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#### Abstract

Chirality is an important feature that many Organic molecules possess and can have huge repercussions in the activity of Organic compounds. Therefore, the development of stereoselective methodologies in Organic chemistry has been an are of focus for many years. In the 2000s, organocatalysis emerged as an excellent tool for asymmetric synthesis and quickly received a great deal of attention by chemists namely, the field of aminocatalysis, where small amine-based chiral organic molecules could be used as catalysts to, not only activate carbonyl compounds but also, to provide the required facial differentiation during the chemical transformations these carbonyl molecules underwent and thus, produce enantioenriched products.

The activation of carbonyl compounds, namely aldehydes and ketones can take place either by increasing their nucleophilicity (enamine pathway or HOMO-activation pathway) or by increasing their electrophilicity (iminium ion pathway or LUMO-lowering pathway). These small chiral amine-based molecules are easily accessible from natural sources like amino acids and cinchona alkaloids. Taking advantage of the vinylogy principle, reactivity of the carbonyl substrates can be extended to more remote positions whilst maintaining total control over the stereo-outcome of the transformations. In more recent years, aminocatalysis has been proven to be an excellent way of achieving several cycloaddition reactions with excellent levels of enantiocontrol, yielding highly functionalyzed products bearing multiple contiguous stereocenters.

The focus of this Thesis will be in the computational study and subsequent application of aminocatalysis in the development of asymmetric pericyclic reactions, namely cycloadditions. Four projects will be discussed, starting with a DFT study (M06-2X/6-31+G(d,p)) on the aminocatalyzed induced dearomatization of heteroaromatic aldehydes which, following the results obtained, allowed us to develop two distinct approaches to the synthesis of dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indole scaffolds, either through an intramolecular Michael addition reaction or through an aza-Diels-Alder reaction.


The exploration of aminocatalysis as a tool for higher-order cycloadditions was also explored in this Thesis, by use of an oxo-fulvene system. However, this fulvene system proved troublesome to synthesize and the desired reactions were never studied.

Asymmetric Ireland-Claisen rearrangements were also explored in this Thesis using either H -bond catalysis or APTC. Unfortunately, lack of reactivity of the starting materials and side reactions failed to give the desired rearranged products.
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## Part I - Introduction

## Chapter I - Asymmetric Synthesis

Nature is asymmetrical, it possesses a left and right hand and can distinguish between the two. On our planet, and possibly in the Universe, life exists in a single-handed form with some saying that without homochirality life would not be possible. On Earth, almost all chiral molecules in living organisms are found in just one form: sugars are right-handed, amino acids are left-handed, and DNA coils into righthanded helices. ${ }^{1}$

The homochirality observed in those building blocks causes biological systems to be chiral environments and therefore, different enantiomers of the same molecule can have different biological activities. Figure 1.1 illustrates these differences on a few selected molecules. ${ }^{2,3}$

$R$-Limonene (smell of oranges)


S-Ibuprofen (anti-inflamatory)

$R$-Thalidomide (teratogen)



(S,S)-Ethanbutol (antituberculosis drug)


Levomethorphan (opioid analgesic)


S-Methanphetamine
(illicit narcotic)

( $R, R$ )-Ethanbutol (causes blindness)


Dextromethorphan (cough supressant with halucinogenic properties)

$R$-Methanphetamine (nasal decongestant)

Figure 1. 1 - Selected examples of pairs of enantiomers and their respective biological activity.

From the innocuous limonene and ibuprofen to the infamous thalidomide and methamphetamine it is clearly necessary to account for the stereochemistry when designing and testing new drugs. The example of thalidomide, which was commercialized as a racemic mixture back in the 1960s, caused governmental
agencies to tighten regulations surrounding chiral pharmaceuticals and more recently, those regulations have been extended to agrochemicals as well. Therefore, the need to develop stereoselective protocols has been a focal point in synthetic Organic Chemistry for many years now. ${ }^{4}$

There are three main ways of achieving stereoselectivity in Organic synthesis: (i) chiral pool; (ii) chiral auxiliaries and reagents; and (iii) chiral catalysts. The chiral pool approach makes use of cheap, readily available, and enantiomerically pure natural products, such as amino acids or sugars, as the building blocks for the target molecule. The transformations performed in these compounds occur in a stereoselective fashion, due to the stereocenters already present, and the building blocks chosen end up being part of the final product. Chiral auxiliaries and chiral reagents are enantiomerically pure compounds, usually derived from the chiral pool, which are attached to a pro-chiral starting material. A diastereoselective reaction is then carried out followed by removal of the chiral auxiliary, leaving an enantiomerically pure compound behind. Stoichiometric amounts of chiral auxiliaries or reagents must be employed; however, it is sometimes possible to recover/regenerate them for use in other reactions. Finally, the chiral catalyst approach can also be used. The catalysts can also be derived from the chiral pool, are used in sub-stoichiometric amounts, and provide activation of the pro-chiral substrate towards the desired reaction as well as facial differentiation to the transformation since they themselves are chiral. ${ }^{5}$

In this Dissertation we will explore the use of chiral organocatalysts in asymmetric pericyclic reactions. Pericyclic reactions, as we will discuss later, are usually disaterioselective and easy to predict the major diastereomer produced. Allying this feature of pericyclic reaction with chiral organocatalysts we hope to achieve highly enantio- and diastereoselective transformations which could allow us to access complex and biologically relevant molecules bearing multiple stereocenters.

## Chapter II - Pericyclic Reactions

A pericyclic reaction is one that involves a transition state where the electrons involved in the process of bond formation and breaking are aligned in a cyclic array with an associated cyclic array of interacting orbitals and a rearrangement of $\sigma$ and $\pi$ bonds occurs within this cyclic system. These reactions are also concerted meaning, the electrons move around in a concerted way and there are no positive or negative charges in any intermediate; as a matter of fact, there are no intermediates at all. ${ }^{6}$ The three main categories of pericyclic reactions are (i) cycloadditions; (ii) sigmatropic rearrangements; and (iii) electrocyclizations. Of these three types, cycloadditions are, probably, the most widely used and are the ones that have found wider application in asymmetric aminocatalyzed processes which we will discuss later in this Thesis. Therefore, we will mostly focus on the factors that influence the mechanism of cycloaddition reactions, with the other two types being briefly mentioned for completeness sakes. In Part II of this Dissertation, we will discuss in slightly more detail one specific example of a sigmatropic rearrangement the Ireland-Claisen rearrangement - and illustrate which factors contribute to this reaction.

Cycloaddition reactions involve the combination of two molecules to form a new ring through a concerted reorganization of the $\pi$-electron systems of the reactants, forming two new $\sigma$-bonds. One of the most famous examples of a cycloaddition reaction is the Diels-Alder reaction which was developed in 1928 by Otto Diels and Kurt Alder ${ }^{7,8}$ with the authors having been awarded the Nobel Prize for its discovery in 1950. ${ }^{9}$ This reaction consisted on heating cyclopentadiene $\mathbf{1}$ and 1,4-benzoquinone 2 to afford the cycloadduct $\mathbf{3}$, which, upon treatment of with another equivalent of $\mathbf{1}$ afforded product $\mathbf{4}$ (Scheme 1.1).


Scheme 1.1 - First example of a Diels-Alder reaction between cyclopentadiene 1 and 1,4-benzoquinone 2.

The Diels-Alder reaction is a type of cycloaddition also denominated as [4+2]-cycloaddition, where the numbers denote how many electrons are involved in the overall process. It requires a diene possessing $4 \pi$-electrons and a dienophile with $2 \pi$-electrons. ${ }^{10}$ In the original report illustrated in Scheme 1.1, the diene is cyclopentadiene $\mathbf{1}$ and the dienophile is 1,4-benzoquinone $\mathbf{2}$.

The mechanisms of pericyclic reactions can be understood within the framework of molecular orbital theory (MO). Consideration of the MOs of reagents and products reveals that in many cases a smooth transformation of the orbitals of reactants to those of products is possible. ${ }^{11}$ MO theory assumes that electrons move freely within molecular orbitals, which are originated from linear combination of atomic orbitals. This description of electronic structure has been around since the early $20^{\text {th }}$ century and has proven to be very useful especially in molecules that contain conjugated $\pi$-systems. ${ }^{12}$ Frontier Molecular Orbital (FMO) theory was first proposed in the 1970s by Fukui ${ }^{13}$ and has been widely used in Organic chemistry to explain/predict reactivity. Broadly speaking, FMO states that, in order for two molecules to come together and react with one another, one only needs to account for the interaction between the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) of one molecule, with the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of the other molecule. This interaction gives rise to the lowest energy gap between the two molecules and, therefore, the more favoured reaction pathway. Going back to the Diels-Alder reaction depicted in Scheme 1.1, and using FMO analysis, we can visualize the orbitals in each of the starting reagents $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ and, based on their relative energies, predict which interactions are occurring (Figure 1.2). ${ }^{5}$

The electron-deficient dienophile which, in the given example, is 1,4-benzoquinone 2, has a lowenergy LUMO while the electron-rich diene, in this particular example cyclopentadiene 1, possesses a highenergy HOMO. Therefore, the combination of these orbitals gives better overlap in the transition state (path (a) in Figure 1.2). Conversely, path (b) (Figure 1.2) has a higher energy gap causing the overlap between these orbitals to be disfavoured. However, there are cases where electron-rich dienophiles possess a highenergy HOMO which can interact with a low-energy LUMO of an electron-deficient diene. These reactions are called inverse electron demand Diels-Alder and in Chapter III of Part I we will see some examples.


Figure 1.2-Orbital diagram for the Diels-Alder reaction showcasing the two possible HOMO/LUMO interactions, (a) and (b), with path (a) being favoured over path (b) due to the lower energy gap associated.

The Diels-Alder reaction illustrated in Scheme 1.1, as mentioned already, is a [4+2]-cycloaddition. However, there are more types of cycloadditions, which are described by how many $\pi$-electrons are involved in the transition state. Therefore, there can be $[2+2]-,[4+2]-,[6+2]-,[6+4]$-cycloadditions and so on. Looking at the example illustrated in Scheme 1.1, why do we not see the cycloadduct corresponding to the $[2+2]$-cycloaddition? If the diene and dienophile possess the required number of electrons to undergo a $[4+2]$ than, they clearly also possess the electrons to undergo a $[2+2]$-cycloaddition, yet they do not.

The reason why we observe certain cycloaddition pathways and not others, for the same substrates, has to do with selection rules that govern pericyclic reactions. The most widely used and general set of selection rules was first proposed by Woodward and Hoffmann in $1965^{14}$ where the authors rationalized the different reactivities and relative stereo-outcomes observed when performing electrocyclization reactions under thermal and photochemical conditions, for a series of conjugated systems. These selection rules were further expanded in 1969, by the same authors, ${ }^{15}$ to account for the same issues observed in other pericyclic reactions and became known as the Woodward-Hoffmann rules which state that orbital symmetry must be
maintained in any given pericyclic reaction, and its general formulation is as follows: "A ground-state pericyclic change is symmetry-allowed when the total number of $(4 q+2)_{s}$ and $(4 r)_{a}$ components is odd". ${ }^{12,15}$ Where $q$ and $r$ are integer numbers ( $0,1,2,3$, etc); and the subscripts $s$ and $a$ stand for suprafacial (i.e. both bonds forming on the same face) and antarafacial (i.e. new bonds being formed on different faces), respectively. Another way to formulate the Woodward-Hoffamann rules is by considering a given $[\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}]-$ cycloaddition. If $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}=4 \mathrm{n}+2$ (with n being an integer number $0,1,2,3, \ldots$ ) then, orbital symmetry is maintained only when the components approach in a supra/suprafacial or antara/antarafacial fashion, for a thermal process, or supra/antarafacial approach for a photochemical process and therefore, the reaction is allowed. On the other hand, if $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}=4 \mathrm{n}$ then, orbital symmetry is only maintained in a supra/antarafacial fashion for thermal processes and supra/suprafacial or antara/antarafacial approach for photochemical processes. Table 1.1 summarizes these rules for better clarity. ${ }^{6}$

Table 1.1 - Summary of the selection rules for pericyclic reactions (where $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}$ denote the total number of electrons involved in the process, $n$ is an integer number like $0,1,2,3, \ldots, s$ stands for suprafacial and $a$ stands for antarafacial).

| $\mathbf{p + q}$ | Allowed | Forbidden | Photochemical Process |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Allowed | Forbidden |  |
| $4 \mathrm{n}+2$ | $(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s})$ or $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a})$ | $(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{a})$ or $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{s})$ | $(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{a})$ or $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{s})$ | $(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s})$ or $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a})$ |
| 4 n | $(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{a})$ or $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{s})$ | $(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s})$ or $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a})$ | $(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s})$ or $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a})$ | $(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{a})$ or $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{s})$ |

The Woodward-Hoffmann rules are always obeyed and one finds that the signs of the coefficients of the frontier molecular orbitals regularly account for the conservation of orbital symmetry making the selection rules as well as FMO theory the most powerful model of prediction for reaction selectivity. Hoffmann and Fukui were awarded the Nobel prize in Chemistry in $1981 .{ }^{16}$ R. B. Woodward passed away in 1979 making him not eligible for what would have been his second Nobel prize.

In 1966, independent works from Zimmerman ${ }^{17}$ on cyclization reactions and Dewar ${ }^{18}$ on cycloaddition reactions showed that the type of aromaticity, either Hückel or Möbius, in the transition state
plays a role in the observed reactivity, with systems that present $4 \mathrm{n}+2$ electrons showcasing Hückel aromaticity and Möbius anti-aromaticity while systems with 4n electrons exhibit Möbius aromaticity but are Hückel anti-aromatic ( n being an integer number such as $0,1,2,3, \ldots$ ). This aromaticity/anti-aromaticity also determines if a given pericyclic reaction is allowed or forbidden and is also called the aromatic transition state theory. All these rules have shown to be complementary of one other and they can be easily applied to any given system to predict if, and under what conditions, a specific pericyclic reaction takes place.

Let us examine the Diels-Alder reaction illustrated in Scheme 1.1 whilst comparing it to the [2+2]reaction that we know was not observed. Firstly, the reaction was performed under thermal conditions and, as clear from Table 1.1, this is an important factor to be considered as it will affect which FMOs need to be analyzed. Considering FMO theory, the two components in the Diels-Alder reaction are the HOMO of the diene (1) and the LUMO of the dienophile (2). If they both approach each other from the same face both FMOs will have a constructive interaction and a new bond can be formed (see TS-[4+2] in Scheme 1.2). This is called a supra/supra approach and is in agreement with the Woodward-Hoffmann rules. We have one component with 2 electrons, which is a $(4 q+2)_{s}$ component and no $(4 r)_{\mathrm{a}}$ components thus, the No. of $(4 q+2)_{\mathrm{s}}+(4 \mathrm{r})_{\mathrm{a}}=1+0=1$ as it is required. Conversely, the $[2+2]$-cycloadduct would require a $(4 q+2)_{\mathrm{s}}=1$ but also, $\mathrm{a}(4 \mathrm{r})_{\mathrm{a}}=1$ giving a total of 2 and hence, the $[2+2]$ process is forbidden. In respect to the FMO theory, there is one destructive interaction between the HOMO of $\mathbf{1}$ and the LUMO of $\mathbf{2}$ in the TS-[2+2] (Scheme 1.2) . Therefore, the process is forbidden or would have to take place in a stepwise fashion and thus, would no longer be a pericyclic reaction (Scheme 1.2).


Scheme 1. 2- Comparisson between the allowed [4+2]-cycloaddition and the forbidden [2+2]-cycloaddition between 1 and 2.

As it is evident in Scheme 1.2, the interaction between the HOMO of the diene and the LUMO of the dienophile, for the [4+2]-cycloaddition, have the same coefficient sign meaning they interact in a constructive way allowing for the reaction to take place. On the contrary, in the [2+2] pathway, those same orbitals would have a point with destructive interaction which creates an anti-bonding orbital hence, the [2+2]-cycloaddition is forbidden which is in agreement with what is observed experimentally. Finally, in the transition state, we have 6 electrons being displaced. According to the Dewar-Zimmerman model, this makes the transition state aromatic with a Hückel topology and therefore, the reaction is allowed. The [2+2]cycloaddition between $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ would be a 4-electron process which could only be allowed if one of the components would approach in an antarafacial way, allowing for a 4 n aromatic Möbius transition state. However, for a thermal, close-shell process, this would then violate the remaining rules or would require the transformation to take place in a stepwise fashion meaning it would no longer be a pericyclic reaction.

Moreover, these selections rules can also provide insights into the relative stereochemistry of the products. We already mentioned the suprafacial vs antarafacial approaches between the two components of the Diels-Alder reaction where the supra/suprafacial approach means that both components interact through the same face which, inevitably, conserves the relative relationship between the substituents from the
reagent to the product. This supra/suprafacial approach makes Diels-Alder reactions stereospecific where the stereochemistry of the reagents is maintained in the final product. For the example given in Scheme 1.1, the dienophile 2 has a cis relationship between its hydrogens therefore, that relationship is maintained in the final product 3. The same type of stereospecificity is also observed for the diene $\mathbf{1}$ however, it can, sometimes, be a little bit harder to understand.

Another interesting feature of the Diels-Alder reaction is the ability to predict its diastereoselective. When the diene and dienophile approach each other, they can do so in two distinct ways denoted as endo or exo giving rise to products that are diastereomers of one another. The endo vs exo approaches are more noticeable when the dienophile possesses electron withdrawing groups that can interact with the backbone of the diene through secondary orbital interactions. ${ }^{19-22}$ These interactions make the transition state for the endo-product preferred and will give rise to the kinetic product while the exo-approach produces the thermodynamic product. Scheme 1.3 illustrates both the stereospecificity as well as the endo vs exo approaches for the Diels-Alder reaction between diene $\mathbf{1}$ and dienophile $\mathbf{2}$ (Scheme 1.3).

Furthermore, the regioselectivity of Diels-Alder reactions can also be easily predicted. The example we have been exploring so far, between diene $\mathbf{1}$ and dienophile $\mathbf{2}$, does not allow us to see this feature but, if we consider the asymmetric alkenes depicted in Scheme 1.4 then we can see the different regioisomers that can be formed. Due to the presence of an electron-donating group in the diene $\mathbf{6}$, the lobes of its HOMO have different coefficients and similarly, in the dienophile 7, the presence of an electron-withdrawing group also affects the coefficients of the lobes of its LUMO. The HOMO/LUMO interaction will take place between the lobes with a similar coefficient as the overlap is more efficient. Therefore, the regioselectivity of these reactions becomes easy to predict (Scheme 1.4). ${ }^{5,12}$


endo-3
Major Product

exo-3 Minor Product

TS-endo


Scheme 1.3-Regioselectivity and endo vs exo approach in the Diels-Alder reaction between $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$.


Scheme 1.4-Regioselectivity of Diels-Alder reactions when the asymmetric diene $\mathbf{6}$ and dienophile 7 are used.

As we venture into cycloadditions involving higher conjugated systems, a new problem arises relating to how many electrons and, therefore, which site of the highly conjugated system are going to be involved in the cycloaddition. This is called periselectivity and the Woodward-Hoffmann rules do not provide any help in this matter. Those rules state that, for all suprafacial reactions, only a total of $6,10,14$, etc. electrons are allowed but, if both the 6 and 10 processes are feasible, the rules do not tell us which one is preferred. ${ }^{12}$

If we consider the reaction between cyclopentadiene $\mathbf{1}$ and tropone $\mathbf{9}$, there is the possibility of a Diels-Alder reaction ( 6 electrons process) to take place, using 2 electrons from $\mathbf{9}$ and 4 electrons from $\mathbf{1}$ to give the cycloadduct $\mathbf{1 0}$ but, there is also an equally allowed [6+4]-cycloaddition (10 electrons process) making use of 6 electrons from $\mathbf{9}$ and 4 electrons from $\mathbf{1}$ to give the cycloadduct $\mathbf{1 1}$ which is actually the observed product (Scheme 1.5 ). ${ }^{23,24}$ The observed product $\mathbf{1 1}$ is probably not thermodynamically much preferred over product $\mathbf{1 0}$, if at all, so that will not be a very compelling argument to account for this example of periselectivity.


Scheme 1.5 - Periselectivity in the cycloaddition between cyclopentadiene $\mathbf{1}$ and tropone 9.

Nevertheless, FMO theory seems to provide a good explanation for the periselectivity observed in this particular example with the longer conjugated system of tropone $\mathbf{9}$ appearing more reactive than the shorter one as it is clear by the larger orbital coefficients at C 2 and C 7 of $\mathbf{9}$ (in either HOMO or LUMO) making reactivity along these carbons more likely than across the C 2 and C 3 carbons (Figure 1.3). ${ }^{25,26}$


Figure 1.3-Frontier orbital coefficients of cyclopentadiene 1 and tropone 9 (top view of the orbitals).

In general, the ends of conjugated systems carry the largest coefficients in the frontier orbitals, and we should therefore expect pericyclic reactions to use the longest part of a conjugated system compatible with the Woodward-Hoffmann rules. In Scheme 1.6 are illustrated some selected seminal examples of high order cycloadditions namely, a [6+4]-cycloaddition between tropone 9 and a cyclopentadienone 12, reported by Woodward and co-workers, ${ }^{27}$ another [6+4]-cycloaddition between fulvene 14 and dienamine 15 and an intramolecular [8+2]-cycloaddition with an alkenylheptafulvene 17, both reported by Houk and co-workers (Scheme 1.6). ${ }^{28,29}$


Scheme 1.6 - Selected examples of seminal high order cycloadditions.

Another type of pericyclic reaction is the sigmatropic rearrangements. In these reactions, a $\sigma$-bond changes its position within a molecule and they are denoted not by how many electrons are involved in the process but instead by the number of atoms from which said bond was displaced. The Woodward-Hoffmann rules also apply to these reactions however, geometric constrains must be considered as the selection rules may deem the process to be allowed but, in reality, not take place due to the inability of the orbitals to overlap (e.g. 1,3-hydrogen shifts). There are many types of sigmatropic rearrangements such as Hydrogen shifts, ene-reactions, ${ }^{30-32}$ (Ireland-) Claisen rearrangements, Cope rearrangements, ${ }^{33-35}$ and Wittig rearrangements, ${ }^{36-38}$ amongst others. ${ }^{39,40}$ In Scheme 1.7 is depicted a general representation of a sigmatropic rearrangement, where a $\sigma$-bond migrates between atoms X and $\mathrm{U}\left(1\right.$ and $\left.1^{\prime}\right)$ to atoms Z and W located $n$ and $m$ atoms away, respectively. ${ }^{5}$


Scheme 1.7-General representation of $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m})$-sigmatropic rearrangement.

The last major class of reactions in the family of pericyclic reactions is called electrocyclic reactions. These reactions fall beyond the scope of this Dissertation so, we will not go into too much detail and only a few key aspects will be briefly discussed.

In electrocyclic reactions a ring is either formed, at the ends of a conjugated $\pi$-system, or a ring is broken giving rise to a $\pi$-system with extra conjugation. The terms antarafacial and suprafacial are no longer applicable since there are no components coming together to form a new molecule. Consequently, orbital symmetry is always maintained making electrocyclic reactions always allowed. The only analysis that needs to be done is regarding the frontier orbitals which must possess the same orbital coefficient sign in order to overlap in a constructive manner. If the orbitals in question rotate in the same fashion (they both rotate either clockwise or anticlockwise) the reaction is said to be conrotatory. On the other hand, if the
orbitals rotate in opposite directions of one another, the reaction is said to be disrotatory. ${ }^{5}$ Thermal electrocyclic reactions involving $4 \mathrm{n}+2$ electrons are always disrotatory while thermal electrocyclic reactions with 4 n electrons are always conrotatory. Conversely, photochemical electrocyclic reactions with $4 \mathrm{n}+2$ electrons become conrotatory and the ones with 4 n electrons disrotatory. The conrotatory/disrotatory nature will determine the relative stereochemistry of the substituents present in the atoms involved. In Scheme 1.8 are illustrated two generic examples of electrocyclizations, under both thermal and photochemical conditions, one involving $4 \mathrm{n}+2$ electrons and another involving 4 n electrons with their respective frontier orbitals being showcased for clarity (Scheme 1.8). ${ }^{5}$
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Scheme 1.8-Generic examples of electrocyclic reactions, (top) involving $8 \pi(4 n)$ electrons, and (bottom) involving $6 \pi(4 n+2)$ electrons.

## Chapter III - Organocatalysis

The use of catalysts in Organic Chemistry is of great importance and it is an area that has been widely consolidated throughout the years. A textbook definition of a catalyst is that of a species which increases the rate of a chemical reaction without changing the overall standard Gibbs energy. The catalyst is both a reagent and a product, meaning, it is not consumed in the overall course of the reaction. The increase in reaction rate is achieved by the ability of the catalyst to lower the Activation energy (Ea) of the chemical process. ${ }^{41}$ Furthermore, the use of catalysts can allow chemists to perform reactions that would otherwise not take place and grant great regio-, chemio-, and stereocontrol. There are two main types of catalysis, homogeneous, where both the reagents and catalyst are in the same physical phase and heterogenous, where the reagents and the catalyst are in different physical phases (e.g.: solid/liquid, liquid/liquid, etc). For the purpose of this Thesis, I will mostly be focusing on homogenous catalysis. In Part II of this Thesis, we will briefly introduce asymmetric phase-transfer catalysis which is a type of heterogeneous catalysis.

There are three main families in homogenous catalysis (i) transition metal catalysis; (ii) enzymatic catalysis and; (iii) organocatalysis. Since the focus of this Dissertation will be on organocatalysis, I will not be discussing the other two methods. Organocatalysis is a relatively new area in synthetic Organic Chemistry and, despite some sporadic examples of small organic molecules being used as catalysts, with the Hajos-Parrish-Eder-Sauer-Wiechert reaction being, probably, the most famous example (Scheme 1.9), ${ }^{42,43}$ the term organocatalysis was only coined in the 2000 's with the independent works by List et. al. ${ }^{44}$, on a $S$-proline (I) catalyzed aldol condensation (Scheme 1.10, eq. 1) and MacMillan et. al. ${ }^{45}$, on an oxazolidinone (II) catalyzed Diels-Alder reaction (Scheme 1.10, eq. 2).

The inspiration for organocatalysis came from nature with the ability of metal-free enzymes to catalyze chemical transformations in a stereospecific fashion by employing Hydrogen bond and electrostatic interactions as activation modes. So, organocatalysts are small organic molecules comprised
of carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen and/or sulfur that can be used to facilitate organic transformations mimicking some of the activation modes observed in nature. ${ }^{46-48}$


Scheme 1.9 - Hajos-Parrish-Eder-Sauer-Wiechert intramolecular aldol cyclization catalyzed by $S$-proline (I).



Scheme 1.10 - (1) List's and co-workers $S$-proline (I) catalyzed aldol reaction; and (2) MacMillan's and co-workers oxazolidinone (II) catalyzed Diels-Alder reaction.

With the advent of organocatalysis, the field grew quickly becoming one of the most powerful methods for asymmetric synthesis. Some of the main factors that make organocatalysis a preferred tool in asymmetric synthesis, when compared to transition metals and enzymatic catalysis, are its versatility, i.e. the catalysts work for a wide range of substrates, catalysts can be easily modified to improve results, and both enantiomers of the catalyst are usually available. Moreover, organocatalysts are relatively cheap and
green as they are derived from readily available natural products meaning they come from renewable sources and, for the most part, they are non-toxic and robust against the presence of oxygen and/or water in the reaction allowing for easy bench chemistry techniques without the need of laborious and expensive methodologies to exclude oxygen and water from solvents and reagents. ${ }^{49}$

There are four main types of organocatalysis namely, (i) asymmetric phase-transfer catalysis (APTC), ${ }^{50,51}$ (ii) Hydrogen bond catalysis (H-bond), ${ }^{52-55}$ (iii) aminocatalysis, ${ }^{56-60}$ and (iv) $N$-heterocyclic carbenes (NHC) catalysis. ${ }^{61}$ In the next Chapter, we will be focusing on aminocatalysis and its uses in the promotion of asymmetric pericyclic reactions, namely, cycloadditions. Unfortunately, the other types of organocatalysis fall beyond the scope of this work nonetheless, a brief introduction to H -bond catalysis and APTC will be given in Part II in the context of the Ireland-Claisen rearrangement.

## Chapter IV - Aminocatalysis

One of the most widely used families of organocatalysts is aminocatalysis ${ }^{58}$ which uses chiral secondary or primary amines to activate aldehydes or ketones making these molecules excellent nucleophiles, by raising the energy of the HOMO (enamine pathway), ${ }^{56,60}$ or great electrophiles, by lowering the energy of the LUMO (iminium ion pathway) ${ }^{62}$ (Figure 1.4). SOMO-activation ${ }^{63-66}$ (single occupied molecular orbital) is also a known pathway but it will not be discussed in this Dissertation.
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Figure 1.4-Activation modes in aminocatalysis: (A) enamine pathway, and (B) iminium ion pathway.

The catalytic cycles depicted in Figure 1.4 showcase the use of a secondary amine catalyst, however, it is worth mentioning that primary amine catalysts can also be used and undergo similar cycles with the main difference being the initial formation of an imine upon condensation of the aldehyde or ketone with the catalyst. This imine can then equilibrate to an enamine or iminium ion, with said equilibrium being, usually, aided by an acid co-catalyst.

The achievement of stereocontrol in aminocatalyzed reactions can be attained by two approaches (i) steric bulk and (ii) H-bond direction. The use of chiral aminocatalysts, which possess bulky substituents, allows shielding of one of the faces of the (poly-)enamine or iminium ion forcing the electrophile (in the case of enamine catalysis) or nucleophile (in the case of iminium ion catalysis) to approach from the opposite face (Figure $1.5-\mathrm{A}$ ). If the catalyst has a H -bond donor moiety than this feature will interact with the electrophile through H -bond and the electrophile will approach from the same face where the H -bond donor moiety is. Furthermore, in the case of poly-enamines, this H -bond feature can provide additional control on regioselectivity by placing the electrophile in close proximity to a more remote position and thus, preventing reactivity at the $\alpha$-position (Figure $1.5-B$ ). ${ }^{67-69}$


Figure 1.5 - Stereoselectivity in aminocatalysis (A) induced by steric bulk; (B) induced by H-bond interactions.

To better illustrate the nature of this directing groups in determining stereoselectivity, we can go back to the $S$-proline (I) catalyzed aldol reaction reported by List and co-workers ${ }^{44}$ and the oxazolidinone (II) Diels-Alder reaction described by MacMillan and co-workers ${ }^{45}$ (Scheme 1.10). In the aldol reaction the stereoselectivity was explained by the authors through a Zimmerman-Traxler metal-free type transition state ${ }^{70}$ with a tricyclic H -bond framework. However, later computational work by Houk, List and co-
workers ${ }^{71-73}$ showed a boat-chair 9-member ring transition state with the Hydrogen from proline interacting only with the Oxygen of aldehyde $\mathbf{2 3}$ in an ( $E$-configured enamine with the substituent of $\mathbf{2 3}\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}\right)$ in a pseudoequatorial position (Scheme 1.11).
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Scheme 1. 11 - Mechanism of the $S$-proline (I) catalyzed aldol reaction.

In respect to the imidazolidinone (II) catalyzed Diels-Alder reaction, the mechanism appears to be simpler with the benzyl group of the catalysts providing steric shielding to the re-face of the iminium ion which forces the diene $\mathbf{2 6}$ to approach from the si-face. Subsequent computational studies have shown
$\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions between the methyl groups and the phenyl ring of the catalyst as well as an $E$ - $s$-trans conformation of the iminium ion to further explain the good diastereoselectivity (Scheme 1.12). ${ }^{73-76}$


Scheme 1.12-Mechanism of the imidazolidinone (II) catalyzed Diels-Alder reaction.

With these two seminal works by List and MacMillan, the field of aminocatalysis grew quickly with several examples of the activation of aldehydes towards $\alpha$-functionalization, through enamine formation, with aldol reactions, ${ }^{44,77-81}$ Mannich reactions, ${ }^{82-88}$ Michael additions, ${ }^{89-93} \alpha$-aminations, ${ }^{94-97} \alpha-$
oxygenations, ${ }^{98-100}$ and even Diels-Alder reactions were achieved yielding the $\alpha$,ipso-functionalized products with excellent enantioselectivities. ${ }^{101,102}$ Conversely, the activation of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehydes via iminium ion formation remained restricted to Diels-Alder reactions ${ }^{45}$ and very soon after, to 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions. ${ }^{103}$

Despite the extensive list of chemical transformations that were achieved in the early days of aminocatalysis, the lack of a general catalyst resulted in extensive screening of reaction conditions and catalysts prior to the development of new reactions, with only MacMillan's oxazolidinones II proving to be more general in iminium ion activation chemistry. This situation changed, in 2005 , with the seminal, independent, works from Hayashi et. al. and Jørgensen et. al. who developed a diarylprolinol silyl ether catalyst - III (Schemes 1.13 and 1.14, respectively). Hayashi used the diphenylprolinol silyl ether (IIIa) for the Michael addition between aldehydes $\mathbf{2 8}$ and nitroolefins $\mathbf{2 9}$ giving their respective Michael adducts in good yields and excellent enantioselectivities (Scheme 1.13). ${ }^{104}$


Scheme 1. 13 - Hayashi's highly enantioselective Michael addition catalyzed by IIIa.

Jørgensen and co-workers developed a di(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)prolinol silyl ether catalyst (IIIb) and showed its robustness with several $\alpha$-functionalizations of aldehydes, namely, through sulfenylations, aminations, Mannich reactions, Michael additions and halogenations, all yielding their respective $\alpha$-functionalized products with good yields and excellent enantioselectivities (Scheme 1.14). ${ }^{105}$


Scheme 1. 14 - Jørgensen's highly enantioselective $\alpha$-functionalizations of aldehydes catalyzed by IIIb.

Soon after, the same group proved the utility of catalyst IIIb in the activation of enals through iminium ion activation with the conjugate addition of malonates to cinnamaldehyde derivatives ${ }^{106}$ and Enders and co-workers developed a three component reaction between nitroolefins and enals through an enamine-iminium-enamine activation sequence catalyzed by IIIa. ${ }^{107}$ Both these reports helped proving the generality of these catalysts by showcasing their abilities to provide either HOMO-raising activation or LUMO-lowering activation.

Further studies by Jørgensen and co-workers helped elucidate the generality of catalysts of type IIIa and IIIb by comparing them to the diraylmethylpyrrolidine (IV) and the $O$-unprotected diarylprolinol (IIIC) versions. Diraylmethylpyrrolidine catalysts IV, albeit exhibiting good reactivities, seldomly provided good enantioselectivities whilst the unprotected dirayprolinols (IIIc) could afford good enantioselectivities but, lower reactivity due to the formation of a parasitic oxazolidine species (31) which would poison the catalyst and reduce its turnover frequency (Scheme 1.15). None of these results were observed for catalysts

IIIa and IIIb which consistently yielded products in good yields and enantioselectivities, making them the most widely used aminocatalysts for the activation of aldehydes to this day. ${ }^{67,105}$


Scheme 1. 15 - Catalyst design for improved reactivity and selectivity.

In order to gain further insight into the operating mechanism of catalysts IIIa and IIIb, relevant intermediates were studied by several groups using various methods including X-ray analysis, ${ }^{108,109}$ NMR analysis, ${ }^{110,111}$ and computational methods. ${ }^{112,113}$ These studies were motivated by the observation that very high enantioselectivities can be achieved although several enamine or iminium ion intermediates conformations may be present in solution with different faces expected to be shielded (Figure 1.6). A study of the distribution of the possible enamine intermediates using DFT calculations revealed that the two enamines both with $E$-configuration were similar in energy. Yet, the $s$-cis-enamines gave rise to a transition state with a higher energy than the $s$-trans conformer for the tested $\alpha$-fluorination reaction. This energy increment was caused by increased steric repulsion between the substituent of the parent aldehyde and the catalyst face-differentiating element and between the fluorinating reagent computed (NFSI). ${ }^{112}$

## Enamine intermediate conformations



Iminium ion intermediate conformations



Z, E-iminium ion
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}:+1.56$

Figure 1.6 - Relevant enamine and iminium ion intermediates $\left(\mathrm{Ar}=3,5-\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right.$; relative energies in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ calculated at the B3LYP/6-31 G(d) level of theory).

NMR studies performed by Gschwind and co-workers, ${ }^{110,111}$ on enamine formation, revealed that the $E$-s-trans enamine was primarily present in solution. Furthermore, the studies showed an influence of the aromatic ring substituent of the catalyst on enamine rate formation. The reactive enamine intermediate was formed faster with the phenyl substituent (IIIa) than with the 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl substituent (IIIb). Moreover, the bulk of the catalyst was found to influence the equilibrium between condensed and hydrolysed substrates resulting in a larger amount of the enamine intermediate being present in solution for catalyst IIIa.

Regarding the iminium ion, NMR studies performed by Seebach and co-workers ${ }^{114}$ have revealed that some intermediates exist in an equilibrium between the $E, E$ and $Z, E$ isomers. Although reaction through these isomers would presumably provide opposite products, leading to poor stereocontrol, excellent stereoselectivity is often observed with catalysts IIIa and IIIb. A plausible explanation for this is that nucleophilic attack on the $Z, E$ iminium ion isomer is associated with increased steric repulsion in the
transition state. Consequently, high enantioselectivities can be achieved by the preferential reaction through $E, E$ iminium ion intermediate in accordance with the Curtin-Hammett principle, which is also in agreement with the observed absolute stereochemistry of the products.

Looking at the stereocontrol provided by the diraylprolinol ether catalysts IIIa and IIIb, X-ray analysis has shown that in both enamines and iminium ions the OTMS group adopts a sc-exo conformation with the aromatic rings lying mostly over the pyrrolidine ring and the OTMS group providing the required steric shielding of the re-face of the enamine/iminium ion (Figure 1.7). ${ }^{115}$ This feature was thoroughly studied by Seebach and Hayashi with the addition of bis(phenylsulfonyl)methane $\mathbf{3 2}$ to iminium ions derived from crotonaldehydes $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ using aminocatalysts with different silyl groups (Table 1.2). ${ }^{116}$ The authors observed a direct relationship between the bulk of the silyl group and enantioselectivity whilst the yields were kept fairly constant for the catalysts containing a phenyl ring. The same trend was observed when the phenyl rings were replaced with the 3,5-bis(trifluoro)methylphenyl albeit, the yields dropped substantially which could be explained by the observations reported by Gschwind et. al. (vide supra). A similar trend has been observed in other iminium ion based reactions. ${ }^{117-120}$

三 -trans-enamine


Figure 1. 7 -Enamine (left) and iminium ion (right) conformations from catalyst IIIa.

Table 1.2-Effect of silyl group $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)$ of catalyst family III in enantioselectivity.

|  |  |  |  |  <br> 33 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Catalyst | R1 | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | T ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Yield (\%) | ee (\%) |
| 1 | IIIa | $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ | H | 23 | 90 | 71 |
| 2 | IIIe | $\mathrm{SiEt}_{3}$ | H | 23 | 83 | 73 |
| 3 | IIIf | $\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{tBu}$ | H | 23 | 92 | 79 |
| 4 | IIIg | $\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | 23 | 78 | 81 |
| 5 | IIIh | $\mathrm{SiMePh}_{2}$ | H | 23 | 88 | 83 |
| 6 | IIII | $\mathrm{SiPh}_{3}$ | H | 23 | 90 | 84 |
| 7 | IIIh | $\mathrm{SiMePh}_{2}$ | H | 0 | 94 | 90 |
| 8 | IIII | $\mathrm{SiPh}_{3}$ | H | 0 | 87 | 89 |
| 9 | IIIb | $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 23 | 15 | 80 |
| 10 | IIIj | $\mathrm{SiEt}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 23 | 12 | 85 |

After extensive X-ray and computational conformational analysis of diverse iminium ions, the authors concluded that the diphenylmethylsilyl (DPMS) group of catalyst IIIh completely shielded the $\beta$ position of the re-face of the iminium ion affording the addition product with the highest enantioselectivity (entries 5 and 7 of Table 1.2). Nevertheless, this observation does not hold true for all types of reactions namely cycloadditions, where both the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ positions participate in the TS, as well as additions of strong anionic species such as nitronate anions, where strong electrostatic interactions provide a well-organized TS. In these cases, the smaller trimethylsilyl (TMS) group of catalyst IIIa is sufficient to provide good enantioselectivities. Furthermore, the same observation has been done for $\alpha$-functionalizations of aldehydes, via enamine intermediates, where the small TMS group yields products with excellent enantioselectivities and the use of bulkier silyl groups can be detrimental to the catalyst's reactivity. ${ }^{121}$

Based on these observations, Hayashi and co-workers demonstrated that, by judicious selection of catalyst system and reaction conditions, the same substrates could undergo distinct reactivities. Specifically,
the reaction between enals $\mathbf{2 5}$ and cyclopentadiene $\mathbf{1}$ catalyzed by two different catalyst systems proceeds through two different reaction pathways, furnishing either the [4+2]-cycloadduct $\mathbf{3 4}$ or the Michael product 35 (Scheme 1.16). ${ }^{122}$ The reactivity is rationalized by the higher electrophilicity of the iminium ion created from catalyst IIIb, owing to the electron-withdrawing effect of the aryl groups. On the other hand, when catalyst IIIf is used along with weak acids in MeOH , the conjugate addition is promoted. In this case, the generation of the reactive iminium species is faster under the influence of the more electron-rich catalyst IIIf. In both cases, suitable acidic additives are key in promoting the intended reactivity. In fact, the strong trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) increases the iminium ion concentration in the reaction medium, while the weaker acid $p$-nitrophenol enables the formation of the anionic nucleophilic species in sufficient reactive concentration. This is an excellent example of how the appropriate catalyst structure, together with suitable reaction conditions, determines divergent reaction pathways. The same concept has been exploited using remotely enolizable dicyanodienes as pro-vinylogous nucleophiles. ${ }^{123}$


Scheme 1. 16 - Divergent reaction pathways via iminium ion activation of enals 25 with different catalysts and reaction conditions.

At this point, whilst elucidating the mechanisms of action of aminocatalysts and how they can activate substrates and induce stereoselectivity, one clear disadvantage of aminocatalysis may have become
apparent to the more careful reader, which is the high catalyst loadings required, usually ranging from $10-30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$, while transition metal catalysts usually have catalyst loadings of less than $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ with $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ loadings being a common feature. This high catalyst loadings in aminocatalysis, and in organocatalysis in general, hampers the wide implementation of organocatalysts in large-scale industrial processes. ${ }^{124,125}$ These high catalyst loadings are associated with the turnover number (TON) and turnover frequency (TOF). TON denotes the number of moles of product formed per one mole of catalyst before the catalyst gets deactivated. TOF is determined by the turnover per unit of time. In the case of the diarylprolinol silyl ether family of catalysts (III), TON can be low due to cleavage of the silyl protecting group which gives rise to the free hydroxyl group catalyst IV which, as shown in Scheme 1.15, can form a parasitic oxazolidine 31 species deactivating the catalyst. In 2012, Zeitler and Gschwind et. al. reported an in situ NMR study on the rate of degradation of biphenylprolinol silyl ether IIIa under different, commonly employed, reaction conditions. ${ }^{126}$ The study revealed that the cleavage rates are significant in highly polar solvents with strong H -bond acceptor properties, reaching $0.84 \% \cdot \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{DMF}-\mathrm{d}_{7}$ and $0.27 \% \cdot \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ for methanol- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$. More commonly used solvents such as chloroform-d and acetonitrile- $\mathrm{d}_{3}$ showed residual cleavage rates of 0.0003 and $0.005 \% \cdot \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$, respectively. The effect of additives in catalyst decomposition was also investigated by the authors in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$. It was discovered that weak acids strongly accelerate the deactivation pathway with benzoic acid, a common additive in aminocatalyzed reactions, decreasing the amount of available catalyst IIIa from $84 \%$ to $10 \%$ in only 6 h. Taking into account the fact that most common reaction times span from several hours to days, catalyst degradation should be considered in order to enable the development of robust and more efficient catalytic processes.

On the other hand, if deactivation is not significant under certain reaction conditions, the low catalyst efficiency may be caused by low TOF. Recently, in 2017, Burés et. al. reported an excellent protocol to study the distribution of catalytic species and used it as an indicator to evaluate and optimize the performance of catalyst IIIa in the conjugate addition of carbon-based nucleophiles to enals under iminium activation. ${ }^{127}$ The authors, using NMR analysis, were able to correlate the different catalyst species present during the reaction (i.e. free catalyst, iminium ion, and product enamine) with its associated TOF
and adjust reaction conditions to improve the catalyst's TOF. The authors also realized that at very low catalyst loadings, even if the catalyst is properly optimized at its maximum performance, acid impurities formed by aldehyde oxidation drastically reduce the efficiency of the catalyst. The use of distribution of catalytic species enables the in situ correction of this detrimental perturbation by consequent addition of a suitable additive, maintaining the catalyst at optimum TOF. With their protocol, and using non-D NMR ${ }^{128}$ techniques for the optimization, the authors were able to perform a gram-scale Michael addition of dimethyl malonate to cinnamaldehyde catalyzed by as little as $0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ loading of catalyst IIIa achieving completion at 60 h affording the final product with $91 \%$ ee.

Despite the generality of the diarylprolinol silyl ethers catalyst family, and their derivatives, in the activation of aldehydes through enamine or iminium ion intermediates towards several different functionalizations, it soon became apparent that other carbonyl compounds, namely ketones, failed to produce the desired reactive intermediates when treated with those catalysts. For a while, proline (I) was the catalyst of choice when dealing with ketones but, even with this catalyst, the type of substrates was restricted to simple methyl or cyclic ketones. More sterically demanding ketones, enones and even sterically demanding $\alpha$-branched aldehydes could not be activated by the current aminocatalysts available.

Therefore, a new class of catalysts had to be developed for these substrates and chemists turned into chiral primary amines of which, the cinchona alkaloids derived compounds appeared to be good candidates due to their bulky nature, natural abundance, availability of different stereoisomers, and fairly easy process to modify the hydroxyl group at $\mathrm{C}(9)$ to its primary amine counterpart by a simple Mitsunobu reaction, with sodium azide as the nucleophile, followed by reduction of the azide to the desired primary amine. ${ }^{129-131}$

The first example of the use of a 9-amino-9-deoxypiquinine $\mathbf{V a}$, as an aminocatalyst in asymmetric synthesis, dates back to 2007 and was reported by Chen, Deng and co-workers in the Michael addition of $\alpha, \alpha$-dicyanoalkenes $\mathbf{3 6}$ to several linear and cyclic enones 37 (Scheme 1.17). ${ }^{132}$


Scheme 1. 17 -Michael addition of $\alpha, \alpha$-dicyanoalkenes $\mathbf{3 6}$ to enones $\mathbf{3 7}$ catalyzed by $\mathbf{V a}$ (dr's not reported in original paper).

The Michael adducts $\mathbf{3 8}$ were obtained with overall good yields and excellent enantioselectivities; unfortunately, the diastereomeric ratios were not reported by the authors. The use of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) as a co-catalyst proved to be crucial to stereoselectivity as the screening of other acids such as perchloric, hydrochloric and triflic acid all decreased enantioselectivity, probably by promoting the same transformation under achiral Brønsted acid catalysis.

In the same year, Connon and co-workers developed a Michael addition between sterically demanding ketones and aldehydes ( $\mathbf{3 9}$ and 40, respectively), to nitroolefins 29 catalyzed by 9 -epi-amino dehydroquinidine ( $\mathbf{V b}$ ) via enamine activation (Scheme 1.18, eq. 1) ${ }^{133}$ and Chen and co-workers reported the use of a 9-amino-9-deoxyepicinchonine (Vc) catalyst for the enantioselective $\alpha$-amination of ketones 43 with DEAD (44) also through enamine activation (Scheme 1.18, eq. 2). ${ }^{134}$

In both examples, the authors theorize that protonation of quinuclidine nitrogen of the catalyst takes place by the acid co-catalyst, allowing for the presence of an H -bond donor moiety in the catalyst which could provide further activation but, most importantly, face differentiation and concomitant stereocontrol to the electrophiles 29 and 44.


Scheme 1. 18 - $\alpha$-Functinalization of ketones ( $\mathbf{3 9}$ and 43) and aldehydes (40) via enamine activation with cinchona alkaloids; (1) Connon et. al. Michael addition to nitroolefins 29 catalyzed by Vb, and (2) Chen et. al. amination of ketones with $\mathbf{4 4}$ catalyzed by Vc.

With the aforementioned seminal works, $C(9)$-amino cinchona alkaloids found their place as general aminocatalysts for sterically demanding carbonyl compounds that do not form enamines or iminium ions with the dirayprolinol silyl ether catalysts III and have been widely used as catalysts ${ }^{135}$ with small alterations to the catalytic system namely, regarding the choice of acid co-catalyst and the general scaffold of the cinchona alkaloid. This type of catalysts can achieve both enamine and iminium ion activation and their catalytic cycles are similar to those depicted in Figure 1.3 for the secondary amines but, with the slight difference that an initial imine is formed in both cases which can then tautomerize to the reactive enamine or become protonated and generate the reactive iminium ion (Figure 1.8).
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Figure 1.8 - Catalytic cycles for primary amine catalysis: (A) enamine pathway and, (B) iminium ion pathway.

In 2006, Jørgensen and co-workers reported what is perhaps one of the most important milestones of aminocatalysis, when they performed an enantioselective $\gamma$-amination of enals 46 with DEAD 44, using IIIb as catalyst (Scheme 1.19). ${ }^{136}$


Scheme 1. 19 - Enantioselective $\gamma$-amination of enals 46 catalyzed by IIIb $\left(\mathrm{Ar}=3,5-\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$.

Surprisingly, the stereochemistry of the product appears to be the opposite of what would be expected based of how catalyst IIIb operates. To explain this odd observation, the authors, with the aid of computational methods, proposed that the dienamine intermediate $\mathbf{4 8}$ undergoes a bond rotation to form the
$s$-cis-48 conformer which reacts with 44 through a Diels-Alder reaction forming intermediate 49 which could collapse to the zwitterion $\mathbf{5 0}$ with consequent ring opening and hydrolysis of the catalyst affording product 47 (Scheme 1.20).


Scheme 1. 20 - Proposed mechanism for the dienamine-mediated $\gamma$-amination reaction reported by Jørgensen and coworkers $\left(\mathrm{Ar}=3,5-\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right.$; relative energies in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory).

To further support this mechanism, the authors performed a reaction with enal 45 and N methylmaleimide $\mathbf{5 0}$, using 1.0 equiv. of IIIb, and observed the cycloadduct $\mathbf{5 1}$ with the trapped catalyst (Scheme 1.21).


Scheme 1. 21 - Entrapment of the catalyst by a carbon-based dienophile $\left(\mathrm{Ar}=3,5-\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$.

This work was the first example of a dienamine-mediated functionalization of $\gamma$-enolizable $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated aldehydes which allowed the field of aminocatalysis to expand its scope of reactions allowing for functionalizations at more remote positions with good stereocontrol. However, this work also showed a particular challenge in dienamine catalysis which is the catalyst turn over specially, if asymmetric [4+2]cycloadditions are to be developed, using carbon-based dienophiles.

Fortunately, interesting solutions to this problem soon appeared, independently, from the groups of Hong ${ }^{137-139}$ and Christmann. ${ }^{140}$ The authors demonstrated the possibility of an eliminative release of the catalyst which proved to be quite general for various linear and $\beta$-branched enals (Scheme 1.22). In both reports, a dual dienamine/iminium ion activation is achieved between the aldehydes and the aminocatalyst allowing for a stepwise (4+2)-cyclization reaction to take place followed by $\alpha$-deprotonation and subsequent elimination of the aminocatalyst. Hong and co-workers used Proline (I) as their catalyst and linear dienals $\mathbf{4 5}$ affording products 53 in moderate yields and low to good enantioselectivities (Scheme 1.22, eq. 1) whilst Christmann and co-workers used catalyst IIIa to perform a similar intramolecular [4+2]cyclization of compounds $\mathbf{5 4}$ yielding the bicyclic scaffolds $\mathbf{5 5}$ in higher yields and enantioselectivities than those reported by Hong (Scheme 1.22, eq. 2).

However, despite the works by Hong and Christmann the regeneration of the catalyst proved to be troublesome and highly reliant on the nature of the substrates used, so, most cycloaddition reactions involving dienamine intermediates used the dienamine as an electron rich dienophile for inverse-electron-demand-Diels-Alder reactions with the functionalization taking place in the $\beta, \gamma$-double bond of the dienamine, where trapping of the catalyst is not a concern. ${ }^{141}$
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Scheme 1.22-Eliminative regeneration of aminocatalysts in dienamine/iminium ion-mediated [4+2]-cycloadditions, (1) reported by Hong and co-workers and, (2) reported by Christmann and co-workers.

Nonetheless, Jørgensen and co-workers, in 2014, developed a stepwise asymmetric (4+2)cyclization reaction between enals $\mathbf{5 6}$ and diketones 57, using aminocatalyst IIIa to obtain the steroidal skeleton 58 with overall excellent results. Moreover, the authors were able to use this methodology for the synthesis of Torgov's diene 59, an important precursor for the synthesis of several steroids (Scheme 1.23). ${ }^{142}$

More recently, in 2015, Jørgensen and co-workers proposed the use of a cross-dienamine 61, formed from the condensation between 2-cyclopentenone $\mathbf{6 0}$ and a cinchona alkaloid primary aminocatalyst (ent-Va), as electron rich dienes for Diels-Alder reactions with electron poor dienophiles 62 yielding functionalized norcamphor scaffolds 64 in moderate to good yields and excellent enantio- and diasterioselectivities (Scheme 1.24). ${ }^{143}$ This approach has overridden the necessity to eliminate the catalyst as the imine intermediate $\mathbf{6 3}$ is susceptible to hydrolysis.


Scheme 1. 23 - Synthesis of steroid scaffolds, via dienamine intermediates, reported by Jørgensen and co-workers.


Scheme 1. 24 - Cross-dienamine-mediated Diels-Alder reaction reported by Jørgensen and co-workers.

The ability to perform remote functionalizations was further expanded in 2011 with the collaborative work of Jørgensen, Chen and co-workers, where the authors used dienals $\mathbf{6 5}$ to generate trienamines 66 in situ by condensation with aminocatalyst IIIe. The authors then envisioned these trienamine intermediates as electron rich dienes that could react with electron deficient alkenes, such as 3olefinic oxindoles 67 and cyanoacetates 68 through a Diels-Alder reaction affording the corresponding cycloadducts 69 and 70, respectively, with excellent enantio- and diasterioselectivities (Scheme 1.25). ${ }^{144}$


Scheme 1. 25 - Trienamine-mediated Diels-Alder reactions reported by Jørgensen, Chen, and co-workers.

In order for these reactions to take place, trienamine 66 must undergo a $\sigma$-bond rotation to form the reactive $s$-cis diene, required for Diels-Alder reactions. Computational studies by the authors showed that both rotation around $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ or $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$, in trienamine $\mathbf{6 6}$, could take place leading to the 2,3-s-cis- $\mathbf{6 6}$ intermediate or the 4,5-s-cis-66 intermediate, respectively, with the latter being slightly preferred both kinetically and thermodynamically (Figure 1.9). Population analysis was also performed by the authors and showed that the coefficients of the atoms of interest were -0.23 (C3 in 4,5-s-cis-66) and 0.34 (C6 in 4,5-s-cis-66) vs -0.32 ( C 1 in $2,3-s-c i s-66$ ) and 0.43 ( C 4 in $1,4-s-c i s-66$ ) (Figure 1.9). These results pointed towards conformer 2,3-s-cis-66 to be more reactive than 4,5-s-cis-66, however, the HOMO of 2,3-s-cis-66 was 2.17 eV lower in energy than that of the 4,5-s-cis- $\mathbf{6 6}$ conformer. Therefore, trienamine $\mathbf{6 6}$ exhibits a preference to react with suitable dienophiles through the 4,5-s-cis-66 conformer yielding the cycloadducts at the more remote locations.


Figure 1. 9 - Possible conformations of trienamine 66 (relative energies in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ calculated at the B3LYP/6$31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ level of theory; Orbital coefficients calculated at the HF/STO-3G level of theory).
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Scheme 1. 26 - Selected examples of trienamine-mediated asymmetric Diels-Alder reactions.

With the seminal work from Jørgensen and Chen, several trienamine-mediated asymmetric DielsAlder reactions were soon reported using a wide variety of dienophiles and $O$-protected bisarylprolinol aminocatalysts III (Scheme 1.26). ${ }^{145-154}$ The selected examples illustrated above showcased the versatility
of linear trienamines, like 66, to undergo asymmetric Diels-Alder reactions with a wide variety of dienophiles. However, from the beginning, it became apparent that regio- and diasteriocontrol remained somewhat difficult. To circumvent this issue, Jørgensen and co-workers designed an aldehyde (71) which, they expected, would always form a 4,5-s-cis-trienamine (72) and thus allow for better control of the reaction. However, upon condensation with an aminocatalyst, aldehyde 71 underwent deprotonation at the $\gamma$ 'position forming the cross-trienamine 73. Nevertheless, treatment of intermediate 73 with 3-olephinic oxindoles $\mathbf{6 7}$ or azalactones 74 also took place through a Diels-Alder reaction giving the bicycloadducts $\mathbf{7 5}$ and 76, respectively in moderate yields but excellent enantio- and diasterioselectivities (Scheme 1.27). ${ }^{155}$



Scheme 1. 27 - Cross-trienamine-mediated Diels-Alder reactions reported by Jørgensen and co-workers.

Later computational work by Houk and co-workers showed that the reaction between $73{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{6 7}^{\prime}$ took place in a stepwise fashion via zwitterion 77 which could readily cyclize to give the [2+2]-cycloadduct
78. Regardless, the (2+2)-cyclization is reversible and hence only the [4+2]-cycloadduct 79 is formed which readily hydrolysis to give the experimentally observed compound 75' (Scheme 1.28). ${ }^{156}$


Scheme 1. 28 - Houk's computational study on the cross-trienamine-mediated Diels-Alder reaction (relative free energies in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, calculated at the M06-2X/def2-TZVPP/IEFPCM $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) / / \mathrm{B} 97 \mathrm{D} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}) / \mathrm{IEFPCM}$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ ).

Parallel to Jørgensen's work, Melchiorre and co-workers designed another aldehyde that, upon condensation with an aminocatalyst, would form a 4,5-s-cis-trienamine which could be employed in DielsAlder reactions. For that purpose, the authors used the $N$-Boc protected 3-(2-methyl-3-indol-3yl)acrylaldehyde $\mathbf{8 0}$ which generated an heterocyclic ortho-quinodimethane trienamine $\mathbf{8 1}$ upon treatment with IIIa. Trienamine intermediate $\mathbf{8 1}$ was then reacted with nitrostyrene $\mathbf{2 9}$ and 3-olefinic oxindoles $\mathbf{6 7}$ to give the corresponding tetrahydrocarbazoles $\mathbf{8 2}$ and $\mathbf{8 3}$, respectively, in moderate to good yields and overall excellent enantio- and diasterioselectivities (Scheme 1.29). ${ }^{157}$ Moreover, this was the first example where an aminocatalyst (IIIa) was used to generate heterocyclic ortho-quinodimethanes $\mathbf{8 1}$ in situ.


Scheme 1. 29 - Heterocyclic ortho-quinomethane mediated Diels-Alder reaction reported by Melchiorre and coworkers.

Reaction with nitrostyrene 29 afforded the exo-product while the 3 -olefinic oxindole 67 gave the endo-cycloadduct. The authors rationalized this selectivity with electrostatic repulsions between the nitro group of 29 and the $\pi$-system of the trienamine that disfavour the exo-approach of 29 . Conversely, when oxindole 67 was used, secondary orbital interactions caused the endo-approach to be preferred. One year later, in 2012, the same group used this strategy and catalyst to perform a Diels-Alder reaction between $\mathbf{8 0}$ and $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones $\mathbf{8 4}$ giving the corresponding tetrahydrocarbazoles $\mathbf{8 5}$ in moderate to good yields, good to excellent diasterioselectivities and overall excellent enantioselecitivities. Furthermore, the authors were able to treat products $\mathbf{8 5}$ with a NHC catalyst (VI) and perform a one-pot sequential DielsAlder/Benzoin reaction via a dual aminocatalytic/NHC catalytic cycle obtaining the corresponding products 86 in moderate yields, good diasterioselectivities and excellent enantioselectivities (Scheme 1.30). ${ }^{158}$


Scheme 1. 30 - One-pot Aminocatalysis/NHC sequential Diels-Alder/Benzoin reaction reported by Melchiorre and co-workers.

In 2015 Albrecht and co-workers developed an $\varepsilon$-functionalization of furfural derivatives $\mathbf{8 7}$ with nitrostyrene compounds 29 through a dearomatized trienamine intermediary $\mathbf{8 8}$ (Scheme 1.31). ${ }^{159}$ This work opened the door for the use of heteroaromatic aldehyde derivatives as possible substrates for aminocatalyzed asymmetric transformations with higher conformational control of the polyenamine intermediates leading to several reports of cycloadditions and cascade cyclization procedures. ${ }^{160}$ The insights into the formation and reactivity of these systems are the topic of one of the Chapters in Part II of this Dissertation.


Scheme 1. 31 - Dearomatized trienamine mediated $\varepsilon$-functionalization of furfural derivatives reported by Albrecht and co-workers.

However, the remote activation modes in aminocatalysis did not stop at trienamine and, in 2014, Jørgensen and co-workers reported the first example of a tetraenamine 91 mediated [4+2]-cycloaddition between aldehyde 90 and 3-olefinic oxindoles 67 (Scheme 1.32). ${ }^{161}$


Scheme 1. 32 - Tetraenamine mediated [4+2]-cycloaddition reported by Jørgensen and co-workers.

The reaction proceeded in a stepwise fashion with initial addition of the $\gamma$-carbon of tetraenamine 91 to oxindole 67. The resulting iminium ion, due to the extended conjugation, was long lived enough to undergo hydrolysis of the catalyst and subsequent cyclization. This rationale was further proved by the authors who, by trying a dienamine variation of this protocol via aldehyde 93, observed no catalytic reaction. Only cycloadduct $\mathbf{9 4}$, with the trapped catalyst, was observed when IIIa was used in $100 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ loading (Scheme 1.33).


Scheme 1. 33 - Dienamine mediated protocol with consequent trapping of the catalyst.

It was not until 2018 that Chen and co-workers were able to functionalize the most remote positions of a tetraenamine through an oxa-Diels-Alder reaction, taking advantage a bifunctional H -bond aminocatalyst and the regio- and conformational control provided by heteroaromatic aldehydes showcased by the work of Albrecht and co-workers (vide supra).

In this remote oxa-Diels-Alder, the authors used furfural 95 and the bifunctional catalyst VIII to form the desired tetraenamine 96 which, upon reaction with oxindoles 97 , afforded the cycloadduct 98 with overall excellent results (Scheme 1.34). ${ }^{162}$


Scheme 1. 34 - oxa-Diels-Alder reaction via a dearomatized tetraenamine intermediate, reported by Chen and coworkers.

With these reports, the field of aminocatalysis established itself as a powerful tool to perform various transformations, namely cycloadditions, with transfer of reactivity to extended $\pi$-systems obtaining products bearing new stereocenters located several bonds away from the face differentiating element of the catalyst without loss of stereoselectivity. In Figure 1.10 are illustrated the modes of activation in aminocatalysis for remote functionalizations i.e. dienamine ${ }^{141}$ and cross-dienamine ${ }^{143,163}$, trienamine and cross-trienamine, tetraenamine ${ }^{161,162,164}$, vinylogous iminium ion and bis-vinylogous iminium ion (Figure 1.10). ${ }^{59,67-69,165,166}$
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Figure 1. 10 - Modes of remote activation in aminocatalysis.

Another landmark for aminocatalysis has been established in more recent years, with its use in catalyzing high order cycloadditions, in most cases, with excellent periselectivity. The first example of an aminocatalyzed asymmetric high order cycloaddition dates to 2011 when Hayashi, Uchimaro and coworkers performed an intramolecular [6+2]-cycloaddition in oxo-fulvenes 99, using catalyst IIIa, affording the corresponding cycloadducts 100 in moderate yields but excellent enantioselectivities (Scheme 1.35). ${ }^{167}$


Scheme 1. 35 - Intramolecular [6+2]-cycloaddition reported by Hayashi, Uchimaro and co-workers.
However, it was not until 2017, with the independent works by Jørgensen and co-workers and
Ouyang, Chen and co-workers, that the search for new methods to perform asymmetric high order
cycloadditions, through aminocatalysis, gained attention from chemists. Jørgensen's work focused on the use of a cross-dienamine obtained by condensation between cyclic enones 60a-c with primary aminocatalysts ent-Va or ent-Vc and subsequent treatment with heptafulvenes 9a-b to afford the corresponding cycloadducts $\mathbf{1 0 1}-103$, which correspond to the [4+2]-, [6+4]- and [8+2]-cycloadditions, respectively (Table 1.3). ${ }^{168}$ Despite the poor periselectivities observed by the authors, this seminal work illustrated the possibility of aminocatalysts to perform asymmetric high order cycloadditions with reasonably good enantioselectivities and overall excellent diastereoselectivities. Furthermore, it opened the door for the possibility of improvement of both catalyst systems as well as choice of reagents.

Parallel to this work, Ouyang, Chen and co-workers developed a highly periselective [6+2]cycloaddition between $\alpha^{\prime}$-alkylidene-2-cyclopentenones 104 and highly electrophilic 3-olefinic-7azaoxindoles 106, yielding the [6+2]-cycloadducts $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ in moderate to good yields but, excellent enantioand diastereoselectivities (Scheme 1.36). ${ }^{169}$ The reaction proceeded via a 4 -aminofulvene trienamine intermediary $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ produced after condensation of $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ with the primary aminocatalysts Vc or Vd.


Scheme 1.36 - [6+2]-Cycloaddition reported by Ouyang, Chen, and co-workers.

Table 1.3 - High Order cycloadditions developed by Jørgensen and co-workers.

${ }^{*}$ Conditions A: ent-Vc (20 mol\%), (-)-CSA ( $40 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), dioxane, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{\dagger}$ Conditions B: ent-Va (20 $\left.\mathrm{mol} \%\right), \mathrm{EtCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (20-60 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), Toluene, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NR, no reaction; Dashes indicate no product was observed.

Moreover, the authors were able to switch the periselectivity of these reactions by manipulation of the reaction conditions and obtain the [4+2]-cycloadducts when using 2-mercaptobenzoic acid instead of salicylic acid as an additive. The authors suspect that intermediary $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ gets trapped through nucleophilic
attack of the sulfur to form dienamine 108 which undergoes the [4+2]-cycloaddition with 106 to produce the [4+2]-cycloadducts 109 in excellent results, overall (Scheme 1.37).


Scheme 1. 37 - Switchable periselectivity of the reaction reported by Ouyang, Chen, and co-workers.

One year later, in 2018, Jørgensen and co-workers reported a highly peri- and stereoselective [8+2]cycloaddition between indene-2-carbaldehyde 110 and electron deficient alkenes 29 and $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ catalyzed by the $C_{2}$-symmetric aminocatalyst IX producing the corresponding [8+2]-cycloadducts which were reduced in situ with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ to afford the more stable alcohols 112 in moderate yields and excellent enantioselectivities (Scheme 1.38). ${ }^{170}$ In the same work, DFT calculations suggested that the high order cycloaddition took place in a stepwise fashion and stereoselectivity was governed by the kinetics of the first bond forming event. Moreover, the authors proposed that $\pi$-stacking and electrostatic interactions present between the nitro group of $\mathbf{2 9}$ and the amino isobenzofulvene intermediate $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ were essential for reactivity and stereoselectivity.

Following the promising reactivity observed for the amino isobenzofulvene system $\mathbf{1 1 3}$, the same group soon published a [10+4]-cycloaddition between 110 and electron deficient dienes $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ using aminocatalyst ent-IIIf. The cycloadducts $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ were obtained in generally good results and the authors were able to expand the scope of indenes used. However, on the side of the diene $\mathbf{1 1 4}$, only full carbon systems
afforded good results as the only example with a lactone gave the corresponding cycloadduct $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ in only $27 \%$ yield and $76 \%$ ee (Scheme 1.39). ${ }^{171}$
i.



Scheme 1. 38 - [8+2]-Cycloaddition reported by Jørgensen and co-workers.


Scheme 1. 39 - [10+4]-Cycloaddition reported by Jorgensen and co-workers.

Very recently, in 2020, the same group reported the use of homologated indene-2-carbaldehydes 116 as precursors for a poly-enamine which reacted smoothly with $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehydes $\mathbf{2 5}$ in a highly periselective [10+2]-cycloaddition, using catalyst IIIf. The authors were able to employ a wide scope of cinnamyl aldehydes as well as alkynyl and alkyl substituents. However, the scope of $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ was fairly limited. The cycloadducts 117 were obtained in moderate yields but excellent enantio- and diasterioselectivities (Scheme 1.40). ${ }^{172}$


Scheme 1. 40 - [10+2]-Cycloaddition reported by Jørgensen and co-workers.

The excellent periselectivity of this reaction is quite impressive and was studied by the authors using DFT calculations, which showed that a stepwise mechanism was in place with the first addition occurring almost instantly with a virtually barrierless transition state. Cyclization to the [10+2]-product was kinetically favoured over other possible cycloadducts.

In 2019, the same group reported the use of 6-amino azafulvene intermediates $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ as $6 \pi$-electron systems for selective hetero- $[6+4]$ - and [6+2]-cycloadditions. For this purpose, Jørgensen and co-workers treated 2-formyl substituted pyrroles, imidazoles and pyrazoles $\mathbf{1 1 8}$ with aminocatalysts ent-IIIf or $\mathbf{X}$ to generate intermediate 119. These hetero-trienes were then subjected to compounds $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ or $\mathbf{2 9}$ to achieve the desired [6+4]- or [6+2]-cycloadducts $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ and 121, respectively (Scheme 1.41). ${ }^{173}$

In the same year, Albrecht and co-workers reported the inversion of reactivity of troponoid systems by replacement of the oxygen of tropone $\mathbf{9}$ with a sulfur atom. This new tropothione $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ was then employed by the authors in [8+2]-cycloadditions with iminium ions derived from $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehydes $\mathbf{2 5}$ and aminocatalyst IIIb (Scheme 1.42). The use of cinnamyl aldehyde derivatives afforded cycloadducts $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ in moderate to good yields and good enantio- and diasterioselectivities however, alkyl substituted aldehydes gave poorer results. ${ }^{174}$


Scheme 1. 41 - Hetero-[6+4]- and [6+2]-cycloadditions reported by Jørgensen and co-workers.


Scheme 1. 42 - [8+2]-Cycloaddition reported by Albrecht and co-workers.

In this Chapter, we illustrated some of the landmarks of aminocatalysis namely, in HOMO-raising strategies such as enamine, dienamine, (cross-)trienamine and tetraenamine, and their efficiency in performing several asymmetric cycloaddition reactions between a wide variety of aldehydes and dienophiles allowing the synthesis of enantioenriched, complex (hetero-)cyclic structures. The more recent reports in higher-order cycloadditions will definitely bring the possibility of synthesizing even more complex and functionalized scaffolds.

## Part II - Results and Discussion

## Chapter I - Computational Study on Dearomative Aminocatalysis

In Chapter III of Part I of this Dissertation we saw some examples of the use of aminocatalysis in dearomatizing heteroaromatic aldehydes to form the reactive poly-enamines that could then react with desired electrophiles with higher conformational control than their linear counterparts (Schemes 1.28-1.30 and 1.33). To us, this mode of activation of heteroaromatic aldehydes, with aminocatalysts, was quite intriguing so, we decided to examine a series of heteroaromatic aldehydes (Scheme 2.1) in order to explore what effect the formation of the iminium ion intermediate, the precursor to the trienamine, could have on promoting the loss of aromaticity in those heterocyclic systems using DFT calculations.
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Scheme 2. 1 - Model Systems studied in this section.

The model systems depicted in Scheme 2.1 were studied using hyperhomodesmotic equations ${ }^{175,176}$ to assess the energy penalty associated with dearomatization, and population analysis of the HOMO of the
formed trienamines to better understand if the heteroatom has any influence in the conjugated system and if so, what could one expect in terms of regioselectivity for the substrates depicted in Scheme 2.1.

## Computational Methods

All structures were optimized using M06-2 $\mathrm{X}^{177}$ with the double- $\zeta$ split valence $6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})^{178,179}$ basis set and vibrational analysis verified that each structure was a minimum. All optimizations were performed in Gaussian 09.e01. ${ }^{180}$ Orbital coefficients were generated with QMForge v2.1. ${ }^{181}$ All figures produced using VESTA v4.5.0. ${ }^{182}$

## Model System A

To begin this study, we explored the simplest heteroaromatic system bearing an aldehyde at the 2position and a methyl group at the 5-position (Model System A). This represents one of the first compounds that employed the dearomatization approach in the formation of polyenamines for the remote alkylation of furfural derivatives (Scheme 1.30). ${ }^{159}$ We decided to focus on the influence that the transient iminium ion has on the formation of the dearomatized trienamine intermediate using the hyperhomodesmotic equations outlined in Table 2.1. The first equation examines the energetic cost of breaking the aromaticity of the furfural derivative by forming its enol tautomer while the second equation evaluates the same energetic penalty with the iminium ion derived from furfural. In examining the energetics of equations 1 and 2 it can be seen that dearomatization of the heteroaromatric ring in both the aldehydes A1-3 ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{S}$, respectively) and the corresponding iminium ions A9-11 are disfavored. However, the presence of the iminium ion decreases the energetic penalty for loss of aromaticity in all heterocycles A9-11 by 5.8, 2.2, and $6.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NH}$, and S , respectively, when compared to the corresponding aldehydes A1-
3. This decrease in the energy penalty suggests that the presence of the iminium ion facilitates dearomatization.

Table 2.1-Hyperhomodesmotic equations for Model System A (relative free energies in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ).


In examining equations 1 and 2 , we see that in both the dearomatization of the pyrrole is more unfavorable that dearomatization of the furan or thiophene. Perhaps the most interesting observation noted is in the comparison of the influence of the iminium ion across the three heterocyclic systems. The presence of the iminium ion in the furan and thiophene systems has a significant influence on the dearomatization, in each case lowering it by $\sim 6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, relative to the corresponding aldehydes. In the case of the pyrrole system, the effect of the iminium ion is significantly less, lowering it by only $2.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ relative to the aldehyde.

To understand how the choice of heteroatom in the heteroaromatic ring influences the dearomatization energy penalty in Model System A, we examined the geometries of all structures involved in equations 1 and 2 . While no large geometric changes were noted in comparing the structures or the aldehydes (A1-3 and A8) and iminium ions (A9-11 and A16), comparison of the enols and enamines did reveal a significant change in geometry in A14 relative to A6. In trienamine A14, the pyrrolidine ring is
bent so that the nitrogen lone pair is not fully aligned for donation into the pi system of the triene. This twisting appears to be due to steric clash of the alkyl ring of the catalyst with the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of the pyrrole and is not present in the system containing the furan. Comparing the $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cat}}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths of the enamines, $1.36 \AA$ for $\mathbf{A 1 3}, 1.38 \AA$ for $\mathbf{A 1 2}, 1.38 \AA$ for $\mathbf{A 1 5}$, and $1.39 \AA$ for $\mathbf{A 1 4}$, suggests that the trienamine $\mathbf{A 1 4}$ is the least conjugated. In the corresponding enol $\mathbf{A 5}$ the pyrrole -NH is not bent out of conjugation, hence, shows more sp2 character than the enamine A14. The combination of steric clash between the pyrrolidine and - NH of the ring, the bending of this group, and the longer $\mathrm{N}_{\text {cat }}-\mathrm{C}$ bond length in A 14 suggests the loss of conjugation, resulting in increase in energy for the formation of trienamine.

We next wanted to assess the influence of the heteroaromatic ring on the regioselectivity of the addition reaction. To do this, we performed population analysis of the HOMO of the trienamine systems A12-15 (Figure 2.1 and Table 2.2). In order to evaluate the possible synergistic effects between the conjugation provided by the aminocatalyst and the heteroatom, the all-carbon trienamine A12 was also computed to serve as a means of comparing the results. While examining the HOMO orbital coefficients of the trienamine derived from cyclopentadiene A12 it was observed that it follows the general vinylogy principle ${ }^{183}$, with the $\alpha$-carbon having the largest coefficient followed by $\gamma$-carbon and then the $\varepsilon$-carbon (Table 2.2). The presence of the heteroatom in the ring system of Model System A (trienamines A13-15) significantly increases the orbital coefficient at the $\varepsilon$-carbon whereas the orbital coefficients for $\beta$ - and $\gamma$ carbon decrease relative to those in A12. An interesting feature of this model is the very low orbital coefficient observed at the $\gamma$-carbon in trienamines A13-15, when compared to A12. It would appear that the heteroatom in the ring is influencing the conjugated trienamine backbone in two ways; it donates into the terminal double bond, increasing the orbital coefficient at the $\varepsilon$-carbon and it also disrupts the donating abilities of the amine via cross-conjugation with the enamine, decreasing the orbital coefficients at the $\alpha$ and $\gamma$-carbons.


Figure 2. 1 - HOMO of trienamines A13-A15 for Model System A (isovalue (0.035).

Table 2.2 - Orbital coefficients of the HOMO of Model System A.

| Carbon | $\mathbf{A 1 2}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ | $\mathbf{A 1 3}$ <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathbf{A 1 4}$ <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NH})$ | $\mathbf{A 1 5}$ <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{S})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{i p s o}$ | 13.6 | 11.8 | 15.2 | 10.8 |
| $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | 18.7 | 11.3 | 8.4 | 11.2 |
| $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ | 7.5 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ | 16.0 | 8.0 | 5.6 | 7.6 |
| $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ | 2.5 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.4 |
| $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ | 12.3 | 19.7 | 20.6 | 16.7 |

Overall, the decreased energy penalty associated with the dearomatization of the trienamine system and the regiochemical preferences suggested by the orbital analysis of the various trienamines are in agreement with the experimental results been reported by Albrecht and co-workers. ${ }^{159}$ Our results also
suggest that other heteroaromatic rings follow a similar trend so, similar reactivity may be achieved employing those systems.

## Model System B

We next explored a family of heterocyclic systems with an allyl group on the 3-position (Model System B). Upon deprotonation at the $\varepsilon$-carbon of the corresponding iminium ion, aromaticity in the ring is disrupted as the trienamine intermediate is formed (Scheme 2.1). While these ortho-olefinated, heterocyclic aldehydes have been synthesized and have found use as intermediates in the design of photoswitches and optoelectronics ${ }^{184-186}$, to the best of our knowledge, they have yet to be used as substrates in organocatalytic reactions. However, the rigidity provided by the heterocyclic scaffold suggests that they would serve as optimal substrates for organocatalytic remote functionalization and, therefore, we decided to perform a similar study to that made for Model System A to provide insights into what features this scaffold may present for future organocatalytic reaction development.

Assessment of the impact of the catalyst on the energetic penalty for dearomatization of the substrate was achieved through hyperhomodesmotic equations 3 and 4. It was observed that dearomatization of aldehydes B1-3 (eq 3) and iminium ions B9-11 (eq 4) is, again, disfavored. Similar to Model System A, the iminium ion decreases the energetic penalty for the loss of aromaticity in all heterocycles $\mathbf{B 9} 9 \mathbf{- 1 1}$ by $4.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 4.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, and $6.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NH}$, and S respectively, when compared to the corresponding aldehydes B1-3 (Table 2.3).

The decrease in the relative energy penalty for the dearomatization of the iminioum ions $\mathbf{B 9 - 1 1}$ can be explained by the increased polarization of the iminium ion vs the parent aldehyde which results in increased delocalization of the electrons of the heterocycle out of the ring and into the exocyclic $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ bond of the iminium ion. This can be observed by the decrease in the $\mathrm{C}_{i p s o}-\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ bond lengths in the iminium ions B9-11 vs the parent aldehydes B1-3 (Table 2.4, entry 1). Further analysis of the bond distances in the
aldehyde and iminium ion derived from pyrrole ( $\mathbf{B 2}$ vs $\mathbf{B 8}$ ) shows slight decreases in the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{C}_{\delta^{\prime}}, \mathrm{C}_{\gamma^{\prime}}-\mathrm{C}_{\delta^{\prime}}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}-\mathrm{C}_{\gamma^{\prime}}$ bonds indicating some cross-conjugation through the ring to stabilize the positively charged nitrogen of the aminocatalyst moiety (Table 2.4, entries 5 to 8 ).

Table 2.3-Hyperhomodesmotic equations for Model System B (relative free energies in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ).


Table 2. 4 - Comparison between the bond lengths $(\AA)$ for aldehydes B1-3 and iminium ions B9-11, in Model System B.


| Entry | Bond | B1 <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathbf{B 2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NH})$ | $\mathbf{B 3}$ <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{S})$ | $\mathbf{B 9}$ <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O})$ | B10 <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NH})$ | B11 <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{S})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{i p s o}-\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ | 1.46 | 1.45 | 1.46 | 1.41 | 1.40 | 1.41 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ | 1.38 | 1.40 | 1.39 | 1.40 | 1.42 | 1.41 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}-\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}$ | 1.46 | 1.46 | 1.47 | 1.45 | 1.46 | 1.46 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}-\mathrm{C}_{\delta}$ | 1.34 | 1.34 | 1.34 | 1.34 | 1.34 | 1.34 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{X}$ | 1.46 | 1.37 | 1.73 | 1.41 | 1.39 | 1.73 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathrm{X}^{-}-\mathrm{C}_{\delta^{\prime}}$ | 1.34 | 1.36 | 1.71 | 1.34 | 1.35 | 1.70 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\gamma^{\prime}}-\mathrm{C}_{\delta^{\prime}}$ | 1.36 | 1.38 | 1.37 | 1.36 | 1.39 | 1.37 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}-\mathrm{C}_{\gamma^{\prime}}$ | 1.43 | 1.42 | 1.43 | 1.43 | 1.41 | 1.42 |

To assess the influence of the heteroaromatic ring in Model System B on the potential regioselectivity of an addition reaction we performed a population analysis of the HOMO of the trienamine systems B12-15 (Figure 2.2). It was observed that for all trienamines B12-B15, the vinylogy principle does not seem to be obeyed as the coefficient at $\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}$ is higher than any of the other carbons in the trienamine backbone (Table 2.5).


Figure 2. 2 - HOMO of trienamines B12-15 for Model System B (isovalue 0.035).

Table 2.5 - Orbital coefficients of the HOMO of Model System B.

| Carbon | $\mathbf{B 1 2}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ | $\mathbf{B 1 3}$ <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathbf{B 1 4}$ <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NH})$ | $\mathbf{B 1 5}$ <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{S})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10.8 | 10.9 | 14.4 | 10.8 |
| $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | 15.2 | 11.6 | 8.1 | 10.5 |
| $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ | 8.6 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.2 |
| $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ | 21.0 | 21.4 | 19.4 | 17.8 |
| $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ | 2.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ | 12.4 | 11.2 | 10.1 | 9.0 |

One possible explanation for the high coefficient at the $\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}$ can be attributed to the loss of planarity between the catalyst and the rest of the $\pi$-system which could affect resonance and thus, electron donation. While the dihedral angles between $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{cat},} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cat}}, \mathrm{C}_{i p s o}, \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$, in the parent iminium ions $\mathbf{B 9 - 1 1}$ and $\mathbf{B 1 6}$ are fairly planar (between 0.6 and $2.7^{\circ}$ ), they get fairly distorted in the enamines to $33.5^{\circ}$ in $\mathbf{B 1 2}, 4.2^{\circ}$ in $\mathbf{B 1 3}, 46.6^{\circ}$ in B14 and $27.5^{\circ}$ in B15. These changes are caused by increased steric repulsion between the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ unit of the catalyst and hydrogens and/or lone pairs of X in the cyclic substrate.

## Model system C

We then inverted the position of the aldehyde and allyl group relative to the heteroatom from Model system B and came across Model system C. This System has been recently used by Chen and co-workers to perform remote Michael additions, catalyzed by the bifunctional organocatalyst XI, between the furfural derivative $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ and electron-deficient 3-olefinic oxindoles $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ via trienamine intermediary to give the corresponding Michael adducts 126 in good yields and moderate enantioselectivities (Scheme 2.2). ${ }^{187}$


Scheme 2.2-Michael addition reaction between furfural $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ and 3-olefinic oxindoles $\mathbf{1 2 5}$, reported by Chen and coworkers.

As with the previous model systems, hyperhomodesmotic equations (Table 2.6) suggest that the conversion of aldehydes C1-3 and iminium ions C9-11 to the corresponding trienes is an unfavourable
process. The penalty for loss of aromaticity in iminium ion $\mathbf{C 9}(X=0)$ is $3.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower than that of the parent aldehyde $\mathbf{C 1}$, suggesting the iminium ion is decreasing the penalty for dearomatization. This is also the case in the thiophene system ( $\mathbf{C 1 1}$ vs $\mathbf{C 3}$ ) where the presence of the iminium ion decreases the penalty for loss of aromaticity by $3.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Conversely, the loss of aromaticity in iminium ion $\mathbf{C 1 0}$ $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NH})$ is $1.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher than that for the dearomatization of aldehyde $\mathbf{C 2}$. This can be explained by the additional loss of delocalization of the pyrrole lone pair into the iminium ion upon formation of the trienamine. This delocalization can be seen in the increased length of the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ double bond (see Figure 2.3 for atom labels) in $\mathbf{C 1 0}(1.42 \AA)$ relative to that in $\mathbf{C 2}(1.40 \AA)$.

Table 2. 6 - Hyperhomodesmotic equations for Model System C (relative free energies in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ).
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| Equation 5 | +10. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Equation 6 | +7.5 |



C5: $X=0$
C6: $X=N H$
C7: $X=S$


C13: $\mathrm{X}=0$
C14: $X=N H$
C15: $X=S$

C8


C16

$$
\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{S}
$$

$$
+10.3
$$

+10.3
+6.4

Population analysis shows that for trienamine $\mathbf{C 1 2}\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ the vinylogy principle is obeyed, i.e. the highest orbital coefficient in the HOMO is at $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ followed by $\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}$ and finally $\mathrm{C}_{\varepsilon}$. When $\mathrm{X}=$ heteroatom, carbon $\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}$ exhibits the highest orbital coefficient in the HOMO. Similarly to Model System B, there appears to be a synergistic effect between the $\mathrm{N}_{\text {cat }}$ and the heteroatom of the substrate ring which increases the electron density at carbon $\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}$ (Table 2.7 and Figure 2.3). It is also observed that $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\varepsilon}$ have similar values in their orbital coefficients which could indicate some cross conjugation between the heteroatom and $\mathrm{C}_{i p s o}$.


Figure 2. 3-HOMO of trienamines C12-15 for Model System C (isovalue 0.035).

Table 2. 7 - Orbital coefficients for the HOMO of Model System C.

| Carbon | $\mathbf{C 1 2}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ | $\mathbf{C 1 3}$ <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathbf{C 1 4}$ <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NH})$ | $\mathbf{C 1 5}$ <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{S})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{i p s o}$ | 13.2 | 11.2 | 10.7 | 10.3 |
| $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | 19.0 | 12.6 | 10.2 | 11.4 |
| $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ | 4.7 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 3.4 |
| $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ | 14.4 | 20.3 | 22.6 | 17.9 |
| $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ | 7.5 | 10.7 | 11.3 | 8.7 |

In the work published by Chen and co-workers (Scheme 2.2) ${ }^{187}$, the authors observed functionalization at the epsilon position which can be explained by the choice of catalyst used. The authors
used a bifunctional H -bond aminocatalyst which directed the electrophile to the most remote position, overcoming the inherent nucleophilicity of the gamma carbon.

## Model System D

Next, we explored Model System D where an $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehyde is at the 3-position and a methyl substituent on 2-position. In Chapter III of Part I of this Thesis we already saw that the indole-based version of this system has been reported by Melchiorre and co-workers to undergo a Diels-Alder reaction via formation of an ortho-quinodimethane trienamine intermediate (Schemes 1.28 and 1.29). ${ }^{157,158}$ However, in the same paper, the authors also explored the reactivity of these systems towards Diels-Alder reaction using pyrrole $\mathbf{1 2 7}$ or furan $\mathbf{1 2 8}$ with 3-olefinic oxindoles $\mathbf{6 7}$ as the dienophile, catalyzed by IIIa (Scheme 2.3). ${ }^{157}$


127: $R_{1}=P h, X=$ NBoc
128: $R_{1}=H, X=O$


67


129: R1 = Ph, $X=$ NBoc
76\% yield, $96 \%$ ee, 2.1:1 dr
130: R1 = H, X = O
$86 \%$ yield, $91 \%$ ee, $6.9: 1 \mathrm{dr}$

Scheme 2. 3 - Diels-Alder reaction between 3-olefinic oxindoles 67 and pyrrole 127 or furan 128, reported by Melchiorre and co-workers.

As with the previous model systems, hyperhomodesmotic equations (Table 2.8) suggest that the conversion of aldehydes D1-3 and iminium ions D9-11 to the corresponding trienes is an unfavourable process. However, in this system, it appears that the formation of the iminium ions does not have a major influence in the energy penalty for loss of aromaticity. According to Table 2.8 , the iminium ions D9 and D11 ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}$ and S , respectively) are only favoured to undergo dearomatization by 1.3 and $1.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ compared to their respective parent aldehydes D1 and D3. On the other hand, the loss of aromaticity in
iminium ion $\mathbf{D} 10(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NH})$ is $3.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher than that for the dearomatization of aldehyde $\mathbf{D} 2$. It appears that the introduction of an alkene moiety between the aldehyde group and the heteroaromatic ring weakens the inductive effects of the iminium ion which were most likely responsible for the general favourability of dearomatization observed in the previous models.

Table 2.8 - Hyperhomodesmotic equations for Model System D (relative free energies in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ).


D1: $X=0$
D2: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NH}$
D3: $X=S$


D9: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}$
D10: $X=N H$
D11: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{S}$


D4


D12


D5: $X=0$
D6: $X=N H$
D7: X = S


D13: $X=0$
D14: $X=N H$
D16
D15: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{S}$
D8

H


## Equation 7

| $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{O}$ | $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{N H}$ | $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{S}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +11.1 | +16.9 | +11.3 |
| +9.8 | +20.8 | +9.5 |

Population analysis of the trienamine shows that for this model, $\mathrm{C}_{\varepsilon}$ caries the highest coefficient of the HOMO in trienamines D13-15 while $\mathbf{D 1 2}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{~}}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ follows the vinylogy principle (Table 2.9). This observation is similar to that of Model System A and is due to the synergistic effect between the aminocatalyst electron pair and the heteroatom in the aromatic ring that help increase the electron density at the terminal position of the trienamine system (Figure 2.4).


Figure 2. 4 - HOMO of trienamines D12-15 for Model System D (isovalue 0.035).

Table 2.9- Orbital coefficients for the HOMO of Model System D.

| Carbon | D12 <br> $\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ | $\mathbf{D 1 3}$ <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathbf{D 1 4}$ <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NH})$ | $\mathbf{D 1 5}$ <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{S})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10.7 | 8.6 | 9.1 | 8.0 |
| $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | 16.7 | 10.2 | 12.9 | 10.8 |
| $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ | 11.0 | 12.0 | 11.2 | 10.3 |
| $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ | 17.5 | 11.5 | 13.9 | 12.5 |
| $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ | 8.8 | 17.0 | 14.8 | 13.9 |

Our calculations show that aminocatalysis does not have a major influence on the dearomatization of this type of systems. Nonetheless, Melchiorre's work (vide supra) show that they can still be exploited for Diels-Alder reactions and the regioselectivity observed in his work supports the orbital coefficients calculated in our work.

## Model system E

Finally, we explored Model System E where an $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehyde is at the 2-position and a methyl substituent on 3-position. This system has not been explored in any asymmetric synthetic approach but, due to its similarities with the previous Model, we decided it would be interesting to explore in this paper. The hyperhomodesmotic equations are illustrated in Table 2.10 and, similarly to Model System D, the increased distance between the aldehyde group and the heteroaromatic ring system seems to attenuate the effect of the aminocatalyst to decrease the energy cost for dearomatization. Iminium ion $\mathbf{E 9}(X=O)$ is just slightly more favourable to undergo dearomatization when compared to its parent aldehyde $\mathbf{E 1}$ (0.8 $\mathrm{kcal} /$ mol difference) while, the dearomatization of the iminium ion derived from the pyrrole system $\mathbf{E 1 0}$ is disfavoured by $0.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ when compared to its parent aldehyde $\mathbf{E 2}$. Interestingly, when $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{S}$ the iminium ion (E11) undergoes dearomatization more easily than its parent aldehyde (E3) by a difference of $3.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

Table 2. 10 - Hyperhomodesmotic equations for Model System E (relative free energies in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ).


The decrease of in the energy penalty for dearomatization of the sulfur system could arise from geometry changes in the thiophene ring between the iminium ion E11 and the trienamine E15, when
compared to an unsubstituted thiophene. ${ }^{188-190}$ The bond distances between $S-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$, in $\mathbf{E 1 1}$, are elongated when compared to an unsubstituted thiophene while the bond angles remain virtually unchanged. This bond distortion is likely to destabilize the iminium ion intermediate. Upon dearomatization, the new bond angles and distances observed in E15 attenuate the ring strain of E11 making the dearomatization more favoured (Table 2.11).

Table 2.11 - Bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ in thiophene, iminium ion E11 and trienamine E15.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thiophene |  | E15 |
| 1,2 | 1.71 | 1.71 | 1.75 |
| 1,5 | 1.71 | 1.74 | 1.78 |
| 2,3 | 1.37 | 1.37 | 1.34 |
| 3,4 | 1.42 | 1.41 | 1.47 |
| 4,5 | 1.37 | 1.40 | 1.48 |
| 5,1,2 | 92.2 | 91.1 | 90.8 |
| 1,2,3 | 111.5 | 113.0 | 114.2 |
| 2,3,4 | 112.5 | 112.9 | 114.7 |
| 3,4,5 | 112.5 | 111.5 | 109.0 |
| 4,5,1 | 111.5 | 111.5 | 110.5 |

Nonetheless, in all cases considered, the energy penalty to undergo dearomatization seems to be very close for either aldehydes $\mathbf{E 1 - 3}$ and the respective iminium ions $\mathbf{E 9 - 1 1}$ and is low enough to be accessible in reactions performed at room temperature making the trienamines E13-15 easily accessible.

Population analysis on the HOMO of trienamines E12-15 shows that $\mathrm{C}_{\varepsilon}$ possesses the largest orbital coefficient for all heteroaromatic trienamines (Table 2.12). This can be explained by the conjugation of the lone pairs of the heteroatom donating to the epsilon position. This is also easily visualized by the orbital pictures (Figure 2.5). Predictably, the carbon system follows the vinylogy principle with $\alpha$-carbon having the largest coefficient. It is also observed that when $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, there seems to be no contribution of the double bond of the ring into the HOMO , however, when $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$, the $\pi$-system is delocalized in the respective trienamine intermediates (Figure 2.5).


Figure 2.5-HOMO of trienamines E12-15 for Model System E (isovalue 0.035).

Table 2. 12- Orbital coefficients for the HOMO of Model System E.

| Carbon | E12 <br> $\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ | E13 <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NH})$ | E14 <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O})$ | E15 <br> $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{S})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10.6 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 8.2 |
| $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | 19.2 | 14.5 | 11.4 | 11.6 |
| $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ | 8.9 | 10.8 | 13.3 | 10.6 |
| $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ | 18.5 | 13.0 | 11.1 | 11.9 |
| $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ | 12.7 | 15.5 | 15.3 | 13.5 |

The high orbital coefficient at the $\varepsilon$ position, allied with the relatively low energy penalties associated with dearomatization, make these systems excellent candidates to be explored in asymmetric aminocatalyzed remote functionalizations.

## Fulvene Systems

During the study of the Model Systems illustrated in Figure 2.1, it became evident that in all cases, when $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ or NH another trienamine intermediate could be formed by deprotonation on the ring system instead of the studied $\varepsilon$-deprotonation. This other possibility opens the doors to the formation of (hetero)fulvenes which can then be used as 6 electron systems for higher-order cycloadditions. In fact, some examples have already been reported by Jørgensen and co-workers such as the [10+2]- and [10+4]cycloadditions using isobenzofulevenes derived from compounds $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ (Schemes 1.38 to 1.40 of Chapter III of Part I of this Dissertation) and the hetero-[6+4]- and [6+2]-cycloadditions using compounds 118 as the heterofulvene precursor (Scheme 1.41 of Chapter III of Part I of this Dissertation).
Model System A




Model System E

Scheme 2. 4 - Energy differences between trienamines and possible fulvene systems for Model Systems A-E (relative free energies in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ )

Consequently, we decided to explore the energy differences between the trienamines depicted in the previous models to the possible fulvenes (Scheme 2.4). It is clear from Scheme 2.4 that, in all Model Systems, the fulvenes are thermodynamically preferred over the trienamines previously explored. This feature expands the scope of these substrates as possible polyenes for higher-order cycloadditions as well as non-classical modes of activation. In Chapter II we will be exploring an analogue of the fulvene of Model System C as an hetero-cross-trienamine for aza-Diels-Alder reactions.

In conclusion, in this Chapter we investigated the influences of an aminocatalyst in the dearomatization of several heteroaromatic systems in order to form a trienamine reactive intermediate. Our calculations suggest that all Models are good candidates for further synthetic studies namely when Oxygen is used as the heteroatom, as this allows for a smaller energy penalty on the dearomatization step. Orbital analysis seems to indicate that the closer the heteroatom is to the epsilon position of the trienamine the more likely it is for the carbon to possess a higher electron density and, therefore allow for remote functionalizations. Experimental work cited in this Chapter as well as in Chapter III of Part I helps to support our findings for Model Systems A, C and D. Model Systems B and E, to the best of our knowledge, have yet to be used in asymmetric aminocatalysis and, hopefully this paper can help guide chemists in the development of their chemistry. Furthermore, it has been illustrated that, when cyclopentadienes or pyrroles are used, it is thermodynamically possible to obtain electron-rich (hetero)fulvene systems that can be exploited in higher-order cycloadditions.

## Chapter II - Organocatalyzed Asymmetric Synthesis of Dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indoles

Chiral pyridoindoles derived from indoles have unique tricyclic structures, which have received much attention due to their interesting and potent biological activities. ${ }^{191-193}$ For example, cryptaustoline, ${ }^{194}$ cladoniamides, ${ }^{195,196}$ goniomitine, ${ }^{197-199}$ and vincamine ${ }^{200}$ alkaloids are known to possess a broad range of biological properties (Figure 2.6). Due to the remarkable biological activities of these types of compounds we decided to develop an asymmetric protocol for the synthesis of dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indole scaffolds. For this purpose, we devised two different strategies, one involving an intramolecular Michael addition of substrate 131, via formation of an ortho-quinonedimethide type dienamine (132), catalyzed by a chiral secondary amine, to give the desired product 133 (Scheme 2.5, eq. 1), and another approach which involved an asymmetric aza-Diels-Alder reaction between an hetero-cross-trienamine 135, derived from substrate 134, and a chiral secondary amine catalyst and an electron deficient dienophile (Scheme 2.5, eq. 2).

dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indole

cryptaustoline

cladoniamide A

goniomitine

vincamine

Figure 2.6-Selection of biologically active alkaloids with a dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indole core structure.
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Scheme 2. 5 - Proposed synthetic paths for the synthesis of chiral tetrahydroisoquinolines $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ and 136; (1) via dienamine catalyzed intramolecular Michael addition and (2) via hetero-cross-trienamine catalyzed aza-Diels-Alder.

## Intramolecular Michael Addition

The starting material 131, required for the intramolecular Michael addition reaction, was conveniently synthesized starting from commercially available 2-methylindole through a Vilsmeier-Haack formylation followed by N -alkylation with methyl 3-bromo-2-(bromomethyl)propionate, in $86 \%$ yield over two steps (see Part IV for detailed experimental procedures).

With the desired reagent $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ in hand, we subjected it to a few different reaction conditions to get a feel of 131's reactivity (Table 2.13). The initial attempts showed some promising results. Pyrrolidine was used as a surrogate of the more expensive Hayashi's and Jørgensen's catalysts IIIa and IIIb, respectively, and after 4 days, it showed some small conversion ( $\approx 8 \%$ ) to a new product, by comparing the integration of the aldehyde proton signals in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Table 2.13, entry 1; Figure 2.7). Primary amine XII was also tested to overcome possible problems relating to steric hindrance that could prevent condensation of a secondary amine catalyst to the $\alpha$-substituted aldehyde and indeed, around $29 \%$ conversion to the imine intermediary was observed by crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Table 2.13, entry 2; Figure 2.8). Finally, L-proline I was
also tested but no conversion was observed. Isopropanol was used as a co-solvent to help in the solubility of I (Table 2.13, entry 3).

Table 2. 13 - Initial screening for the intramolecular Michael addition of $\mathbf{1 3 3}$.


All reactions performed on a 0.2 mmol scale, in respect to $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ in solvent ( 0.5 mL ); (a) determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR


Figure 2.7- ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of reaction from Table 2.13, entry 1 ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).


Figure 2. $8-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of reaction from Table 2.13, entry 2. Signals from imine $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ highlighted in red ( 300 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).

With these preliminary results, we decided to focus on catalyst XII and try to explore reaction conditions that could lead to the formation of the desired dienamine 132, by heating the reaction as well as changing the acid additive (Table 2.14). Unfortunately, the only conversion observed was to the corresponding imine $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ which, regardless of the reaction conditions employed, failed to tautomerize to the corresponding dienamine 132 .

We then decided to test the commercially available catalyst $\mathbf{V a} \cdot \mathbf{3 H C l}$ (Table 2.15). We hoped that since catalyst $\mathbf{V a} \cdot \mathbf{3 H C l}$ already possessed 3.0 equiv. of a strong acid, it could promote the desired tautomerization of imine to dienamine. Unfortunately, it did not afford the desired Michael adduct product 133. Initial testing was performed in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ but, due to poor solubility of the catalyst salt in this solvent, no conversion was observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, even after heating to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 2.15 , entries 1 and 2 ) and the same
was observed when toluene was used as a solvent (Table 2.15 , entry 3 ). The more polar solvent MeOH was also tested as it could help improving catalyst solubility as well as act as a proton shuttle which could facilitate the formation of the reactive dienamine and concomitant Michael addition step. Unfortunately, solubility also proved to be an issue (Table 2.15 , entry 4 ).

Table 2. 14-Screening of reaction conditions for the intramolecular Michael addition of 131.


All reactions performed on a 0.2 mmol scale, in respect to $\mathbf{1 3 1}$, in solvent ( 0.4 M ); (a) determine by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR; (b) conversion to imine $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ and; (c) followed by TLC analysis.

Table 2. 15- Screening of conditions for the intramolecular Michael addition reaction of $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ catalyzed by $\mathbf{V a \cdot 3 H C l}$.

|  | $\mathrm{Va} \cdot 3 \mathrm{HCl}$ <br> Solvent, T, time |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Solvent | T | Time (h) | Conversion (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | rt | 24 | - |
| 2 | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 24 | - |
| 3 | Toluene | rt | 48 | - |
| 4 | MeOH | rt | 48 | - |

All reactions performed in a 0.2 mmol scale in respect to 131 , in solvent $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$; (a) reactions followed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, with no evidence of conversion to either product or imine 137.

Due to the inability to tautomerize imine 137 to the reactive dienamine $\mathbf{1 3 2}$, we decided to go back to the secondary amine catalysts of which pyrrolidine had given some conversion to a new product. Therefore, we decided to test L-proline I under different solvent and additive conditions as well as try Jørgensen's catalyst IIIb. We also tested pyrrolidine with Lewis acid additives to see if further activation of the ester group of $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ could facilitate the intramolecular Michael addition and thus improving the conversions initially observed with this catalyst (Table 2.16).

Catalyst IIIb failed to give any detectable conversion by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Table 2.16, entry 1 ) even when heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in toluene (Table 2.16, entry 2). Similarly, I also failed to yield any detectable product regardless of heating and the use of strong Brønsted acid additives (Table 2.16, entries 3 to 5). From the preliminary results from Table 2.13, we decided to explore pyrrolidine again, and use Lewis acids as additives to provide further activation of the ester group which, we theorized, could be responsible for yielding a weak Michael acceptor. Benzoic acid was also used in these experiments to ensure that all free aminocatalyst would be protonated and therefore preventing the formation of Lewis acid/base pair between pyrrolidine and the metals. ${ }^{201}$ Of the Lewis acids tested (Table 2.16, entries 6 to 11,17 and 18) the one that seemed to produce the most promising results was $\mathrm{BiCl}_{3}$ (Table 2.16, entry 8 ) giving around $9 \%$ conversion to a new product after 48 h at rt (determined by integration of the aldehyde peaks in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R$ ). Increasing the temperature to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave $14 \%$ conversion after the same amount of time (Table 2.16, entry 11). Using a higher loading of BA ( $100 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) did not improved the conversion ( $6 \%$ after 24 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Table 2.16 , entry 12). Catalyst IIIb was also tested under these new cooperative conditions (Table 2.16, entry 13) but no new product was detected by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

To discard the possibility of a Brønsted/Lewis acid catalyzed transformation, a test reaction was performed in the absence of pyrrolidine and no product was observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR indicating that this aminocatalyst was crucial to the observed product (Table 2.16, entry 14).

Table 2. 16 - Screening of reaction conditions for the intramolecular Michael addition of $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ using secondary amine organocatalysts.


| Entry | Catalyst | Additive (mol\%) | Solvent | T ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Time (h) | Conversion (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | IIIb | BA ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | rt | 72 | $<5$ |
| 2 | IIIb | BA (20 mol\%) | Toluene | 90 | 96 | <5 |
| 3 | I | BA ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) | Toluene $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}$ (9/1) | 60 | 48 | <5 |
| 4 | I | $p \mathrm{TsOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | MeOH | 60 | 96 | <5 |
| 5 | I | TFA (40 mol\%) | MeOH | 60 | 24 | <5 |
| 6 | pyrrolidine | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BA}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \\ \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | rt | 48 | <5 |
| 7 | pyrrolidine | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BA}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \\ \mathrm{FeCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | rt | 48 | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 8 | pyrrolidine | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BA}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \\ \mathrm{BiCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | rt | 48 | 9 |
| 9 | pyrrolidine | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BA}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \\ \mathrm{MnCl}_{4} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | rt | 48 | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 10 | pyrrolidine | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BA}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \\ \mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | rt | 48 | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 11 | pyrrolidine | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BA}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \\ \mathrm{BiCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | 50 | 48 | 14 |
| 12 | pyrrolidine | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BA}(100 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \\ \mathrm{BiCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | 50 | 24 | 6 |
| 13 | IIIb | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BA}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \\ \mathrm{BiCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | 50 | 24 | <5 |
| 14 | - | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BA}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \\ \mathrm{BiCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | 50 | 24 | <5 |
| 15 | pyrrolidine | $\mathrm{BiCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | THF | 75 | 48 | 10 |
| 16 | pyrrolidine | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BA}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \\ \mathrm{BiCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \end{gathered}$ | THF | 75 | 48 | 10 |
| 17 | pyrrolidine | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{BA}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \\ & \mathrm{CsF}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \end{aligned}$ | Toluene | 120 | 24 | 25 |
| 18 | pyrrolidine | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{BA}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \\ & \mathrm{CsF}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \end{aligned}$ | Toluene | 120 | 72 | 17 |
| 19 | pyrrolidine | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BA}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \\ \mathrm{BiCl}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \end{gathered}$ | Toluene | 120 | 24 | 25 |
| 20 | pyrrolidine | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BA}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \\ \mathrm{BiCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \end{gathered}$ | Toluene | 120 | 24 | 9 |
| 21 | pyrrolidine | BA ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) | Toluene | 120 | 24 | 16 |

All reactions performed on a 0.2 mmol scale in respect to 133 in solvent ( 0.5 mL ); (a) determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR; (b) followed by TLC.

To see if BA was having any influence of reactivity, a test reaction was performed in its absence (Table 2.16, entry 15) and a $10 \%$ conversion was observed after 48 h at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF. Standard conditions ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of pyrrolidine and BA , and $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{BiCl}_{3}$ ) under higher temperatures in THF did not provide any improvement (Table 2.16, entry 16). Changing the Lewis acid to the stronger CsF and performing the reaction in refluxing toluene did not improve conversions and, as a matter of fact, these conditions were detrimental to the reaction as the starting material $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ was decomposing over time (Table 2.16, entries 17 and 18). Increased loading of $\mathrm{BiCl}_{3}$ to $50 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ did not provide any substantial improvement on conversions (Table 2.16, entry 19) neither did just heating of the reaction to reflux conditions in toluene (Table 2.16, entry 20). Finally, another test reaction was done to try and assess if the Lewis acid played any role, and to our surprise, $16 \%$ conversion was observed after 24 h under refluxing toluene (Table 2.16 entry 21 ).

With these results, we decided to perform a test reaction using $100 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ pyrrolidine (Scheme 2.6) and try to see if the dienamine $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ was being formed and what would happen to the conversion as until now, conversions were in the range of the pyrrolidine loading ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) or in the range of the free pyrrolidine that did not have any Lewis acid to react with.


Scheme 2.6-Test reaction for the intramolecular Michael addition, using $100 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of pyrrolidine.

With the test reaction depicted in Scheme 2.6 we realized that instead of the observed Michael adduct $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ we were obtaining the hydroamination product $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ as can be seen by its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra depicted in Figure 2.9. To our frustration, it became evident that the conversions observed in previous trials were not only derived from product $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ but were also consistent with the amount of free pyrrolidine present
in solution. Therefore, the Lewis acids used so far were hindering the formation of $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ by formation of a Lewis acid/base pair with pyrrolidine leaving only around $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of free pyrrolidine. The benzoic acid seemed to not have any influence whatsoever in the reaction and, if anything, even facilitated the proton transfer in the zwitterionic intermediary that leads to $\mathbf{1 3 8}$.


Figure 2.9- ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of hydroamination product, $\mathbf{1 3 8}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

Clearly, pyrrolidine could not be used as surrogate for other secondary aminocatalysts nor as an achiral catalyst in itself. Nonetheless, the hydroamination product was never observed when I or IIIb were used as catalysts, and it has been shown that silyl protected prolinols are capable of activating substrates similar to $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ in a fashion similar to that envisioned for this reaction. ${ }^{160}$ Therefore, we decided to synthesize a few other secondary aminocatalysts (see Chapter IV for more information) and test them in our dienamine mediated intramolecular Michael addition (Table 2.17).

Table 2. 17 - Scope of secondary aminocatalysts for the intramolecular Michael addition of $\mathbf{1 3 1}$.

|  |  |  <br> 133 <br> Solvent | Catalysts tested: <br> IIIa |  |  <br> XII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entry | Catalyst |  | Additive | T ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Time (h) |
| 1 | IIIa | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | - | rt | 48 |
| 2 | IIIa | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | BA | rt | 48 |
| 3 | IIIa | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | rt | 48 |
| 4 | IIIa | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | - | 40 | 24 |
| 5 | IIIa | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | BA | 40 | 24 |
| 6 | IIIa | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 40 | 24 |
| 7 | IIIa | THF | - | rt | 48 |
| 8 | IIIa | THF | BA | rt | 48 |
| 9 | IIIa | THF | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | rt | 48 |
| 10 | IIIa | THF | - | 75 | 24 |
| 11 | IIIa | THF | BA | 75 | 24 |
| 12 | IIIa | THF | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 75 | 24 |
| 13 | IIIa | Toluene | - | 90 | 48 |
| 14 | IIIa | Toluene | BA | 90 | 48 |
| 15 | IIIa | Toluene | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 90 | 48 |
| 16 | IIIa | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | BA | rt | 48 |
| 17 | IIIa | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | BA | 75 | 48 |
| 18 | IV | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | - | 40 | 24 |
| 19 | IV | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | BA | 40 | 24 |
| 20 | IV | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 40 | 24 |
| 21 | IV | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | - | 75 | 24 |
| 22 | IV | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | BA | 75 | 24 |
| 23 | IV | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 75 | 24 |
| 24 | IV | Toluene | BA | 90 | 24 |
| 25 | XII | THF | - | rt | 48 |
| 26 | XII | THF | BA | rt | 48 |
| 27 | XII | THF | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | rt | 48 |

- Table 2.17 continues next page -
- Table 2.17 continuation -

| Entry | Catalyst | Solvent | Additive | T ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ C $)$ | Time (h) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | XII | THF | - | 75 | 24 |
| 29 | XII | THF | BA | 75 | 24 |
| 30 | XII | THF | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 75 | 24 |
| 31 | XII | Toluene | - | 90 | 24 |
| 32 | XII | Toluene | BA | 90 | 24 |
| 33 | XII | Toluene | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 90 | 24 |
| 34 | XII | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | - | 75 | 24 |
| 35 | XII | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | BA | 75 | 24 |
| 36 | XII | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 75 | 24 |

All reactions performed on a 0.2 mmol scale in respect to 131 with $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst loading and $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ additive loading, in solvent ( 0.6 mL ).

Unfortunately, none of the catalysts and reaction conditions employed afforded the desired dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indole 133. Benzoic acid (BA) is a commonly used additive in aminocatalysis, as it facilitates the condensation between the catalyst and the substrate as well as its hydrolysis once the reaction is completed, thus speeding up the reaction. Triethylamine was also tested as an additive and, even though it usually hinders the condensation between the catalyst and the substrate, it can, sometimes, aid in the formation of enamine intermediaries by acting as a proton shuttle. Several organic solvents with different polarities and boiling points were also tested allowing us to perform the reaction at different temperatures as well as providing stabilization of charged species that could form in the desired process.

Catalyst IIIa was tested because it possesses less steric bulk than the previously tested IIIb which did not provide any conversion. We hoped that the biphenyl groups could allow condensation of the catalyst and subsequent dienamine formation. However, it did not afford any product nor any indication of iminium and/or dienamine formation. Likewise, catalyst IV was also tested as this catalyst is more nucleophilic than either IIIa or IIIb and possesses less steric bulk. Therefore, we rationalized it could form the desired dienamine more easily without the hydroamination side reaction taking place. However, as evident from Table 2.17, no product was observed.

Finally, catalyst XII was also tested but afforded no conversion either. This catalyst was chosen as it forms a very electron deficient reactive iminium ion, which we thought, it could facilitate the subsequent deprotonation of said iminium ion to the desired dienamine 132. This step could be hindered when the other catalysts were tested because of the presence of the electron donor indole Nitrogen that decreases the acidity of the Hydrogens of the methyl group at the 2-position of the indole.

One final reaction was performed with this substrate to try and assess why this simple intramolecular Michael addition was not taking place. We decided to use catalyst IIIa in a $100 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ loading in the presence of $\mathrm{BA}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $4 \AA$ molecular sieves, in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, hoping that we could trap either the iminium ion or the transient dienamine 132a (Scheme 2.7) and observe them by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. However, and to our surprise, no alteration of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals of the starting material $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ were observed which hinted at the fact that no condensation between the catalyst and substrate $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ was taking place.




Scheme 2. 7 - Test reaction to trap dienamine 132a.

These results suggest that there might be an issue with the condensation between secondary aminocatalysts and 131. As demonstrated in the previous Chapter of this Dissertation, the required dearomatization to form the reactive enamine should take place at room temperature $(+16.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the formation of the trienamine system in Model System C, which is analogous to the required activation for this project). However, even when $100 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst IIIa is used, no dienamine is observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR and when $100 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ pyrrolidine is used, the product observed is the hydroamination of the $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated ester meaning that this group is more reactive than the aldehyde moiety. The lack of electrophilicity of the aldehyde could be caused by the presence of the electron-donating Nitrogen atom of the indole ring and, in fact, this type of systems has only been explored using furfural derivatives.

A possible way to circumvent the lack of electrophilicity of the aldehyde would be to extend the conjugation and use a trienamine, analogous to that described in Model System D and used by Melchiorre and co-workers.

## Aza-Diels-Alder Reaction

To the other approach to dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indole scaffolds we decided to synthesize substrate 134 which was obtained in $49 \%$ yield over four steps, starting from commercially available indole-2carboxylic acid (see Chapter IV for more details). However, for this substrate we had to optimize both catalyst and reaction conditions as well as find a suitable dienophile which would react in the desired aza-Diels-Alder mechanism we envisioned in Scheme 2.5.

During the synthesis and purification of 134, it was discovered that this substrate had some solubility issues so, we tested its solubility in a wide range of organic solvents and discovered that THF was a suitable solvent at reasonable working concentrations and, of the solvents that solubilize 134, was the most favorable at avoiding side reactions between catalysts and solvents as well as allowing for an easy recovery of any product that could form. Thus, with these solubility issues in mind we focused on screening catalysts and dienophiles in THF before we could explore other solvents (Table 2.18).

Initially, we tried to discover a hit-dienophile that would undergo the desired aza-Diels-Alder reaction using Hayashi's catalyst IIIa without any additives but, unfortunately, no product $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ was observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at either rt or upon heating the reaction to $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 2.18, entries 1 to 10 ).

Table 2.18-Screening of catalysts and dienophiles for the aza-Diels-Alder reaction of $\mathbf{1 3 4}$.


| Entry | Dienophile | Catalyst | Additive | T $\left.\mathbf{~}^{\mathbf{}} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | Time (h) | Conversion (\%) $^{\mathbf{a}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | IIIa | - | rt | 24 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | IIIa | - | 75 | 72 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | IIIa | - | rt | 24 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | IIIa | - | 75 | 72 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ | IIIa | - | rt | 24 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ | IIIa | - | 75 | 72 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ | IIIa | - | rt | 24 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ | IIIa | - | 75 | 72 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ | IIIa | - | rt | 24 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ | IIIa | - | 75 | 72 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | IIIa | BA | 75 | 48 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | IIIa | NEt | 75 | 48 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | IIIa | DBU | 75 | 48 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | IIIa | DABCO | 75 | 48 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | IIIa | Imidazole | 75 | 48 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | I | BA | 75 | 48 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | pyrrolidine | BA | 75 | 48 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | XIII | BA | 75 | 48 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | XIV | BA | 75 | 48 | 0 |

All reactions performed on a 0.5 mmol scale in respect to 134, in THF ( 2 mL ), with 1.2 equiv. of dienophile; (a) determine by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

We then decided to screen a few different additives maintaining catalyst IIIa and chose nitrostyrene (29) as the target dienophile as it has been shown to be a commonly used molecule for this type of reactions.

We started by adding benzoic acid which is widely used as an additive in aminocatalysis for reasons described before but, no reaction took place (Table 2.18, entry 11). At this point we suspected that, perhaps, the iminium ion formed by condensation between 134 and IIIa may be too stable, and therefore, not collapsing to the desired reactive hetero-cross-trienamine 135. Thus, we tested a few organic bases to see if we could facilitate the formation of the enamine $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ but these reactions were also met with disappointing results (Table 2.18, entries 12 to 15 ).

Finally, maintaining nitrostyrene as the dienophile, we tested some different aminocatalysts. Lproline, I, was chosen for its ability to activate $\mathbf{2 9}$ via H-bond activation (Table 2.18, entry 16). However, it afforded no product. Pyrrolidine and the primary amine catalysts XIII and XIV were also tested for their higher nucleophilicity and/or less steric hindrance to condense with substrate 134, but no product was observed either (Table 2.18, entries 17 to 19).

Looking back at the intermediaries formed during this reaction as well as the results from Table 2.18, entries 12 to 15 , where Brønsted base additives were used, we suspected that perhaps the desired hetero-cross-trienamine $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ was not being formed at all and the condensation between $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ and the aminocatalysts was stopping at the stable vinylogous iminium ion $\mathbf{1 4 2}$. This substrate does possess an electron deficient double bond that could be explored (Scheme 2.8).


Scheme 2.8 - Formation of the stable vinylogous iminium ion intermediary 142.

We decided to test a cooperative iminium ion/dienamine approach by using trans-2-hexenal as the precursor to the nucleophilic dienamine. This substrate was chosen because it could still give rise to the desired dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indole scaffolds that we were interested in synthesizing by either an in situ
step-wise Michael/Michael cascade or via a simple Michael addition followed by treatment with a strong base to produce the desired cyclized product (Scheme 2.9). The catalysts and reaction conditions tested are depicted in Table 2.19.


Scheme 2. 9 - Cooperative iminium ion/dienamine processes for the synthesis of dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indole scaffolds.

Table 2.19- Screening of catalysts for the cooperative iminium ion/dienamine process.

134

| Entry | Catalyst | Time (h) | Conversion (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | IIIb | 48 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{X V}$ | 48 | $100^{\text {b }}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | IIIa | 48 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{I}$ | 48 | 0 |

All reactions performed on a 0.5 mmol scale in respect to $\mathbf{1 3 4}$, in 2 mL of THF, with 1.2 equiv. of trans-2-hexenal; (a) determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR; (b) determined in respect to trans-2-hexenal.

Of the catalysts tested, only $\mathbf{X V}$ (Scheme 2.10) showed new signals in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude reaction mixture (Table 2.19, entry 2 vs entries 1,3 and 4 ). However, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ signals of $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ were still present
and only the trans-2-hexenal was changed and consumed. TLC analysis of this reaction provided further evidence that the starting trans-2-hexenal had been consumed and a new product was formed whilst $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ was still present in the reaction mixture. Isolation of this new product showed it was the cross-aldol product of trans-2-hexenal with an $E / Z$ ratio of $1.25 / 1$ (Scheme 2.10).


Scheme 2. 10 - Cross-aldol of trans-2-hexenal promoted by catalyst XV.

Lastly, we performed a few test reactions with three diferent aminocatalysts, in $100 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ loading, to see if the iminium ion $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ and/or cross-trienamine $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ were being formed but, none of those intermediates were found by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Scheme 2.11).


## Catalysts screened:
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Scheme 2. 11 - Test reactions to trap either iminium ion 142 or cross-trienamine 135.

In conclusion, it appears that the relative position of the aldehyde moiety in respect to the indole Nitrogen atom is detrimental to the ability of the aminocatalyst to condense with the aldehyde to form the reactive intermediary species. This can be caused by electronic effects which decrease the nucleophilicity of the carbonyl carbon. It is also possible that some of the catalysts tested may have been too bulky to condense with this aldehyde. Therefore, neither of these two approaches, the intramolecular Michael of $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ nor the aza-Diels-Alder reaction of 134, were successful at achieving the desired dihydropyrido[1,2a]indole scaffolds. Nonetheless, further studies on the reactivity of $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ could be performed as perhaps a more suitable dienophile or a stronger nucleophile may react in the desired fashion. Moreover, H-bond catalysis may prove to be a better way of achieving the desired reactivity for substrate $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ however, changes to its structure may be required namely, the addition of a better H -bond acceptor group.

## Chapter III - Higher-Order Cycloadditions

The introduction of orbital symmetry selection rules for cycloadditions in the mid-1960's, by Woodward and Hoffmann, allowed for a classification of these types of reactions in terms of electronic characteristics and opened the path to expand the scope beyond six-electron processes with reports of higher-order cycloadditions soon being reported in the following years. ${ }^{202}$ In this century, it has been found that some higher-order cycloadditions, namely [6+4]-cycloadditions, also occur in enzyme-catalyzed reactions in the biosynthesis of spinosyn ${ }^{203}$, heronamide ${ }^{204}$ and streptoseomycin ${ }^{205}$ natural products (Scheme 2.12) with a whole new class of enzymes, the pericyclases that catalyze pericyclic reactions, being discovered. ${ }^{206}$

As it has been shown in Chapter IV of Part I, the first asymmetric aminocatalyzed intramolecular [6+2]-cycloaddition was reported in 2011, by Hayashi, Uchimaru and co-workers ${ }^{167}$ but it was not until the end of 2017, with the independent works by Jørgensen and co-workers ${ }^{168}$ and Chen and co-workers ${ }^{207}$, that the use of aminocatalysts was shown to be an effective method for performing asymmetric higher-order cycloadditions (see Schemes 1.35 to 1.42 and Table 1.3 from Chapter IV of Part I).

With this in mind, we decided to develop our own variants of higher-order cycloaddition reactions and for that purpose, we envisioned that a tetraenamine intermediary $\mathbf{1 4 3}$, containing a fulvene moiety, could show to be quite effective at achieving the desired cycloadditions. Fulvenes are known to undergo higher-order cycloadditions by contributing with $6 \pi$ electrons ${ }^{208}$ while the condensation of an aminocatalyst with the oxo-fulvene $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ to form the desired tetraenamine $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ would provide HOMO-raising activation to the system, making it more reactive towards the desired cycloadditions (Scheme 2.13).
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Scheme 2. 12 - Proposed biosynthetic paths for spinosyn A, heronamide A and streptoseomycin.


Scheme 2. 13 - Proposed strategy for the tetraenamine mediated higher-order cycloadditions.

To prepare substrate 143, we decided to perform a condensation reaction between cyclopentadiene $\mathbf{1}$ and 4-hydroxy-2-butanone $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ in the presence of pyrrolidine (see Chapter IV for the detailed experimental procedure) forming the hydroxy-fulvene intermediate $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ which we could then oxidize to obtain the oxo-fulvene 143 (Scheme 2.14).


Scheme 2. 14 - Synthetic path for oxo-fulvene 143.

Hydroxy-fulvene 146 was easily obtained in $78 \%$ yield and with that in hand we envisioned that a Swern oxidation would certainly yield 143. However, to our surprise, the Swern oxidation of $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ failed to give 143 and only starting material was obtained. Another attempt on the Swern oxidation was done with slight changes in timings and work up (see Chapter IV for experimental procedures) but that also failed.

Swern oxidations are widely employed in total synthesis of natural products, meaning that they are highly chemioselective and can tolerate a wide range of functional groups. Moreover, this oxidation
protocol usually provides the respective aldehyde or ketone in excellent yields thus, this method being our first choice to obtain compound 143 . With the failure to obtain the desired aldehyde 143 via Swern oxidation, we decided to try other standard oxidation methods as well as some more exotic (Table 2.20) but, surprisingly, they all failed to produce the desired compound 143.

Table 2. $\mathbf{2 0}$ - Oxidation reactions tested for the conversion of $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ into $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ (for detailed experimental procedures see Chapter IV).


As mentioned above, the Swern oxidation failed to produce the desire fulvene $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ (Table 2.20, entry 1) so, we decided to try DDQ that, we hoped, would generate enol 147 via dehydrogenation which would tautomerize to the desired aldehyde $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ (Scheme 2.15). This method was a little bit far fetched but, if it worked, it could give rise to a new method for the formation of enols which could have interesting applications for aldol chemistry. However, standard conditions commonly employed with DDQ (see

Chapter IV for detailed experimental procedures) failed to give the desired product (Table 2.20, entries 2 and 3).


Scheme 2. 15 - Rational for the use of DDQ for the formation of 143.

Next, based on a report by Margarita, Piancatelli and co-workers ${ }^{209}$, we decided to try the oxidation of our alcohol $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ using TEMPO as a catalytic oxidizing reagent in the presence of stoichiometric amounts of BAIB which would regenerate the reactive oxidizing TEMPO in situ (see Chapter IV for detailed experimental procedure). Unfortunately, this approach also failed to produce compound 143 (Table 2.20, entry 4). The mechanism of this oxidation, proposed by the authors, is illustrated in Scheme 2.16.


Scheme 2. 16 - Proposed mechanism for the TEMPO/BAIB oxidation of alcohols.

With the failure to obtain the desired aldehyde using the above-mentioned methods, we decided to try the very common PCC method (see Chapter IV for detailed experimental procedures). We tested three different approaches. The first one was based on a report by Luzzio and co-workers ${ }^{210}$, where $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ was used as an additive. This method is an improvement on the standard PCC reaction conditions firstly, by maintaining an anhydrous environment which reduces the formation of carboxylic acids through oxidation
of aldehyde hydrates and secondly, by substantially facilitating the removal of the reduced chromium tars that form in this reaction (Table 2.20 , entry 5). Visually, the reaction appeared promising as the bright orange suspension of $\mathrm{PCC} / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ turned a very dark brown color upon addition of alcohol 146 which is consistent with these reactions. However, TLC analysis showed that no new product was being formed and upon quenching the reaction mixture and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude, only alcohol 146 was present. We decided to try this method yet again removing the $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ and letting the reaction proceed at either $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or rt but, no oxidation took place (Table 2.20, entries 6 and 7).

The use of $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ as an oxidizing reagent was based on a report by Erden and co-workers ${ }^{211}$ where the authors used this method to oxidize 6-[2-(methylthio)ethyl]fulvene $\mathbf{1 4 8}$ to its corresponding sulfoxide 149 in $83 \%$ yield and without oxidation of the unsaturated fulvene system (Scheme 2.17).

## Erden and co-workers' approach:



148
Our approach:


Scheme 2. 17 - Erden and co-workers $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ oxidation of 148 and our approach to 143.

The authors performed their oxidation in a $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mixture however, they were oxidizing a softer, more reactive sulfur atom while, in our case, we wanted an alcohol to be oxidized so, it was not surprising when our first attempt in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ failed to give any product (Table 2.20, entry 8 ). We then decided to change the organic solvent to 1,4-dioxane which is miscible with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and non-oxidizable by $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ but, this attempt was also met with failure (Table 2.20, entry 9).

We then decided to use DMP which is also a widely used reagent in total synthesis of natural products, to convert alcohols into aldehydes. We first tested this oxidation under standard conditions i.e. stirring in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at rt but, no product was observed (Table 2.20, entry 10). It is known that addition of water or performing the reaction with "wet" solvents enhances the reactivity of DMP by in situ hydrolysis of this reagent into the stronger oxidizing $\mathrm{IBX}^{212}$ so, we decided to test this protocol and TLC analysis did indicate a new product was formed after 5h stirring at rt (Table 2.20, entry 11). We then proceeded to work up the reaction (see Chapter IV for detailed experimental procedure) and submit a sample for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis (Figure 2.10).

Even though the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum is fairly dirty, it does appear that the desired aldehyde $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ may be present. There is a triplet of one proton at 9.74 ppm with a coupling constant $(J)$ of 2.1 Hz which is consistent with the proton at the carbonyl group (depicted in red in Figure 2.10). This triplet seems to be coupling with a doublet of two protons at $3.65 \mathrm{ppm}(J$ also 2.1 Hz$)$. This doublet could be produced by the $\alpha$-protons (depicted in blue in Figure 2.10). However, these $J$ values are not consistent with vicinal couplings, specifically, when there are no conformational constraints between the two groups where the protons are. Additionally, a singlet of three protons at 1.95 ppm as well as a multiplet of five protons between 6.58 and 6.55 ppm are also detectable and could be caused by the methyl group and cyclopentadiene moiety of the fulvene, respectively.

Attempts to isolate the product of this reaction by flash column chromatography caused the product to decompose as the NMR spectra of the product that came out of the column was substantially different than the one recorded after work up (Figure 2.10).


Figure 2. 10 - Reaction scheme and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the $\mathrm{DMP} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ oxidation of $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ (recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at 300 MHz ).

From my experience, reactions with DMP or IBX are usually very clean and tend to give the desired product virtually pure after work up. However, as it can be seen from Figure 2.10, this was not the case. The in situ hydrolysis of DMP releases 3.0 equiv. of acetic acid and we suspect that a possible Brønsted acid catalyzed polymerization side-reaction could be occurring under the conditions employed and hence, the complex NMR spectrum. Furthermore, if indeed the desired product $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ was being formed, the attempt to purify it and isolate it resulted in its destruction (Figure 2.11, blue spectrum). To overcome these problems, we decided to synthesize and test IBX (Table 2.20, entry 11) hoping that the lack of acetic acid
would provide a cleaner reaction. Unfortunately, this approach did not produce the desired aldehyde
either.


Figure 2. 11 - ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathrm{DMP} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ oxidation of $\mathbf{1 4 6}$; red spectrum - after work up; blue spectrum - after column chromatography (both spectra recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at 300 MHz ).

With these results, especially the ones with the Swern and DMP protocols, we decided to take a step back and try to understand the reactivity, or in our case, lack thereof, of fulvene 146. According to the reported paper by Erden and co-workers, from which we based the synthesis of our fulvene 146, the authors observed that treating 6-(chloromethyl)-6-methylfulvene $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ with several nucleophiles would not always result in substitution of the chlorine atom through a $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction pathway. The authors observed that soft nucleophiles would give rise to the expected $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ product. However, the hard nucleophiles like ${ }^{-} \mathrm{CN}$ and ${ }^{-} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ would add to the exocyclic double bond of the fulvene with subsequent cyclization and displacement of the chlorine atom to afford the corresponding spirocyclopropanes $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ and 152, respectively (Scheme
2.18). ${ }^{213}$ These results strongly suggest that the exocyclic double bond of the fulvene is highly polarized making it the hardest electrophilic centre of the molecule.


Scheme 2.18 - Reactivity of 6-(chloromethyl)-6-methylfulvene 150 towards different strength nucleophiles (adapted from ref. 209).

A few years after their synthetic work, the same group reported a computational study on the displacement of the chlorine atom of $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ by a chloride anion and compared those results with other similar systems (both structurally and electronically). The authors concluded that the fulvenyl group accelerates the rate of substitution when compared to the dihydro and nonallylic analogues (structural similarity) as well as when compared to benzylic and allylic groups (electronic similarity). These results were rationalized with electrostatic interactions between the electron rich chlorides and the electron deficient exocyclic double bond which provided stabilization of the transition state for the chlorine displacement with additional increment of the negative character of the fulvenyl ring providing extra stabilization. The anionstabilizing effect of the fulvenyl group was further illustrated with the computed enthalpies of dissociation of 7-hydroxy-fulvenes, which were lower than those of their nonallylic analogues by c.a. $10 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ (Figure 2.12). ${ }^{214}$

$\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {acid }}=363.6$

$\Delta H_{\text {acid }}=364.7$

$\Delta H_{\text {acid }}=374.5$

$\Delta H_{\text {acid }}=373.0$

Figure 2.12 - Enthalpies of dissociation for 7-hydroxy fulvenes and their nonallylic analogues (relative energies in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ calculated at the G3MP2 level of theory).

More recently, the Erden, Gronert and co-workers provided further proofs of the high reactivity of the exocyclic double bond of $\mathbf{1 5 0}$. Upon treatment with NaOMe in MeOH the authors observe the formation of acetals 153a and 153b (Scheme 2.19, eq. 1) but, when acetone was used as solvent the authors isolated the new fulvene $\mathbf{1 5 4}$ (Scheme 2.19, eq. 2). ${ }^{215}$


Scheme 2. 19- Reactivity of $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ towards methoxide reported by Erden, Gronert and co-workers.

Looking at the synthetic and computational work developed by Erden and co-workers on the chemistry of fulvenes' side chains, it starts to become evident why our oxidations failed to produce the desired oxo-fulvene $\mathbf{1 4 3}$. The mechanism of the oxidation of $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ is fairly similar for all the protocols we
explored and a key step in all of them is the deprotonation of the ipso-Carbon with the electrons from the former $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond moving towards the formation of the new $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ double bond with concomitant elimination of the reduced oxidizing reagent and production of the desired aldehyde. However, due to the ability of the fulvenyl ring to stabilize negative charges allied to the highly polarized exocyclic double bond of the fulvene, it is very likely that upon deprotonation (if it even takes place), the electrons move towards the fulvene ring forming the anionic, aromatic intermediary $\mathbf{1 5 5}$ which upon quenching and working up of the reactions collapses back to the starting alcohol 146. In this likely scenario, complexation between 146 and the oxidizing reagent takes place which could explain the color change observed in the PCC reactions (Scheme 2.20).

## Expected mechanism:



## Likely scenario:



Scheme 2. 20 - Possible reason for the failure to oxidize 146 into 143.

Nevertheless, we did not give up on this project, yet and, if oxidations do not provide the desired oxo-fulvene 143, then we could try to obtain it through a reduction reaction. To do so, we envisioned preparing fulvene $\mathbf{1 5 6}$ and then performing a reduction with DIBAL-H which would generate an aldimine intermediate that would spontaneously collapse to the desired aldehyde moiety upon aqueous work up. To prepare fulvene $\mathbf{1 5 6}$ and based on the reactivity observed by Erden and co-workers (see Scheme 2.18), we had to devise a different approach from the standard $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ with KCN as the nucleophile. So, we decided to adapt the procedure reported by Ding and co-workers who were able to effect a direct cyanation of alcohols
using TMSCN and a Lewis acid catalyst, and try this protocol with the newly prepared 6-(hydroxymethyl)-6-methylfulvene 157 (Scheme 2.21)..$^{216}$


Scheme 2. 21 - New synthetic route to oxo-fulvene 143.

Fulvene 157 was prepared in $43 \%$ yield using the same procedure used for fulvene $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ (see Chapter IV for experimental procedure). Unfortunately, treatment of 157 with a Lewis acid immediately led to its decomposition. In our first trial, we decided to use $\mathrm{BiCl}_{3}$ as the Lewis acid but, as soon as the fulvene was added to the mixture of $\mathrm{BiCl}_{3}$ and TMSCN in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, reaction immediately turned black with a black solid suspended and TLC analysis showed no spots whatsoever on the reaction lane. $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ was also tested but it showed similar behavior to $\mathrm{BiCl}_{3}$ (see Chapter IV for detailed experimental procedures).

We then thought that we could perhaps circumvent the chemoselectivity of the $S_{N} 2$ reaction with cyanide by making a fulvene with a better leaving group than a chloride. In other words, we hoped that mesylation of the hydroxyl group of $\mathbf{1 5 7}$ would cause the cyanide to perform an $S_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction instead of a conjugate addition as observed by Erden and co-workers with $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ (vide supra). Therefore, we set up to mesylate 157 (see Chapter IV for detailed experimental procedure) but, after the work up of the reaction, while the solvent was being evaporated, the bright yellow solution turned black and left a black solid in the flask that was not soluble in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$, acetone, MeOH or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Scheme 2.22). Attempts to prepare 156 directly from the condensation between cyclopentadiene 1 and 3-oxobutanenitrile 159 were also unsuccessful (Scheme 2.23).


Scheme 2. 22 - Synthetic route to 156 via mesylation of 157.


Scheme 2. 23 - Attempt to synthesize 3-oxobutanenitrile 159 for subsequent synthesis of fulvene 157.

With these results we abandoned our attempts to synthesize 157. Instead we decided to use trans-4-methoxy-3-buten-2-one 160 and condense it with cyclopentadiene $\mathbf{1}$ to get fulvene $\mathbf{1 6 1}$ which we could then convert to the desired oxo-fulvene $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ by treatment with TMSCl and NaI in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ as reported by Cohen and co-workers. ${ }^{217}$ Our initial attempt of synthesizing fulvene $\mathbf{1 6 1}$ was not successful even after allowing the reaction to stir at rt over night but, changing the base from pyrrolidine to sodium hydroxide did provide a fulvene, albeit not the one expected and instead fulvene $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ was obtained (Scheme 2.24).

After all these attempts we finally were able to obtain the desired fulvene $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ as its dimethyl acetal (162). With this substrate in hand, we first decided to deprotect the acetal under standard conditions using either $p \mathrm{TsOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ in acetone (see Chapter IV for detailed experimental procedures) but, unfortunately, as soon as these reagents were mixed with fulvene $\mathbf{1 6 2}$, reaction mixtures turned from orange solutions into black suspensions and TLC analysis would show no spots in the reaction lane (Scheme 2.25).


Scheme 2. 24 - New synthetic route for fulvene 143 with unexpected synthesis of fulvene 162.


Scheme 2. 25 - Attempts to convert acetal 162 into aldehyde 143.

The unexpected obtention of $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ instead of $\mathbf{1 6 1}$ as well as the saddening results on the deprotection of acetal $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ hinted at yet another issue that may have been responsible for the inability to synthesize fulvene 143. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ unit of $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ is linking two very electron deficient carbons which means that, probably, the pKa of these protons is very low and, therefore, $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ may be a very unstable molecule. Looking back at the oxidation procedures, not only was there a kinetic issue as illustrated in Scheme 2.20 , there may also be a thermodynamic barrier with 143 being too unstable to form and it either collapses back to whatever precursor we tried or, if it can not go back to its precursor, it just decomposes through other paths and thus, the black insoluble solids obtained.

Nevertheless, we decided to explore the protected aldehyde 162 and subject it to several aminocatalysts to see if we could form the desired tetratenamine 144 or the methyl heminaminal 163 both
of which could then be tested towards the initial goal of this project of developing asymmetric higher-order cycloadditions (Table 2.21).

Table 2.21 - Attempts to generate tetraenamine 144 and/or hemiaminal 163.


| Entry | Catalyst | Additive (mol \%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | IIIb | BA $(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | IIIb | $\mathrm{TFA}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | IIIb | $p \mathrm{TsOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | II | - |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | IIIb | $\mathrm{TFA}(100 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | IIIb | $p \mathrm{TsOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | XII | - |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | XII | $p \mathrm{TsOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | XII | $p \mathrm{TsOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ |

Since there were no major issues of solubility, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was used as the solvent in all cases to facilitate monitoring the reaction by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The aminocatalysts were added in stoichiometric amounts ( $100 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ loading) to ensure that the tetraenamine 144 and/or hemiaminal 163 could be observed in the NMR spectra. $4 \AA \mathrm{MS}$ were also added to trap MeOH , generated from the condensation of the aminocatalysts and 162, ensuring that enough tetraenamine 144 or the methyl hemiaminal 163 could be visible in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. Initially we used Jorgensen's catalyst IIIb with several Brønsted acid additives of different strengths ( pKa 's), hopefully, to facilitate the elimination of the methoxy groups of $\mathbf{1 6 2}$. Unfortunately, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R$ analysis did not show any indication of the presence of tetraenamine $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ or hemiaminal $\mathbf{1 6 3}$ (Table 2.21, entries 1 to 3 ). We also tested MacMillan's oxazolidinone II, which did not require an acid additive as it is
commercialized and used as its HCl salt so, a strong acid is already present but, it too failed to produce the desired compounds according to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Table 2.21, entry 4).

We then decided to go back to catalyst IIIb but, this time, we used more acidic conditions and decided to test TFA and $p \mathrm{TsOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in $100 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ loading but, again no compound $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ or $\mathbf{1 6 3}$ could be seen by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis (Table 2.21, entries 5 and 6).

Lastly, we decided to test primary amine XII that, albeit being less nucleophilic than secondary amines, the lack of steric bulk around the nitrogen atom could facilitate its condensation with $\mathbf{1 6 2}$. We used it without any acid additive (Table 2.21 , entry 7 ) as well as with the strong $p \mathrm{TsOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in both substoichiometric (Table 2.21, entry 8) and stoichiometric amounts (Table 2.21, entry 9) but again neither 144 nor $\mathbf{1 6 3}$ were observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

At this stage, we decided to abandon substrate $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ as well as any further attempts to obtain oxofulvene $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ which appears to be impossible to synthesize. Ongoing studies are being performed to try to understand the inability to oxidize hydroxyfulvene $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ to the oxo-fulvene 143.

## Chapter IV - Organocatalyzed Asymmetric Ireland-Claisen Rearrangement

The Ireland-Claisen rearrangement (abbreviated from now on to IC) is a powerful tool in organic synthesis for the synthesis of $\gamma, \delta$-unsaturated carboxylic acids $\mathbf{1 6 5}$ from simple, easy to prepare, allylic esters $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ (Scheme 2.26). It was first reported by Robert E. Ireland in $1972^{218}$ with further studies on the mechanism and optimization of reaction conditions, performed by the author in subsequent years. ${ }^{219,220}$ In addition to the well established protocol for the IC rearrangement, its corresponding products can be obtained with high enantiocontrol when the starting material possesses a stereocenter making the IC a widely used tool in the synthesis of natural products. ${ }^{34,221}$


Scheme 2. 26 - General example of an Ireland-Claisen rearrangement.

There are two main features of the IC that must be considered in order to predict the stereo-outcome of these reactions: (i) the configuration of the reactive enolate and, (ii) the configuration of the starting allylic ester. The first report of an IC rearrangement used lithioisopropylcyclohexylamine (LiCA) as a base. However, it has been found that other lithium bases, namely lithium diisopropyl amine (LDA), also produce the desired enolate. ${ }^{220}$ LDA usually gives rise to the $E$-enolate which can be explained by a cyclic TS where the proton is abstracted from the stereoelectronically favoured orientation more or less perpendicular to the carbonyl group. On the other hand, steric repulsions between the $\alpha$-substituent of the ester and the alkyl groups of the base disfavour the TS which gives rise to the Z-enolate (Figure 2.13). ${ }^{220,222}$


TS for E-enolate (favoured)


TS for Z-enolate (disfavoured)

Figure 2. 13 - Transition states for the formation of the $E$ - and $Z$-enolates with LDA.

It has been discovered that the use of hexamethylphosphoramide (HMPA), as a co-solvent, produces the Z-enolate preferentially and, even though it is not very clear why, it is theorized that the cyclic TS depicted in Figure 2.13 is disrupted due to increased solvation of the lithium counterion by HMPA and the deprotonation takes place through an acyclic TS giving the kinetic $Z$-enolate. ${ }^{223}$ Moreover, the presence of chelating groups in $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ can also favour the formation of the $Z$-enolate as it has been recently demonstrated by Zakarian and co-workers with their stereodivergent Ireland-Claisen protocol for $\alpha$-alkoxyesters. ${ }^{224}$

Since the configuration of the enolate can be predicted by the type of base used, the configuration of the double bond of the starting ester is known and, given the concerted nature of this pericyclic reaction, one can effortlessly predict the relative stereo-outcome of the final product (Scheme 2.27).


Scheme 2. 27 - Relative stereo-outcome of the Ireland-Claisen rearrangement based on the configuration of the $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ substituent.

The only difference between $\mathbf{1 6 4 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 6 4 b}$ is the configuration around the allylic substituent which is $E$ in $\mathbf{1 6 4 a}$ and $Z$ in $\mathbf{1 6 4 b}$. As seen above, careful choice of base and/or co-solvents can allow for an almost exclusive formation of the $Z$-enolate (166), which is what is depicted in Scheme 2.27. Therefore, using the Woodward-Hoffmann rules and FMO theory, not only do we know that the reaction is thermally allowed through a supra/suprafacial approach. We can also predict that 164a gives rise to a major product with a relative anti-configuration between $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ (anti-165) whilst, $\mathbf{1 6 4 b}$ will give a major product with the relative syn-configuration between the $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ groups (syn-165).

In respect to enantioselective versions of this reaction, most examples in the literature make use of stoichiometric inorganic Lewis acids with chiral ligands ${ }^{225}$ which makes this methods not very appealing due to high costs and environmental hazards posed by the metals used. To the best of our knowledge, the only organic Lewis acid enantioselective IC was reported in 1991 by Corey and co-workers where the authors used a boron sulfonamide chiral auxiliary to promote the IC with excellent yields and enantio- and diasterioselectivities (Scheme 2.28). ${ }^{226}$

Albeit the excellent results obtained by Corey and co-workers, the reactions were very long (up to 14 days) and the Lewis acid used is highly sensitive to moisture. As a result, we decided that the enantioselective IC could be improved and organocatalysis could prove useful in that objective. So, we envisioned two distinct approaches for the activation of the starting esters and consequent enantioselective IC (Scheme 2.29).

The first approach relied on APTC, where we theorized that a basic aqueous solution would deprotonate the starting ester to form the reactive enolate which could form a chiral ionic salt with an asymmetric phase-transfer catalyst which would in turn allow for the IC to take place in a stereoselective fashion (Scheme 2.29, path a). The second approach was based on H-bond catalysis, where a chiral thiourea catalyst could increase the acidity of the $\alpha$-protons of the starting ester, via H -bond activation, forming the desired enolate that could proceed to undergo the IC with the asymmetric catalyst providing the necessary chiral environment for an enantioselective IC to take place (Scheme 2.29, path b).
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Scheme 2. 28 - Enantioselective IC reported by Corey and co-workers.


Scheme 2. 29 - Approaches envisioned for the stereoselective IC; path a: asymmetric phase-transfer approach and; path b: asymmetric H-bond approach ( $\mathrm{R}_{2} *$ denotes the chiral moiety of the catalyst).

Another aspect that we were interested in was to be able to synthesize, in a enantioselective manner, non-natural $\alpha$-substituted amino-acids which are highly important substrates in fine chemistry with a wide range of applications from proteomics and protein structure and function elucidation ${ }^{227}$ to peptidomimetics and the synthesis of novel pharmaceutical drugs. ${ }^{228}$

We started by preparing the required allylic esters $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ via Steglich esterification ${ }^{229}$ protocol starting from $N$-Boc glycine and trans-2-penten-1-ol which smoothly produced to desired products in good yields (see Chapter IV for more information). With the substrates in hand, we subjected them to our first trials for the enantioselective IC with the chiral APTC XVII, a widely used type of catalyst families for these type of conditions (Table 2.22). ${ }^{50,51,230}$

Table 2. 22 - Test reactions for the enantioselective IC of 169, under APTC conditions.


All reactions performed on a 0.2 mmol scale in respect to 169 using a $1 / 1$ ration of Solvent/aq. base; (a) followed by TLC.

Unfortunately, our initial approaches did not afford the desired IC product 170, but instead led to hydrolysis of the starting ester within 6 h at rt . TLC analysis showed that the starting ester was absent from the reaction mixture and a new spot appeared which, upon comparison with the starting alcohol, trans-2-penten-1-ol, exhibited the same rf. A crude NMR was then performed (Figure 2.14) which confirmed that indeed the ester was mostly consumed. The two multiplets from the double bond of $\mathbf{1 6 9}$, at 5.85 and 5.55 ppm were mostly gone (Figure 2.14; green spectrum vs blue spectrum) and instead, one multiplet, at 5.7 ppm, was present in the double bond region, consistent with the double bond pattern observed for the starting alcohol (Figure 2.14; blue spectrum vs red spectrum). Furthermore, the appearance of a signal at 4.1 ppm can also be observed corresponding to the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ protons from the alcohol (Figure 2.14; blue spectrum vs red spectrum).


Figure 2. 14 - Overlap of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ zoomed in the double bond region,: red - trans-2-penten-1-ol, green - 169, and blue - crude reaction mixture of entry 3 from Table $2.23\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

To determine if the hydrolysis side-reaction was inherent to the reaction conditions employed or if XVII was involved, a test reaction was done under the same conditions but without the addition of XVII (Scheme 2.30). After the same amount of time (6h), no hydrolysis product was observed by TLC leading us to believe that the ester was not being destroyed in the interface between the two solvents and that indeed XVII was bringing the hydroxyl anions to the organic layer but, they were acting as nucleophiles and not as a bases like we wished.


Scheme 2. $\mathbf{3 0}$ - Test reaction to study the hydrolysis of the starting ester.

Based on these results, we decided to test a new series of esters derived from secondary allylic alcohols in an attempt to hinder the hydrolysis reaction (Table 2.23).

Table 2.23-Test reactions for the enantioselective IC under APTC conditions.


All reactions performed on a 0.2 mmol scale in respect to $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ a or 169b.

The addition of a methyl substituent in the alcohol $(R=M e$, substrates 169a) did not hinder the hydrolysis side-reaction as TLC analysis indicated hydrolysis to the starting alcohol, probably from adventitious water from the iPrOH and the hygroscopic base (Table 2.23, entries $7-9$ ). On the other hand, adding a bulkier phenyl ring to the alcohol moiety ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}$, substrates $\mathbf{1 6 9 b}$ ) did prevent the standard hydrolysis to take place, i.e. direct attack on the carbonyl carbon. TLC analysis of these reaction (Table 2.23 , entries $1-6$ ) indicated the formation of a new product, with a lower of than the starting ester which would be consistent with the IC product. Furthermore, NMR analysis of the reaction performed in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (this reaction was chosen because it showed the highest conversion by TLC) also seemed to indicate that the desired IC product was present as the double bond region as well as the aromatic protons became deconvoluted with the multiplicities and chemical shifts consistent with the IC product. However, careful
analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum indicated the absence of the expected doublet corresponding to the $\alpha$ proton of the IC product as well as the lack of the 9 protons singlet of the Boc group (Figure 2.15). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR was also inconsistent with the IC product as the carbonyl carbon of the carboxylic acid (at c.a. 170 ppm ) and the carbonyl carbon of the Boc group (at c.a. 148 ppm ) were missing (see Annexes). With these results, we concluded that hydrolysis of this substrate also took place but, by a different path than direct attack on the carbonyl carbon (Scheme 2.31). Alcohol 171 is indeed the observed product of this reaction as the NMR spectra are consistent with it.


Scheme 2. 31 - Mechanism for the elimination of $N$-Boc glycinate group in ester 169b with subsequent production of alcohol 171.


Figure 2. 15- ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of alcohol 171 ( 300 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).

According to the literature, in this type of phase-transfer catalysis, the deprotonation usually takes place in the interface between the two solvents. ${ }^{231}$ With that in mind, a couple of test reactions were performed, following similar conditions to those employed by Lygo and co-workers for the alkylation of glycine imine esters ${ }^{232}$ with addition of TMSCl as an attempt to trap the enolate $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ and hopefully allow it to undergo the desired IC reaction (Scheme 2.32). To be noted though, that an enantioenriched product was not expected via this approach as the enolate would now exist as a silyl ether and therefore, would not form any chiral salt with the APTC.


Scheme 2. 32 - Enolate trapping experiments.

Two reactions were attempted, one with under highly basic conditions ( $50 \% \mathrm{aq} . \mathrm{NaOH}$ ), following Lygo's procedure, and another with milder basic conditions (1.0 M aq. NaOH ) but, TLC analysis showed, like before, hydrolysis of the starting materials and no indication of the formation of the trapped enolate and/or IC product. It is possible that the enolate forms to some extend under the reaction conditions employed but the acid/base equilibrium between enolate/ester and subsequent hydrolysis of the ester takes place faster than the desired IC.

With the results obtained so far, it became evident that the substrates were keener to undergo hydrolysis under APTC than to form the enolate and subsequent desired IC. Ergo, we decided to use more acidic esters which, hopefully, undergo enolate formation more easily and subsequent IC rearrangement.

Initial screening was done using cyanoacetate ester 173, prepared from cyanoacetic acid and trans-2-penten-1-ol (see Chapter IV for more details) in toluene using either 5.0 M or $9.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{aq} . \mathrm{KOH}$ but, after 24 h at room temperature, no new spots were observed in the TLC (Scheme 2.33). Curiously, hydrolysis of this ester was not observed which could indicate that the required enolate could be forming and therefore, hydrolysis was hindered due to the increased electron density around the carbonyl carbon. Unfortunately, the IC was not taking place either possibly caused by the fact that the enolate of ester $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ is too stable to undergo the desired rearrangement under the reaction conditions employed.


Scheme 2.33-Test reaction for the APTC IC reaction using ester 173.

Table 2. 24 - Test reactions for the enantioselective IC of $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ under APTC conditions.

|  |  | XVII (20 mol\%) <br> Solvent, T, 24h <br> $\xrightarrow{9.0 \mathrm{M} \text { aq. } \mathrm{KOH}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Solvent |  | T ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |
| 1 | Toluene |  | rt |
| 2 | Toluene |  | 40 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |  | rt |

All reactions performed on a 0.2 mmol scale, in respect to 175 , a $1 / 1$ ratio of organic solvent/aq. KOH was used.

The other substrate we decided to use was the $\beta$-ketoester 175 (Table 2.24 ), which could be prepared through a trans-esterification of ethyl acetoacetate and trans-2-penten-1-ol (see Chapter IV for more information). Unfortunately, no IC product was observed after 24 h under the reaction conditions depicted in Table 2.24. Performing the reaction in Toluene at rt seemed to indicate, by TLC, that the starting material was consumed after 24 h , however, upon acidic work up and extraction only the starting ester was
recovered (Table 2.24, entry 1). Increasing the temperature to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under the same conditions, led to hydrolysis of the starting material as well as the use of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as solvent (Table 2.24, entries 2 and 3).

With these disappointing results, it is obvious that the presence of water and/or the use of hydroxyl bases is detrimental to the desired IC reaction and only hydrolysis of the starting esters is observed. We decided to switch gears and try a solid/liquid phase-transfer approach using strong, non-nucleophilic bases in organic solvents with catalyst XVII being used to aid in the solubility of the bases via cation exchange, as well as keep using the more acidic $\beta$-ketoesters $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ which have a $\mathrm{pKa} \approx 14$ for the $\alpha$-proton making them more likely to undergo the required $\alpha$-deprotonation and subsequent formation of the reactive enolate (Table 2.25).

Table 2. 25 - Test reactions for the enantioselective IC of $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ under APTC conditions.

|  |  |  <br> 175 |  | XVII (20 mol\%) Base, Solvent |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | R1 | R2 | Solvent | Base (equiv.) | T ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Time (h) | Produte |
| 1 | Et | H | iPrOH | KOtBu (2.5) | rt | 24 | SM |
| 2 | Et | H | DMSO | KOtBu (2.5) | rt | 4 | SM |
| 3 | Et | H | DMSO | KOtBu (2.5) | rt | 120 | SM |
| 4 | Et | H | Diglyme | KOtBu (2.0) | 90 | 96 | SM |
| $5{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Et | H | Diglyme | NaOH (2.0) | 90 | 96 | Hydrolysis |
| 6 | Ph | H | 1,4-Dioxane | $\mathrm{KOtBu}(2.5)$ | rt | 24 | SM |
| 7 | Pr | Me | Toluene | $\mathrm{KOtBu}(2.5)$ | rt | 24 | SM |
| 8 | Pr | Me | Toluene | KOtBu (2.5) | reflux | 24 | SM |
| $10^{\text {a }}$ | Ph | H | THF | NaOH (1.0) | reflux | 24 | SM |

All reactions performed on a 0.2 mmol scale in respect to $\mathbf{1 7 5}$; (a) freshly grinded NaOH was used; SM - starting material.

As it is clear from Table 2.25 , none of these trials afforded the desired IC product. The reaction in DMSO appeared to indicate, by TLC, that a new product was being formed after 4 h . This may have been caused by the high polarity of DMSO that distorted the rf values in the TLC because, after acidic work up
and extraction, only starting material was isolated. The reaction was repeated and left for a longer period of time which also failed to give the IC product (Table 2.25 entries 2 and 3). Heating the reaction did not seem to help either (Table 2.25 entries $4,5,8$ and 10). Also, the use of NaOH as a base was further proven to be detrimental to this reaction with hydrolysis being observed when diglyme was used as solvent. However, when using the same base in THF, only SM was observed after 24h under reflux (Table 2.25, entry 5 vs entry 10 ). This fact could be explained by the amount of base used but, most likely, it was due to the higher solubility of NaOH in diglyme over THF.

However, the results using KOtBu were intriguing. The difference in pKa 's between the base and the ester used $(\mathrm{pKa} \approx 17$ and 14.2 , respectively) should allow for complete deprotonation of the starting ester and consequent formation of the desired enolate with a $\mathrm{Keq} \approx 10^{2.8}$ for the corresponding acid base equilibrium. The inability of KOtBu to promote the desired IC rearrangement of ester $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ could be caused by two factors, the first, the enolate is formed but the electrons do not align themselves in the required cyclic array for the IC to take place, preferring to populate the ketone oxygen (Scheme 2.34) and second, the enolate is too stable due to the delocalization of the electrons between the two carbonyl groups which increases the barrier for the IC to occur once the enolate is formed.


Scheme 2. 34 - Required conformation of the enolate for the TS of the IC reaction (top) vs non-viable conformation of the enolate (bottom).

Parallel to the APTC approach, we also tried the use of thioureas to promote the activation and subsequent IC rearrangement of allylic esters. Our initial attempts focused on using the non-chiral and commercially available thiourea XVIII in stoichiometric amounts and several bases, to see if the desired IC product was formed. If a positive outcome was to be accomplished, we would then proceed to test the viability of this protocol with catalytic amounts of thiourea XVIII as well as starting to develop a chiral variant that could induce asymmetry to the transformation (Table 2.26).

Table 2. 26 - Thiourea XVIII induced IC reaction.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Base (equiv.) | Solvent | T ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | time (h) | Product |
| 1 | Cyclohexylamine (1.0 equiv.) | Toluene | rt | 48 | x1a |
| 2 | Cyclohexylamine (1.0 equiv.) | Toluene | 60 | 24 | x1a |
| 3 | DMAP (1.0 equiv.) | Toluene | rt | 48 | x1a |
| 4 | DMAP (1.0 equiv.) | Toluene | 40 | 24 | x1a |
| 5 | DBU (1.0 equiv.) | Toluene | rt | 48 | x1a |
| 6 | DBU (1.0 equiv.) | Toluene | 90 | 24 | x1a |

Unfortunately, our initial attempts did not provide the desired IC product regardless of base or temperature tested. Furthermore, it was observed that the thiourea employed was not very soluble in organic solvents which could have contributed to the failure of these reactions. So, we decided to test Schreiner's thiourea XIX, which exhibits better solubility in a wide range of organic solvents as well as being a better H -bond donor due to the presence of the electron deficient aromatic rings attached to the nitrogen atoms (Table 2.27).

For these initial experiments, we used three different substrates, 169, 175 and 177. However, none produced the desired IC product. The reaction depicted in Table 2.27, entry 1, which made use of the strongest base, did not provide the IC product, most likely due to the higher acidity of the thiourea protons
vs the $\alpha$-protons of the ester, making it possible that the thiourea got deprotonated instead of the ester, leading to a weaker base present in solution and also, disabling H-bond activation process desired. Not surprisingly, pyrrolidine did not afford any product (Table 2.27, entry 2 ) nonetheless, we thought it would be interesting to test it as it would be an easy scaffold to introduce in the thiourea should this mode of activation prove favourable for the IC rearrangement. The use of the stronger organic base 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) also failed to produce the desired product (Table 2.27 entry 9) Sadly, the use of stronger inorganic bases in high loadings, did not provide any viable alternative for the reaction we wanted and only starting materials were observed under the reaction conditions employed (Table 2.27, entries 3 to 6 and 8 ). Treatment of ester $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ with a primary amine base and a thiourea also failed to provide the desired product (Table 2.27, entry 7).

Table 2. 27 - Schreiner's thiourea (XIX) catalyzed IC reaction.


| Entry | R | Base (equiv.) | Solvent | T ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | time | Product |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | NHBoc | KHMDS (1.5 equiv.) | THF | -78 to rt | 24h | 169 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{CN}-$ | Pyrrolidine (1.5 equiv.) | Dioxane | rt | 7 days | 177 |
| 3 | NHBoc | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv.) | Dioxane | rt | 24h | 169 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{CN}-$ | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv.) | Diglyme | rt | 24h | 177 |
| 5 | NHBoc | KOtBu (3.0 equiv.) | Diglyme | rt | 8 days | 169 |
| 6 | CN- | KOtBu (3.0 equiv.) | Diglyme | rt | 8 days | 177 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | rt | 48h | 175 |
| 8 | NHBoc | NaH (2.0 equiv.) | Toluene | rt | 48h | 169 |
| 9 | NHBoc | DBU (2.0 equiv.) | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | rt | 48h | 169 |

Very recently, in 2019, Mečiarová and Šebesta reported a mechanistic study employing both computational methods and synthetic experiments, to study the effects of thiourea and squaramide catalysts in the IC rearrangement and discovered that these type of catalysts not only fail to provide good stereo- and diasteriocontrol but also seem to slow down the reaction. ${ }^{233}$ The authors treated cinnamyl ester with a large excess of $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ and TMSOTf and obtained the IC products in good yields albeit low diasterioselectivities and upon addition of either a thiourea or a squaramide catalyst, the yields started to drop, under the same reaction conditions. Upon DFT calculations the authors concluded that the H -bond catalyst would form a very stable complex with the silyl ether enolate which would inherently increase the activation energy for the IC process therefore, slowing the reaction down.

In view of the results obtained and with this recent report, it is not surprising that the H -bond approach did not furnish the desired IC product.

Nonetheless, a few more attempts were done to achieve an asymmetric variant of the IC rearrangement but this time we decided to try and use chiral auxiliaries instead of catalysts. The first trials involved the use of guanidines which are strong organic bases that could possibly promote the required $\alpha-$ deprotonation of the starting ester, to form the required enolate. For this purpose, commercially available TBD was used in stoichiometric amounts and as a last attempt, the chiral bifunctional guanidine $\mathbf{X X}$ was also tested (Table 2.28). Furthermore, we used malonate $\mathbf{1 7 8}$ to facilitate the formation of the enolate with the required conformation to undergo the IC rearrangement.

The commercially available TBD did not afford the desired IC product under the reaction conditions employed. Guanidine XX was still prepared (see Chapter IV for more information) as its H bond/Brønsted base bifunctionality could prove useful in activating, deprotonating, and stabilizing the starting material. Since guanidine XX was isolated as its HCl salt, KOtBu was added to free base the guanidine in situ leaving it available to deprotonate the ester. Furthermore, the pKa of KOtBu also allowed for the deprotonation of $\mathbf{1 7 8}$ which could still form H-bond with $\mathbf{X X}$ and hopefully undergo the IC rearrangement in an asymmetric fashion. Unfortunately, no reaction was observed with XX.

Table 2. 28 - Guanidine induced IC rearrangement.

|  |  | Guanidine (1.0 equiv.) Base, Solvent T, time$\qquad$ |  | Guanidines <br> TBD |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entry | Guanidine | Base (equiv.) | Solvent | T | Time (h) | Product |
| 1 | TBD | - | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | rt | 96 | 178 |
| 2 | TBD | - | THF | rt | 96 | 178 |
| 3 | TBD | - | Toluene | rt | 96 | 178 |
| 4 | X | KOtBu (1.5 equiv.) | THF | rt | 96 | 178 |

Up to this point, only fairly weak, non-covalent interactions had been used between the catalysts and the esters (ionic forces and H -bond interactions). Hence, heating was mostly avoided to ensure proper activation. Since the IC rearrangement, sometimes, requires reflux conditions, we decided to use primary amine catalysts with the keto-ester $\mathbf{1 7 5}$. With this substrate and catalyst system, covalent bonds would be formed and, therefore, higher temperatures could be tried without compromising any possible enantioselectivity (Scheme 2.9).


Scheme 2.35 - Rationale for the new approach to the IC rearrangement.

For this purpose, two primary amine catalysts, XIII and XIV were prepared (see Chapter IV for more information) and used with ester $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ (Table 2.29 ). We decided to test the primary amines both in catalytic amounts as well as in stoichiometric amounts in the presence of Brønsted acid or base additives which could prove useful in facilitating either enamine formation (acid additive) or enol formation (base additive).

Surprisingly, none of these reactions afforded the desired IC product, regardless of the high temperatures used and extended reaction times (up to 9 days). It is highly unlikely that the catalysts failed to condense with the ketone moiety of the starting material, however, it is possible that the condensation led to the imine product only and no enamine was formed and thus, no active conformer of the enol was ever present in the reaction medium so, no IC rearrangement took place.

Table 2. 29 - Primary amine induced IC rearrangement of ester 175.


| Entry | Amine (mol\%) | Additive | Solvent | T ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Time (days) | Product |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | XIV (20 mol\%) | - | THF | 50 | 9 | 175 |
| 2 | XIV ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | THF | 50 | 9 | 175 |
| 3 | XIV (20 mol\%) | BA | THF | 50 | 9 | 175 |
| 4 | XIII ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) | - | THF | 50 | 9 | 175 |
| 5 | XIII ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | THF | 50 | 9 | 175 |
| 6 | XIII ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) | BA | THF | 50 | 9 | 175 |
| 7 | XIV (100 mol\%) | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | Toluene | 120 | 7 | 175 |
| 8 | XIV (100 mol\%) | BA | Toluene | 120 | 7 | 175 |
| 9 | XIII (100 mol\%) | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | Toluene | 120 | 7 | 175 |
| 10 | XIII (100 mol\%) | BA | Toluene | 120 | 7 | 175 |

After all these experiments, it seems that the IC rearrangement is a tricky reaction, and an asymmetric organocatalyzed approach is not viable. Phase-transfer catalysis led to decomposition of starting materials and/or to formation of non-active enolates (Figure 2.2). H-bond catalysis did not provide an answer either and, with the recent studies from Mečiarová and Šebesta (vide supra), can prove to be detrimental to reactivity. Primary amines in conjunction with keto-esters also failed to deliver the desired reaction. However, guanidines could prove useful, specially if used as a chiral auxiliary. Their reactivity was not thoroughly tested but, perhaps, a wise choice of chiral guanidine and substrate could lead to the
desired product. Addition of a scavenger base such as DBU or DABCO could even allow for a catalytic variant with guanidines however, a wider range of these compounds would have to be prepared and tested.

## Part III - Conclusions

In this Dissertation, we explored the role of organocatalysts in asymmetric Organic synthesis, more specifically, the applications and mechanistic considerations of aminocatalysts in pericyclic reactions. We performed a DFT study on the role of aminocatalysts in promoting the dearomatization of several heteroaromatic aldehydes in order to form electron-rich trienamine intermediates, some of which have already been explored in asymmetric synthesis. Our discoveries suggest that, upon condensation of the aminocatalyst with the parent aldehyde and subsequent iminium ion formation, the energy penalty for loss of aromaticity was generally decreased in all systems studied, making them excellent candidates for further applications in asymmetric Organic Synthesis. Population analysis of the trienamine systems was also performed and showed that careful design of the starting materials could impact the regioselectivity of these systems by increasing the electron density at either the more remote $\varepsilon$-position or at the $\gamma$-position. This regioselectivity could also be manipulated by careful choice of the aminocatalyst to employ as some literature work by Chen and co-workers seems to support.

Furthermore, it was also demonstrated that the Nitrogen containing heteroaromatic aldehydes as well as the all-carbon systems could give rise to (hetero)fulvene which proved to be thermodynamically more favoured than their corresponding trienamine counterparts. These fulvenes could open the door to new approaches in higher-order cycloaddition reactions.

Following the results obtained from the aforementioned project, we decided to explore some of its systems in the asymmetric synthesis of dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indole scaffolds. For this purpose, two approaches were envisioned. The first approach relied on an intramolecular Michael addition reaction proceeding through a dienamine intermediate whilst the second approach consisted of an aza-Diels-Alder reaction using a hetero-cross-trienamine intermediate. In respect to the intramolecular Michael addition, our initial screening of catalysts failed to produce the desired product. The use of a primary amine catalyst gave only the imine intermediate which, regardless of our efforts, did not tautomerize to the desired reactive dienamine, while the use of secondary aminocatalysts either failed to produce the dienamine intermediate or gave rise to the hydroamination product of our Michael acceptor. Further screening of secondary
aminocatalysts was performed but no reaction was observed and, when one full equiv. of catalyst was used, no indication of the presence of the dienamine intermediate was observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.

Similarly, the aza-Diels-Alder approach also failed to produce the desired product. Several dienophiles, including the highly reactive nitrostyrene and N -Boc-3-olefinic oxindoles were tested but no reaction was observed. Screening of multiple secondary aminocatalysts was also performed and again, even when one equiv. of catalyst was used, no aza-cross-trienamine (or iminium ion precursor) were observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. It appears that the relative position of the aldehyde moiety in respect to the indole Nitrogen atom is detrimental to the ability of the aminocatalyst to condense with the aldehyde to form the reactive intermediary species. This can be caused by electronic effects which decrease the nucleophilicity of the carbonyl carbon. A possible way to circumvent the lack of electrophilicity of the aldehyde would be to extend the conjugation and use a trienamine, analogous to that described in Model System D and used by Melchiorre and co-workers. It is also possible that some of the catalysts tested may have been too bulky to condense with this aldehyde. Therefore, neither of these two approaches, the intramolecular Michael addition nor the aza-Diels-Alder reaction, were successful at achieving the desired dihydropyrido[1,2a]indole scaffolds. Nonetheless, further studies on the reactivity of these systems could be performed as perhaps a more suitable dienophile or a stronger nucleophile may react in the desired fashion. Moreover, H-bond catalysis may prove to be a better way of achieving the desired reactivity. However, changes to its structure may be required namely, the addition of a better H -bond acceptor group.

Another project explored in this Dissertation was the attempt to synthesize an oxo-fulvene and use it as a precursor to an electron-rich tetraenamine intermediate, upon condensation with a suitable aminocatalyst. This tetraenamine would incorporate the fulvene moiety and hopefully higher-order cycloadditions could be achieved between this intermediate and suitable alkenes. Unfortunately, it appeared that the fulvene moiety was preventing the formation of the desire aldehyde. After several synthetic approaches no oxo-fulvene was obtained and in some cases complete decomposition of the starting material was observed. Upon more careful analysis of the literature, it became clearer how the polarization on the exocyclic double bond of the fulvene could be affecting the reactivity on the side chain of the fulvene. The
starting material for this project was never obtained and studies are underway to provide a better explanation on why, and how this fulvene proved to be so elusive.

Finally, the last project studied in this Dissertation was the development of an organocatalyzed, asymmetric Ireland-Claisen rearrangement. Two approaches were initially envisioned, one based on H bond catalysis which would, hopefully, provide activation to the starting ester with consequent decrease of the pKa of the $\alpha$-protons and stabilization of the enolate intermediary and the second approach was based on asymmetric phase-transfer catalysis where, strong inorganic bases could be used in aqueous solution and be brought into the organic phase, by the APTC to perform the required $\alpha$-deprotonation and formation of the necessary enolate. In both approaches chiral organocatalysts would be used to provide the necessary facial differentiation. Another objective of this project would be the ability to synthesize enantioenriched non-natural $\alpha$-substituted amino acids. After all the experiments, it seems that the IC rearrangement is a tricky reaction, and an asymmetric organocatalyzed approach is not viable. Phase-transfer catalysis led to decomposition of starting materials and/or to formation of non-active enolates while H -bond catalysis did not provide an answer either and, with the recent studies from Mečiarová and Šebesta, (vide supra) ${ }^{233}$ can prove to be detrimental to reactivity. Primary amines in conjunction with keto-esters also failed to deliver the desired reaction. However, guanidines could prove useful, specially if used as a chiral auxiliary. Their reactivity was not thoroughly tested but, perhaps, a wise choice of chiral guanidine and substrate could lead to the desired product. Addition of a scavenger base such as DBU or DABCO could even allow for a catalytic variant with guanidines however, a wider range of these compounds would have to be prepared and tested.

Overall, in this Dissertation we studied and showcased some of the advantages of aminocatalysis as well as its limitations. The computational study on the dearomatization of heteroaromatic aldehydes illustrated the ability of aminocatalysts to form what could be theorized as an improbable intermediate -a dearomatized system. However, the computational analysis started from the premise that the aminocatalyst would condense with the starting aldehyde. In the subsequent project, on the synthesis of dihydropyrido $[1,2-a$ ]indole scaffolds, it became apparent that not all systems will undergo condensation
between the aldehyde moiety and the aminocatalyst to form the corresponding iminium ion which can undergo dearomatization to form an electron-rich poly-enamine system. The effects on fulvene systems on the reactivity of their side chain groups also become apparent in our pursuit of an oxo-fulvene compound that could be a useful precursor to tetraenamine systems that could undergo higher-order cycloadditions. Finally, the development of an asymmetric and catalytic methodology for the Ireland-Claisen rearrangement proved to be a very challenging due to both the inherent liability of esters under basic aqueous conditions as well as the very high pKa 's of the $\alpha$-protons. Therefore, it is not surprising that the asymmetric variants for this reaction all use chiral auxiliaries in stoichiometric amounts.

## Part IV - Experimental Section

## General Methods

NMR spectra were acquired on Bruker Avance 300 spectrometer at 300 MHz and 75 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in ppm in respect to the solvents' residual signals. The following abbreviations are used to indicate the multiplicity in NMR spectra: s, singlet; d, doublet; t ; triplet; q, quartet; p, pentet; dd, doublet of doublets; dt, doublet of triplets; td, triplet of doublets; ddd, doublet of doublets of doublets; $m$, multiplet; and br, broad resonance.

Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using pre-coated aluminium-backed plates (Merck Kieselgel 60 F254) and visualized using either UV light, $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ dip, dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNP) dip or Iodine chamber. For flash column chromatography (FC) silica flash 40-63 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ (230-400 mesh), from Silicycle, was used.

All reagents and solvents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich or Fisher and, unless noted otherwise, were used as received without further purification. Anhydrous solvents were distilled according to standard laboratory techniques using the appropriate drying agent ${ }^{234}$ and were stored in $4 \AA$ molecular sieves under Argon atmosphere.

2-Iodoxybenzoic acid (IBX) was synthesized based on a literature procedure ${ }^{235}$ and stored in the freezer ( $\mathrm{T} \approx-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). (CAUTION! IBX is explosive under impact or heating to $>200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) The synthesis of IBX went as follows: 2-Iodobenzoic acid ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 40.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion to a solution of Oxone® ( $37.1813 \mathrm{~g}, 60.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(135 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a 500 mL round bottom flask. Reaction was heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and magnetically stirred at this temperature for 3 h , adjusting stirring speed to ensure that the mixture went from a hard to stir slur to an easy to stir, finely dispersed suspension. After 3h, reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and left at this temperature, with slow stirring, for 1.5 h . Resulting suspension was filtered through a medium porosity sintered glass funnel and solid was rinsed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acetone ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Resulting solid was air dried over night, giving IBX as a white amorphous solid, in $87 \%$ yield with purity consistently $\approx 85 \%$, determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Mother and washing liquors were acidic and oxidizing so, they were treated with solid $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ followed by neutralization with NaOH pellets and
disposed down the sink. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07-7.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84$ (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).

Cyclopentadiene was freshly distilled before use, by cracking commercially available dicyclopentadiene at $170-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a Vigreux column and collected in a flask cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2,3-Dichloro-5,6-dicyano-p-benzoquinone (DDQ) was recrystallized from benzene prior to use.

## Chapter I - Synthesis and Characterization of Organocatalysts

## Synthesis of (S)-(-)- $\alpha$, $\alpha$-diphenyl-2-pyrrolidinemethanol trimethyl silyl ether (IIIa): ${ }^{104}$



Scheme 4. 1 - Synthesis of catalyst IIIa.
(S)-(-)- $\alpha, \alpha$-diphenyl-2-pyrrolidinemethanol, IIIc, ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was taken in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Imidazole ( $0.81 \mathrm{~g}, 11.9 \mathrm{mmol} 3.0$ equiv.) was added, in one portion, followed by dropwise addition of $\mathrm{TMSCl}(1.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.87 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv.) and reaction was allowed to warm up to rt . After stirring for 24 h at rt , MTBE ( 25 mL ) was added and mixture filtered. Mother liquors were washed sequentially with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and purified by FC using a mixture of EtOAc/Hexanes (4/1) as eluent affording the title catalyst IIIa as a yellow oil in $78 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.54-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-4.02$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-170(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}),-0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of (S)-(-)-2-(diphenylmethyl)pyrrolidine (IV) ${ }^{236}$ and (S)-(-)-2-(fluorodiphenylmethyl) pyrrolidine (XII): ${ }^{237}$


Scheme 4. 2 - Synthetic route for catalysts IV and XII.

Synthesis of (S)- $N$-(Ethoxycarbonyl)proline methyl ester (179): Ethyl chloroformate ( $3.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.3 equiv.) was added dropwise to a $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ mixture of L-proline, $\mathbf{I}$, ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 13$ mmol, 1.0 equiv.) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$. Reaction was gradually warmed up to rt and left stirring for 24 h . Reaction was filtered, mother liquors were concentrated and then taken in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and washed with brine. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to give carbamate $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ as a white solid in $92 \%$ yield, which was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.37$ (ddd, $J=20.0$, $8.5,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.14-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{dt}, J=21.4,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of (7aS)-Tetrahydro-1,1-diphenyl-1H,3H-pyrrolo[1,2-c]oxazol-3-one (180): Carbamate 179 ( $2.39 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was taken in dry THF ( 65 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 1.0 M solution of BrMgPh in THF ( $26.4 \mathrm{mmL}, 26.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv.) was added dropwise and resulting mixture was allowed to warm to rt and then heated to reflux for 24 h . Reaction was cooled to rt, quenched by careful addition of sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted twice with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and purified by FC, using a mixture EtOAc/Hexanes (1/3) as eluent, to give the cyclic carbamate 180 as a white solid in $85 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.60-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$,
$4.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.4,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{ddd}, J=11.5,9.4,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14$ $-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{dtd}, J=12.4,10.7,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $160.5,143.3,140.3,128.6,128.4,128.3,127.7,126.0,125.5,85.9,69.3,46.1,29.0,24.9$.

Synthesis of (S)-(-)-2-(diphenylmethyl)pyrrolidine (IV): (CAUTION: $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ is an explosive solid. Reagents and solvent were mixed under Argon atmosphere and then purged with $H_{2}$. During the filtration process, copious amounts of MeOH were used to ensure the Pd would not go dry!) Carbamate $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ ( 1.0 g , $3.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(242.2 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ were stirred, under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, for 48 h at rt . Upon completion, $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ was removed by vacuum filtration, over celite and washed thoroughly with MeOH . Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and residue purified by FC using $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (1/9) as eluent to give catalyst IV as a colorless oil, which solidified to a white solid upon storing in the freezer, in $65 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.45-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.16$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.69$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of (S)-(-)-2-(fluorodiphenylmethyl) pyrrolidine (XII): Pre-cooled 70\% HF•pyridine complex ( 12.5 mL ) was added to carbamate $\mathbf{1 8 0}(0.85 \mathrm{~g}, 3.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a cooled plastic vial. Reaction was gradually warmed up to rt and after stirring for 24 h , mixture was cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(12.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and quenched by very careful addition of 9.0 M aq. KOH to $\mathrm{pH} \approx 14$. Phases were separated and aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and purified by FC using $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 / 9)$ to give catalyst XII, as a pale-yellow oil, in $87 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.61-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.00-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.


Scheme 4. 3 - Synthesis of primary amine catalyst XIII.
( $1 S, 2 S$ )-1,2-Diphenylethilenediamine ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(35 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(0.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) was added followed by $p \mathrm{TsCl}(0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.05 equiv.). Reaction was allowed to warm up to rt and stirred for 16 h . Reaction was quenched by addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and phases were separated. Organic layer was washed with brine ( 15 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by FC using a mixture of EtOAc/hexanes (2/1) to give the desired compound XIII as a white solid in $76 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.07$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## Synthesis of Bifunctional Primary Aminocatalyst $N$-[(1R,2R)-2-Aminocyclohexyl]- $N^{\prime}$-[3,5bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]thiourea (XIV) ${ }^{239}$



Scheme 4.4-Synthesis of primary amine XIV.

A solution of 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isothiocyanate ( $0.91 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to a solution of $(1 R, 2 R)$-1,2-diaminocyclohexane $(0.57 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in
$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Reaction was stirred at rt for 4 h . Volatiles were removed and residue purified by FC using a gradient starting with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}(9 / 1)$ to give the desired primary amine XIV as a white solid, in $70 \%$ yield as well as the bisthiourea XXI as a pale yellow foam, in $13 \%$ yield. $N-[(1 R, 2 R)-2-$ Aminocyclohexyl]- $N$ '-[3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]thiourea XIV: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.08$ (s, 2H), $7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.92(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; and $N, N^{\prime \prime}-(1 R, 2 R)-1,2-C y c l o h e x a n e d i y l b i s\left[N^{\prime}-[3,5-\right.$ bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]thiourea XXI: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.17$ (s, 2H), 7.84 (s, 4H), 7.73 (s, 2H), $7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.

## Synthesis of Schreiner's thiourea XIX ${ }^{240}$



Scheme 4. 5 - Reaction scheme for the synthesis of Schreiner's thiourea.

To a stirred solution of $3,5-\mathrm{bis}($ trifluoromethyl $)$ phenyl isocyanate ( $0.37 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 4 mL ) was added 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)aniline ( $0.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.). The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 5 days, and then filtered. The filtrate cake was washed with cold $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give Schreiner's thiourea as a white solid in $67 \%$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 10.64$ (s, 1H), $8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## Synthesis of Guanidinium XX



Scheme 4. 6 - Reduction of $S$-phenylalanine.
$\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}\left(0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0\right.$ equiv.) was suspended in dry THF $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . S$ phenylalanine $(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portion-wise and the resulting mixture was heated to reflux for 24 h . Reaction was allowed to cool down to rt and was further cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Reaction was quenched by slow addition of sat. aq. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and the resulting slurry was filtered under vacuum. The filtrate cake was thoroughly washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and EtOAc. Phases were separated and aqueous layer washed with EtOAc (3x). Combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated and air dried over night to give the desired $S$-phenylalalinol product, as a pale yellow crystalline solid, in >99\% yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.43-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6$, $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.5,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.5,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 138.7,129.2,128.6,126.4,66.4,54.2,41.0$.


Scheme 4. 7 - General reaction scheme for the synthesis of catalyst $\mathbf{X X}$.

Guanidinium XX was synthesized based on literature procedures ${ }^{241,242}$ and it went as follows:
Synthesis of (4S,5S)-diphenyl-imidazolidin-2-one (181): (1S,2S)-Diphenylethylenediamine ( 0.50 g , $2.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMAP ( $0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(35 \mathrm{~mL})$. $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.57$, $2.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) was added, in one portion, and reaction stirred at rt overnight. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and residue purified by FC using a mixture of $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 / 1)$ to give the title compound $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ as a white solid in $86 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.47-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-$
$7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.7,140.1,128.9,128.4,126.5$, 66.0.

Synthesis of (4S,5S)-1,3-dimethyl-4,5-diphenyl-imidazolidin-2-one (182): Urea 181 ( $0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry DMF ( 6 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{NaH}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.6$ equiv.) was added and mixture was stirred for 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MeI ( $0.41 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.5$ equiv.) was added dropwise and reaction allowed to warm up to rt and left stirring for 16 h . Upon completion, reaction was quenched by careful addition of $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{aq} . \mathrm{HCl}$ and product was extracted with EtOAc (3x). Combined organic extracts were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{x})$ and brine ( 3 x ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated, and purified by FC using a mixture of $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}(1 / 1)$ to give the title compound as a white solid in $88 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.43-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 161.8,138.1,128.8,128.4,127.3,70.3,30.0$.

Synthesis of (4S,5S)-2-chloro-1,3-dimethyl-4,5-diphenyl-imidazolidium chloride (183): To a solution of compound $182(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}(0.90 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5.5 equiv.) and reaction was heated to reflux for 24 h . Reaction was then cooled to rt and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. Residue was washed with toluene (3x) and dried under high vacuum overnight, giving the title compound, as a white solid, in $89 \%$ yield, which was used in the next step without further purification.

Synthesis of guanidinium XX: To a solution of previously synthesized $S$-phenylalalinol ( 0.21 g , 1.37 mmol , 1.05 equiv.) and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ( $0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.25 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.5 equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(14 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added compound $\mathbf{1 8 3}$ ( $0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.). Reaction was stirred at rt for 2 h and then poured into $5 \% \mathrm{aq}$. HCl . The product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{x})$ and the combined organic extracts were concentrated and purified by FC using a mixture of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 / 9)$ to give guanidinium $\mathbf{X X}$ as a white solid in $79 \%$ yield. ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $7.19-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{td}, J=9.7,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-3.99(\mathrm{~m}$,

[^0]
## Synthesis of catalyst XV

Catalyst XV was prepared following the synthetic route illustrated in Scheme 4.8, which was based on literatures procedures. ${ }^{243,244}$


Scheme 4. 8 - Synthetic route for the synthesis of catalyst XV.

Synthesis of $\boldsymbol{N}$-Boc-(S)-proline (184): L-Proline $\mathbf{I}(1.15 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in a $2 / 1 \mathrm{mixture}$ of 1,4-dioxane and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and made alkaline by addition of $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{aq} . \mathrm{NaOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(3.27 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5\right.$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(0.804 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) were added sequentially. Reaction was stirred at rt over night and then evaporated to half the volume. Residue was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and acidified to $2<\mathrm{pH}<3$ by addition of 1.0 M aq . HCl . Resulting mixture was diluted with EtOAc ( 40 mL ) and transferred to a separatory funnel. Phases were separated and aqueous layer was further extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated to give the title compound as a white crystalline solid, in $>99 \%$ yield, as a mixture of rotamers which was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{dd}$,
$J=8.5,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 179.0,175.4,156.3,153.9,146.7,85.2,81.4,80.3,59.1,58.9,47.0$, 46.4, 30.9, 28.7, 28.4, 28.3, 27.4, 24.3, 23.7.

Synthesis of N-Boc-(S)-prolinol (185): In a 250 mL round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a pressure-equalizer addition funnel was added 184 ( $2.37 \mathrm{~g}, 10.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dry THF ( 17 mL ), under an Argon atmosphere. Solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a 2.0 M solution of $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{THF}(11 \mathrm{~mL}$, $21.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) was added very slowly through the addition funnel. After complete addition of the $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$, reaction was stirred for 5 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then allowed to warm up to rt overnight. Reaction was quenched by very careful addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ (CAUTION! Substantial gas evolution and temperature increase will occur in this step). Mixture was further diluted with EtOAc ( 250 mL ) and transferred to a separatory funnel. Phases were separated and organic layer was washed with brine ( 40 mL ), sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(40 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine again $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated, and residue purified by FC using $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hexanes}$ (1/1) as eluent to give the title compound as a white crystalline solid in $70 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-$ $3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of $N$-Boc-(S)-2-(4-toluenesulfonyloxy)methylpyrrolidine (186): Compound 185 ( 0.50 g , $2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in pyridine ( 2.6 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . p \mathrm{TsCl}(0.57 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) was added and reaction stirred for 6.5 h , at rt . Reaction was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(23 \mathrm{~mL})$ and organic layer was washed with $10 \%$ aq. $\mathrm{HCl}(3 \mathrm{x} 9 \mathrm{~mL})$, sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \mathrm{x} 9 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(2 \mathrm{x} 9 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to give the title compound as a colorless oil in $85 \%$ yield which was immediately used in the next step without any further purification.

Synthesis of $\boldsymbol{N}$-Boc-(S)-2-azidomethylpyrrolidine (187): Compound 186 ( $0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was taken in DMSO ( 22 mL ) and $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}\left(0.82 \mathrm{~g}, 12.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0\right.$ equiv.) was added. Reaction was heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight and then allowed to cool down to rt and diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(45 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was separated and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles removed under reduced pressure to give the title compound as a white solid, in $67 \%$ yield which was used
in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.06-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.24$ (m, 4H), 2.12 - 1.77 (m, 4H), $1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

Syntheis of $\boldsymbol{N}$-Boc-(S)-2-(aminomethyl)pyrrolidine (188): Azide $187(0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in THF ( 12 mL ). $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\left(0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 2.87 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.05\right.$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(53 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1$ equiv.) were added sequentially. Mixture was heated to reflux and upon completion (TLC monitoring), reaction was allowed to cool down to rt and volatiles removed under reduced pressure. Residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pH was adjusted to $\approx 2$ by addition of $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{aq} . \mathrm{HCl}$ with vigorous stirring. Phases were separated and aqueous layer was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Ethereal extracts were discarded, and the aqueous phase was made alkaline $(\mathrm{pH} \approx 13)$ by addition of 2.0 M aq. NaOH and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, filtered and volatiles removed under reduced pressure to give the title compound in $62 \%$ yield as a thick pale-yellow oil which was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.90-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.75$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

## Synthesis of (S)-1-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-(pyrrolidine-2-ylmethyl)thiourea (XV): То а

 solution of amine $\mathbf{1 8 8}(0.41 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isothiocyanate ( $0.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) and reaction was stirred at rt for 12 h . Solvent was removed under reduced pressure and residue was taken in a $1 / 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{TFA}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at rt . Upon completion (TLC monitoring), volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. With vigorous stirring, sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was added until $\mathrm{pH} \approx 9$ and product was extracted thrice with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, filtered, and purified by FC using a mixture of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{EtOAc}(1 / 7)$ to give the title compound in $55 \%$ yield over the two steps, as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.07(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H), $2.95-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
## Chapter II - General Procedures for the Synthesis of Dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indole Scaffolds

## General Procedures for the Organocatalyzed reactions

## Intramolecular Michael addition

In a screw cap vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, was added $\mathbf{1 3 1}(51.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, organocatalyst ( $0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv.) and additive (from 0.1 to 0.5 equiv.) and mixture dissolved in the appropriated solvent $(0.4 \mathrm{M})$. Reaction was stirred at the appropriated temperature for the time depicted in the Tables from Part II, Chapter I and monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

## aza-Diels-Alder reaction

In a screw cap vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, indole $\mathbf{1 3 4}(0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in THF ( 2 mL ) and aminocatalyst ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv.), additive ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv.) and dienophile ( $0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) were added. Reaction was stirred at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and/or TLC.

## Synthesis of compounds 131 and 134

Compound $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ was prepared in two steps, starting from commercially available 2-methylindole, following the reaction depicted in Scheme 4.9.


Scheme 4.9-Synthetic path to compound 131.

Synthesis of 2-methylindole-3-carboxaldehyde (189): Oxalyl chloride ( 1.5 mL ) was added dropwise, over several minutes, to ice cold DMF ( 14 mL ) and the mixture was left stirring at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . 2 Methylindole ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 19.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 7 mL ) was added dropwise and mixture was brought up to rt and left stirring for 5 h . A 2.0 M solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(9.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added and reaction heated to 100 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . Mixture was cooled to rt and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with water ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and brine ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to give the final compound, as a brick colored crystalline solid, in $89 \%$ yield, which was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 11.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.08-8.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of 131: ${ }^{245}$ 2-Methylindole-3-carboxaldehyde 189 ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 3.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.17 \mathrm{~g}, 15.7 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5.0 equiv.) and methyl 3-bromo-2-(bromomethyl)propionate ( $0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) were taken in dry acetone ( 30 mL ) and heated to reflux. Reaction was monitored by TLC and upon completion (2-3h) mixture was allowed to cool down to rt and filtered. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and residue purified by $\mathrm{FC}(\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ Hexanes $1 / 1$ ) to give the desired product 131, as a white solid, in $97 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37-8.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Compound 134 was synthesized in four steps, starting from commercially available indole-2carboxylic acid, following the synthetic route depicted in Scheme 4.10 which, was adapted from the literature. ${ }^{246}$



Scheme 4. 10 - Synthetic path for substrate 134.

Synthesis of indole-2-methanol (190): $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(2.40 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) was suspended in dry THF $(90 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Indole-2-carboxylic acid ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added portion-wise and reaction allowed to warm up to rt and left stirring at this temperature for 24 h . Reaction was then quenched by careful addition of sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and resulting slurry was filtered through celite and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and EtOAc. Phases were separated and aqueous layer was washed thrice with EtOAc. Combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to dryness affording alcohol $\mathbf{1 9 0}$ as a pale yellow solid, in $90 \%$ yield, which could be stored for long periods of time in the freezer but decomposed upon standing on the bench. Alcohol $\mathbf{1 9 0}$ was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.33(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.04,1.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.04,1.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47-6.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.09 (s, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 140.57$, 136.62, 128.30, 120.96, 120.07, 119.07, 111.50, 98.90, 57.34.

Synthesis of indole-2-carboxaldehyde (191): Freshly prepared IBX (vide supra, $10.00 \mathrm{~g}, 36 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) was suspended in DMSO ( 20 mL ) and alcohol $190(3.50 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 100 mL ) was added. Reaction was stirred at rt and monitored by TLC. Upon completion (usually, 4h) $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(600 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and resulting mixture was filtered through celite. Mother liquors were washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and
brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated and residue purified by FC using EtOAc/Hexanes (1/5) as eluent to give the title compound as an off-white crystalline solid, in $82 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of 2-[(E)-2'-phenylethenyl]-1H-indole (192): A commercially available 2.0 M solution of LDA ( $11 \mathrm{~mL}, 22 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv.) was added dropwise to an ice cold solution of benzyltriphenylphosphonium bromide ( $8.79 \mathrm{~g}, 20.3 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.2 equiv.) in dry THF ( 27 mL ). Mixture was stirred at rt for 45 min and at 50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Resulting mixture was then cooled again to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and aldehyde $191(2.46 \mathrm{~g}, 16.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in dry THF ( 19 mL ) was added slowly and reaction was heated to reflux and monitored by TLC. Upon completion (usually, 5 h ), mixture was poured into sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(90 \mathrm{~mL})$ and phases were separated. Aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(5 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and combined organic extracts were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. Organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, absorbed in $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. Resulting solid was charged into a column and eluted with EtOAc/Hexanes ( $1 / 20$ to 1/5, directly) to afford complete separation of the two isomers, $\boldsymbol{E}$-192 and $\mathbf{Z}$-192, in $91 \%$ overall yield. $\mathbf{Z}$ - $\mathbf{1 9 2}$ (minor isomer) eluted first and was isolated in $21 \%$ yield as a pale yellow solid ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.38(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ $\boldsymbol{E}-\mathbf{1 9 2}$ (major isomer) eluted afterwards and was isolated in $70 \%$ yield as a yellow solid ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{dt}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of 2-[(E)-2'-phenylethenyl]-1H-indole-3-carboxaldehyde (134): $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.2 equiv.) was added dropwise to ice cold DMF ( 2 mL ). Mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . and then indole $\boldsymbol{E}$-192 ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 6.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 3 mL ) was slowly added and mixture allowed to warm up to rt and then further heated to $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Upon completion (usually, 2h, by TLC monitoring), reaction was cooled down to rt and ice ( $\approx 3.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added followed by 5.0 M aq. NaOH . Reaction was heated to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . and then allowed to cool down to rt. Ice ( $\approx 3.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added again and mixture stirred for 30 min .

Product was collected by vacuum filtration and washed thoroughly with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried under high vacuum overnight, giving the title compound $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ as a bright yellow amorphous solid in $95 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 12.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta$ 185.77, 145.47, 137.09, 136.39, 134.07, 129.43, 127.67, 126.11, 124.67, 122.64, 121.28, 115.65, 115.05, 111.97.

The 3-olefinic oxindole $\mathbf{6 7}$ was prepared from commercially available isatin following the synthetic route depicted in Scheme 4.11 which, was adapted from the literature. ${ }^{247}$


Scheme 4. 11 - Synthetic route to the 3-olefinic oxindole 67.

Synthesis of Ethyl 2-(1,2-dihydro-2-oxo-3H-indol-3-ylidene)acetate (193): A solution of isatin (1.50 g, 10.2 mmol ), and (carbethoxymethylene)triphenylphosphorane ( $3.91 \mathrm{~g}, 11.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.), in toluene ( 30 mL ) was stirred at rt for 24 h . Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the solid residue taken in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ and absorbed in $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, solvents were removed under reduced pressure and crude mixture charged into a column and purified using EtOAc/Hexanes (1/1) to give the title compound $\mathbf{1 9 3}$ in $85 \%$ yield as a bright orange crystalline solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28$ (s, 1H), $7.36(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

1,1-Dimethylethyl 3-(2-ethoxy-2-oxoethylidene)-2,3-dihydro-2-oxo-1H-indole-1-carboxylate (67): A solution of oxindole $193(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\operatorname{DMAP}(0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv. $)$, and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(0.42 \mathrm{~mL}$, 2.99 mmol, 1.3 equiv.), in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\left(5 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of di-tert-butyl dicarbonate
( $0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 2.8 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.2 equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise and mixture was allowed to warm up to rt and left stirring overnight. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and residue purified by FC eluting with EtOAc/Hexanes (1/10) to give the title compound 67 in $74 \%$ yield as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.81 .0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23$ $(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$.

## Chapter III - General Procedures for the High Order Cycloadditions

## Synthesis of Fulvenes



Scheme 4. 12 - General reaction scheme for the synthesis of fulvenes.

Method A: $:^{213,248}$ To an ice cold solution of freshly distilled cyclopentadiene ( 1.25 equiv.) and the required aldehyde or ketone ( 1.0 equiv.) in MeOH ( 1.1 M ), under Argon atmosphere, was added pyrrolidine ( 0.6 equiv.) dropwise. After complete addition of pyrrolidine, ice bath was removed, and reaction stirred until TLC analysis indicated full conversion of starting aldehyde or ketone (between 2 to 4 h ). Reaction was cooled again to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and glacial acetic acid was added dropwise. Solution was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted thrice with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic extracts were washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Residue was purified by FC using $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ mixture as eluent.

Method B: NaOH pellets ( 0.6 equiv.) were taken in $\mathrm{MeOH}(4.0 \mathrm{M}$ ) and upon complete solubilization, freshly distilled cyclopentadiene (1.0 equiv.) was added followed by the ketone (1.0 equiv.). Mixture was
stirred at rt and monitored by TLC (usually 2 h is sufficient). Reaction was quenched by dilution with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and product was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{x})$. Combined organic extracts were washed with $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{x})$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated and residue purified by FC using $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ mixture as eluent.


3-(2,4-cyclopentadien-1-ylidene)-1-butanol (146): Prepared according to the general procedure, method A, by stirring cyclopentadiene ( $5.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 61 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.25$ equiv.), 4-hydroxy-2-butanone ( $4.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 48.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) and pyrrolidine ( $3.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 37 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.6 equiv.) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(45 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) for 2 h . Purified by FC using EtOAc/Hexanes $1 / 1$, to give the title compound as a bright yellow liquid in $78 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.60-6.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 148.98, 144.55, 131.51, 131.46, 120.66, 120.52, 61.33, 39.91, 21.26.


2-(2,4-cyclopentadien-1-ylidene)-1-propanol (157): Prepared according to the general procedure, method A, by stirring cyclopentadiene ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 121.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.25$ equiv.), hydroxyacetone ( $7.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 97.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) and pyrrolidine ( $6.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 72.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.6$ equiv.) in MeOH ( 90 mL ) for 3 h . Purified by FC using EtOAc/Hex $1 / 2$, to give the title compound as bright yellow crystalline solid in $43 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.61-6.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.


5-(3,3-Dimethoxy-1-methylpropylidene)-1,3-cyclopentadiene
(162): Prepared according to the general procedure, method B , by dissolving NaOH pellets $(0.73 \mathrm{~g}$, $18.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.6$ equiv.) in MeOH ( 7.5 mL ) and adding cyclopentadiene ( 2.5 mL , $30.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) followed by trans-4-methoxy-3-buten-2-one ( $3.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) and stirring at rt for 2 h . Purified by FC using EtOAc/Hex $1 / 5$, to give the title compound as a red liquid in $61 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.61-6.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## Experimental Procedures for the Oxidation Reactions



Scheme 4. 13 - General reaction scheme for the oxidation of $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ to 143.

Swern Oxidation (procedure \#1): To a solution of oxalyl chloride ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 29.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(115 \mathrm{~mL})$, at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and under Argon atmosphere, was added DMSO ( $3.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 44.1 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.0 equiv.) dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 15 min . A solution of 3-(2,4-cyclopentadien-1-ylidene)-1-butanol $\mathbf{1 4 6}(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 14.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(9 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise and resulting mixture stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . Then, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ( $14.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 102.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.0$ equiv.) was added dropwise and mixture stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 15 min and then allowed to warm up to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 1 h . Dilution was performed with 0.5 M aq. $\mathrm{HCl}(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 120 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 120 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. TLC analysis (EtOAc/Hexanes 1/1) appeared to indicate only starting alcohol was present. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR submitted which confirmed that no reaction took place.

Swern Oxidation (procedure \#2): To a solution of oxalyl chloride ( $1.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$, at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and under Argon atmosphere, was added DMSO ( $1.72 \mathrm{~mL}, 24.1 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.3 equiv.) dropwise. Reaction was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . and then, a solution of 3-(2,4-cyclopentadien-1-ylidene)-1-butanol $146(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 7.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. Reaction was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(5.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 37.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5.1 equiv.) was added slowly and reaction allowed to warm up to rt and left stirring for 1 h at this temperature. Reaction was then quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the resulting mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( 150 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. TLC analysis (EtOAc/Hexanes
$1 / 1$ ) indicated that no oxidation took place. Volatiles were removed and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR submitted which confirmed the presence of starting alcohol.

DDQ Dehydrogenation (procedure \#1): 3-(2,4-cyclopentadien-1-ylidene)-1-butanol 146 ( 0.14 g , 1.0 mmol ) was taken in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{DDQ}(0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) was added. Reaction was stirred at rt and monitored by TLC (EtOAc/Hexanes $1 / 3$ ) and after 5h it appeared that starting material was consumed. Reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and quenched by addition of 1.0 M aq. NaOH $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Phases were separated and organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. New TLC was performed, and it appeared that starting alcohol was in fact still present which was confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis.

DDQ Dehydrogenation (procedure \#2): 3-(2,4-cyclopentadien-1-ylidene)-1-butanol 146 ( 0.14 g , 1.0 mmol ) was taken in 1,4-dioxane ( 10 mL ) and $\mathrm{DDQ}(0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) was added. Reaction was heated to reflux and monitored by TLC (EtOAc/Hexanes 1/1). After 24h reaction was allowed to cool down to rt and filtered and filtrate cake washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis was performed showing a very messy spectrum with mostly starting alcohol present but, no indication of the desired aldehyde.

TEMPO/BAIB Oxidation: ${ }^{209}$ 3-(2,4-cyclopentadien-1-ylidene)-1-butanol 146 ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TEMPO ( $0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv.) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and BAIB ( $1.29 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv.) was added. Reaction was stirred at rt and monitored by TLC (EtOAc/Hexanes $1 / 3$ ) and after 5 h it appeared to indicate that starting material was consumed. Reaction was then diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and quenched with sat. aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Phases were separated and aqueous layer extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extracts were washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR was submitted and showed a very messy spectrum with no evidence of aldehyde and with the major product being iodobenzene.
$\mathbf{P C C} / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ Oxidation: ${ }^{210} \mathrm{~A}$ mixture of $\mathrm{PCC}\left(3.17 \mathrm{~g}, 14.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0\right.$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} 40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}(230-400$ mesh) $(\approx 3.2 \mathrm{~g}, 1 / 1(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ in respect to PCC$)$ were grounded to a fine powder using a mortar and pestle. The obtained orange mixture was placed in a round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(35 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, under Argon atmosphere. With mild stirring, 3-(2,4-cyclopentadien-1-ylidene)-1-butanol $146(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 7.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one portion and reaction immediately went from bright orange to very dark brown. Mixture was stirred at rt and monitored by TLC (EtOAc/Hexanes 1/1) and left for $24 h$. After this period of time, TLC analysis did not showcase any new product and only starting alcohol was observed. Reaction was, nonetheless, quenched by dilution with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered through a pad of basic alumina. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis showed only starting alcohol.

PCC Oxidation (procedure \#1): PCC ( $3.17 \mathrm{~g}, 14.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) was taken in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 35 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 3-(2,4-cyclopentadien-1-ylidene)-1-butanol $146(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 7.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and reaction was kept stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and monitored by TLC (EtOAc/Hexanes $1 / 1$ ). After 4 h , TLC analysis showed no conversion of starting alcohol, so reaction was quenched by dilution with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtration through a short pad of celite and silica. Volatiles were removed and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated that only starting material was present.

PCC Oxidation (procedure \#2): PCC ( $3.17 \mathrm{~g}, 14.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) was taken in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 35 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 3-(2,4-cyclopentadien-1-ylidene)-1-butanol $146(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 7.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and reaction was kept stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and monitored by TLC (EtOAc/Hexanes $1 / 3$ ). After 5 h , TLC analysis showed no conversion of starting alcohol, so reaction was quenched by dilution with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtration through a short pad of celite and silica. Volatiles were removed and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated that only starting material was present.
$\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ Oxidation (procedure \#1): ${ }^{211} 8.2 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 0.5 M aq. solution of NaIO 4 ( 4.1 mmol , 1.1 equiv.) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 3-(2,4-cyclopentadien-1-ylidene)-1-butanol 146 ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(11 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. Reaction was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and monitored by TLC (4h should suffice according to the literature).

After 4h no new product was observed by TLC and only starting alcohol seemed to be present. Reaction was stopped, filtration was performed to remove any solid NaI that may have formed, and mother liquors were extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis confirmed that no reaction took place.
$\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ Oxidation (procedure \#2): 8.2 mL of a 0.5 M aq. solution of NaIO 4 ( $4.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 3-(2,4-cyclopentadien-1-ylidene)-1-butanol 146 ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,4-dioxane $(11 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. Reaction was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and monitored by TLC. After 4 h reaction was filtered, and mother liquors were extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis showed no reaction took place.

DMP Oxidation (procedure \#1): 3-(2,4-cyclopentadien-1-ylidene)-1-butanol $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was taken in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dess-Martin periodane ( $1.73 \mathrm{~g}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv.) was carefully added and reaction stirred at this temperature for 1 h . After 1h, TLC analysis indicated no evolution so, reaction was allowed to warm to rt and left stirring for 5 h , and still no evolution by TLC analysis. Reaction was quenched by careful addition of sat. aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and vigorously stirred for 15 min . Phases were separated and organic layer was washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, ( 50 mL ) and brine ( 50 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis showed no indication of the desired aldehyde.

DMP Oxidation (procedure \#2): ${ }^{212}$ 3-(2,4-cyclopentadien-1-ylidene)-1-butanol 146 ( $0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 1.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was taken in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(36.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv.) was added and mixture vigorously
stirred. Dess-Martin periodane ( $1.17 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) was added in one portion and reaction was monitored by TLC. After 2h TLC analysis seemed to indicate that starting material was consumed and a new product was present in the reaction mixture. Reaction was then quenched by addition of sat. aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and vigorously stirred for 15 min . Phases were separated and organic layer was washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. Organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis did indicate that perhaps the desired aldehyde was present so, crude was purified by FC using EtOAc/Hex $1 / 3$ as eluent. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR after column chromatography was complex, inconsistent with the desired product and different from the spectrum recorded after work up. Reaction was repeated under the exact same conditions but, at a slightly higher scale ( 3.7 mmol in respect to fulvene) with the difference that the column was performed using a $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{PE}(1 / 1)$ mixture as eluent and volatiles were evaporated at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ instead of the usual $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at which we keep the water bath of the rotorvaps in an attempt to prevent thermal decomposition of the product. Unfortunately, the same decomposition took place.

IBX oxidation: IBX ( $0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) was suspended in DMSO ( 1.0 mL ). A solution of 3-(2,4-cyclopentadien-1-ylidene)-1-butanol $146(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 4 mL ) was then added and resulting mixture was stirred at rt and monitored by TLC. After stirring for 24 h , no conversion was observed however, reaction was quenched nonetheless by diluting with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtration through celite. Mother liquors were washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis showed no indication of the desired aldehyde.

## Procedures for the Cyanation Reactions
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Scheme 4. 14 - General reaction scheme for the cyanation of 157.

Cyanation Using $\mathrm{BiCl}_{3}$ as the Lewis Acid: ${ }^{216} \mathrm{In}$ a round bottom flask, under Argon atmosphere, was added $\mathrm{BiCl}_{3}$ ( $0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.1 equiv.), dry $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ( 8 mL ) and $\mathrm{TMSCN}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.). Mixture was cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of $157\left(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. Reaction was allowed to warm up to rt and monitored by TLC. After 3h, reaction was cooled down again to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched by careful addition of sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered through a short pad of celite. Phases were separated and aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ( $3 \times 4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Combined organic extracts were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 8 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and volatiles removed under reduced pressure. During the addition of the fulvene solution, reaction mixture became black and an insoluble black solid could be seen suspended. Reaction was nonetheless left stirring for 3 h before work up was performed. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the residue extracted showed complete decomposition of starting material and no evidence of the desired nitrile was observed.

Cyanation Using $\mathbf{B F}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{O E t}_{2}$ as the Lewis Acid: Hydroxyfulvene $\mathbf{1 5 7}$ ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was taken in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) was added dropwise followed by TMSCN ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.). Reaction immediately turned into a black goo right after addition of the Lewis acid and was allowed to warm up to rt and stirred for 1 h . Reaction was then filtered through celite and filtrate cake thoroughly washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. Phases were separated and organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and volatiles removed under reduced pressure. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis was performed, and only solvent signals were observed in the spectrum.


Scheme 4. 15 - Synthetic route for the formation of fulvene 156.

Mesylation procedure: Fulvene $157(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(17 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ( $0.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) was added and mixture was stirred for $15 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{MsCl}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.1 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv.) was then added dropwise and reaction was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and monitored by TLC. Upon complete consumption of starting material ( 2.5 h ) reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and transferred to a separatory funnel where it was washed sequentially with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 10 mL ). Organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. During this stage, the bright yellow solution gave rise to a black insoluble solid.

Synthesis of 3-oxobutanenitrile (159): To an ice-cold solution of acetonitrile ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 35 mL ) was added $\mathrm{KOtBu}(3.23 \mathrm{~g}, 28.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.), carefully, followed by EtOAc ( 3.7 mL , $38.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv.). Reaction was allowed to warm up to rt and stirred for 24 h . Upon completion (TLC monitoring), reaction was quenched by careful addition of $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{aq} . \mathrm{HCl}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by dilution with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOAc}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$. Organic layer was extracted, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated, and purified by FC using EtOAc/Hex $1 / 5$ as eluent to give, not the desired 3-oxobutanenitrile but instead, ethyl acetoacetate as the only product, as a colorless liquid in $26 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.23(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## Procedures for the Deprotection of Acetal 162

Iodine Method: Acetal $162(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ was taken in acetone $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}(0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.1 equiv.) was added. Reaction immediately went from an orange solution to a back suspension and TLC analysis showed no spots in the reaction lane. Reaction was quench by solvent removal and the remaining black solid was taken in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 5 mL ). The black solid was not soluble in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ nor any of the aqueous solutions and was removed by
filtration. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the concentrated filtrate residue showed only solvent signals and no evidence of starting acetal or desired aldehyde product.
para-Toluenosulfonic Acid Method: Acetal 162 ( $0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was taken in acetone (4 mL) and $p \mathrm{TsOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv.) was added. Reaction was stirred at rt and after 3 h , the reaction went from an orange solution to a black suspension. TLC analysis showed no spots on the reaction lane so, volatiles were removed and the resulting black insoluble solid was suspended in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed sequentially with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Black solid was removed by filtration and resulting residue subjected to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis where only solvent signals were observed.

## Chapter III - Ireland-Claisen Rearrangement

General Procedures for the Asymmetric Ireland-Claisen Reaction under Asymmetric Liquid/Liquid Phase-Transfer Catalysis

A screw cap vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, was charged with the ester ( 0.2 mmol ), XVII ( 0.2 equiv.) and organic solvent ( 1.1 mL ). Aqueous basic solution ( 1.1 mL ) was then added, and mixture was vigorously stirred, at rt, and monitored by TLC and/or ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. When applicable, reactions were acidified to $\mathrm{pH} \approx 2$ by careful addition of 1.0 M HCl , phases were separated, and aq. layer extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure.

Synthesis and isolation of alcohol 171: Ester $169 \mathrm{~b}(33.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and XVII ( $10.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.2 equiv.) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$, and aq. $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. Reaction was stirred vigorously at rt and monitored by TLC. After 48 h a new, higher rf spot was visible in the TLC so, reaction was acidified with careful addition of aq. 2.0 M HCl (until $\mathrm{pH} \approx 2$ ) and extracted thrice with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over solid $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and purified by FC,
eluting with EtOAc/Hexanes (1/3), to give alcohol $\mathbf{1 7 1}$ in $56 \%$ yield as a pale-yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.44-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 1.73-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 136.79,132.64,130.23,128.60,127.64$, 126.47, 72.88, 39.52, 18.70, 14.03.

## General Procedures for Enolate Trapping, under APTC conditions

In a screw cap vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, was charged ester ( 0.5 mmol ) and toluene ( 5 mL ) and mixture treated sequentially with $\mathrm{TMSCl}(95 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.), XVII ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1$ mmol, 0.2 equiv.) and aq. NaOH solution ( 1 mL of either 1.0 M or $50 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ). Reaction was vigorously stirred at rt for 24 h upon which TLC was performed.

## General Procedures for the Asymmetric Ireland-Claisen Reaction under Asymmetric Solid/Liquid Phase-Transfer Catalysis

In a screw cap vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, was charged the corresponding ester ( 0.2 mmol ), XVII ( 0.2 equiv.), base (see equiv. in the Tables from Part II, Chapter IV) and solvent ( 0.6 mL ). Reaction was vigorously stirred under the appropriate temperature and monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and/or TLC. Reaction was acidified by addition of 1.0 M aq. HCl and product extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and volatiles removed under reduced pressure.

## General Procedures for the Ireland-Claisen Reaction under H-Bond Catalysis

In a screw cap vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, ester ( 0.2 mmol ), thiourea ( 0.2 or 1.0 equiv.) and base (see equiv. in Tables from Part II Chapter IV). Reaction was stirred at the appropriate temperature and monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and/or TLC.

## Synthesis and Characterization of the Substrates for the Ireland-Claisen Rearrangement

## General Procedure for the Synthesis of Allylic Alcohols via Grignard Reaction



Scheme 4. 16 - General reaction Scheme for the synthesis of allylic alcohols 194a and 194b via Grignard reaction.
trans-2-Hexenal 7c ( 1.0 equiv.) was taken in dry THF ( 0.25 M ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. An ethereal solution of the Grignard reagent ( 1.2 equiv.) was added dropwise. Mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then allowed to warm up to rt and monitored by TLC. Upon completion, sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added and product extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{x})$. Combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated, and purified by FC eluting with $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hexanes}$ mixture.


3- $E$-hepten-2-ol (194a): prepared following the general procedure using trans-2-hexenal 7c ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{BrMgMe}^{2} .0 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $6.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) in THF ( 20 mL ). Purified by FC using EtOAc/Hexanes (1/5) to give the product as a pale-yellow oil in $70 \%$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.71-5.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58-5.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.09-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.3,0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$.
 1-Phenyl-2-E-hexen-1-ol (194b): prepared according to the general procedure using trans-2-hexenal 7c ( $1.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and BrMgPh 1.0 M in THF ( $12.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.2 equiv.) in THF ( 40 mL ). Purified by FC using EtOAc/Hexanes (1/9) to give the pure final product as a colorless liquid in $72 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.47-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $5.90-5.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.93$ (t, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).

## General Procedure for the Steglich Esterification



Scheme 4. 17 - General procedure for the Steglich esterification reactions.

A mixture of the carboxylic acid ( 1.0 equiv.), allylic alcohol ( 1.13 equiv.) and DMAP ( 0.5 equiv.), in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.25 \mathrm{M})$, was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, EDC (1.1 equiv.) was added, and the reaction was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then at rt overnight. The reaction mixture was then concentrated, and the residue taken in EtOAc and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 / 1)$. The organic layer was separated and washed sequentially with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (2x) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2x), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated, and purified by FC eluting with EtOAc/Hexanes mixture.


2-E-penten-1-yl N-Boc glycinate (169): prepared according to the general procedure using $N$-Boc glycine ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and trans-2-penten-1-ol ( $0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.23 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.13 equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 11.5 mL ). Purified by FC using $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hexanes}(1 / 5)$ to give the final product as a pale yellow oil in $89 \%$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.97-5.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.59$
(dtt, $J=14.9,6.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-$ $2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{td}, J=7.4,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.


3- $E$-hepten-2-yl $N$-Boc glycinate (169a): prepared according to the general procedure using $N$-Boc glycine ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and alcohol $194 \mathrm{a}(0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 3.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.13$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 11.5 mL ). Purified by FC using $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ Hexanes (1/3) to give the final product as a pale yellow oil in $83 \%$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.81-5.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52-$ $5.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{t}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{q}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.8$, $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.


2-Phenyl-3-E-hexen-2-yl $N$-Boc glycinate $\mathbf{x 1 a b}$ (169b): prepared according to the general procedure using $N$-Boc glycine ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and alcohol 194b ( $0.57 \mathrm{~g}, 3.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.13$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 11.5 mL ). Purified by FC using EtOAc/Hexanes (1/3) to give the final product as a pale-yellow oil in $86 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.47-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86-5.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.4,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{qd}, J=18.2,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}$, $J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 169.49,155.66,139.26,135.36,132.54,132.51,128.55$, $128.46,128.11,127.83,126.90,126.17,42.73,34.26,28.32,21.99,13.65$.


3-E-hepten-2-yl cyanoacetate (173): prepared according to the general procedure using cyanoacetic acid ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 5.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and alcohol $194 \mathrm{a}(0.76 \mathrm{~g}, 6.64 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.13 equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 23 mL ). Purified by FC using $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ Hexanes ( $1 / 3$ ) to give the final product as a pale-yellow oil in $63 \%$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.78(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.0,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54-5.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
 Ethyl-2-E-penten-1-yl malonate (178): prepared according to the general procedure using mono-ethyl malonate ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and trans-2-penten-1-ol ( 0.5 mL , 4.7 mmol , 1.1 equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 17 mL ). Purified by FC using EtOAc/Hexanes (1/7) to give the final product as a pale yellow oil in $81 \%$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.96-5.79(\mathrm{~m}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 5.67-5.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{p}$, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## General Procedure for the transesterification of ethyl acetoacetate



Scheme 4. 18 - General reaction scheme for the transesterification of ethyl acetoacetate.

Method A: In a pyrex® test tube $(2.5 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm})$ equipped with a drying tube, a vigorously stirred mixture of ethyl acetoacetate ( 1.0 equiv.), alcohol (1.3 equiv.) and CsF ( 0.1 equiv.) in toluene ( 0.5 M ) was heated so that toluene refluxed up to halfway of the tube, for 18 h . Toluene was decanted and the CsF residue washed with Et2O. The combined organics were concentrated and purified by FC using a mixture of EtOAc/Hexanes as eluent.

Method B: A round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, condenser, and drying tube, was charged ethylacetoacetate (1.0 equiv.), alcohol (1.0 equiv.), triphenylphosphine ( 0.1 equiv.) and toluene $(0.2 \mathrm{M})$ and mixture was heated to reflux overnight. Upon completion, $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ was added and residue evaporated to dryness and purified by FC eluting with EtOAc/Hexanes mixture.

( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-3-oxo-butanoic acid 2-pentenyl ester (175): Prepared according to method A, using ethyl acetoacetate ( $1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and trans-2-penten-1-ol ( $1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv.) in toluene ( 20 mL ). Purified by FC using EtOAc/Hexanes (1/5) to give the final product as a colorless oil in $50 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.93-5.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.64-5.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64$ $-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Prepared according to method B, using ethyl acetoacetate ( $0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), trans-2-pentenol ( 0.2 mL , 2.0 mmol ) and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv.) in toluene ( 10 mL ). Purified by FC using

EtOAc/Hexanes (1/5) to give the final product as a colorless oil in $90 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.97-5.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68-5.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{p}$, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.


4-Phenyl-2-buten-1-yl acetoacetate (175b): Prepared according to method A, using ethyl acetoacetate ( $0.72 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and cinnamyl alcohol ( $1.04 \mathrm{~g}, 7.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv.) in toluene ( 11 mL ). Purified by FC using EtOAc/Hexanes (1/3) to give the final product as pale-yellow oil in $37 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.46-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.72$ (d, $J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.9,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$.

## Esterification of potassium isocyanoacetate



Scheme 4. 19 - Reaction scheme for the esterification of potassium isocyanoacetate.

Under argon atmosphere, trans-2-penten-1-ol ( $0.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a 1.0 M solution of triethylamine in THF ( $11.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{MsCl}(0.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.7 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv.) was added dropwise and reaction was stirred, at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and monitored by TLC. Upon completion (usually, 1 h ), reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed sequentially with $10 \% \mathrm{aq} . \mathrm{HCl}$, brine, and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL}$ each $)$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated and to give the mesylated alcohol as a colorless liquid, in $90 \%$ yield which, was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.97(\mathrm{tt}, J=16.5,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.71-5.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

To a suspension of potassium isocyanoacetate $(0.64 \mathrm{~g}, 5.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added the mesylated alcohol from the previous step ( $0.85 \mathrm{~g}, 5.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h
(TLC monitoring). Upon completion, reaction was cooled down to rt and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and product extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6 \times 6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \times 6 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated, and purified by FC EtOAc/Hexanes (1/5) to give the title compound as a colorless liquid in $30 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.90(\mathrm{dq}$, $J=20.0,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{dtt}, J=15.1,6.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.01$ (m, 2H), $1.03(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 163.75,140.03,121.44,67.47,43.55,25.26$, 12.97.

## Chapter IV - Energies and Reaction Coordinates

## Aldehyde A1



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-382.5277955 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.108322($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.076441$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 1.375744 | -0.126055 | -0.000039 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 1.299606 | 1.240881 | -0.000011 |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 2.137053 | 1.922463 | 0.000080 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | -0.088014 | 1.560053 | 0.000004 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | -0.543457 | 2.540475 | 0.000096 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -0.754104 | 0.364370 | -0.000024 |
| 7 | 8 | 0 | 0.137251 | -0.661068 | -0.000044 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | -2.181796 | 0.069935 | 0.000005 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | -2.818917 | 0.976375 | 0.000020 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | 2.514381 | -1.080950 | 0.000034 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 2.478849 | -1.722943 | -0.884593 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 3.457153 | -0.532319 | 0.000007 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 2.478872 | -1.722851 | 0.884728 |
| 14 | 8 | 0 | -2.660308 | -1.042757 | 0.000025 |

Aldehyde A2

HF $($ M062X/6-31+G(d,p) $)=-362.6872371$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.121432$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.089606$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.388959 | -0.144738 | -0.000005 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -1.289247 | 1.241453 | 0.000009 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.083412 | 1.572549 | 0.000000 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | 0.790787 | 0.379993 | -0.000020 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | -0.125513 | -0.644201 | -0.000021 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 0.146813 | -1.618146 | -0.000017 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | -2.127298 | 1.923694 | 0.000026 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 0.520001 | 2.561822 | -0.000006 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | -2.593252 | -1.028401 | 0.000009 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -2.616925 | -1.670573 | -0.886035 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -2.617038 | -1.670398 | 0.886175 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | -3.497466 | -0.417921 | -0.000107 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | 2.211180 | 0.096703 | 0.000004 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 2.875750 | 0.980476 | 0.000014 |
| 15 | 8 | 0 | 2.663903 | -1.035612 | 0.000014 |

## Aldehyde A3



HF $($ M062X/6-31+G(d,p) $)=-705.4955175$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.104736($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.070700$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.904114 | 0.406018 | 0.000078 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.180906 | 1.573719 | 0.000046 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.220542 | 1.346593 | -0.000070 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | 1.543305 | 0.011991 | -0.000086 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | -0.646691 | 2.553622 | 0.000110 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 1.968404 | 2.131347 | -0.000133 |
| 7 | 16 | 0 | 0.129805 | -0.982765 | -0.000004 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | 2.913383 | -0.593874 | 0.000039 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | 3.072886 | -1.218606 | -0.883578 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 3.664247 | 0.199244 | -0.001502 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 3.073792 | -1.215983 | 0.885357 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | -2.359849 | 0.283404 | 0.000007 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -2.896894 | 1.253285 | -0.000024 |
| 14 | 8 | 0 | -2.963349 | -0.768221 | -0.000030 |

## Enol A4



HF $($ M062X/6-31+G(d,p) $)=-346.5931717$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.131596$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.099574$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates | (Angstroms) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number | Number | Type | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ |


| 1 | 6 | 0 | 1.583220 | -0.291727 | -0.000109 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 1.439401 | 1.168394 | 0.000162 |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 2.284272 | 1.847830 | 0.000309 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | 0.138321 | 1.523998 | 0.000210 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | -0.240401 | 2.540783 | 0.000556 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -0.719189 | 0.343465 | -0.000336 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -2.055285 | 0.336594 | -0.000431 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -2.644403 | 1.250420 | -0.000299 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 2.732576 | -0.977473 | -0.000353 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 2.745972 | -2.062922 | -0.000708 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 3.689954 | -0.465487 | -0.000488 |
| 12 | 8 | 0 | -2.740394 | -0.846393 | 0.002435 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -3.688099 | -0.685655 | -0.014639 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | 0.177203 | -0.877822 | 0.000056 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | -0.000924 | -1.503524 | -0.881060 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -0.000702 | -1.502873 | 0.881646 |

## Enol A5



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-382.4882319 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.107953$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.076513$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 1.472947 | -0.310612 | 0.000145 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 1.470349 | 1.150388 | -0.000030 |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 2.367223 | 1.753900 | 0.000023 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | 0.190456 | 1.564747 | -0.000096 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | -0.182181 | 2.580352 | -0.000005 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -0.652523 | 0.383111 | 0.000329 |
| 7 | 8 | 0 | 0.157017 | -0.727622 | 0.000465 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | -1.989768 | 0.295686 | -0.000219 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | -2.589699 | 1.199198 | -0.000565 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | 2.487729 | -1.181719 | -0.000218 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 2.304721 | -2.248351 | -0.000307 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 3.506223 | -0.816782 | -0.000947 |
| 13 | 8 | 0 | -2.620485 | -0.909123 | -0.000267 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | -3.573680 | -0.783965 | 0.000745 |



HF $($ M062X/6-31+G(d,p) $)=-362.6370567$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.119909($ Hartree/Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.087709$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.503442 | -0.316760 | 0.000030 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -1.458566 | 1.152729 | 0.000066 |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | -2.346119 | 1.771075 | 0.000128 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | -0.175706 | 1.562136 | 0.000020 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 0.192039 | 2.579752 | 0.000036 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 0.687264 | 0.385075 | -0.000082 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 2.028144 | 0.325937 | -0.000062 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.646147 | 1.215280 | -0.000007 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | -2.589984 | -1.111325 | 0.000050 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -2.509377 | -2.191917 | 0.000008 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -3.578034 | -0.670466 | 0.000122 |
| 12 | 8 | 0 | 2.637654 | -0.904564 | 0.000145 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 3.593640 | -0.806371 | -0.001082 |
| 14 | 7 | 0 | -0.168551 | -0.711780 | -0.000055 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 0.154075 | -1.665137 | -0.000119 |



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) = -705.4574365 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.104529($ Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.071959$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Number | Number | Type | x | Y | z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.674854 | -0.112802 | 0.000076 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -1.373476 | 1.319149 | 0.000137 |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | -2.174042 | 2.050523 | 0.000240 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | -0.061874 | 1.613624 | 0.000068 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 0.346517 | 2.618870 | 0.000107 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 0.809543 | 0.448104 | -0.000078 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 2.149281 | 0.467685 | -0.000068 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.700532 | 1.404107 | -0.000018 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | -2.894566 | -0.670051 | 0.000122 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -3.039977 | -1.744046 | 0.000063 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -3.776512 | -0.038367 | 0.000224 |
| 12 | 8 | 0 | 2.859943 | -0.691066 | 0.000051 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 3.804831 | -0.512421 | -0.000865 |
| 14 | 16 | 0 | -0.154076 | -1.040274 | -0.000106 |

## Aldehyde A8



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-346.6127503 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.130759($ Hartree/Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.098492$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.830027 | 0.295577 | -0.000011 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.159055 | 1.474461 | -0.000091 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.275807 | 1.216431 | -0.000142 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | 1.489414 | -0.120877 | -0.000064 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | -0.616249 | 2.459337 | -0.000163 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 2.045007 | 1.980624 | -0.000259 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 2.798092 | -0.841239 | 0.000002 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.887947 | -1.487244 | -0.880251 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | 3.635476 | -0.140054 | -0.000817 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 2.888565 | -1.485870 | 0.881209 |
| 11 | 6 | 0 | -2.279187 | 0.140251 | -0.000018 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | -2.856745 | 1.087657 | 0.000009 |
| 13 | 8 | 0 | -2.850382 | -0.933219 | 0.000156 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | 0.161350 | -0.829900 | 0.000102 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 0.040310 | -1.478554 | -0.877663 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 0.040379 | -1.478373 | 0.878011 |



HF $(\mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}))=-519.028205$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.228589$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.191270$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 2.071803 | -1.678665 | 0.004074 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 3.184241 | -0.819227 | -0.030374 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.950806 | -0.867405 | 0.026282 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | -0.408699 | -1.239534 | 0.054838 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | 2.686100 | 0.466379 | -0.029158 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 3.336769 | 1.796960 | -0.058705 |
| 7 | 7 | 0 | -1.452144 | -0.466013 | 0.073777 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | -1.443953 | 1.019389 | 0.063279 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | -2.842598 | -0.991811 | 0.079932 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | -2.919511 | 1.387633 | 0.230088 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -0.795916 | 1.386907 | 0.860248 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | -3.660028 | 0.202663 | -0.399668 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -2.903403 | -1.874993 | -0.558052 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | -3.169167 | 1.470108 | 1.292257 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | -4.702076 | 0.133023 | -0.085526 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -1.037387 | 1.348507 | -0.898293 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -3.150762 | 2.340271 | -0.247802 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | -3.631665 | 0.264958 | -1.491982 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -0.599647 | -2.311252 | 0.057511 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | 2.072254 | -2.760433 | 0.012738 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | 4.228939 | -1.091055 | -0.053606 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | 3.059039 | 2.378063 | 0.825286 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -3.100152 | -1.265023 | 1.108880 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | 4.419962 | 1.679037 | -0.078654 |
| 25 | 8 | 0 | 1.340123 | 0.443781 | 0.005360 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | 3.024424 | 2.355435 | -0.945855 |

## Iminium A10



HF $($ M062X/6-31+G(d,p) $)=-499.1821833$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.241203$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.202793$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 1.996639 | -1.668470 | 0.027647 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 3.172804 | -0.930468 | -0.010649 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.915231 | -0.766556 | 0.026176 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | -0.432047 | -1.151890 | 0.050023 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | 2.819682 | 0.425304 | -0.039192 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 3.687395 | 1.636511 | -0.068385 |
| 7 | 7 | 0 | -1.516532 | -0.421401 | 0.068411 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | -1.567167 | 1.053771 | 0.082810 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | -2.881402 | -1.005074 | 0.064175 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | -3.058864 | 1.369223 | 0.238010 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -0.966175 | 1.434694 | 0.914624 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | -3.746083 | 0.159803 | -0.405841 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -2.905873 | -1.878895 | -0.588889 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | -3.317224 | 1.432134 | 1.299134 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | -4.785746 | 0.047346 | -0.096183 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -1.172792 | 1.427985 | -0.870211 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -3.322879 | 2.316372 | -0.233682 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | -3.716760 | 0.234818 | -1.497326 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -0.597018 | -2.227882 | 0.051474 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | 1.899207 | -2.745760 | 0.055098 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | 4.185037 | -1.306966 | -0.019788 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | 3.712983 | 2.117006 | 0.914987 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -3.136363 | -1.306299 | 1.086108 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | 4.706845 | 1.356759 | -0.334227 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | 3.328477 | 2.366414 | -0.799114 |
| 26 | 7 | 0 | 1.471294 | 0.509456 | -0.014389 |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | 0.967823 | 1.382965 | -0.048808 |

## Iminium A11



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-841.9915834 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.225272$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.186669$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.720310 | 1.720016 | 0.020273 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -2.995287 | 1.139557 | -0.020358 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -0.690694 | 0.782140 | 0.036786 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | 0.669088 | 1.177416 | 0.061959 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | -2.956852 | -0.242082 | -0.037188 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -4.116709 | -1.185038 | -0.063100 |
| 7 | 7 | 0 | 1.742356 | 0.444838 | 0.079098 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | 1.791852 | -1.035784 | 0.073044 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 3.112215 | 1.022841 | 0.079989 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | 3.283625 | -1.352559 | 0.214134 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 1.184190 | -1.426914 | 0.893287 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 3.969756 | -0.135808 | -0.416371 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 3.135135 | 1.912600 | -0.550918 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 3.550490 | -1.433813 | 1.271986 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 5.011824 | -0.028657 | -0.112955 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 1.382776 | -1.389160 | -0.880286 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 3.541294 | -2.292605 | -0.274737 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 3.931750 | -0.192626 | -1.508670 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | 0.841218 | 2.253715 | 0.063738 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | -1.536596 | 2.789280 | 0.036413 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -3.923091 | 1.697979 | -0.038656 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -4.217720 | -1.699675 | 0.897187 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | 3.367293 | 1.296920 | 1.109261 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -5.036174 | -0.629560 | -0.253372 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | -3.999595 | -1.941921 | -0.842529 |
| 26 | 16 | 0 | -1.344337 | -0.835852 | -0.003653 |

## Trienamine A12



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) $=-482.7037554$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.238156$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.200257$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 2.986169 | 0.525401 | 0.090684 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 3.224370 | -0.890424 | -0.193906 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 2.053807 | -1.560554 | -0.274431 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | 0.914644 | -0.680760 | -0.058783 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 4.213500 | -1.317128 | -0.313847 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 1.949128 | -2.623620 | -0.469634 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -0.364344 | -1.113596 | 0.005601 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -0.551416 | -2.184647 | -0.079402 |
| 9 | 7 | 0 | -1.496680 | -0.356546 | 0.197985 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | 3.910472 | 1.483933 | 0.237675 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 4.968826 | 1.258491 | 0.150892 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 3.634737 | 2.512362 | 0.450269 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | -2.799636 | -1.009066 | 0.279490 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | -2.840244 | -1.679950 | 1.143176 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | -3.011532 | -1.600813 | -0.627711 |
| 16 | 6 | 0 | -3.763206 | 0.168656 | 0.390808 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -3.774771 | 0.540132 | 1.420930 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | -4.784531 | -0.093033 | 0.105329 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -3.120024 | 1.201026 | -0.542189 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | -3.449570 | 2.224287 | -0.348606 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -3.364983 | 0.958284 | -1.581625 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | -1.613387 | 1.015036 | -0.305882 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -1.036162 | 1.127396 | -1.231953 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -1.225987 | 1.736727 | 0.423880 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | 1.475178 | 0.711776 | 0.191346 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | 1.191715 | 1.074725 | 1.186454 |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | 1.133799 | 1.454035 | -0.536530 |

## Fulvene trienamine A12'



HF $(\mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}))=-482.720686$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.238397$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.200207$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 2.868597 | 0.454201 | 0.031474 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 3.160927 | -0.968990 | -0.018590 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.985378 | -1.658380 | -0.047083 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | 0.891804 | -0.698183 | -0.022925 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 4.158497 | -1.393450 | -0.029803 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 1.854234 | -2.733793 | -0.086343 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -0.413795 | -1.120447 | -0.038348 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -0.585430 | -2.196641 | -0.048984 |
| 9 | 7 | 0 | -1.546153 | -0.399018 | -0.039632 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | -2.878625 | -1.005029 | -0.034747 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -2.911636 | -1.850111 | 0.658871 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | -3.142797 | -1.367444 | -1.038604 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | -3.780342 | 0.155887 | 0.382432 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | -3.772120 | 0.257793 | 1.472808 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | -4.813559 | 0.022393 | 0.055274 |
| 16 | 6 | 0 | -3.089642 | 1.361604 | -0.266138 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -3.379903 | 2.315918 | 0.177781 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | -3.328913 | 1.396747 | -1.334295 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -1.599233 | 1.059706 | -0.076607 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | -0.978014 | 1.437989 | -0.895308 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -1.209761 | 1.470557 | 0.864811 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | 1.510593 | 0.620644 | 0.027420 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | 1.006356 | 1.576379 | 0.073724 |
| 24 | 6 | 0 | 3.906864 | 1.533666 | 0.082882 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | 3.443436 | 2.522744 | 0.135042 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | 4.556985 | 1.418007 | 0.957441 |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | 4.550523 | 1.507961 | -0.803617 |

## Trieamine A13



HF $($ M062X/6-31+G(d,p) $)=-518.6058491$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.213928$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.175216$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 2.745192 | 0.599225 | 0.040828 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 3.244851 | -0.767393 | -0.035214 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 2.180404 | -1.597980 | -0.073379 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | 0.984532 | -0.797959 | -0.023546 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 4.294025 | -1.025899 | -0.054817 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 2.185420 | -2.678734 | -0.130920 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -0.308772 | -1.201441 | -0.026831 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -0.476739 | -2.274060 | -0.063818 |
| 9 | 7 | 0 | -1.434911 | -0.439954 | 0.025448 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | 3.391627 | 1.773288 | 0.099996 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 4.473192 | 1.788434 | 0.094450 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 2.848655 | 2.707707 | 0.154995 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | -2.766022 | -1.033351 | -0.003701 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | -2.841491 | -1.852471 | 0.719833 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | -3.003213 | -1.433705 | -1.002591 |
| 16 | 6 | 0 | -3.672495 | 0.147999 | 0.339848 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -3.704936 | 0.284655 | 1.426068 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | -4.693889 | 0.013111 | -0.022768 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -2.944664 | 1.325690 | -0.319117 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | -3.245953 | 2.296659 | 0.079918 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -3.142050 | 1.325127 | -1.396667 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | -1.464806 | 1.021085 | -0.061154 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -0.811203 | 1.375387 | -0.863251 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -1.109913 | 1.466989 | 0.876919 |
| 25 | 8 | 0 | 1.366674 | 0.533938 | 0.047267 |

## Trienamine A14



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-498.7478339 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.226391($ Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.188996$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 2.829793 | 0.569448 | 0.106917 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 3.211752 | -0.784762 | -0.307677 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 2.107037 | -1.555518 | -0.376476 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | 0.945933 | -0.751857 | -0.027353 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 1.431912 | 0.547781 | 0.184725 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 0.933279 | 1.196431 | 0.774274 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 4.233420 | -1.077107 | -0.509965 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.050578 | -2.600391 | -0.653932 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | -0.344221 | -1.147847 | 0.055164 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -0.573822 | -2.205030 | -0.063971 |
| 11 | 7 | 0 | -1.413410 | -0.299447 | 0.310760 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 3.632216 | 1.620059 | 0.364573 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.705196 | 1.513046 | 0.273203 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 3.236071 | 2.582317 | 0.667397 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | -2.721337 | -0.909461 | 0.543053 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -2.767170 | -1.361328 | 1.538982 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -2.924096 | -1.699689 | -0.201002 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | -3.695431 | 0.252046 | 0.366099 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -3.725768 | 0.856623 | 1.279097 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | -4.710458 | -0.081866 | 0.139434 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | -3.045561 | 1.042091 | -0.774043 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -3.403518 | 2.071489 | -0.850872 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -3.242905 | 0.540431 | -1.727833 |
| 24 | 6 | 0 | -1.550400 | 0.960462 | -0.444271 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | -0.916551 | 0.939488 | -1.336890 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -1.242464 | 1.819290 | 0.167771 |

## Fulvene trienamine A14 ${ }^{\prime}$



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) $=-498.7768887$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.227128$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.189429$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 2.692671 | 0.481895 | 0.026678 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 3.178172 | -0.880804 | 0.015161 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 2.066997 | -1.677579 | -0.016139 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | 0.942446 | -0.777379 | -0.024065 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 4.216558 | -1.187257 | 0.029303 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 2.017508 | -2.759682 | -0.033153 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -0.374391 | -1.184693 | -0.050228 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -0.562355 | -2.257509 | -0.061540 |
| 9 | 7 | 0 | -1.474110 | -0.431614 | -0.059064 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | -2.825811 | -0.998428 | -0.068463 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -2.882023 | -1.861841 | 0.600558 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | -3.095563 | -1.323777 | -1.082837 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | -3.695179 | 0.176488 | 0.376632 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | -3.685494 | 0.251199 | 1.469281 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | -4.731096 | 0.077308 | 0.045774 |
| 16 | 6 | 0 | -2.968279 | 1.376841 | -0.241176 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -3.237668 | 2.327830 | 0.222601 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | -3.200938 | 1.442503 | -1.309756 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -1.488001 | 1.037726 | -0.046131 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | -0.827573 | 1.425675 | -0.823678 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -1.093341 | 1.394171 | 0.912262 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | 3.546325 | 1.711766 | 0.062109 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | 4.179548 | 1.725047 | 0.955578 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | 4.209354 | 1.754718 | -0.808615 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | 2.913381 | 2.600901 | 0.066281 |
| 26 | 7 | 0 | 1.378396 | 0.545287 | 0.003590 |

## Trienamine A15



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) $=-841.5716629$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.210916$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.171406$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -3.055180 | -0.346298 | 0.026575 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -3.062135 | 1.102553 | -0.150453 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -1.838690 | 1.667574 | -0.180820 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | -0.728078 | 0.749543 | -0.036290 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | -3.997682 | 1.641832 | -0.245034 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | -1.660578 | 2.731652 | -0.303701 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 0.567522 | 1.153284 | -0.002579 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 0.740098 | 2.227447 | -0.059326 |
| 9 | 7 | 0 | 1.704859 | 0.414634 | 0.112913 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | -4.119293 | -1.163239 | 0.090603 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -5.118806 | -0.750368 | 0.007663 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | -4.020571 | -2.234036 | 0.224608 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | 3.016371 | 1.056607 | 0.154104 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 3.068547 | 1.778395 | 0.975568 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 3.225683 | 1.588679 | -0.787915 |
| 16 | 6 | 0 | 3.969654 | -0.122597 | 0.336902 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 4.014637 | -0.401804 | 1.394943 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 4.982564 | 0.100327 | -0.005192 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | 3.284907 | -1.228782 | -0.473454 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | 3.625552 | -2.232471 | -0.210712 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | 3.471005 | -1.073842 | -1.541617 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | 1.796487 | -1.019756 | -0.170152 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | 1.156534 | -1.283277 | -1.018283 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | 1.476445 | -1.610291 | 0.697772 |
| 25 | 16 | 0 | -1.367927 | -0.904876 | 0.157139 |

## Iminium A16



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) = -483.1133476 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.251329($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.213321$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.886537 | -1.632074 | -0.005783 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -3.159509 | -0.975359 | 0.040865 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -0.865688 | -0.707269 | -0.044241 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | 0.482677 | -1.130099 | -0.070862 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | -2.963655 | 0.373338 | 0.031017 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -3.997190 | 1.443894 | 0.064806 |
| 7 | 7 | 0 | 1.567462 | -0.407366 | -0.075299 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | 1.632738 | 1.071582 | -0.035825 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 2.929699 | -0.999671 | -0.086535 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | 3.122754 | 1.374434 | -0.211741 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 1.006168 | 1.492960 | -0.824010 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 3.807807 | 0.148021 | 0.400483 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 2.949204 | -1.888255 | 0.546478 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 3.366094 | 1.453901 | -1.275577 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 4.843713 | 0.032365 | 0.079673 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 1.261928 | 1.406915 | 0.938833 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 3.403342 | 2.310643 | 0.272180 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 3.788641 | 0.200822 | 1.493555 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | 0.651129 | -2.206974 | -0.082626 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | -1.745815 | -2.709167 | -0.007318 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -4.118064 | -1.477421 | 0.079215 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -3.942072 | 2.060843 | -0.838755 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | 3.175415 | -1.280860 | -1.116285 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -5.000507 | 1.022370 | 0.136176 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | -3.835386 | 2.110297 | 0.918710 |
| 26 | 6 | 0 | -1.491390 | 0.666817 | -0.030379 |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | -1.264441 | 1.247653 | -0.933811 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -1.181829 | 1.273787 | 0.829821 |



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) = -459.8920817 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.142254($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.107009$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 0.156592 | 0.332642 | 0.000118 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -1.124296 | -0.179309 | -0.000104 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -1.618166 | -1.550818 | -0.000173 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -0.825187 | -2.322712 | -0.000582 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | 1.401428 | -0.432246 | 0.000331 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.623805 | 0.112161 | -0.000054 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 3.899091 | -0.671982 | -0.000090 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 4.505492 | -0.429055 | 0.878932 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | 3.703921 | -1.747239 | 0.001843 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 1.312386 | -1.517116 | 0.000871 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 2.720925 | 1.197655 | -0.000481 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | -1.349960 | 1.981493 | 0.000067 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -1.942345 | 2.883819 | 0.000063 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | -0.008109 | 1.757558 | 0.000055 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 0.763585 | 2.512637 | 0.000161 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 4.503512 | -0.431944 | -0.881302 |
| 17 | 8 | 0 | -2.036948 | 0.826516 | -0.000184 |
| 18 | 8 | 0 | -2.791127 | -1.856896 | 0.000133 |

## Aldehyde B2



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-440.0542266 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.155004$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.119651$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 0.170557 | 0.344118 | 0.000294 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -1.113486 | -0.207302 | 0.000152 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -1.593894 | -1.574674 | 0.000029 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -0.825434 | -2.368153 | 0.000292 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | 1.420573 | -0.414254 | 0.000492 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.645751 | 0.123997 | -0.000261 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 3.917927 | -0.666789 | -0.000212 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 4.526751 | -0.429396 | 0.878934 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | 3.716092 | -1.741149 | 0.001731 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 1.332808 | -1.500150 | 0.001360 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 2.747641 | 1.209094 | -0.001064 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | -1.360946 | 2.008493 | -0.000144 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -1.894774 | 2.947372 | -0.000345 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | -0.002751 | 1.754788 | 0.000230 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 0.773333 | 2.506068 | 0.000388 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 4.524921 | -0.432292 | -0.881427 |
| 17 | 8 | 0 | -2.781778 | -1.853912 | -0.000277 |
| 18 | 7 | 0 | -2.018887 | 0.823082 | -0.000165 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -3.017293 | 0.668069 | 0.000032 |

## Aldehyde B3



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) = -782.8629443 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.138668($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.102485$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | x | Y | z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.346814 | -0.274220 | -0.068014 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.863826 | 0.401062 | -0.025519 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.101979 | 1.842626 | -0.000699 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.199003 | 2.479863 | -0.021404 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | -1.659162 | 0.379713 | -0.125736 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -2.827082 | -0.232556 | 0.104243 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -4.161055 | 0.443817 | 0.035167 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -4.683485 | 0.373690 | 0.995173 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | -4.057680 | 1.500216 | -0.225293 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -1.674469 | 1.439573 | -0.371163 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -2.833113 | -1.289184 | 0.370055 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 1.176432 | -2.033636 | -0.001317 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 1.591211 | -3.032799 | 0.008566 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | -0.144064 | -1.690428 | -0.056519 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | -0.943562 | -2.418934 | -0.109864 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -4.802421 | -0.034604 | -0.712885 |
| 17 | 8 | 0 | 2.207938 | 2.342223 | 0.044619 |
| 18 | 16 | 0 | 2.219791 | -0.673368 | 0.033764 |

## Enol B4



HF $(\mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}))=-423.962005$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.165626($ Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.130196$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.338758 | 0.264310 | 0.000026 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 1.076592 | -0.165825 | -0.000039 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.534391 | -1.419239 | 0.000128 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.882328 | -2.289208 | 0.000318 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | -1.438569 | -0.523758 | -0.000098 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -2.810125 | -0.048347 | 0.000043 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -3.881241 | -0.855807 | -0.000064 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -4.890588 | -0.460108 | 0.000061 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | -3.770003 | -1.937185 | -0.000278 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -1.312150 | -1.606048 | -0.000320 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -2.967748 | 1.028445 | 0.000260 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 0.965582 | 2.185159 | 0.000026 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 1.254164 | 3.230646 | 0.000034 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | -0.298314 | 1.735944 | 0.000162 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | -1.180390 | 2.364792 | 0.000314 |
| 16 | 6 | 0 | 1.967252 | 1.059445 | -0.000187 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.623661 | 1.087570 | -0.879163 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 2.624001 | 1.087530 | 0.878536 |
| 19 | 8 | 0 | 2.878252 | -1.661293 | -0.000064 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | 3.049848 | -2.607385 | 0.000770 |

## Enol B5



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) $=-459.8540095$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.141517$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.106321$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.350825 | 0.264652 | 0.000063 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 1.063419 | -0.144250 | 0.000032 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.621940 | -1.360345 | 0.000087 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.999224 | -2.248373 | 0.000162 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | -1.442305 | -0.538923 | 0.000035 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -2.809674 | -0.060985 | -0.000030 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -3.887598 | -0.860485 | -0.000070 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -4.893381 | -0.456151 | -0.000167 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | -3.785325 | -1.942601 | -0.000017 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -1.305317 | -1.619116 | 0.000057 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -2.958075 | 1.017615 | -0.000095 |
| 12 | 8 | 0 | 1.865207 | 0.986859 | -0.000010 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | 1.023095 | 2.057127 | -0.000093 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 1.513580 | 3.020366 | -0.000086 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | -0.277143 | 1.725747 | 0.000126 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -1.105074 | 2.417643 | 0.000304 |
| 17 | 8 | 0 | 2.971270 | -1.516077 | -0.000282 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 3.197087 | -2.450868 | 0.001281 |



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-440.0033034 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.152735$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.116901$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.356701 | 0.274819 | 0.000033 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 1.056893 | -0.169372 | 0.000443 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.584701 | -1.402207 | -0.000013 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.982242 | -2.302049 | -0.000626 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | -1.449809 | -0.531306 | 0.000238 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -2.816112 | -0.055424 | -0.000043 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -3.896480 | -0.853342 | -0.000101 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -4.901644 | -0.447312 | -0.000387 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | -3.796151 | -1.935738 | 0.000098 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -1.311820 | -1.611526 | 0.000572 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -2.963693 | 1.023366 | -0.000291 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 1.018638 | 2.093959 | -0.000152 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 1.447794 | 3.087094 | -0.000358 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | -0.287204 | 1.735112 | -0.000254 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | -1.124588 | 2.415147 | -0.000395 |
| 16 | 8 | 0 | 2.951331 | -1.529565 | -0.001222 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 3.203512 | -2.457111 | 0.005278 |
| 18 | 7 | 0 | 1.840591 | 0.989771 | 0.000898 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | 2.846008 | 0.982824 | -0.001299 |



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-782.8244225 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.138690($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.102729$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 0.530561 | -0.211753 | -0.032191 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.822248 | 0.384908 | 0.001438 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -1.142555 | 1.682648 | -0.077888 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -0.389028 | 2.456814 | -0.190392 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | 1.689649 | 0.491100 | 0.040353 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 3.021498 | -0.078152 | -0.020263 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 4.145852 | 0.649812 | 0.062597 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 5.125339 | 0.187824 | 0.012848 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | 4.109784 | 1.729618 | 0.182174 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 1.643536 | 1.572363 | 0.160605 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 3.106360 | -1.156189 | -0.140268 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | -0.862469 | -2.109015 | -0.042083 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -1.179739 | -3.144231 | -0.054998 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | 0.404393 | -1.666396 | -0.108656 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 1.253870 | -2.333442 | -0.179637 |
| 16 | 8 | 0 | -2.442206 | 2.074794 | -0.011325 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -2.525648 | 3.015794 | -0.192310 |
| 18 | 16 | 0 | -2.087182 | -0.861614 | 0.097046 |



HF $(\mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}))=-423.9821478$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.165110($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.129708$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.133036 | 0.304327 | -0.000013 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 1.134397 | -0.213557 | -0.000130 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.520053 | -1.617991 | -0.000032 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.699936 | -2.361707 | -0.000108 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | -1.388875 | -0.440435 | 0.000014 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -2.604974 | 0.123106 | 0.000029 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -3.890813 | -0.642730 | -0.000027 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -4.492409 | -0.391292 | -0.880104 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | -3.712179 | -1.720735 | 0.000317 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -1.322556 | -1.526616 | 0.000017 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -2.690278 | 1.208923 | 0.000057 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 1.276827 | 2.122981 | 0.000026 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 1.662900 | 3.135474 | 0.000025 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | -0.022682 | 1.772252 | 0.000106 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | -0.863245 | 2.455336 | 0.000226 |
| 16 | 6 | 0 | 2.139477 | 0.898664 | -0.000112 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.798702 | 0.850007 | -0.876785 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 2.798726 | 0.849840 | 0.876538 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -4.492823 | -0.390780 | 0.879612 |
| 20 | 8 | 0 | 2.678874 | -1.993520 | 0.000130 |

## Iminium B9



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) = -596.3955132Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.262114($ Hartree/Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.220898$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.767091 | 0.456765 | 0.001594 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.369878 | 0.497308 | 0.012797 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.547701 | -0.566714 | 0.037760 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.117143 | -1.565920 | 0.036943 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 1.848244 | -0.508273 | 0.055056 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.703466 | -1.724094 | 0.048657 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 2.276199 | -2.470158 | -0.623574 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.738157 | -2.126052 | 1.067099 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.063769 | -1.185160 | -0.383141 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.106791 | -1.099269 | -1.473419 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 4.878321 | -1.832761 | -0.056700 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.096202 | 0.200080 | 0.270102 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.837956 | 0.866227 | -0.171957 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.313208 | 0.109886 | 1.338909 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.675307 | 0.725484 | 0.065657 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.327688 | 1.393829 | 0.854162 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.550528 | 1.226623 | -0.899420 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | -2.603004 | -0.729608 | 0.043893 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -3.943392 | -0.693308 | -0.019969 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -4.826074 | -1.894202 | 0.024414 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -5.443628 | -1.944686 | -0.878302 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -4.251653 | -2.818637 | 0.110616 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -2.110727 | -1.695563 | 0.135116 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -4.442737 | 0.270897 | -0.111794 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | -1.033801 | 2.567152 | -0.072601 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -0.861297 | 3.633093 | -0.111323 |


| 27 | 6 | 0 | -2.174581 | 1.821863 | -0.053799 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -3.181258 | 2.210601 | -0.073046 |
| 29 | 1 | 0 | -5.515101 | -1.826764 | 0.872843 |
| 30 | 8 | 0 | 0.063870 | 1.796646 | -0.031717 |



HF $(\mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}))=-576.5496683$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.275235$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.234419$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.778452 | 0.496202 | 0.043162 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.360193 | 0.610650 | 0.009567 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.533384 | -0.465978 | 0.012017 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.074008 | -1.451744 | -0.005576 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 1.843218 | -0.473223 | 0.024678 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.636290 | -1.728588 | -0.010822 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 2.196220 | -2.422490 | -0.729016 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.622478 | -2.179653 | 0.987558 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.033451 | -1.242039 | -0.384665 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.114336 | -1.123409 | -1.469718 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 4.807651 | -1.936456 | -0.056259 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.115499 | 0.118743 | 0.314865 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.897180 | 0.764373 | -0.086969 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.298461 | -0.014760 | 1.385210 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.720681 | 0.710802 | 0.095855 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.401271 | 1.356913 | 0.919241 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.662293 | 1.253061 | -0.856490 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | -2.534708 | -0.745728 | 0.141124 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -3.854294 | -0.832774 | -0.082415 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -4.651104 | -2.090237 | 0.025967 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -5.147303 | -2.312452 | -0.924298 |


| 22 | 1 | 0 | -4.027996 | -2.942501 | 0.305057 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -1.998400 | -1.651081 | 0.417971 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -4.402327 | 0.064570 | -0.368923 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | -1.212382 | 2.673713 | -0.093938 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -1.199752 | 3.752988 | -0.156469 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -2.288823 | 1.805059 | -0.018979 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -3.326287 | 2.101851 | 0.010389 |
| 29 | 7 | 0 | -5.441494 | -1.975098 | 0.774979 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | -0.063075 | 1.969610 | -0.069076 |
| 31 | 0 | 0.852561 | 2.382215 | -0.146331 |  |



HF $($ M062X/6-31+G(d,p) $)=-919.3607663$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.258647$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.217046$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.762529 | 0.325871 | 0.097154 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.362399 | 0.482490 | 0.069375 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.525181 | -0.617074 | 0.047949 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.060778 | -1.601353 | 0.015090 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 1.827730 | -0.633629 | 0.044344 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.608753 | -1.898288 | -0.022249 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 2.141439 | -2.581621 | -0.733093 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.611871 | -2.352525 | 0.974547 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.002220 | -1.423292 | -0.422398 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.059513 | -1.291025 | -1.507319 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 4.773986 | -2.132585 | -0.121044 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.114400 | -0.073643 | 0.293345 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.895931 | 0.567676 | -0.115426 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.316714 | -0.222908 | 1.358200 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.725948 | 0.541229 | 0.105548 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.421669 | 1.194211 | 0.927459 |


| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.646778 | 1.088526 | -0.840788 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | -2.459654 | -0.951221 | 0.235010 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -3.727384 | -1.149250 | -0.159370 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -4.467947 | -2.435907 | -0.009555 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -4.798925 | -2.803122 | -0.986321 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -3.856240 | -3.204166 | 0.467855 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -1.927002 | -1.780202 | 0.695661 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -4.268724 | -0.331192 | -0.634229 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | -1.550305 | 2.634189 | -0.097167 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -1.800906 | 3.685342 | -0.166173 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -2.418945 | 1.581290 | 0.002836 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -3.493236 | 1.706542 | 0.042960 |
| 29 | 1 | 0 | -5.370940 | -2.283670 | 0.591047 |
| 30 | 16 | 0 | 0.089321 | 2.167444 | -0.070106 |

## Trienamine B12



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-560.0733681 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.271641($ Hartree/Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.230718$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.844520 | 0.325597 | -0.027217 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.384984 | 0.529063 | -0.058253 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.513622 | -0.460181 | -0.257109 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.150336 | -1.471389 | -0.447774 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 1.879807 | -0.338735 | -0.278135 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.712463 | -1.494572 | -0.604432 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 2.544915 | -1.813400 | -1.637772 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.485642 | -2.345316 | 0.059507 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.129508 | -0.980829 | -0.362055 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.468233 | -0.404485 | -1.229743 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 4.844229 | -1.787065 | -0.183152 |


| 12 | 6 | 0 | 3.939353 | -0.058403 | 0.846672 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.754011 | 0.656899 | 0.979887 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 3.861398 | -0.659028 | 1.759360 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.597354 | 0.627234 | 0.559192 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.025501 | 0.825854 | 1.473207 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.740584 | 1.580634 | 0.034743 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | -2.512780 | -0.842155 | 0.144514 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -3.954495 | -0.994414 | 0.100741 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -4.596189 | -2.159559 | 0.282093 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -5.677556 | -2.224829 | 0.237788 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -4.049259 | -3.078233 | 0.478606 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -1.942082 | -1.748912 | 0.341764 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -4.546117 | -0.102127 | -0.097087 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | -1.486858 | 2.608780 | -0.217529 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -1.666828 | 3.674870 | -0.304708 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -2.437628 | 1.663904 | -0.186653 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -3.502871 | 1.853790 | -0.242282 |
| 29 | 6 | 0 | -0.104400 | 2.022009 | -0.082396 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | 0.542653 | 2.295941 | -0.926624 |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | 0.385880 | 2.399097 | 0.825819 |

## Fulvene trienamine B12'



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-560.0894673 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.272227$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.232627$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.795741 | 0.569478 | 0.117825 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.336929 | 0.712185 | 0.067526 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.492483 | -0.382459 | 0.096032 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.022265 | -1.363529 | 0.148795 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 1.831082 | -0.444608 | 0.060162 |


| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.566638 | -1.711555 | 0.081065 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 2.078433 | -2.445710 | -0.565879 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.606695 | -2.116386 | 1.102150 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 3.958496 | -1.308369 | -0.402362 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 3.973413 | -1.266496 | -1.496576 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 4.733610 | -2.002997 | -0.072275 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.117621 | 0.100372 | 0.183151 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.889638 | 0.689904 | -0.315059 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.372934 | 0.034983 | 1.246151 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.721901 | 0.712049 | 0.020124 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.466379 | 1.412312 | 0.822162 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.608139 | 1.234091 | -0.939524 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | -2.525836 | -0.692119 | 0.257233 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -3.744172 | -0.933834 | -0.244963 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -4.510629 | -2.206380 | -0.041179 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -4.761658 | -2.676445 | -0.998742 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -3.934690 | -2.922641 | 0.552151 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -2.048170 | -1.489880 | 0.830228 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -4.222762 | -0.159312 | -0.844524 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | -1.262310 | 2.789185 | -0.100948 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -1.394539 | 3.860487 | -0.193786 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -2.331758 | 1.829158 | 0.023122 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -3.387865 | 2.066894 | 0.071237 |
| 29 | 6 | 0 | -0.061485 | 2.134645 | -0.071487 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | 0.908067 | 2.602495 | -0.157402 |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | -5.457140 | -2.019646 | 0.478915 |

## Trienamine B13



HF $($ M062X/6-31+G(d,p) $)=-595.9714135$ Hartrees Imaginary Frequencies: none found Zero-point correction $=0.247927($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$ Thermal correction $=0.206796$ Hartrees

## Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 1.865679 | 0.326327 | 0.003330 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.405487 | 0.417240 | -0.010400 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -0.517025 | -0.572835 | -0.020034 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -0.128063 | -1.587450 | -0.020391 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | -1.872309 | -0.484378 | -0.020135 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -2.722744 | -1.670594 | 0.014291 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | -2.356519 | -2.388546 | 0.755681 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -2.745244 | -2.169892 | -0.966794 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | -4.095207 | -1.098990 | 0.367440 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -4.174565 | -0.969978 | 1.452313 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -4.914936 | -1.739843 | 0.035520 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | -4.073739 | 0.268499 | -0.325257 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -4.818654 | 0.963760 | 0.067501 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | -4.256455 | 0.142972 | -1.398100 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | -2.640477 | 0.758531 | -0.095623 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -2.272944 | 1.392860 | -0.906557 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -2.546720 | 1.324151 | 0.840538 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | 2.637806 | -0.793134 | -0.048221 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | 4.082137 | -0.791347 | -0.001103 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | 4.852725 | -1.891467 | -0.055484 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | 5.934057 | -1.828115 | -0.013245 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | 4.414071 | -2.882072 | -0.143771 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | 2.154955 | -1.765665 | -0.129787 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | 4.571720 | 0.177748 | 0.088403 |
| 25 | 8 | 0 | 0.031441 | 1.766185 | 0.030357 |
| 26 | 6 | 0 | 1.184754 | 2.481773 | 0.088771 |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | 1.055982 | 3.554355 | 0.129784 |
| 28 | 6 | 0 | 2.292532 | 1.723508 | 0.081011 |
| 29 | 1 | 0 | 3.306382 | 2.091808 | 0.114660 |

Trienamine B14


HF $($ M062X/6-31+G(d,p) $)=-576.1149762$ Hartrees

Imaginary Frequencies: none found Zero-point correction $=0.260139$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.219492$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.857374 | 0.329637 | -0.069101 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.394237 | 0.471413 | -0.174996 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.540909 | -0.484268 | -0.367254 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.220975 | -1.506911 | -0.561141 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 1.905771 | -0.250295 | -0.374043 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.790119 | -1.346045 | -0.770950 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 2.758002 | -1.498136 | -1.854220 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.486219 | -2.288115 | -0.283660 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.161611 | -0.901258 | -0.268604 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.609212 | -0.195962 | -0.977415 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 4.850425 | -1.738276 | -0.135080 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 3.808419 | -0.187359 | 1.040031 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.599550 | 0.471970 | 1.404727 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 3.595367 | -0.929007 | 1.817740 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.526780 | 0.571898 | 0.681584 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 1.838440 | 0.682876 | 1.525516 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.765195 | 1.577550 | 0.308714 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | -2.547372 | -0.830942 | 0.094632 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -3.989503 | -0.922797 | 0.156479 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -4.674066 | -2.068547 | 0.313091 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -5.757423 | -2.080904 | 0.351560 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -4.161033 | -3.022500 | 0.404668 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -1.993463 | -1.762776 | 0.196688 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -4.548124 | 0.007819 | 0.065591 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | -1.311050 | 2.540073 | -0.175460 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -1.309558 | 3.621631 | -0.215535 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -2.367385 | 1.699652 | -0.124698 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -3.403651 | 1.998590 | -0.074287 |
| 29 | 7 | 0 | -0.102148 | 1.855207 | -0.107269 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | 0.703385 | 2.199012 | -0.613207 |

## Fulvene trienamine B14 ${ }^{\prime}$



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-576.1430189 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.260901($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.219145$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | x | Y | z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.789362 | 0.552208 | 0.096862 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.341784 | 0.632152 | 0.077758 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.504137 | -0.458528 | 0.124022 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.050605 | -1.446070 | 0.190651 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 1.833659 | -0.472131 | 0.089602 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.615041 | -1.712988 | 0.130982 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 2.131969 | -2.486253 | -0.472772 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.696542 | -2.075106 | 1.164979 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 3.978599 | -1.280416 | -0.404470 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 3.961237 | -1.272819 | -1.499510 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 4.783643 | -1.940981 | -0.076028 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.104460 | 0.150419 | 0.133226 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.846266 | 0.746292 | -0.402044 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.388768 | 0.126321 | 1.190923 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.690793 | 0.718239 | -0.017802 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.412950 | 1.449492 | 0.742992 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.522357 | 1.193380 | -0.990573 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | -2.592555 | -0.666063 | 0.196777 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -3.866376 | -0.781219 | -0.200290 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -4.691431 | -2.024430 | -0.060991 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -5.051206 | -2.372133 | -1.035724 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -4.115368 | -2.831574 | 0.400446 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -2.115742 | -1.544376 | 0.635521 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -4.348931 | 0.080063 | -0.662350 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | -1.027134 | 2.659903 | -0.076715 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -0.989100 | 3.741716 | -0.157631 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -2.213538 | 1.860388 | 0.000545 |


| 28 | 1 | 0 | -3.233669 | 2.221598 | 0.013549 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 7 | 0 | 0.082299 | 1.951277 | -0.032435 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | -5.577122 | -1.841564 | 0.557992 |

## Trienamine B15



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-918.9390192 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.245074$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.203768$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.844627 | 0.167030 | -0.029628 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.389359 | 0.382493 | -0.051990 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.526010 | -0.595573 | -0.269111 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.145198 | -1.590272 | -0.503503 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 1.883339 | -0.500346 | -0.256770 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.712168 | -1.645820 | -0.633664 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 2.551584 | -1.914156 | -1.682370 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.466974 | -2.521194 | -0.011944 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.133697 | -1.157112 | -0.357793 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.502131 | -0.583167 | -1.214835 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 4.828206 | -1.978773 | -0.170488 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 3.941733 | -0.234441 | 0.850376 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.772614 | 0.457444 | 1.004030 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 3.819960 | -0.832512 | 1.760095 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.631196 | 0.488791 | 0.524880 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.061174 | 0.764655 | 1.418452 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.815915 | 1.399486 | -0.059094 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | -2.451657 | -1.036818 | 0.167918 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -3.876687 | -1.278189 | 0.081076 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -4.454278 | -2.471978 | 0.295324 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -5.527436 | -2.604531 | 0.217090 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -3.861645 | -3.345837 | 0.553623 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -1.836144 | -1.897092 | 0.424366 |


| 24 | 1 | 0 | -4.514889 | -0.436010 | -0.180173 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | -1.699716 | 2.511015 | -0.195085 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -1.989306 | 3.552177 | -0.261421 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -2.524114 | 1.449401 | -0.201789 |

## Iminium B16



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-560.4863791 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.285357$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.243778$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.739530 | 0.453729 | -0.001317 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.353124 | 0.631638 | 0.039042 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.536041 | -0.456997 | 0.102536 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.100856 | -1.452320 | 0.162249 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 1.844733 | -0.456937 | 0.099348 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.638576 | -1.708606 | 0.171844 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 2.139315 | -2.494812 | -0.397342 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.713674 | -2.013614 | 1.221575 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 3.995921 | -1.290757 | -0.382248 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 3.977611 | -1.305774 | -1.476563 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 4.796095 | -1.949315 | -0.042291 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.137527 | 0.144339 | 0.136993 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.878942 | 0.728773 | -0.409083 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.420559 | 0.137971 | 1.193950 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.729424 | 0.723059 | -0.024641 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.479913 | 1.463064 | 0.737353 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.581340 | 1.162490 | -1.016865 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | -2.465457 | -0.799850 | -0.002483 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -3.808811 | -0.885411 | -0.017673 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -4.570793 | -2.165599 | -0.020972 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -5.215600 | -2.217840 | -0.904730 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -3.911064 | -3.035152 | -0.012679 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -1.900452 | -1.728344 | 0.006884 |


| 24 | 1 | 0 | -4.404100 | 0.025929 | -0.028017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | -1.411284 | 2.721100 | -0.045676 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -1.580467 | 3.791020 | -0.076453 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -2.364819 | 1.768662 | -0.054149 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -3.430666 | 1.947750 | -0.096404 |
| 29 | 6 | 0 | -0.050083 | 2.111690 | 0.017952 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | 0.556740 | 2.418214 | -0.843098 |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | 0.474775 | 2.452027 | 0.920113 |
| 32 | 1 | 0 | -5.232129 | -2.213497 | 0.850715 |



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-459.8997539 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.142096$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.106772$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.806022 | 0.402470 | -0.000384 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -1.945642 | -0.312131 | 0.000038 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -3.243033 | 0.371508 | -0.000186 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -3.189623 | 1.481947 | -0.000992 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | -1.959956 | -1.398791 | 0.000649 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | -0.889709 | 1.490258 | -0.000962 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 0.536826 | -0.140667 | -0.000173 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | 1.700695 | 0.587561 | -0.000012 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 0.939868 | -1.527476 | -0.000200 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | 2.291320 | -1.524967 | 0.000031 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 0.299992 | -2.397277 | -0.000370 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 3.038733 | -2.302341 | 0.000082 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | 2.003122 | 2.042417 | 0.000189 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 2.585516 | 2.314909 | -0.884710 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 1.081021 | 2.624798 | 0.000013 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.585031 | 2.314730 | 0.885463 |


| 17 | 8 | 0 | 2.764021 | -0.246351 | 0.000199 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 18 | 8 | 0 | -4.315747 | -0.193714 | 0.000427 |



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-440.0527351 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.154786($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.118401$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.806453 | 0.383111 | -0.000368 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -1.950248 | -0.329496 | 0.000082 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -3.241031 | 0.358683 | -0.000175 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -3.179161 | 1.469390 | -0.000982 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | -1.967351 | -1.415981 | 0.000729 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | -0.899120 | 1.470634 | -0.000955 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 0.538075 | -0.149235 | -0.000173 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | 1.703614 | 0.610340 | -0.000011 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 0.933147 | -1.528816 | -0.000153 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | 2.299178 | -1.563585 | 0.000034 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 0.278540 | -2.387971 | -0.000312 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 2.988368 | -2.393896 | 0.000033 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | 1.926543 | 2.087293 | 0.000198 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 2.484613 | 2.405978 | -0.886344 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 0.974723 | 2.619514 | -0.000020 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.484075 | 2.405785 | 0.887149 |
| 17 | 8 | 0 | -4.321519 | -0.195059 | 0.000373 |
| 18 | 7 | 0 | 2.752514 | -0.262048 | 0.000119 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | 3.722917 | 0.011588 | 0.000291 |

## Aldehyde C3



HF $(\mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}))=-782.864751$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.138356$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.102913$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.122570 | 0.413475 | -0.000143 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -2.237350 | -0.339552 | 0.000080 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -3.556431 | 0.302756 | -0.000062 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -3.540218 | 1.414076 | -0.000256 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | -2.223474 | -1.425818 | 0.000363 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | -1.255161 | 1.495157 | -0.000404 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 0.248157 | -0.077147 | -0.000081 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | 1.357798 | 0.744430 | 0.000001 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 0.604945 | -1.472177 | -0.000100 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | 1.946522 | -1.675468 | -0.000026 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -0.116368 | -2.280122 | -0.000195 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 2.481138 | -2.614697 | -0.000022 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | 1.439914 | 2.241439 | 0.000041 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 1.970802 | 2.605536 | -0.884429 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 0.445847 | 2.690125 | 0.000016 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 1.970726 | 2.605494 | 0.884574 |
| 17 | 8 | 0 | -4.609296 | -0.298304 | 0.000127 |
| 18 | 16 | 0 | 2.815948 | -0.183116 | 0.000068 |



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) = -423.9631305Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.165237($ Hartree/Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.129479$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.656707 | 0.529157 | -0.000313 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -1.904970 | -0.205457 | -0.000317 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -3.097111 | 0.413076 | 0.000091 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -3.192027 | 1.495006 | 0.000384 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | -1.873206 | -1.294198 | -0.000726 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | -0.740816 | 1.615523 | -0.000736 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 0.579237 | -0.015994 | 0.000049 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | 1.867806 | 0.726034 | -0.000080 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 0.926677 | -1.443364 | 0.000400 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | 2.256356 | -1.617983 | 0.000281 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 0.197518 | -2.245675 | 0.000832 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 2.761187 | -2.577652 | 0.000545 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | 2.043536 | 2.050239 | 0.000386 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 3.039075 | 2.483475 | 0.000369 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 1.206007 | 2.741264 | 0.000859 |
| 16 | 6 | 0 | 2.991926 | -0.302119 | -0.000504 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 3.636920 | -0.194995 | 0.880182 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 3.635635 | -0.195509 | -0.882217 |
| 19 | 8 | 0 | -4.312699 | -0.185443 | 0.000093 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | -4.209200 | -1.145234 | -0.000198 |



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) = -459.862049 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.141006$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.105386$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.645009 | 0.513107 | -0.000311 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -1.889148 | -0.220402 | -0.000467 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -3.079553 | 0.397602 | 0.000399 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -3.163770 | 1.483292 | 0.001584 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | -1.874770 | -1.307130 | -0.001285 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | -0.714583 | 1.600312 | -0.000105 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 0.585610 | -0.048214 | -0.000259 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | 1.872862 | 0.679530 | 0.000000 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 0.966719 | -1.459056 | -0.000134 |
| 10 | 8 | 0 | 2.891284 | -0.263280 | 0.000422 |
| 11 | 6 | 0 | 2.306368 | -1.498904 | 0.000336 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 0.308009 | -2.314029 | -0.000207 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 2.997658 | -2.329425 | 0.000655 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | 2.164720 | 1.982479 | -0.000216 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 3.194700 | 2.315261 | 0.000010 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 1.373638 | 2.721001 | -0.000711 |
| 17 | 8 | 0 | -4.243545 | -0.303973 | -0.000742 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | -4.998215 | 0.291887 | 0.006533 |



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) = -440.0059954 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.152594$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.117225$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.644083 | 0.509298 | -0.000447 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -1.887949 | -0.224226 | -0.000632 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -3.079773 | 0.391077 | 0.000796 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -3.166834 | 1.476558 | 0.002498 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | -1.872166 | -1.311002 | -0.002011 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | -0.715933 | 1.596332 | -0.000073 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 0.588202 | -0.052594 | -0.000392 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | 1.872976 | 0.702316 | -0.000040 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 0.954038 | -1.468290 | -0.000246 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | 2.302438 | -1.542486 | 0.000444 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 0.276967 | -2.308476 | -0.000384 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 2.936660 | -2.418586 | 0.000876 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | 2.093801 | 2.028942 | -0.000369 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 3.098982 | 2.434458 | -0.000048 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 1.267319 | 2.727208 | -0.001050 |
| 16 | 8 | 0 | -4.244667 | -0.313004 | -0.000929 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -4.998621 | 0.283447 | 0.007505 |
| 18 | 7 | 0 | 2.868435 | -0.279594 | 0.000626 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | 3.854014 | -0.082973 | 0.001054 |

## Enol C7



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-782.8262469 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.137839($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.101024$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.986210 | 0.518949 | -0.000517 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -2.208741 | -0.249442 | -0.001069 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -3.412937 | 0.342563 | 0.001037 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -3.521740 | 1.426144 | 0.003651 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | -2.174655 | -1.335101 | -0.003191 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | -1.101911 | 1.602027 | 0.000192 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 0.269851 | 0.009621 | -0.000595 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | 1.497249 | 0.848254 | -0.000215 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 0.623468 | -1.407149 | -0.000604 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | 1.945237 | -1.640982 | 0.000265 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -0.111655 | -2.202411 | -0.000881 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 2.431071 | -2.608284 | 0.000724 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | 1.594223 | 2.184578 | -0.000719 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 2.555691 | 2.684925 | -0.000362 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 0.708368 | 2.810237 | -0.001564 |
| 16 | 8 | 0 | -4.559402 | -0.384678 | -0.000762 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -5.328577 | 0.192524 | 0.008869 |
| 18 | 16 | 0 | 2.942862 | -0.195688 | 0.000822 |

## Aldehyde C8



HF $($ M062X/6-31+G(d,p) $)=-423.9812446$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.164541($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.128672$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.809016 | 0.423497 | -0.002025 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -1.935255 | -0.313468 | 0.000231 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -3.246646 | 0.344971 | -0.000648 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -3.215960 | 1.456065 | -0.003874 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | -1.932108 | -1.399933 | 0.003048 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | -0.917563 | 1.508658 | -0.004529 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 0.546942 | -0.095455 | -0.001192 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | 1.689378 | 0.644532 | -0.000237 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 0.908044 | -1.528387 | -0.000880 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | 2.244217 | -1.654121 | 0.000339 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 0.191576 | -2.341389 | -0.001835 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 2.806685 | -2.579291 | 0.000563 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | 1.858931 | 2.128941 | 0.000963 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 2.433405 | 2.452467 | -0.874265 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 0.905118 | 2.659341 | -0.008717 |
| 16 | 6 | 0 | 2.869788 | -0.288211 | 0.000843 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 3.507257 | -0.122816 | 0.880871 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 3.508437 | -0.122969 | -0.878363 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | 2.415857 | 2.453168 | 0.887303 |
| 20 | 8 | 0 | -4.307625 | -0.242137 | 0.001929 |

## Iminium C9



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-596.4039316 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.262326($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.221163$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.029896 | -0.553017 | 0.031467 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.200158 | 0.049768 | 0.040004 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.361853 | -0.757862 | 0.068274 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 1.245447 | -1.841659 | 0.081058 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 2.588481 | -0.321630 | 0.075936 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 3.782286 | -1.200811 | 0.081844 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 3.601432 | -2.072076 | -0.550068 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 3.966629 | -1.528914 | 1.110752 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.888849 | -0.273542 | -0.412557 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.872621 | -0.217486 | -1.505503 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 5.876197 | -0.615050 | -0.099745 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.496086 | 1.074416 | 0.203239 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.971657 | 1.924742 | -0.286613 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.763693 | 1.098937 | 1.263845 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.973928 | 1.108129 | 0.046074 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.461222 | 1.644850 | 0.847905 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.666812 | 1.525597 | -0.919345 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.290263 | 1.130622 | 0.020182 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -1.061948 | -1.642572 | 0.051452 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -2.281402 | 0.117197 | -0.000771 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | -3.516982 | -0.517815 | -0.007806 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | -2.564809 | 1.536313 | -0.033555 |
| 23 | 6 | 0 | -3.907637 | 1.643132 | -0.056993 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -1.861789 | 2.355477 | -0.039180 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | -4.593638 | 2.474543 | -0.085026 |
| 26 | 6 | 0 | -3.944101 | -1.937853 | 0.015124 |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | -4.539039 | -2.164906 | -0.873957 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -3.086170 | -2.609543 | 0.042844 |
| 29 | 1 | 0 | -4.567148 | -2.127484 | 0.893767 |

## Iminium C10



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-576.5659099 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.275330($ Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.233933$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.035455 | -0.535357 | 0.009432 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.203500 | 0.064205 | 0.032468 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.356139 | -0.744369 | 0.049630 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 1.235759 | -1.827775 | 0.042462 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 2.590024 | -0.315720 | 0.069404 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 3.776238 | -1.201227 | 0.062986 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 3.595471 | -2.057404 | -0.589616 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 3.957019 | -1.555251 | 1.084113 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.891058 | -0.271163 | -0.408106 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.880549 | -0.195323 | -1.499969 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 5.875369 | -0.622668 | -0.096520 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.501447 | 1.068234 | 0.228361 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.983188 | 1.924532 | -0.244980 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.765011 | 1.073310 | 1.290326 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.979804 | 1.110337 | 0.066258 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.467651 | 1.636032 | 0.876139 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.677616 | 1.549605 | -0.891395 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.295975 | 1.144962 | 0.034850 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -1.062949 | -1.626020 | 0.014032 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -2.282589 | 0.125274 | -0.016693 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | -3.525787 | -0.539540 | -0.012641 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | -2.558653 | 1.539827 | -0.046432 |
| 23 | 6 | 0 | -3.910376 | 1.683390 | -0.058770 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -1.840095 | 2.345704 | -0.065101 |


| 25 | 1 | 0 | -4.532599 | 2.564445 | -0.085685 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | 6 | 0 | -3.860400 | -1.992444 | 0.051421 |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | -4.825478 | -2.189400 | -0.421248 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -3.110914 | -2.591754 | -0.468367 |
| 29 | 1 | 0 | -3.914111 | -2.336304 | 1.089197 |
| 30 | 7 | 0 | -4.474176 | 0.414444 | -0.037273 |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | -5.467959 | 0.229233 | -0.040633 |

Iminium C11


HF $(\mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}))=-919.3693188$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.258819$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.216855$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 0.681402 | 0.586010 | 0.030573 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.538678 | -0.037826 | 0.041785 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -1.711651 | 0.753549 | 0.065270 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -1.610285 | 1.838874 | 0.072778 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | -2.932478 | 0.300491 | 0.074203 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -4.137822 | 1.163495 | 0.075021 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | -3.967927 | 2.034468 | -0.560378 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -4.328005 | 1.493561 | 1.102262 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | -5.231274 | 0.219494 | -0.416883 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -5.212598 | 0.158847 | -1.509553 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -6.223598 | 0.549178 | -0.107116 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | -4.821473 | -1.120332 | 0.205438 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -5.284942 | -1.979206 | -0.281133 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | -5.090234 | -1.143583 | 1.265786 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | -3.298718 | -1.134344 | 0.050627 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -2.780293 | -1.660532 | 0.855769 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -2.984543 | -1.552505 | -0.912219 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | -0.616921 | -1.119465 | 0.027975 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | 0.686535 | 1.676649 | 0.045461 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | 1.958413 | -0.046933 | 0.002381 |


| 21 | 6 | 0 | 3.141810 | 0.694508 | -0.001821 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | 2.202870 | -1.467387 | -0.024019 |
| 23 | 6 | 0 | 3.524684 | -1.764229 | -0.046067 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | 1.428233 | -2.224437 | -0.027574 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | 3.994034 | -2.737842 | -0.070103 |
| 26 | 6 | 0 | 3.304046 | 2.184892 | 0.026275 |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | 4.357267 | 2.466097 | -0.017915 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | 2.801429 | 2.649040 | -0.827348 |
| 29 | 1 | 0 | 2.887597 | 2.604384 | 0.947097 |
| 30 | 16 | 0 | 4.501872 | -0.333897 | -0.035918 |

## Trienamine C12



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) $=-560.0783088$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.271150($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.228525$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.109614 | 0.654965 | -0.030147 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.194354 | 0.042078 | -0.036391 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.329504 | 0.791170 | -0.058162 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 1.251764 | 1.877890 | -0.070681 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 2.603294 | 0.322090 | -0.059668 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 3.787258 | 1.171440 | -0.066801 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 3.655207 | 2.024276 | 0.607247 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 3.994432 | 1.559157 | -1.076091 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.891084 | 0.215756 | 0.389739 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.895083 | 0.153180 | 1.483190 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 5.883446 | 0.528765 | 0.057948 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.445490 | -1.123153 | -0.212183 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.904156 | -1.987770 | 0.272022 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.700688 | -1.154890 | -1.276804 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.921539 | -1.096137 | -0.045336 |


| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.399553 | -1.619072 | -0.857048 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.599093 | -1.548608 | 0.905239 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.265368 | -1.041768 | -0.019968 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -1.124153 | 1.745695 | -0.049179 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -2.302215 | 0.013080 | -0.002919 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | -3.642997 | 0.649699 | 0.003076 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | -2.532552 | -1.436011 | 0.030090 |
| 23 | 6 | 0 | -3.843412 | -1.720898 | 0.056732 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -1.738083 | -2.174152 | 0.033627 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | -4.268828 | -2.717858 | 0.085384 |
| 26 | 6 | 0 | -3.933289 | 1.955001 | -0.024477 |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | -4.962576 | 2.300515 | -0.016659 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -3.158830 | 2.715574 | -0.056914 |
| 29 | 6 | 0 | -4.681056 | -0.466476 | 0.044625 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | -5.347050 | -0.427585 | -0.826149 |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | -5.316884 | -0.391048 | 0.935432 |

## Fulvene trienamine C12'



HF $($ M062X/6-31+G(d,p) $)=-560.0894486$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.272533$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.231392$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.795494 | 0.560098 | -0.147086 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.336870 | 0.705420 | -0.090116 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.494497 | -0.388127 | -0.079518 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.028880 | -1.370471 | -0.145669 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 1.831237 | -0.447230 | 0.002785 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.572624 | -1.711030 | -0.021933 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 2.155421 | -2.383212 | -0.776822 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.517439 | -2.207966 | 0.956886 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.001041 | -1.264448 | -0.329892 |


| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.120027 | -1.121033 | -1.409116 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 4.747053 | -1.986876 | 0.007461 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.090551 | 0.082827 | 0.396651 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.900797 | 0.717215 | 0.031999 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.244982 | -0.082206 | 1.468207 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.711514 | 0.707325 | 0.159907 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.374384 | 1.325978 | 0.998009 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.684937 | 1.320947 | -0.750605 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | -2.525856 | -0.706416 | -0.224974 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -3.749342 | -0.920514 | 0.277028 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -4.516144 | -2.200881 | 0.133019 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -5.457848 | -2.039910 | -0.404197 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -3.936860 | -2.948249 | -0.417085 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -2.043837 | -1.534220 | -0.749745 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -4.232961 | -0.115335 | 0.830282 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | -1.263345 | 2.788070 | -0.036384 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -1.395608 | 3.862998 | -0.010343 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -0.062468 | 2.133082 | -0.021649 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | 0.907381 | 2.606429 | 0.016307 |
| 29 | 6 | 0 | -2.332084 | 1.822768 | -0.115045 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | -3.388119 | 2.057488 | -0.176693 |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | -4.776464 | -2.620009 | 1.111572 |

## Trienamine C13



HF $($ M062X/6-31+G(d,p) $)=-595.9798717$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.247648$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.206087$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.115178 | -0.643961 | 0.028256 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.187212 | -0.037183 | 0.034621 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.321319 | -0.790558 | 0.063178 |


| 4 | 1 | 0 | 1.239313 | -1.876818 | 0.082184 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 2.594317 | -0.325973 | 0.064005 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 3.776473 | -1.179173 | 0.080529 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 3.640690 | -2.039397 | -0.583022 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 3.981581 | -1.554622 | 1.094580 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.882784 | -0.232015 | -0.386978 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.886219 | -0.180902 | -1.480990 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 5.874183 | -0.545041 | -0.052602 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.442345 | 1.114474 | 0.201753 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.903504 | 1.972370 | -0.291757 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.698564 | 1.156376 | 1.265731 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.918245 | 1.091612 | 0.036278 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.398502 | 1.623554 | 0.843330 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.596626 | 1.534926 | -0.918625 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.258404 | 1.046751 | 0.012853 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -1.144815 | -1.733673 | 0.048872 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -2.299560 | 0.016325 | 0.000168 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | -3.639086 | -0.601527 | -0.005936 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | -2.559533 | 1.453420 | -0.030874 |
| 23 | 8 | 0 | -4.575476 | 0.423566 | -0.037251 |
| 24 | 6 | 0 | -3.890727 | 1.608757 | -0.051081 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | -1.829265 | 2.248655 | -0.037067 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -4.510986 | 2.493022 | -0.075059 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -4.045218 | -1.875883 | 0.013156 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -5.100450 | -2.116394 | 0.004326 |
| 29 | 1 | 0 | -3.322950 | -2.681251 | 0.038809 |
| ----------------------------------------------------- |  |  |  |  |  |

## Trienamine C14



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) $=-576.1231782$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.259749$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.217801$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number | Number | Type | $X$ | $Y$ |


| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.117622 | 0.645405 | -0.014131 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.186211 | 0.040611 | -0.032851 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.320604 | 0.792825 | -0.023717 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 1.240504 | 1.879120 | 0.000643 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 2.595041 | 0.326423 | -0.035061 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 3.776555 | 1.178947 | -0.025208 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 3.648554 | 2.008122 | 0.678447 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 3.972607 | 1.600951 | -1.022952 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.887082 | 0.211623 | 0.388023 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.901311 | 0.111271 | 1.478547 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 5.875411 | 0.539098 | 0.058175 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.439896 | -1.106993 | -0.256025 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.904473 | -1.986518 | 0.194616 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.686855 | -1.101495 | -1.323034 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.917233 | -1.090523 | -0.076233 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.390169 | -1.584273 | -0.902901 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.603359 | -1.579228 | 0.859031 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.257517 | -1.043383 | -0.053094 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -1.147533 | 1.735186 | 0.003080 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -2.301567 | -0.018587 | -0.013628 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | -3.642504 | 0.619790 | 0.002428 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | -2.542703 | -1.460490 | 0.004420 |
| 23 | 6 | 0 | -3.876536 | -1.653958 | 0.074055 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -1.792646 | -2.237183 | -0.001203 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | -4.432069 | -2.581096 | 0.116981 |
| 26 | 6 | 0 | -3.985861 | 1.917739 | -0.101508 |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | -5.022070 | 2.233512 | -0.061308 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -3.229142 | 2.680534 | -0.229680 |
| 29 | 7 | 0 | -4.551134 | -0.440159 | 0.138617 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | -5.529379 | -0.336790 | -0.073992 |

Fulvene trienamine C14 ${ }^{\text {² }}$


HF $($ M062X/6-31+G(d,p) $)=-576.1377698$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.261207$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.220211$ Hartrees

## Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.768991 | 0.520421 | -0.022940 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.312468 | 0.653692 | -0.025411 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.532663 | -0.432109 | -0.011580 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.086054 | -1.424761 | -0.029224 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 1.867430 | -0.456605 | 0.018610 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.645620 | -1.700682 | 0.006883 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 2.226152 | -2.404954 | -0.716374 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.630738 | -2.167335 | 1.001336 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.051644 | -1.222716 | -0.354197 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.138716 | -1.111996 | -1.440255 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 4.826428 | -1.912626 | -0.014180 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.120830 | 0.149819 | 0.325581 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.904973 | 0.792812 | -0.078411 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.301885 | 0.025305 | 1.398391 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.721564 | 0.728631 | 0.097859 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.386383 | 1.376494 | 0.913449 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.655348 | 1.294001 | -0.840829 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | -2.530397 | -0.731160 | 0.010468 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -3.867743 | -0.783402 | 0.027668 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -4.667012 | -2.049172 | 0.061559 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -5.331168 | -2.116717 | -0.807495 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -4.020354 | -2.931477 | 0.068373 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -1.974411 | -1.668174 | 0.023590 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -4.409334 | 0.161337 | 0.016814 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | -1.335649 | 2.641187 | -0.070546 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -1.583990 | 3.695145 | -0.099472 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -0.087719 | 2.085836 | -0.057100 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | 0.849709 | 2.619354 | -0.086762 |
| 29 | 7 | 0 | -2.352406 | 1.698960 | -0.045650 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | -5.306348 | -2.084965 | 0.950856 |

## Trienamine C15



HF $($ M062X/6-31+G(d,p) $)=-918.9445892$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found

Zero-point correction $=0.244232($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.200726$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.740436 | 0.683344 | -0.037885 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.547398 | 0.048767 | -0.048990 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.692357 | 0.788243 | -0.058939 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 1.623839 | 1.875642 | -0.059017 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 2.957888 | 0.308802 | -0.062584 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 4.150857 | 1.148229 | -0.050330 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 4.018509 | 1.995909 | 0.629642 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 4.368689 | 1.541392 | -1.054648 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 5.241505 | 0.178446 | 0.407392 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 5.234725 | 0.105091 | 1.500115 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 6.239378 | 0.486356 | 0.088029 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.790368 | -1.150354 | -0.212412 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 5.237992 | -2.023656 | 0.266212 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 5.053420 | -1.172964 | -1.275271 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 3.265590 | -1.113281 | -0.056648 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.744835 | -1.625276 | -0.875539 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.933128 | -1.567989 | 0.888939 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.606224 | -1.035439 | -0.045350 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -0.729488 | 1.773411 | -0.045245 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -1.952550 | 0.067424 | -0.017329 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | -3.242343 | 0.799549 | -0.008205 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | -2.181262 | -1.373105 | 0.008261 |
| 23 | 6 | 0 | -3.476337 | -1.725308 | 0.047238 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -1.377290 | -2.099335 | 0.000643 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | -3.876069 | -2.730608 | 0.074935 |
| 26 | 6 | 0 | -3.462669 | 2.122614 | -0.043831 |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | -4.466077 | 2.531933 | -0.030974 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -2.638204 | 2.825801 | -0.088129 |
| 29 | 16 | 0 | -4.592105 | -0.368080 | 0.055863 |

Iminium C16


HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) = -560.4866441 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.284901($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.243456$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.028839 | -0.593724 | 0.029855 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.194275 | 0.021660 | 0.040183 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.366295 | -0.773820 | 0.064398 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 1.262495 | -1.858939 | 0.071190 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 2.587056 | -0.322542 | 0.074237 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 3.791755 | -1.186755 | 0.074070 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 3.621171 | -2.056177 | -0.563209 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 3.980284 | -1.518985 | 1.100891 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.886649 | -0.242786 | -0.414686 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.869153 | -0.179658 | -1.507234 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 5.878268 | -0.574330 | -0.104655 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.477620 | 1.096044 | 0.210229 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.942651 | 1.955517 | -0.273784 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.744994 | 1.116615 | 1.270988 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.955231 | 1.112281 | 0.053190 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.435646 | 1.637717 | 0.857971 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.642880 | 1.531673 | -0.909654 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.275447 | 1.103047 | 0.024627 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -1.047607 | -1.683068 | 0.046560 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -2.290377 | 0.072602 | -0.000969 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | -3.508027 | -0.565760 | -0.006145 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | -2.512724 | 1.532171 | -0.033646 |
| 23 | 6 | 0 | -3.831108 | 1.776366 | -0.058116 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -1.728677 | 2.279622 | -0.038183 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | -4.306914 | 2.748222 | -0.086281 |
| 26 | 6 | 0 | -3.820659 | -2.020982 | 0.020108 |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | -4.419549 | -2.292877 | -0.855662 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -2.932981 | -2.654448 | 0.035185 |
| 29 | 6 | 0 | -4.584474 | 0.477522 | -0.043134 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | -5.251094 | 0.385197 | 0.825951 |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | -5.223914 | 0.349565 | -0.928072 |
| 32 | 1 | 0 | -4.425354 | -2.259822 | 0.901683 |



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-459.8979071 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.142232($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.107220$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic <br> Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.102481 | 0.233702 | 0.000662 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 1.220485 | -0.152536 | -0.000293 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.732705 | -1.521036 | -0.000535 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.973475 | -2.327786 | -0.001345 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | -1.344428 | -0.515975 | 0.001103 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -2.559712 | 0.047555 | -0.000951 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -3.843060 | -0.720904 | -0.000307 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -4.445198 | -0.469280 | -0.879852 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | -3.664479 | -1.798944 | 0.000203 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -1.244975 | -1.598792 | 0.003158 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -2.629402 | 1.134185 | -0.003093 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 1.104095 | 2.068347 | 0.000042 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 1.192255 | 3.143044 | 0.000020 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | 1.999456 | 1.054762 | -0.000831 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 3.076803 | 1.120251 | -0.001701 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -4.444698 | -0.468471 | 0.879380 |
| 17 | 8 | 0 | -0.172167 | 1.582452 | 0.000942 |
| 18 | 8 | 0 | 2.915149 | -1.794664 | 0.000293 |



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-440.0507199 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.154902$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.118945$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.105054 | 0.294259 | 0.006244 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 1.210306 | -0.174614 | 0.001134 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.629481 | -1.571959 | -0.000469 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.818978 | -2.328335 | 0.002384 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | -1.360766 | -0.443665 | 0.011096 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -2.590663 | 0.086088 | -0.010487 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -3.854805 | -0.717756 | -0.002341 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -4.460024 | -0.505008 | -0.890045 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | -3.639599 | -1.788958 | 0.018323 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -1.262397 | -1.526477 | 0.033873 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -2.717368 | 1.169269 | -0.036964 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 1.284370 | 2.070152 | -0.000962 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 1.532334 | 3.120735 | -0.001999 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | 2.079378 | 0.956498 | -0.003712 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 3.158369 | 0.920876 | -0.008570 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -4.469122 | -0.473160 | 0.870804 |
| 17 | 8 | 0 | 2.790153 | -1.932376 | -0.004543 |
| 18 | 7 | 0 | -0.030214 | 1.656994 | 0.004617 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -0.824369 | 2.277093 | 0.013190 |

Aldehyde D3


HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-782.8633054 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.138643$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.102203$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 0.125050 | -0.098312 | 0.005184 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -1.157442 | 0.424254 | 0.001208 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -1.481629 | 1.859008 | -0.000075 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -0.630460 | 2.565087 | 0.002036 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | 1.392667 | 0.625986 | 0.010374 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.609713 | 0.066859 | -0.010400 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 3.891835 | 0.838414 | -0.002498 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 4.491419 | 0.604729 | -0.888674 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | 3.707890 | 1.915461 | 0.016113 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 1.321544 | 1.711153 | 0.033079 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 2.697905 | -1.020084 | -0.034860 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | -1.662947 | -1.837838 | -0.003394 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -2.192573 | -2.780246 | -0.006459 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | -2.177586 | -0.580968 | -0.003807 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | -3.233527 | -0.341085 | -0.007702 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 4.497890 | 0.575842 | 0.871153 |
| 17 | 8 | 0 | -2.619055 | 2.281731 | -0.003641 |
| 18 | 16 | 0 | 0.065899 | -1.829320 | 0.004056 |

## Enol D4



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-423.9608766 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found Zero-point correction $=0.165335$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.129713$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |


| 1 | 6 | 0 | 0.307748 | 0.235456 | 0.000003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -1.115973 | -0.173308 | 0.000008 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -1.581025 | -1.434547 | -0.000016 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -0.927563 | -2.299945 | -0.000044 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | 1.388492 | -0.565664 | 0.000050 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.762105 | -0.085795 | 0.000024 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 3.835796 | -0.887779 | -0.000023 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 4.843590 | -0.487803 | -0.000031 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | 3.729165 | -1.969592 | -0.000042 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 1.256974 | -1.647200 | 0.000096 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 2.910593 | 0.993208 | 0.000053 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | -1.117312 | 2.142470 | 0.000008 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -1.458589 | 3.171293 | 0.000020 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | -1.911786 | 1.063957 | 0.000031 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | -2.996822 | 1.107156 | 0.000056 |
| 16 | 6 | 0 | 0.341184 | 1.758981 | -0.000048 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 0.862999 | 2.154564 | -0.881057 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.863107 | 2.154637 | 0.880862 |
| 19 | 8 | 0 | -2.885229 | -1.808447 | -0.000021 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | -3.456991 | -1.031371 | 0.000030 |



HF $(\mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}))=-459.860336$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.141606$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.106401$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.290035 | 0.179621 | -0.000161 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 1.148336 | -0.134971 | 0.000066 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.691412 | -1.366053 | -0.000500 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 1.092822 | -2.269758 | -0.001466 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | -1.385739 | -0.600844 | 0.000143 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -2.743917 | -0.090076 | -0.000095 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -3.835039 | -0.869159 | 0.000296 |


| 8 | 1 | 0 | -4.832363 | -0.444134 | 0.000123 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | -3.755690 | -1.953254 | 0.000823 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -1.241109 | -1.677662 | 0.000632 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -2.855529 | 0.991872 | -0.000602 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 0.845586 | 2.094389 | 0.000045 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 0.884677 | 3.173895 | -0.000063 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | 1.815253 | 1.170643 | 0.000474 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 2.875187 | 1.380781 | 0.000739 |
| 16 | 8 | 0 | -0.412649 | 1.561089 | -0.000437 |
| 17 | 8 | 0 | 3.015761 | -1.645232 | -0.000046 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 3.531967 | -0.829893 | 0.002076 |



HF $(\mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}))=-440.0055622$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.153962$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.118574$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.295607 | 0.228053 | -0.009722 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 1.137291 | -0.147054 | 0.014574 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.612468 | -1.406036 | -0.037870 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.969735 | -2.276401 | -0.105473 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | -1.395569 | -0.564194 | 0.027833 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -2.759008 | -0.085899 | -0.044847 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -3.851887 | -0.862197 | 0.039390 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -4.849284 | -0.444479 | -0.034755 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | -3.768890 | -1.936384 | 0.181251 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -1.247622 | -1.636430 | 0.117950 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -2.910184 | 0.983763 | -0.194886 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 0.997276 | 2.115899 | 0.019159 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 1.169402 | 3.183394 | 0.024359 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | 1.893356 | 1.109258 | 0.058231 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 2.966441 | 1.234070 | 0.084774 |
| 16 | 8 | 0 | 2.920665 | -1.753140 | -0.019156 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 3.472399 | -0.965558 | 0.062433 |


| 18 | 7 | 0 | -0.297501 | 1.624841 | -0.070725 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -1.114722 | 2.182290 | 0.112180 |

## Enol D7



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) $=-440.0055622$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.153962$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.118574$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.295607 | 0.228053 | -0.009722 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 1.137291 | -0.147054 | 0.014574 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.612468 | -1.406036 | -0.037870 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.969735 | -2.276401 | -0.105473 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | -1.395569 | -0.564194 | 0.027833 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -2.759008 | -0.085899 | -0.044847 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -3.851887 | -0.862197 | 0.039390 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -4.849284 | -0.444479 | -0.034755 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | -3.768890 | -1.936384 | 0.181251 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -1.247622 | -1.636430 | 0.117950 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -2.910184 | 0.983763 | -0.194886 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 0.997276 | 2.115899 | 0.019159 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 1.169402 | 3.183394 | 0.024359 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | 1.893356 | 1.109258 | 0.058231 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 2.966441 | 1.234070 | 0.084774 |
| 16 | 8 | 0 | 2.920665 | -1.753140 | -0.019156 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 3.472399 | -0.965558 | 0.062433 |
| 18 | 7 | 0 | -0.297501 | 1.624841 | -0.070725 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -1.114722 | 2.182290 | 0.112180 |



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) = -423.9805038 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.164887($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.129622$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.113819 | 0.281663 | 0.000145 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 1.162129 | -0.209571 | 0.000027 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.559929 | -1.621378 | 0.000172 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.744675 | -2.369977 | 0.000730 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | -1.360488 | -0.466393 | 0.000303 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -2.579191 | 0.095678 | -0.000359 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -3.865027 | -0.669881 | -0.000171 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -4.467677 | -0.420157 | -0.880152 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | -3.685433 | -1.747835 | 0.000281 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -1.292156 | -1.552852 | 0.001034 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -2.660568 | 1.182951 | -0.001044 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 1.448470 | 2.062390 | -0.000150 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 1.872773 | 3.058718 | -0.000246 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | 2.122967 | 0.900346 | -0.000330 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 3.196217 | 0.756685 | -0.000626 |
| 16 | 6 | 0 | -0.030677 | 1.788382 | 0.000431 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -0.527873 | 2.214458 | 0.881984 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | -0.528719 | 2.215069 | -0.880316 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -4.467837 | -0.419462 | 0.879507 |
| 20 | 8 | 0 | 2.718855 | -1.985626 | -0.000195 |

## Iminium D9



HF $(\mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}))=-596.3965876$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.262554$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.221869$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic <br> Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.691202 | 0.394021 | -0.012816 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.303546 | 0.598035 | -0.018739 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.596327 | -0.494073 | -0.007672 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.167257 | -1.494035 | -0.045647 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 1.895470 | -0.464442 | 0.037045 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.734530 | -1.691582 | 0.011388 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 2.310201 | -2.411734 | -0.689907 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.744049 | -2.122550 | 1.018403 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.109605 | -1.158707 | -0.378783 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.173958 | -1.038696 | -1.464792 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 4.909639 | -1.827159 | -0.058654 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.149937 | 0.204240 | 0.320211 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.905457 | 0.875793 | -0.088871 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.349195 | 0.076851 | 1.388487 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.736398 | 0.751832 | 0.113151 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.387552 | 1.384245 | 0.932558 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.641104 | 1.293913 | -0.834105 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | -2.504530 | -0.797624 | 0.028396 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -3.848367 | -0.761915 | 0.013660 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -4.721951 | -1.967653 | 0.057456 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -5.372979 | -1.992958 | -0.822630 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -4.143765 | -2.892735 | 0.096878 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -1.988991 | -1.752404 | 0.077499 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -4.342161 | 0.207859 | -0.032419 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | -1.354447 | 2.565597 | -0.078930 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -1.731887 | 3.575213 | -0.114552 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -0.116032 | 2.035754 | -0.062814 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | 0.800813 | 2.600663 | -0.093484 |
| 29 | 1 | 0 | -5.380479 | -1.923668 | 0.931391 |
| 30 | 8 | 0 | -2.302198 | 1.578118 | -0.045815 |



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-576.5584271 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.275649($ Hartree/Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.235029$ Hartrees

Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.715324 | 0.467131 | 0.108580 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.312458 | 0.656429 | 0.035958 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.560096 | -0.454051 | 0.039368 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.104197 | -1.443502 | 0.041747 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 1.862572 | -0.460094 | 0.032756 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.665810 | -1.709854 | 0.008394 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 2.218387 | -2.421633 | -0.687271 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.670585 | -2.138165 | 1.016632 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.054053 | -1.220157 | -0.394833 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.118140 | -1.121460 | -1.483010 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 4.837344 | -1.903678 | -0.065053 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.133108 | 0.154659 | 0.277179 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.905748 | 0.797151 | -0.146639 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.331238 | 0.042922 | 1.347542 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.733916 | 0.733427 | 0.062023 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.406191 | 1.402124 | 0.861499 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.646888 | 1.248840 | -0.901202 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | -2.500605 | -0.753312 | 0.250592 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -3.759798 | -0.889143 | -0.190070 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -4.588118 | -2.119556 | -0.018630 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -4.913524 | -2.501169 | -0.991395 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -4.039424 | -2.905673 | 0.503848 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -2.022684 | -1.590694 | 0.753210 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -4.229628 | -0.063870 | -0.727491 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | -1.304906 | 2.673837 | -0.108544 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -1.579106 | 3.714586 | -0.187584 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -0.083146 | 2.074954 | -0.104125 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | 0.855603 | 2.593148 | -0.209052 |
| 29 | 1 | 0 | -5.494926 | -1.890597 | 0.550732 |
| 30 | 7 | 0 | -2.269776 | 1.692125 | 0.025655 |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | -3.260362 | 1.871282 | 0.119262 |



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) = -919.3596831 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.258779($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.216453$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.570318 | 0.231180 | 0.100240 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.202107 | 0.550798 | 0.016608 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.744852 | -0.512991 | -0.002921 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.342243 | -1.523576 | -0.046115 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 2.043341 | -0.458791 | 0.010814 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.891327 | -1.682015 | -0.046452 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 2.482309 | -2.376714 | -0.781534 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.881658 | -2.149353 | 0.944114 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.272200 | -1.134237 | -0.389693 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.363483 | -0.986638 | -1.470345 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 5.066727 | -1.807939 | -0.066854 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.289813 | 0.211075 | 0.343229 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 5.054136 | 0.894363 | -0.028494 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.458034 | 0.059879 | 1.413841 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.882025 | 0.758086 | 0.105113 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.511155 | 1.393444 | 0.912280 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.814315 | 1.296144 | -0.846673 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | -2.191145 | -1.079997 | 0.254689 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -3.427289 | -1.378756 | -0.176790 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -4.087777 | -2.703986 | 0.003423 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -4.362173 | -3.129434 | -0.967207 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -3.444743 | -3.411183 | 0.531381 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -1.616459 | -1.848198 | 0.767670 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -4.001400 | -0.616280 | -0.704811 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | -1.142936 | 2.670485 | -0.146636 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -1.272210 | 3.738779 | -0.252908 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | 0.017578 | 1.970910 | -0.128059 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | 0.978034 | 2.451041 | -0.241286 |
| 29 | 1 | 0 | -5.017971 | -2.587092 | 0.569381 |
| 30 | 16 | 0 | -2.524866 | 1.650686 | 0.037209 |

## Trienamine D12



HF $(\mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}))=-560.073306$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.271512$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.232085$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.827852 | 0.307121 | -0.044236 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.369781 | 0.541339 | -0.108042 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.548475 | -0.454960 | -0.230683 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.179870 | -1.471602 | -0.363203 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 1.909509 | -0.376128 | -0.225989 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.729982 | -1.574981 | -0.389007 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 2.531914 | -2.054097 | -1.353162 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.518349 | -2.304876 | 0.408446 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.157111 | -1.040336 | -0.275414 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.486988 | -0.649786 | -1.244033 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 4.866153 | -1.806099 | 0.046405 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.003289 | 0.106225 | 0.730367 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.830875 | 0.818540 | 0.705944 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 3.929227 | -0.298308 | 1.745606 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.668397 | 0.740677 | 0.327816 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.129762 | 1.175441 | 1.177995 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.815806 | 1.529372 | -0.423448 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | -2.471286 | -0.871883 | 0.084874 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -3.916767 | -1.014796 | 0.118382 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -4.560359 | -2.185751 | 0.240247 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -5.643159 | -2.239386 | 0.260034 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -4.014014 | -3.122157 | 0.321912 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -1.897521 | -1.793464 | 0.178189 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -4.505457 | -0.101732 | 0.036190 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | -1.361238 | 2.638861 | -0.122039 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -1.491799 | 3.714207 | -0.155218 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -0.181787 | 1.999846 | -0.116530 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | 0.779814 | 2.496022 | -0.157334 |
| 29 | 6 | 0 | -2.513519 | 1.666933 | -0.106781 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | -3.177486 | 1.825417 | 0.752131 |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | -3.133881 | 1.765635 | -1.008252 |

## Fulvene trienamine D12'



HF $(\mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}))=-560.0912156$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.271813$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.230195$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.132369 | -0.547330 | 0.028544 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.172321 | 0.040776 | 0.036827 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.289492 | -0.742770 | 0.061365 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 1.177782 | -1.826743 | 0.073689 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 2.568300 | -0.313685 | 0.067162 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 3.730101 | -1.198576 | 0.066680 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 3.566911 | -2.046889 | -0.605195 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 3.927305 | -1.588094 | 1.076092 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.858394 | -0.275103 | -0.395063 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.858583 | -0.210690 | -1.488324 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 5.842190 | -0.618761 | -0.068909 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.456515 | 1.075110 | 0.212129 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.940178 | 1.926107 | -0.271335 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.714836 | 1.096440 | 1.276125 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.933025 | 1.097355 | 0.047304 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.426237 | 1.637058 | 0.856143 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.624809 | 1.551183 | -0.906094 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.261487 | 1.122698 | 0.019997 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -1.165068 | -1.639215 | 0.048955 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -2.324065 | 0.111288 | -0.001303 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | -3.644430 | -0.533435 | -0.007354 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | -3.903273 | 1.753644 | -0.060399 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -4.407674 | 2.712233 | -0.089997 |
| 24 | 6 | 0 | -3.877234 | -2.012989 | 0.024040 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | -4.948165 | -2.230451 | 0.001535 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -3.416804 | -2.514614 | -0.835080 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -4.577746 | 0.455427 | -0.042327 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -5.652407 | 0.311020 | -0.054757 |
| 29 | 6 | 0 | -2.559581 | 1.554257 | -0.035735 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | -1.791935 | 2.317623 | -0.042166 |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | -3.463250 | -2.469034 | 0.930932 |

## Trienamine D13



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-595.9750923 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.248397$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.207832$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 1.797682 | 0.277345 | 0.029812 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.348016 | 0.508579 | 0.077283 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -0.566238 | -0.498594 | 0.155267 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -0.191104 | -1.516858 | 0.243521 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | -1.920853 | -0.416906 | 0.148842 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -2.762880 | -1.607594 | 0.255292 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | -2.510516 | -2.181208 | 1.152461 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -2.629705 | -2.259802 | -0.621717 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | -4.176118 | -1.027483 | 0.296687 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -4.423209 | -0.723444 | 1.319425 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -4.930561 | -1.740239 | -0.042727 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | -4.056776 | 0.204845 | -0.607008 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -4.855934 | 0.933379 | -0.455248 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | -4.069347 | -0.102875 | -1.658021 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | -2.676749 | 0.765811 | -0.249525 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -2.186144 | 1.258137 | -1.096906 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -2.739684 | 1.486364 | 0.578314 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | 2.536750 | -0.849373 | -0.074597 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | 3.984544 | -0.866065 | -0.081179 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | 4.732993 | -1.975679 | -0.191211 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | 5.816026 | -1.928216 | -0.190079 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | 4.277618 | -2.958337 | -0.285765 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | 2.012351 | -1.796474 | -0.165483 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | 4.476688 | 0.100107 | 0.011485 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | 1.448436 | 2.473715 | 0.129376 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | 1.810686 | 3.489859 | 0.175958 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | 0.208780 | 1.966524 | 0.112985 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -0.699600 | 2.545863 | 0.159158 |
| 29 | 8 | 0 | 2.422219 | 1.509987 | 0.089329 |

## Trienamine D14



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) $=-576.1195168$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.260292$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.218647$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.810461 | 0.316915 | -0.034383 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.354818 | 0.552447 | -0.068468 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.545656 | -0.463681 | -0.202131 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.157590 | -1.469790 | -0.355128 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 1.900709 | -0.397330 | -0.182714 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.729631 | -1.584096 | -0.388730 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 2.508527 | -2.050918 | -1.353832 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.547630 | -2.326488 | 0.403448 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.153748 | -1.033379 | -0.309481 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.455489 | -0.639631 | -1.285961 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 4.878368 | -1.792021 | -0.006196 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.015744 | 0.112785 | 0.699461 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.835766 | 0.832526 | 0.651241 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 3.971940 | -0.289704 | 1.717252 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.666019 | 0.734211 | 0.329715 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.147783 | 1.178683 | 1.186761 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.784754 | 1.509948 | -0.439995 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | -2.499792 | -0.849051 | 0.122349 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -3.935742 | -0.967312 | 0.036303 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -4.643952 | -2.089881 | 0.258505 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -5.722863 | -2.107526 | 0.156254 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -4.153370 | -3.017354 | 0.542018 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -1.934629 | -1.751935 | 0.333561 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -4.492017 | -0.074859 | -0.255716 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | -1.404166 | 2.564316 | -0.132656 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -1.681360 | 3.607893 | -0.185625 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -0.177222 | 2.007402 | -0.079641 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | 0.752625 | 2.552505 | -0.117696 |
| 29 | 7 | 0 | -2.389412 | 1.579512 | -0.170448 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | -3.343193 | 1.759356 | 0.096688 |

## Fulvene trienamine D14'



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-576.1425105 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.260696$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.219094$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.141246 | -0.596119 | 0.031325 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.149582 | 0.000656 | 0.039750 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.274935 | -0.776097 | 0.066868 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 1.176179 | -1.861574 | 0.081518 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 2.544636 | -0.331557 | 0.072374 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 3.719892 | -1.199588 | 0.072125 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 3.565533 | -2.054286 | -0.593545 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 3.925110 | -1.579071 | 1.083541 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.833002 | -0.262274 | -0.398232 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.827619 | -0.202290 | -1.491732 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 5.822713 | -0.591388 | -0.075037 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.414475 | 1.084367 | 0.205665 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.885180 | 1.940083 | -0.282071 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.675772 | 1.112860 | 1.268784 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.890633 | 1.086302 | 0.044767 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.376389 | 1.621970 | 0.850567 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.572978 | 1.528400 | -0.910210 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.201975 | 1.084358 | 0.020312 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -1.193510 | -1.686367 | 0.051390 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -2.322825 | 0.088962 | 0.000288 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | -3.664220 | -0.481480 | -0.010936 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | -3.659380 | 1.769595 | -0.057142 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -4.005512 | 2.798977 | -0.084300 |
| 24 | 6 | 0 | -4.001087 | -1.940113 | 0.015772 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | -5.083429 | -2.085694 | -0.014318 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -3.566988 | -2.466631 | -0.841201 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -4.507846 | 0.589242 | -0.047762 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -5.590577 | 0.573713 | -0.065994 |
| 29 | 7 | 0 | -2.385025 | 1.489703 | -0.029087 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | -3.622201 | -2.420807 | 0.924356 |

## Trienamine D15



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-918.9403592 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.244624($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.202883$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.640185 | 0.073354 | -0.027715 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.215382 | 0.446174 | -0.092488 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.753541 | -0.496227 | -0.296318 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.435806 | -1.510709 | -0.534960 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 2.101909 | -0.349431 | -0.254567 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.987775 | -1.471173 | -0.575418 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 2.852962 | -1.789304 | -1.613814 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.770246 | -2.328589 | 0.079401 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.383723 | -0.909852 | -0.304025 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.747470 | -0.372512 | -1.186433 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 5.105528 | -1.690992 | -0.056832 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.133857 | 0.074114 | 0.843850 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.934229 | 0.806313 | 0.969856 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.015208 | -0.471934 | 1.785904 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.803341 | 0.720849 | 0.451116 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.209612 | 1.047790 | 1.311632 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.968527 | 1.587333 | -0.204573 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | -2.174422 | -1.162144 | 0.129944 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -3.591008 | -1.464054 | 0.139215 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -4.103814 | -2.696164 | 0.284062 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -5.174302 | -2.866426 | 0.288038 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -3.460041 | -3.564552 | 0.399687 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -1.496624 | -2.000586 | 0.278885 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -4.277694 | -0.625719 | 0.021012 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | -1.217676 | 2.570489 | -0.095188 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -1.347265 | 3.643870 | -0.129701 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -0.056286 | 1.897127 | -0.062476 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | 0.901303 | 2.400308 | -0.087275 |
| 29 | 16 | 0 | -2.642444 | 1.542923 | -0.119138 |



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) $=-560.4807685$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.285113$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.243995$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.717095 | 0.429196 | -0.021872 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.342112 | 0.639454 | -0.059523 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.562277 | -0.458225 | -0.075570 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0.131964 | -1.454031 | -0.157742 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 1.861905 | -0.450077 | -0.008842 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 2.667160 | -1.700070 | -0.062392 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 2.258924 | -2.366730 | -0.823707 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 2.608818 | -2.186015 | 0.917625 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.077164 | -1.196147 | -0.349851 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.207686 | -1.027708 | -1.423401 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 4.836514 | -1.905296 | -0.018283 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.118772 | 0.130844 | 0.415012 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.918083 | 0.794256 | 0.082754 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.250001 | -0.051274 | 1.486018 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.738931 | 0.734626 | 0.150346 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.367417 | 1.356224 | 0.966951 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.719172 | 1.305948 | -0.783549 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | -2.433715 | -0.822021 | 0.023850 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -3.778781 | -0.903923 | 0.048627 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -4.548182 | -2.178613 | 0.089844 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -5.217818 | -2.244224 | -0.774511 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -3.893102 | -3.051794 | 0.095956 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -1.869512 | -1.751487 | 0.041870 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -4.365164 | 0.014683 | 0.039430 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | -1.249778 | 2.748868 | -0.081187 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -1.380741 | 3.822763 | -0.111097 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -0.080904 | 2.092603 | -0.100157 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | 0.891155 | 2.559525 | -0.160320 |
| 29 | 6 | 0 | -2.387947 | 1.775526 | -0.024451 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | -3.005094 | 1.910801 | 0.873480 |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | -3.060828 | 1.879772 | -0.885753 |
| 32 | 1 | 0 | -5.185541 | -2.207582 | 0.980119 |



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-459.8995532 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.142296$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.107131$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 0.757427 | 0.479038 | 0.000182 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 1.879217 | -0.265634 | 0.000242 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 3.190157 | 0.391113 | 0.000018 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 3.160620 | 1.502534 | 0.000196 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 1.854113 | -1.351415 | 0.000341 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 0.844920 | 1.564908 | 0.000153 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -0.585896 | -0.033882 | 0.000150 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | -1.811344 | 0.587159 | -0.000172 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | -2.075144 | -1.632149 | -0.000056 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -2.366082 | -2.671372 | -0.000074 |
| 11 | 6 | 0 | -2.095239 | 2.056040 | 0.000046 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | -2.671399 | 2.338803 | 0.885890 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -1.176452 | 2.645768 | -0.005341 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | -2.779071 | -0.467913 | -0.000346 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | -3.854673 | -0.366105 | -0.000679 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -2.680602 | 2.337126 | -0.880234 |
| 17 | 8 | 0 | -0.749684 | -1.388813 | 0.000298 |
| 18 | 8 | 0 | 4.250798 | -0.196547 | -0.000377 |



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-440.0524551 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.154487$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.119864$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 0.766700 | 0.423655 | 0.000034 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 1.907996 | -0.297987 | 0.000017 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 3.205147 | 0.376714 | 0.000043 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 3.156322 | 1.487253 | 0.000432 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 1.932152 | -1.385188 | -0.000079 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 0.857839 | 1.509478 | 0.000201 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -0.580048 | -0.075399 | -0.000051 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | -1.788044 | 0.620376 | -0.000211 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | -2.231841 | -1.593432 | 0.000077 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -2.669754 | -2.580565 | 0.000073 |
| 11 | 6 | 0 | -1.976274 | 2.107023 | 0.000060 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | -2.529711 | 2.428712 | 0.887419 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -1.024122 | 2.641650 | -0.008778 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | -2.824018 | -0.346691 | -0.000049 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | -3.886814 | -0.150321 | -0.000192 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -2.544729 | 2.426711 | -0.878420 |
| 17 | 8 | 0 | 4.277124 | -0.193160 | -0.000104 |
| 18 | 7 | 0 | -0.883114 | -1.421716 | 0.000082 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -0.204084 | -2.165991 | 0.000082 |

## Aldehyde E3



HF $(\mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}))=-782.865599$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.138198($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.101369$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 0.926549 | 0.528431 | 0.004386 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 2.022446 | -0.254338 | -0.001684 |


| 3 | 6 | 0 | 3.356248 | 0.354682 | 0.000700 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 3.366117 | 1.466059 | 0.006957 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 1.975204 | -1.340983 | -0.008455 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 1.073545 | 1.609800 | 0.009277 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -0.454458 | 0.101149 | 0.003767 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | -1.564857 | 0.924653 | 0.001802 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | -2.575878 | -1.174312 | -0.002870 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -3.320406 | -1.958495 | -0.004191 |
| 11 | 6 | 0 | -1.508831 | 2.428055 | -0.002066 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | -0.923428 | 2.810688 | 0.839358 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -1.060345 | 2.808791 | -0.925064 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | -2.779074 | 0.177589 | -0.002331 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | -3.762245 | 0.634147 | -0.003921 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -2.514497 | 2.845869 | 0.076027 |
| 17 | 8 | 0 | 4.394619 | -0.271324 | -0.003606 |
| 18 | 16 | 0 | -0.907735 | -1.576296 | 0.001789 |

## Enol E4



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-423.9613425 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.164473$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.127394$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.495803 | -0.672379 | 0.000000 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.289802 | -1.887812 | 0.000000 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -0.278206 | -3.101898 | 0.000000 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -1.359432 | -3.231789 | 0.000000 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 1.375079 | -1.832658 | 0.000000 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | -1.578649 | -0.797508 | 0.000000 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 0.000000 | 0.584507 | 0.000000 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | -0.792347 | 1.842581 | 0.000000 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 1.412743 | 0.987475 | 0.000000 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | 1.534225 | 2.323023 | 0.000000 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 2.241479 | 0.288904 | 0.000000 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 2.473112 | 2.865432 | 0.000000 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | -2.122602 | 1.966041 | 0.000000 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | -2.595308 | 2.943513 | 0.000000 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | -2.779518 | 1.101496 | 0.000000 |


| 16 | 6 | 0 | 0.190523 | 3.006337 | 0.000000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 0.057711 | 3.645802 | 0.881276 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.057711 | 3.645802 | -0.881276 |
| 19 | 8 | 0 | 0.471436 | -4.237600 | 0.000000 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | -0.093685 | -5.015454 | 0.000000 |



HF $(\mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}))=-459.8632432$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.142086$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.107406$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.600001 | 0.610946 | -0.000201 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -1.834554 | -0.144729 | -0.000349 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -3.037133 | 0.451659 | 0.000217 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -3.155020 | 1.531409 | 0.000775 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | -1.761903 | -1.230844 | -0.000899 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | -0.655176 | 1.696268 | -0.000185 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 0.623157 | 0.057730 | -0.000100 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | 1.969529 | 0.663833 | 0.000030 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 2.107376 | -1.589701 | 0.000130 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 2.362596 | -2.639932 | 0.000176 |
| 11 | 6 | 0 | 2.285502 | 1.969956 | -0.000039 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 3.321318 | 2.289499 | 0.000098 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 1.523122 | 2.741514 | -0.000229 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | 2.872117 | -0.490595 | 0.000180 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 3.951270 | -0.456522 | 0.000298 |
| 16 | 8 | 0 | 0.766127 | -1.325243 | -0.000058 |
| 17 | 8 | 0 | -4.239556 | -0.171850 | 0.000232 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | -4.114733 | -1.129244 | -0.000629 |



HF $(\mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}))=-440.0057536$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.154170($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.119075$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic <br> Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.610640 | 0.578955 | -0.023314 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -1.858502 | -0.152608 | 0.070809 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -3.056304 | 0.429598 | -0.099515 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -3.162545 | 1.482863 | -0.341697 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | -1.824113 | -1.213285 | 0.322858 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | -0.666976 | 1.658552 | -0.133800 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 0.616551 | 0.021210 | 0.018802 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | 1.942188 | 0.694943 | -0.003443 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 2.253453 | -1.559738 | -0.041401 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 2.643959 | -2.568572 | -0.069135 |
| 11 | 6 | 0 | 2.180910 | 2.016548 | 0.067434 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 3.196208 | 2.396756 | 0.049875 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 1.377800 | 2.740901 | 0.150916 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | 2.923287 | -0.391938 | -0.073716 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 3.995609 | -0.266025 | -0.104359 |
| 16 | 8 | 0 | -4.266651 | -0.174267 | 0.002308 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -4.155069 | -1.104405 | 0.234657 |
| 18 | 7 | 0 | 0.879156 | -1.361374 | 0.096398 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | 0.228594 | -2.024853 | -0.296514 |



HF $(\mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}))=-782.8287946$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.138604($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.102369$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.776382 | 0.695943 | 0.000325 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -1.987905 | -0.094670 | 0.000202 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -3.206017 | 0.472105 | 0.000281 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -3.346590 | 1.549220 | 0.000405 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | -1.901783 | -1.181183 | 0.000088 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | -0.897243 | 1.778124 | 0.001081 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 0.479469 | 0.208589 | -0.000337 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | 1.741082 | 0.991546 | 0.000040 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 2.561326 | -1.216600 | 0.000870 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 3.242414 | -2.057930 | 0.001400 |
| 11 | 6 | 0 | 1.838727 | 2.333243 | -0.000901 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 2.811264 | 2.813168 | -0.000310 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 0.967491 | 2.978709 | -0.002260 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | 2.890008 | 0.082417 | 0.001290 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 3.911303 | 0.445293 | 0.002230 |
| 16 | 8 | 0 | -4.392011 | -0.175997 | 0.000280 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -4.250600 | -1.131271 | 0.000165 |
| 18 | 16 | 0 | 0.834874 | -1.538850 | -0.000979 |

Aldehyde E8


HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-423.9849446 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.164944$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.129505$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.783936 | 0.448481 | -0.000704 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -1.911605 | -0.292869 | 0.000066 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -3.224794 | 0.354874 | -0.000212 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -3.200271 | 1.466582 | -0.001543 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | -1.898095 | -1.380196 | 0.001020 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | -0.894318 | 1.533762 | -0.001640 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 0.562284 | -0.070200 | -0.000395 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | 1.719611 | 0.652694 | -0.000061 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 2.401169 | -1.545595 | 0.000111 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 3.001699 | -2.447050 | 0.000162 |
| 11 | 6 | 0 | 1.898859 | 2.137522 | 0.000340 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 2.471941 | 2.451439 | -0.878529 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 0.949439 | 2.675010 | -0.003794 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | 2.854222 | -0.277537 | 0.000309 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 3.892817 | 0.035867 | 0.000602 |
| 16 | 6 | 0 | 0.900997 | -1.538314 | -0.000290 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 0.493266 | -2.051522 | -0.881488 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.492892 | -2.051432 | 0.880794 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | 2.464499 | 2.451871 | 0.883906 |
| 20 | 8 | 0 | -4.284339 | -0.237333 | 0.000690 |

## Iminium E9



HF $($ M062X/6-31+G(d,p) $)=-596.4064371$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found Zero-point correction $=0.262403$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.221077$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates | (Angstroms) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number | Number | Type | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ |


| 1 | 6 | 0 | 1.067826 | 0.654190 | 0.032542 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.152866 | 0.026918 | 0.040890 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -1.327905 | 0.810419 | 0.067953 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -1.236381 | 1.896558 | 0.079228 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | -2.545869 | 0.346055 | 0.076087 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -3.758645 | 1.197117 | 0.079817 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | -3.598347 | 2.070909 | -0.554361 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -3.951912 | 1.524111 | 1.107571 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | -4.843555 | 0.243520 | -0.412981 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -4.825364 | 0.185758 | -1.505832 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -5.838823 | 0.562574 | -0.101462 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | -4.419460 | -1.093802 | 0.205218 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -4.874971 | -1.956051 | -0.282923 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | -4.686831 | -1.122361 | 1.265827 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | -2.896776 | -1.091897 | 0.048913 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -2.371843 | -1.615112 | 0.851841 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -2.578526 | -1.505002 | -0.914828 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | -0.209130 | -1.056038 | 0.021419 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | 1.108211 | 1.741835 | 0.051912 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | 2.313776 | 0.000439 | 0.000831 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | 3.615183 | 0.486863 | -0.010463 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | 3.616160 | -1.743616 | -0.055159 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | 3.800517 | -2.807780 | -0.079301 |
| 24 | 6 | 0 | 4.060073 | 1.911360 | 0.010020 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | 4.651299 | 2.135352 | -0.882142 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | 3.220958 | 2.607947 | 0.045912 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | 4.450553 | -0.660083 | -0.046819 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | 5.530330 | -0.681981 | -0.064474 |
| 29 | 1 | 0 | 4.694615 | 2.096558 | 0.881095 |
| 30 | 8 | 0 | 2.330136 | -1.368530 | -0.027084 |

## Iminium E10



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-576.5614715 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.275266$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.234156$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:
Center Atomic Atomic Coordinates (Angstroms)

| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 1.063741 | 0.531954 | 0.022623 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.179461 | -0.073439 | 0.035485 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -1.328448 | 0.735181 | 0.059310 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -1.203342 | 1.817893 | 0.064651 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | -2.566558 | 0.312474 | 0.071735 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -3.746649 | 1.205778 | 0.072953 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | -3.560518 | 2.066517 | -0.572170 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -3.926611 | 1.552476 | 1.096807 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | -4.866957 | 0.287251 | -0.407804 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -4.856845 | 0.222767 | -1.500395 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -5.849282 | 0.641062 | -0.092622 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | -4.485762 | -1.061168 | 0.214579 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -4.972166 | -1.909584 | -0.268081 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | -4.749920 | -1.075860 | 1.276291 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | -2.963899 | -1.109672 | 0.053650 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -2.457301 | -1.646752 | 0.860098 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -2.663773 | -1.542578 | -0.907950 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | -0.286133 | -1.153296 | 0.024581 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | 1.092279 | 1.621442 | 0.038004 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | 2.322472 | -0.076296 | -0.006220 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | 3.591016 | 0.553678 | -0.007728 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | 3.877646 | -1.680240 | -0.058502 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | 4.267890 | -2.687957 | -0.086817 |
| 24 | 6 | 0 | 3.840567 | 2.028901 | 0.029530 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | 4.909072 | 2.232833 | -0.051009 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | 3.339551 | 2.541873 | -0.796629 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | 4.552078 | -0.460558 | -0.040059 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | 5.624873 | -0.334234 | -0.049861 |
| 29 | 1 | 0 | 3.486756 | 2.467104 | 0.967841 |
| 30 | 7 | 0 | 2.552562 | -1.446284 | -0.037435 |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | 1.845373 | -2.165257 | -0.049742 |

## Iminium E11



HF $($ M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) $=-919.3709258$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.258864$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.216555$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates | (Angstroms) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number | Number | Type | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ |


| 1 | 6 | 0 | 0.828413 | 0.722743 | 0.030469 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.381840 | 0.073524 | 0.045192 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -1.570133 | 0.836593 | 0.066215 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -1.494818 | 1.923990 | 0.070199 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | -2.780878 | 0.353823 | 0.076442 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -4.006571 | 1.186368 | 0.073891 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | -3.857996 | 2.060100 | -0.563223 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -4.207531 | 1.514082 | 1.099906 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | -5.075321 | 0.214367 | -0.418196 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -5.052519 | 0.151934 | -1.510710 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -6.076392 | 0.519664 | -0.111547 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | -4.633374 | -1.113586 | 0.207633 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -5.073925 | -1.984972 | -0.278008 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | -4.903924 | -1.141303 | 1.267437 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | -3.110138 | -1.089293 | 0.056733 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -2.581253 | -1.600118 | 0.865157 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -2.782501 | -1.503622 | -0.903357 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | -0.434289 | -1.010866 | 0.035257 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | 0.820470 | 1.813593 | 0.042148 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | 2.113556 | 0.132595 | 0.001951 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | 3.328102 | 0.827772 | -0.007210 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | 4.057398 | -1.378007 | -0.049961 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | 4.706523 | -2.243788 | -0.072335 |
| 24 | 6 | 0 | 3.455068 | 2.323327 | 0.017167 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | 4.504400 | 2.615087 | -0.040565 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | 2.934512 | 2.783516 | -0.827822 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | 4.430984 | -0.055738 | -0.036778 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | 5.463943 | 0.270274 | -0.048274 |
| 29 | 1 | 0 | 3.044001 | 2.741033 | 0.941357 |
| 30 | 16 | 0 | 2.365037 | -1.591835 | -0.026460 |

## Trienamine E12



HF $($ M062X/6-31+G(d,p) $)=-560.0793104$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.271272($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.228995$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Number | Number | Type | x | Y | z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.136738 | 0.696726 | -0.029104 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.167393 | 0.076363 | -0.037190 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.308037 | 0.815608 | -0.063138 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 1.239352 | 1.902977 | -0.079077 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 2.577405 | 0.335833 | -0.064900 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 3.770153 | 1.172923 | -0.077968 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 3.645493 | 2.033808 | 0.587092 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 3.983481 | 1.548100 | -1.090637 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.862919 | 0.210121 | 0.390120 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.862953 | 0.156962 | 1.484076 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 5.859418 | 0.510124 | 0.058753 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.405446 | -1.129324 | -0.201819 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.853693 | -1.994412 | 0.291185 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.663924 | -1.172776 | -1.265244 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.881134 | -1.085339 | -0.040540 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.356355 | -1.608304 | -0.850464 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.550140 | -1.527861 | 0.911752 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.225229 | -1.008859 | -0.018606 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -1.155075 | 1.787629 | -0.047696 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -2.312790 | 0.040429 | -0.001203 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | -3.661898 | 0.651546 | 0.006906 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | -3.972939 | -1.649129 | 0.052101 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -4.449479 | -2.623367 | 0.076834 |
| 24 | 6 | 0 | -3.999286 | 1.951910 | -0.011998 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | -5.040794 | 2.256152 | -0.002774 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -3.253020 | 2.739607 | -0.038059 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -4.614287 | -0.473171 | 0.040408 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -5.690105 | -0.333774 | 0.053256 |
| 29 | 6 | 0 | -2.475179 | -1.469507 | 0.027641 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | -2.000185 | -1.920410 | 0.910394 |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | -2.025006 | -1.951372 | -0.851777 |

Fulvene trienaine E12'


HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) =-560.0912156 Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.271814$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.230197$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.132359 | -0.547378 | 0.028635 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.172335 | 0.040713 | 0.037266 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.289509 | -0.742835 | 0.061532 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 1.177812 | -1.826813 | 0.073492 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 2.568307 | -0.313723 | 0.067482 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 3.730157 | -1.198531 | 0.066895 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 3.566748 | -2.047108 | -0.604591 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 3.927779 | -1.587659 | 1.076379 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.858202 | -0.275125 | -0.395569 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.857839 | -0.210908 | -1.488841 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 5.842174 | -0.618672 | -0.069828 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.456555 | 1.075167 | 0.211609 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.939936 | 1.926112 | -0.272227 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.715431 | 1.096672 | 1.275466 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.932965 | 1.097340 | 0.047589 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.426614 | 1.636964 | 0.856760 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.624160 | 1.551219 | -0.905589 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.261489 | 1.122643 | 0.020912 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -1.165092 | -1.639267 | 0.048779 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -2.324033 | 0.111284 | -0.001140 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | -3.644396 | -0.533426 | -0.007600 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | -2.559540 | 1.554259 | -0.035497 |
| 23 | 6 | 0 | -3.903212 | 1.753678 | -0.060509 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -1.791888 | 2.317618 | -0.041899 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | -4.407576 | 2.712287 | -0.090149 |
| 26 | 6 | 0 | -3.877273 | -2.012959 | 0.023949 |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | -4.948221 | -2.230344 | 0.001328 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -3.416797 | -2.514809 | -0.835018 |
| 29 | 6 | 0 | -4.577702 | 0.455462 | -0.042663 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | -5.652365 | 0.311090 | -0.055304 |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | -3.463442 | -2.468855 | 0.930980 |

## Trienamine E13



HF $($ M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) $=-595.9781919$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.247817$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.206159$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.150482 | 0.733600 | -0.031192 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.145015 | 0.104943 | -0.038036 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.289715 | 0.838323 | -0.062832 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 1.230847 | 1.926266 | -0.077863 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 2.554690 | 0.347002 | -0.064257 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 3.754793 | 1.172983 | -0.073757 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 3.638317 | 2.032315 | 0.594972 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 3.971803 | 1.550627 | -1.084843 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.838766 | 0.198248 | 0.390306 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.838701 | 0.141168 | 1.484075 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 5.837897 | 0.490275 | 0.059652 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.368385 | -1.134769 | -0.206064 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.809116 | -2.005723 | 0.283374 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.625508 | -1.176680 | -1.269901 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.844746 | -1.077413 | -0.043074 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.313894 | -1.593396 | -0.853213 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.510529 | -1.518553 | 0.908490 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.182098 | -0.979735 | -0.019119 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -1.197529 | 1.820382 | -0.051851 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -2.327768 | 0.082803 | -0.001624 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | -3.715626 | 0.575124 | 0.007986 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | -3.665614 | -1.682805 | 0.052640 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -3.836389 | -2.750030 | 0.076356 |
| 24 | 6 | 0 | -4.147459 | 1.850305 | -0.011207 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | -5.207588 | 2.075883 | -0.000920 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -3.457409 | 2.686584 | -0.037509 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -4.519508 | -0.649704 | 0.043728 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -5.597817 | -0.704789 | 0.059733 |
| 29 | 8 | 0 | -2.354323 | -1.311679 | 0.027139 |

## Trienamine E14



HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) = -576.1207353Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.260177$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.218584$ Hartrees

Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.147217 | 0.704635 | 0.000538 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.157289 | 0.087435 | -0.027876 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.300043 | 0.816200 | 0.076473 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 1.234240 | 1.896341 | 0.202694 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 2.570531 | 0.332573 | 0.052214 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 3.760030 | 1.172207 | 0.072709 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 3.669969 | 1.948959 | 0.839082 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 3.921750 | 1.664292 | -0.899426 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.872941 | 0.165574 | 0.365570 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.919365 | -0.028533 | 1.442382 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 5.853775 | 0.512907 | 0.033718 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.392616 | -1.087629 | -0.378211 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.855253 | -2.007940 | -0.015540 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.618226 | -0.993329 | -1.445737 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.872512 | -1.071650 | -0.166665 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.325279 | -1.455434 | -1.038617 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.566831 | -1.666880 | 0.707262 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.223198 | -0.989559 | -0.163161 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -1.188341 | 1.791179 | 0.036667 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -2.327818 | 0.048755 | -0.013549 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | -3.701586 | 0.607826 | -0.041564 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | -3.820803 | -1.659093 | 0.155788 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -4.127834 | -2.692298 | 0.255218 |
| 24 | 6 | 0 | -4.057402 | 1.896720 | -0.206231 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | -5.102533 | 2.185589 | -0.215440 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -3.320302 | 2.681030 | -0.340567 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -4.587068 | -0.551489 | 0.103963 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -5.666641 | -0.516261 | 0.122864 |
| 29 | 7 | 0 | -2.469768 | -1.358030 | 0.002327 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | -1.768801 | -1.928817 | 0.451153 |

Fulvene trienamine E14'


HF $(\mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}))=-576.138208$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.260572$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction $=0.218975$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.124805 | -0.524237 | 0.028073 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.175620 | 0.060634 | 0.036302 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.290971 | -0.730905 | 0.062381 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 1.171225 | -1.814051 | 0.075887 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 2.567785 | -0.310860 | 0.068536 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 3.726049 | -1.203421 | 0.071548 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 3.555573 | -2.054312 | -0.594643 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 3.919371 | -1.586134 | 1.083716 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 4.858867 | -0.288677 | -0.395402 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 4.857910 | -0.227913 | -1.488803 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 5.840575 | -0.637988 | -0.069469 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.467005 | 1.065802 | 0.208823 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.955790 | 1.911993 | -0.277577 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.726484 | 1.088455 | 1.272413 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 2.943780 | 1.099153 | 0.044938 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.440328 | 1.643503 | 0.852166 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.638320 | 1.549885 | -0.910212 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.265994 | 1.142392 | 0.018550 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -1.163657 | -1.615865 | 0.048357 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -2.315932 | 0.138575 | -0.001676 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | -3.639592 | -0.497214 | -0.008892 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | -2.608376 | 1.562736 | -0.036500 |
| 23 | 6 | 0 | -3.962471 | 1.649657 | -0.059915 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -1.897223 | 2.377327 | -0.043819 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | -4.573426 | 2.543143 | -0.088285 |
| 26 | 6 | 0 | -3.910320 | -1.969375 | 0.024033 |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | -4.988739 | -2.130269 | -0.013811 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -3.449062 | -2.481907 | -0.827078 |
| 29 | 7 | 0 | -4.594052 | 0.388297 | -0.042770 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | -3.520363 | -2.426689 | 0.939976 |

## Trienamine E15



HF $($ M062X/6-31+G(d,p) $)=-918.9451571$ Hartrees Imaginary Frequencies: none found Zero-point correction $=0.244509($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.201144$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | X | Y | z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.904449 | 0.848680 | -0.035707 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.374340 | 0.191099 | -0.050000 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.538101 | 0.897517 | -0.067011 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 1.503511 | 1.986538 | -0.072611 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 2.787721 | 0.376503 | -0.071522 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 4.009690 | 1.171801 | -0.067592 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 3.907548 | 2.033227 | 0.600365 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 4.244949 | 1.543136 | -1.076453 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 5.063311 | 0.168702 | 0.405691 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 5.049996 | 0.108963 | 1.499206 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 6.072905 | 0.436169 | 0.086552 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 4.565848 | -1.150474 | -0.199578 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 4.979063 | -2.033600 | 0.291784 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 4.832449 | -1.196168 | -1.260854 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 3.042588 | -1.055648 | -0.051400 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 2.506793 | -1.556347 | -0.867592 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | 2.688440 | -1.488640 | 0.896372 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.400287 | -0.895516 | -0.042328 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | -0.896851 | 1.938833 | -0.043648 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | -2.112719 | 0.244530 | -0.011227 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | -3.440987 | 0.897337 | 0.008059 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | -4.035899 | -1.383803 | 0.059527 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | -4.631724 | -2.287372 | 0.086978 |
| 24 | 6 | 0 | -3.680938 | 2.223209 | -0.015189 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | -4.698562 | 2.597339 | 0.004997 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -2.883016 | 2.956432 | -0.055702 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -4.492259 | -0.122731 | 0.054293 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -5.544707 | 0.136373 | 0.078043 |
| 29 | 16 | 0 | -2.287805 | -1.531013 | 0.012272 |

Iminium E16


HF (M062X/6-31+G(d,p)) $=-560.4940946$ Hartrees
Imaginary Frequencies: none found
Zero-point correction $=0.285296($ Hartree $/$ Particle $)$
Thermal correction $=0.244124$ Hartrees
Coordinates from last standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | x | Y | z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 1.050474 | 0.600880 | 0.029048 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -0.181101 | -0.017489 | 0.038623 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -1.347808 | 0.772659 | 0.065486 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -1.244281 | 1.857721 | 0.076372 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | -2.574357 | 0.324044 | 0.074431 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | -3.774617 | 1.191121 | 0.079290 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | -3.604868 | 2.061218 | -0.557618 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -3.963140 | 1.524223 | 1.106063 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | -4.873454 | 0.251832 | -0.410438 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -4.858669 | 0.193769 | -1.503345 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | -5.863919 | 0.583290 | -0.096478 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | -4.465252 | -1.091247 | 0.206107 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -4.932726 | -1.947362 | -0.281606 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | -4.731213 | -1.116785 | 1.267176 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | -2.942703 | -1.107640 | 0.047772 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -2.424758 | -1.638050 | 0.850893 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -2.631318 | -1.526845 | -0.915908 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | -0.258488 | -1.099964 | 0.021048 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | 1.064196 | 1.690586 | 0.047219 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | 2.299293 | -0.054039 | -0.001204 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | 3.534538 | 0.569719 | -0.007357 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | 3.997449 | -1.672574 | -0.056040 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | 4.517506 | -2.622908 | -0.082144 |
| 24 | 6 | 0 | 3.846733 | 2.026383 | 0.016253 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | 4.441554 | 2.291085 | -0.863977 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | 2.960065 | 2.660142 | 0.036065 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | 4.570681 | -0.448767 | -0.041525 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | 5.632666 | -0.233378 | -0.052921 |
| 29 | 6 | 0 | 2.506428 | -1.545173 | -0.032106 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | 2.055414 | -2.012549 | -0.917759 |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | 2.080605 | -2.045493 | 0.848001 |
| 32 | 1 | 0 | 4.457908 | 2.259006 | 0.894444 |
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## Part VI - Annexes


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of IBX (300 MHz in DMSO-d6).

## NMR Spectra of Catalysts


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of catalyst IIIa (300 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of carbamate 179 ( 300 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of cyclic carbamate $\mathbf{1 8 0}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of cyclic carbamate $\mathbf{1 8 0}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of catalyst IV (300 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of catalyst XII ( 300 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of bifunctional catalyst XIII ( 300 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of bifunctional catalyst XIV $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of bisthiourea XXI ( 300 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of thiourea XIX $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right)$.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $S$-phenylalalinol ( 75 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of Urea 181 ( 300 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of Urea 181 ( 75 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of Urea $182\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of Urea 182 ( 75 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of guanidinium $\mathbf{X X}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of guanidinium $\mathbf{X X}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{1 8 4}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{1 8 4}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{1 8 5}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $187\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{1 8 8}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of bifunctional aminocatalyst $\mathbf{X V}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

NMR Spectra for the Synthesis of Dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indole Scaffolds

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of aldehyde $\mathbf{1 8 9}$ (300 MHz in DMSO-d6).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $131\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of alcohol $190\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of alcohol 190 ( 75 MHz in DMSO).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of aldehyde $191\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{Z} \mathbf{- 1 9 2}$ ( 300 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\boldsymbol{E}-192\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ (300 MHz in DMSO-d6).

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ ( 75 MHz in DMSO-d6).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 3-olefinic oxindole 193 ( 300 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 3-olefinic oxindole $67\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

## NMR Spectra for the High Order Cycloadditions


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of fulvene $146\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of fulvene 157 ( 300 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of fulvene $162\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the product from the attempted synthesis of $\mathbf{1 5 9}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

# NMR Spectra of Ireland-Claisen Rearrangement 


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of alcohol 171 ( 300 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of alcohol $\mathbf{1 7 1}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of alcohol $\mathbf{1 9 4 a}$ ( 300 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of alcohol $\mathbf{1 9 4 b}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of ester 169 ( 300 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of ester $\mathbf{1 6 9 a}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of ester $\mathbf{1 6 9 b}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of ester $\mathbf{1 6 9 b}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ spectrum of ester $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ ( 300 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of ester $\mathbf{1 7 5}$, prepared via Method $\mathrm{A}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of ester $\mathbf{1 7 5}$, prepared via Method $\mathrm{B}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of ester $\mathbf{1 7 5 b}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of mesylated alcohol 195 ( 300 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of ester $177\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.



[^0]:    ${ }^{i}$ Attempts to free-base the guanidinium prior to purification by FC failed to give the desired compound in a pure form suitable for use, free-basing after FC gave a pale-yellow oil which was hard to handle.

