# DYNAMICS OF A GENERAL FLEXIBLE MULTIBODY SYSTEM

by Zhicheng Zhao

A thesis Presented to the Faculty of Graduate Studies in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

March 1992



National Library of Canada

Acquisitions and Bibliographic Services Branch

395 Wellington Street Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0N4 Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Direction des acquisitions et des services bibliographiques

395, rue Wellington Ottawa (Ontario) K1A 0N4

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre référence

The author has granted an irrevocable non-exclusive licence allowing the National Library of Canada to reproduce, loan. distribute or sell copies of his/her thesis by any means and in any form or format, making this thesis available to interested persons.

L'auteur a accordé une licence irrévocable et non exclusive permettant à la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de reproduire, prêter, distribuer ou vendre des copies de sa thèse de quelque manière et sous quelque forme que ce soit pour mettre des exemplaires de cette thèse disposition à la des personnes intéressées.

The author retains ownership of the copyright in his/her thesis. Neither the thesis nor substantial extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without his/her permission.

Canada

L'auteur conserve la propriété du droit d'auteur qui protège sa thèse. Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation.

ISBN 0-315-77952-7

#### DYNAMICS OF A GENERAL FLEXIBLE MULTIBODY SYSTEM

ΒY

ZHICHENG ZHAO

A Thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies of the University of Manitoba in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

© 1992

Permission has been granted to the LIBRARY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA to lend or sell copies of this thesis, to the NATIONAL LIBRARY OF CANADA to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film, and UNIVERSITY MICROFILMS to publish an abstract of this thesis.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's permission.

I hereby declare that I am the sole author of this thesis. I authorize the University of manitoba to lend this thesis to othor institutions or individuals for the purpose of scholarly research.

Zhicheng Zhao

I further authorize the University of Manitoba to reproduce this thesis by photocopying or by other means, in whole or in part, at the request of other institutions or individuals for the purpose of scholarly research.

Zhicheng Zhao

## Abstract

This thesis presents a dynamic model of a general, flexible multibody system by means of the lumped mass finite element approach formulated using Kane's equations. The system topology considered here is defined as an arbitrary combination of both rigid and flexible bodies, connected together by joints that permit translation and compliance, in a general tree configuration. An extension to handle closed loop kinematic chains is also indicated. Kane's theory of generalized speeds which is based on the *Lagrange-d'Alembert* principle, is used to derive the equations of motion, and this results in a very efficient computer oriented methodology for solving the dynamics of such large mechanical systems. To facilitate numerical computations, the dynamical equations are transformed into a system of first-order differential equations, for an explicit solution of the problem. The accuracy of the proposed formulation is assessed via three examples with known solutions. The results obtained indicate the method is accurate, efficient and versatile for the analysis of a general, flexible multibody system.

i

# Acknowledgments

The author wishes to express his sincere thanks to Professor Ray P.S. Han for his supervision and financial support during the work on this thesis. The author would also like to thank his wife for her patience, encouragement and sacrifices.

# Contents

`S.

	Abs	Abstract										
	Acknowledgments											
	List of Figures											
	List	t of Tables	/ii									
1	Introduction											
	1.1	Problem Description	1									
	1.2	Previous Work	2									
	1.3	Proposed Research	4									
<b>2</b>	Kin	nematics of Flexible Multibody Systems	6									
	Introduction	6										
	2.2	System Description	7									
		2.2.1 Body Connection Array	7									
		2.2.2 Degrees of Freedom for Flexible Multibody Tree Systems	8									

		2.2.3	Transformation Matrices	11
	2.3	Kinem	atics	13
		2.3.1	Concepts of Partial Velocity and Partial Angular Velocity	7 13
		2.3.2	Generalized Speeds	14
		2.3.3	Angular Velocity	16
		2.3.4	Linear Velocity	18
		2.3.5	Angular Acceleration	20
		2.3.6	Linear Acceleration	20
3	Diff	erentia	d Equations of Motion	22
	3.1	Introd	uction	22
	3.2	On Ka	ne's Equations	23
	3.3	Open ]	Loop Multibody System	25
		3.3.1	Generalized Active Forces	25
		3.3.2	Generalized Inertia Forces	26
	3.4	Closed	Loop Multibody System	27
4	$\operatorname{Res}$	ults an	d Discussion	31
	4.1	Introdu	uction	31
	4.2	stic Simple Pendulum	31	
	4.3	A Rota	ating Rigid Shaft-Flexible Beam Multibody System	34
	4.4	A Flex	ible Two-link Manipulator	41
5	$\mathbf{Sun}$	nmary	and Conclusions	45

Bi	bliography	47
A	Nomenclature	56
в	Explicit Expressions for the Generalized Mass Matrix and Generalized Load Vector	59
С	Program DAFMS for Dynamical Analysis of a General Flex-	
	ible Multibody System	62

v

# List of Figures

2.1	A general tree configuration	8
2.2	Two typical adjacent bodies with joint translation and com-	
	pliance	9
4.1	An elastic simple pendulum	32
4.2	System responses (numerical and analytical solutions coincide)	33
4.3	A rotating rigid shaft-flexible beam multibody system	35
4.4	A prescribed torque pulse loading (Yigit et al., 1988)	37
4.5	Beam tip vibration response	38
4.6	Rigid shaft motion: angular displacement	39
4.7	Rigid shaft motion: angular velocity	40
4.8	A flexible two-link manipulator	41
4.9	Simulation results: rigid body and flexible body motions	43

# List of Tables

4.1	Comparison	of maxim	$\lim a_1$ a	and $a_2$													34
<b>T + T</b>	Comparison	OI MAAM	ium yr o	mu y <sub>2</sub>	• •	• •	•	• •	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	OT

# Chapter 1 Introduction

## 1.1 **Problem Description**

A multibody system may be defined as any finite number of bodies, rigid or otherwise, connected together in some arbitrary fashion by joints. Typical examples include spacecrafts with flexible appendages, large space structures, robot manipulators, machines and mechanisms and even the human body which can be modeled as a number of interconnected body parts. With the advent of computers, there has been considerable research in the field of rigidmultibody dynamics. It is only recently that the assumption of the bodies being *rigid* has been dropped to incorporate the effects of *flexibility*. Such effects are a major concern as they exert a strong influence on the dynamic characteristics of mechanical systems that not only operate at high speeds, but are frequently constructed of light-weight materials.

### **1.2** Previous Work

One of the first papers on the dynamics of multibodies which were modeled as rigid bodies, were described by Hooker and Margulies (1965), and by Roberson and Wittenburg (1966). A large number of papers in this area soon followed, with the three main beneficiaries for this type of research being, space structures, robotics and mechanisms. Like the rigid multibodies, interest in flexible multibody systems can also be grouped into these three distinct areas. Since this thesis is concerned with flexible multibodies, it will be appropriate for the literature review given here to address only such systems. Hence, the review will be organized into the three main areas of research: flexible space structures, compliant robotics and elastic mechanisms.

In the early 1970s, models were developed for the analysis of rigid bodies with elastic appendages [ Likins (1970), Hooker (1975), Ho (1977) ]. Roberson (1972) introduced relative translation between flexible bodies; Kulla (1972), Bodley and Park (1972), and Likins *et. al.* (1973) presented models for spinning elastic bodies; Ho and Herber (1985) and many others considered flexible spacecrafts. Hughes (1979), Huston (1981), and Singh, VanderVoort and Likins (1985) extended the analysis to handle general, flexible multibodies. Both Huston and Singh *et. al.* formulated their analysis based on a Lagrange's form of the d'Alembert's principle, as first exposited by Kane and Wang (1965). In a series of papers, Shabana (1986), and Agrawal and Shabana (1986) investigated the dynamic characteristics of inertia variant flexible multibody systems using the Lagrange's equation technique. In the dynamics of flexible multibodies that undergo large deformations the recursive formulation appears to be popular and is described in Changizi and Shabana (1988) and Kim and Haug (1988).

In the area of flexible robotics, Sunada and Dubowsky (1983) evaluated the kinetoelastic deformations in industrial manipulators; Book (1984) proposed a simulation model for the dynamics of spatial manipulators with revolute joints; Low (1987) derived the equations of motion for mechanical manipulators with elastic links using the Hamilton's principle; and Lee and Wang (1988) employed the Newton-Euler approach to present dynamic equations for flexible single and double link manipulators, for use in computer simulations.

There is a considerable amount of information in the dynamic analysis of elastic mechanisms and is elegantly summarized in Lowen and Chassapis (1986). Kohli et. al. (1977) investigated the dynamic behavior of an elastic slider-crank mechanism using the Lagrange's equation approach; Thompson and Barr (1976) employed a variational procedure to incorporate constraint equations into Hamilton's principle for a flexible slider-crank mechanism; Jandrasit and Lowen (1979) presented an analytical model of the elastic-dynamic behavior in a four-bar linkage, again using the Hamilton's principle; Dubowsky and Maatuk (1975) using a Lagrangian approach, investigated the vibratory characteristics of spatial elastic mechanisms and manipulators; Sadler and Sandor (1973) and Sadler (1975) studied the kinetoelastodynamic behavior of linkages using a lumped mass model, Kohli and Sandor (1975) applied the theory to analyse an RCCC linkage with three elastic links; and Midha et. al. (1978), Turcic and Midha (1984), and Cleghorn et. al. (1981) presented finite element equations of motion for elastic mechanisms.

### **1.3** Proposed Research

In this thesis, a general treatment is proposed to model the dynamics of flexible multibody systems connected by hinges which can accomodate both translations and rotations. Unlike the paper by Singh, VanderVoort and Likins (1985) which employed a set of modal coordinates to approximately represent the elastic deformations, the formulation here is based on a lumped mass finite element model. Only multibody systems with a general tree topology, as depicted in *Figure* 2.1 and exhibiting small deformations, are analysed here. An extension of the formulation to handle closed loop kinematic chains is also presented. Several methods of analytical dynamics are available for automatic generation of the equations of motion of these multibodies. For example, methods based on the Newton-Euler approach, the Lagrange's equations technique, Hamilton's principle, or some combination of these, are probably most popular with researchers in this area. However, an increasing number of researchers are formulating their dynamic analysis based on some generalization of the Lagrange's form of the d'Alembert's principle. This is because the resulting governing equations possess superior computational advantage in that, the non-working constraint forces are eliminated without introducing tedious differentiation as in the Lagrangian formulation. One of the most well known theory based on this approach is the Kane's method of generalized speeds (Kane and Wang, 1965), and some of its most ardent supporters have been Likins (1974, 1975), Huston (1978, 1979, 1980, 1981), Levinson (1977) and Kane himself (1965, 1968, 1980, 1983, 1987).

To facilitate numerical calculations, the equations of motion are recast into a system of first-order differential equations, resulting in an explicit formulation of the problem. Three examples with known solutions are solved, and the results obtained indicate the proposed method is accurate, efficient, and versatile for the analysis of a general, flexible multibody system.

# Chapter 2

# Kinematics of Flexible Multibody Systems

# 2.1 Introduction

In this chapter, the geometry and kinematics of a flexible multibody system is introduced. In the first part of this chapter, the mathematical tools required to describe the system motion are developed. They comprise the body connection array, the characterization of degrees of freedom and the transformation matrices.

In the second part, the concepts of partial velocity, partial angular velocity and generalized speeds are introduced and having done so, the angular and linear velocities, and angular and linear accelerations for the flexible multibody system are derived.

# 2.2 System Description

#### 2.2.1 Body Connection Array

If a mechanical system consists of connected bodies, rigid or otherwise, such that adjacent bodies share at least one common point and no closed loops or circuits are formed, the system is called a "general-chain" (or "open-chain") system. Figure 2.1 depicts such a system. In order to get a unique kinematic description of the multibodies and their various deformed configurations in the dynamic analysis of a flexible multibody system, it is essential to develop a compact and efficient accounting procedure. The procedure adopted here is based on a direct path array used by Kane (1968), and Huston, Passerello and Harlow (1978) in their work on rigid multibody systems. The direct path array may be obtained by follows. Arbitrarily select one of the bodies as a reference body and call it  $B_1$ . Then number the other bodies of the system in ascending progression away from  $B_1$  as shown in Figure 2.1. Let L(k), k = 1, 2, ..., N, be an array of the adjoining lower numbered body of the kth body. For example, for the system shown in Figure 2.1, L(k) is

$$L(k) = (0, 1, 2, 1, 4, 5, 6, 5, 8, 1)$$

$$(2.1)$$

where

$$(k) = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10)$$

and it is defined that



Figure 2.1: A general tree configuration

 $L^{0}(k) = k, \quad L^{1}(k) = L(k), \quad L^{2}(k) = L(L(k))$ 

and so on.

For convenience, the reference body  $B_1$  is assumed to be connected to an inertially fixed body numbered as 0. Now, a direct path from the inertia frame to any body of the system can be described by the body connection array L(k).

### 2.2.2 Degrees of Freedom for Flexible Multibody Tree Systems

Consider a typical pair of adjacent bodies such as  $B_j$  and  $B_k$  shown in Figure 2.2. The following degrees of freedom are considered:



Inertial frame R

Figure 2.2: Two typical adjacent bodies with joint translation and compliance

1. Rigid body degrees of freedom. The kth hinge, which connects the kth body  $B_k$  to its lower numbered adjacent body  $B_j$ , is assumed, in general, to permit relative rotations and translations. Reference frames  $\mathbf{n}_{ki}^{O_k}$  and  $\mathbf{n}_{ji}^{P_k}$ , (i = 1, 2, 3) are fixed at hinge point  $O_k$  (a point of  $B_k$ ) and  $P_k$  (a point of  $B_j$ ) respectively, as shown in Figure 2.2. Let  $NR_k$  represents the relative rotation degrees of freedom, and  $NT_k$  the relative translation degrees of freedom for the kth hinge, then the rigid body degrees of freedom for  $B_k$  are given by

$$NB = \sum_{k=1}^{N} NR_k + NT_k \tag{2.2}$$

where N is the number of bodies in the tree topology.

as

2. Deformational degrees of freedom. Generate a finite element mesh for each flexible body, and choose every hinge point  $P_k$  as one of the nodes. Let  $NP_k$  represents the number of nodes, and  $NN_k$  represents the nodal degrees of freedom in  $B_k$ . Then the deformational degrees of freedom,  $ND_k$  for the *k*th body, are given by the product of the degrees of freedom per node and the number of nodes employed in the discretization of the body, namely:

$$ND_k = NP_k \times NN_k \tag{2.3}$$

The total number of degrees of freedom for the system can then be written

$$NS = \sum_{k=1}^{N} NR_k + NT_k + ND_k$$
 (2.4)

#### 2.2.3 Transformation Matrices

Transformation matrices play an important role in the kinematic and dynamic analysis of multibody systems. The vectors of positions, velocities and accelerations derived in the local reference frame can be transformed into any other reference frames, and in particular, to the inertial reference frame.

As before, let  $B_k$  be a typical body of the system and  $B_j$  its adjacent lower numbered body, such as shown in Figure 2.2. The rigid orientation of  $B_k$  relative to  $B_j$  may be defined in terms of the relative orientation of the dextral orthogonal unit vector sets  $\mathbf{n}_{ji}^{P_k}$  to  $\mathbf{n}_{ki}^{O_k}$  (i = 1, 2, 3). A 3 × 3 orthogonal transformation matrix,  $\mathbf{TR}_j^k$  can be defined as

$$TR_{jim}^{k} = \mathbf{n}_{ji}^{P_{k}} \bullet \mathbf{n}_{km}^{O_{k}}$$

$$(2.5)$$

where the j and k in Equation (2.5) refer to bodies  $B_j$  and  $B_k$ . Then  $\mathbf{n}_{ji}^{P_k}$ and  $\mathbf{n}_{km}^{O_k}$  are related to each other as

$$\mathbf{n}_{ji}^{P_k} = \sum_{m=1}^{3} TR_{jim}^k \mathbf{n}_{km}^{O_k}$$
(2.6)

The orientation of the hinge point,  $P_k$  relative to local reference frame  $\mathbf{n}_{ji}^{O_j}$ , due to the elastic deformation, also can be defined through a  $3 \times 3$  orthogonal transformation matrix,  $\mathbf{TD}_j$  as

$$\mathbf{n}_{ji}^{O_j} = \sum_{m=1}^3 T D_{jim} \mathbf{n}_{jm}^{P_k}$$
(2.7)

where the transformation matrix  $\mathbf{TD}_{j}$  is given by

$$TD_{jim} = \mathbf{n}_{ji}^{O_j} \bullet \mathbf{n}_{jm}^{P_k} \tag{2.8}$$

The general orientation of body  $B_k$  relative to  $B_j$  now can be defined as the relative orientation of the reference frame,  $\mathbf{n}_{ki}^{O_k}$  to the reference frame,  $\mathbf{n}_{ji}^{O_j}$ . It is not difficult to find that  $\mathbf{n}_{ji}^{O_j}$  and  $\mathbf{n}_{km}^{O_k}$  are related to each other as

$$\mathbf{n}_{ji}^{O_j} = \sum_{m=1}^3 T_{jim}^k \mathbf{n}_{km}^{O_k}$$
(2.9)

where the general transformation matrix,  $\mathbf{T}_{j}^{k}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{T}_{j}^{k} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{D}_{j} \mathbf{T}\mathbf{R}_{j}^{k} \tag{2.10}$$

From Equation (2.9), it is easily seen that with three bodies,  $B_j$ ,  $B_k$  and  $B_l$ , the transformation matrix obeys the following chain and identity rules

$$\mathbf{T}_{j}^{l} = \mathbf{T}_{j}^{k} \mathbf{T}_{k}^{l} \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$\mathbf{T}_{j}^{j} = \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{T}_{j}^{k} \ \mathbf{T}_{k}^{j} = \mathbf{T}_{j}^{k} \ (\mathbf{T}_{j}^{k})^{-1}$$
 (2.12)

where **I** is the identity matrix.

These equations allow for the transformation of components of vectors referred to one body of the system into components referred to any other body of the system, and in particular, to the inertial reference frame, R. For example, if a typical vector,  $\mathbf{A}$  is expressed as

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{i}^{(k)} \mathbf{n}_{ki}^{O_{k}} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{i}^{(0)} \mathbf{n}_{0i}$$
(2.13)

then

$$A_i^{(0)} = \sum_{j=1}^3 T_{0ij}^k A_j^{(k)}$$
(2.14)

where 0 refers to the inertial frame, R.

## 2.3 Kinematics

### 2.3.1 Concepts of Partial Velocity and Partial Angular Velocity

Consider a mechanical system S with n degrees of freedom. Let  $q_1, q_2, ...,$ and  $q_n$  be the generalized coordinates describing the system in reference frame A. Let the derivatives of generalized coordinates be represented by  $\dot{q}_1, \dot{q}_2, \ldots, \dot{q}_n$ , then the generalized speeds,  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$ , of the system S relative to the reference frame A are computed from

$$u_r = \sum_{s=1}^n Y_{rs} \dot{q}_s + Z_r \qquad (r = 1, 2, ..., n) \qquad (2.15)$$

where  $Y_{rs}$  and  $Z_r$  are the functions of n generalized coordinates,  $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n$ and time t, and are chosen such that all  $\dot{q_1}, \dot{q_2}, \ldots, \dot{q_n}$  have unique solution in Equation (2.15). Note that (<sup>•</sup>) implies time differentiation in the local reference frame.

Their introduction enable one to take advantages of special features of a given physical system to bring equations of motion into a particularly simple form. Usually, the generalized speeds are chosen to be the quantities related to the movements of the system, such as components of the angular velocity of a body or the linear velocity of a particle, or simply  $\dot{q}_r$  for all or part of r.

After determination of generalized speeds, the angular velocity  $\omega$ , of any body B in system S relative to reference frame A, may be uniquely described by the linear combination of generalized speeds  $u_r$ . That is,

$$\omega = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \Omega_{\mathbf{r}} u_r + \bar{\omega} \qquad (2.16)$$

where  $\Omega_r$  and  $\bar{\omega}$  are computable functions of  $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n$  and t. The vector  $\Omega_r$  is called *r*th partial angular velocity of the body *B* relative to *A* [Kane (1983 a, b, c)].

Similarly, the linear velocity  $\mathbf{v}$  of any particle P relative to A, may be given by

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \mathbf{V}_{r} u_{r} + \bar{\mathbf{v}}$$
(2.17)

where  $\mathbf{V}_r$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$  are computable functions of  $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n$  and t. The vector  $\mathbf{V}_r$  is called *r*th partial velocity of the particle *P* relative to *A*.

#### 2.3.2 Generalized Speeds

The following generalized vectors are defined:

1.  $\varphi_k, (k = 1, 2, ..., N)$  represents the relative orientation vector of the kth body to the reference frame fixed at hinge point,  $P_k$ :

$$\varphi_k = \sum_{l=1}^{NR_k} \varphi_{kl} \, \mathbf{n}_{kl}^{P_k} \tag{2.18}$$

2.  $\xi_k, (k = 1, 2, ..., N)$  represents the relative translational displacement vector of the kth body to the reference frame fixed at hinge point,  $P_k$ :

$$\xi_k = \sum_{l=1}^{NT_k} \xi_{kl} \mathbf{n}_{kl}^{P_k}$$
(2.19)

3.  ${}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}$ ,  ${}^{R}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}$ ,  $(k = 1, 2, ..., N; i = 1, 2, ..., NP_{k})$  represent the elastic nodal displacement vectors for translational and rotational degrees of freedom respectively, of the *i*th node in the *k*th body to the reference frame fixed at hinge point,  $O_{k}$ ,:

$${}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i} = \sum_{l=1}^{NN_{k}^{T}} {}^{T}\!u_{kl}^{i} \mathbf{n}_{kl}^{O_{k}}$$
(2.20)

Note that in general,  $NN_k^T + NN_k^R = NN_k \le 6$ .

To compactly summarize the above quantities, a generalized coordinate  $q_m$  can be defined by ordering its components as follows,

 $q_m = \begin{cases} m = 1, 2, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^{N} NR_k & \text{relative rigid orientations} \\ m = \sum_{k=1}^{N} NR_k + 1, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^{N} NR_k + NT_k & \text{relative rigid translations} \\ m = \sum_{k=1}^{N} NR_k + NT_k + 1, \dots, NS & \text{elastic deformations} \\ (2.22) \end{cases}$ 

For convenience, let the generalized speeds of the system be denoted by

$$y_m = \dot{q}_m$$
 (m = 1, 2, ..., NS) (2.23)

#### 2.3.3 Angular Velocity

The absolute angular velocity of the kth body can be represented by the addition formula as

$$\omega_{k} = \hat{\omega}_{1} + \hat{\omega}_{2} + \dots + \hat{\omega}_{L(k)} + \hat{\omega}_{k} + {}^{R}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{1}^{P_{2}} + \dots + {}^{R}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{L^{2}(k)}^{P_{L(k)}} + {}^{R}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{L(k)}^{P_{k}}$$
(2.24)

where the relative angular velocities,  $\hat{\omega}_i$  are each measured with respect to the respective adjacent lower numbered bodies and is summed over the bodies of the chain from  $B_1$  outward to  $B_k$ . In the case of flexible bodies, the deformation of each body, including the rotational rate of each node,  ${}^R\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{L(k)}^{P_k}$  due to elastic deformation, should be taken into account. So  $\hat{\omega}_k$  is exactly the relative angular velocity of the local reference frame,  $\mathbf{n}_{ki}^{O_k}$  to the reference frame,  $\mathbf{n}_{ji}^{P_k}$  due to rigid body rotation.

The body connection array L(k) is very useful in computing this sum. Considering the system in Figure 2.1, for example. The angular velocity of  $B_7$  is given by

$$\omega_{7} = \hat{\omega}_{1} + \hat{\omega}_{4} + \hat{\omega}_{5} + \hat{\omega}_{6} + \hat{\omega}_{7} + {}^{R}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{1}^{P_{4}} + {}^{R}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{4}^{P_{5}} + {}^{R}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{5}^{P_{6}} + {}^{R}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{6}^{P_{7}}$$
(2.25)

Using connection array,  $\omega_7$  may be written as

$$\omega_7 = \sum_{\gamma=0}^4 \left( \hat{\omega}_s + {}^R \dot{\mathbf{u}}_{L(s)}^{P_s} \right)$$
(2.26)

where  $s = L^{\gamma}(7)$ , i.e.  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $s = L^{0}(7) = 7$ ;  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $s = L^{1}(7) = 6$ ;  $\gamma = 2$ ,  $s = L^{2}(7) = 5$ , ...,  $\gamma = 4$ ,  $s = L^{4}(7) = 1$ .

Now, in general, the absolute angular velocity of  $B_k$  may be written as

$$\omega_k = \sum_{\gamma=0}^u \left( \hat{\omega}_s + {}^R \dot{\mathbf{u}}_{L(s)}^{P_s} \right)$$
(2.27)

where  $s = L^{\gamma}(k)$  and the index u is defined such that  $L^{u}(k) = 1$ .

The angular velocity of ith node in the kth body can be represented as

$$\omega_k^i = \omega_k + {}^R \dot{\mathbf{u}}_k^i \tag{2.28}$$

where  $\omega_k$  is the absolute angular velocity of  $B_k$  given by Equation (2.27) and  ${}^{R}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{k}^{i}$  is the nodal rotational rate of the *i*th node in body  $B_k$ .

The absolute angular velocity,  $\omega_k$  in Equation (2.27) and the nodal rotational rate,  ${}^{R}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{k}^{i}$  of Equation (2.28) can be expressed in terms of the generalized speeds,  $y_m$  as

$$\omega_k = \sum_{m=1}^{NS} \Omega_{km} y_m \tag{2.29}$$

$${}^{R}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{k}^{i} = \sum_{m=1}^{NS} {}^{R}\mathbf{U}_{km}^{i} y_{m}$$
(2.30)

The term,  $\Omega_{km}$  is the *m*th partial angular velocity of  $B_k$  and  ${}^R\mathbf{U}_{km}^i$  is the *m*th partial rotational rate of the *i*th node in  $B_k$ . Note that  $\bar{\omega}_k$  and  ${}^R\!\bar{\mathbf{u}}_k^i$  are zero in this case. In a similar manner, the absolute angular velocity of the *i*th

node given by Equation (2.28) is also expressible in terms of the generalized speeds,  $y_m$ . That is,

$$\omega_k^i = \sum_{m=1}^{NS} \left( \mathbf{\Omega}_{km} + {}^R \mathbf{U}_{km}^i \right) y_m \qquad (2.31)$$

#### 2.3.4 Linear Velocity

The linear velocity of each node of the kth body may be obtained as follows. First, define  $\mathbf{r}_{k}^{O_{k}}$  be the absolute position vector of the hinge point  $O_{k}$  in body  $B_{k}$ ;  $\mathbf{x}_{k}^{i}$  be the relative position vector from the original point of the reference frame fixed at hinge point  $O_{k}$  to the *i*th node in  $B_{k}$ ; and  ${}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}$ represents the elastic translational displacement of *i*th node in  $B_{k}$ , as sketched in Figure 2.2. Then, the position vector of the *i*th node in  $B_{k}$  relative to a fixed point O in the inertia frame R may be written as

$$\mathbf{r}_{k}^{i} = \mathbf{r}_{k}^{O_{k}} + \mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}$$
 (2.32)

where  $\mathbf{r}_{k}^{O_{k}}$  represents the summation of all position vectors, starting from the original point of the inertia frame outward through the reference body  $B_{1}$  and the branch containing the kth body to  $B_{k}$ , and is given by,

$$\mathbf{r}_{k}^{O_{k}} = \mathbf{r}_{1}^{O_{1}} + \sum_{\gamma=0}^{u-1} \left( \mathbf{x}_{L(s)}^{P_{s}} + {}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{L(s)}^{P_{s}} + \xi_{s} \right)$$
(2.33)

where  $s = L^{\gamma}(k)$ , the index (u - 1) is defined such that  $L^{u}(k) = 1$  and  $\mathbf{r}_{1}^{O_{1}}$ represents the absolute position vector of the hinge point  $O_{1}$  in  $B_{1}$ ;  $\mathbf{x}_{L(s)}^{P_{s}}$  and  ${}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{L(s)}^{P_{s}}$  refer, respectively, to the position vector and the elastic translation of hinge point  $P_{s}$  in body  $B_{L(s)}$ ; and  $\xi_{s}$  is the relative translational displacement of the sth body to the L(s)th body.

Differentiating Equation (2.32) with respect to time yields the linear velocity of the *i*th node in body  $B_k$  as

$$\mathbf{v}_{k}^{i} = \mathbf{v}_{k}^{O_{k}} + \omega_{k} \times \left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}\right) + {}^{T}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{k}^{i}$$
(2.34)

As in the case of angular velocity, the concept of partial velocity is also introduced here:

$$\mathbf{v}_{k}^{O_{k}} = \sum_{m=1}^{NS} \mathbf{V}_{km}^{O_{k}} y_{m}$$
 (2.35)

$$\mathbf{v}_k^i = \sum_{m=1}^{NS} \mathbf{V}_{km}^i y_m \tag{2.36}$$

$${}^{T}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{k}^{i} = \sum_{m=1}^{NS} {}^{T}\mathbf{U}_{km}^{i} y_{m}$$
(2.37)

where  $\mathbf{V}_{km}^{O_k}$  and  $\mathbf{V}_{km}^i$  represent the *m*th partial velocities of paticales  $O_k$  and *i* in body  $B_k$ , respectively;  ${}^{T}\mathbf{U}_{km}^i$  represents the partial elastic translational velocity of *i*th node in body  $B_k$ , and  $y_m$  the generalized speeds.

The velocity vector,  $\mathbf{v}_k^i$  can then be compactly expressed in terms of generalized speeds,  $y_m$  as

$$\mathbf{v}_{k}^{i} = \sum_{m=1}^{NS} \left[ \mathbf{V}_{km}^{O_{k}} + \mathbf{\Omega}_{km} \times \left( \mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i} \right) + {}^{T}\mathbf{U}_{km}^{i} \right] y_{m}$$
(2.38)

19

### 2.3.5 Angular Acceleration

The angular acceleration of the *i*th node in body  $B_k$ , in terms of generalized speeds and accelerations,  $y_m$  and  $\dot{y}_m$ , may be given by differentiating Equation (2.31)

$$\alpha_{k}^{i} = \sum_{m=1}^{NS} \left( \dot{\Omega}_{km} + {}^{R} \dot{\mathbf{U}}_{km}^{i} \right) y_{m} + \sum_{m=1}^{NS} \left( \Omega_{km} + {}^{R} \mathbf{U}_{km}^{i} \right) \dot{y}_{m} \quad (2.39)$$

#### 2.3.6 Linear Acceleration

Differentiating Equation (2.38) yields the linear acceleration of the *i* th node in body  $B_k$ , in terms of generalized speeds and accelerations,  $y_m$  and  $\dot{y}_m$ :

$$\mathbf{a}_{k}^{i} = \sum_{m=1}^{NS} \left[ \dot{\mathbf{V}}_{km}^{O_{k}} y_{m} + \mathbf{V}_{km}^{O_{k}} \dot{y}_{m} + \left( \dot{\Omega}_{km} y_{m} + \Omega_{km} \dot{y}_{m} \right) \times \left( \mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {}^{T} \mathbf{u}_{k}^{i} \right) \right] \\ + \left( \sum_{m=1}^{NS} \Omega_{km} y_{m} \right) \times \left( \sum_{m=1}^{NS} \Omega_{km} y_{m} \right) \times \left( \mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {}^{T} \mathbf{u}_{k}^{i} \right) \\ + 2 \left( \sum_{m=1}^{NS} \Omega_{km} y_{m} \right) \times \left( \sum_{m=1}^{NS} {}^{T} \mathbf{U}_{km}^{i} y_{m} \right) \\ + \left( \sum_{m=1}^{NS} {}^{T} \mathbf{U}_{km}^{i} \dot{y}_{m} \right)$$
(2.40)

Equation (2.40) can also be put into a familiar form by an appropriate grouping of the various terms, so that the acceleration components become more recognizable. That is,

$$\mathbf{a}_{k}^{i} = \mathbf{a}_{k}^{O_{k}} + \alpha_{k} \times \left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}\right) + \omega_{k} \times \omega_{k} \times \left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}\right) + 2\omega_{k} \times {}^{T}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{k}^{i} + {}^{T}\ddot{\mathbf{u}}_{k}^{i}$$

$$(2.41)$$

in which the first term represents the acceleration of the reference frame at hinge point  $O_k$ , the second term is the tangential acceleration, the third term is the centrifugal acceleration, the fourth term represents the Coriolis acceleration and the last term is due to the elastic deformation. Obviously this last term, along with the other elastic terms, vanish for the case of rigid body dynamics. Note that Equation (2.41) can also be derived by directly differentiating Equation (2.34).

# Chapter 3

# Differential Equations of Motion

# 3.1 Introduction

Dynamical equations of motion for multibody systems can be derived by Newton-Euler method or by Lagrangian method. The equations obtained from the Newton-Euler formulation include the constraint forces acting between adjacent bodies. Thus, additional arithmetic operations are required to eliminate these terms and obtain explicit relations between the joint torques and the resultant motion in terms of joint displacements. In the Lagrangian formulation the system's dynamic behaviour is described in terms of work and energy using generalized coordinates. The method adopted here is called Kane's method of generalized speed which combines the computational advantages of both Newton-Euler and Lagrangian formulations in that the nonworking constraint forces and torques are automatically eliminated and tedious differentiation of the scalar energy functions is avoided. The dimension of the resulting equations is thus minimum.

In order to apply Kane's method to flexible multibody systems, a flexible body is discretized into a system of particles, using a lumped mass finite element approach.

A brief review of Kane's method is given in the second section. The third section presents the derivation of equations of motion for open loop flexible multibody system. The basic parts needed for the construction of Kane's dynamical equations, namely generalized inertia forces and generalized active forces, are derived in two subsections, respectively. An extension to apply Kane's equation to the closed loop multibody system is given in the fourth section.

### **3.2** On Kane's Equations

Given a system S possessing n degrees of freedom in a Newtonian reference frame R, and composed of N particles,  $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_N$  having masses  $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_N$ , respectively. Consider one of the particles,  $P_i$  and let  $\mathbf{a}_i$  be the acceleration of  $P_i$  in inertia reference frame R,  $\mathbf{R}_i$  be the resultant of all contact and body forces acting on  $P_i$ . Then in accordance with d'Alembert's principle we have

$$\mathbf{R}_i + \mathbf{R}_i^* = 0$$
  $(i = 1, 2, ..., N)$  (3.1)

where  $\mathbf{R}_{i}^{*}$  is the inertia force for  $P_{i}$  in R, which is defined as

$$\mathbf{R}_{i}^{*} = -m_{i} \, \mathbf{a}_{i} \qquad (i = 1, \ 2, \ \dots, \ N)$$
 (3.2)

Dot-multiplication of Equation (3.1) with  $\mathbf{V}_m^{P_i}$ , the *m*th partial velocity of  $P_i$  in R, yields

$$\mathbf{V}_m^{P_i} \bullet \mathbf{R}_i + \mathbf{V}_m^{P_i} \bullet \mathbf{R}_i^* = 0$$
(3.3)

where m = 1, 2, ..., n; i = 1, 2, ..., N. Summing over all particles of S, it can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{V}_{m}^{P_{i}} \bullet \mathbf{R}_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{V}_{m}^{P_{i}} \bullet \mathbf{R}_{i}^{*} = 0$$
(3.4)

where  $m = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ . Define

$$F_m = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{V}_m^{P_i} \bullet \mathbf{R}_i \qquad (m = 1, 2, ..., n) \qquad (3.5)$$

and

$$F_m^* = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{V}_m^{P_i} \bullet \mathbf{R}_i^* \qquad (m = 1, 2, ..., n) \qquad (3.6)$$

where  $F_r$  and  $F_r^*$  are called generalized active forces and generalized inertia forces for S in R, respectively. Then Equation (3.4) becomes

$$F_m + F_m^* = 0$$
  $(m = 1, 2, ..., n)$  (3.7)

These equations are called Lagrange's form of d'Alembert's principle or Kane's dynamical equations.

# **3.3** Open Loop Multibody System

#### 3.3.1 Generalized Active Forces

For the discretized multibody system associated with the proposed lumped finite element model, the generalized active forces may expressed as

$$F_m = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{NP_k} \mathbf{V}_{km}^i \bullet \mathbf{F}_k^i$$
(3.8)

where  $\mathbf{F}_{m}^{i}$  is the resultant force acting on *i*th node, and m = 1, 2, ..., NS.

Substituting partial velocity  $\mathbf{V}_{km}^{i}$  given by Equation (2.38) into Equation (3.8) yields

$$F_m = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{NP_k} \left[ \mathbf{V}_{km}^{O_k} + \mathbf{\Omega}_{km} \times (\mathbf{x}_k^i + {}^{T}\mathbf{u}_k^i) + {}^{T}\mathbf{U}_{km}^i \right] \bullet \mathbf{F}_k^i$$
(3.9)

Define  $\mathbf{F}_k$  be the resultant working forces applied at the hinge point  $O_k$ and  $\mathbf{M}_k^{O_k}$  be the resultant moment of working forces about  $O_k$  in  $B_k$ . The following relationship are valid:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{NP_k} \mathbf{V}_{km}^{O_k} \bullet \mathbf{F}_k^i = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \mathbf{V}_{km}^{O_k} \bullet \mathbf{F}_k$$
(3.10)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{NP_k} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{km} \times (\mathbf{x}_k^i + {}^{T}\mathbf{u}_k^i) \bullet \mathbf{F}_k^i = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{km} \bullet \mathbf{M}_k^{O_k}$$
(3.11)

Then divide the resultant force at *i*th node,  $\mathbf{F}_{k}^{i}$  into two parts: external force  $\mathbf{P}_{k}^{i(e)}$  and internal elastic force  $\mathbf{P}_{k}^{i(i)}$  at the *i*th node for the discretized bodies. That is

$$\mathbf{F}_k^i = \mathbf{P}_k^{i(e)} + \mathbf{P}_k^{i(i)} \tag{3.12}$$
where the internal elastic force  $\mathbf{P}_{k}^{i(i)}$  may be obtained by the product of the generalized stiffness matrix and the vector of the generalized coordinates.

Finally, the active forces of the system,  $F_m$  may be written as

$$F_m = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left[ \mathbf{V}_{km}^{O_k} \bullet \mathbf{F}_k + \mathbf{\Omega}_{km} \bullet \mathbf{M}_k^{O_k} + \sum_{k=1}^{NP_k} {}^{T} \mathbf{U}_{km}^{i} \bullet \left( \mathbf{P}_k^{i(e)} + \mathbf{P}_k^{i(i)} \right) \right] (3.13)$$

where the first two terms represent rigid body dynamics, while the last term is due to the elastic deformations of the flexible bodies.

#### 3.3.2 Generalized Inertia Forces

The generalized inertia forces,  $F_m^*$  for the discretized system, may be given by

$$F_m^* = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^{NP_k} \mathbf{V}_{km}^i \bullet m_k^i \mathbf{a}_k^i$$
(3.14)

Substituting the acceleration and partial velocity terms given by Equations (2.41) and (2.38) respectively, into Equation (3.14) yields,

$$F_{m}^{*} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{NP_{k}} m_{k}^{i} \left[ \mathbf{a}_{k}^{O_{k}} + \alpha_{k} \times \left( \mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i} \right) + \omega_{k} \times \omega_{k} \times \left( \mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i} \right) + 2\omega_{k} \times {}^{T}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{k}^{i} + {}^{T}\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{k}^{i} \right]$$

$$\bullet \left[ \mathbf{V}_{km}^{O_{k}} + \Omega_{km} \times \left( \mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i} \right) + {}^{T}\mathbf{U}_{km}^{i} \right] \qquad (3.15)$$

To facilitate numerical computations, the equations of motion given in Equation (3.7) are rearranged into a system of first-order differential equations for an explicit formulation of the problem, namely:

$$\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \mathbf{b}_{mp} \ \dot{y}_p = f_m, \qquad m = 1, 2, \dots, NS$$
$$\dot{q}_p = y_p, \qquad p = 1, 2, \dots, NS \qquad (3.16)$$

where  $y_p$  is a vector of generalized speeds,  $\mathbf{b}_{mp}$  is the generalized mass matrix, and  $f_m$  is the generalized load vector. Complete expression for these two terms are given in Appendix B.

These differential equations were solved using DGEAR routine available in the IMSL (International Mathematical and Statistical Library) package.

### 3.4 Closed Loop Multibody System

So far, the formulation is applicable only to the analysis of a flexible multibody system with an open loop chain configuration. To extend the technique to handle a closed kinematic chain, the equations of motion given by Equation (3.7) must be suitably modified. Singh and Likins (1985), Wampler, Buffinton and Shu-hui (1985), Wang and Huston (1987) and Amirouche and Huston (1988) have presented alternative schemes to construct these constrained multibody system equations for Kane's theory. In another work on constrained systems, Shabana (1985) discussed the effects of consistent, lumped and hybrid mass modelling of inertia properties of flexible components that exhibit large angular rotations. Bakr and Shabana (1986) analysed the dynamics of flexible constrained multibody systems that undergo large deformations. In both studies, the equations of motion are formulated using the Lagrange's equation and the dependent coordinates are eliminated through the use of constraint equations. Several techniques are available for introducing these constraint equations into the differential equations of motion. They include for example, the Lagrange's multipliers method, the penalty function method, and in the approach given here, a direct substitution method is employed. This procedure appears to be conveniently suited for use in Kane's theory, and it involves cutting open a closed loop system at suitable hinges, into one or more open loop structures. The equations of motion for these open loop chains are derived and constraint equations are then directly substituted into them, yielding the equations of motion for the original closed loop system. An outline of this modification is summarized next.

Assume the number of additional independent constraints being introduced into the open loop system is NC, then the degrees of freedom for the closed loop system, NS' is given by

$$NS' = NS - NC \tag{3.17}$$

where NS is the degrees of freedom for the corresponding open loop system.

Constraint equations can be obtained through the use of loop closure equations and when differentiated in time, yield expressions involving generalized speeds. Wampler *et al.* have shown that if the constraint equations after time differentiated, are assumed linear, then the following relationship is also valid:

$$\dot{q}_m = \sum_{n=1}^{NS'} \mathbf{A}_{mn} \, \dot{q}'_n \, + \, B_m$$
 (3.18)

where  $\dot{q}_m$  represents the generalized speeds of the open loop system,  $\dot{q}'_n$  represents the generalized speeds of the closed loop system and  $\mathbf{A}_{mn}$ ,  $B_m$  are

functions of generalized coordinates and time. Recognizing that the squarebracket term on the right-hand side of Equation (2.38) is the partial velocity term, namely,

$$\mathbf{v}_k^i = \sum_{m=1}^{NS} \mathbf{V}_{km}^i \, \dot{q}_m \tag{3.19}$$

we have after substituting from Equation (3.18),

$$\mathbf{v}_{k}^{i} = \sum_{m=1}^{NS} \mathbf{V}_{km}^{i} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{NS'} \mathbf{A}_{mn} \dot{q}_{n}^{\prime} + B_{m} \right)$$
(3.20)

Observe in Equation (3.20) that the partial velocity for the closed loop system is now expressed in terms of product the partial velocity for the open loop system and the matrix,  $\mathbf{A}_{mn}$ . That is,

$$\mathbf{V}_{kn}^{\prime i} = \sum_{m=1}^{NS} \mathbf{V}_{km}^{i} \mathbf{A}_{mn}$$
(3.21)

The generalized active and inertia forces for the closed loop system are now given by the dot product of their respective force components and the partial velocity for the closed loop system:

$$F'_{n} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{NP_{k}} \left( \mathbf{F}_{k}^{i} + \mathbf{F}_{k}^{\prime i} \right) \bullet \mathbf{V}_{kn}^{\prime i}$$
(3.22)

$$F_n^{\prime*} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{NP_k} m_k^i \mathbf{a}_k^i \bullet \mathbf{V}_{kn}^{\prime i}$$
(3.23)

where  $\mathbf{F}_{k}^{\prime i}$  represents the additional forces due to constraints. The equations of motion for a closed loop system are thus given by,

$$F'_n + F'_n = 0,$$
  $n = 1, 2, \dots, NS'$  (3.24)

A more useful form of Equation (3.24) is to relate it to the terms given in open loop equations. In this way, it can be immediately seen that the equations of motion for a closed loop system are simply a recombination of the open loop equations and the constraint equations, and the computer program can then be adjusted with minimum alterations. Hence, we have,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{NS} \mathbf{A}_{mn} \left( F_m + F_m^* + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{NP_k} \mathbf{F}_k^{\prime i} \bullet \mathbf{V}_{km}^i \right) = 0$$
(3.25)

# Chapter 4 Results and Discussion

#### 4.1 Introduction

In order to illustrate the accuracy and versatility of the proposed technique and the program DAFMS (Dynamic Analysis of Flexible Multibody Systems), three flexible multibody examples with analytical or published solutions are solved and presented for comparison. Both rigid body and flexible body motions are shown. Very good agreement with the known solutions are obtained.

#### 4.2 An Elastic Simple Pendulum

Figure 4.1 shows a two-degree-of-freedom system comprised of a weightless, linear spring and a particle, with the spring being free to rotate about a horizontal axis. This is the simplest example of the flexible multibody systems (N = 1, NS = 2), and its exact solution is available.

The equations of motion for this two-degree-of-freedom system as given



Figure 4.1: An elastic simple pendulum

in Kane and Kahn (1968) are

$$\ddot{q}_1 + \omega_1^2 q_1 - (1+q_1)\dot{q}_2^2 + \omega_2^2(1-\cos q_2) = 0$$
  
$$\ddot{q}_2 + \frac{2}{1+q_1}\dot{q}_1 \dot{q}_2 + \omega_2^2 \frac{1}{1+q_1}\sin q_2 = 0$$
(4.1)

where  $\omega_1^2 = k/m$ , and  $\omega_2^2 = g/L$ , g is the gravitational constant,  $q_1 = x/L$  and  $q_2 = \theta$ ; k is the spring constant, L the length of spring in static equilibrium, x the spring displacement and m is the mass of the particle.

Assume  $\omega_1/\omega_2 = 0.5$ , and initial condition to be

$$q_1(0) = 0.1,$$
  $q_2(0) = 0.01$   
 $\dot{q}_1(0) = 0,$   $\dot{q}_2(0) = 0$ 

The numerical integration results are displayed in Figure 4.2. Identical agree-



Figure 4.2: System responses (numerical and analytical solutions coincide)

	$(q_1)_{\max}$	$(q_2)_{\max}$
DAFMS Solution	0.0999	0.1986
Exact	0.0999	0.1986
Kane and Kahn(1968)	0.1000	0.2002

Table 4.1: Comparison of maximum  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ 

ment between the solution given by the program DAFMS and the exact solutions of Equation (4.1) is observed. The maximum values of  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are listed in Table 4.1.

Figure 4.2 shows a very interesting phenomenon. The maximum value of  $\theta$  grows with successive oscillations; the motion eventually becomes almost entirely pendulum like; the  $\theta$ -oscillations then decrease; and this process repeats itself periodically. This phenomenon is called non-linear resonance, which should occur under the selected input values.

### 4.3 A Rotating Rigid Shaft-Flexible Beam Multibody System

In mechanical systems, because of increasing operating speeds and reduced weights, the oscillatory elastic motion becomes a problem in operation. The effect of flexibility on the dynamic behavior of mechanical systems has warranted a good deal of attention. This example investigates the flexural motion of a beam firmly attached to a radially rotating rigid body. This model rep-



Figure 4.3: A rotating rigid shaft-flexible beam multibody system resents a variety of technological problems, such as a high speed-low weight manipulator, a turbine blade, a helicopter rotor, and a space satellite with flexible appendages, etc.

Consider in Figure 4.3, a slender flexible beam firmly attached to a rotating rigid shaft which is subjected to an applied torque, M(t). Because the rotating shaft is driven by the applied torque, its rigid body motion is not known apriori, and the problem therefore consists of solving for both rigid body motion and the flexible beam vibration. It is assumed that the elastic motions have no influence on the rigid body motion, but the vice-versa, the effects of the rigid body motion on the elastic body motions are not neglected. This would result in an uncoupled set of equations, in Equation (3.16), for solving the rigid body motions.

This rotating beam problem has been investigated by several researchers, but for our purpose, comparison with the published results of Yigit, Scott and Ulsoy (1988) will be made here. In their example, they considered a torque pulse loading as shown in *Figure* 4.4. The input parameters used were:

$$EI = 5.50 \text{ N/m}^2$$
,  $\rho = 0.0858 \text{ kg/m}^3$ ,  $L = 0.5 \text{ m}$   
 $a = 0.05 \text{ m}$ ,  $M = \pm 1 \text{ Nm}$   
 $t_1 = 0.05 \text{ s}$ ,  $t_2 = 0.1 \text{s}$ ,  $t_3 = 0.15 \text{ s}$ 

and the moment of inertia ratio,

$$I_{\rm T}/I_{\rm f} = 0.5,$$

where the subscripts r and f refer to the rigid attachment and flexible beam respectively, and the other symbols are defined in Figure 4.3.

The results of the beam tip vibration response and the rigid shaft motion are presented in *Figures* 4.5, 4.6, and 4.7, respectively. The agreement with the analytical solution of Yigit *et al.*, for the elastic vibration is very good. However, it should be pointed out that in their formulation, they employed only a one mode approximation in the analysis. Comparison for the rigid shaft motion is not possible, since their paper did not present results for this response that is computed from the uncoupled set of equations. The rigid



Figure 4.4: A prescribed torque pulse loading (Yigit et al., 1988)



Figure 4.5: Beam tip vibration response

body motions corresponding to angular displacement and angular velocity are shown in *Figures* 4.6 and 4.7. Except for some very small oscillatory residue motion, the shaft comes to a stop at the conclusion of the torque loading.



Figure 4.6: Rigid shaft motion: angular displacement



Figure 4.7: Rigid shaft motion: angular velocity



Figure 4.8: A flexible two-link manipulator

#### 4.4 A Flexible Two-link Manipulator

In terms of speed, weight, power consumption and maneuverability, flexible manipulators are more desirable compared to their rigid-arm counterparts. However, their performance can be severely curtailed by oscillatory vibrations. Clearly, an accurate dynamic analysis of such flexible manipulator systems is required for a proper development of efficient controls to attenuate these undesirable motions.

Figure 4.8 shows a flexible two-link manipulator, in which both links are hanging freely under gravity load, with no torques applied at the joints. This example was taken from Usoro, Nadira and Mahil (1986), who used a Lagrangian finite element approach in their formulation. They presented solutions for the following initial conditions:

$$\theta_1(0) = 0^0, \ \theta_2(0) = 5^0.$$

The model parameters used were:

$$L_1 = L_2 = 1 \text{ m}$$
  
 $I_1 = I_2 = 5 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^4$   
 $m_1 = m_2 = 5 \text{ kg/m}$ 

and

$$E_1 = E_2 = 2.0 \times 10^{11} \text{ N/m}^2$$

The rigid body motions corresponding to  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$  vibrations are depicted in Figure 4.9.

They show the system responses arising from an initial angular perturbation of 5<sup>0</sup> in  $\theta_2$ . They agree very well with the results given in Usoro *et al.* The elastic body motions corresponding to flexural responses at the midpoint and at the tip of each link are also summarized in this figure. As also shown in Usoro *et al.*, two modes of vibrations are observed in each graph. A fast mode which corresponds to the high frequency vibrations, and, superimposed on these vibrations, a relatively slower oscillatory mode. The elastic motions computed here, however, do not quite agree with the results of Usoro *et. al.* For example, the slower oscillatory central and tip vibrations of the first link



Figure 4.9: Simulation results: rigid body and flexible body motions

43

and second link are out-of-phase with each other, as would be expected. But in Usoro et. al.'s solutions, they are in-phase. It is felt that the results presented here are more accurate since this slower oscillatory mode is heavily influenced by the rigid body motions in the two links which, as shown here and as well as in Usoro et. al., are also out-of-phase with each other. Other discrepancies, attributable to differences in modeling, include the amplitude of the slow mode and the frequencies of the fast mode.

These simulation results indicate that a flexible manipulator exhibits high undesirable oscillatory motions, and an accurate dynamic analysis is required for a proper development of efficient controls to attenuate these vibrations.

## Chapter 5

### **Summary and Conclusions**

The dynamics of a general, flexible multibody system using a lumped mass finite element model is presented. The system topology considered here consists of an arbitrary combination of both rigid and flexible bodies, linked together by joints that permit translations and rotations, in a general tree configuration. An extension of the formulation to handle closed loop kinematic chains is also suggested. The equations of motion are derived using Kane's theory of generalized speeds, resulting in a very efficient computer oriented methodology for solving the dynamics of such large mechanical systems. For an explicit formulation of the problem, these dynamical equations are recast into a system of first-order differential equations. Three examples with known solutions were solved for comparison. The first example is an elastic simple pendulum in which analytical results are available. An exact agreement is obtained for this simple example. The second example which also possess analytical solutions, is a flexible beam firmly attached to a rotating rigid shaft. The agreement obtained here was very good. The third example is a flexible two-link manipulator, for which numerical solutions from a Lagrangian finite element formulation are available. Except for the elastic vibrations, very good agreement is obtained for the rigid body motions. It is felt that the elastic solutions computed here are more accurate than those given by the solution of Usoro et. al. As expected, a flexible manipulator exhibits highly undesirable oscillatory motions, and an accurate dynamic analysis is required for a proper development of efficient controls to attenuate these vibrations. In summary, the results from these three examples indicate the method is accurate, efficient and versatile for the analysis of a general, flexible multibody system.

### Bibliography

- Agrawal, O.P. and A. Shabana, A. (1986), 'Application of Deformable-Body Mean Axis to Flexible Multibody System Dynamics', Comp. Meth. Appl. Mech. Eng., 56, 217-245.
- Amirouche, F.M.L. and Huston, R.L. (1988), 'Dynamics of Large Constrained Flexible Structures', ASME J. Dynamic Systems, Measurement & Control, 110, 78-83.
- Bakr, E.M. and Shabana, A. (1986), 'Geometrically Nonlinear Analysis of Multibody Systems', Computers & Structures, 23, 739-751.
- Bodley, C.S. and Park, A.C. (1972), 'The Influence of Structural Flexibility on the Dynamic Response of Spinning Spacecraft', AIAA Paper 72-348.
- Book, W.J. (1984), 'Recursive Lagrangian Dynamics of Flexible Manipulator Arms', Int. J. Robotics Res., 3, 87-101.
- Changizi and Shabana, A. (1988), 'A Recursive Formulation for the Dynamic Analysis of Open Loop Deformable Multibody Systems', ASME J. Appl. Mech., 55, 687-693.

- Cleghorn, W.L., Fenton R.G. and Tabarrok, B. (1981), 'Finite Element Analysis of High-Speed Flexible Mechanisms', J. Mech. Mach. Theory, 16, 406-424.
- Dubowsky, S. and Maatuk, J. (1975), 'The Dynamics Analysis of Spatial Mechanisms', Proc. IV World Congr. Mach. Mech., University of Newcastle upon Tyne, England, 927-932.
- Gupta, V.K. (1972), 'Dynamics Analysis of Multi-Rigid-Body Systems', ASME J. Engineering for Industry, 96, 886-892.
- Hablani, H.B. (1982), 'Constrained and Unconstrained Modes; Some Modeling Aspects of Flexible Spacecrafts', J. Guidance, Control & Dynamics, 5, 164-173.
- Han, R.P.S. and Zhao, Z.C. (1989), 'Vibration of Multibody System', Proceedings of International Conference of Sound and Vibration, Singapore.
- Han, R.P.S. and Zhao, Z.C. (1990), 'Dynamics of General Flexible Multibody Systems', Int. J. Numerical Methods in Engineering, 30, 77-97.
- Han, R.P.S. and Zhao, Z.C. (1990), 'General Flexible Multibody Dynamics: Open and Closed Loop Systems', Proceedings of International Conference of Vibration, China.

- Ho, J.Y.L. (1977), 'Direct Path Method for Flexible Multibody Spacecraft Dynamics', J. Spacecraft & Rockets, 14, 102-110.
- Ho, J.Y.L. and Herber, D.R. (1985), 'Development of Dynamics and Control Simulation of Large Flexible Space Systems', J. Guidance, Control & Dynamics, 8, 374-383.
- Hooker, W.W. and Margulies, G. (1965), 'Dynamical Attitude Equations for an n-Body Satellite', J. Astronautical Sciences, 12, 123-128.
- Hooker, W.W. (1975), 'Equations of Motion for Interconnected Rigid and Elastic Bodies', *Celestial Mechanics*, **11**, 337-359.
- Hughes, P.C. (1979), 'Dynamics of a Chain of Flexible Bodies', J. Astronautical Sciences, XXVII, 359-380.
- Huston, R.L., Passerello, C.E. and Harlow, M.W. (1978), 'Dynamics of Multirigid-Body Systems', ASME J. Appl. Mech., 45, 889-894 (1978).
- Huston, R.L. and Passerello, C. (1979), 'On Multi-Rigid-Body System Dynamics', Computers & Structures, 10, 439-446.
- Huston, R.L. and Passerello, C. (1980), 'Multibody Structured Dynamics Including Translation Between the Bodies', Computers & Structures, 12, 713-720.

- Huston, R.L. (1981), 'Multi-Body Dynamics Including the Effects of Flexibility and Compliance, Computers & Structures, 14, 443-451.
- Jandrasits, W.G. and Lowen, G.G. (1979), 'The Elastic-Dynamic Behavior of a Counterweighted Rocker Link with an Overhanging Endmass in a Four-Bar Linkage, Part I: Theory', ASME J. Mech. Design, 101, 77-88.
- Jerkovsky, W. (1978), 'The Structure of Multibody Dynamics Equations', J. Guidance & Control, 1, 173-182.
- Kane, T.R. and Wang, C.F. (1965), 'On the Derivation of Equations of Motion', SIAM J. Applied Mathematics, 13.

Kane, T.R. (1968) 'Dynamics', Holt, Rinehart & Winston, New York.

- Kane, T.R. and Kahn, M.E. (1968), 'On a Class of Two-Degree-of-Freedom Oscillations', ASME J. Appl. Mech., 35, 547-552.
- Kane, T.R. and Levinson, D.A. (1980), 'Formulation of Equations of Motion for Complex Spacecraft', J. Guidance & Control, 3, 99-112.
- Kane, T.R., Likins, P.W. and Levinson, D.A. (1983a), Spacecraft Dynamics, New York, McGraw-Hill.
- Kane, T.R. and Levinson, D.A. (1983b), 'Multibody Dynamics', ASMEJ. Appl. Mech., 50, 1071-1078.
- Kane, T.R. and Levinson, D.A. (1983c), 'The Use of Kane's Dynamical Equations in Robotics', Int. J. of Robotics Research, 3, 3-21.

- Kane, T.R. and Ryan, R.R. (1987), 'Dynamics of a Cantiliever Beam Attached to a Moving Base', J. Guidance, Control & Dynamics, 10, 139-151.
- Kim, S.S. and Vanderploeg, M.J. (1986), 'A General and Efficient Method for Dynamic Analysis of Mechanical Systems using Velocity Transformations', ASME J. Mech. Trans. & Auto. Des., 108, 176-184.
- Kim, S.S. and Haug, E.J. (1988), 'A Recursive Formulation for Flexible Multibody Dynamics, Part I: Open-Loop Systems', Comp. Meth. Appl. Mech. Eng., 71, 293-314.
- Kohli, D. and Sandor, G.N. (1975), 'Lumped Parameter Approach for Kineto-Elastodynamic Analysis of Elastic Spatial Mechanisms', *Proc. IV World Congr. Mach. Mech.*, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, England.
- Kohli, D., Hunter, D. and Sandor, G.N. (1977), 'Elastodynamic Analysis of a Completely Elastic Systems', ASME J. Eng. Ind., 99, 604-609.
- Kulla, P. (1972), Dynamics of Spinning Bodies Containing Elastic Rods', J. Spacecraft & Rockets, 9, 246-253.
- Lee, J.D. and Wang, B. (1988), 'Dynamic Equations for a Two-Link Flexible Robot Arm', Computers & Structures, 29, 469-477.

- Levinson, D.A. (1977), ' Equations of Motion for Multiple-Rigid Body Systems via Symbolic Manipulation', J. Spacecraft & Rockets, 14, 479-487.
- Likins, P.W. (1970), 'Dynamic Analysis of a System of Hinge-Connected Rigid Bodies with Non-Rigid Appendages', Int. J. Solids & Structures, 9, 1473-1487.
- Likins, P.W., Barbera, F.J. and Baddeley, V. (1973), 'Mathematical Modeling of Spinning Elastic Bodies for Modal Analysis', AIAA J., 11, 1251-1258.
- Likins, P.W. (1974), 'Analytical Dynamics and Non-Rigid Spacecraft Simulation', JPL TR 32-1593, California Institute of Technology.
- Likins, P.W. (1975), 'Point-Connected Rigid Bodies in a Topological Tree', Celestial Mechanics, 11, 301-317.
- Low, K.H. (1987), 'A Systematic Formulation of Dynamic Equations for Robot Manipulators with Elastic Links', J. Robotic Systems, 4, 435-456.
- Lowen, G.G. and Chassapis, C. (1986), 'The Elastic Behavior of Linkages: an Update', Mechanism and Machine Theory, **21**, 33-42.
- Magnus, K. (1978), 'Dynamics of Multibody Systems', Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

- Midha, A., Erdman, A.G. and Frohrib, D.A. (1978), 'Finite Element Approach to Mathematical Modeling of High Speed Elastic Linkages', J. Mech. Mach. Theory, 13, 603-618.
- Roberson, R.E. and Wittenburg, J. (1966), 'A Dynamical Formalism for an Arbitrary Number of Interconnected Rigid Bodies, with Reference to the Problem of Satellite Attitude Control', Proc. Third Congress IFAC, Butterworth, London, 46D.1-46D.8.
- Roberson, R.E. (1972), 'A Form of the Translational Dynamical Equations for Relative Motion in Systems of Many Non-Rigid Bodies', Acta Mechanica, 14, 297-308.
- Sadler, J.P. and Sandor, G.N. (1973), 'A lumped Parameter Approach to Vibration and Stress Analysis of Elastic Linkages', ASME J. Eng. Ind., 95, 549-557.
- Sadler, J.P. (1975), 'On the Analytical lumped-Mass Model of an Elastic Four-Bar Mechanism', ASME J. Eng. Ind., 97, 561-565.
- Shabana, A.A. (1985), 'Automated Analysis of Constrained Systems of Rigid and Flexible Bodies', ASME J. Vibr. Acou. Str. & Rel. Des., 107, 431-439.
- Shabana, A. (1986), 'Dynamics of Inertia-Variant Flexible Systems using Experimentally Identified Parameters', ASME J. Mech. Trans. & Auto. Des., 108, 358-36.

- Singh, R.P., VanderVoort, R.J. and Likins, P.W. (1985), 'Dynamics of Flexible Bodies in Tree Topology - A Computer-Oriented Approach', AIAA J. Guidance, 8, 584-590.
- Singh, R.P. and Likins, P.W. (1985), 'Singular Value Decomposition for Constrained Dynamical Systems', ASME J. Appl. Mech., 52, 943-948.
- Sunada, W.H. and Dubowsky, S. (1983), 'On the Dynamic Analysis and Behavior of Industrial Robotic Manipulator with Elastic Members', ASME J. Mech. Trans. & Auto. Des., 105, 42-51.
- Thompson, B.S. and Barr, A.D.S. (1976), 'A Variational Principle for the Elastodynamic Motion of Planar Linkages', ASME J. Eng. Ind., 98, 541-548.
- Turcic, D.A. and Midha, A. (1984), 'Generalized Equations of Motion for the Dynamic Analysis of Elastic Mechanism Systems', J. Dyn. Sys. Meas. Cont., 106, 243-248.
- Usoro, P.B., Nadira, R and Mahil, S.S. (1986), 'A Finite Element/Lagrange Approach to Modelling Lightweight Flexible Manipulators', ASME J. Dyn. Sys. Meas. Cont., 108, 198-205.
- Yigit, A., Scott, R.A. and Ulsoy, A.G. (1988), 'Flexural Motion of a
  Radially Rotating Beam Attached to a Rigid Body', J. Sound & Vibrations, 121, 201-210.

- Wampler, C., Buffinton, K. and Shu-hui, J. (1985), 'Formulation of Equations of Motion for Systems Subject to Constraints', ASME J. Appl. Mech., 52, 465-470.
- Wang, J.T. and Huston, R.L. (1987), 'Kane's Equations with Undetermined Multipliers - Application to Constrained Multibody Systems', ASME J. Appl. Mech., 54, 424-429 (1987).
- Wittenburg, J. (1977), 'Dynamics of Systems of Rigid Bodies', Teubner, Leitfäden der Angewandten Math. u. Mech., 33, 221-222.

## Appendix A

## Nomenclature

$\mathbf{a}_k^i$	Acceleration vector of node $i$ in body $B_k$
$\mathbf{A}_{mn}, B_m$	Functions of generalized coordinates and time
$\mathbf{b}_{mp}$	Generalized mass matrix
$F_m, F_m^*$	Generalized active and inertia forces for unconstrained systems
$F'_m, F'^*_m$	Generalized active and inertia forces for constrained systems
$\mathbf{F}_k$	Total working forces in body $B_k$
$f_m$	Generalized load vector
${\cal I}_k^{O_k}$	Inertia dyadic of rigid body
L(k)	Body connection array
$m_k,m_k^i$	Mass of body $B_k$ and node $i$ in body $B_k$ respectively
$M_k^{O_k}$	Moment of working forces about hinge point, $O_k$ in body $B_k$
$\mathcal{M}_k,\mathcal{N}_k$	Inertia dyadics of flexible body
N	Number of bodies in the system

NC	Number of independent constraints
$ND_k$	Number of deformational degrees of freedom in body $B_k$
$NN_k$	Nodal degrees of freedom in body $B_k$
$NN_k^T, NN_k^R$	Nodal translational and rotational degrees of freedom in body $B_k$
$NP_k$	Number of nodes in body $B_k$
$NR_k$	Number of rotational degrees of freedom in body $B_k$
NS	Total number of degrees of freedom for unconstrained systems
NS'	Total number of degrees of freedom for constrained systems
$NT_k$	Number of translational degrees of freedom in body $B_k$
$P_k^i,Q_k^i$	External and internal forces at node $i$ in body $B_k$
$q_m,\dot{q}_m,\ddot{q}_m$	Generalized coordinates, speeds and accelerations
$\mathbf{r}_k^i$	Absolute position vector of node $i$ in body $B_k$ after deformation
$\mathbf{r}_k^{O_k}$	Absolute position vector of hinge point $O_k$ in body $B_k$
$\mathbf{x}_k^i$	Relative position vector from the frame at hinge point $O^k$
	to the $i^{th}$ node
$^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i},\ ^{R}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}$	Elastic translational and rotational nodal displacement vectors
$^{T}\mathbf{U}_{km}^{i},^{R}\mathbf{U}_{km}^{i}$	Partial elastic translational and rotational vectors
	of node $i$ in body $B_k$
$\mathbf{v}_k^i$	Linear velocity of node $i$ in body $B_k$
$\mathbf{v}_k^{O_k}$	Linear velocity of hinge point $O_k$ in body $B_k$

ss, ₹

5

$\mathbf{V}_{km}^{O_k},\mathbf{V}_{km}^i$	Partial velocity in body $B_k$ for unconstrained systems
$\mathbf{V}_{km}^{\prime i}$	Partial velocity in body $B_k$ for constrained systems
$y_m$	Vector of generalized speeds
$lpha_k^i$	Angular acceleration vector of node $i$ in body $B_k$
$\omega_k^i$	Angular velocity vector of node $i$ in body $B_k$
$\Omega_{km}$	Partial angular velocity in body $B_k$
$arphi_k$	Relative orientation vector of the $k^{\text{th}}$ body
$\xi_k$	Relative translational displacement vector of the $k^{th}$ body

### Appendix B

### Explicit Expressions for the Generalized Mass Matrix and Generalized Load Vector

The generalized mass matrix is obtained by substituting Equation (18) into Equation (25) and simplifying yields,

$$\mathbf{b}_{mp} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left[ m_k \mathbf{V}_{kp}^{O_k} \bullet \mathbf{V}_{km}^{O_k} + \left( \mathcal{I}_k^{O_k} + \mathcal{N}_k + \mathcal{M}_k \right) \bullet \Omega_{kp} \bullet \Omega_{km} \right] \\ + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{NP_k} m_k^i \left[ \left( \mathbf{x}_k^i + {}^{T} \mathbf{u}_k^i \right) \times \left( \mathbf{V}_{kp}^{O_k} + {}^{T} \mathbf{U}_{kp}^i \right) \bullet \Omega_{km} \right. \\ + \left( \mathbf{x}_k^i + {}^{T} \mathbf{u}_k^i \right) \times \left( \mathbf{V}_{km}^{O_k} + {}^{T} \mathbf{U}_{km}^i \right) \bullet \Omega_{kp} \\ + \mathbf{V}_{km}^{O_k} \bullet {}^{T} \mathbf{U}_{kp}^i + \mathbf{V}_{kp}^{O_k} \bullet {}^{T} \mathbf{U}_{km}^i \\ + \left. \mathbf{u}_k^i \times \left( \Omega_{kp} \times {}^{T} \mathbf{u}_k^i \right) \bullet \Omega_{km} + {}^{T} \mathbf{U}_{km}^i \bullet {}^{T} \mathbf{U}_{kp}^i \right]$$
(B.1)

where  $\mathcal{I}_k^{O_k}$  is the inertia dyadic of the rigid body, and  $\mathcal{N}_k$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_k$  are the inertia dyadics of the flexible body. These dyadic quantities are given by,

$$\mathcal{I}_{k}^{O_{k}} = \sum_{i=1}^{NP_{k}} m_{k}^{i} \left( \mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} \bullet \mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} \mathbf{U} - \mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} \mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} \right)$$
$$\mathcal{N}_{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{NP_{k}} m_{k}^{i} \left( \mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} \bullet {}^{T} \mathbf{u}_{k}^{i} \mathbf{U} - \mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} {}^{T} \mathbf{u}_{k}^{i} \right)$$
$$\mathcal{M}_{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{NP_{k}} m_{k}^{i} \left( {}^{T} \mathbf{u}_{k}^{i} \bullet \mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} \mathbf{U} - {}^{T} \mathbf{u}_{k}^{i} \mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} \right)$$
(B.2)

in which **U** is the unit dyadic.

Equations (2.40,3.13,3.15) are used to derive the generalized load vector,  $f_m$ . which after simplifications becomes:

$$f_m = F_m - f_m^* \tag{B.3}$$

where  $f_m^*$  is defined as,

$$f_{m}^{*} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left\{ m_{k} \left( \sum_{p=1}^{NS} \dot{\mathbf{V}}_{kp}^{O_{k}} \dot{q}_{p} \right) \bullet \mathbf{V}_{km}^{O_{k}} + \left( \mathcal{I}_{k}^{O_{k}} + \mathcal{N}_{k} + \mathcal{M}_{k} \right) \bullet \left( \sum_{p=1}^{NS} \dot{\Omega}_{kp} \dot{q}_{p} \right) \bullet \Omega_{km} \right. \\ \left. + \left( \sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p} \right) \times \left[ \left( \mathcal{I}_{k}^{O_{k}} + \mathcal{N}_{k} + \mathcal{M}_{k} \right) \bullet \left( \sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p} \right) \right] \bullet \Omega_{km} \right\} \\ \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{NP_{k}} \left\{ - \left( \mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i} \right) \times \left( \sum_{p=1}^{NS} \dot{\Omega}_{kp} \dot{q}_{p} \right) \bullet \mathbf{V}_{km}^{O_{k}} \right\}$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times \left[\left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times \left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}}\right)\right] \bullet \mathbf{V}_{km}^{O_{k}}$$

$$+ 2\left[\left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times \left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} {^{T}\mathbf{U}_{kp}^{i}} \dot{q}_{p}\right)\right] \bullet \mathbf{V}_{km}^{O_{k}}$$

$$+ 2\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}}\right) \times \left[\left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times \left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} {^{T}\mathbf{U}_{kp}^{i}} \dot{q}_{p}\right)\right] \bullet \Omega_{km}$$

$$+ {^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}} \times \left[\left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \dot{\Omega}_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times {^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}} + \left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times \left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times {^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}}\right] \bullet \Omega_{km}$$

$$+ \left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}}\right) \times \left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \dot{\mathbf{V}}_{kp}^{O_{k}} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \bullet \Omega_{km} + \left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \dot{\mathbf{V}}_{kp}^{O_{k}} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \bullet {^{T}\mathbf{U}_{km}^{i}}$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \dot{\Omega}_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \bullet \left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}}\right) \times {^{T}\mathbf{U}_{km}^{i}}$$

$$+ 2\left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \bullet \left[\left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} {^{T}\mathbf{U}_{kp}^{i}} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times {^{T}\mathbf{U}_{km}^{i}} \right]$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times \left[\left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times \left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}}\right)\right] \bullet {^{T}\mathbf{U}_{km}^{i}} \right]$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times \left[\left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times \left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}}\right)\right] \bullet {^{T}\mathbf{U}_{km}^{i}} \right]$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times \left[\left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times \left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}}\right)\right] \bullet {^{T}\mathbf{U}_{km}^{i}} \right]$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times \left[\left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times \left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}}\right)\right] \bullet {^{T}\mathbf{U}_{km}^{i}} \right]$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times \left[\left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times \left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}}\right)\right] \bullet {^{T}\mathbf{U}_{km}^{i}} \right]$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times \left[\left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times \left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{i} + {^{T}\mathbf{u}_{k}^{i}}\right)\right] \cdot \left[\left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \times \left(\sum_{p=1}^{NS} \Omega_{kp} \dot{q}_{p}\right) \right]$$
# Appendix C

Program DAFMS for Dynamical Analysis of a General Flexible Multibody System

# PROGRAM DAFMS

# DYNAMICAL ANALYSIS OF FLEXIBLE MULTIBODY SYSTEMS

(3-D Formulation)

Programed by

### ZHICHENG ZHAO

September, 1991

## UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA

Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

MAIN PROGRAM DAFMS

C C

С

```
COMMON/ROOM/MR(100000)
     COMMON/PSIZE/MAX
     COMMON/QSIZE/MAXRM
     OPEN(UNIT=5,FILE='FMBD.DAT')
     OPEN(UNIT=6,FILE='FMBD.OUT')
     MAX=100000
     MAXRM=20000
     READ (5,1000) N,NDM
     WRITE(6,2000) N,NDM
     NDMM=3
     IF(NDM.LT.3) NDMM=1
     N1=1
     N2=N1+N
     N3=N2+N*4
     N4=N3+N*4
     N5=N4+N
     N6=N5+N
     CALL QCONTR(MR(N2),MR(N3),MR(N4),MR(N5)
    1
                  ,N,NDM,NDMM)
1000 FORMAT(215)
```

```
2000 FORMAT(5X, '==N==NDM==',2I5)
      STOP
      END
      SUBROUTINE QCONTR(NT,NR,NUMNP,NDF,N,NDM,NDMM)
      LOGICAL PCOMP
      EXTERNAL FCN, FCNJ
C.....FCM, FCMJ
      COMMON M(20000)
      COMMON/ROOM/MR(100000)
      COMMON/QDATA/QO,QHEAD(20), IPR
      COMMON/POINTO/N1,N2,N3,N4,N5,N6
      COMMON/POINTF/NF1,NF2,NF3,NF4,NF5,NF6,NF7,NF8
      COMMON/POINT1/N9,N10,N11,N12,N13,N14,N15,N16,N17,N18,N19,N20
      COMMON/POINT2/N21,N22,N23,N24,N25,NXL,N26,N27,N28,N29
      COMMON/POINT3/NUI,N31,N32,NYL,NYI,NYD,ND1,ND2,ND3
      COMMON/POINT4/N41,N42,N43,N44,N45,N46,N47
      COMMON/POINT5/N51,N52,N53,N54,N55
      COMMON/POINT6/N61,N62,N63,N64
      COMMON/POINT7/N71,N72,N73,N74,N75
      DIMENSION QTITL(20), QWD(3), NT(N,1), NR(N,1), NUMNP(1), NDF(1)
      DATA QWD/4HDFMB,4HSTAR,4HSTOP/
С
C READ A CARD AND COMPARE FIRST 4 COLUMS WITH MACRO LIST
С
 1
      READ(5,1000) QTITL
      IF(PCOMP(QTITL(1),QWD(1))) GOTO 100
      IF(PCOMP(QTITL(1),QWD(2))) GOTO 200
      IF(PCOMP(QTITL(1),QWD(3))) RETURN
     GO TO 1
С
C READ AND PRINT CONTROL INFORMATION
С
 100 DO 101 I=1,20
        QHEAD(I)=QTITL(I)
 101 CONTINUE
     WRITE(6,2000) QHEAD
     DO 103 K=1,N
      READ(5,1001) KK, (NT(K,I), I=1,4)
```

```
WRITE(6,2001) KK, (NT(K,I), I=1,4)
 103 CONTINUE
      DO 105 K=1,N
       READ(5,1001) KK, (NR(K,I),I=1,4)
       WRITE(6,2002) KK, (NR(K,I), I=1,4)
 105 CONTINUE
      READ(5,1001) (NUMNP(K),K=1,N)
      READ(5,1001) (NDF(K),K=1,N)
      WRITE(6,2003) (NUMNP(K),K=1,N)
      WRITE(6,2004) (NDF(K),K=1,N)
      READ(5,1007) IT
      READ(5,1008) H
      WRITE(6,2007) IT
      WRITE(6,2008) H
С
C SET POINTERS FOR ALLOCATION OF DATA ARRAYS
С
      NS=0
      DO 110 K=1,N
       NS=NS+NT(K,1)+NR(K,1)+NUMNP(K)*NDF(K)
 110 CONTINUE
      WRITE(6,2005) NS
      NPMAX=50
      NMMAX=100
      NDFMAX=3
      N1 =1
     N2 = N1 + N
     N3 =N2 +N*4
     N4 =N3 +N*4
     N5 =N4 +N
     N6 =N5 +N
C.....
     NF1=N6 +N+MOD(N6+N, IPR)
     NF2=NF1+N*NPMAX*3*IPR
     NF3=NF2+N*NPMAX*3*IPR
     NF4=NF3+N*NPMAX*IPR
     NF5=NF4+N*IPR
     NF6=NF5+N*NPMAX*NDFMAX*IPR
     NF7=NF6+NMMAX*IPR
```

NF8=NF7+N*NMMAX*NMMAX*IPR				
CFEAP DATA INPUT				
CALL DFIN(MR(N4),MR(N5),MR(NF1),MR(NF2),MR(NF3),MR(NF4),MR(NF5)				
1 MR(NF6), MR(NF7), MR(NF8), N, NS, NPMAX, NMMAX)				
N9 =NF8+(NMMAX*(NMMAX+1)/2)*IPR				
N1O=N9 +N*IPR				
N11=N10+N*3*3*IPR				
N12=N11+N*3*IPR				
N13=N12+N*3*IPR				
N14=N13+N*4*IPR				
N15=N14+N*4*IPR				
N16=N15+N*3*IPR				
N17=N16+N*3*IPR				
N18=N17+N*3*3*IPR				
N19=N18+N*3*3*IPR				
N2O=N19+N*3*3*IPR				
N21=N2O+N*3*NS*IPR				
N22=N21+N*3*NS*IPR				
N23=N22+N*3*NS*IPR				
N24=N23+N*3*3*NS*IPR				
N25=N24+N*3*NS*IPR				
NXL=N25+N*3*IPR				
N26=NXL+NS*IPR				
N27=N26+N*NPMAX*3*NS*IPR				
N28=N27+3*NS*IPR				
N29=N28+N*3*IPR				
NUI=N29+3*NS*IPR				
N31=NUI+N*NPMAX*3				
N32=N31+N*3*3*IPR				
NYL=N32+N*3*3*IPR				
NYI=NYL+2*NS*IPR				
NYD=NYI+2*NS*IPR				
C				
C CALL SYSTEM DISCRIPTION INPUT SUBROUTINE TO READ AND PRINT				
C ALL GEOMETRIC AND KINEMETIC INITIAL DATA.				
C				
ND1=NYD+NS*IPR				
ND2=ND1+N*IPR				
ND3=ND2+N*IPR				

```
CALL TSYSIN(MR(N1),MR(N6),MR(N9),MR(N10),MR(N11),MR(N12),
```

1 MR(N13), MR(N14), MR(NXL), MR(NYL), MR(N15), MR(N16), MR(N28),

```
1 MR(ND1), MR(ND2), MR(ND3), N, NS, NDM, NDMM)
```

GO TO 1

200 CONTINUE N41=ND3+N\*IPR N42=N41+N\*3\*3\*IPR N43=N42+N\*3\*3\*IPR N44=N43+N\*3\*3\*IPR N45=N44+N\*3\*NS\*IPR N46=N45+N\*3\*3\*NS\*IPR N47=N46+N\*3\*IPR N51=N47+N\*NPMAX\*3\*NS\*IPR N52=N51+NS\*NS\*IPR N53=N52+NS\*NS\*IPR N54=N53+NS\*IPR N55=N54+3\*NS\*IPR

> N61=N55+3\*NS\*IPR N62=N61+NS\*IPR N63=N62+NS\*IPR N64=N63+NS\*IPR N71=N64+NS\*IPR N72=N71+NS\*IPR N73=N72+NS\*IPR N74=N73+NS\*IPR N75=N74+NS\*IPR CALL CDGEAR(MR(NYL), MR(NYI), N, NS) GO TO 1

С С

INPUT/OUTPUT FORMATS

С

1000 FORMAT (20A4) 1001 FORMAT (1015) 1007 FORMAT (15) 1008 FORMAT (F10.5) 2000 FORMAT (20A4) 2001 FORMAT (5X, 30HNUMBER OF DOF OF TRANSLATION =, 1015) 2002 FORMAT (5X, 30HNUMBER OF DOF OF ROTATION =, 10I5)

```
2003 FORMAT (5X, 30HNUMBER OF NODES IN BODY K
                                              =,1015)
 2004 FORMAT (5X, 30HNUMBER OF DOF OF NODE IN BK =, 1015)
 2005 FORMAT (5X, 30HDOF OF THE SYSTEM
                                              =,10I5)
 2006 FORMAT (5X, 30HPOINTERS
                                              =,5I10)
 2007 FORMAT (5X, 30HAMOUNT OF INTEGRAL STEP
                                              =, I5)
 2008 FORMAT (5X, 30HLENGTH OF TIME OF ONE STEP
                                              =,F10.5)
 2011 FORMAT (/5X, '==NUMBER OF INTEGRAL STEP ==', I5, '=='/)
 2015 FORMAT (2X,'===X===',14F8.3)
 5001 FORMAT (2X,'T-Y',7F12.5)
 5002 FORMAT (2X, 'T-X', 7F12.5)
 5003 FORMAT (2X, '-TOL, XEND, H, T, METH, MITER, INDEX', 4F10.5, 315)
 5004 FORMAT (2X, '**Y**', 10F10.5)
      END
      SUBROUTINE TSYSIN(LC,NP,BM,BII,XI,PH,EPT,EPL,X,Y,HF,HM,RC,
     1
                       IDS, F1, TIME, N, NS, NDM, NDMM)
     LOGICAL PRT, ERR, PCOMP
     COMMON/QDATA/QO,QHEAD(20),IPR
     DIMENSION LC(1), BM(1), BII(N, 3, 1), XI(N, 1), NP(1), PH(N, 1), EPT(N, 1),
     1 EPL(N,1),X(1),Y(1),HF(N,1),HM(N,1),RC(N,1),IDS(1),F1(1),
     1 TIME(1), WD(17)
     DATA WD/4HCONN,4HMASS,4HINER,4HXI ,4HQ(k),4HNOFP,4HEULT,
     1
             4HEULL, 4HX(L), 4HY(L), 4HFORC, 4HTOQU,
             4HEND ,4HPRIN,4HNOPR,4HRC(K,4HDESN/ ,LIST/17/,PRT/.TRUE./
     1
С
     С
С
                  READ MULTIBODY SYSTEM INFORMATION
     *
                                                                 *
С
С
      C
C INITIALIZE ARRAYS
С
     ERR=.FALSE.
 10
     READ(5,1000) CC
     DO 20 I=1,LIST
       IF(PCOMP(CC,WD(I))) GO TO 30
20
     CONTINUE
     GO TO 10
```

```
30
      GO TO (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18),I
С
C CONNECTION ARRAY DATA INPUT
С
 1
      READ(5,1001) (LC(K), K=1, N)
      IF(PRT) WRITE(6,2001) QHEAD,(LC(K),K=1,N)
      GO TO 10
С
C MASS OF BODIES DATA INPUT
С
 2
      DO 201 K=1,N
       READ(5,1002) IK,BM(K)
       IF(PRT) WRITE(6,2002) IK,BM(K)
 201 CONTINUE
      GO TO 10
С
C INERTIA PROPETIESABOUT REFERENCE POINT OK DATA BII INPUT
С
 3
      DO 301 K=1,N
        DO 302 I=1,3
        DO 302 M=1,3
          BII(K,I,M)=0.
 302
        CONTINUE
        READ(5,1003) LK, (BII(K,I,I),I=1,3)
        IF(PRT) WRITE(6,2003) LK,(BII(K,I,I),I=1,3)
 301 CONTINUE
      GO TO 10
C
C RELATIVE POSITION VECTOR OF JOINT Pk TO JOINT Hk XI(GIVEN)
С
4
      DO 401 K=1,N
        READ(5,1004) IK, (XI(K,M),M=1,3)
        IF(PRT) WRITE(6,2004) IK,(XI(K,M),M=1,3)
 401 CONTINUE
      GO TO 10
С
C RELATIVE POSITION VECTOR OF JOINT HL(K) TO JOINT PK Qk(FIXED IN BL(k))
С
5
      DO 501 K=1,N
```

```
READ(5,1005) JK, (PH(K,M), M=1,3)
        IF(PRT) WRITE(6,2005) JK,(PH(K,M),M=1,3)
 501 CONTINUE
      GO TO 10
С
C NUMBER OF NODE WHICH THE JOINT POINT P IS IN
С
 6
      DO 601 K=1,N
        READ(5,1006) JK,NP(K)
        IF(PRT) WRITE(6,2006) JK,NP(K)
 601 CONTINUE
      GO TO 10
С
C RELATIVE EULAR PARAMETER EPT OF B(K) TO B(LC(K) INPUT
С
 7
      DO 701 K=1,N
        READ(5,1007) KK, (EPT(K,M), M=1,4)
        IF(PRT) WRITE(6,2007) KK,(EPT(K,M),M=1,4)
 701 CONTINUE
      GO TO 10
С
C DEFORMATION EULAR PARAMETER EPL OF OF HINGE P TO H IN B(K)
С
 8
      DO 801 K=1,N
        READ(5,1008) MK, (EPL(K,M), M=1,4)
        IF(PRT) WRITE(6,2008) MK,(EPL(K,M),M=1,4)
 801 CONTINUE
      GO TO 10
С
C INITIAL VELUE OF UNKNOWN COORDINATES X(L)
С
9
      READ(5,1009) (X(L),L=1,NS)
      IF(PRT) WRITE(6,2009) (X(L),L=1,NS)
      GO TO 10
С
C INITIAL VELUE OF UNKNOWN COORDINATES Y(L)
С
11
      NS2=NS*2
      READ(5,1011) (Y(L),L=1,NS2)
```

```
С
       READ(5,1019) (Y(L),L=1,NS2)
      IF(PRT) WRITE(6,2011) (Y(L),L=1,NS2)
      GO TO 10
С
C FORCES OF HINGE DATA INPUT
С
 12
      DO 1201 K=1,N
        READ(5,1012) KI, (HF(K,M), M=1,3)
        IF(PRT) WRITE(6,2012) KI,(HF(K,M),M=1,3)
 1201 CONTINUE
      GO TO 10
С
C TOQUES OF HINGE DATA INPUT
C
 13
      DO 1301 K=1,N
        READ(5,1013) KJ,(HM(K,M),M=1,3)
        IF(PRT) WRITE(6,2013) KJ,(HM(K,M),M=1,3)
 1301 CONTINUE
      GO TO 10
 14
      RETURN
 15
      PRT= .TRUE.
      GO TO 10
      PRT= .FALSE.
 16
      GO TO 10
С
C POSITION VECTER OF CENTER OF GRAVITY
С
      DO 1701 K=1,N
 17
         READ(5,1017) KM, (RC(K,M), M=1,3)
         IF(PRT) WRITE(6,2017) KM, (RC(K,M),M=1,3)
 1701 CONTINUE
      GO TO 10
С
C DESIGN HM
С
 18
      DO 1801 K=1,N
         READ(5,1018) KM,IDS(K),F1(K),TIME(K)
         IF(PRT) WRITE(6,2018) KM, IDS(K), F1(K), TIME(K)
 1801 CONTINUE
```

```
GO TO 10
1000 FORMAT(A4)
1001 FORMAT(1015)
1002 FORMAT(15,F10.5)
1003 FORMAT(15,3F10.5)
1004 FORMAT(15,3F10.5)
1005 FORMAT(15,3F10.5)
1006 FORMAT(15,15)
1007 FORMAT(15,4F10.5)
1008 FORMAT(15,4F10.5)
1009 FORMAT(5F10.5)
1011 FORMAT(5F10.5)
1019 FORMAT(5E14.6)
1012 FORMAT(I5,3F10.5)
1013 FORMAT(I5,3F10.5)
1017 FORMAT(15,3F10.5)
1018 FORMAT(215,4F10.5)
2001 FORMAT(20A4/5X,'LC==',10I5)
2002 FORMAT(
                 5X,'BM==',I5,F10.5)
2003 FORMAT(
                 5X,'BII=',I5,3F10.5)
2004 FORMAT(
                 5X,'XI==',I5,3F10.5)
2005 FORMAT(
                 5X, 'PH==', I5, 3F10.5)
2006 FORMAT(
                 5X,'NP==',I5,I10)
2007 FORMAT(
                 5X,'EPT=',I5,4F10.5)
2008 FORMAT(
                 5X,'EPL=',I5,4F10.5)
2009 FORMAT(
                 5X,'X(L)',5F10.5)
2011 FORMAT(
                 5X,'Y(L)',5F10.5)
2012 FORMAT(
                 5X,'HF==',I5,3F10.5)
2013 FORMAT(
                 5X,'HM==',I5,3F10.5)
2017 FORMAT(
                 5X,'RC==',I5,3F10.5)
2018 FORMAT(
                 5X,'IDS',2I5,4F10.5)
     END
```

С

С

```
С
      *
                  READ FLEXIBLE BODY INFORMATION FROM FEM
С
С
      DO 200 K=1,N
        INDF=1
        IF(NDF(K) .EQ. 2) INDF=2
        IF(NDF(K) .EQ. 1) INDF=3
        NPK=NUMNP(K)
        NMK=NDF(K)*NUMNP(K)
        IF(NPK .EQ. 0) GOTO 200
С
С
      NODAL COORDINATE DATA INPUT
C
        DO 120 I=1,NPK
           DO 110 M=1,3
 110
              CO(K,I,M)=0.
           READ(5,1001) II,(CO(K,I,M),M=2,3)
           WRITE(6,2001) K,II,(CO(K,I,M),M=1,3)
 120
        CONTINUE
C
С
     FORCE/DISPL DATA INPUT
С
        DO 140 I=1,NPK
           DO 130 M=1,3
 130
              PI(K,I,M)=0.
           READ(5,1001) II, (PI(K,I,M), M=2,3)
           WRITE(6,2002) K,I,(PI(K,I,M),M=1,3)
 140
        CONTINUE
С
С
     NUMBER OF EQUATIONS INPUT
С
        READ(5,1003) NEQ(K)
        WRITE(6,2003)NEQ(K)
С
С
     ID(NDF(K),NUMNP(K)) ARRAY INPUT
С
        READ(5,1004) (ID(I),I=1,NMK)
        WRITE(6,2004)(ID(I),I=1,NMK)
С
```

С COMPILE POINTER JDIAG INPUT С READ(5,1005) (JDI(J), J=1, NMK) С C COMPILE STIFFNESS MATRIX INPUT С JMAX=JDI(NMK) READ(5,1006) (BKP(I),I=1,JMAX) С С LUMPED MASS OF NODES INPUT С READ(5,1007) (BMI(K,I),I=1,NPK) WRITE(6,2005)(JDI(J),J=1,NMK) WRITE(6,2006) (BKP(I),I=1,JMAX) WRITE(6,2010) K WRITE(6,2007) (BMI(K,I),I=1,NPK) С С CALCULATE GRAVITY OF THE NOTES С DO 143 I=1,NPK PI(K,I,2)=PI(K,I,2)+BMI(K,I)\*9.8 WRITE(6,2008) K,I, (PI(K,I,M),M=1,3) 143 CONTINUE DO 145 I=1,NMK DO 145 J=1,NMK BK(K,I,J)=0.145 CONTINUE BK(K,1,1)=BKP(JDI(1))IF(NMK .EQ. 1) GOTO 188 DO 160 J=2,NMK BK(K,J,J)=BKP(JDI(J))L=JDI(J)-JDI(J-1)-1 DO 150 I=1,L BK(K, J-I, J) = BKP(JDI(J) - I)150 CONTINUE 160 CONTINUE NMK1=NMK-1 DO 180 J=1,NMK1 M=NMK-J

```
DO 170 I=1,M
              BK(K,J+I,J)=BK(K,J,J+I)
 170
           CONTINUE
 180
        CONTINUE
 188
        DO 190 I=1,NMK
            WRITE(6,2009) (BK(K,I,J),J=1,NMK)
С
            WRITE(1,2009) (BK(K,I,J), J=1,NMK)
 190
        CONTINUE
 200 CONTINUE
 1001 FORMAT(15,3F10.5)
 1003 FORMAT(I5)
 1004 FORMAT(1015)
 1005 FORMAT(1015)
 1006 FORMAT(6E13.5)
 1007 FORMAT(10F10.5)
 2001 FORMAT(2X,'COOR',215,3F10.5)
 2002 FORMAT(2X,'=PI=',2I5,3F10.5)
 2003 FORMAT(2X,'NEQ=',15)
 2004 FORMAT(2X, '=ID=', 10I5)
 2005 FORMAT(2X,'JDIA',1015)
 2006 FORMAT(2X,'BKCP',6E12.4)
 2007 FORMAT(2X,'BMI=',10F10.5)
 2008 FORMAT(2X,'PIP=',2I5,3F10.5)
 2009 FORMAT(2X, '=BK=', 6E12.4)
 2010 FORMAT(10X, '====K====', I5)
     RETURN
     END
     SUBROUTINE SOK(LC,EPT,EPL,X,S,ST,SL,N,NDM)
     DIMENSION LC(1), EPT(N,1), EPL(N,1), S(N,3,1), ST(N,3,1),
    1
                SL(N,3,1),S1(3,3),X(1)
С
     С
     *
                                                       *
С
           SET UP TRANSFORMATION METRIX OF EACH BODY
     *
                                                       *
С
                                                       *
     *******
С
     DO 10 K=1,N
        EPT(K,1)=SIN(X(K)/2.)
        EPT(K,2)=0.
```

```
EPT(K,3)=0.
        EPT(K,4)=COS(X(K)/2.)
        DO 9 I=1.3
           ST(K,I,I)=2.*EPT(K,I)**2+2.*EPT(K,4)**2-1.
           I1=I-1
           I2=I+1
           IF(I1.EQ.0) I1=3
           IF(I2.EQ.4) I2=1
           ST(K,I,I2)=(EPT(K,I)*EPT(K,I2)-EPT(K,4)*EPT(K,I1))*2.
           ST(K, I2, I) = (EPT(K, I) * EPT(K, I2) + EPT(K, 4) * EPT(K, I1)) * 2.
9
        CONTINUE
10
     CONTINUE
     DO 20 K=1,N
        DO 19 I=1,3
           SL(K,I,I)=2.*EPL(K,I)**2+2.*EPL(K,4)**2-1.
           I1=I-1
           I2=I+1
           IF(I1.EQ.0) I1=3
           IF(I2.EQ.4) I2=1
           SL(K,I,I2)=(EPL(K,I)*EPL(K,I2)-EPL(K,4)*EPL(K,I1))*2.
           SL(K,I2,I)=(EPL(K,I)*EPL(K,I2)+EPL(K,4)*EPL(K,I1))*2.
19
        CONTINUE
20
     CONTINUE
     IF(N. EQ. 1) GOTO 44
     DO 40 K=2,N
        DO 39 I=1,3
           DO 38 J=1,3
              S(K,I,J)=0.
              DO 37 II=1,3
                 S(K,I,J)=S(K,I,J)+SL(K,I,II)*ST(K,II,J)
37
              CONTINUE
              IF( ABS( S(K,I,J) ) .LT. 1.E-20 ) S(K,I,J)=0.
38
           CONTINUE
39
        CONTINUE
40
     CONTINUE
44
     DO 50 I=1,3
        DO 49 J=1,3
           S(1,I,J)=ST(1,I,J)
49
        CONTINUE
```

```
50
     CONTINUE
     IF(N .EQ. 1) GOTO 88
     DO 80 K=2,N
        LK=LC(K)
        DO 60 I=1,3
           DO 59 J=1,3
             S1(I,J)=0.
             DO 58 M=1,3
                S1(I,J)=S1(I,J)+S(LK,I,M)*S(K,M,J)
 58
             CONTINUE
 59
           CONTINUE
 60
        CONTINUE
        DO 70 I=1,3
           DO 68 J=1,3
             S(K,I,J)=S1(I,J)
 68
           CONTINUE
 70
        CONTINUE
 80
     CONTINUE
 88
     CONTINUE
С
      WRITE(6,1001) (((ST(K,I,J),J=1,3),I=1,3),K=1,N)
С
      WRITE(6,1002) (((SL(K,I,J),J=1,3),I=1,3),K=1,N)
     WRITE(1,1003) (((S(K,I,J),J=1,3),I=1,3),K=1,N)
 1001 FORMAT(5X,'==ST==',3F16.6)
 1002 FORMAT(5X,'==SL==',3F16.6)
 1003 FORMAT(5X,'==S===',3F16.6)
     RETURN
     END
     SUBROUTINE WKLM(LC,NR,S,W,N,NS,NDM)
     DIMENSION LC(1), NR(N,1), S(N,3,1), W(N,3,1)
С
     ******
С
     *
С
     *
         SET UP PARTIAL ANGULAR VELOCITY OF EACH BODY
                                                   *
С
С
     DO 200 K=1,N
        DO 120 M=1,3
          DO 110 L=1,NS
             W(K,M,L)=0.
```

110 CONTINUE 120 CONTINUE J=K IF (J .EQ. 1) GO TO 177 133 LJ=LC(J)LI=0 J1=J-1 DO 140 I=1,J1 LI=LI+NR(I,1) 140 CONTINUE JJ=1 DO 160 LL=1,3 IF (NR(J,LL+1) .EQ. 1) THEN DO 150 M=1,3 W(K,M,LI+JJ)=S(LJ,M,LL)150 CONTINUE JJ=JJ+1 ENDIF 160 CONTINUE J=LJ GOTO 133 177 JJ=1 DO 180 LL=1,3 IF (NR(J,LL+1) .EQ. 1) THEN W(K,LL,JJ)=1.JJ=JJ+1 ENDIF 180 CONTINUE DO 190 M=1,3 WRITE(1,1000) (W(K,M,L),L=1,NS) 190 CONTINUE 200 CONTINUE 1000 FORMAT(2X,'===W===',12F8.4) RETURN END SUBROUTINE WKV(E,LC,NT,NR,NUMNP,NDF,NP,PH,S,SL,W,V, 1 WK,VMM,VMX,X,N,NS,NDM) DIMENSION E(3,3,3),LC(1),NT(N,1),NR(N,1),NUMNP(1),NDF(1),

```
1
               NP(1),PH(N,1),S(N,3,1),SL(N,3,1),W(N,3,1),V(N,3,1),
     1
               W1(3),WK(N,3,3,1),VMM(N,3,1),VMX(N,1),X(1)
С
     С
     *
С
     *
         SET UP PARTIAL VELOCITY OF EACH JOIN POINTOR H
С
     *
С
     INR=0
     INRT=0
     DO 105 K=1,N
        INR=INR+NR(K,1)
        INRT=INRT+NR(K,1)+NT(K,1)
 105 CONTINUE
     INR1=INR+1
     INT11=INR+NT(1,1)
     INDM=1
     IF(NDM.LT.3) INDM=2
С
С
  SET UP WK(K,M,IK,L) = -E(M,J,I) * W(K,I,L) * S(J,IK)
С
     DO 144 K=1,N
        DO 143 M=1,3
          DO 142 L=1,NS
             DO 120 J=1,3
                W1(J)=0.
                DO 115 I=1,3
                  W1(J)=W1(J)-E(M,J,I)*W(K,I,L)
115
                CONTINUE
120
             CONTINUE
С
              WRITE(6,8001) (W1(J),J=1,3)
             DO 141 IK=1,3
               WK(K,M,IK,L)=0.
                DO 130 J=1,3
                  WK(K,M,IK,L)=WK(K,M,IK,L)+W1(J)*S(K,J,IK)
130
               CONTINUE
141
             CONTINUE
142
          CONTINUE
143
       CONTINUE
144 CONTINUE
```

```
DO 40 K=1,N
         DO 20 IK=1,3
С
             WRITE(1,8002) ((WK(K,M,IK,L),L=1,NS),M=1,3)
 20
         CONTINUE
 40
      CONTINUE
 8001 FORMAT(5X,'--W1---',5F10.5)
 8002 FORMAT(2X,'==WK===',14F8.3)
С
С
   SET UP V(K,M,L)=XI(1,M,L)
С
      DO 200 K=1,N
         DO 170 M=1,3
            DO 160 L=1,NS
               V(K,M,L)=0.
 160
            CONTINUE
 170
         CONTINUE
         JJ=1
         IF (NT(1,1) .EQ. 0) GOTO 200
         DO 180 M=1,3
            IF (NT(K,M+1) .EQ. 1) THEN
              V(K,M,INR+JJ)=1.
              JJ=JJ+1
            ENDIF
 180
         CONTINUE
 200 CONTINUE
С
С
  SET UP VMM(K,M,L)=U(K,IH,L)+SL(LC(K),IH,IN)*XI(K,IN,L)
С
      INPT=INRT
      DO
           205 K=1,N
       DO 205 M=1,3
        DO 205 L=1,NS
           VMM(K,M,L)=0.
205 CONTINUE
     IF(N .EQ. 1) GOTO 333
     DO 300 K=2,N
         NPK=NUMNP(K)
         IF(NPK .EQ. 0) GOTO 300
         LK=LC(K)
```

```
NDFK=NDF(LK)
         DO 230 LP=1,NDFK
            VMM(K,INDM+LP-1,INP+LP)=1.
 230
         CONTINUE
         INPT=INPT+NUMNP(LK)*NDF(LK)
         INTT=INT11
         JJ=1
         DO 240 LL=1,3
            IF (NT(K,LL+1) .EQ. 1) THEN
               DO 235 M=1,3
                   VMM(K,M,INTT+JJ)=SL(LK,M,LL)
               CONTINUE
 235
               JJ=JJ+1
            ENDIF
 240
         CONTINUE
         INTT=INTT+NT(K,1)
 300 CONTINUE
 333 CONTINUE
      DO 30 K=1,N
         DO 22 M=1,3
 22
         CONTINUE
 30
      CONTINUE
 8003 FORMAT(2X,'==VMM==',12F8.4)
С
С
  SET UP VMX(K,I)=VMM(K,M,L)*X(L)
С
      DO 400 K=1,N
         DO 390 I=1,3
            VMX(K,I)=0.
            DO 380 L=1,NS
               VMX(K,I) = VMX(K,I) + VMM(K,I,L) * X(L)
 380
            CONTINUE
 390
         CONTINUE
 400
     CONTINUE
С
С
  SET UP V(K,M,L)=V(K,M,L)+WK(LJ,M,II,L)*(PH(J,II)+VMX(J,II)
С
```

INP=INPT+(NP(LK)-1)\*NDF(LK)

DO 500 K=1,N

```
J=K
 444
         IF(J.LE.1) GOTO 500
         DO 490 M=1,3
           LJ=LC(J)
            INT111=INT11+1
            DO 480 L=1,NS
               DO 470 II=1,3
                 V(K,M,L)=V(K,M,L)+WK(LJ,M,II,L)*(PH(J,II)+VMX(J,II))
 470
               CONTINUE
 480
            CONTINUE
 490
         CONTINUE
         J=LJ
         GOTO 444
 500 CONTINUE
      DO 800 K=1,N
         J=K
         IF(J.LE.1) GOTO 800
        LJ=LC(J)
         DO 790 M=1,3
           DO 780 L=1,NS
              DO 770 I=1,3
              V(K,M,L)=V(K,M,L)+S(LJ,M,I)*VMM(K,I,L)
 770
              CONTINUE
 780
           CONTINUE
 790
        CONTINUE
 800
     CONTINUE
      DO 900 K=1,N
        DO 890 M=1,3
 890
        CONTINUE
 900
     CONTINUE
 791 FORMAT(2X,'===V===',12F8.4)
     RETURN
     END
     SUBROUTINE WKVI(NT,NR,NUMNP,NDF,S,V,WK,X,VI,VIM,CO,N,NS,NPMAX)
     DIMENSION NT(N,1),NR(N,1),NUMNP(1),NDF(1),
     1
        S(N,3,1),V(N,3,1),WK(N,3,3,1),X(1),
        VI(N,NPMAX,3,1),VIM(3,1),CO(N,NPMAX,1),VIMX(3)
     1
С
     *******
```

	C	* *
	С	* SET UP PARTIAL VELOCITY OF EACH NODE *
	С	* *
	C	***********
		INRT=0
		DO 105 K=1,N
		<pre>INRT=INRT+NR(K,1)+NT(K,1)</pre>
	105	CONTINUE
		DO 800 K=1,N
		INDF=1
		IF(NDF(K) .EQ. 2) INDF=2
		IF(NDF(K) .EQ. 1) INDF=3
		NPK=NUMNP(K)
		IF(NPK .EQ. O) GOTO 800
		DO 700 II=1,NPK
		DO 140 M=1,3
		D0 130 L=1,NS
		VIM(M,L)=0.
	130	CONTINUE
	140	CONTINUE
		NDFK=NDF(K)
		DO 150 M=1,NDFK
		VIM(INDF-1+M, INRT+(II-1)*NDF(K)+M)=1.
	150	
		DU 170 M=1,3
		VIMX(M)=0.
		DU = 160 L=1, NS
	160	$\forall IMX(M) = \forall IMX(M) + \forall IM(M,L) * X(L)$
	170	CONTINUE
	170	
		DD 100 M-1 2
		$\frac{1}{100} \text{ M} = 1,3$
		VI(K,II,H,L) = V(K,H,L)
		$\frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}$
		W(K M TH I) + (CO(K TT TU) + WIMY(TU)) +
	••••••	S(K M TH) * VTM(TH T)
	180	CONTINUE
	190	CONTINUE

```
200
          CONTINUE
          DO 300 M=1,3
 300
          CONTINUE
 700
        CONTINUE
        INRT=INRT+NUMNP(K)*NDF(K)
 800 CONTINUE
 1001 FORMAT(5X,3F10.5)
 2001 FORMAT(/2X,'--R(M)-',3F10.5/)
 2002 FORMAT( 2X, '==VI===', 12F8.4)
 3002 FORMAT( 2X,14F8.3)
8000 FORMAT( 2X,'--VIM--',12F8.4)
     RETURN
     END
     SUBROUTINE SDWD(E,LC,NR,S,W,Y,SD,WD,N,NS,NDM)
     DIMENSION E(3,3,3),LC(1),NR(N,1),S(N,3,1),W(N,3,1),
    1
               Y(1),SD(N,3,1),WD(N,3,1),WA(3),SD1(3)
С
     С
     *
С
     *
         SET UP THE DERIVERTIVE OF TRANSFORMATION MATRICES
                                                       *
С
С
     DO 200 K=1,N
       DO 120 J=1,3
          WA(J)=0.
          DO 110 L=1,NS
             WA(J)=WA(J)+W(K,J,L)*Y(L)
110
          CONTINUE
120
       CONTINUE
       DO 170 I=1,3
          DO 140 M=1,3
             SD1(M)=0.
             DO 130 J=1,3
               SD1(M)=SD1(M)-E(I,M,J)*WA(J)
130
             CONTINUE
140
          CONTINUE
          DO 160 J=1,3
             SD(K,I,J)=0.
             DO 150 M=1,3
```

SD(K,I,J)=SD(K,I,J)+SD1(M)\*S(K,M,J)150 CONTINUE 160 CONTINUE 170 CONTINUE 200 CONTINUE DO 300 K=1,N DO 220 M=1,3 DO 210 L=1,NS WD(K,M,L)=0.210 CONTINUE 220 CONTINUE J=K 233 IF (J .EQ. 1) GO TO 300 LJ=LC(J)LI=0 J1=J-1 DO 240 I=1,J1 LI=LI+NR(I,1) 240 CONTINUE JJ=1 DO 260 LL=1,3 IF (NR(J,LL+1) .EQ. 1) THEN DO 250 M=1,3 WD(K,M,LI+JJ)=SD(LJ,M,LL) 250 CONTINUE JJ=JJ+1 ENDIF 260 CONTINUE J=LJ GOTO 233 300 CONTINUE WRITE(1,2001) (((SD(K,I,J),J=1,3),I=1,3),K=1,N) WRITE(1,2002) (((WD(K,M,L),L=1,NS),M=1,3),K=1,N) 2001 FORMAT(2X,'==SD===',3F16.6) 2002 FORMAT(2X,'==WD===',12F8.4) RETURN END

SUBROUTINE WKDVD(E,LC,NT,NR,NDF,PH,S,W,WK,VMM,VMX,

```
1
             Y,SD,WD,VD,WKD,VMY,N,NS,NDM)
     DIMENSION E(3,3,3),LC(1),NT(N,1),NR(N,1),NDF(1),
    1
              PH(N,1),S(N,3,1),W(N,3,1),
    1
              W1(3),W2(3),WK(N,3,3,1),VMM(N,3,1),VMX(N,1),Y(1),
              SD(N,3,1),WD(N,3,1),VD(N,3,1),WKD(N,3,3,1),VMY(N,1)
    1
С
     C
С
     *
       SET UP DERIVATIVE OF PARTIAL VELOCITY OF EACH JOIN POINTOR H
С
С
     INR=0
     INRT=0
     DO 105 K=1,N
       INR=INR+NR(K,1)
       INRT=INRT+NR(K,1)+NT(K,1)
105 CONTINUE
     INR1=INR+1
     INT11=INR+NT(1,1)
     INDM=1
     IF(NDM.LT.3) INDM=2
     DO 144 K=1,N
       DO 143 M=1,3
          DO 142 L=1,NS
             DO 120 J=1,3
               W1(J)=0.
               W2(J)=0.
               DO 115 I=1,3
                  W1(J)=W1(J)-E(M,J,I)*WD(K,I,L)
                  W2(J)=W2(J)-E(M,J,I)*W(K,I,L)
115
               CONTINUE
120
             CONTINUE
             DO 141 IK=1,3
               WKD(K,M,IK,L)=0.
               DO 130 J=1,3
                  WKD(K,M,IK,L) = WKD(K,M,IK,L) + W1(J) * S(K,J,IK)
    1
                                         +W2(J)*SD(K,J,IK)
130
               CONTINUE
141
             CONTINUE
142
          CONTINUE
```

```
143
        CONTINUE
144 CONTINUE
     DO 40 K=1,N
        DO 20 IK=1,3
           WRITE(6,8002) ((WKD(K,M,IK,L),L=1,NS),M=1,3)
20
        CONTINUE
40
     CONTINUE
8001 FORMAT(5X,'--W1---',5F10.5)
8002 FORMAT(2X,'==WKD==',12F8.4)
     DO 400 K=1,N
        DO 390 I=1,3
           VMY(K,I)=0.
           DO 380 L=1,NS
              VMY(K,I) = VMY(K,I) + VMM(K,I,L) * Y(L)
380
           CONTINUE
390
        CONTINUE
400 CONTINUE
     DO 500 K=1,N
        DO 420 M=1,3
           DO 410 L=1,NS
              VD(K,M,L)=0.
410
           CONTINUE
420
        CONTINUE
        J=K
444
        IF(J.LE.1) GOTO 500
        DO 490 M=1,3
           LJ=LC(J)
           INT111=INT11+1
           DO 480 L=1,NS
              DO 470 II=1,3
                VD(K,M,L)=VD(K,M,L)+WKD(LJ,M,II,L)*(PH(J,II)+VMX(J,II))
    1
                                    +WK(LJ,M,II,L)*VMY(J,II)
470
              CONTINUE
480
           CONTINUE
490
        CONTINUE
        J=LJ
        GOTO 444
500 CONTINUE
    DO 800 K=1,N
```

```
J=K
        IF(J.LE.1) GOTO 800
        LJ=LC(J)
        DO 790 M=1,3
          DO 780 L=1,NS
             DO 770 I=1,3
             VD(K,M,L)=VD(K,M,L)+SD(LJ,M,I)*VMM(K,I,L)
 770
             CONTINUE
 780
          CONTINUE
 790
        CONTINUE
 800
    CONTINUE
     DO 900 K=1,N
        DO 890 M=1,3
          WRITE(1,791) (VD(K,M,L),L=1,NS)
 890
        CONTINUE
 900 CONTINUE
 791 FORMAT(2X,'===VD==',12F8.4)
     RETURN
     END
     SUBROUTINE WKVID(NT,NR,NUMNP,NDF,WK,X,VIM,
    1
                    Y,CO,SD,VD,WKD,VID,N,NS,NPMAX)
     DIMENSION NT(N,1),NR(N,1),NUMNP(1),NDF(1),WK(N,3,3,1),X(1),
    1
               VIM(3,1),VIMX(3),VIMY(3),Y(1),CO(N,NPMAX,1),
               SD(N,3,1),VD(N,3,1),WKD(N,3,3,1),VID(N,NPMAX,3,1)
    1
С
     С
     *
С
     *
         SET UP DERIVATIVE OF PARTIAL VELOCITY OF EACH NODE
                                                        *
С
     *
С
     INRT=0
     DO 105 K=1,N
       INRT=INRT+NR(K,1)+NT(K,1)
105 CONTINUE
     DO 800 K=1,N
       INDF=1
       IF(NDF(K) .EQ. 2) INDF=2
       IF(NDF(K) .EQ. 1) INDF=3
       NPK=NUMNP(K)
```

	IF(NPK .EQ. 0) GOTO 800		
	D0 700 II=1,NPK		
	DO 140 M=1,3		
	DO 130 L=1,NS		
	VIM(M,L)=0.		
130	CONTINUE		
140	140 CONTINUE		
	NDFK=NDF(K)		
	DO 150 M=1,NDFK		
	VIM(INDF-1+M, INRT+(II-1)*NDF(K)+M)=1.		
150	CONTINUE		
	WRITE(1,8000) ((VIM(M,L),L=1,NS),M=1,3)		
	DO 170 M=1,3		
	VIMX(M)=0.		
	VIMY(M)=0.		
	DO 160 L=1,NS		
	VIMX(M)=VIMX(M)+VIM(M,L)*X(L)		
	VIMY(M)=VIMY(M)+VIM(M,L)*Y(L)		
160	CONTINUE		
170	CONTINUE		
	DO 200 L=1,NS		
	DO 190 M=1,3		
	VID(K,II,M,L)=VD(K,M,L)		
	DO 180 IH=1,3		
	VID(K,II,M,L)=VID(K,II,M,L)+		
	1 WKD(K,M,IH,L)*(CO(K,II,IH)+VIMX(IH))+		
	1 WK(K,M,IH,L)*VIMY(IH)+		
	1 SD(K,M,IH)*VIM(IH,L)		
180	CONTINUE		
190	CONTINUE		
200	CONTINUE		
	WRITE(1,2002) ((VID(K,II,M,L),L=1,NS),M=1,3)		
700	CONTINUE		
	INRT=INRT+NUMNP(K)*NDF(K)		
800	CONTINUE		
1001	FORMAT(5X,3F10.5)		
2002	FORMAT( 2X, '==VID==', 12F8.4)		
8000	FORMAT( 2X,'VIM',12F8.4)		
	RETURN		

#### END

```
SUBROUTINE ALP(NT,NR,NUMNP,NDF,CO,BMI,BM,BII,RC,S,W,V,VI,VIM,VIN,
    1
                   UI, BIN, BIM, A, AI, AM, AMI, N, NS, NDM, NPMAX)
     DIMENSION NT(N,1), NR(N,1), NUMNP(1), NDF(1), CO(N, NPMAX,1), BMI(N,1),
    1 BM(1),BII(N,3,1),S(N,3,1),W(N,3,1),V(N,3,1),VI(N,NPMAX,3,1),
    1 VIM(3,1),VIN(3,1),UI(N,NPMAX,1),BIN(N,3,1),BIM(N,3,1),
    1 A(NS,1),AI(NS,1),AM(3,1),AMI(3,1),RU(3,3),UT(3,3),
    1 SCO(3), SUI(3), RC(N, 1), SRC(3)
     С
С
                                                          *
С
                 SET UP THE GENERALIZED MASS MATRIX
                                                          *
С
С
     INRT=0
     DO 100 K=1,N
        INRT=INRT+NR(K,1)+NT(K,1)
100 CONTINUE
     DO 101 L=1,NS
      DO 101 J=1,NS
        A(L,J)=0.
101 CONTINUE
     DO 900 K=1,N
        INDF=1
        IF(NDF(K) .EQ. 2) INDF=2
        IF(NDF(K) .EQ. 1) INDF=3
       NPK=NUMNP(K)
       DO 200 L=1,NS
          DO 120 M=1,3
             AM(M,L)=0.
             DO 110 I=1,3
                AM(M,L) = AM(M,L)
    1
                      +(BII(K,M,I)+BIN(K,M,I)+BIM(K,M,I))*W(K,I,L)
110
             CONTINUE
120
          CONTINUE
          DO 190 J=1,NS
             DO 130 M=1,3
                A(L,J)=A(L,J)+BM(K)*V(K,M,L)*V(K,M,J)+AM(M,L)*W(K,M,J)
130
             CONTINUE
```

```
190
             CONTINUE
 200
          CONTINUE
         DO 201 L=1,NS
 201
         CONTINUE
         IF( NPK .EQ. O ) THEN
С
С
      CO,UI COORDINATE TRANSFOMATION FOR EACH BODY
С
         DO 13 M=1,3
             SRC(M)=0.
            DO 12 I=1,3
                SRC(M) = SRC(M) + S(K, M, I) * RC(K, I)
 12
           CONTINUE
 13
        CONTINUE
С
С
      SET UP CO,UI INSYMMATRIC MATRICES
С
            DO 16 I=1,3
                RU(I,I)=0.
  16
            CONTINUE
            RU(1,2) = -SRC(3)
            RU(1,3) = +SRC(2)
            RU(2,3) = -SRC(1)
            RU(2,1) = -RU(1,2)
            RU(3,1) = -RU(1,3)
            RU(3,2) = -RU(2,3)
            DO 20 L=1,NS
             DO 20 M=1,3
                AM(M,L)=0.
                DO 15 I=1,3
                    AM(M,L)=AM(M,L)+RU(M,I)*V(K,I,L)
  15
                CONTINUE
  20
            CONTINUE
            DO 40 L=1,NS
               DO 34 J=1,NS
                  DO 33 M=1,3
                      A(L,J)=A(L,J)
     1
                                    +BM(K)*(AM(M,L)*W(K,M,J)
     1
                                                + AM(M,J)*W(K,M,L) )
```

33	CONTINUE			
34	CONTINUE			
40	CONTINUE			
	ENDIF			
	IF(NPK .EQ. 0) GOTO 900			
С				
С	CO.UI COORDINATE TRANSFOMATION FOR EACH NODE			
C	OC, OI COORDINATE TRANSFORATION FOR EACH NODE			
-	DO 800 TP=1.NPK			
	D0 203 M=1.3			
	SCO(M) = 0			
	SUT(M) = 0			
	D0 202 T=1.3			
	SCO(M) = SCO(M) + S(K, M, T) * CO(K, TP, T)			
	SUT(M) = SUT(M) + S(K M T) * UT(K TP T)			
202	CONTINUE			
203	CONTINUE			
C				
C	SET HE CO HI INSYMMATRIC MATRICES			
C				
	DO 206 T=1.3			
	RU(I,I)=0.			
	UT(I,I)=0.			
206	CONTINUE			
	RU(1,2) = -SCO(3) - SUI(3)			
	RU(1,3) = +SCO(2) + SUI(2)			
	RU(2,3) = -SCO(1) - SUI(1)			
	RU(2,1) = -RU(1,2)			
	RU(3,1) = -RU(1,3)			
	RU(3,2) = -RU(2,3)			
	UT(1,2)=-SUI(3)			
UT(1,3)=+SUI(2)				
	UT(2,3)=-SUI(1)			
	UT(2,1) = -UT(1,2)			
	UT(3,1)=-UT(1,3)			
	UT(3,2) = -UT(2,3)			
C				
С	SET UP PARTIAL VELOCITY OF EACH NODE			
С				

.

	DU 280 M=1,3
	DO 270 L=1,NS
	VIN(M,L)=0.
270	CONTINUE
280	CONTINUE
	NDFK=NDF(K)
	DO 290 M=1,NDFK
	VIN(INDF-1+M, INRT+(IP-1)*NDF(K)+M)=1.
290	CONTINUE
	DO 292 M=1,3
	DO 292 L=1,NS
	VIM(M,L)=0.
	DO 291 I=1,3
	VIM(M,L) = VIM(M,L) + S(K,M,I) + VIN(I,L)
291	CONTINUE
292	CONTINUE
	DO 298 M=1,3
298	CONTINUE
	D0 320 L=1,NS
	DO 320 M=1,3
	AM(M,L)=0.
	DO 310 I=1,3
	AM(M,L)=AM(M,L)+RU(M,I)*(V(K,I,L)+VIM(I,L))
310	CONTINUE
320	CONTINUE
	DO 400 L=1,NS
	DO 340 J=1,NS
	DO 330 M=1,3
	A(L,J)=A(L,J)
1	+BMI(K,IP)*( AM(M,L)*W(K,M,J)
1	+ AM(M,J)*W(K,M,L) )
330	CONTINUE
340	CONTINUE
400	CONTINUE
	DO 1400 L=1,NS
	DO 1340 J=1,NS
	DO 1330 M=1,3
	A(L,J)=A(L,J)+BMI(K,IP)*
1	( V(K,M,J)*VIM(M,L)

Henrikanderiden

-

	1 + $V(K,M,L)*VIM(M,J)$ )			
1330	CONTINUE			
1340	O CONTINUE			
1400	CONTINUE			
	DO 500 L=1,NS			
	DO 440 J=1,NS			
	DO 430 M=1,3			
	A(L,J)=A(L,J)+BMI(K,IP)*VIM(M,L)*VIM(M,J)			
430	CONTINUE			
440	O CONTINUE			
500 CONTINUE				
	DO 544 L=1,NS			
	DO 520 M=1,3			
	AM(M,L)=0.			
	DO 510 I=1,3			
	AM(M,L)=AM(M,L)-UT(M,I)*W(K,I,L)			
510	CONTINUE			
520	CONTINUE			
	DO 540 M=1,3			
	AMI(M,L)=0.			
	DO 530 I=1,3			
	AMI(M,L)=AMI(M,L)+UT(M,I)*AM(I,L)			
530	CONTINUE			
540	CONTINUE			
544	CONTINUE			
	D0 600 L=1,NS			
	DO 560 J=1,NS			
	DO 550 M=1,3			
	A(L,J)=A(L,J)+BMI(K,IP)*AMI(M,J)*W(K,M,L)			
550	CONTINUE			
560	CONTINUE			
600	CONTINUE			
800	CONTINUE			
	INRT=INRT+NUMNP(K)*NDF(K)			
900	CONTINUE			
	N1=N+1			
	DO 901 L=1,N			
	DO 901 J=N1,NS			
	A(L,J)=0.			

.

```
901 CONTINUE
     DO 902 L=1,NS
      DO 902 J=1,NS
         AI(L,J)=A(L,J)
902 CONTINUE
     DO 908 L=1,NS
        WRITE(1,2008) (A(L,J),J=1,NS)
908
    CONTINUE
     DO 909 L=1,NS
        WRITE(1,2010) (AI(L,J),J=1,NS)
909 CONTINUE
2001 FORMAT(5X,'==A.1==',12F8.4)
2002 FORMAT(5X,'==SCO===',12F8.4)
2003 FORMAT(5X, '==SUI===', 12F8.4)
2004 FORMAT(5X,'==VIM==',12F8.4)
2005 FORMAT(5X,'==A.2==',10F10.5)
2006 FORMAT(5X, '==A.3==', 10F10.5)
2007 FORMAT(5X,'==A.4==',10F10.5)
2008 FORMAT(2X,'==A==',10F10.5)
2010 FORMAT(2X,'==AI=',10F10.5)
2009 FORMAT(2X,7F10.6)
8001 FORMAT(5X, '==AM==', 4F10.4)
     RETURN
```

END

С

С

С

SUBROUTINE FLL(KEY,NT,NR,NUMNP,NDF,BM,HF,HM,IDS,RC,S,W,V, 1 X,T,VIM,VIN,UI,CO,PI,BK,A,AI,F,FI,FL,FM,N,NS,NPMAX,NMMAX) DIMENSION NT(N,1),NR(N,1),NUMNP(1),NDF(1),BM(1),HF(N,1),HM(N,1), 1 RC(N,1),S(N,3,1),W(N,3,1),V(N,3,1),X(1),VIM(3,1),VIN(3,1),IDS(1), 1 UI(N,NPMAX,1),CO(N,NPMAX,1),PI(N,NPMAX,1),BK(N,NMMAX,1),A(NS,1), 1 F(1),FL(1),FM(1),Q1(3),Q(3),SCO(3),SUI(3),RU(3,3),HMP(3),SRC(3), 1 AI(NS,1),FI(1) COMMON/ROOM/MR(100000) COMMON/POINT3/NUI,N31,N32,NYL,NYI,NYD,ND1,ND2,ND3 COMMON/POINT1/N9,N10,N11,N12,N13,N14,N15,N16,N17,N18,N19,N20 \* \* SET UP THE GENERALIZED LOAD VECTOR \* \*

```
С
      *
С
      8001 FORMAT(5X,'--X--',8F13.8)
      DO 100 L=1,NS
         FL(L)=0.
 100 CONTINUE
      SIDA=0.
      DO 190 K=1,N
        NPK=NUMNP(K)
        DO 102 M=1,3
           HMP(M) = 0.
 102
        CONTINUE
        IF(NPK .EQ. 0) GOTO 155
С
С
      CO, UI COORDINATE TRANSFOMATION FOR EACH NODE
С
        DO 150 IP=1,NPK
           DO 120 M=1,3
              SCO(M)=0.
              SUI(M)=0.
              DO 110 I=1,3
                 SCO(M)=SCO(M)+S(K,M,I)*CO(K,IP,I)
                 SUI(M)=SUI(M)+S(K,M,I)*UI(K,IP,I)
 110
              CONTINUE
 120
           CONTINUE
С
С
     SET UP CO,UI INSYMMATRIC MATRICES
С
           DO 130 I=1,3
              RU(I,I)=0.
 130
           CONTINUE
           RU(1,2) = -SCO(3) - SUI(3)
           RU(1,3) = +SCO(2) + SUI(2)
           RU(2,3) = -SCO(1) - SUI(1)
           RU(2,1) = -RU(1,2)
           RU(3,1) = -RU(1,3)
           RU(3,2) = -RU(2,3)
           DO 140 M=1,3
            DO 140 I=1,3
```

	HMP(M) = HMP(M) + RU(M, I)	∗PI(K,IP,I)
140	CONTINUE	
	IF(K .EQ. 1 .AND. IP .EQ.	2) THEN
	KK=K+1	
	DO 144 M=1,3	
	HMP(M) = HMP(M) + RU(M, KH)	()*BM(KK)*9.8
144	CONTINUE	
	ENDIF	
155	IF(NPK .NE. O) GOTO 177	
	DO 170 M=1,3	
	SRC(M)=0.	
	DO 160 I=1,3	
	<pre>SRC(M)=SRC(M)+S(K,M,I)*</pre>	RC(K,I)
160	CONTINUE	
170	CONTINUE	
8008	FORMAT(2X,'SRC', 3F10.5)	
	HMP(1) = -SRC(3) * BM(K) * 9.8	
	HMP(3) = +SRC(1) * BM(K) * 9.8	
177	CONTINUE	
	HF(K,2)=BM(K)*9.8	
	IF(IDS(K) .NE. O) THEN	
	CALL DESN(K,T,MR(N16),N)	
	END IF	
	DO 180 L=1,NS	
	DO 180 M=1,3	
	IF(KEY .NE. 20) THEN	
	FL(L)=FL(L)+	W(K,M,L)*( HM(K,M)+HMP(M) )
	ELSE	
	FL(L)=FL(L)+	W(K,M,L)*HM(K,M)
	ENDIF	
180	CONTINUE	
190	CONTINUE	
	11=0	
	DU 204 K=1,N	
~~ .	11=11+NR(K,1)+NT(K,1)	
204	CUNTINUE	
	DU 290 K=1,N	
	NPK=NUMNP(K)	
	lF(NPK .EQ. 0) GOTO 290	
	NMK=NDF(K)*NUMNP(K)	
------	---	
	NDFK=NDF(K)	
	INDF=1	
	IF(NDF(K) .EQ. 2) INDF=2	
	IF(NDF(K) .EQ. 1) INDF=3	
	I1=INDF-1	
	IM=0	
	II1=II+1	
	II2=II+NMK	
	DO 280 IP=1,NPK	
	DO 220 M=1,3	
	DO 210 L=1,NS	
	VIN(M,L)=0.	
210	CONTINUE	
220	CONTINUE	
	DO 230 M=1,NDFK	
	VIN(INDF-1+M, II+(IP-1)*NDF(K)+M)=1.	
230	CONTINUE	
	DO 234 M=1,3	
	DO 234 L=1,NS	
	VIM(M,L)=0.	
	DO 232 I=1,3	
	VIM(M,L)=VIM(M,L)+S(K,M,I)*VIN(I,L)	
232	CONTINUE	
234	CONTINUE	
	DO 240 M=1,3	
240	CONTINUE	
	DO 250 M=1,3	
050	Q1(M)=0.	
250	CUNTINUE	
	DU 270 M=INDF,3	
	DU 260 J=1,NMK	
0.00	Q1(M) = Q1(M) + BK(K, IM + M - I1, J) * X(II + J)	
260	CONTINUE	
270	CUNTINUE	
U	WRITE(1,3006) K, IP, (Q1(M), M=1,3)	
	DU 2/2 M=1,3	
	Q(M)=0.	
	DU 2/1 1=1.3	

```
Q(M)=Q(M)+S(K,M,I)*Q1(I)
271
               CONTINUE
272
            CONTINUE
С
            WRITE(1,3002) K, IP, (Q(M), M=1,3)
            DO 273 L=1,NS
             DO 273 M=1,3
               FL(L)=FL(L)-VIM(M,L)*Q(M)
273
            CONTINUE
            IM=IM+3-INDF+1
            SIDA=SIDA+X(K)
            IF(K .EQ. 1) THEN
              DO 276 L=1,NS
               DO 276 M=1,3
                FL(L) = FL(L) + VIM(M,L) * PI(K,IP,M)
                IF(IP .EQ. 2) THEN
                  FL(L) = FL(L) - VIM(M,L) * HF(2,M) * SIN(SIDA)
                ENDIF
276
              CONTINUE
           ENDIF
280
        CONTINUE
        II=II+NDF(K)*NUMNP(K)
290 CONTINUE
     IF(KEY .EQ. 20) THEN
       DO 381 L=1,NS
          F(L) = FL(L) - FM(L)
381
       CONTINUE
     ELSE
       DO 400 L=1,NS
          F(L)=0.
          DO 390 J=1,NS
              F(L)=F(L)+AI(L,J)*(FL(J)-FM(J))
390
          CONTINUE
400
       CONTINUE
     ENDIF
     WRITE(1,9003) T,(F(L),L=1,NS)
     IF(KEY .EQ. 1) THEN
       N1=N+1
       DO 500 L=1,N
          FI(L)=0.
```

```
DO 490 J=N1,NS
             FI(L)=FI(L)+A(J,L)*F(J)
490
          CONTINUE
          F(L) = F(L) - FI(L)
500
       CONTINUE
     ENDIF
2001 FORMAT(2X,'=FL.1=',7F10.5)
2002 FORMAT(2X,'=FL.2=',7F10.5)
2003 FORMAT(2X, '=FL.3=',7F10.5)
2004 FORMAT(/2X,'==F===',7F10.5)
3001 FORMAT(2X,'=VIM=',7F10.5)
3002 FORMAT(2X,'===Q===',2I5,3F18.8)
3003 FORMAT(2X,'==HMP==',2I5,3F15.5)
3004 FORMAT(2X,'--HMP--', I5, 3F15.5)
3005 FORMAT(2X,'==HF==&',I5,5F15.5)
3006 FORMAT(2X,'===Q1==',2I5,3F18.8)
3007 FORMAT(2X,'==HM==&',I5,5F15.5)
5001 FORMAT(2X,'==SCO==',3F15.5)
5002 FORMAT(2X,'==SUI==',3F15.5)
9001 FORMAT(2X,'===PI==',3F15.5)
9002 FORMAT(2X,'===RU==',3F15.5)
9003 FORMAT(3F15.5)
      RETURN
      END
     SUBROUTINE DEFY(KEY,LC,NT,NR,NUMNP,NDF,S,W,WD,V,X,VI,T,Y,YI,
    1
                     BK,SD,VD,VID,A,AI,F,N,NS,NDM,NPMAX,NMMAX)
     COMMON/ROOM/MR(100000)
     COMMON/POINTO/N1,N2,N3,N4,N5,N6
     COMMON/POINTF/NF1,NF2,NF3,NF4,NF5,NF6,NF7,NF8
     COMMON/POINT1/N9,N10,N11,N12,N13,N14,N15,N16,N17,N18,N19,N20
     COMMON/POINT2/N21,N22,N23,N24,N25,NXL,N26,N27,N28,N29
     COMMON/POINT3/NUI,N31,N32,NYL,NYI,NYD,ND1,ND2,ND3
     COMMON/POINT4/N41,N42,N43,N44,N45,N46,N47
     COMMON/POINT5/N51,N52,N53,N54,N55
    COMMON/POINT6/N61,N62,N63,N64
    COMMON/POINT7/N71,N72,N73,N74,N75
    DIMENSION LC(1),NT(N,1),NR(N,1),NUMNP(1),NDF(1),S(N,3,1),W(N,3,1),
    1 WD(N,3,1),V(N,3,1),X(1),VI(N,NPMAX,3,1),Y(1),YI(1),BK(N,NMMAX,1),
```

```
1 SD(N,3,1),VD(N,3,1),VID(N,NPMAX,3,1),A(NS,1),AI(NS,1),F(1),
    1 E(3,3,3),
    1 C(2,2),CI(2,2),D(2,5),CID(2,5),
    1 ALF(7,5),AA(7,7),A1(7,5),AN(5,5),F1(5),FN(12),YN(12)
     C
С
С
     *
              SET UP RIGHT FUNCTION OF THE GOVERNING EQUATIONS
                                                          *
C
     *
С
                KEY=0
     *
                                                          *
С
     *
                KEY=1 FOR T1(KANE'S EXAMPLE )
                                                          *
С
                KEY=7
                                                          *
С
                KEY=20 Close loop
                                                          *
С
С
     NS1=NS+1
     NS2=NS*2
     WRITE(1,8001) (X(I),I=1,NS)
     WRITE(1,8005) (Y(I),I=1,NS2)
8001 FORMAT(/2X,'====X=====',6F8.4)
8005 FORMAT(/2X,'====Y=====',6F8.4)
     IF(KEY .NE. 20) THEN
      DO 200 I=1,NS
         X(I)=Y(I+NS)
200
      CONTINUE
      DO 201 I=NS1,NS2
         F(I)=Y(I-NS)
201
      CONTINUE
    ELSE
      DO 110 I=1,12
         YN(I)=Y(I)
110
      CONTINUE
      DO 120 I=3,6
         II=I-1
         Y(I)=YN(II)
120
      CONTINUE
      DO 130 I=1,2
         JJ=I+10
         Y(I)=F(JJ)
130
      CONTINUE
```

```
DO 140 I=7,8
          KK=I+4
          Y(I)=YN(KK)
140
       CONTINUE
       DO 150 I=9,12
          II=I-2
          Y(I)=YN(II)
150
       CONTINUE
       DO 160 I=1,6
          X(I)=Y(I+6)
160
       CONTINUE
     ENDIF
       WRITE(1,3001) (X(I),I=1,6)
       WRITE(1,3005) (Y(I),I=1,12)
     IF(KEY .EQ. 7) GOTO 777
     CALL SOK(LC,MR(N13),MR(N14),X,S,MR(N18),MR(N19),N,NDM)
     CALL WKLM(LC,NR,S,W,N,NS,NDM)
     DO
          210 I=1,3
      DO 210 J=1,3
       DO 210 K=1,3
          E(I,J,K)=0.
210 CONTINUE
     E(1,2,3)=1.
     E(2,3,1)=1.
     E(3,1,2)=1.
     E(2,1,3)=-1.
     E(1,3,2)=-1.
     E(3,2,1)=-1.
     CALL WKV(E,LC,NT,NR,NUMNP,NDF,MR(N6),MR(N12),S,MR(N19),
    1
              W,V,MR(N23),MR(N24),MR(N25),X,N,NS,NDM)
     CALL WKVI(NT,NR,NUMNP,NDF,S,V,MR(N23),X,VI,MR(N27),
    1
               MR(NF1), N, NS, NPMAX)
     CALL BINM(NT,NR,NUMNP,NDF,MR(NF1),MR(NF3),MR(N10),S,X,
               MR(NUI), MR(N31), MR(N32), N, NS, NPMAX)
    1
     CALL ALP(NT,NR,NUMNP,NDF,MR(NF1),MR(NF3),MR(N9),MR(N10),MR(N28),
        S,W,V,VI,MR(N27),MR(N29),MR(NUI),MR(N31),MR(N32),
    1
        A, AI, MR(N54), MR(N55), N, NS, NDM, NPMAX)
    1
    CALL ATA(AI,NS)
```

666 CALL SDWD(E,LC,NR,S,W,Y,SD,WD,N,NS,NDM)

CALL WKDVD(E,LC,NT,NR,NDF,MR(N12),S,W,MR(N23),MR(N24),MR(N25), 1 Y,SD,WD,VD,MR(N45),MR(N46),N,NS,NDM) CALL WKVID(NT,NR,NUMNP,NDF,MR(N23),X,MR(N27), 1 Y,MR(NF1),SD,VD,MR(N45),VID,N,NS,NPMAX) CALL FML(NT,NR,NUMNP,NDF,MR(NF1),MR(NF3),MR(N9),MR(N10), 1 S,W,WD,V,VI,MR(N27),MR(N29),MR(NUI),MR(N31),MR(N32),Y,VD,VID, 1 A,MR(N54),MR(N55),MR(N63),N,NS,NDM,NPMAX) CALL FLL(KEY, NT, NR, NUMNP, NDF, MR(N9), MR(N15), MR(N16), MR(ND1), 1 MR(N28), S, W, V, X, T, MR(N27), MR(N29), MR(NUI), MR(NF1), MR(NF2), 1 BK,A,AI,F,MR(N64),MR(N62),MR(N63), N,NS,NPMAX,NMMAX) IF(KEY .EQ. 1) THEN N1=N+1 N2=N\*2 DO 300 I=N1,N2 F(I)=YI(I-N)300 CONTINUE ENDIF IF(KEY .NE. 7) GOTO 778 777 CALL FT1(X,Y,T,F,N,NS) 778 CONTINUE 3001 FORMAT(/2X,'=X=',6F8.4) 3002 FORMAT(2X,'--Y--',5E15.6) 3003 FORMAT(2X,'--Y--',5E15.6) 3005 FORMAT(/2X,'=Y=',6F8.4) 3006 FORMAT(/2X,'=YN',6F8.4) 4001 FORMAT(2X,'S===',10F10.5) 4002 FORMAT(2X,'W===',10F10.5) 4003 FORMAT(2X, 'V===', 10F10.5) 4004 FORMAT(2X,'SD==',10F10.5) 4005 FORMAT(2X,'WD==',10F10.5) 4006 FORMAT(2X,'VD==',10F10.5) 5001 FORMAT(2X, 'VI==', 10F10.5) 5002 FORMAT(2X, 'VID=', 10F10.5) 5003 FORMAT(2X, 'FM==', 10F10.5) 5004 FORMAT(2X, 'FL==', 10F10.5) 5005 FORMAT(2X, 'F===', 10F10.5) 5006 FORMAT(2X,'AINV=',10F10.5) RETURN

END

SUBROUTINE IVSN(A,B,C,N,ME,DE,EP) DIMENSION A(2,2),B(2),C(2),ME(100) С С \* С \* CALCULATE THE INVERSE OF MATRICES \* С \* \* С DE=1. DO 10 J=1,N 10 ME(J)=JDO 20 I=1,N Y=0. DO 30 J=I,N IF(ABS(A(I,J)).LE.ABS(Y)) GOTO 30 K=J Y=A(I,J)22 CONTINUE 30 CONTINUE DE=DE\*Y IF(ABS(Y).LT.EP) THEN WRITE(3,4444) STOP ENDIF Y=1./Y DO 40 J=1,N C(J)=A(J,K)A(J,K)=A(J,I)A(J,I)=-C(J)\*YB(J)=A(I,J)\*Y40 A(I,J)=A(I,J)\*YA(I,I)=YJ=ME(I)ME(I)=ME(K)ME(K)=JDO 11 K=1,N IF(K.EQ.I) GO TO 11 DO 12 J=1,N IF(J.EQ.I) GO TO 12

```
A(K,J)=A(K,J)-B(J)*C(K)
 12
     CONTINUE
 11
     CONTINUE
 20
     CONTINUE
     DO 33 I=1,N
     DO 44 K=1,N
     IF(ME(K).EQ.I) GO TO 55
 44
     CONTINUE
 55
     IF(K.EQ.I) GO TO 33
     DO 66 J=1,N
     W=A(I,J)
     A(I,J)=A(K,J)
 66
     A(K,J)=W
     IW=ME(I)
     ME(I) = ME(K)
     ME(K) = IW
     DE=-DE
 33
     CONTINUE
4444 FORMAT(/2X,'444-444-444')
     RETURN
     END
     SUBROUTINE ATA(A,NS)
     DIMENSION A(NS,1), AA(25,25), AINV(7,7), B(25), C(25), ME(25),
     1
              WKAREA(25), EI(25, 25)
С
     *************************
С
                                                           *
                 CALCULATE THE INVERSE OF MATRIX A
С
     *
                                                          *
С
     *
С
     DO 200 L=1,NS
      DO 200 J=1,NS
         AA(L,J)=A(L,J)
 200
     CONTINUE
С
      CALL LINV1F(A,NS,NS,AINV,3,WKAREA,IER)
      EPS=10.0E-8
      CALL IVSN(A,B,C,NS,ME,DE,EPS)
     DO 400 L=1,NS
С
         WRITE(1,2002) (A(L,J),J=1,NS)
```

```
400 CONTINUE
 2001 FORMAT(2X,'==AA==',7F10.5)
 2002 FORMAT(2X, '=AINV=', 10F10.5)
      DO 600 L=1,NS
       DO 600 J=1,NS
          EI(L,J)=0.
          DO 590 I=1,NS
             EI(L,J)=EI(L,J)+AA(L,I)*A(I,J)
 590
          CONTINUE
 600 CONTINUE
      DO 700 L=1,NS
С
         WRITE(1,4001) (EI(L,J),J=1,NS)
700 CONTINUE
4001 FORMAT(2X, '==EI==',14F8.3)
      RETURN
      END
      SUBROUTINE CTC(A,IK)
     DIMENSION A(2,2), AA(2,2), AINV(2,2), B(25), C(25), ME(25),
     1
                WKAREA(25), EI(25, 25)
     DO 200 L=1,IK
      DO 200 J=1,IK
          AA(L,J)=A(L,J)
200 CONTINUE
      EPS=10.0E-8
      CALL IVSN(A,B,C,NS,ME,DE,EPS)
     DO 400 L=1,IK
         WRITE(1,2002) (A(L,J),J=1,IK)
400 CONTINUE
2001 FORMAT(2X,'==AA==',7F10.5)
2002 FORMAT(2X, '=AINV=', 10F10.5)
     DO 600 L=1,IK
      DO 600 J=1,IK
         EI(L,J)=0.
         DO 590 I=1,IK
            EI(L,J)=EI(L,J)+AA(L,I)*A(I,J)
590
         CONTINUE
600
     CONTINUE
     DO 700 L=1,IK
        WRITE(1,4001) (EI(L,J),J=1,IK)
```

```
700 CONTINUE
 4001 FORMAT(2X,'==EI==',14F8.3)
     RETURN
     END
     SUBROUTINE BINM(NT,NR,NUMNP,NDF,CO,BMI,BII,S,X,UI,BIN,BIM,
    1
                   N,NS,NPMAX)
     DIMENSION NT(N,1),NR(N,1),NUMNP(1),NDF(1),
    1 CO(N,NPMAX,1),BMI(N,1),BII(N,3,1),S(N,3,1),X(1),UI(N,NPMAX,1),
    1 BIN(N,3,1),BIM(N,3,1),BII1(3,3),BIN1(3,3),BIM1(3,3)
С
     С
     *
С
     *
         SET UP IVERTIA DYADIC FOR RIGID AND FLEXIBLE BODIES
                                                        *
C
                                                        *
C
     INRT=0
     DO 100 K=1,N
        INRT=INRT+NR(K,1)+NT(K,1)
 100 CONTINUE
     II=INRT
     DO 200 K=1,N
        NPK=NUMNP(K)
        IF(NPK .EQ. 0) GOTO 200
        NFK=NDF(K)
        INDF=1
        IF(NDF(K) .EQ. 2) INDF=2
        IF(NDF(K) .EQ. 1) INDF=3
       DO 190 I=1,NPK
          DO 170 M=1,3
             UI(K,I,M)=0.
 170
          CONTINUE
          DO 180 M=1,NFK
             UI(K,I,M+INDF-1)=X(II+M)
 180
          CONTINUE
          II=II+NFK
С
           WRITE(6,2001) (UI(K,I,M),M=1,3)
190
       CONTINUE
200
     CONTINUE
```

С

```
С
      SET UP BIN(K,3,3),BIM(K,3,3)
С
      DO 300 K=1,N
         NPK=NUMNP(K)
         DO 210 L=1,3
          DO 210 M=1,3
             BIN(K,L,M)=0.
             BIM(K,L,M)=0.
 210
         CONTINUE
         IF(NPK .EQ. 0) GOTO 300
         DO 280 I=1,NPK
            DO 240 M=1,3
               M1=M+1
               IF(M1 .EQ. 4) M1=1
               M2=M1+1
               IF(M2 .EQ. 4) M2=1
               BIN(K,M,M)=BIN(K,M,M)+BMI(K,I)*(CO(K,I,M1)*UI(K,I,M1))
     1
                                                 +CO(K,I,M2)*UI(K,I,M2))
 240
            CONTINUE
            DO 260 L=1,3
               DO 250 M=1,3
                  IF(M .EQ. L) GOTO 250
                  BIN(K,L,M) = -BMI(K,I) * CO(K,I,L) * UI(K,I,M)
               CONTINUE
 250
 260
            CONTINUE
 280
         CONTINUE
         DO 290 L=1,3
          DO 290 M=1,3
             BIM(K,L,M)=BIN(K,M,L)
 290
         CONTINUE
 300
     CONTINUE
С
С
      COORDINATE TRANSFORMATION FROM LOCAL TO GLOBLE
С
      DO 400 K=1,N
         DO 320 M=1,3
          DO 320 J=1,3
             BII1(M,J)=0.
             BIN1(M,J)=0.
```

BIM1(M,J)=0.DO 310 I=1,3 С IF( S(K,M,I) .LT. 1.E-10 ) S(K,M,I)=0. BII1(M,J)=BII1(M,J)+S(K,M,I)\*BII(K,I,J) BIN1(M,J)=BIN1(M,J)+S(K,M,I)\*BIN(K,I,J)BIM1(M,J)=BIM1(M,J)+S(K,M,I)\*BIM(K,I,J)310 CONTINUE 320 CONTINUE DO 350 I=1,3 DO 350 M=1,3 BIIJ=0. BINJ=0. BIMJ=0. DO 340 J=1,3 BIIJ=BIIJ+BII1(I,J)\*S(K,M,J) BINJ=BINJ+BIN1(I,J)\*S(K,M,J) BIMJ=BIMJ+BIM1(I,J)\*S(K,M,J) 340 CONTINUE BII(K,I,M)=BIIJ BIN(K,I,M)=BINJ BIM(K,I,M)=BIMJ 350 CONTINUE 400 CONTINUE 2001 FORMAT(5X, '=UI===', 3F10.5) 2002 FORMAT(5X,'-BII--',3F10.5) 2003 FORMAT(5X,'-BIN--',3F10.5) 2004 FORMAT(5X,'-BIM--',3F10.5) 2005 FORMAT(5X, '=BII==', 3F10.5) 2006 FORMAT(5X,'=BIN==',3F10.5) 2007 FORMAT(5X, '=BIM==', 3F10.5) RETURN END SUBROUTINE FML(NT,NR,NUMNP,NDF,CO,BMI,BM,BII,S,W,WD,V,VI,VIM, 1 VIN, UI, BIN, BIM, Y, VD, VID, A, AM, AMI, FM, N, NS, NDM, NPMAX)

DIMENSION NT(N,1),NR(N,1),NUMNP(1),NDF(1),CO(N,NPMAX,1),BMI(N,1), 2BM(1),BII(N,3,1),S(N,3,1),W(N,3,1),WD(N,3,1),V(N,3,1),SCO(3), 3VI(N,NPMAX,3,1),VIM(3,1),VIN(3,1), 4UI(N,NPMAX,1),BIN(N,3,1),BIM(N,3,1),Y(1),VD(N,3,1),

```
5VID(N,NPMAX,3,1),A(NS,1),AM(3,1),AMI(3,1),FM(1),RU(3,3),UT(3,3),
    6WY(3,3),WB(3),W1(3),W2(3),W3(3),SUI(3),G(6,6)
С
     С
     *
                                                        *
С
     *
              SET UP PART OF THE GENERALIZED LOAD VECTOR
                                                        *
С
     *
С
     INRT=0
     DO 100 K=1,N
        INRT=INRT+NR(K,1)+NT(K,1)
100 CONTINUE
     DO 101 L=1,NS
       FM(L)=0.
101 CONTINUE
     DO 11 I=1,NS
     DO 11 J=1,NS
        G(I,J)=0.
11
     CONTINUE
     DO 9000 K=1,N
       INDF=1
       IF(NDF(K) .EQ. 2) INDF=2
       IF(NDF(K) .EQ. 1) INDF=3
       NPK=NUMNP(K)
       NDFK=NDF(K)
       DO 120 M=1,3
          W1(M)=0.
          DO 110 J=1,NS
            W1(M) = W1(M) + WD(K, M, J) * Y(J)
110
          CONTINUE
120
       CONTINUE
       DO 140 M=1,3
          W2(M) = 0.
          DO 130 I=1,3
            W2(M)=W2(M)+(BII(K,M,I)+BIN(K,M,I)+BIM(K,M,I))*W1(I)
130
          CONTINUE
140
       CONTINUE
       DO 160 L=1,NS
          DO 150 M=1,3
            FM(L)=FM(L)+W2(M)*W(K,M,L)
```

150	CONTINUE
160	CONTINUE
	DO 1 I=1,NS
	DO 1 J=1,NS
	DO 2 M=1,3
	G(I,J)=G(I,J)+VD(K,I,M)*V(K,M,J)
2	CONTINUE
1	CONTINUE
	DO 3 I=1,NS
3	CONTINUE
	DO 220 M=1,3
	W1(M)=0.
	DO 210 J=1,NS
	W1(M) = W1(M) + VD(K,M,J) * Y(J)
210	CONTINUE
220	CONTINUE
	DO 260 L=1,NS
	DO 250 M=1,3
	FM(L) = FM(L) + BM(K) * W1(M) * V(K,M,L)
250	CONTINUE
260	CONTINUE
	DO 420 M=1,3
	WB(M) = 0.
	DO 410 J=1,NS
	WB(M) = WB(M) + W(K,M,J) + Y(J)
410	CONTINUE
420	CONTINUE
	DO 430 I=1,3
	WY(I,I)=0.
430	CONTINUE
	WY(1,2) = -WB(3)
	WY(1,3)=+WB(2)
	WY(2,3) = -WB(1)
	WY(2,1) = +WB(3)
	WY(3,1) = -WB(2)
	WY(3,2) = +WB(1)
	DO 450 I=1,3
	W2(I)=0.
	DO 440 M=1.3

	W2(I)=W2(I)+( BII(K,I,M)+BIN(K,I,M)+BIM(K,I,M) )*WB(M)
440	CONTINUE
450	CONTINUE
	DO 470 M=1,3
	W3(M)=0.
	DO 460 I=1,3
	W3(M)=W3(M)+WY(M,I)*W2(I)
460	CONTINUE
470	CONTINUE
	D0 490 L=1,NS
	DO 480 M=1,3
	FM(L)=FM(L)+W3(M)*W(K,M,L)
480	CONTINUE
490	CONTINUE
	IF(NPK .EQ. 0) GOTO 9000
	DO 8000 IP=1,NPK
	DO 280 M=1,3
	SCO(M)=0.
	SUI(M)=0.
	DO 270 I=1,3
	SCO(M)=SCO(M)+S(K,M,I)*CO(K,IP,I)
	SUI(M)=SUI(M)+S(K,M,I)*UI(K,IP,I)
270	CONTINUE
280	CONTINUE
	DO 433 I=1,3
	RU(I,I)=0.
	UT(I,I)=0.
433	CONTINUE
	RU(1,2) = -SCO(3) - SUI(3)
	RU(1,3) = +SCO(2) + SUI(2)
	RU(2,3) = -SCO(1) - SUI(1)
	RU(2,1) = -RU(1,2)
	RU(3,1) = -RU(1,3)
	RU(3,2) = -RU(2,3)
	UT(1,2) = -SUI(3)
	UT(1,3)=+SUI(2)
	UT(2,3) = -SUI(1)
	UT(2,1) = -UT(1,2)
	UT(3,1) = -UT(1,3)

	UT(3,2)=-UT(2,3)
	DO 320 M=1,3
	W1(M)=0.
	DO 310 J=1,NS
	W1(M) = W1(M) + WD(K, M, J) * Y(J)
310	CONTINUE
320	CONTINUE
	DO 340 M=1,3
	$W_2(M) = 0$ .
	DO 330 I=1,3
	W2(M)=W2(M)+RU(M,I)*W1(I)
330	CONTINUE
340	CONTINUE
	DO 360 L=1,NS
	DO 350 M=1,3
	<pre>FM(L)=FM(L)-BMI(K,IP)*W2(M)*V(K,M,L)</pre>
350	CONTINUE
360	CONTINUE
	DO 504 M=1,3
	DO 502 L=1,NS
	VIN(M,L)=0.
502	CONTINUE
504	CONTINUE
	DO 506 M=1,NDFK
	<pre>VIN(INDF-1+M,INRT+(IP-1)*NDF(K)+M)=1.</pre>
506	CONTINUE
	D0 508 M=1,3
	DO 508 L=1,NS
	VIM(M,L)=0.
	DO 507 I=1,3
	<pre>VIM(M,L)=VIM(M,L)+S(K,M,I)*VIN(I,L)</pre>
507	CONTINUE
508	CONTINUE
	DO 509 M=1,3
509	CONTINUE
	D0 520 M=1,3
	W1(M)=0.
	D0 510 J=1,NS
	W1(M) = W1(M) + VIM(M, J) * Y(J)

510	CONTINUE
520	CONTINUE
	D0 550 I=1,3
	W2(I)=0.
	D0 540 M=1,3
	W2(I)=W2(I)+WY(I,M)*W1(M)
540	CONTINUE
550	CONTINUE
	DO 570 M=1,3
	W3(M)=0.
	D0 560 I=1,3
	W3(M)=W3(M)+RU(M,I)*W2(I)
560	CONTINUE
570	CONTINUE
	D0 590 L=1,NS
	DO 580 M=1,3
	FM(L)=FM(L)+2*BMI(K,IP)*W3(M)*W(K,M,L)
580	CONTINUE
590	CONTINUE
	DO 604 M=1,3
	W1(M) = 0.
	D0 602 J=1,NS
	W1(M)=W1(M)+WD(K,M,J)*Y(J)
602	CONTINUE
604	CONTINUE
	D0 620 M=1,3
	$W_2(M) = 0.$
	DO 610 I=1,3
	W2(M) = W2(M) - UT(M, I) * W1(I)
610	CONTINUE
620	CONTINUE
	D0 650 I=1,3
	W3(I)=0.
	DO 640 M=1,3
	W3(I)=W3(I)+UT(I,M)*W2(M)
640	CONTINUE
650	CONTINUE
	DO 690 L=1,NS
	DO 680 M=1,3

	FM(L)=FM(L)+BMI(K,IP)*W3(M)*W(K,M,L)
680	CONTINUE
690	CONTINUE
	D0 704 I=1,3
	W1(I)=0.
	D0 702 M=1,3
	W1(I)=W1(I)+WY(I,M)*SUI(M)
702	CONTINUE
	IF( ABS( W1(I) ) .LT. 1.E-20 ) W1(I)=0.
704	CONTINUE
	DO 720 M=1,3
	W2(M) = 0.
	DO 710 I=1,3
	W2(M) = W2(M) + WY(M, I) * W1(I)
710	CONTINUE
720	CONTINUE
	DO 750 I=1,3
	W3(I)=0.
	DO 740 M=1,3
	W3(I)=W3(I)+UT(I,M)*W2(M)
740	CONTINUE
750	CONTINUE
	DO 790 L=1,NS
	DO 780 M=1,3
	FM(L)=FM(L)+BMI(K,IP)*W3(M)*W(K,M,L)
780	CONTINUE
790	CONTINUE
	DO 820 I=1,3
	W1(I)=0.
	D0 810 J=1,NS
	W1(I)=W1(I)+VD(K,I,J)*Y(J)
810	CONTINUE
820	CONTINUE
	DO 840 M=1,3
	W2(M)=0.
	DO 830 I=1,3
	W2(M) = W2(M) + RU(M, I) * W1(I)
830	CONTINUE
840	CONTINUE

	DO 860 L=1,NS
	DO 850 M=1,3
	<pre>FM(L)=FM(L)+BMI(K,IP)*W2(M)*W(K,M,L)</pre>
850	CONTINUE
860	CONTINUE
	DO 920 I=1,3
	W1(I)=0.
	D0 910 J=1,NS
	W1(I)=W1(I)+VD(K,I,J)*Y(J)
910	CONTINUE
920	CONTINUE
	DO 960 L=1,NS
	DO 950 M=1,3
	<pre>FM(L)=FM(L)+BMI(K,IP)*W1(M)*VIM(M,L)</pre>
950	CONTINUE
960	CONTINUE
	DO 1004 M=1,3
	W1(M)=0.
	D0 1002 J=1,NS
	W1(M) = W1(M) + WD(K, M, J) * Y(J)
1002	CONTINUE
1004	CONTINUE
	DO 1020 I=1,3
	W2(I)=0.
	DO 1010 M=1,3
	W2(I)=W2(I)+RU(I,M)*W1(M)
1010	CONTINUE
1020	CONTINUE
	DO 1040 L=1,NS
	DO 1030 M=1,3
	FM(L)=FM(L)-BMI(K,IP)*W2(M)*VIM(M,L)
1030	CONTINUE
1040	CONTINUE
	DO 1104 M=1,3
	W1(M) = 0.
	DO 1102 J=1,NS
	W1(M) = W1(M) + VIM(M, J) * Y(J)
1102	CONTINUE
1104	CONTINUE

	DU 1120 I=1,3	
	$W_2(I)=0.$	
	DO 1110 M=1,3	
	W2(I)=W2(I)+WY(I,M)*W1(M)	
1110	CONTINUE	
1120	CONTINUE	
	D0 1140 L=1,NS	
	DO 1130 M=1,3	
	FM(L)=FM(L)+2*BMI(K,IP)*W2(M)*( V(K,M,L)+VIM(M,L) )	
1130	CONTINUE	sa Lipsi
1140	CONTINUE	
	DO 1220 I=1,3	
	W2(I)=0.	
	DO 1210 M=1,3	
	W2(I)=W2(I)+WY(I,M)*( SCO(M)+SUI(M) )	
1210	CONTINUE	
	IF( ABS( W2(I) ) .LT. 1.E-20 ) W2(I)=0.	
1220	CONTINUE	
	DO 1240 M=1,3	
	DO 1230 I=1,3	
	W3(M) = W3(M) + WY(M, I) + W2(I)	
1230	CONTINUE	
1240	CONTINUE	
	DO 1260 L=1,NS	
	DO 1250 M=1,3	
	<pre>FM(L)=FM(L)+BMI(K,IP)*W3(M)*( V(K,M,L)+VIM(M,L) )</pre>	
1250	CONTINUE	bag.
1260	CONTINUE	
	WRITE(1,2012) ( FM(L),L=1,NS )	
8000	CONTINUE	가 가 가 가 다. 
	INRT=INRT+NDF(K)*NUMNP(K)	
9000	CONTINUE	•
2001	FORMAT(2X, '=FM.1=', 12F8.4)	
2002	FORMAT(2X, '=FM.2=', 12F8.4)	
2003	FORMAT(2X, '=FM.3=', 12F8.4)	
2004	FORMAT(2X, '=FM.4=', 12F8.4)	
2005	FURMAT(2X, '=FM.5=', 12F8.4)	
2006	FURMAT(2X, '=FM.6=', 12F8.4)	
2007	FURMAT(2X,'=FM.7=',12F8.4)	

```
2008 FORMAT(2X,'=FM.8=',12F8.4)
2009 FORMAT(2X,'=FM.9=',12F8.4)
2010 FORMAT(2X,'=FM.10',12F8.4)
2011 FORMAT(2X,'=FM.11',12F8.4)
2012 FORMAT(2X,'=FM.12',12F8.4)
3001 FORMAT(2X, '=VIM==', 12F8.4)
3002 FORMAT(2X, '=SCO==', 2I5, 12F8.4)
3003 FORMAT(2X,'=SUI==',2I5,12F8.4)
6001 FORMAT('V=',6E11.4)
6002 FORMAT('VD',6E11.4)
6003 FORMAT('G=',6E11.4)
     RETURN
     END
    SUBROUTINE FCN(NN,T,Y,YPRIME)
    REAL T, Y(NN), YPRIME(NN)
    CALL YP(NN,T,Y,YPRIME)
    RETURN
    END
    SUBROUTINE FCNJ(NN,T,Y,PD)
    DIMENSION Y(NN), PD(NN, NN)
    RETURN
    END
    SUBROUTINE YP(NN,T,Y,F)
    REAL T, Y(1), F(1)
    COMMON/ROOM/MR(100000)
    COMMON/POINTO/N1,N2,N3,N4,N5,N6
    COMMON/POINTF/NF1,NF2,NF3,NF4,NF5,NF6,NF7,NF8
    COMMON/POINT1/N9,N10,N11,N12,N13,N14,N15,N16,N17,N18,N19,N20
    COMMON/POINT2/N21,N22,N23,N24,N25,NXL,N26,N27,N28,N29
    COMMON/POINT3/NUI,N31,N32,NYL,NYI,NYD,ND1,ND2,ND3
    COMMON/POINT4/N41,N42,N43,N44,N45,N46,N47
    COMMON/POINT5/N51,N52,N53,N54,N55
    COMMON/POINT6/N61,N62,N63,N64
    COMMON/POINT7/N71,N72,N73,N74,N75
    IF(T .EQ. 0.0) THEN
      DO 10 I=11,12
```

```
F(I) = 0.01
10
       CONTINUE
     ENDIF
     CALL DEFY(20,MR(N1),MR(N2),MR(N3),MR(N4),MR(N5),MR(N17),
        MR(N20), MR(N21), MR(N22), MR(NXL), MR(N26), T, Y, YI,
    1
        MR(NF7), MR(N41), MR(N44), MR(N47), MR(N51), MR(N52), F,
    1
    1
        2, 6, 2, 50, 100
     RETURN
     END
     SUBROUTINE CDGEAR(Y,YI,N,NS)
     EXTERNAL
                 FCN, FCNJ
     DIMENSION Y(1), YI(1), IWK(2), WK(1000), COMP(100)
     NN=NS*2
     Т
           = 0.0
     TOL
           = .000001
     Η
           = .00001
     METH = 1
     MITER = 0
     INDEX = 1
     DO 700 KK=1,500
        TEND=FLOAT(KK)/100.
333
        CALL DGEAR (NN,FCN,FCNJ,T,H,Y,TEND,TOL,METH,MITER,
    1
                        INDEX, IWK, WK, IER)
        IF(IER.GT.128) GO TO 800
        NS1=NS+1
        NS2=NS+2
        NS3=NS+3
        NS4=NS+4
        NS5=NS+5
        NS6=NS+6
        NS7=NS+7
        NS8=NS+8
        NS9=NS+9
        NS10=NS+10
        NS11=NS+11
        NS12=NS+12
        NS13=NS+13
        NS14=NS+14
```

```
YS=Y(NS1)+Y(NN)
         XS=Y(NS1)+Y(NS2)
      WRITE(2,5001) T,Y(NS),Y(NS1),Y(NS2)
      WRITE(3,5001) T,Y(NS3),Y(NS5),Y(NS6)
 700 CONTINUE
      RETURN
 800 WRITE(3,5003) TOL, TEND, H, T, METH, MITER, INDEX
      WRITE(3,5004) (Y(I),I=1,NN)
      RETURN
 5001 FORMAT(2F12.7,5E15.6)
 5002 FORMAT(2F12.7,5E15.6)
 5003 FORMAT(2X, 'TOL, XEND, H, , METH, MITER, INDEX', 4F10.5, 315)
 5004 FORMAT(2X, '**Y**', 7F10.5)
 5005 FORMAT(5E14.6)
      END
      LOGICAL FUNCTION PCOMP(A,B)
С
      IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H,O-Z)
      PCOMP = .FALSE.
C.... IT MAY BE NECESSARY TO REPLACE THE FOLLOWING ALPHANUMERIC
C.... COMPARISON STATEMENT IF COMPUTER PRODUCES AN OVERFLOW
      IF(A.EQ.B) PCOMP = .TRUE.
      RETURN
      END
      BLOCK DATA
      COMMON/QDATA/QO,QHEAD(20),IPR
      DATA QO/1HO/, IPR/2/
      END
      SUBROUTINE DESN(K,T,HM,N)
      DIMENSION HM(N,1)
      HM(K,1)=0.
      IF(T .LT. 0.05) HM(K,1)=1.
      IF(T .GT. 0.1 .AND. T .LT. 0.15) HM(K,1)=-1.
      RETURN
      END
      SUBROUTINE FT1(X,Y,T,F,N,NS)
     DIMENSION X(1), Y(1), F(1)
     COMMON/ROOM/MR(100000)
```

```
COMMON/POINT3/NUI,N31,N32,NYL,NYI,NYD,ND1,ND2,ND3
     COMMON/POINT1/N9,N10,N11,N12,N13,N14,N15,N16,N17,N18,N19,N20
8001 FORMAT(5X,'--X--',8F13.8)
     DO 100 L=1,NS
        F(L)=0.
100 CONTINUE
     BK11=19.6
     G
         =9.8
     BM =0.2
     BL =0.4
     W1=SQRT(BK11/BM)
     W2=SQRT(G/BL)
     WRITE(1,2001) W1,W2
     I =0
     I1=I+1
     I2=I+2
     I3=I+3
     I4=I+4
     F(I1) = -W1 * * 2 * Y(I3) + (1.+Y(I3)) * (Y(I2) * * 2) - W2 * 2 * (1 - COS(Y(I4)))
     F(I_2) = -(2./(1.+Y(I_3)))*Y(I_1)*Y(I_2)
    1
                              -W2**2*( 1./(1.+Y(I3)) )*SIN(Y(I4))
2001 FORMAT(2X, '=W1--W2=',7F10.5)
2004 FORMAT(/2X,'==F===',7F10.5)
      RETURN
      END
     SUBROUTINE ADNL(N, BM, BC, BK, BK1, R, R1, U, UD, UDD, U1, U1D, U1DD,
    1
                      BMN, BCN, BKN, RN, U2, U2D, U2DD )
     IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
     DIMENSION BM(N,1), BC(N,1), BK(N,1), BK1(N,1), R(1), R1(1),
    1
                U(1),UD(1),UDD(1),U1(1),U1D(1),U1DD(1),
    2
                BMN(N,1),BCN(N,1),BKN(N,1),RN(1),U2(1),U2D(1),U2DD(1)
     COMMON/ROOM/W(10000)
     COMMON/A0A7/A0,A1,A2,A3,A4,A5,A6,A7,TOL
     COMMON/N0112/N1 ,N2 ,N3 ,N4 ,N5 ,N6 ,N7 ,N8 ,N9 ,N10,N11,N12
     COMMON/N2127/N21,N22,N23,N24,N25,N26,N27
     DO 100 I=1,N
     DO 100 J=1,N
          BMN(I,J)=BM(I,J)
```

```
BCN(I,J)=BC(I,J)
          BKN(I,J)=BK(I,J)
100 CONTINUE
     II=0
111 II=II+1
     BMN(1,1)=0.2*(0.4+U1(N))**2
     BKN(1,1)=0.2*9.8*(0.4+U1(N))
     RN(1) = -2*0.2*(0.4+U1(N))*U1D(1)*U1D(N)
     RN(N)= 0.2*(0.4+U1(N))*U1D(1)*U1D(1)-0.2*9.8*( 1.-DCOS(U1(1)) )
     DO 130 I=1,N
        DO 120 J=1.N
           R1(I)=R1(I)+BMN(I,J)*( A0*U(J)+A2*UD(J)+A3*UDD(J) )
                      +BCN(I,J)*( A1*U(J)+A4*UD(J)+A5*UDD(J) )
    1
120
        CONTINUE
        R1(I)=R1(I)+R(I)+RN(I)
130 CONTINUE
     DO 110 I=1,N
      DO 110 J=1,N
         BK1(I,J)=BKN(I,J)+A0*BM(I,J)+A1*BC(I,J)
110 CONTINUE
     CALL GAUSS(N, BK1, R1, ID)
     WRITE(6,*) 'ID=', ID
     WRITE(6,*) 'R1==', ( R1(I),I=1,N )
     DO 140 I=1,N
        U2 (I)=R1(I)
        U2DD(I) = AO*(U2(I) - U(I)) - A2*UD(I) - A3*UDD(I)
        U2D (I)=UD(I)
                                 +A6*UDD(I)+A7*U2DD(I)
140 CONTINUE
     A1=DABS ( (U2(1)-U1(1))/U1(1) )
     A2=DABS ( (U2(N)-U1(N))/U1(N) )
     WRITE(6,*) 'A1,A2===', A1,A2
     AM=DMAX1(A1,A2)
    DO 150 I=1,N
       U1 (I)=U2 (I)
       U1D (I)=U2D (I)
       U1DD(I)=U2DD(I)
150 CONTINUE
     IF( AM .GT. TOL ) GOTO 111
1001 FORMAT(F10.5)
```

```
2001 FORMAT(2X,'==TOL==',F10.5)
      RETURN
      END
      FUNCTION
                DOT(A,B,N)
      IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,0-Z)
С
      GENERIC
C.... VECTOR DOT PRODUCT
С
     DIMENSION A(1),B(1)
     DOT = 0.0
     DO 100 I = 1,N
100 DOT = DOT + A(I)*B(I)*1.E20
     RETURN
     END
```