons</u>
Malathion EC	16	584	29 *
Baygon EC	8	597	30
Baygon G	1	1060	53
Phorate G	1	1145	57
Check	-	2006	100

* Any two means connected by the same line are not significantly different from each other at the 1% level by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

TABLE XIX

TOTAL NUMBER OF Macrosteles fascifrons,
ADULTS AND NYMPHS, ON AC 47031 AND CHECK,
PORTAGE LA PRAIRIE, SPRING CROP 1963

Date swept	AC 47031 Number of		Check Number of	
	Adults	Nymphs	Adults	Nymphs
June 14	194	-	286	-
June 19	311	-	239	-
June 26	831	-	746	9
July 3	4,359	1	2,628	35
July 10	6,187	4	4,923	319
July 17	4,478	1,498	3,614	760
Total	16,360	1,503	12,436	1,123



FIGURE 6

ASTER, var. GIANT PINK, PLANT SHOWING SYMPTOMS
OF AYV STRAINS "A", "B", AND "C".



FIGURE 7

Nicotiana rustica var. humilis SHOWING SYMPTOMS
OF AYV STRAINS "A", "B", AND "C". THE PLANT
INFECTED WITH STRAIN "B" IS SUPPORTED WITH A STRAW

CHAPTER VIII

DIFFERENTIATION OF THREE STRAINS OF ASTER YELLOWS VIRUS

Materials and methods

Three strains of aster yellows virus, "A", "B" and "C", were isolated from lettuce, zinnia and celery and transmitted to fifteen different host plants: aster, head lettuce, celery, plantain, sunflowers var. Peredovik and Commander, N. rustica var. humilis, tame and wild buckwheat, stinkweed, onion, carrot, barley, flax, and wheat by the six-spotted leafhopper. Two methods were used to differentiate the strains: host-plant susceptibility, and the different growth habits of the infected plants. The growth habits, considered in the second method and used to differentiate the strains, were the height of infected aster plants from the first leaf node to tip, the distance from first to last leaf node, number of exposed leaf nodes and axillary growth.

Results and discussion

The different effects which each strain of the virus had on aster and N. rustica var. humilis are shown in Figures 6 and 7. Strain "A" is a non-celery infecting strain which in aster (Fig. 6) results in a stunted but sturdy plant with the terminal growth point ending in a loose rosette. It has a similar effect on N. rustica (Fig. 7). Strain "B", which is a celery-infecting strain, caused a rapid growth of aster and N. rustica (Figs. 6 and 7) resulting in a

tall spindly plant. The central terminal growth of the infected aster is stunted but does not form a rosette, and the axillary growth is a common feature of such plants (Fig. 6). N. rustica infected with strain "B" are incapable of erect growth and sprawl, unless supported, over the edge of the pot. Strain "C", a celery-infecting strain in aster (Fig. 6) and N. rustica var. humilis (Fig. 7) causes severe stunting. The plant has a weak stem and the terminal growth forms a tight rosette.

In addition to the symptoms which separate the virus strains on aster and N. rustica var. humilis, the strains may be separated on the basis of height measurements, number of exposed leaf nodes and number of plants showing axillary growth (Tables XX, XXI and XXII). The two celery infecting strains, "B" and "C", may be distinguished on the basis of the mean plant height from the first leaf node to tip, the mean plant height from the first leaf node to the last exposed leaf node, and the number of exposed leaf nodes. In Tables XX, XXI and XXII, the measurements of symptoms of strains "B" and "C" were significantly different at the one per cent level. Generally, on the basis of the criteria, it was possible to separate all three strains, but the fact that the symptoms of strains "B" and "A" were not always different at the one per cent level, was irrelevant since strain "A" and "B" could be separated on the basis of whether or not they infected celery.

A comparison of these strains with the Eastern strain of Kunkel (1955) and the three Western or California strains of Freitag (1964) shows several differences. The Eastern strain of Kunkel (1955)

TABLE XX

MEAN AND LSD FOR 40 ASTER PLANTS FOR EACH OF THREE CRITERIA AND THE PER CENT OF PLANTS SHOWING AXILLARY GROWTH, A FOURTH CRITERION USED TO DIFFERENTIATE AYV STRAINS "A", "B", "C", AND CHECK *

	Strain A	Strain B	Strain C	Check	LSD.01%
Mean plant height in cm from first leaf node to tip	20.9	23.1	17.8	28.6	1.7
Mean plant height in cm from first to last leaf node	11.4	14.9	8.7	22.7	1.7
Mean number of leaf nodes	14.5	15.6	10.8	23.9	1.8
Per cent plants showing axillary growth	40.0	67.5	2.5	15.0	-

* The aster plants four-leaf stage, were caged with six-spotted leafhoppers, which had been fed on an infected plant for ten days, on February 24, the symptoms of AYV showed on March 11, and the plants were examined on April 7.

TABLE XXI

MEAN AND LSD FOR 50 ASTER PLANTS FOR EACH OF THREE CRITERIA AND THE PER CENT OF PLANTS SHOWING AXILLARY GROWTH, A FOURTH CRITERION USED TO DIFFERENTIATE AYV STRAINS "A", "B", "C", AND CHECK *

	Strain A	Strain B	Strain C	Check	LSD.01%
Mean plant height in cm from first leaf node to tip	17.4	19.2	15.5	28.6	1.7
Mean plant height in cm from first to last leaf node	6.6	10.3	5.3	22.7	1.4
Mean number of leaf nodes	10.8	12.1	10.0	23.2	.7
Per cent plants showing axillary growth	4.0	82.0	0.0	1.4	-

* The aster plants four-leaf stage, were caged with six-spotted leafhoppers, which had been fed on an infected plant for ten days, on April 7, symptoms of AYV showed on April 25, and the plants were examined on June 24.

TABLE XXII

MEAN AND LSD FOR 25 ASTER PLANTS FOR EACH OF THREE CRITERIA AND THE PER CENT OF PLANTS SHOWING AXILLARY GROWTH, A FOURTH CRITERION USED TO DIFFERENTIATE AYV STRAINS "A", "B", "C", AND CHECK *

	Strain A	Strain B	Strain C	Check	LSD.01%
Mean plant height in cm from first leaf node to tip	8.8	9.8	7.7	13.7	1.5
Mean plant height in cm from first to last leaf node	1.4	2.5	.8	3.4	.5
Mean number of leaf nodes	8.1	9.5	7.0	10.4	1.7
Per cent plants showing axillary growth	0.0	24.0	0.0	0.0	-

* The aster plants four-leaf stage, were caged with infective six-spotted leafhoppers for two days on April 30, the symptoms showed May 12, the plants were examined June 3.

is non-celery infecting (or celery may be infected with difficulty) and produces abundant axillary growth. This is not a characteristic of strain "A" used in this study. On N. rustica (Kunkel, 1955) the Eastern strain of AYV produced upright growth with little gnarling of the leaves. This is not characteristic of strain "A" (Fig. 7). The symptom expression of the two celery infecting strains, "B" and "C" (Fig. 7) do not resemble the symptoms of the California strains, Severe, Dwarf and Tulalake, produced on N. rustica var. humilis (Freitag, 1964). A comparison of symptoms of strains "A", "B" and "C" with the descriptions for the eight strains isolated in Wisconsin by Granados (1965) indicates a number of differences. He classified three strains AYV 8, Wis. CAYV, and AYV 6, as belonging to the Eastern AYV group because infected asters were not severely stunted. The plants produced side shoots and the strains did not infect celery, or did so with difficulty. The non-celery infecting strain, "A", tested in this experiment produced a stunted plant with few if any side shoots (Fig. 6) (Tables XX, XXI, XXIII). The two strains which Granados (1965) classified as celery infecting, AYV 2 and AYV 7, produced severe stunting on aster and N. rustica. Of the two celery infecting strains which were tested in this study only strain "C" produced severe stunting on aster and N. rustica. The similarity of the symptoms may indicate that these two are the same strain. Strain "B" does not fit any of the descriptions given for celery-infecting strains by Granados (1965).

The effects of the three strains on the 13 other hosts tested

are shown in Figs. 8 to 19. Celery and plantain were susceptible to strain "B" and "C" but not "A" and wheat was susceptible to strain "B" but not to strains "A" or "C". Strains "B" and "C" could not be separated on the basis of symptoms on celery or plantain and strains "A", "B" and "C" could not be separated on the basis of symptoms on head lettuce, stinkweed, carrot, onion, sunflowers, tame and wild buckwheat, barley or flax.

Wheat would not be a suitable host to identify strain "B" because of the low rate of infection.



FIGURE 8

HEAD LETTUCE, var. IMPERIAL 456, SHOWING
SYMPTOMS OF AYV STRAINS "A", "B", AND "C".



FIGURE 9

STINKWEED, Thlaspi arvense L., ROSETTE STAGE,
SHOWING SYMPTOMS OF AYV STRAINS "A", "B", AND "C".



FIGURE 10

STINKWEED, Thlaspi arvense L., FLOWERING
STAGE, SHOWING SYMPTOMS OF AYV STRAINS
"A", "B", AND "C".



FIGURE 11

CELERY, var. UTAH, SHOWING SYMPTOMS OF
AYV STRAINS "B", AND "C".



FIGURE 12

PLANTAIN, Plantago major L., SHOWING SYMPTOMS
OF AYV STRAINS "B", AND "C".



FIGURE 13

BARLEY, var. PARKLAND, SHOWING SYMPTOMS OF
AYV IN ORDER FROM LEFT TO RIGHT STRAIN "C",
"A", AND "B" AND CHECK.




FIGURE 14

ONION, var. EBENEZER, SHOWING SYMPTOMS OF
AYV IN ORDER FROM LEFT TO RIGHT STRAIN "C",
"B", AND "A" AND CHECK



FIGURE 15

FLAX, var. REDWOOD, SHOWING SYMPTOMS OF
AYV, STRAINS "A", "B", AND "C".



FIGURE 16

SUNFLOWER, var. PEREDOVIK, SHOWING SYMPTOMS
OF AYV STRAINS "A", "B", AND "C".



FIGURE 17

SUNFLOWER, var. COMMANDER, SHOWING SYMPTOMS
OF AYV STRAINS "A", "B", AND "C".



FIGURE 18

CARROT, var. SPECIAL LONG TYPE NANTES,
SHOWING SYMPTOMS OF AYV STRAINS "A",
"B", AND "C".



FIGURE 19

TAME BUCKWHEAT, var. COMMON, SHOWING SYMPTOMS
OF AYV STRAINS "A", "B", AND "C".



FIGURE 20

WILD BUCKWHEAT, Polygonum convolvulus L.
SHOWING SYMPTOMS OF AYV STRAINS "A",
"B", AND "C".



FIGURE 21

WHEAT, var. SELKIRK, SHOWING SYMPTOMS OF AYV
STRAIN "B".

CHAPTER IX

TRANSMISSION BY Macrosteles fascifrons OF THREE STRAINS OF AYV TO FOURTEEN DIFFERENT HOST PLANTS

Materials and methods

One hundred and fifty nymphs, 2nd to 4th instar, M. fascifrons were placed on aster plants infected with one of the three strains of AYV. The nymphs were left for a ten-day virus acquisition feed, after which 90 nymphs, in three replicates of 30, were chosen at random and placed singly on aster plants which were at the four-leaf stage. They remained there until the plants developed symptoms of AYV. Thirty leafhoppers which had shown the ability to transmit AYV were then placed for two-day intervals on each of the 14 host plants tested, beginning with aster. In the successive two replicates the hosts used after aster were picked at random. This was repeated for each virus strain. The host plants were then held for expression symptoms. The sex of the leafhoppers and the time of symptom expression were noted.

Results and discussion

The ability of infective M. fascifrons to transmit three strains of AYV to 14 different hosts varied with the host plant (Table XXIII). There was no difference between AYV strains "A", "B", and "C", (Appendix B Table XXI). The fact that single infective leafhoppers were able to infect 99 and 98 per cent of stinkweed and head lettuce plants, respectively, on which they were placed for a two-day

TABLE XXIII

TOTAL AND PER CENT TRANSMISSION OF THREE STRAINS * OF ASTER
 YELLOWS VIRUS BY INFECTED SINGLE Macrosteles fascifrons
 TO FOURTEEN DIFFERENT HOST PLANTS

Host	Total No. <u>M. fascifrons</u> tested	Total No. <u>M. fascifrons</u> transmitted	Per cent transmission	Angular transformation **
Stinkweed	268	265	98.9	86.5
Head lettuce	263	258	98.1	87.4
Onion	261	208	79.7	66.1
Flax	263	213	81.0	64.6
Aster	258	207	80.2	62.1
Carrot	260	168	64.6	53.5
Barley	243	128	52.7	44.4
Tame buckwheat	251	120	47.8	43.7
Wild buckwheat	244	44	18.0	23.7
Celery	247	44	17.8	20.3
Sunflower (Peredovik)	257	28	10.9	18.7
Plantain	249	23	9.2	13.5
Sunflower (Commander)	253	16	6.3	12.9
Wheat	264	6	2.3	4.7

* The data for the strains were combined (Appendix B, Table XXI).

** Angular transformation applied before analysis (Goulden, 1952).

*** Any two means connected by the same line are not significantly different from each other at the 1% level by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

feeding period would indicate that the infective six-spotted leafhopper is a consistent and reliable transmitter of the three strains of AYV tested. However, the similarity of results obtained on aster in this test, and by Kunkel (1926), Strong and Rawlins (1958) and Lee (1962), would indicate that the rate of transmission for different strains of AYV to aster is constant. The fact that aster was significantly less susceptible to the AYV than was stinkweed or head lettuce would indicate that aster is a poor indicator of the per cent of the leafhoppers which are infective. This interpretation questions the per cent of virus infected host plants taken from summer crops (Chapters IV and V) and the per cent of infective six-spotted leafhoppers and infected head lettuce plants would be higher.

The variability of the hosts to the strains of AYV may be explained on the basis of plant resistance or vector host-plant relationships. It has been shown by Putt and Sackston (1960) and Martin et al (1961) that resistance is present in sunflowers and in some varieties of flax, respectively. Though the vector host-plant relationship could possibly be a reason for the differences, it appears remote, because although onion is listed by Wallis (1962) and McClanahan (1962) as a poor host, and experience has shown that leafhoppers cannot survive for long or reproduce on it, the variety used in the test was more susceptible to the virus strains than celery, sunflowers, plantain and wheat. On these plants the leafhoppers survive and reproduce normally. The relative susceptibility of the varieties which were tested, indicates that it may be difficult to obtain resistance in certain crop

plants such as head lettuce. In a breeding program, however, it will be necessary to consider the susceptibility of the plants to the different strains of AYV.

In the transmission tests, the sex of each leafhopper was noted. For the experiment, in which 2225 female M. fascifrons were tested individually, 1076 transmitted AYV, a 48.36 per cent transmission. Of the 1445 male six-spotted leafhoppers tested, 686 or 47.47 per cent transmitted AYV. The near 1:1 ratio between the per cent transmission by the female and male M. fascifrons would indicate that there was no difference in the ability of the sexes to transmit AYV. The leafhoppers were unmated.

CHAPTER X

ACQUISITION BY Macrostoteles fascifrons OF THREE STRAINS OF ASTER YELLOWS VIRUS FROM FOURTEEN DIFFERENT HOST PLANTS

Materials and methods

Infected host plants were obtained from the test described in Chapter IX. One hundred and fifty nymphs, 2nd. to 4th. instar, were placed on each host plant carrying one of the three strains of "A", "B", or "C". The nymphs were left on the plants for a ten-day infective feed then transferred individually to single four-leaf stage aster plants, in the polyethylene bag cage. The cages were examined at the end of a week and dead insects were replaced. The sex of the leafhoppers was noted when they matured. The insects were retained on the asters until the plants showed symptoms of AYV or for a period of two weeks after the date on which the last aster showed symptoms.

Results and discussion

The results of the transmission test (Chapter IX) showed that infective M. fascifrons were consistent and reliable transmitters of AYV, when a two-day inoculation period was used, and that aster (Table XXIII) was a poor indicator plant when compared with stinkweed or head lettuce as an indicator of the proportion of infective leafhoppers. Aster, however, does give consistent results. (Kunkel, 1926; Strong and Rawlins, 1958; Lee, 1962).

The use of aster in this experiment, as an indicator of the

ability of M. fascifrons to acquire the three strains of AYV from the different host plants, would not fully denote the ability of the leafhoppers to acquire the virus from the host plants.

The ability of M. fascifrons to acquire the virus from the host plants varied according to host and strain of virus. At the one per cent level of significance, the host plants: aster, head lettuce, barley, celery, tame buckwheat, sunflower, (var. Peredovik and Commander), plantain, carrot and stinkweed, were equal (Table XXIV). Strain "C" was acquired more readily by the leafhoppers than was strain "A". Strain "B" was intermediate and was significantly different from strains "A" and "C" at the one per cent level (Table XXV).

Celery and plantain are good sources of AYV. Their potential, however, would be reduced by a third since they were susceptible to only two of the three strains of AYV tested (Appendix B, Table XIX). Wheat has a low potential as a virus source for the three strains tested; it was susceptible to only one strain (Appendix B, Table XIX) and the rate of acquisition of strain "B" by the leafhoppers was low (Table XXIV). In addition, half the wheat plants which were infected died shortly after the appearance of AYV symptoms at the flag-leaf stage. No virus was obtained from three such plants tested. Virus was only obtained from plants which produced a head. A similar phenomenon was noted for strain "A" in barley. Unless the plant produced a head, little or no virus could be obtained from it.

In this test as in the transmission test (Chapter IX), the sex of each leafhopper was noted for the experiment, in which 1622 female

M. fascifrons were tested individually, 1039 transmitted AYV, a 64.05 per cent transmission. Of the 1449 male leafhoppers tested, 854 or 58.93 per cent transmitted AYV. Again, the near 1:1 ratio between the per cent transmission by the female and male M. fascifrons would indicate that there was no difference in the ability of the sexes to transmit AYV. The leafhoppers were unmated.

TABLE XXIV

TOTAL AND PER CENT TRANSMISSION OF THREE STRAINS
OF ASTER YELLOWS VIRUS TO ASTER BY SINGLE
Macrosteles fascifrons BASED ON ACQUISITION
FROM FOURTEEN DIFFERENT HOST PLANTS

Host	Total no. <u>M. fascifrons</u> tested	Total no. <u>M. fascifrons</u> transmitted	Transmission	
			Per cent	Angular transformation *
Aster	250	215	86.0	70.3
Head lettuce	231	203	86.0	69.4
Barley	265	221	83.9	67.4
Celery	126	103	81.7	66.6
Tame buckwheat	254	206	81.1	66.4
Sunflower (Com.)	243	188	77.6	63.6
Sunflower (Per.)	230	177	77.0	61.5
Plantain	180	132	73.3	59.4
Carrot	269	178	66.2	53.2
Stinkweed	209	131	62.7	53.0
Wheat	88	6	6.8	28.7
Flax	250	28	11.2	28.3
Wild buckwheat	223	4	1.8	5.2
Onion	232	1	.4	1.2

* Angular transformation applied before analysis (Goulden, 1952).

** Any two means connected by the same line are not significantly different at the 1% level of significance by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

TABLE XXV

DIFFERENTIAL TRANSMISSION OF ASTER YELLOWS VIRUS
STRAINS "A", "B", AND "C" BASED ON ACQUISITION BY
Macrosteles fascifrons FROM
FOURTEEN DIFFERENT HOST PLANTS

	Total No. <u>M. fascifrons</u> tested	Total no. <u>M. fascifrons</u> transmitted	Transmission	
			Per cent	Angular * transformation
Strain A	895	449	50.2	34.9 **
Strain B	1114	603	54.1	47.4
Strain C	1062	841	79.2	53.7

* Angular transformation applied before analysis (Goulden, 1952).

** Any two means connected by the same line are not significantly different at the 1% level of significance by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

CHAPTER XI

SUMMARY

The purpose of this study was to find a chemical method to control the six-spotted leafhopper, M. fascifrons, to prevent the spread of aster yellows virus and to study the relationship between M. fascifrons, AYV and fourteen different host plants. The experiments with insecticides were carried out on head lettuce, spring and summer crops, and on carrots in 1960, 1961, 1962 and 1963. The study of the vector, virus and host-plant relationship was performed in laboratory during 1965.

In the field experiments, contact and systemic insecticides formulated as emulsive concentrates (EC), wettable powders (WP) and granules (G) were tested. The chemicals were applied as foliage sprays during the growing season, and as soil treatments at time of seeding to control the six-spotted leafhopper, and prevent the spread of aster yellows virus (AYV) to spring and summer seeded crops of head lettuce and carrots.

Malathion EC was applied at two pounds per acre at two-, four- and six-day intervals, one pound per acre at three-day intervals and one pound per acre twice weekly (three- and four-day intervals) to head lettuce. At the rates and intervals tested malathion reduced the population of the six-spotted leafhopper and effectively prevented infection of AYV in all crops except the spring and summer crop of 1963. Only partial protection in the spring crop of 1963 was obtained.

The failure of all insecticides which were tested in 1963 to protect the summer crop against AYV was apparently due to a combination of a large population of M. fascifrons with a high percentage (ten per cent) of infective leafhoppers.

DDT EC and Carbaryl WP, at one pound per acre applied at weekly intervals which commenced within two to three weeks of crop emergence significantly reduced the number of carrots infected with AYV. Treatments begun later in the season were not as effective.

Carbaryl WP at one and two pounds per acre at six-day intervals and Baygon WP at one pound per acre at three- and six-day intervals were as effective as malathion at one pound at three-day intervals. Severe infestations of aphids developed after the use of Carbaryl WP. Baygon WP left an objectionable white deposit on the lettuce. Baygon in both EC and G formulations significantly reduced the number of leafhoppers but, similar to malathion, gave only partial protection to the spring crop of 1963 and failed to protect the summer crop of 1963.

Phorate G was comparable to malathion and Baygon in the reduction of the numbers of leafhoppers and protection of the head lettuce against AYV. The other insecticides tested: Di-Syston G, Meta-SystoxR EC, Dimethoate EC, AC 47300 EC, AC 43064 G, AC 47470 G, AC 47031 G, Delnav G and EC were less effective than malathion.

Malathion residues were less than 0.5 p.p.m. nine days after the last of 15 applications.

In 1960 and 1961, treatments started on early spring crops, four weeks after crop emergence, were as effective as those started at

crop emergence. On summer crops, treatments started two weeks after crop emergence were as effective as those started at crop emergence.

In 1963, however, the treatments begun at crop emergence failed to protect the spring and summer crops of lettuce. The inability of the insecticides to give economic protection under conditions of high populations which had a high proportion of infective individuals showed the necessity to provide a forecast of the potential situation for the grower each spring.

The study on the vector, virus, host-plant relationship involved the transmission of three strains of AYV, "A", "B", and "C", to fourteen different hosts, and the acquisition of AYV from these host plants by M. fascifrons. The three strains of AYV, "A", "B", and "C", were isolated from head lettuce, zinnia and celery, respectively. Strain "A" did not infect celery, whereas strains "B" and "C" did infect this host. The three strains were also differentiated on the basis of symptoms they produced on aster, and Nicotiana rustica var. humilis. Strain "A" produced sturdy, stunted, chlorotic plants; strain "B" spindly, tall chlorotic plants; and strain "C" weak severely stunted chlorotic plants. Axillary growth was a common feature of strain "B". Strains "B" and "C" could also be separated on the basis of the height of infected aster plants from first leaf node to the tip, from first leaf node to last exposed leaf node, and on the basis of the number of exposed leaf nodes using the one per cent level of significance.

Single, infective male or female M. fascifrons proved to be consistent and reliable transmitters of the three strains of the virus, when they were given an inoculation feed of two days. Stinkweed and head lettuce were significantly superior, at the one per cent level, to aster as an indicator of the proportion of infective leafhoppers.

The fourteen host plants, in descending order of susceptibility to the three strains of AYV are: stinkweed, head lettuce, onion, flax, aster, carrot, barley, tame buckwheat, wild buckwheat, celery, sunflower (var. Peredovik), plantain, sunflower (var. Commander), and wheat. Celery and plantain were susceptible to strains "B" and "C", and wheat was affected by strain "B". The difference of susceptibility is considered to represent a difference of host-plant resistance.

The ability of the six-spotted leafhopper to acquire infective quantity of the three strains of AYV varied at the one per cent level of significance. Strain "C" was more readily acquired than strain "A", and strain "B" was intermediate. The leafhopper acquired the three strains of AYV from the fourteen different hosts in the following order of frequency: aster, head lettuce, barley, celery, tame buckwheat, sunflower (vars. Commander and Peredovik), plantain, carrot, stinkweed, wheat, flax, wild buckwheat and onion. Strain "B" of the AYV gave two reactions on wheat, a severe and a mild reaction. The severely infected plants showed symptoms at the flag-leaf stage of development and died shortly thereafter. The leafhoppers failed to acquire virus from these plants. The mild reaction resulted in a

slightly stunted plant which produced a head. The leafhoppers were able to acquire the virus from these plants. A similar reaction was noted with strain "A" on barley.

Stinkweed and barley offer the greatest potential of the hosts tested as sources of AYV for vegetable crops and possibly late flax. Stinkweed, a winter annual, is a common weed plant on roadsides, ditch banks and fields in the spring. It is very susceptible to AYV and the leafhoppers can readily acquire the virus from it. Barley is a common crop, susceptible to AYV. The leafhoppers can readily obtain the virus from it and it is a preferred host. The movement of leafhoppers from this crop as it matures may endanger adjacent vegetable crops and late flax.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Anonymous, 1963. Bayer 39007 insecticide. Chemagro Corporation Tech. Bull.
- Banttari, E. E. and M. B. Moore. 1960. Transmissions of aster yellows virus to barley. Plant Disease Rptr. 44:154.
- Becker, R. F. and A. E. Rich. 1956. Increased runner production and fruit yield of virus free strawberry plants over commercial stocks in New Hampshire. Plant Disease Rptr. 40:947-51.
- Billings, S. C. 1965. Consolidated list of approved common names of insecticides and certain other pesticides. Bull. Entomol. Soc. Amer. 11:204-213.
- Broadbent, L. 1957. Insecticidal control of the spread of plant viruses. Ann. Rev. Entomol. 2:339-54.
- Chapman, R. K. 1949. Some factors affecting the transmission of aster yellows virus by the six-spotted leafhopper, Macrosteles divinus (Uhl). Ph.D thesis (Univ. of Wis. Library) 138 pp.
- Chapman, R. K. 1959. Some factors affecting the insect transmission of plant viruses. Proc. Entomol. Soc. of Man. 15:14-23.
- Chapman, R. K. 1951. Control of aphids affecting potato crops. Proc. N. Cent. States Br. Amer. Ass. Econ. Entomol. 6:15-16.
- Chiykowski, L. N. and R. K. Chapman. 1958. Control of aster yellows on lettuce, celery, and carrots. Proc. 13th. Ann. Meeting, N. Cent. Br., Entomol. Soc. Amer. 13:30.
- Chiykowski, L. N. 1962. Scaphytopius acutus (Say) a newly discovered vector of celery-infecting aster yellows virus. Can. J. Botany. 40:799-801.
- Chiykowski, L. N. 1963. Endria inimica (Say) a new leafhopper vector of a celery-infecting strain of aster yellows virus in barley and wheat. Can. J. Botany. 41:669-672.
- Chiykowski, L. N. 1965. Aster yellows, a disease of cereals. Research for Farmers, C. D. A. Summer issue : 7-9.
- Cook, M. T. 1947. Viruses and virus diseases of plants. Burgess Publishing Company, 244 pp.

- Crumb, S. E. and F. P. McWhorter. 1949. Dusting beans against aphid vectors failed to give economic control of yellow bean mosaic. *Plant Disease Repr.* 32:69-71.
- Dobrosky, I. D. 1929. Is the aster yellows virus detectable in its insect vector? *Phytopathology* 19:1009-1015.
- Dobrosky, I. D. 1931. Morphological and cytological studies on the salivary glands and alimentary tract of Cicadula sexnotata (Fallen), the carrier of aster yellows virus. *Contrib. Boyce Thompson Inst.* 3(1):39-58.
- Duncan, D. B. 1955. Multiple range and multiple F tests. *Biometrics* 11:1-42.
- Frazier, N. W. and A. F. Posnette. 1958. Relationships of the strawberry viruses of England and California. *Hilgardia* 27:455-513.
- Freitag, J. H. 1958. Cross protection tests with three strains of aster yellows virus in host plants and in the aster leafhopper. Abstract. *Phytopathology* 48:393.
- Freitag, J. H. 1964. Interaction and mutual suppression among three strains of aster yellows virus. *Virology* 24:401-413.
- Goulden, C. H. 1952. *Methods of statistical analysis*. Publ. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York. 467 pp.
- Granados, R. R. 1965. Strains of aster yellows virus and their transmission by the six-spotted leafhopper, Macrosteles fascifrons (Stål). Ph.D. thesis (Univ. of Wis. Library) 150 pp.
- Grogan, R.C., W. C. Snyder, and R. Bardin. 1955. Diseases of lettuce. *Calif. Agr. Expt. Sta. Extension Service Circ.* 448:6-7.
- Harrison, B. D., J. E. Peachy and R. D. Winslow. 1963. The use of nematocides to control the spread of arabis mosaic virus by Xiphinema diversicaudatum (Micol). *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 52:243-255.
- Helton, A. W. and R. W. Portman. 1965. Chemotherapeutic control of potential virus vectors (aphids) on prune trees. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 58:749-754.
- Horwitz, W. 1960. *Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists* 9th ed.
- Kunkel, L. O. 1924. Insect transmission of aster yellows. Abstract. *Phytopathology* 14:54.
- Kunkel, L. O. 1926. Studies on aster yellows. *Amer. J. Botany* 13:646-705.

- Kunkel, L. O. 1931. Studies on aster yellows in some new host plants. *Contrib. Boyce Thompson Inst.* 3:85-123.
- Kunkel, L. O. 1932. Celery yellows of California not identical with the aster yellows of New York. *Contrib. Boyce Thompson Inst.* 4:405-414.
- Kunkel, L. O. 1937a. Isolation of mild strains of aster yellows from heat-treated leafhoppers. *Abstract. J. Bacteriology* 34:132.
- Kunkel, L. O. 1937b. Effect of heat on the ability of Cicadula sexnotata (Fall.) to transmit aster yellows. *Amer. J. Botany.* 24:316-327.
- Kunkel, L. O. 1941. Heat cure of aster yellows in periwinkles. *Amer. J. Botany* 28:761-769.
- Kunkel, L. O. 1945. A new yellows disease of carrots. *J. Bacteriol.* 50:238.
- Kunkel, L. O. 1955. Cross protection between strains of yellows-type viruses. *Adv. Virus Research* 3:251-273.
- Lee, P. E. and A. G. Robinson, 1958. Studies on the six-spotted leafhopper, Macrostelus fascifrons (Stål) and aster yellows in Manitoba. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 38:320-327.
- Lee, P. E. 1962. Acquisition time and inoculation time in transmission of aster yellows virus by single leafhoppers. *Virology* 17:394-396.
- Littau, V. C. and K. Maramorosch. 1956. Cytological effects of aster yellows virus on its insect vector. *Virology* 2:128-130.
- Littau, V. C. and K. Maramorosch. 1960. A study of the cytological effects of aster yellows virus on its insect vector. *Virology* 10:483-500.
- Martin, A. L. D., R. A. Frederiksen and P. H. Westdal. 1961. Aster yellows resistance in flax. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 41:316-319.
- Maramorosch, K. 1954. Incubation period of aster yellows virus. *Amer. J. Botany.* 40:797-809.
- Maramorosch, K. 1964. Interrelationships between plant pathogenic viruses and insects. *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* 118:363-370.
- McEwen, F. L. 1953. Potato insect control in relation to the transmission of potato virus Y. Ph.D. thesis Univ. Wis. Madison 161 pp.

- McClanahan, R. J. 1962. Food preferences of the six-spotted leafhopper, Macrosteles fascifrons (Stål). Proc. Entomol. Soc. Ont. 93:90-92.
- Miller, L. A. 1960. Control of the six-spotted leafhopper in Southern Ontario. Can. Dept. Agr. Publ. 1076. 7pp.
- Morgan, G. T. 1965. Effects of strawberry aphid control on virus spread and yield. J. Econ. Entomol. 58:195-196.
- Murant, A. F. and C. E. Taylor. 1965. Treatment of soil with chemicals to prevent transmission of tomato blackring, and raspberry ringspot viruses by Longidorus elongatus (de Man). Ann. App. Biol. 55:227-237.
- Osborn, H. 1912. Leafhoppers affecting cereals grasses and forage crops. U. S. Dept. Agr. Bur. Ent. Bull. 108.
In. Osborn, H. 1916. Life histories of leafhoppers of Maine. Me. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bull. 248:53-80.
- Osborn, H. 1916. Life histories of leafhoppers of Maine. Me. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bull. 248:53-80.
- Pepper, B. B., and C. M. Haenseler. 1939. Pyrethrum and derris as a control for the six-spotted leafhopper, a vector of lettuce yellows. J. Econ. Entomol. 32:291-296.
- Putt, E. D. and W. E. Sackston. 1960. Resistance of inbred lines and hybrids of sunflowers (Helianthus annuus L.) in a natural epidemic of aster yellows. Can. J. Plant Sci. 49:375-382.
- Raymer, W. B. and J. A. Milbrath. 1960. The identity and host relations of the potato late-breaking virus. Phytopathology 50:312-319.
- Sackston, W. E. 1959. Aster yellows - a challenging problem in plant pathology. Proc. Entomol. Soc. Man. 15:23-30.
- Roland, G. 1953. Sur un essai de lutte contre les pucerons en vue de limiter la propagation des virus attaquant la pomme de terre. Parasitica 9:6-10. Abstract. In Rev. Appl. Mycol. 32:498.
- Self, R. L. and H. M. Darling. 1953. Purple-top disease of the potato in Wisconsin. Univ. Wis. Research Bull. 184.
- Severin, H. H. P. 1929. Yellows disease of celery, lettuce and other plants, transmitted by Cicadula sexnotata (Fall). Hilgardia 3:543-583.

- Severin, H. H. P. 1932. Transmission of carrot, parsley, and parsnip yellows by Cicadula divisa. Hilgardia 7:163-179.
- Severin, H. H. P. 1934. Experiments with aster yellows virus from several states. Hilgardia 8:305-325.
- Severin, H. H. P. 1947. Longevity of noninfective and infective leafhoppers on a plant nonsusceptible to a virus. Hilgardia 17:541-543.
- Strong, R. G. and W. A. Rawlins. 1958a. Aster-yellows virus infection and transmission by Macrosteles fascifrons (Stål) with reference to lettuce yellows prevention. J. Econ. Entomol. 51:512-515.
- Strong, R. G. and W. A. Rawlins. 1958b. Evaluation of four insecticides for the control of six-spotted leafhoppers on lettuce. J. Econ. Entomol. 51:903-905.
- Strong, R. G., and W. A. Rawlins. 1959. Field evaluation of four insecticides for the prevention of lettuce yellows disease with known populations of viruliferous six-spotted leafhoppers. J. Econ. Entomol. 52:686-689.
- Shanks, C. A. Jr., and R. K. Chapman. 1965. The effects of insecticides on the behaviour of the green peach aphid and its transmission of Potato virus Y. J. Econ. Entomol. 58:79-83.
- Thompson, L. S. and W. A. Rawlins. 1961. Systemic insecticides for six-spotted leafhopper control and reduction of incidence of lettuce yellows. J. Econ. Entomol. 54:1173-1176.
- Thompson, L. S. 1965. Aster yellows control in head lettuce and carrots in Prince Edward Island. J. Econ. Entomol. 58:135-137.
- Wallis, R. L. 1960. Host plants of the six-spotted leafhopper and the aster yellows virus and other vectors of the virus. U. S. Dept. Agr., Agr. Research Div. ARS-33-55. 15p.
- Wallis, R. L. 1962. Host plant preference of the six-spotted leafhopper. J. Econ. Entomol. 55:998-999.
- Westdal, P. H., C. F. Barrett, and H. P. Richardson. 1961. The six-spotted leafhopper, Macrosteles fascifrons (Stål) and aster yellows in Manitoba. Can. J. Plant Sci. 41:320-331.
- Whipp, A. A. 1951. The feeding habits of the six-spotted leafhopper, Macrosteles divinus Uhl. in relation to transmission of aster yellows virus. Summary, Ph.D thesis. (Univ. of Wis. Library) 94pp.

APPENDIX A

CONTROL OF THE SIX-SPOTTED LEAFHOPPER, *MACROSTELAS FASCIFRONS*, AND ASTER YELLOWS ON HEAD LETTUCE IN MANITOBA¹

H. P. RICHARDSON AND P. H. WESTDAL
Canada Department of Agriculture, Winnipeg, Manitoba

[Received for publication May 8, 1962]

ABSTRACT

Malathion, Sevin[®] (1-naphthyl N-methylcarbamate) and Bayer 39007 (o-isopropoxyphenyl methylcarbamate) were tested in the field for the control of the six-spotted leafhopper, *Macrostelus fascifrons* (Stål), and protection of lettuce from aster yellows in Manitoba in 1960 and 1961. Malathion at 1 pound per acre at 3-day intervals and at 2 pounds per acre at 4-day intervals controlled the leafhopper and protected the lettuce from aster yellows. At 2 pounds per acre at 6-day intervals malathion was less effective against the leafhopper but still provided adequate protection against aster yellows. Sevin at 1 and 2 pounds per acre at 6-day intervals and Bayer 39007 at 1 pound per acre at 3- and 6-day intervals were as effective as malathion at 1 pound at 3-day intervals. Severe infestations of aphids developed after the use of Sevin; Bayer 39007 left an objectionable white deposit on the lettuce. In early spring crops, treatments that were started 4 weeks after crop emergence were as effective as those started at crop emergence. In summer crops, treatments started 2 weeks after crop emergence were as effective as those started at crop emergence. Nine days after the last of 15 applications of malathion there was a residue of less than 0.5 p.p.m. on the lettuce.

INTRODUCTION

Aster yellows, a virus disease of many plants, transmitted by the six-spotted leafhopper, *Macrostelus fascifrons* (Stål), is of economic importance on several crops (10). In most years, in Manitoba, the incidence of aster yellows on seeded head lettuce is very high and since 1954 production of the crop has been practically discontinued.

Control of the six-spotted leafhopper by insecticides, with protection of head lettuce from aster yellows, has been reported by several workers (2, 7, 8, 9). This is a report of work conducted in Manitoba in 1960 and 1961 to evaluate the efficacy of three insecticides, at various rates and with different intervals between applications, and to determine the most effective time to begin treatment for the control of the six-spotted leafhopper and protection of head lettuce from aster yellows.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In 1960 and 1961 spring and summer crops of head lettuce, variety Imperial 456, were seeded in plots, approximately 50 by 50 feet, separated from one another by 7 feet of cultivated soil. There were 26 rows at 2-foot spacings in each plot and plants were thinned to 1 foot within rows. Each test area was separated from other crops by a minimum of 30 feet of cultivated soil, except in the summer crop of 1961 which was seeded beside the spring crop with only 11 feet of cultivated soil intervening.

There were 18 plots in each crop in 1960 and 15 plots in each crop in 1961. The plots were arranged in a randomized split plot design, replicated three times. Three plots were designated as checks in each crop and the remainder were sprayed.

¹Contribution No. 111, Canada Department of Agriculture Research Station, Winnipeg, Man.

In 1960, each plot was divided into two sub-plots. Malathion, 50 per cent emulsifiable concentrate, was applied to each of 15 plots at the rate of 2 pounds actual per acre at 2-day intervals on one sub-plot and at 4-day intervals on the other sub-plot. Three of the plots were sprayed from time of crop emergence to 5 days of harvest. The same spray schedule was started on groups of three plots (replicates) approximately 2, 4, 6 and 8 weeks after crop emergence. In this paper, the term "treatment" refers to the period over which the spray schedule was applied to each group of three plots. The spring crop emerged May 27 and was harvested July 31; the summer crop emerged August 8 and was harvested October 6.

In 1961, each plot was divided into four sub-plots. In the spring crop, insecticides, in pounds toxicant per acre, were applied to each of the four sub-plots of twelve plots as follows: malathion 1 pound at 3-day intervals, malathion 2 pounds at 6-day intervals, Sevin® (1-naphthyl N-methylcarbamate), 85 per cent wettable powder 1 pound at 6-day intervals and Sevin 2 pounds at 6-day intervals. Three of the plots were sprayed from the time of crop emergence to 5 days of harvest and, as in 1960, the same spray schedule was started at subsequent 2-week intervals on groups of three plots. The crop emerged May 29 and was harvested August 1. In the summer crop, insecticides were applied to each of the four sub-plots of twelve plots as follows: malathion 1 pound at 3-day intervals, Sevin 1 pound at 6-day intervals, Bayer 39007 (o-Isopropoxyphenyl methylcarbamate), 50 per cent wettable powder 1 pound at 3-day intervals, and Bayer 39007, 1 pound at 6-day intervals. Three of the plots were sprayed from time of crop emergence to 5 days of harvest, and, as in the other tests, the same spray schedule was started at subsequent 2-week intervals on groups of three plots. The crop emerged July 28 and was harvested September 18.

The insecticides were applied with a portable sprayer, equipped with a T-jet nozzle, in 15 gallons of water per acre at a pressure of 60 p.s.i.

The lettuce was swept with an insect net to obtain a relative measure of the number of leafhoppers in each plot. Sweeps were started about 3 weeks after crop emergence. Fifty sweeps were made in each sub-plot. In 1960, only the plots sprayed at the 4-day interval and the checks, in the spring crop, were swept. The sweeps were made at 8-day intervals, 3 days after every second spray. In 1961, all plots in both crops were swept at 6-day intervals. The sweeps were made 2 days after every second spray of the insecticides applied at 3-day intervals and 5 days after the insecticide applications at 6-day intervals.

When the lettuce was marketable, heads were sectioned and examined for symptoms of aster yellows. Lesions and latex deposits (5) on the plant tissue were the main symptoms used. In 1960, and for the spring crop of 1961, all heads in 4 rows in each sub-plot (approximately 1200 heads per treatment) were examined. In the summer crop of 1961, all heads in 8 rows per sub-plot (approximately 2200 heads per treatment) were examined. The incidence of disease was recorded as a percentage of the total plants examined.

For residue analysis, in 1960, 6 heads per replicate were taken at random from each of the five sub-plots treated with malathion at the 4-day interval and from the check plots. The heads were collected, at the time of harvest,

5 days after the last application of insecticide. They were stored in plastic bags at 40°F. for 4 days, after which they were analysed for malathion residue (4).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All the chemicals at the rates and intervals tested effectively reduced the leafhopper population. This reduction resulted in a lower incidence of aster yellows. In 1960 and 1961, the treatments differed (summer crop 1960, $P < 0.05$; others $P < 0.01$) in both the seasonal mean number of leafhoppers and the incidence of aster yellows (Tables 1 and 2). There was no difference ($P > 0.05$) between the first three treatments of the spring crops in either year. This was because, early in the year, the leafhopper population was at a low level. By the time of the third treatment, late in June, the population was beginning to build up rapidly on lettuce and by the time of the fourth treatment leafhopper populations were high. There was no difference ($P > 0.05$) between the first two treatments of the summer crops in either year. This may have been partly because many plants had not emerged when the first treatment was applied and partly due to slow dispersal of leafhoppers to the test area while vegetation was sparse.

In 1960, there was no difference ($P > 0.05$) in the incidence of aster yellows between plots sprayed with malathion at 2- and 4-day intervals. In 1961, there was no difference ($P > 0.05$) in the incidence of aster yellows between plots with the different insecticides, rates or intervals of application. However, in the spring crop in 1961, there was a difference ($P < 0.01$) in the seasonal mean number of leafhoppers as follows:

Insecticide	Rate (lb. per acre)	Application interval (days)	Seasonal mean number of leafhoppers per 50 sweeps
Malathion	1	3	75 ¹
Sevin	2	6	80
Sevin	1	6	88
Malathion	2	6	187
Check	—	—	289

¹Means not connected by the same line significantly different at the 1% level (3)

Malathion applied at 6-day intervals was not as effective as the other insecticides in controlling the six-spotted leafhopper. A higher incidence of aster yellows would, therefore, be expected. There was a small increase but it was not statistically different, nor was it proportional to the difference in numbers of leafhoppers. It has been shown that malathion applied at 4-day intervals was as effective as at 2-day intervals. It is likely that at 2 pounds per acre malathion was still effective but to a diminishing degree on the 5th and 6th days, permitting more invading leafhoppers to survive. However, the time for virus inoculation would be limited and the leafhoppers would be destroyed with the next application of insecticide on the 6th day. With a larger leafhopper population and/or a higher percentage of viruliferous individuals than in 1961, the decreasing effectiveness of the insecticide toward the end of the 6-day interval would probably be reflected in a significantly higher incidence of aster yellows.

TABLE 1.—NUMBERS OF LEAFHOPPERS AND PERCENTAGE OF HEAD LETTUCE INFECTED WITH ASTER YELLOWS AFTER APPLICATION OF MALATHION AT 2 POUNDS OF TOXICANT PER ACRE AT 2- AND 4-DAY INTERVALS¹, WITH TREATMENT 1 BEGINNING AT CROP EMERGENCE AGAINST *Macrosteles fascifrons* 1960

Treatment and date started	Seasonal mean no. of leafhoppers per 50 sweeps	Per cent heads infected ²
<i>Spring crop</i>		
1—May 27	6 ³	2.1 ³
2—June 9	6	2.8
3—June 21	38	2.4
4—July 3	60	12.3
5—July 15	213	26.7
6—(check)	934	36.4
<i>Summer crop</i>		
1—Aug. 8	—	2.2 ⁴
2—Aug. 22	—	1.5
3—Sept. 3	—	5.0
4—Sept. 15	—	9.4
5—Sept. 27	—	9.9
6—(check)	—	13.1

¹2- and 4-day data combined since there was no difference ($P > 0.05$) between these treatment intervals

²Angular transformation applied before analysis

³Means not connected by the same line significantly different at the 1% level (3)

⁴Means not connected by the same line significantly different at the 5% level (3)

TABLE 2.—NUMBERS OF LEAFHOPPERS AND PERCENTAGE OF HEAD LETTUCE INFECTED WITH ASTER YELLOWS AFTER APPLICATION OF MALATHION, SEVIN AND BAYER 39007, WITH TREATMENT 1 BEGINNING AT CROP EMERGENCE AGAINST *Macrosteles fascifrons* 1961¹

Treatment and date started	Seasonal mean no. of leafhoppers per 50 sweeps	Per cent heads infected ²
<i>Spring crop</i>		
1—May 29	80 ³	22.6 ³
2—June 13	78	21.8
3—June 28	64	20.1
4—July 13	210	61.4
5—(check)	289	58.1
<i>Summer crop</i>		
1—July 28	14 ³	2.7 ³
2—Aug. 9	15	6.2
3—Aug. 21	17	12.9
4—Sept. 2	86	16.2
5—(check)	107	16.9

¹Data for all insecticides, rates and intervals of application combined

²Angular transformation applied before analysis

³Means not connected by the same line significantly different at the 1% level (3)

Sevin and Bayer 39007 gave effective control of the leafhopper but were not satisfactory for use on lettuce. Lettuce treated with Sevin became heavily infested with aphids and was unmarketable. Very few aphids were noted in any of the other plots. Bayer 39007 left a heavy white deposit which detracted from the appearance of the lettuce.

In Treatments 1, 2 and 3 of the spring crop in 1961 (Table 2), most of the infected heads had minor aster yellows symptoms which showed only

on the growing tip of the plant. This did not affect the market value of the lettuce. In contrast, most of the infected heads in Treatment 4 and the check had typical, advanced aster yellows symptoms. The minor symptoms were the result of a heavy influx of leafhoppers into the plots a short time before harvest.

The incidence of aster yellows, as determined by symptoms expressed in the field, was higher in the spring crops than in the summer crops, particularly in the check and later treatments (Tables 1 and 2). This was thought to be due to slow development of the virus in cool fall weather (1, 6). In each year, to determine whether or not the virus was latent, approximately 50 lettuce plants without symptoms were taken from the check plots at time of harvest and held in the greenhouse at approximately 75°F. for 2 weeks. Virus was acquired from the lettuce by virus free leafhoppers and transmitted to aster. In 1960 and 1961, respectively, 66 and 47 per cent of the lettuce plants had latent virus infections.

Residue analysis in 1960 showed that, 9 days after the last of fifteen field applications of malathion at 2 pounds per acre at 4-day intervals, the residue was less than 0.5 p.p.m. The accepted tolerance limit is 8 p.p.m.* The results showed that there was no accumulation of residues. There was no difference in the amount of residue on plants from plots that received fifteen applications and those that received only the last three applications.

Malathion at the rate of 1 pound toxicant per acre applied at 3-day intervals was the most suitable treatment for the control of the six-spotted leafhopper and aster yellows on head lettuce. The results show that treatment need not be started until about 4 weeks after emergence of spring-seeded crops but for summer crops treatment should commence at crop emergence. This should prove to be a practical recommendation for commercial crops of head lettuce in Manitoba.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the advice and encouragement given by R. D. Bird, Head, Entomology Laboratory, Canada Department of Agriculture Research Station, Winnipeg, Man. Sincere thanks are due to W. O. Chubb, Officer-in-Charge, Special Crops Substation, Portage la Prairie, Man., for use of land and facilities; to H. Watson, Regional Director, Regional Food and Drug Office, Canada Department of National Health and Welfare, Winnipeg, Man., for arranging for analysis for malathion residues; and to L. B. Smith and others, Canada Agriculture Research Station, Winnipeg, Man., for advice on the statistical analysis of the data and assistance with field work, respectively.

REFERENCES

1. Chapman, R. K. 1949. Some factors affecting the transmission of the aster yellows virus by the six-spotted leafhopper, *Macrostelus divinus* (Uhl.). Ph.D. thesis, University of Wisconsin.
2. Chiykowski, L. N. 1958. Studies on migration and control of the six-spotted leafhopper, *Macrostelus fascifrons* (Stål), in relation to transmission of aster yellows virus. Ph.D. thesis, University of Wisconsin.

*Dorozynski, J., Can. Dept. Natl. Health and Welfare, Winnipeg, Man. Personal communication.

3. Duncan, D. B. 1955. Multiple range and multiple F tests. *Biometrics* 11:1-42.
4. Horwitz, W. 1960. Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists. 9th ed.
5. Grogan, R. C., W. C. Snyder, and R. Bardin. 1955. Diseases of lettuce. Calif. Agr. Expt. Sta. Extension Service Circ. 448, pp. 6-7.
6. Self, R. L., and H. M. Darling. 1953. Purple-top disease of the potato in Wisconsin. Univ. of Wisconsin Research Bull. 184.
7. Strong, R. G., and W. A. Rawlins. 1958. Evaluation of four insecticides for the control of six-spotted leafhoppers on lettuce. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 51:903-905.
8. Strong, R. G., and W. A. Rawlins. 1959. Field evaluation of four insecticides for the prevention of lettuce-yellows disease with known populations of viruliferous six-spotted leafhoppers. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 52:686-689.
9. Thompson, L. S., and W. A. Rawlins. 1961. Systemic insecticides for six-spotted leafhopper control and reduction of incidence of lettuce yellows. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 54:1173-1176.
10. Westdal, P. H., C. F. Barrett, and H. P. Richardson. 1961. The six-spotted leafhopper, *Macrostelus fascifrons* (Stål), and aster yellows in Manitoba. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 41:320-331.

EXPERIMENTS ON CONTROL OF THE SIX-SPOTTED LEAFHOPPER, *MACROSTELAS FASCIFRONS*, AND ASTER YELLOW S ON HEAD LETTUCE WITH CONTACT AND SYSTEMIC INSECTICIDES IN MANITOBA¹

H. P. RICHARDSON AND P. H. WESTDAL

Research Station, Canada Department of Agriculture, Winnipeg, Manitoba

Received March 5, 1964

ABSTRACT

Thimet®, Di-Syston®, Bayer 39007 (*o*-Isopropoxyphenyl methylcarbamate), American Cyanamid (AC) 43,064 (2-(diethoxyphosphinothioylimino)-1,3-dithiolane), AC 47,470 (2-(diethoxyphosphinylimino-4-methyl-1,3-dithiolane), and AC 47,031 (2-(diethoxyphosphinylimino)-1,3-dithiolane), in granular formulations (G), and malathion, Delnav®, Thiodan®, and Bayer 39007, in emulsifiable concentrate formulations (EC), were tested in field plots for control of the six-spotted leafhopper, *Macrostelasma fascifrons* (Stål), and protection of head lettuce from aster yellows virus (AYV) in spring and summer crops in Manitoba in 1962 and 1963.

In 1962, malathion, Thimet, and Bayer 39007 G significantly reduced the numbers of leafhoppers in both the spring and the summer crop. In 1963, the same chemicals with Bayer 39007 in both G and EC formulations significantly reduced the numbers of leafhoppers and partly protected the lettuce from AYV in the spring crops but not in the summer crop. The other insecticides tested were ineffective. The failure of all treatments to protect the summer crop against AYV was apparently due to a combination of a large population of leafhoppers with a high percentage of viruliferous leafhoppers.

INTRODUCTION

Aster yellows, a virus disease of many plants, is transmitted by the six-spotted leafhopper, *Macrostelasma fascifrons* (Stål). The importance and control of the insect and disease have been reported for Manitoba (5, 6, 7, 11) and elsewhere (2, 8, 9, 10). This is a report of work in 1962 and 1963 to evaluate insecticides, particularly systemics, for the control of the leafhopper and AYV on head lettuce in Manitoba.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In 1962 and 1963, spring and summer crops of head lettuce, variety Imperial 456, were seeded in plots, approximately 50 by 50 ft separated from each other or other crops by a minimum of 7 ft of cultivated soil. The plots were arranged in a randomized block design, replicated four times. Each plot consisted of three groups, six rows each, of lettuce with five rows of barley between the first and second groups and five rows of flax between the second and third groups. The lettuce was seeded to a depth of $\frac{1}{2}$ in. in rows 2 ft apart and plants were thinned to 1 ft within rows. The barley and flax were included in the tests to determine the effect of AYV on these crops. This will be reported elsewhere.

The insecticides tested were:

Granular formulations (G).—Thimet®, *O,O*-Diethyl S-(ethylthio) methyl phosphorodithioate; Di-Syston®, *O,O*-Diethyl S-2-(ethylthio) ethyl phosphorodithioate; Bayer 39007, *o*-Isopropoxyphenyl methylcarbamate; American Cy-

¹Contribution No. 152.

TABLE 1. SEASONAL MEAN NUMBER OF SIX-SPOTTED LEAFHOPPERS, *Macrostelus fascifrons* (STÅL), PER 50 SWEEPS, AND PERCENTAGE OF HEAD LETTUCE INFECTED WITH ASTER YELLOWS VIRUS (AYV), PORTAGE LA PRAIRIE AND WINNIPEG, 1962 AND 1963

Materials†	Portage la Prairie										Winnipeg		
	Spring 1962		Summer 1962		Spring 1963			Summer 1963			Spring 1963		
	No. of appl.	Mean no. leaf-hoppers	No. of appl.	Mean no. leaf-hoppers	No. of appl.	Mean no. leaf-hoppers	% lettuce AYV‡	No. of appl.	Mean no. leaf-hoppers	% lettuce AYV‡	No. of appl.	Mean no. leaf-hoppers	% lettuce AYV‡
Malathion EC	15	2a*	11	6a	15	132a	43b	16	29a	85b	11	32a	13a
Bayer 39007 EC	—	—	—	—	8	221ab	42b	8	30a	82b	—	—	—
Thimet G	1	7a	1	8a	1	224ab	38b	1	57b	84b	1	60b	16a
Bayer 39007 G	1	8a	1	5a	1	326bc	34a	1	53ab	74a	1	61b	9a
Delnav EC	—	—	—	—	8	425cd	66d	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thiodan EC	—	—	—	—	8	504d	70de	—	—	—	—	—	—
AC 47470 G	—	—	—	—	1	511d	51c	—	—	—	—	—	—
AC 47031 G	—	—	—	—	1	744e	72e	—	—	—	—	—	—
Di-Syston G	1	22b	1	20c	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
AC 43064 G	1	37c	1	14b	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Check		40c		22c		565d	91f		100c	97c		133c	65b

*a, b, c, d, e, f: Any two means in the same column and associated with the same letter are not significantly different from each other by Duncan's multiple range test (3); for 1962 at the 5% level, for 1963 at the 1% level.

†EC = emulsifiable concentrate; G = granules.

‡Angular transformation applied before analysis.

anamid (AC) 43,064, 2-(diethoxyphosphinothioylimino)-1,3-dithiolane; AC 47,031, 2-(diethoxyphosphinylimino)-1,3-dithiolane; and AC 47,470, 2-(diethoxyphosphinylimino)-4-methyl-1,3-dithiolane.

Emulsifiable concentrate formulations (EC).—Malathion; Delnav®, 2,3-*p*-dioxanedithiol S,S-*bis* (O,O-diethylphosphorodithioate); Thiodan®, hexachlorohexahydromethano-2,4,3-benzodioxathiepin oxide; and Bayer 39007.

The granular insecticides were applied in the row to a depth of 1 in., at the rate of 1 lb active ingredient per acre with a "V"-belt seeder, just prior to seeding the lettuce. The emulsifiable concentrates were applied to the plants at the rate of 1 lb active ingredient in 15 gal water per acre, at 60 p.s.i. with a 2-gal hand-sprayer with a "T"-jet nozzle.

Malathion was applied at alternate 3- and 4-day intervals and Bayer 39007 EC, Delnav, and Thiodan once a week. For the spring crops, spraying was started 1 to 2 weeks after the lettuce emerged and for the summer crops when the lettuce emerged. The spray schedule was continued to 5 days before harvest.

The lettuce was swept with an insect net, using a method described earlier (11), to obtain a measure of the numbers of leafhoppers in each plot. Sweeps were started about 3 weeks after the crop emerged. Fifty sweeps were made on each plot at weekly intervals. The sweeps were made on the day before the 4-day application of malathion and the weekly application of Bayer 39007 EC, Delnav, and Thiodan.

At harvest the heads of lettuce were sectioned and examined for symptoms, mainly lesions and latex deposits, of AYV infection (4). All heads were examined in six rows of each plot, approximately 1000 per treatment. The incidence of disease was recorded as a percentage of the total plants examined.

Leafhoppers collected near harvest, in the check plots of both spring and summer crops, were caged on asters (11) to determine the percentage that were viruliferous.

All crops, except a spring crop at Winnipeg in 1963, were at Portage la Prairie.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In 1962, Bayer 39007 and Thimet were as effective as malathion, the insecticide recommended at present in Manitoba, in reducing the numbers of leafhoppers (Table 1). Because the population of leafhoppers was very low and the percentage of viruliferous leafhoppers was low (0.2%) the incidence of AYV in the plots was too low and inconsistent to show differences between treatments. However, it has been shown that a reduction in the number of leafhoppers may result in a lower incidence of AYV (2, 6, 8, 9, 10).

In 1963, control of the leafhopper was not directly reflected in the incidence of AYV in the lettuce (Table 1). Malathion was more effective than Bayer 39007 G, Thimet, and Bayer 39007 EC in reducing the numbers of leafhoppers, but generally less effective in preventing AYV. Delnav, AC 47,470 G, and Thiodan were less effective than malathion although better than the check. AC 47,031 G appeared to act as an attractant resulting in a higher population of both adults and nymphs than in the check. This population level was not reflected in a similar incidence of AYV. Nymphs occurred only in the check plots and in the plots treated with AC 47,031 G indicating that Bayer 39007 G, Thimet G, and AC 47,470 G prevented oviposition or development of nymphs during the 9 weeks of the test.

The incidence of AYV was higher in the summer crop than in the spring crop at Portage la Prairie in 1963 although the seasonal mean numbers of leafhoppers were much higher in the spring crop than in the summer crop (Table 1). However, virus transmission tests showed that the percentage of viruliferous leafhoppers in the check plots at the time of harvest was 1.3 and 10.0%, in the spring and summer crops, respectively. It is apparent from the incidence of AYV in the check plots that a low population with a high percentage of viruliferous leafhoppers can cause as much AYV infection as a high population with a low percentage of viruliferous leafhoppers. It is also apparent that given a high percentage of viruliferous leafhoppers it is not possible to prevent a high incidence of AYV on field plots with the insecticides tested.

In 1963, malathion, the recommended insecticide, was reasonably effective against the leafhopper and AYV in the spring crops but not in the summer crop. However, under commercial conditions where the entire crop as well as border areas are sprayed, better control of the leafhopper and AYV should be obtained.

The systemic insecticides Bayer 39007 (G and EC) and Thimet G were better than malathion in 1963 for the prevention of AYV in head lettuce. Similar results were reported for Thimet by Thompson and Rawlins (10) and for Bayer 39007 wettable powder by Richardson and Westdal (6). Bayer 39007 has a much lower mammalian toxicity (1) than Thimet and in granular form is more convenient and cheaper to apply than malathion. Systemic insecticides, such as Bayer 39007, may prove to be suitable for reducing the leafhopper and AYV.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank W. O. Chubb, Officer-in-charge, Special Crops Substation, Portage la Prairie, Man., for use of land and facilities. Thanks are also due L. B. Smith and others, Canada Agriculture Research Station, Winnipeg, Man., for advice on the statistical analysis of the data and assistance with field work, respectively.

REFERENCES

1. ANONYMOUS. 1963. Bayer 39007 insecticide. Chemagro Corporation Tech. Bull.
2. CHYKOWSKI, L. N. 1958. Studies on migration and control of the six-spotted leafhopper, *Macrostes fascifrons* (Stål), in relation to transmission of aster yellows virus. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wisconsin, Madison.
3. DUNCAN, D. B. 1955. Multiple range and multiple F tests. *Biometrics*, **11**, 1-42.
4. GROGAN, R. C., W. C. SNYDER, and R. BARDIN. 1955. Diseases of lettuce. *Calif. Agr. Expt. Sta. Ext. Serv. Circ.* 4481. pp. 6-7.
5. LEE, P. E., and A. G. ROBINSON. 1958. Studies on the six-spotted leafhopper, *Macrostes fascifrons* (Stål), and aster yellows in Manitoba. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* **38**, 320-327.
6. RICHARDSON, H. P., and P. H. WESTDAL. 1963. Control of the six-spotted leafhopper, *Macrostes fascifrons* (Stål), and aster yellows on head lettuce in Manitoba. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* **43**, 12-17.
7. SACKSTON, W. E. 1957. Aster yellows. *Proc. Man. Agron. Conf.* pp. 17-18.
8. STRONG, R. G., and W. A. RAWLINS. 1958. Evaluation of four insecticides for the control of six-spotted leafhoppers on lettuce. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **51**, 903-905.
9. STRONG, R. G., and W. A. RAWLINS. 1959. Field evaluation of four insecticides for the prevention of lettuce-yellow disease with known population of viruliferous six-spotted leafhoppers. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **52**, 686-689.
10. THOMPSON, L. S., and W. A. RAWLINS. 1961. Systemic insecticides for six-spotted leafhopper control and reduction of incidence of lettuce-yellow. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **54**, 1173-1176.
11. WESTDAL, P. H., C. F. BARRETT, and H. P. RICHARDSON. 1961. The six-spotted leafhopper, *Macrostes fascifrons* (Stål), and aster yellows in Manitoba. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* **41**, 320-331.

APPENDIX B

TABLE I

NUMBER OF HEAD LETTUCE PLANTS EXAMINED AND FOUND INFECTED WITH ASTER YELLOWS
VIRUS AFTER APPLICATION OF MALATHION AT 2 LB. PER ACRE, SPRING CROP 1960

Treatment	Rep.	2-day interval No. of plants		4-day interval No. of plants	
		Examined	Infected	Examined	Infected
1	1	236	3	240	3
	2	216	3	236	6
	3	231	4	222	10
2	1	220	2	232	5
	2	226	3	243	8
	3	242	9	244	12
3	1	190	2	198	5
	2	199	2	252	12
	3	220	7	258	3
4	1	252	26	234	33
	2	224	12	240	25
	3	234	34	243	46
5	1	219	39	222	34
	2	233	50	222	100
	3	214	56	237	81
6 (check)	1	205	43	183	49
	2	177	49	193	46
	3	206	60	196	64

TABLE II

TOTAL NUMBER OF Macrosteles fascifrons PER REPLICATE FOR SEVEN
 DATES OF SWEEPING ON HEAD LETTUCE, SPRING CROP 1960

Treatment	Malathion 2 lb. per acre at 4-day intervals		
	Replicate 1	Replicate 2	Replicate 3
1	6 *	4	8
2	6	3	8
3	45	22	48
4	71	50	59
5	203	258	177
6 (check)	917	870	1015

* Each figure in the table is the total number of leafhoppers per 50 sweeps for seven dates of sweeping: June 13, June 16, June 24, July 2, July 10, July 14, and July 22.

TABLE III

NUMBER OF HEAD LETTUCE PLANTS EXAMINED AND FOUND INFECTED
WITH ASTER YELLOWS VIRUS, SUMMER CROP 1960

Treatment	Rep.	Malathion 2 lb. per acre			
		Applied 2-day interval Number of plants Examined Infected		Applied 4-day interval Number of plants Examined Infected	
1	1	271	6	214	5
	2	150	6	155	3
	3	150	1	142	3
2	1	185	3	192	0
	2	137	2	142	1
	3	148	2	136	6
3	1	222	15	180	19
	2	126	6	146	3
	3	156	3	137	2
4	1	184	13	195	15
	2	199	30	205	31
	3	143	6	143	6
5	1	139	9	137	18
	2	204	21	186	24
	3	169	9	159	17
6 (check)	1	207	48	215	40
	2	165	15	159	17
	3	222	4	118	18

TABLE IV
MALATHION RESIDUE ON HEAD LETTUCE NINE DAYS AFTER
APPLICATIONS CEASED

Treatment	Residue of malathion in ppm		
	Replicate 1	Replicate 2	Replicate 3
1	0.44	0.09	0.25
2	0.42	0.06	0.05
3	0.11	0.03	0.23
4	0.03	0.13	0.01
5	0.20	0.25	0.03
6 (check)	0.05	0.03	0.05

TABLE V
NUMBER OF CARROT PLANTS EXAMINED AND FOUND INFECTED WITH
ASTER YELLOWS VIRUS

Treatment	Rep.	DDT		Carbaryl	
		Number of plants Examined	Infected	Number of plants Examined	Infected
1	1	538	2	614	4
	2	647	2	691	4
	3	645	2	567	1
2	1	743	4	658	12
	2	603	4	730	9
	3	480	3	548	10
3	1	611	19	703	19
	2	713	15	804	15
	3	695	23	582	16
4	1	624	31	856	17
	2	830	32	764	21
	3	852	26	640	34
5 (check)	1	812	23	804	21
	2	641	18	769	25
	3	687	33	561	16

TABLE VI

TOTAL NUMBER OF Macrosteles fascifrons PER 50 SWEEPS PER
SUBPLOT MADE ON SEVEN DIFFERENT DATES, SPRING CROP 1961 *

Treatment	Rep.	Carbaryl		Malathion	
		1 lb./ac. 6-day interval	2 lb./ac. 6-day interval	1 lb./ac. 3-day interval	2 lb./ac. 6-day interval
1	1	359	622	266	1053
	2	755	246	229	1769
	3	300	296	119	696
2	1	212	237	193	1189
	2	323	176	325	1652
	3	364	172	424	1197
3	1	309	313	228	1031
	2	286	196	363	895
	3	232	309	220	946
4	1	1563	1187	1437	2172
	2	1164	1003	937	1219
	3	1525	1676	1531	1903
5 (check)	1	2209	1768	2362	2854
	2	1414	1715	1476	1586
	3	1482	2437	2733	2207

* Each figure in the table represents the combined number of leafhoppers per fifty sweeps per subplot made on seven different dates: June 1, June 27, July 3, July 9, July 15, July 21, and July 27.

TABLE VII

NUMBER OF HEAD LETTUCE PLANTS EXAMINED AND FOUND
INFECTED WITH ASTER YELLOWS VIRUS, SPRING CROP 1961

Treatment	Rep.	Malathion 1 lb./ac. 3-day interval No. of plants Examined Infected		Malathion 2 lb./ac. 6-day interval No. of plants Examined Infected		Carbaryl 1 lb./ac. 6-day interval No. of plants Examined Infected		Carbaryl 2 lb./ac. 6-day interval No. of plants Examined Infected	
1	1	82	19	104	41	124	26	131	29
	2	93	18	107	22	100	27	107	19
	3	89	22	95	25	103	17	98	14
2	1	86	13	88	16	90	20	97	20
	2	131	38	92	31	86	20	105	14
	3	80	18	71	19	87	10	78	19
3	1	98	14	99	21	101	14	104	27
	2	81	18	97	22	88	14	98	25
	3	93	22	78	14	96	18	84	16
4	1	95	52	84	60	55	43	38	28
	2	95	56	92	48	86	39	99	53
	3	88	57	91	63	98	68	83	50
5 (check)	1	89	51	85	41	97	53	99	34
	2	92	52	98	41	89	48	104	44
	3	90	74	82	71	85	60	69	58

TABLE VIII

TOTAL NUMBER OF Macrosteles fascifrons PER 50 SWEEPS
PER SUBPLOT MADE ON FIVE DIFFERENT DATES, SUMMER CROP 1961

Treatment	Rep.	Baygon 1 lb./ac. 3-day interval	Carbaryl 1 lb./ac. 6-day interval	Malathion 1 lb./ac. 3-day interval	Baygon 1 lb./ac. 6-day interval
1	1	37 *	59	43	97
	2	37	105	120	82
	3	24	51	35	84
2	1	47	57	48	74
	2	39	60	64	116
	3	26	69	84	91
3	1	23	31	65	102
	2	44	75	107	88
	3	59	77	80	135
4	1	371	548	475	507
	2	469	519	521	587
	3	401	391	542	426
5 (check)	1	445	634	600	558
	2	555	612	631	672
	3	487	434	617	489

* Each figure represents the combined number of leafhoppers per fifty sweeps per subplot made on five different dates: Aug. 24, Aug. 27, Aug. 28, Sept. 1, and Sept. 7.

TABLE IX

NUMBER OF HEAD LETTUCE PLANTS EXAMINED AND FOUND INFECTED
WITH ASTER YELLOWS VIRUS, SUMMER CROP 1961

Treatment	Rep.	Carbaryl 1 lb./ac. 6-day interval No. of plants Examined Infected		Malathion 1 lb./ac. 3-day interval No. of plants Examined Infected		Baygon 1 lb./ac. 3-day interval No. of plants Examined Infected		Baygon 1 lb./ac. 6-day interval No. of plants Examined Infected	
1	1	188	8	187	11	190	5	175	3
	2	205	2	200	11	193	2	200	6
	3	183	3	187	1	176	5	189	5
2	1	202	8	203	11	187	8	188	7
	2	182	26	165	12	175	28	130	11
	3	186	7	188	3	194	4	194	11
3	1	181	31	189	12	152	23	187	25
	2	188	37	187	19	192	50	196	26
	3	201	20	194	11	193	26	197	13
4	1	180	23	188	65	187	43	192	36
	2	187	16	163	16	199	24	173	15
	3	200	31	201	37	194	40	184	19
5 (check)	1	199	23	205	36	199	37	197	41
	2	188	69	187	35	181	32	186	12
	3	200	45	172	27	147	12	188	12

TABLE X

TOTAL NUMBER OF Macrosteles fascifrons, 50 SWEEPS
 PER REPLICATE FOR FOUR REPLICATES FOR EACH
 DATE OF SWEEPING, SPRING CROP 1962

Insecticide	Date of sweeps			
	July 11.	July 18.	July 25.	Aug. 1.
Malathion EC	8	4	10	8
Phorate G	8	14	24	62
Baygon G	14	28	25	61
Baygon EC	45	47	50	23
Meta-SystoxR EC	69	115	101	14
Dimethoate	61	118	80	15
AC 47300 EC	45	121	85	60
Di-Syston G	63	67	51	171
AC 43064 G	101	197	122	166
Check	120	205	116	196

TABLE XI

NUMBER OF HEADS OF LETTUCE EXAMINED AND INFECTED WITH ASTER YELLOWS
VIRUS PER REPLICATE PER TREATMENT, SPRING CROP 1962

Insecticide	Replicate 1 No. of heads		Replicate 2 No. of heads		Replicate 3 No. of heads		Replicate 4 No. of heads	
	Examined	Infected	Examined	Infected	Examined	Infected	Examined	Infected
Baygon EC	107	0	95	3	135	0	135	6
AC 47300 EC	119	1	93	3	139	3	123	2
Baygon G	113	1	111	0	146	5	134	9
Malathion EC	113	4	102	3	144	1	149	2
Phorate G	123	5	107	5	135	5	134	1
Meta-SystoxR EC	117	5	101	1	128	5	136	11
Dimethoate EC	110	11	106	3	131	1	128	5
Di-Syston G	102	2	104	6	134	11	129	2
Check	131	12	96	12	137	1	141	1
AC 43064 G	101	4	102	4	140	12	137	6

TABLE XII

TOTAL NUMBER OF Macrosteles fascifrons PER 50 SWEEPS
 PER REPLICATE FOR ONE DATE OF SWEEPING,
 SEPTEMBER 17, SUMMER CROP 1962

Insecticide	Rep. 1	Rep. 2	Rep. 3	Rep. 4
Baygon G	4	10	5	2
Malathion EC	3	13	3	6
Delnav EC	4	9	7	6
Phorate G	2	15	9	4
Baygon EC	19	14	4	5
Meta-SystoxR EC	11	9	10	14
Dimethoate EC	13	15	15	10
AC 43064 G	13	17	15	12
Delnav G	12	26	15	7
AC 47300 EC	16	31	14	11
Di-Syston G	19	27	27	7
Check	19	26	28	16

TABLE XIII

NUMBER OF Macrosteles fascifrons PER 50 SWEEPS
PER PLOT, PORTAGE LA PRAIRIE, SPRING CROP 1963

Date swept	Rep.	Malathion EC	Baygon EC	Phorate G	Baygon G	Delnav EC	Endosulfan EC	AC 47470 G	AC 47031 G	Check
June 14	1	11	24	22	14	21	28	12	58	37
	2	10	14	20	8	35	27	11	50	42
	3	9	21	17	13	57	26	49	30	47
	4	15	16	46	40	21	46	23	56	160
June 20	1	37	50	34	12	54	90	60	103	85
	2	30	47	45	14	70	71	33	94	56
	3	19	42	31	10	41	49	57	72	49
	4	39	41	22	18	48	29	28	42	49
June 26	1	125	102	64	31	205	247	233	196	186
	2	100	126	63	62	155	355	134	202	236
	3	122	106	61	87	231	147	158	272	168
	4	121	127	63	72	183	176	173	161	165
July 3	1	59	124	257	173	812	810	481	1272	570
	2	48	311	201	203	1125	696	476	1065	794
	3	32	316	174	250	621	789	254	1008	849
	4	83	270	110	158	725	569	557	1015	450
July 10	1	100	566	1037	874	1044	1002	2146	1250	1800
	2	133	821	413	726	964	1442	634	1018	1128
	3	80	638	626	1324	1052	1288	1356	2807	1440
	4	95	738	610	670	658	696	1482	1116	874
July 17	1	525	90	524	690	364	741	890	1092	778
	2	470	272	216	590	398	1212	947	1554	1608
	3	382	184	400	948	608	844	822	1816	1238
	4	516	251	329	838	716	723	1244	1514	750

TABLE XIV

NUMBER OF HEAD LETTUCE PLANTS EXAMINED AND FOUND INFECTED
WITH ASTER YELLOWS VIRUS, PORTAGE LA PRAIRIE, SPRING CROP 1963

Insecticide	Replicate 1 No. of plants		Replicate 2 No. of plants		Replicate 3 No. of plants		Replicate 4 No. of plants	
	Examined	Infected	Examined	Infected	Examined	Infected	Examined	Infected
Baygon G	187	47	199	70	187	51	191	59
Phorate G	173	63	207	83	215	86	206	73
Baygon EC	182	70	197	92	196	89	197	79
Malathion E	193	75	196	85	203	73	174	88
AC 47470 G	198	102	209	113	208	94	202	109
Delnav EC	179	123	205	142	199	135	208	124
Endosulfan EC	193	124	187	154	193	113	178	132
AC 47031 G	188	127	212	152	195	149	194	139
Check	165	152	201	179	202	186	189	171

TABLE XV

NUMBER OF Macrosteles fascifrons PER 50 SWEEPS
PER PLOT, WINNIPEG, SPRING CROP 1963

Date swept	Rep.	Malathion EC	Phorate G	Baygon G	Check
June 11	1	3	4	1	13
	2	8	1	2	5
	3	7	1	0	6
June 18	1	32	10	13	57
	2	31	41	16	64
	3	37	11	12	55
June 24	1	31	42	26	64
	2	39	48	53	72
	3	46	50	44	70
July 2	1	25	11	9	40
	2	24	5	6	68
	3	14	12	9	62
July 9	1	68	179	139	246
	2	98	106	163	295
	3	95	222	223	329
July 15	1	5	127	154	265
	2	3	84	112	314
	3	10	131	116	376

TABLE XVI

NUMBER OF HEAD LETTUCE PLANTS EXAMINED AND FOUND INFECTED
WITH ASTER YELLOWS VIRUS, WINNIPEG, SPRING CROP 1963

Insecticide	Replicate 1 No. of plants		Replicate 2 No. of plants		Replicate 3 No. of plants	
	Examined	Infected	Examined	Infected	Examined	Infected
Baygon G	411	46	387	56	406	38
Malathion EC	416	50	367	64	358	35
Phorate G	398	82	356	47	421	57
Check	392	264	389	237	390	259

TABLE XVII

NUMBER OF Macrosteles fascifrons PER 50 SWEEPS PER PLOT,
PORTAGE LA PRAIRIE, SUMMER CROP 1963

Date swept	Rep.	Malathion EC	Baygon EC	Baygon G	Phorate G	Check
Aug. 13	1	4	30	15	48	237
	2	13	3	32	34	128
	3	1	52	18	42	97
	4	1	80	46	41	104
Aug. 20	1	18	31	89	89	69
	2	122	88	117	210	295
	3	205	93	196	207	224
	4	87	40	167	99	85
Aug. 27	1	18	30	110	74	134
	2	45	55	53	89	115
	3	39	19	42	54	81
	4	13	22	56	28	91
Sept. 3	1	1	14	26	13	39
	2	1	7	23	23	21
	3	2	7	14	11	30
	4	1	6	8	6	31
Sept. 19	1	7	1	5	18	90
	2	3	7	25	18	62
	3	1	3	12	22	32
	4	2	9	6	19	41

TABLE XVIII

NUMBER OF HEAD LETTUCE PLANTS EXAMINED AND FOUND INFECTED
WITH ASTER YELLOWS VIRUS, PORTAGE LA PRAIRIE, SUMMER CROP 1963

Insecticide	Replicate 1 No. of plants		Replicate 2 No. of plants		Replicate 3 No. of plants		Replicate 4 No. of plants	
	Examined	Infected	Examined	Infected	Examined	Infected	Examined	Infected
Baygon G	232	147	252	186	257	203	239	187
Baygon EC	225	161	238	213	237	171	246	226
Malathion EC	221	187	239	194	236	217	246	206
Phorate G	234	180	248	208	235	208	256	221
Check	224	221	229	223	237	231	262	253

TABLE XIX

TRANSMISSION OF THREE STRAINS OF ASTER YELLOWS VIRUS
BY Macrosteles fascifrons TO FOURTEEN DIFFERENT HOSTS

Host	AYV Strains	Replicates		
		1	2	3
Stinkweed	A	29/30 *	30/30	29/30
	B	29/29	29/29	30/30
	C	30/30	29/30	30/30
Head lettuce	A	30/30	30/30	30/30
	B	28/29	26/27	29/29
	C	26/28	29/30	30/30
Flax	A	21/29	20/30	26/30
	B	26/29	27/30	24/28
	C	19/27	26/30	24/30
Aster	A	13/27	27/30	25/26
	B	21/30	19/30	25/28
	C	17/29	23/30	26/28
Onion	A	18/30	28/29	28/28
	B	21/29	20/28	27/30
	C	19/29	19/29	28/29
Carrot	A	23/29	20/28	15/28
	B	15/28	21/30	20/29
	C	17/28	19/30	18/30
Barley	A	11/30	17/29	16/29
	B	15/30	13/29	18/26
	C	7/30	11/30	20/30
Tame buckwheat	A	13/25	15/28	14/28
	B	11/25	13/27	15/30
	C	11/28	15/30	13/30
Wild buckwheat	A	2/28	1/29	2/30
	B	6/27	1/24	7/27
	C	12/30	7/25	6/24
Celery	A	0/30	0/28	0/27
	B	2/30	7/23	3/27
	C	13/29	7/26	12/27
Sunflower var. Peredovik	A	2/29	2/27	3/28
	B	3/30	1/28	4/28
	C	7/30	2/30	4/27
Plantain	A	0/28	0/27	0/25
	B	6/30	1/28	3/27
	C	9/29	2/29	2/26
Sunflower var. Commander	A	1/29	2/30	1/25
	B	0/28	1/28	1/27
	C	4/27	1/29	5/30
Wheat	A	0/29	0/27	0/29
	B	1/30	1/30	4/30
	C	0/30	0/30	0/29

* The first figure of each couplet represents the number of leafhoppers that transmitted and the second figure the number of leafhoppers that were tested.

TABLE XX

TRANSMISSION OF THREE STRAINS OF ASTER YELLOWS
VIRUS BY SINGLE Macrosteles fascifrons BASED
ON ACQUISITION FROM FOURTEEN DIFFERENT HOST PLANTS

Host	AYV Strains	Replicates		
		1	2	3
Aster	A	17/25 *	28/29	26/26
	B	26/30	18/26	23/26
	C	26/28	22/30	29/30
Head lettuce	A	19/30	26/30	28/30
	B	19/25	18/22	16/18
	C	24/25	26/27	27/29
Barley	A	24/30	15/30	26/30
	B	23/26	27/30	22/29
	C	26/30	29/30	29/30
Celery	A	---	---	---
	B	16/20	12/22	20/23
	C	18/21	19/20	18/20
Tame buckwheat	A	22/29	20/30	17/30
	B	19/23	22/24	24/28
	C	27/30	30/30	25/30
Sunflower var. Commander	A	16/26	19/26	19/25
	B	20/30	18/25	17/25
	C	25/29	25/28	29/29
Sunflower var. Peredovik	A	17/24	19/25	23/27
	B	18/25	18/26	15/24
	C	21/24	22/26	24/29
Plantain	A	---	---	---
	B	20/30	17/30	19/30
	C	26/30	25/30	25/30
Carrot	A	9/29	10/30	19/30
	B	25/30	20/30	22/30
	C	27/30	19/30	27/30
Stinkweed	A	5/26	4/14	9/21
	B	13/28	16/25	20/23
	C	24/28	21/23	19/21
Flax	A	4/27	2/28	4/27
	B	1/28	5/30	6/30
	C	1/27	2/27	3/26
Wheat	A	---	---	---
	B	2/29	3/29	1/30
	C	---	---	---
Wild buckwheat	A	1/24	0/24	1/24
	B	0/26	1/25	0/25
	C	0/25	1/24	0/26
Onion	A	0/23	0/24	0/26
	B	0/25	0/24	1/30
	C	0/28	0/28	0/24

* The first figure of each couplet represents the number of leafhoppers that transmitted, and the second figure the number of leafhoppers that were tested.

TABLE XXI

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR TRANSMISSION OF THREE STRAINS
OF ASTER YELLOWS VIRUS, "A", "B" AND "C" BY INDIVIDUAL INFECTIVE
Macrosteles fascifrons TO FOURTEEN DIFFERENT HOSTS

Source of variation	D.F.	S.S.	M.S.	F.
Hosts	13	88,384.2757	6,798.7904	38.39 **
Strains	2	488.9971	244.4985	1.38
Replicates	2	750.4543	375.2271	4.14
Hosts x strains	26	4,603.9562	177.0753	5.26 **
Strains x replicates	4	246.4971	61.6242	1.83
Hosts x replicates	26	2,345.8737	90.6105	2.69 **
Hosts x strains x reps.	52	1,748.6957	33.6287	
Total	125	98,568.7498		

** Significant difference at 1% level (Goulden, 1952).

TABLE XXII

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR TRANSMISSION OF THREE STRAINS OF ASTER
 YELLOWS VIRUS "A", "B" AND "C" BY INDIVIDUAL Macrosteles fascifrons
 BASED ON ACQUISITION FROM FOURTEEN DIFFERENT HOST PLANTS

Source of variation	D.F.	S.S.	M.S.	F.
Hosts	13	72,402.7944	5,569.4457	8.89 **
Strains	2	7,679.8550	3,839.9275	6.13 **
Replicates	2	231.5439	115.7719	< 1
Hosts × strains	26	16,279.9075	626.1502	8.66 **
Strains × replicates	4	363.1359	90.7839	1.26
Hosts × replicates	26	3,440.5565	132.3290	1.83 **
Hosts × strains × reps.	52	3,758.2027	72.2731	
Total	125	104,155.9959		

** Significant difference at 1% level (Goulden, 1952).