A forest health study of bur oak (*Quercus macrocarpa* Michx.) and trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides* Michx.) stands near a coal-fired generating station, southeastern Manitoba

By
Rachel Boone

A thesis presented to the University of Manitoba in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science in the Faculty of Graduate Studies

Department of Botany
University of Manitoba
Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada
R3T 2N2

THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA

FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES

*****

COPYRIGHT PERMISSION PAGE

A forest health study of bur oak (*Quercus macrocarpa* Michx.) And trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides* Michx.) stands near a coal-fired generating station, southeastern Manitoba

BY

Rachel Boone

A Thesis/Practicum submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies of The University

of Manitoba in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree

of

Master of Science

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Brin Jones and Mason Kulbaba for their tireless and enthusiastic help in the field and the lab, Yvonne Beaubien from Manitoba Conservation for advice in implementing the forest health assessment, Dr. Richard Staniforth for helping with the identification of my vegetation, and Dr. Geoff Scott for assistance with my soil classification and analysis. Thanks to Gerry Becker at Manitoba Conservation for providing aerial photographs and the forest health inventory of my study area, Keith Knowles at Manitoba Conservation for giving recommendations on criteria for selecting study stands, and France Conciatori for her help in the processing of my tree-ring samples. I am also indebted to the many residents within my study area that allowed me to set up study plots on their property. Sincere thanks to my committee members: Dr. Sylvie Renault for valuable input on the trace element toxicology; Dr. Jacques Tardif for many hours of counsel on the dendrochronological portion of my study; and Dr. Neil Holliday for indispensable advice with both the initial study design and the statistical analysis. Thanks to my advisor, Dr. Richard Westwood, for giving me the opportunity to do this research; and for guiding me through the many components of this project, particularly the forest health and public relations aspects. Thanks to my family, friends, and fellow students for their support over the past two years, and especially to my husband, Brandon (self-proclaimed "plantologist"), for always making sure I had a homemade, gourmet dinner in my belly and a smile on my face after long days in the field and the lab. This project was funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, and Manitoba Hydro (facilitated by Manitoba Conservation).

ABSTRACT

A forest health assessment was performed in stands dominated by bur oak and trembling aspen to study the potential effects of airborne emissions from a 132 MW coal-fired generating station. Forty-two stands were sampled within a 16-km radius of the station for both foliar symptoms and trace element toxicology, and a subset of these were sampled using dendrochronological methods. The concentrations of trace elements in the leaf litter were not spatially congruent with airborne emission deposition models, nor were they at phytotoxic levels, but were related to soil parameters including organic matter and texture. No patterns were found in forest health along directional or distance gradients from the generating station. Trembling aspen stands demonstrated little decline in general, but three of the 19 bur oak plots, all located on thin sandy soils developed on calcareous till, demonstrated branch dieback. In addition to poor soil conditions, two of these sites also had high water tables resulting from the construction of an adjacent road, and exhibited tree mortality. One of these declining bur oak sites was examined with dendrochronological techniques, and displayed marked radial growth decline beginning in 1974, with very suppressed growth after 1977, the year the road was built. None of the other bur oak or trembling aspen stands showed distinct radial decline, and displayed similar radial growth patterns regardless of distance from or direction relative to the generating station. The radial growth of both species was significantly affected by climatic factors. The bur oak decline does not appear to be related to emissions from the station, but is suspected to be a result of poor site status, with urban development as a confounding factor.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

The Manitoba Hydro Selkirk Generating Station, a coal-fired electrical generating plant, is located just east of the town of Selkirk and the Red River, and west of Cooks Creek (50°08′ N 96°51′ W). The 132 MW station (two 66 MW units) began operation in 1961, and the primary operating roles were during periods of increased demand (e.g. winter), drought, system failure of the provincial hydro-based network, or to allow hydraulic facilities to maintain or increase reservoir storage (SENES, 2001). Historically, years of peak production were 1976-77, 1987-88, 1998 and 2000; however the maximum annual production levels in 1988 were approximately 42% of the station's full capacity. The main air pollutants from this generating station included sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and trace elements (MAXXAM, 2001).

Increased production from the generating station in 1998 and 2000 coincided with tree decline noted by area residents (south of the station) in the spring of 2000. In particular, residents observed foliar chlorosis, branch dieback, and whole tree mortality at some locations south of the station. Subsequently, a number of independent biological studies were carried out near the generating station to study the potential effects of airborne pollutants; one looked at the concentrations of heavy elements in forest and agricultural soils (AXYS, 2001), and another focused on trace element concentrations in lichen tissue (Ehnes, 2002). The soil study found no discernable trends in concentrations of cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), arsenic (As), barium (Ba), and lead (Pb) at soil sampling stations at various distances and directions from the generating station. The lichen study carried out in the vicinity of this generating station by Ehnes (2002) found that strontium (Sr), barium (Ba) and boron (B) were the best fingerprint elements for deposition from the generating station, and these elements validated the generating station deposition model for predicted maximum wet and dry annual suspended particulate matter deposition along a SSE-NNW axis (SENES, 2001).

The research performed for this thesis formed a third study, which dealt with forest health in stands near the generating station. Trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides* Michx.) and bur oak (*Quercus macrocarpa* Michx.) are the dominant tree species surrounding the generating station, but American elm (*Ulmus americana* L.), green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica* Marsh.), and Manitoba maple (*Acer negundo* L.) are also present in some of the hardwood stands along the Red River. White spruce (*Picea glauca* (Moench.) Voss) occurs in some stands with trembling aspen, although pure stands are restricted to the southern portion of the study area (in and around Birds Hill Provincial Park). Forest stands situated within this agro-forestry interface are discontinuous, and the majority of these are privately owned remnants.

The main objectives of this study were: 1) To perform a forest health assessment of stands dominated by bur oak (*Quercus macrocarpa* Michx.) and trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides* Michx.) in the agro-forestry region surrounding the generating station; and 2) If forest health is found to be compromised, to determine if airborne emissions from the station can be linked to decline in the area. To address these objectives, three different approaches were employed: a detailed forest health assessment; a trace element toxicology component; and a dendroecological study.

This thesis is composed of a review of the relevant literature, a chapter focusing on the forest health assessment and trace element toxicology research, a chapter on the dendroecological study, and a general discussion.

CHAPTER 1

LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Point Source Pollution

1.1 Coal-fired generating stations

There have been many studies focusing on the adverse environmental effects of airborne pollutants originating from coal-fired electrical generating stations (e.g. Gupta and Gouse, 1987; Agrawal and Agrawal, 1989; Gupta et al., 1995). Some have utilized trace and heavy element concentrations in vegetation and soils as tracers for airborne deposition, while others have concentrated on the effects of the gaseous emissions on vegetation.

1.1.1 Trace elements: foliar and soil concentrations

Klein and Russell (1973) examined heavy metal fallout in the vicinity of a 650 MW station on the eastern shore of Lake Michigan. The stack height was 133 m, with 90% efficient electrostatic precipitators (ESP's). Soil samples from wooded areas around the station were enriched in Ag, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Hg, Ni, Ti and Zn, while plant materials (native grasses, maple leaves and pine needles) were enriched in Cd, Fe, Ni and Zn. The documented wind pattern was quite similar to the observed fallout patterns, with the most frequent wind component between 20-28 km/h. The northeastern enriched region was centred about 9.6 km from the station, illustrating that 20-28 km/h winds are sufficient to transport particulate emissions almost 10 km.

Wangen and Williams (1978) performed research near a power plant in New Mexico, consisting of two 175 MW units, one 225 MW unit, and two 800 MW units. Ash content of the coal was 22%, and units were equipped with ESP's or venturi scrubbers for particulate control. Enrichment ratios were calculated for a number of elements by dividing the concentration in the fly ash by the concentration in the soil for a given element. Deposition model results showed the maximum dry deposition of fly ash at about 4 km after an area of predicted low deposition (as a result of elevated stacks). Aluminum, Ba, K, Na, and Ti were found to increase in surface and sub-surface soils with distance, which was attributed to natural distribution patterns. Strontium concentrations decreased in sub-surface soils, which may be indicative of natural distribution patterns due to the similarity of Sr chemistry to Ca, which also showed significant negative slopes. It was determined that estimates of fly ash deposition to soils indicated that very little change in total soil concentrations would result from deposition, even for elements highly enriched in fly ash. Also, measuring the distribution pattern of elemental concentration in soils around a large coal-fired plant may not be sensitive enough to detect the impact on local soils.

Wangen and Turner (1980) measured concentrations of As, B, Br, Co, Cu, F, Ga, Li, Mo, Ni, Sr, V and Zn in 4 different grass, thistle and snakeweed species (*Hilaria jamesii* (Torr.) Benth., *Oryzopsis hymenoides* Roem. et Schult., *Salsola iberica* Senn. & Pau., and *Gutierrezia sarothae* (Pursh) Britton and Rusby) at distances between 8 and 120 km downwind from the same power plant studied by Wangen and Williams (1978). Regression analysis was used to analyze elemental concentrations of samples for distance trends. The enrichment ratio for Sr was found to be six, with a significantly negative slope in the regression analysis, and V also had an enrichment factor of six. Strontium was identified as a prime candidate for an indicator of coal-fired power plant contamination and potential ecological effects.

Long and Davis (1989) looked at major and trace element concentrations in surface organic layers, mineral soil, and white oak (*Quercus alba* L.) xylem down-wind from a 623

MW coal-fired power plant. Four sites located 0.25, 1.2, 2.0 and 10.3 km downwind from the station were sampled. Only Sr concentrations showed a consistent pattern of greatest accumulation in the xylem during periods when emission stacks were lowest, and at sites closest to the generating station. Elements that are potentially toxic were not found at increased concentrations in the xylem, and Sr was identified as a sensitive indicator of historical fly-ash deposition.

The above studies used trace elements as tracers for deposition from coal-fired stations, but few researchers have incorporated environmental impact assessments into such deposition research. In a report by Van Voris et al. (1985), the authors examined the release of trace elements from four different Canadian coal-fired generating stations. The four stations studied were a 735 MW operation in Alberta using a sub-bituminous coal; a 300 MW station in Saskatchewan using a lignite coal; a 4000 MW station in Ontario using bituminous coal; and a 300 MW station in Nova Scotia that used a high-sulphur bituminous coal. The suite of elements used for detecting deposition included Sr, Ba, Cd, As, V, Mb, and Ni. Maximum total particulate matter in deposition models was within 10 km of the station in Alberta, and most trace elements were predicted to show maximum deposition within 5 km of the station over a 30-year operation period. It was found that Sr, a good "tracer" for deposition from coal-fired stations and not known to be environmentally toxic, was concentrated in the humus and litter layers of the aspen groves. Strontium is of little concern as a biotoxicant in the terrestrial environment, therefore its main value is to serve as an early warning of potential build-up of other elements (Van Voris et al., 1985).

An environmental assessment was performed at three of the Canadian coal-fired stations studied by Van Voris et al. (1985), and no major impacts on vegetation were found.

The many trace elements from coal-fired station emissions, particularly the heavy metals, were theorized to be bound in organic compounds or clay particles in soil, which rendered them not readily available for biological uptake. When the soil is basic, hydroxides and other complexes are formed, decreasing bioavailability (Van Voris et al., 1985). It was concluded that for the most part, controlled trace element releases from stacks at coal-fired generating stations do not represent an environmental hazard either in the short or long term (50 years).

1.1.2 Pollutant combinations: gaseous and particulate emissions

Many large coal-fired electrical generating stations burn coals with a high ash content, and their airborne emissions, both gaseous and particulate, result in visible, adverse effects on surrounding vegetation. In general, these stations have prolonged high production levels and use coals with an elevated ash content.

Agrawal and Agrawal (1989) studied a 1550 MW coal-fired station in India, and the impacts of airborne pollutants on the surrounding vegetation. The coal used by the station contained over 30% ash and 0.5 - 2% sulphur, and the two stacks emitting pollutants were 105 and 120 m high. Five study sites northeast of the station (direction of the prevailing wind) along a 10 km transect line, and one control site 30 km north were selected for sampling. Leaves from three different fruit trees (*Mangifera indica* L., *Citrus medica* L. and *Boµgainvillaea spectabilis* Willd.) were collected quarterly to measure leaf area injury, amount of dust settled on foliar surfaces and chlorophyll, ascorbic acid and sulphur content. Air sampling was also done throµghout the year, and sulphur dioxide and suspended particulate matter (SPM) concentrations were found to be quite high in the immediate vicinity of the power plant. A direct relationship between the concentration of SPM in air and amount of dust deposited on leaf surfaces was found. Also, sulphur concentrations in the leaves of plants closer to the power plant were higher than those farther away. Study sites within 4 km of the station demonstrated both necrosis and interveinal chlorosis as visible injury symptoms. In all plant species, leaf injury gradually decreased with increasing distance from the power plant. The chlorophyll and ascorbic acid contents were low in plants nearer to the station, with a gradual increase at increasing distance from the source.

Gupta et al. (1995) assessed the effect of thermal power plant emissions on the morphological, chemical and biochemical properties of 12 tree species around an industrial complex in India. The study stations in the area generated around 3610 MW of electricity, using coal with a 40% ash composition. Sampling stations were set up downwind from the station, up to 10 km away (the distance in which plants showed visible injury symptoms), and a control site was selected 20 km from the station. Trees were examined for foliar damage and leaves were collected for determination of particulate matter, pH, chlorophyll, and mineral content. Soil samples (0-15 cm) were tested for pH, organic matter, and available plant nutrients (S, N, P, and K). Injured leaves were given a leaf injury index, based on the necrotic area over the total leaf area. Leaf injury symptoms (necrosis and chlorosis) were found in almost all plant species within 5 km of the power plant. Soil samples showed decreasing organic matter, S, and K, and increasing pH, N and P with increasing distance from the power plant. It was concluded that a higher accumulation of S, decrease in photosynthetic leaf area with chlorosis, and N and P content are some changes induced by SO_2 pollution.

Sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and total suspended particulate matter (SPM) were the main pollutants studied by Beg and Farooq (1990) from a generating station in India. Air samples were taken for SO_2, NO_X and SPM. Sulphur dioxide and NO_X concentrations were found to be below allowable levels, but sites that had significantly lower levels were treated as the controls. This study used chlorophyll destruction as an index of pollution damage, and ranked different tree species as to their sensitivity to pollution. In general, chlorophyll content of leaves was decreased in plants at the polluted sites. Several sensitive plants had accumulated metals (Fe, Zn, Cu, Mn, Pb) in their tissues, which may have also had an effect on chlorophyll reduction. It was found that the presence of particulate matter may enhance the absorption of other pollutants, so even if SO_2 and NO_X are present in low concentration, the high SPM levels could increase their absorption.

Gupta and Ghouse (1987) studied 30-year old banyan (*Ficus bengalensis* L.) trees in the vicinity of a coal-fired power plant in India, consuming 3500 tonnes of low-grade bituminous coal per day. Sulphur dioxide was the main component of coal smoke pollutants from this station, with NO_2, CO_2, fly ash and particulate matter also emitted. Sampling was carried out on two sites, one 0.5 km from the station, and another 20 km from the station. Mature leaves (50 from each site) were collected, as were cross-sections of stem from the trees. It was found that interveinal chlorosis, necrosis, and decreased dry weight and pigment levels in leaves were present in the site near the station. Additionally, the frequency of smaller vessels in xylem tissue increased in the site close to the pollution source. The decrease in secondary vascular tissue and vessel dimensions in young shoots showed an inhibition of cambial activity and vessel growth by the pollutants.

A power plant studied in Slovakia by Mankovska (1994) consumed around 4 million t of brown coal annually. Other large pollution sources in the vicinity were a power and heating plant and a chemical plant, which also used the brown coal, high in S and As.

Concentrations of S, As and heavy metals were analyzed in spruce and pine needles, beech leaves and mosses at varying distances from the station. The authors found that emissions of SO_2, As and heavy metals, and solid particulates negatively affected the health state of forest stands. Sulphur levels in vegetation were very high, indicating a possible SO_2 effect.

Despite the lengthy documentation of the adverse effects of coal-fired emissions on vegetation, not all studies near these stations demonstrate obvious, negative pollution effects. For example, Muir and McCune (1988) studied foliar symptoms in sμgar maple (*Acer saccharum* Marsh.), ash (*Fraxinus* spp.), yellow poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera* L.), white oak (*Quercus alba* L.), and red oak (*Quercus rubra* L.) in southern Indiana and Illinois. This study combined three common assessments of air pollution impacts on vegetation: tree growth rates, foliar symptoms and lichen communities on tree trunks. Herbivore loss was recorded as exposure to air pollutants may change plant vulnerability to insects. The study area was between 2.2 and 4.4 km north and west of the station (high dose area), and the second was 60 km away from any large point sources (low dose area). The station emitted 2.77×10^5 Mg/yr of SO_2 and 7.07×10^4 Mg/yr of NO_X in 1985, and the station's stack heights were 152 m. The authors found that year-to-year variations in oak growth at the site near the station were negatively correlated with SO_2 emissions from the station, but few differences were evident in terms of foliar symptoms between areas. It was stressed that chlorosis and necrosis can indicate many different problems, and the lack of differences between the two areas was attributed to the fact that factors other than emissions from the power plant (histories and microsites of individual trees, climate) are more important in controlling tree growth.

Another study by Rice et al. (1983) found no foliar decline symptoms in the vicinity of an electrical generating station. Two years after the authors began monitoring foliar symptoms of ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa* Douglas ex Lawson) in a relatively unpolluted area, a 700 MW coal-fired generating station began operating. No consistent changes were observed in the foliar characteristics after the power plant started running, and it was concluded that the station's emissions did not measurably impact the pine ecosystem in its early years of operation. This study was unique in that baseline information existed prior to the operation of the power plant.

1.2 <u>Non-coal industrial operations</u>

1.2.1 Trace elements

Djingova et al. (1993) analyzed leaves of dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale* Weber), black poplar (*Populus nigra* L.), white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.), sheep sorrel (*Rumex acetosella* L.), annual ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum* Lam.) and annual bluegrass (*Poa annua* L.) for heavy metal pollution in the vicinities of a copper smelter and a lead-zinc smelter in Bulgaria. Different species accumulated certain elements more than the others. As distance from the smelter increased, there was a decrease in As, Pb, and Co in black poplar. This area was heavily polluted, with Cu concentrations in the soil reaching 2000 ppm. Arsenic was shown to have an enrichment factor (EF) of 72, while Ba had an EF of 1.9 (as determined by comparison to background concentrations in non-polluted areas). The authors recommended using EF information when comparing elemental concentrations in different species, if local background information is available. It was concluded that dandelion, black poplar, and white clover were suitable bioindicators for elemental contamination in plants.

Some studies integrate the elemental content of foliage with other leaf parameters to establish causal relationships. For instance, a study by Bussotti et al. (1997) surveyed the crown status of Turkey oak (*Quercus cerris* L.) trees in Italy, in the vicinity of a geothermal power plant. Crown thinness was assessed, as were leaf area, dry weight, and nutrient (S, N, K) and metal (B, As, Hg) content of the foliage. Macroscopic damage in trees near the power plant included chlorosis and necrosis of the leaf's edge, which was attributed to increased boron levels very close to the source, while crown thinness was linked to the nature of the geological substrate. There was a negative correlation between sulphur and distance from the power plants. Also, B levels correlated negatively with leaf area, and As levels correlated positively with specific dry weight.

1.2.2 Gaseous pollutants: tree-ring studies

Reduced tree-ring widths have been found in several areas where sulphur (SO_2) was known to occur in high concentrations. Fox et al. (1986) quantitatively linked tree-ring variation in western larch (*Larix occidentalis* Nutt.) to sulphur emissions from the lead-zinc smelter at Trail, B.C. Following the initiation of smelting, it was found that the variation explained by sulphur decreased with distance from the smelter. Eversten et al. (1986) discovered that industrial sulphur dioxide emissions from a fertilizer manufacturing company caused a decrease in annual ring width and mean annual density in Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.). Fluctuations in these wood properties coincided with the start of production of the factory as well as a change in the manufacturing process. McClenahen and Dochinger (1985) studied white oak (*Quercus alba* L.) tree ring chronologies along a gradient of industrial sulphur dioxide air pollution gradient in the Ohio River Valley. Response functions relating standardized ring-width indices with principal components of climate for sites nearest the industrial area showed a strong non-climatic influence from 1930 to 1978, which did not appear at sites far from the pollution source, or in the 30 years prior to 1930.

Similarly, Thompson (1981) sampled stands of singleleaf pinyon pine (*Pinus monophylla* Torr. & Frem.) in east-central Nevada (two sites near a copper smelter, and three control sites far from the smelter), and found that site chronologies for all sites were highly and positively correlated before smelting began in 1908, but after this date there was a significant decrease in the correlation of the chronology from the site closest to the smelter with the other chronologies. This led Thompson (1981) to suggest that trees on the site nearest the smelter were limited by both climate and sulphur dioxide air pollution. Eckstein et al. (1989) found that climatic extremes were not sufficient to explain all of the decline symptoms in Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.) trees in a study in northern Germany, and speculated that air pollution from a nearby industrial area was likely to have been a stress factor for tree growth (however, measured emission records were not available for the area to confirm this hypothesis).

1.2.3 Pollutant combinations: gaseous and particulate substances

Balsberg-Pahlsson (1989) carried out a study in which the carbohydrate and nitrogen content in leaves or needles of downy birch (*Betula pubescens* Ehrh.), silver birch (*Betula pendula* Roth), Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.), and Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) were examined in two industrial areas in Sweden. One site was near a brass foundry, polluted by Cu and Zn, and the other was near a smelter, polluted by SO_2 and heavy metals. Close to the emission sources, the metal concentration (Cu, Zn, Cd, Pb) in tree leaves and needles were increased, and dropped off sharply with increasing distance. In most leaf and needle samples nearest to the pollution sources, levels of starch and total sugars were significantly higher than in the control plots. Heavy metals and SO_2 may inhibit several processes such as hydrolysis of starch and sucrose and the transport of sucrose. These changes occur before visible tree damage, so may be useful indicators of pollution damage at early stages of injury.

Other research has focused on urban centres, which are the source of various pollutant mixtures. A study by McClenahen (1983) looked at height-growth in white ash (*Fraxinus americana* L.) and northern red oak (*Quercus rubra* L.) trees in the vicinity of an industrial city in central Ohio. Natural forest stands, typically farm woodlots of 2-20 ha, were sampled within 25 km of the city. Multiple regression analysis showed that both distance from the city and relative pollution exposure were both significant in the growth models of both species. Growth of younger trees was more suppressed by pollution exposure than older trees.

2. Phytomonitoring of Air Pollutants

2.1 Plants as indicators of air pollution

Plants can act as living filters for air pollutants, through absorption, adsorption, detoxification, metabolization and accumulation of pollutants (Agrawal et al., 1991). Ideally, indicator variables for monitoring pollution damage in plants should be easily measured, have little observer bias, and be sensitive to natural or anthropogenic stressors of forest health (Busing et al., 1996). Foliar symptoms are the most widely used bioindicator of air pollution. The presence or absence of foliar injury has been used to identify areas of impact, and the type of foliar injury has been utilized to differentiate among various possible pollutants (Tingey, 1989). Needle damage indices have been used to incorporate different qualitative needle damage classes into a single variable for comparison between study sites (Haapala et al., 1996; Tichy, 1996). Many other approaches have been taken to measure pollutant stress in trees, including photosynthesis and stomatal conductance, leaf pigments, chlorophyll fluorescence, metabolite content, enzyme activity and genetic analysis (Saxe, 1996). Agrawal et al. (1991) used levels of total chlorophyll, ascorbic acid, leaf extract, pH and relative water content to develop an air pollution tolerance index (APTI) for plants growing in field conditions. Cox (1988) used *in vitro* pollen germination and germ tube growth as a measure of injury in higher plant species due to acidity and trace metals. This is a potential bioindication method of the effect of air pollutants on reproduction processes. Tree mortality is another useful indicator of forest condition, however one disadvantage is that there may be a significant time interval between the declining health of a tree and its death (Busing et al., 1996).

A complication in using plants as indicators of air pollution is that different pollutants may act additively, synergistically, or antagonistically (Mulgrew and Williams, 2000). Plants in areas of industrial development are usually exposed to a combination of air pollutants, and although one can estimate the overall effect of a number of pollutants, the level of response may not be congruent with laboratory exposure studies (Agrawal et al., 1991). It is common to see plant injuries that are caused by complex combinations of causes, which may never be identified (Innes, 1993). The categories of visible injury are few, while the possible causes are countless.

2.1.1 Elemental concentrations

Elevated leaf and needle tissue concentrations of various elements have been extensively used to establish the presence of different air pollutants (Lawrey, 1979;

Kovacs, 1992; Djingova et al., 1993; Truby, 1995; Haapala et al., 1996; Mulgrew and Williams, 2000). Challenges using this method may include the following: phytotoxicity thresholds differ among plant species; soil properties influence the rates at which metals transfer to plants; roots may sequester metals, preventing them from moving to the foliage; and foliar chemistry may be affected by other environmental factors such as pH or water availability (Ross and Kaye, 1994).

Cork, the dead and non-functional phloem, may also be sampled in pollution studies, as high concentrations of many metals are usually found in the outermost layers of the bark (Huhn et al., 1995; Wolterbeek and Bode, 1995; Zhang et al., 1995; Poikolainen, 1997). Pine bark was deemed to be a suitable bioindicator of heavy metal deposition by Huhn et al. (1995) as it is inert after formation, shows good accumulation properties, and is easy to handle as sample material. Measuring accumulated elements in plants rather than directly from the environment provides an integrated rather than a one-time value, and it gives a biological content rather than a simple concentration (Saxe, 1996).

The easiest residues to monitor are those present only in trace quantities (e.g. Zn, Na, Cl) or those not normally used in metabolic processes (e.g. F. Mg) (Taylor et al., 1986). Sulphur dioxide and NO_X pollution can cause an increase in N and S in the leaves, but because they are important plant nutrients they are normally present at high concentrations. Additionally, these nutrients vary significantly with species, age of plant tissue, season and soil nutrition. It has been suggested that analysis of S content in the twigs may be more reliable than foliar analysis as it is less variable (Taylor et al., 1986). Once the concentration zones in the environment are mapped, other biological responses can be associated with various levels of the toxicant. Chemical analysis of foliage may be useful in the identification of a residue specific to a particular pollutant, but should only be used in conjunction with visible injury assessment. Both chemical and bioindicator methods have their advantages, and a combination of both should be implemented to carry out an adequate environmental assessment (Tingey, 1989). Additionally, soil samples can be analyzed for nutrient imbalance or pH level, to determine other possible stress factors (Taylor et al., 1986).

2.1.2 Tree-ring analysis

In many assessments of pollution damage to forests, there is a certain limitation in terms of the timeframe of the study. Often studies are restricted to describing tree health only during the period of a given investigation (i.e. a few years), and this leads to the problem of not being able to describe the changing condition of a tree over time. Tree-ring studies allow a long-term evaluation of tree health by means of annual radial growth trends. For example, increased ambient SO_2 results in a decreased CO_2 uptake in trees (Keller, 1980), and subsequently a decreased photosynthetic rate. Heavy metal toxicity also causes inhibition of photosynthesis, additional to induced nutrient deficiencies and water stress (Malhotra and Blauel, 1980). Tree ring analysis can identify such effects on tree growth because the damage to photosynthetic tissues can influence the amount of growth that occurs in other parts of the tree, especially the growth of annual rings (Thompson, 1981). The activity of the cambium depends on availability of water, starch, soluble sugars, minerals, and growth hormones (Ulrich and Pankrath, 1983). Reduced photosynthesis results in reduced accumulation of carbohydrates, which eventually slows cambial growth and wood cell lignification (Yunus and Iqbal, 1996). This can result in decreased ring width, even in the absence of visible injury.

The tree-ring studies that may have come the closest to establishing a direct cause-and-effect relationship between pollutants and tree growth have been those conducted near point sources of pollution. In those studies it has been possible to compare trees from impacted areas and unaffected areas (Nash et al., 1975; Thompson, 1981; Fox et al., 1986; Arp and Manasc, 1988). Statistical methods can be utilized to remove climatic influences on radial growth to yield a climate response model that can indicate whether declines in forest productivity are related to the modeled climatic variables, or to other influences such as atmospheric pollutants (Cook et al., 1987).

The most common, and simplest way in which annual tree-ring increment can be quantified is to measure the radial ring widths from increment cores sampled from the breast-height (1.3 m) region of the stem of a tree (Cook and Innes, 1989). These measures of tree growth can be obtained easily and non-destructively, and as such are very practical for studying certain properties of tree growth. Cross-sections can also be collected to supplement core samples, but this is obviously a more destructive method of sampling.

3. Air Pollutants and Natural Stressors

The air pollutants emitted from the Manitoba Hydro Selkirk generating station include sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and heavy metals (AXYS, 2001). These pollutants are known to have adverse effects on vegetation, including trees, near point sources. Natural biotic and abiotic stresses are also known to cause injury to trees. Despite considerable research on the specific mechanisms of damage, as well as foliar injury symptoms for these pollutants, it can still be a challenge to distinguish pollution injury from natural stress injury in the field.

3.1 Sulphur dioxide

3.1.1 Sulphur dioxide in the atmosphere

Sulphur dioxide (SO_2), produced from the combustion of coal and fuel oil, is the most common and widely investigated air pollutant (Taylor et al., 1986). Sulphur dioxide is the primary sulphur compound released into the atmosphere, which can be oxidized to sulphite (SO_3). Upon hydration, SO_3 forms sulphuric acid (H_2SO_4) (Mudd, 1975). Atmospheric deposition of H_2SO_4 may affect trees through soil acidification processes, including increased leaching of base cations (e.g. Ca and Mg) leading to nutrient deficiency; accumulation of nitrogen in organic matter which can increase a tree's demand for other nutrients and water; and lowering of pH and subsequent increase of toxic aluminum in the soil solution (Solberg and Torseth, 1997).

When SO_2 enters directly into leaves via the stomata, large surface areas of moist cells that are oxygen-rich during the day are exposed to this gas. Sulphur dioxide is then oxidized to toxic SO_3, and then to less-toxic sulphate (SO_4), which is neutralized in the plant cells (Applied Science Associates, 1978). If SO_2 is absorbed at a rate greater than that of SO_4 formation, the phytotoxic SO_3 will accumulate and cause injury.

3.1.2 Sulphur metabolism in plants

Sulphur dioxide, as a gas or one of its oxidized forms, is very water-soluble. If it is present at a very low concentration, it may be used directly by the plant to help meet sulphur requirements (Malhotra and Blauel, 1980). Sulphur is an essential nutrient for plants, and plants tend to take up SO_4, which is used for the formation of essential compounds (Mudd, 1975). Sulphur will accumulate in plant tissues whether it is absorbed from the soil or air. Below a certain concentration of SO_2, damage does not occur, most likely because the plant is able to metabolize the dissolved SO_2 to nontoxic products (Mudd, 1975). However, once the metabolic capacity (the "threshold") is exceeded, toxic compounds accumulate. If the SO_2 concentration increases beyond this threshold, photosynthesis, respiration and other biological processes are negatively affected (Malhotra and Blauel, 1980). Essentially, below the threshold concentration, no damage will occur to the plant, and above the threshold concentration damage can occur by combinations of concentration and exposure time (Mudd, 1975).

3.1.3 Foliar injury symptoms

Acute toxicity is defined as a large dose for a short duration, which can be lethal, while chronic toxicity is defined as a low dose over a long period of time, which can be lethal or sub-lethal (Ross and Kaye, 1994). The most common SO_2 acute injury symptom is interveinal chlorosis, in which areas between the leaf veins are bleached, and the destroyed tissue may become brown (Mudd, 1975; Taylor et al., 1986; Agrawal et al., 1991). The loss of green colour is a result of plasmolysis of the chloroplasts, with the destruction of chlorophyll causing a bleaching of the surface (Applied Science Associates, 1978). Other acute injury symptoms of SO_2 include ivory coloured necrosis on oak leaves and reddish necrosis on poplar leaves (Taylor et al., 1986). Aspen is considered to have an intermediate sensitivity to SO_2, while oak is considered to be relatively tolerant (Malhotra and Blauel, 1980; Taylor et al., 1986).

Temporary chlorosis, which foliage can recover from in a few days (i.e. chlorophyll production is not permanently affected), can be caused by low levels of SO_2

(less than 0.30 ppm) over short intervals (Malhotra and Blauel, 1980). Continuous disruptions to metabolic processes over an extended period will result in chronic injury symptoms, including chlorosis and stunted growth (Malhotra and Blauel, 1980). In chronic injury, plants will display marginal and interveinal chlorosis (Applied Science Associates, 1978).

3.1.4 Environmental factors

Soil moisture, air humidity, air temperature, nutrition, and plant and tissue age are all factors that can affect a plant's resistance to SO_2. Plants are most susceptible at high soil moisture, high humidity, and high temperature, within certain limits (Applied Science Associates, 1978). SO_2 injury is dependent on entry through the stomata, therefore conditions that favor the opening of stomata at the time of exposure may predispose plants to injury (e.g. water stress leads to closure of the stomata, which protects the plant from both water loss and SO_2 injury) (Mudd, 1975).

3.1.5 Critical levels

With current pollution control technology employed at electrical generating stations, lower concentrations of SO_2, between 0.1 to 0.5 ppm or lower for extended periods of time, are of concern (Treshow, 1980). Acute damage can occur during the growing season at SO_2 concentrations of 0.25-0.30 ppm over different lengths of time, while chronic injury can occur from exposure of sensitive species to SO_2 at 0.10 to 0.25 ppm over a time period long enough to affect one or more metabolic processes (Malhotra and Blauel, 1980). In aspen, a concentration of 0.4 ppm SO_2 for three hours was found to cause injury, while a concentration of 3.0 ppm for 6 hours was required for spruce (Taylor et al., 1986). In Manitoba, the Maximum Acceptable Level (defined as "essential to provide adequate protection for soils, water, vegetation, materials, animals, visibility, personal comfort and well-being") of SO_2 is 900 $\mu g/m^3$ (0.34 ppm) for 1 hour, 300 $\mu g/m^3$ (0.11 ppm) for 24 hours, and 60 $\mu g/m^3$ (0.02 ppm) annually (SENES, 2001).

3.2 Nitrogen Oxides

3.2.1 Nitrogen in the atmosphere

Nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) are the most important air pollutants generated from coal-fired power plants (Taylor et al., 1975). Nitrogen oxides (NO_X) are produced mainly as NO, as a result of the combination of atmospheric nitrogen and oxygen, in high temperature combustion processes. However, within 1.5 km of an NO source, 40% of the gas will be converted to NO_2 (Taylor et al., 1975). Most plant injury due to nitrogen oxides is likely caused by NO_2 rather than NO, but the two are often collectively referred to as NO_X due to their close association in atmospheric reactions (Applied Science Associates, 1978).

Natural scavenging processes prevent excessive build-up of bacteria produced NO_X in non-urban areas. These same processes are also effective in decomposing nitrogen oxides emitted by industrial sources, but under some conditions the emissions exceed the abilities of the scavenging processes (Taylor et al., 1975). Therefore, adverse, direct effects of nitrogen oxides on vegetation are limited to areas near urban and industrial developments where emissions are concentrated for extended periods of time. Direct effects on plants result from NO_2, while indirect effects are a result of nitrogen oxides forming phytotoxic photochemical oxidants, such as ozone (O_3) and peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) (Applied Science Associates, 1978). Oxides of nitrogen are less phytotoxic than O_3, PAN, and SO_2, therefore the direct effects on plants usually only occur in very localized areas.

3.2.2 Foliar injury symptoms

Relatively high concentrations of NO_2 are required for acute symptoms to appear on plants, approximately 2 to 5 times the concentration of SO_2 under the same conditions (Taylor et al., 1986; Mulgrew and Williams, 2000). In acute exposure, broad-leaved plant injury is usually characterized by the appearance of gray-green water-soaked areas on the upper leaf surface (Applied Science Associates, 1978). The resulting necrotic lesions may turn white, tan, or bronze in colour (Taylor et al., 1975), and are virtually impossible to distinguish from lesions produced by SO_2. In deciduous tree species, NO_2 first causes non-specific chlorosis, browning, or bleaching between the leaf veins, especially near the margins (Malhotra and Blauel, 1980). Necrosis follows, spreading throughout the leaves in irregular spots or general tissue collapse. In some tree species (e.g. maple and oak), the injury is confined to the margins or tips of leaves (Applied Science Associates, 1978). It can take hours to a week for symptoms to develop on plants, depending on the species. However, even if there is extreme necrosis and/or defoliation, most plants can produce new growth within several weeks of the exposure (Taylor et al., 1986).

For many chronic exposures, chlorosis will occur before the appearance of necrotic lesions (Applied Science Associates, 1978). Chronic foliar injury symptoms can also be characterized by an initial enhancement of green colour followed by chlorosis and leaf drop (Taylor et al., 1975; Malhotra and Blauel, 1980). The pollutant mixture of SO_2 and NO_2 is also of concern as the two gases are emitted concurrently in the combustion of fossil fuels. Symptoms resulting from this pollutant combination resemble those caused by O_3, especially when concentrations of the combined pollutants are near or below the threshold of the individual gases. A reddish pigmentation or a silvering may occur on the lower surface of the leaf, and because it is so similar to the injury caused by O_3, identification of the causal pollutant is challenging (Applied Science Associates, 1978).

3.2.3 Critical levels

The current critical levels set in Europe for NO_X (as $\mu g/m^3$ of NO_2 equivalent) are 30 for an annual mean, and 95 for a 4-hour mean (Sanders et al., 1995). At higher concentrations, increases in nitrate reductase activity and accumulation of toxic nitrate have been reported in trees (Sanders et al., 1995). There is still some debate as to whether the threshold for biochemical and physiological effects of NO_X is the same as that for growth effects. In Manitoba, the Maximum Acceptable level of NO_2 is 400 $\mu g/m^3$ (0.21 ppm) for 1 hour, 200 $\mu g/m^3$ (0.11 ppm) for 24 hours, and 100 $\mu g/m^3$ (0.05 ppm) annually (SENES, 2001).

3.3 Trace Elements

Of the 90 naturally occurring elements, eight of them make up 98.5% of the lithosphere; the remaining elements make up the remaining 1.5% (Berry and Wallace, 1974). Due to the low concentration of the remaining elements, they have been classified as trace elements, even though chemically they are quite different. Trace elements are often equated with heavy elements, due to the interest in the potential toxicity of heavy metals in the environment. However, heavy metals are actually a subgroup of the trace elements, and this subgroup of heavy metals does not include all the potential environmental toxicants that are trace elements (Berry and Wallace, 1974).

Trace elements can enter a forest system either from the natural weathering of bedrock, or from aerial deposition of pollutants. As a result of weathering, the elements naturally occurring in parent material are released into the soil. In addition to this natural soil pool of elements, metal pollutants from industrial emissions can also enter and accumulate in ecosystems. Generally, the ecology of trace elements is a delicate balance, and small additions of some elements from polluting sources may significantly alter existing environments (Berry and Wallace, 1974). Of the heavy metals, Pb, cadmium Cd, and As are considered to be the important pollutants, followed by Se and Hg (Fergusson, 1990). Elements of concern from coal-fired generating stations include As, Cd, Pb, Ni, V and Hg (Malhotra and Blauel, 1980). Extensive toxicity research has been undertaken on heavy metals such as Cd and Cr in plant and forest systems (Tyler, 1978; Breckle and Kahle, 1992; Munch, 1993; Truby, 1995), however work on many of the trace elements in forest systems is limited (Efroymson et al., 1997). In general, the lower the concentration of a trace element in nature, the higher is its potential toxicity (Berry and Wallace, 1974).

3.3.1 Elements in plants

Unlike gaseous pollutants, plants absorb metals primarily through their root systems (Kovacs, 1992). Metals deposited on the surface of leaves can also be absorbed by the plant (Mulgrew and Williams, 2000), but may be washed onto the soil by rainwater before they are absorbed (Berry and Wallace, 1974). Foliar uptake of elements is via the stomata or leaf cuticle or both, but the main route is likely through the leaf cuticle (Fergusson, 1990). Small particles on the leaf are more likely than larger particles to be protected from leaching by surface tension effects of the water (Vaughan et al., 1975). The entry of elements throughleaves is more significant for pollution elements due to the aerial nature of deposition. A third mode of uptake is throughthe stem, with elements transferred throughthe bark into the woody tissue (Symeonides, 1979). Once in the plant, the movement of elements throughthe xylem and phloem differs between elements, and is partly dependent on the amount of transpiration occurring (Fergusson, 1990).

The leaves and twigs of trees surrounding urban and industrial locations can become contaminated with high levels of metals, with the litter beneath such trees acting as a sink for these accumulated metals. It is known that heavy metal contamination can lead to microbial toxicity, which can slow litter decomposition and subsequent remobilization of minerals (Smith, 1974).

3.3.2 Injury symptoms

Trace elements may bond to a reactive site or replace an essential element due to similar chemistry, leading to adverse effects on the plant (Fergusson, 1990). Symptoms will therefore be similar to those caused by other pollutants interrupting physiological reactions or those caused by nutrient deficiencies. For example, toxicity of Ba is thought to include competition with Ca for root uptake (Wallace and Romney, 1971). Heavy metal toxicity may lead to a continuous loss of green leaves and short twigs throughout the growing season on beech, oak and spruce (Ulrich, 1984). As foliar symptoms due to heavy metal toxicity may be non-specific, and higher plants have the potential to accumulate high levels of metals, monitoring is mainly restricted to analytical approaches (Ross and Kaye, 1994; Mulgrew and Williams, 2000).

3.3.3 Environmental factors

The uptake of heavy metals by plants is influenced by many factors: temperature, soil pH, soil organic matter and aeration, as well as the type of plant, its size, the root system, the availability of the elements in the soil or foliar deposits, the type of leaves, and soil moisture status (Fergusson, 1990). There is usually a positive correlation between the acidity of the soil and the uptake of heavy metals through the roots (Hagemeyer et al., 1985). Soluble Al, a major constituent of most soils, can be very toxic to plants (at concentrations as low as 0.1 mg/l) and begins to go into solution at pH below 4.8 (Kozlowski and Pallardy, 1997).

3.3.4 Critical levels

Little research has been done in regards to the tolerance of trees to metal pollution. Most work concerning the effect on pollution of trees has focused on gaseous pollutants such as SO_2. Bowen (1979) provides suggested toxicity levels for some trace elements for higher plants in general, however information is still needed on the precise limits of tolerance of individual plant species, particularly trees, to metals (Turner, 1994).

4. Natural Stresses and Mimicking Symptoms

There are many other biotic and abiotic factors that can cause a plant to develop symptoms that are almost identical to those caused by various air pollutants. The non-specificity of macroscopic symptoms lowers their utility for monitoring short-term impacts at low pollution levels (Rice et al., 1983). Therefore, when trying to diagnose a suspected case of air pollution injury to plants it may be easier to attempt to eliminate natural causes first.

4.1 Biotic factors

Examples of biotic agents that can cause plant injury similar to air pollution include fungi, bacteria, viruses, nematodes, insects, and mites. For example, leaf spot is caused by fungi which results in necrotic leaf spots on many plant species (Taylor et al., 1986). For bacterial and fungal disorders, symptoms will generally only be seen on one species, so examining more than one plant species may aid in identifying symptoms arising from disease. Like fungal and bacterial ailments, viral diseases can result in patterns of chlorosis and necrosis. With viral infections, symptoms will gradually spread from one plant to adjacent plants and will not appear simultaneously, as in cases of air pollution (Taylor et al., 1986). Insects can also cause chlorotic mottling of the leaves, or leave white blotches from feeding channels. However, most insect pests are visible and identifiable on the plant.

4.2 Abiotic factors

Abiotic agents include nutrient deficiencies, mineral excesses, drought, waterlogging, high or low temperature, pesticides, and genetic and physiological disorders. Mineral nutrient deficiencies are common and tend to limit the growth of many woody plants. They can occur naturally as a result of inherent differences in soil properties or from processes such as acidification. Induced deficiencies can also result from adverse soil physical conditions including compaction or poor drainage (Department of the Environment, 1993). Visible symptoms of deficiencies include necrosis, leaf necrosis, rosetting, bark lesions, and excessive gum formation (Kozlowski and Pallardy, 1997). Other common nutrient deficiency symptoms are chlorosis (N, K, Fe), interveinal chlorosis (S, Mg), necrosis (Ca, Mg), abscission of leaves (N, P), red/purple discolouration (P, S), and bronzing of the leaf surface (K, Zn, P) (Taylor et al., 1986). Conversely, mineral excesses can also lead to chlorotic and necrotic symptoms. Nutrient deficiencies can usually be overcome with fertilization, however where there are poor soil conditions fertilization may not be able to solve the nutrient deficiencies.

Drought conditions can occur not only in hot, dry weather but also in the winter when soil water is frozen and unavailable to plants. Plants with a deep root system are usually less affected by drought stress than those with shallow roots. In aspen, drought symptoms include necrotic leaf tips with a distinct boundary; and oak leaves may develop interveinal brown/bronzed lesions due to drought stress (Taylor et al., 1986).

An excess of water around a plant's roots also may cause symptoms similar to air pollution injury. In trees, chlorosis later becoming necrosis is found, with branch dieback occurring (Taylor et al., 1986). Additionally, high and low temperature stress can cause bleaching, chlorotic mottling, and bronzing, symptoms again concurrent with those caused by air pollution (Malhotra and Blauel, 1980). Meteorological records may help to accurately assess climate-related incidents in vegetation injury.

Pesticide spray drift can be a factor affecting forest health as droplets can be transported for several hundred metres under windy conditions (Malhotra and Blauel, 1980). In agro-forestry interfaces, forest remnants adjacent to agricultural fields could be exposed to pesticide drift. Most research on herbicide damage to plants is restricted to agricultural crop species, but symptoms on broad-leaved crops are likely similar to those that would be seen on trees (Taylor et al., 1986). Poplar, willow, and aspen leaves are very sensitive to herbicides, and can turn necrotic at low to moderate concentrations

(0.25-1.0 kg/ha) of herbicide (Malhotra and Blauel, 1980). Severe necrosis may be followed by foliar drop and tree mortality.

Additionally, trees that are weakened by stress such as adverse climatic conditions, nutrient deficiency, or insects or disease respond much more readily and severely to air pollution than healthy vegetation (Malhotra and Blauel, 1980). Trees under stress prior to even mild air pollution events may not have an adequate defense system to protect itself against pollutant injury.

5. Local forest monitoring

Documenting and characterizing patterns of forest dieback and decline is an important first step in evaluating forest response to air pollution (McLaughlin and Braker, 1985). It is vital that spatial and temporal patterns of decline are identified first, in order to determine the extent, rate, and direction of change. This can aid in identifying patterns of occurrence that can point to or eliminate possible causes. The density and location of sampling sites will depend on the type of survey required by a particular monitoring program; larger scale surveys covering vast areas will obviously need more sampling sites than studies focused on point emission sources (Mulgrew and Williams, 2000). In studies investigating point sources, sites are often situated along transects or gradients in relation to the pollution source. If native plant species are being used as indicators, the number and location of sites will in part depend on the natural distribution of species (Mulgrew and Williams, 2000).

Surveys of forest health are essential to provide early characterization of the extent of forest decline. They can be based on visible foliar symptoms, mortality, or long-term growth changes (i.e. dendrochronological studies). As many species as possible should be studied within the affected area and ranked according to their sensitivities to air pollutants. Often there may be a lack of conclusive information and diagnosis may only be taken as far as a balance of probabilities (Taylor et al., 1986). It is important to stress that plants, like all biological organisms, vary greatly from individual to individual.

When correlating forest health to causative factors, a main question to address is whether the effects are most severe in areas of highest atmospheric pollution. Some considerations that need to be made in a diagnostic routine include the number of species being affected, the symptoms of injury and the part of the plant that is most affected, the distribution of affected plants (natural features including a high water table, a frost pocket or flat exposed areas can lead to localized stresses; symptoms in areas downwind from a pollution source may indicate pollutant damage), the presence of pest organisms, if similar symptoms have been seen previously (i.e. seasonality of symptoms), characteristics of the local terrain (soil, drainage), local management practices (application of fertilizers, pesticides), and local pollution sources (Taylor et al., 1986). Variation in soil type, competition, and tree age also need to be investigated (McLaughlin and Braker, 1985).

CHAPTER 2

An assessment of tree health and trace element accumulation near a coal-fired generating station, Manitoba, Canada.[1]

ABSTRACT

A forest health assessment was performed in stands dominated by bur oak and trembling aspen to study the potential effects of airborne emissions from a 132 MW coal-fired station. Forty-two stands were sampled within a 16-km radius of the station for both foliar stress symptoms and trace element toxicology. The concentrations of tracer elements (As, Ba, Sr, and V) in the leaf litter were not spatially congruent with airborne emission deposition models (except Ba, which showed elevated levels immediately SE of the station), nor were they at phytotoxic levels. Elemental concentrations were significantly related to soil parameters including organic matter and texture. No patterns were found in forest health along directional or distance gradients from the generating station. Trembling aspen stands demonstrated little decline in general, but three of the 19 bur oak plots, all located on thin sandy soils developed on calcareous till, demonstrated branch dieback. In addition to poor soil conditions, two of these sites also had high water tables resulting from the construction of an adjacent road, and exhibited tree mortality. The bur oak decline does not appear to be related to emissions from the station, but is suspected to be a result of poor site quality, with urban development as a confounding factor.

---

[1] Paper to be submitted to Environmental Monitoring and Assessment.

INTRODUCTION

Coal-fired electrical generating stations emit a number of airborne pollutants, including sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and trace elements, which are all known to have adverse effects on natural vegetation (Agrawal and Agrawal, 1989; Gupta *et al.*, 1995; Efroymson *et al.*, 1997). The influence of environmental pollution is reflected, to a certain extent, by organisms living in a polluted area, and phytomonitoring of air pollution is a relatively easy and inexpensive approach (Agrawal and Agrawal, 1989). Phytomonitoring can be used as a diagnostic tool to establish the relative importance of different stress factors, including air pollution, as well as the spatial and temporal distribution of stressors (Saxe, 1996). Trees can be informative when air pollution is suspected, as they develop large canopies that extend high into the air, offering a large surface area for deposition and potential assimilation of airborne substances (Tamm and Cowling, 1977). Tree foliage can respond to changes in pollution conditions within a relatively short time period (i.e. a few years), while air pollution impacts on entire stands are detected in the much longer term (Mulgrew and Williams, 2000).

Two phytomonitoring approaches commonly employed when air pollution is suspected are assessment of foliar symptoms, and determination of elemental concentrations in plant tissue. Visible foliar injury symptoms can be used to identify areas of pollution impact, and the type of foliar injury has been used to differentiate among various possible pollutants (Tingey, 1989). Foliar injury in trees surrounding coal-fired generating stations has been extensively documented (Gupta and Ghouse, 1987; Agrawal and Agrawal, 1989; Gupta *et al.*, 1995), and elevated tissue concentrations of various elements have been used to establish the presence of air pollutants from these stations. Analysis of leaves and needles are common techniques, as tree foliage can reflect changes in pollution conditions (Lawrey, 1979; Kovacs, 1992; Djingova *et al.*, 1993; Truby, 1995; Haapala *et al.*, 1996; Mulgrew and Williams, 2000). Measuring accumulated elements in plant tissue rather than directly from the environment provides a two-fold advantage: it provides an integrated rather than a one-time value; and it gives a biological content rather than a simple concentration (Saxe, 1996). A common method of diagnosing the source of an element in plant tissue is to observe the change in concentration of the metal with increasing distance from the pollution source (Fergusson, 1990).

This study examines the forest health of bur oak (*Quercus macrocarpa* Michx.) and trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides* Michx.) stands within the range of influence of the 132 MW Manitoba Hydro Selkirk coal-fired generating station, commissioned in 1960. From 1993 - 2002 (at which time, a conversion to natural gas operation was completed), sub-bituminous coal was utilized (0.36% sulphur, 4.25% ash content). Prior to this, a lignite coal (8.7% sulphur, 0.6% ash content) was used to power the plant. Before flue gas exited the 76 m stack, it was directed through a multi-clone dust collector, capable of removing approximately 70% of the fly ash (this station was not equipped with electrostatic precipitators or sulphur dioxide scrubbers). The primary times of operation were during periods of increased demand (e.g. winter), drought, system failure of the provincial hydro-based network, or to allow hydo-electric facilities to maintain or increase reservoir storage (SENES, 2001). Years of peak production were 1976-77, 1987-88, 1998 and 2000, with 1976-77 and 1987-88 corresponding with drought periods. Increased production from the generating station in 1998 and 2000 coincided with tree decline noted by area residents in the spring of 2000. In particular, residents observed foliar chlorosis, branch dieback, and tree mortality at some locations south of the station.

In southern Manitoba, bur oak is almost at its northwestern limit of distribution in North America (Johnson, 1990). This species can survive under a wide range of soil conditions and moisture levels. It grows slowly on dry uplands and sandy plains but is also found on fertile limestone soils and moist bottomlands with other hardwoods (Johnson, 1990). Bur oak is a hardy species that is relatively tolerant to sulphur dioxide and nitrogen oxides as air pollutants (Taylor *et al.*, 1986). Trembling aspen grows throughout the forested regions of Canada (Perala, 1990), and often occurs in mixed stands with bur oak in the study region. As a pioneer species, it commonly colonizes recently disturbed areas. This fast-growing tree is short lived and grows on many soil types, especially sandy and gravelly slopes (Perala, 1990). Aspen species have an intermediate sensitivity to sulphur dioxide pollution (Taylor *et al.*, 1986), and can be well suited for indicating accumulated pollutants in the soil due to their large water and nutrient absorption (Kovacs, 1992).

The objectives of this study were: 1) to determine the concentrations and spatial distribution of trace elements in the leaf litter and twigs in forest stands in the vicinity of the generating station; 2) to examine the influence on trace element concentrations of site variables unrelated to pollution; 3) to characterize the health of bur oak and trembling aspen trees in these stands; and 4) to investigate relationships between forest health indicators and distance to the generating station, as well as the influence on forest health of site factors unrelated to pollution.

METHODS

Study Area

The study area was approximately 60 km north of Winnipeg, Manitoba, centred on the Manitoba Hydro Selkirk coal-fired generating station (50°08′ N 96°51′ W). The study area had a 16 km radius, encompassed a circular area of 804 km^2, and falls within the Aspen Parkland vegetation zone (Zoladeski *et al.*, 1995). It is situated within the Boreal Plains ecozone, and the Interlake Plain ecoregion (Environment Canada, 2003) Trembling aspen and bur oak are the dominant tree species within the study area, but American elm (*Ulmus americana* L.), green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica* Marsh.), and Manitoba maple (*Acer negundo* L.) are also present in some of the mixed hardwood stands. White spruce (*Picea glauca* (Moench.) Voss) occurs in some stands with trembling aspen, although pure stands are restricted to the southern portion of the study area (in and around Birds Hill Provincial Park). Forest stands situated within this agro-forestry interface are discontinuous, and the majority of stands are privately owned remnants.

The study area lies in the Manitoba Lowlands, once occupied by glacial Lake Agassiz (Michalyna *et al.,* 1975). The topography and geology of the study area are relatively homogeneous, except for glaciofluvial sand and gravel deposits in the southern end of the study area (where Birds Hill Provincial Park is located), resulting in rolling topography. The underlying bedrock is dolomitic limestone and soils throughout the area are dominantly Chernozemic. The climate of the area is subhumid, cool continental, characterized by high summer and low winter temperatures (Michalyna *et al.*, 1975). Winds at the generating station are predominantly from the SSE and the NNW (Figure

2.1a), and deposition models for gaseous and particulate emissions from the generating station were produced after this study was initiated (SENES, 2001). The model for average annual suspended particulate matter (SPM) deposition rate (Figure 2.1b) has since been validated by trace element concentration in lichens within the study area (Ehnes, 2002). Dust deposition contours produced from lichen tissue concentrations were closely related to the predicted SSE-NNW direction of average annual SPM deposition rate (which indicate the area receiving the highest annual deposition rate of 0.2 g/m^2y). As these deposition rates are modeled predictions, there is the possibility that plots just outside of the modeled deposition area may have actually received some degree, albeit lesser, of airborne SPM deposition. To account for this, a buffer zone (approximately 4 km) was created around the modeled SPM deposition contour to create an area of secondary potential SPM deposition (Figure 2.1b).

Site Selection

Forest stands were identified using digital forest inventory maps that had either bur oak or trembling aspen as the dominant tree species within a 16 km radius of the generating station. From these, stands that covered more than 2 hectares and had a crown closure > 50% (i.e. mature stands) were chosen. A total of 42 bur oak, trembling aspen, or mixed stands dominated by the two species were utilized for the assessment of forest health and trace element analysis (Figure 2.1b). Stands within the study area were selected in all directions from the station, as wind data near the station were not available and validated deposition models had not been produced at the initiation of the study. In each stand, a 10 m by 10 m plot was established, with a minimum 25 m buffer from the stand edge.



Figure 2.1. Wind rose diagram with percent frequency of wind direction (white bars, indicating direction from which wind is blowing), as measured from approximately 9 km NE of the generating station over a 10-month period (A). The study area with predicted annual average suspended particulate matter deposition rate (area inside solid line contour, indicating 0.2 g/m^{2}y, wet and dry deposition from 1993-2000), and a buffer zone around the modeled deposition area (dashed line) (B). Approximate position of study plots is indicated by the dominant tree species: trembling aspen (▲), bur oak (★), and a mix of trembling aspen and bur oak (•). Plot numbers are also indicated. Inset, the approximate location of the study area in the southeastern portion of Manitoba.

Soil characterization

To determine the variation in soil conditions among stands within the study area, ten soil samples from each stand were collected using a metal bulk density corer (0-10 cm depth, 5 cm diameter) at randomly chosen points within each 10 m by 10 m plot. All soil samples per plot were pooled and mixed, and a sub-sample was taken for analysis. Soil was dried and ground to pass through a 2 mm sieve, and analyzed for organic matter content (by ignition method), available plant nutrients (by Inductively Coupled Plasma Spectroscopy (ICP) techniques), pH and electrical conductivity (by 1:2 Soil:Water Ratio method) at NorWest Laboratories in Winnipeg, Manitoba. Soil texture analysis (% sand, % silt, % clay) was determined by the pipette method in the laboratory (Kalra and Maynard 1991). Sites were classified according to soil associations, consistent with those described by the Manitoba Soil Survey (Ehrlich *et al.*, 1953) (Table 2.1). This classification was chosen over the more recent, finer scale soil association classification of 1975 (Michalyna *et al.*, 1975), as approximately only half of the study plots were covered in the latter survey.

Trace Element Toxicology

Litter samples and twig samples were collected from each plot to test for a suite of tracer elements, including arsenic (As), barium (Ba), strontium (Sr), and vanadium (V). The purpose of these measurements was to evaluate the levels of accumulation and potential phytotoxicity (Bussotti *et al.*, 1997). Although aluminum (Al) and iron (Fe) were elements emitted from the generating station at the highest levels according to airborne emission testing in February 2001 (MAXXAM, 2001), these elements are naturally high in soil, and can vary considerably depending on clay content of the soil.

Strontium and Ba were the next highest elements released from the station, and Sr has been implicated in numerous studies as a suitable tracer for historical fly ash deposition (Wangen and Turner, 1980; Van Voris *et al.*, 1985; Long and Davis, 1989). Barium, like Sr, is known to accumulate in substantially lower amounts than Sr and Ba in coal, but have been shown to increase in the leaf litter of many tree species (Lawrey, 1979). Arsenic and V are present in substantially lower amounts than Sr and Ba in coal, but have been shown to increase in concentration through the combustion process (Kaakinen *et al.*, 1975), and have increased consistently in plants grown on soil with fly ash added (Adriano *et al.*, 1980).

Leaf litter samples were a composite of three randomly chosen samples within each plot, with each sample consisting of a 30 cm x 30 cm area of litter (depth of litter samples varied across plots, but was approximately 3-5 cm). All litter samples per plot were combined, frozen (- 21°C) until the time of analysis, and were subsequently dried. Twig samples were collected from 5 to 8 m in height using pole pruners (a combination of 4 samples/tree from 2 trees/species, with samples from each species kept separated). The twig samples consisted of woody tissue from the last three years of growth (1999, 2000, and 2001), and were air dried in paper bags in the laboratory following collection. Composite samples of the litter, oak twigs, and aspen twigs from each plot were ground and analyzed for elemental concentration using Inductively Coupled Plasma Spectroscopy (ICP) techniques (following US EPA method 3050A/3051: nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide on a hot plate or nitric acid in a closed vessel and microwave digestion) at NorWest Laboratories in Winnipeg, Manitoba.

To investigate the spatial distribution of the four trace elements across the study

Table 2.1. Soil associations according to the Manitoba Soil Survey (Ehrlich et al., 1953) that occur in the Selkirk study area.

Association	Parent Material, Texture	Topography and Drainage	Natural Fertility
Semple	Thin lacustrine deposits (16-39 cm) over calcareous till, clay loam to clay.	Level to very gently sloping, slow internal drainage.	Medium to High
Red River	Lacustrine clay.	Level, slow drainage.	High
Garson	Stony glacial till, with or without a thin layer of water-worked materials on the surface, sandy loam to clay loam.	Gently sloping with depressional areas that are poorly drained.	Low
Zora	Water-laid deposits on till or glacio-lacustrine sediments, sandy loam to very fine sandy clay loam.	Level with small micro-undulations, drainage impeded by boulder-till substrate.	Medium
Pine Ridge	Sandy deposits (78 cm) over calcareous till, loamy fine sand to fine sandy loam.	Level to very gently sloping, internal drainage impeded by till.	Low
Riverdale	Alluvial deposits, fine sandy loam to silty clay.	Slight ridges and channels, drainage generally good.	High
Peguis	Lacustrine clay (42-78 cm) over calcareous till.	Level, moderate drainage.	High

area, concentration contour maps were produced using concentrations (in ppm in the leaf litter.

Forest Health Assessment

For each bur oak and trembling aspen tree (greater than 3 m in height) in a given study plot, the species and diameter at breast height (DBH) were recorded. An assessment of health was carried out on each individual bur oak and trembling aspen tree, including incidence of insects and disease, degree of defoliation, degree of dieback, and presence of leaf chlorosis and necrosis (see Table 2.2 for detailed assessment categories). As the effect of direct and indirect pollution effects on a herbivore may depend on its feeding habit (Larsson, 1989), insect damage was classified according to feeding habits and included the following five categories: defoliators, leaf miners, sucking insects, gall-formers and skeletonizers. Binoculars were used in the crown assessments of large trees, and all plots were assessed between June 18 and August 3, 2001.

To confirm that the foliar assessments made from the ground in the field were accurate, foliar samples were collected from mid-canopy (5-8 m in height) using pole pruners. Two trees per species were sampled for foliage in each assessment plot, with four 30 cm branch samples collected from each of the two trees (from each of the four directional "sides" of a given tree). These samples were taken back to the laboratory for documentation of insect and disease damage, leaf chlorosis and necrosis. The laboratory assessments were cross-referenced with the field assessment to ensure documentation of forest health from the ground was accurate.

For each plot, the percentage of trees per plot displaying each of the forest health descriptors was calculated. Indices for tree vigour, defoliation and dieback

Table 2.2. Forest health assessment variables for the evaluation of trees in plots dominated by bur oak and/or trembling aspen.

Variable	Descriptors	Definition
Vigour	0	Tree is dead
	1	Tree is stressed, not likely to recover
	2	Tree is stressed, but likely to recover
	3	Tree is healthy, no signs of stress
Defoliation	1	0-25% of crown defoliated
	2	26-50% of crown defoliated
	3	51-75% of crown defoliated
	4	>76% of crown defoliated
Dieback	1	0-25% of crown showing dieback
	2	26-50% of crown showing dieback
	3	51-75% of crown showing dieback
	4	>76% of crown showing dieback
Insect Damage	DEF	Defoliating insects (e.g. caterpillars)
	SUC	Sucking insects (e.g. plant bugs, aphids)
	SKEL	Skeletonizing insects (e.g. leaf beetles, sawflies)
	LM	Leaf-mining insects (e.g. moths, beetles)
	GA	Gall-forming insects (e.g. cynipid wasps, mites)
Disease	CA	Cankers, on stem or branches
	CO	Conks on stem, indicators of stem decay
	LS	Leaf spot
	LR	Leaf rust
Chlorosis	1	0-25% of leaves chlorotic
	2	26-50% of leaves chlorotic
	3	51-75% of leaves chlorotic
	4	>75% of leaves chlorotic
Necrosis	1	0-25% of leaves necrotic
	2	26-50% of leaves necrotic
	3	51-75% of leaves necrotic
	4	>75% of leaves necrotic

were calculated for each plot that incorporated the different damage classes within each descriptor. The indices were calculated as follows, modified from the forest damage indices used by Haapala *et al.* (1996) and Stolte (1997):

Vigour index = [1 x (% of trees with vigour of 1)] + [2 x (% of trees with vigour of 2)] + [3 x (% of trees with vigour of 3)]/3

(Range of vigour index: 0 – 100, with a 0 value indicating all trees dead and a 100 value indicating all trees healthy with no indication of stress)

Defoliation index = [1 x (% of trees with 1-25% defoliation)] + [2 x (% of trees with 26-50% defoliation)] + [3 x (% of trees with 51-75% defoliation)] + [4 x (% of trees with >75% defoliation)]/4

(Range of defoliation index: 0 – 100, with a 0 value indicating no trees showing crown defoliation and a 100 value indicating all trees with >75% defoliation)

Dieback index = [1 x (% of trees with 1-25% dieback)] + [2 x (% of trees with 26-50% dieback)] + [3 x (% of trees with >50% dieback)]/3

(Range of dieback index: 0 – 100, with a 0 value indicating no trees showing crown dieback and a 100 value indicating all trees with >50% dieback)

When foliar chlorosis and necrosis were present in the sample plots, levels were relatively low (less than 25% of leaves were chlorotic or necrotic), therefore indices incorporating the different levels of damage were not calculated; instead the percentage of trees per plot demonstrating chlorotic or necrotic symptoms was used.

To investigate the spatial distribution of the forest health indicators within the study area, contour maps were produced using the vigour and dieback index values (the two measures of forest health that would not fluctuate greatly from year to year).

Data Analyses

Correlation Analysis

Many of the trace element concentrations in the bur oak and trembling aspen woody tissue samples were at or near the lower levels of detection, therefore statistical analysis was concentrated on elemental concentrations in the leaf litter samples, which showed higher concentrations and greater variability among plots. Also, elemental concentrations in the litter were collected from all 42 plots (whereas aspen twigs were collected from 32 plots and oak twigs were collected from 34 plots). To investigate possible relationships between trace element concentration and site conditions, correlation analysis (using Spearman's correlation) was performed using trace element concentrations in the leaf litter, measured soil variables, and pollutant exposure. To determine the extent to which forest health, as measured by the vigour and dieback indices, were related to site conditions, correlation analysis (using Spearman's correlation) was performed using the indices, soil variables, and pollution variables. To account for the large number of comparisons made in the correlation analyses, a Bonferroni correction was applied to reduce the critical p-value (Dytham, 2003).

Multiple regression analysis

A forward selection, stepwise multiple regression analysis (Draper and Smith, 1998) was conducted to estimate the determinants of the variation in the vigour and dieback indices (the two forest health descriptors that are relatively stable measures of forest decline) within the study plots. Models predicting the forest health indices were developed separately for plots dominated by bur oak ($n = 19$) and those composed mainly of trembling aspen ($n = 19$). Soil association (coded as dummy variables), plant-available soil nutrients, soil pH, electrical conductivity and texture (% sand and % clay), relative pollution exposure (coded as 1 for plots within the predicted deposition area; 0.5 for plots within a buffer zone of the predicted deposition area; and 0 for plots outside of both zones, see Figure 2.1b), and concentrations of As, Ba, Sr, and V in the leaf litter were included as variables in the regression analysis. Criterion for entry into the model was $\alpha = 0.14$ and exit from model was $\alpha = 0.15$. Soil nutrients and electrical conductivity values were transformed logarithmically [$y' = \log_{10}(y)$], as a small difference in nutrients would likely be more critical at low concentrations than at higher concentrations (Palmer, 1993). The dieback index, trace element concentrations and soil texture were also transformed logarithmically [$y' = \log_{10}(y+1)$] before the analysis to normalize right-skewed data, in order to meet the assumption that all variables have the same underlying distribution. To avoid multicollinearity within the independent variables, variables that were selected in the stepwise analysis were only retained if the variance inflation factor (VIF) was less than 4 (Fox, 1991).

All statistical analyses were done with SPSS v. 10.0 (SPSS Inc. 1999).

RESULTS

Soil characterization

Descriptive statistics for the soil analysis are displayed in Table 2.3 (see Appendix 1 for detailed soil data for all 42 plots). Although nutrient levels were adequate in most plots, plots 1, 14, 40 and 42 had low levels of phosphorus, and 14 plots (including plots 40 and 42) had low levels of sulphur (as measured by SO_4). Texturally, the majority of soils were clay or heavy clay, but texture ranged in some plots to clay loam, silty clay, and sandy loam. The pH values were mostly basic, reflecting the calcareous limestone bedrock within the study area.

Trace Elements

Table 2.4 contains the descriptive statistics for the trace element concentrations in the leaf litter, the oak twigs, and the aspen twigs (see Appendix 2 for trace element concentration data for all 42 plots). The concentrations for all four elements were higher in the leaf litter than in the twig samples, with the exception of the maximum value for Sr concentration in the trembling aspen twigs (Table 2.4). The As and V concentrations were at or near the detection limits of these elements in most twig samples, but higher concentrations were present in the leaf litter. Barium and Sr were present in considerably higher concentrations relative to the other two elements, and the concentrations of Ba and Sr in aspen and oak twigs were significantly, positively correlated with those in the leaf litter (Ba concentration in litter and aspen twigs: $r_s = 0.57$, $p = 0.001$; Ba concentration in litter and oak twigs: $r_s = 0.58$, $p = 0.000$; Sr concentration in litter and aspen twigs: $r_s = 0.92$, $p = 0.000$; Sr concentration in litter and oak twigs: $r_s = 0.90$, $p = 0.000$).

Concentration contour maps for As, Ba, Sr and V (in ppm) in the leaf litter illustrate the spatial distributions of these elements across the study area (Figures 2.2 – 2.5). Arsenic concentrations were generally very low, with three areas of slightly elevated concentrations that did not correspond with the deposition model (Figure 2.2). For the barium concentration distribution, an elevated area existed SE of the generating station (within the predicted area of deposition), however the highest concentrations were in the western portion of the study area (Figure 2.3). There were two small pockets of slightly increased Sr concentration that were congruent with the main axis of deposition, SE and NNW from the generating station, however the highest concentrations contours were in the far west portion of the study area, outside of the predicted deposition area (Figure 2.4). The vanadium distribution exhibited the highest concentrations in the northern, eastern, and western sections of the study area (closely related to the distribution of arsenic), and was not analogous with the area of predicted deposition (Figure 2.5).

The results of the correlation analysis of the trace elements in the leaf litter with soil variables and pollution exposure are shown in Table 2.5. Strontium concentration was significantly and positively correlated with soil organic matter, a number of soil nutrients, and % clay; and negatively correlated with soil pH and % sand. Barium concentrations were significantly and positively correlated with soil iron and zinc; and negatively correlated with % sand. Vanadium concentration and soil sodium were also significantly, positively correlated. None of the correlations between the concentrations of As, Ba, Sr, or V in the leaf litter with the relative pollution exposure were significant.

Table 2.3. Descriptive statistics for the measured soil parameters across all plots within a 16 km radius of the generating station.

	N	Mean	Standard Error	Minimum	Maximum
Organic matter (%)	42	20.54	1.13	9.00	34.20
Nitrogen (ppm)	42	5.10	0.85	1.00	23.00
Phosphorus (ppm)	42	27.85	2.52	5.00	81.00
Potassium (ppm)	42	394.36	22.89	129.00	840.00
Sulphur (ppm)	42	15.79	2.71	2.00	85.00
Copper (ppm)	42	1.31	0.09	0.25	3.02
Iron (ppm)	42	115.02	13.47	19.00	398.00
Manganese (ppm)	42	22.36	2.07	5.00	56.40
Zinc (ppm)	42	6.87	0.73	0.56	18.90
Calcium (ppm)	42	4669.52	160.39	2640.00	6920.00
Magnesium (ppm)	42	955.26	44.14	484.00	1840.00
Sodium (ppm)	42	15.88	2.13	6.00	80.00
Boron (ppm)	42	1.46	0.07	0.62	2.34
pH	42	7.10	0.06	6.30	7.80
Electrical Conductivity (dS/m)	42	0.49	0.03	0.21	0.95
% Clay	42	55.24	2.35	17	86
% Sand	42	18.14	2.2	4	61
% Silt	42	26.48	1.29	9	43

Table 2.4. Descriptive statistics for the trace element concentrations (ppm, in weight per dry weight) in the leaf litter, bur oak twigs, and trembling aspen twigs in plots within 16 km of the generating station.

Leaf litter	N	Mean	Standard Error	Minimum	Maximum
Arsenic	42	0.74	0.05	0.50	2.00
Barium	42	72.71	4.01	33.30	145.00
Strontium	42	39.88	2.86	13.60	85.70
Vanadium	42	4.91	0.71	0.91	19.20
Bur oak twigs					
Arsenic	34	0.57	0.01	0.50	0.60
Barium	34	51.37	3.43	11.50	89.80
Strontium	34	23.88	2.12	7.70	58.90
Vanadium	34	0.06	0.00	0.05	0.11
Trembling aspen twigs					
Arsenic	32	0.56	0.01	0.50	0.60
Barium	32	26.69	2.06	7.30	59.40
Strontium	32	31.63	3.28	9.10	86.10
Vanadium	32	0.06	0.00	0.05	0.06



Figure 2.2. Distribution of arsenic concentration in leaf litter, expressed as ppm. Locations of the individual study plots are indicated (°), and the generating station is located at the centre of the diagram (x). Northing and easting values are expressed as UTM coordinates.



Figure 2.3. Distribution of barium concentration in leaf litter, expressed as ppm. Locations of the individual study plots are indicated (°), and the generating station is located at the centre of the diagram (x). Northing and easting values are expressed as UTM coordinates.



Figure 2.4. Distribution of strontium concentration in leaf litter, expressed as ppm. Locations of the individual study plots are indicated (°), and the generating station is located at the centre of the diagram (x). Northing and easting values are expressed as UTM coordinates.



Figure 2.5. Distribution of vanadium concentration in leaf litter, expressed as ppm. Locations of the individual study plots are indicated (°), and the generating station is located at the centre of the diagram (x). Northing and easting values are expressed as UTM coordinates.

Forest Health Assessment

Table 2.6 shows the descriptive statistics for the overall forest health assessment categories (see Appendix 3 for forest health data for all 42 plots). The lab assessments were congruent with the field assessments, validating their use. The majority of forest stands in the study area were quite healthy, demonstrating high mean overall tree survival. In general, insect and disease pests were present in many plots at low levels, but did not cause severe stress to the trees. In many of the study plots, both trembling aspen and bur oak trees had a low to moderate degree of damage from defoliating insects. The majority of defoliation observed was due to the forest tent caterpillar (*Malacosoma disstria* Hubner). Four stands (plots 6, 7, 9, and 32) were severely defoliated (>75% of foliage removed), but subsequently put out a second flush of leaves and recovered from the defoliation. Skeletonizing insects, including leaf beetles (*Chrysomela* sp.) were also present in low levels across plots. Branch and stem cankers on aspen trees included hypoxylon canker (*Hypoxylon mammatum* (Wahl.) J. H. Miller), and diploidia gall and rough bark (*Diplodia tumefaciens* (Shear) Zalasky). Leaf spot on aspen (*Marssonina populi* (Lib.) Magn.) occurred in a few stands, and false tinder conk (*Phellinus tremulae* (Bond.) Bond. & Boriss.) was present on some of the mature aspen trees. Oak trees did not exhibit any major stem or branch cankers, and common oak insect pests included the oak lace bug (*Corythucha arcuata* Say), which accounted for the majority of sucking insect damage on oaks, and gall-forming cynipid wasps (*Neuroterus* sp.). The only plots containing trees that showed marked decline at the stand level were in three stands dominated by bur oak (plots 14, 40, 42), all located in the southern portion of the study area. These three stands exhibited extensive branch dieback and whole tree mortality.

Table 2.5. Results of the correlation analysis of elemental concentration in the leaf litter (ppm) with soil variables (including plant-available nutrients (ppm), pH, electrical conductivity (dS/m), and percent sand and clay), vigour and dieback indices, and pollution exposure. Spearman's correlation coefficients (r_s) are reported (n = 42).

	Arsenic	Barium	Strontium	Vanadium
Soil organic matter	-0.146	0.298	**0.688**	-0.004
Soil nitrogen	0.053	0.307	0.342	0.086
Soil phosphorus	-0.091	0.273	0.342	0.069
Soil potassium	-0.022	0.455	**0.696**	0.127
Soil sulphur	-0.287	0.195	0.412	-0.111
Soil copper	0.114	0.501	**0.716**	0.190
Soil iron	0.000	**0.568**	**0.694**	0.195
Soil manganese	-0.134	0.304	0.325	0.008
Soil zinc	0.183	**0.592**	**0.764**	0.280
Soil calcium	0.178	0.271	0.038	0.171
Soil magnesium	0.112	0.330	**0.578**	0.105
Soil sodium	0.436	0.423	0.270	**0.630**
Soil boron	-0.021	0.448	**0.648**	0.001
Soil pH	0.222	-0.438	**-0.687**	0.096
Soil electrical conductivity	0.045	0.173	0.121	0.080
% Clay	0.075	0.451	**0.645**	0.054
% Sand	-0.049	**-0.547**	**-0.639**	-0.161
Dieback index	0.249	0.208	-0.160	0.416
Vigour index	-0.014	0.235	0.181	-0.023
Pollution exposure*	0.092	-0.060	-0.177	-0.062

With Bonferroni correction, correlations are significant at $p < 0.00057$ (indicated in boldface).

*Pollution exposure: value of 1 for sites within the predicted annual SPM deposition zone, 0.5 for sites within the buffer zone, and 0 for sites outside of these areas.

Table 2.6. Descriptive statistics for the forest health descriptors (expressed as the % of trees per plot with the presence of a given descriptor, except for the indices) for the plots dominated by oak and aspen.

	Bur oak sites (n=19)			
	Mean	Std. Error	Minimum	Maximum
Vigour Index*	68.05	4.14	18.01	88.89
Defoliation Index*	29.19	4.34	12.25	90.91
Dieback Index*	20.04	3.93	2.61	68.89
Defoliating insects	73.85	5.02	13.33	100.00
Sucking insects	46.90	8.21	0.00	100.00
Skeletonizing insects	63.95	6.38	0.00	100.00
Leaf mining insects	20.39	6.72	0.00	80.95
Gall-forming insects	10.92	3.83	0.00	44.00
Cankers	4.43	1.60	0.00	27.27
Conks	0.92	0.43	0.00	6.67
Leaf spot	1.39	0.55	0.00	7.69
Leaf rust	1.17	1.17	0.00	22.22
Chlorosis	0.25	0.25	0.00	4.76
Necrosis	19.08	4.40	0.00	70.00
	Trembling aspen sites (n=19)			
	Mean	Std. Error	Minimum	Maximum
Vigour Index*	75.50	1.74	64.81	88.65
Defoliation Index*	22.85	2.37	0.00	45.65
Dieback Index*	4.82	0.85	1.11	12.90
Defoliating insects	62.13	7.55	0.00	94.44
Sucking insects	7.49	3.41	0.00	62.96
Skeletonizing insects	60.36	6.30	0.00	94.44
Leaf mining insects	18.59	6.43	0.00	83.33
Gall-forming insects	3.30	0.99	0.00	11.11
Cankers	8.49	2.24	0.00	33.33
Conks	5.78	1.97	0.00	27.66
Leaf spot	4.33	1.62	0.00	22.50
Leaf rust	1.12	1.12	0.00	21.31
Chlorosis	0.17	0.17	0.00	3.23
Necrosis	7.25	2.73	0.00	42.50

* Index ranges from 0-100

Contour maps for the distribution of the vigour index and the dieback index are shown in Figures 2.6 and 2.7, respectively. The plots that exhibited the lowest vigour indices are in the southern portion of the study area, and there were no patterns in the distribution of this index that corresponded with predicted deposition rates from the generating station (Figure 2.1b) or with the distribution of trace element concentrations in the leaf litter (Figure 2.6). Similarly, the plots with the highest levels of the dieback index were located in the southern portion of the study area, and no spatial pattern in this index existed that related to the generating station deposition model or to trace element concentration distribution (Figure 2.7).

Results of the correlation analysis between the vigour and dieback indices and site variables in all plots are given in Table 2.7. Although not significant, the vigour index in both oak and aspen plots was positively correlated with most soil nutrients and all trace elements in the leaf litter, and negatively correlated with soil pH and % sand. Bur oak vigour was higher on sites belonging to the Red River soil association, and lower on sites of the Garson soil association. Conversely, aspen vigour was higher on sites of the Garson and Peguis soil association, and lower on sites of Red River and Riverdale soil associations. After the Bonferroni correction, the only significant correlation was between the vigour index and % clay in bur oak plots. The dieback index values for both oak and aspen plots also showed negative correlations with some soil nutrients and % clay, most notably in oak plots (which displayed higher levels of dieback relative to aspen plots). None of the correlations of the vigour index and the dieback index with pollution exposure were significant.

The results from the individual multiple regression models are provided in Table 2.8. Soil Na, the Semple soil association, the Riverdale soil association, soil N, and soil Ca were significant in the model predicting for the dieback index for plots dominated by trembling aspen (adjusted $R^2 = 0.613$; $F_{13, 18} = 6.703$; $p = 0.003$). The model predicting for the index of vigour in plots dominated by trembling aspen included the Garson soil association, % sand, % clay, soil Na, and Ba concentration in the leaf litter (adjusted $R^2 = 0.733$; $F_{13, 18} = 10.889$; $p = 0.000$). In plots dominated by bur oak, soil S, soil Mg, the Garson soil association, soil Na, and soil Mn were significant in the model predicting for the dieback index (adjusted $R^2 = 0.742$; $F_{13, 18} = 11.357$; $p = 0.000$), while % clay and soil P were significant in the model predicting for the vigour index (adjusted $R^2 = 0.795$; $F_{16, 18} = 35.806$; $p = 0.000$).



Figure 2.6. Distribution of the vigour index, expressed as a value from 0 - 100. Locations of the individual study plots are indicated (°), and the generating station is located at the centre of the diagram (x). Northing and easting values are expressed as UTM coordinates.



Figure 2.7. Distribution of the dieback index, expressed as a value from 0 - 100. Locations of the individual study plots are indicated (°), and the generating station is located at the centre of the diagram (x). Northing and easting values are expressed as UTM coordinates.

Table 2.7. Results of the correlation analysis of vigour index and dieback index with soil variables (including plant-available nutrients (ppm), pH, electrical conductivity (dS/m), and percent clay and sand), trace elements in the leaf litter (ppm), pollution exposure, and soil association. Spearman's correlation coefficients (r_s) are reported.

	Trembling aspen plots (n=19)		**Bur oak plots (n=19)**	
	Dieback Index	Vigour Index	Dieback Index	Vigour Index
Arsenic	-0.057	0.383	-0.137	0.029
Barium	0.147	0.403	-0.477	0.537
Strontium	-0.302	0.204	-0.581	0.678
Vanadium	0.014	0.393	-0.071	0.114
Soil organic matter	-0.231	0.277	-0.590	0.611
Soil nitrogen	0.016	-0.022	-0.569	0.384
Soil phosphorus	0.087	0.156	-0.157	0.470
Soil potassium	-0.087	0.213	-0.509	0.621
Soil sulphur	-0.331	0.190	0.090	0.266
Soil copper	0.084	0.261	-0.686	0.526
Soil iron	0.195	0.343	-0.602	0.520
Soil manganese	-0.233	0.427	-0.453	0.571
Soil zinc	-0.098	0.374	-0.512	0.663
Soil calcium	-0.148	0.425	-0.242	0.410
Soil magnesium	-0.374	0.088	-0.644	0.477
Soil sodium	0.000	0.386	0.164	-0.004
Soil boron	-0.283	-0.028	-0.679	0.640
Soil pH	-0.005	-0.329	0.607	-0.541
Soil electrical conductivity	-0.189	0.090	-0.148	0.275
% Clay	-0.339	0.107	-0.688	**0.733**
% Sand	-0.284	-0.305	0.651	-0.677
Pollution exposure	-0.136	-0.328	0.295	-0.170
Semple soil association	0.269	0.096	0.026	0.026
Red River soil association	-0.236	-0.354	-0.616	0.462
Garson soil association	-0.282	0.376	0.641	-0.571
Zora soil association	-0.215	-0.172	na	na
Riverdale soil association	0.387	-0.301	na	na
Peguis soil association	0.043	0.387	na	na

With Bonferroni correction, correlations are significant at $p < 0.00053$ (indicated in boldface).

na = none of the oak sites belonged to these soil associations.

Table 2.8. Multiple regression analysis results for models predicting vigour index and dieback index in plots dominated by trembling aspen, and those dominated by bur oak. Variables listed are those selected as significant in a stepwise selection ($p < 0.15$).

Trembling aspen	**Coefficient**	**Standard Error**	**t-statistic**	**p-value**
Dieback index				
Constant	-3.565	1.682	-2.12	0.054
Soil Sodium	0.329	0.196	1.683	0.116
Semple soil association	0.183	0.088	2.072	0.059
Riverdale soil association	0.608	0.179	3.396	0.005
Soil Nitrogen	-0.447	0.154	-2.892	0.013
Soil Calcium	1.105	0.497	2.226	0.044
Vigour index				
Constant	172.75	29.974	5.763	0.000
Garson soil association	14.948	3.442	4.342	0.001
% Sand	-24.616	4.432	-5.554	0.000
% Clay	-57.099	12.101	-4.719	0.000
Soil Sodium	-15.935	4.881	-3.265	0.006
Leaf litter Barium	26.388	10.051	2.626	0.021
Bur oak				
Dieback index				
Constant	3.991	1.444	2.765	0.016
Soil Sulphur	0.643	0.167	3.851	0.002
Soil Magnesium	-1.176	0.521	-2.255	0.042
Garson soil association	0.45	0.116	3.881	0.002
Soil Sodium	0.521	0.184	2.835	0.014
Soil Manganese	-0.606	0.246	-2.467	0.028
Vigour index				
Constant	-279.073	82.795	-3.371	0.004
% Clay	80.882	13.886	5.825	0.000
Soil Phosphorus	21.386	8.422	2.539	0.023
Soil Calcium	48.348	22.971	2.105	0.053

DISCUSSION

Trace elements

The average elemental concentrations in the litter of the five plots within the predicted average annual deposition zone (plots 1, 9, 11, 14, and 21) were 0.74, 84.78, 45.84, and 3.92 ppm for As, Ba, Sr and V respectively. The average concentrations in mature plant tissue for As, Ba, Sr, and V are 0.1-1.0, 10-100, 50, and 0.1-10 ppm, respectively (Jones, 1988). In terms of phytotoxicity, Ar, Ba, and V can be toxic to vegetation at 2, 500, and 10-40 ppm, respectively, while Sr is not known to be toxic to plants (Bowen, 1979; Jones, 1998). The concentrations in the leaf litter in plots nearest to the generating station fall within average plant tissue concentration levels, and none of the concentrations exceed those of potential phytotoxicity.

The lichen study carried out in the vicinity of this generating station by Ehnes (2002) found that Sr, Ba and boron (B) were the best fingerprint elements for deposition from the generating station, and these elements validated the generating station deposition model for predicted maximum wet and dry annual suspended particulate matter deposition along a SSE-NNW axis (SENES 2001). Lichens, being ombrotrophic organisms, are particularly effective biomonitors of aerial element pollution because of their bioaccumulative properties (Alfonso and Rodriguez 1994; Julchang *et al.* 1995; Zhang *et al.* 1995; Mulgrew and Williams 2000). However, the present study found that levels of Sr and Ba in the leaf litter, although increased immediately SE of the station, were not highest along this primary deposition axis. The increased concentrations in lichen tissue confirmed where deposition from the generating station had likely occurred in the past, however the amount and/or frequency of deposition was likely not sufficient to cause such an observable increase in one growing season in tree foliage, and subsequently in the leaf litter. It has been well documented that Ba and Sr have been shown to accumulate in the leaf litter in polluted ecosystems near the source of elemental pollution (Lawrey, 1979; Van Voris *et al.*, 1985), although this was not found for Sr in the present study. There was an area of increased Ba concentrations immediately southeast of the generating station that was likely due to airborne deposition from the station. This pattern may be explained by the fact that Ba has a longer residence time in litter than Sr (Lawrey, 1979).

In both the litter samples and the twig samples, sites in the far west area showed consistently higher concentrations of Sr and Ba, however these sites were not situated in the predicted area of the increased deposition rate. Additionally, the far south portion of the study area, containing two of the declining bur oak sites, had consistently lower concentrations of Sr and Ba, both in the leaf litter and in woody tissue. If the oak decline in stands located in the southern portion were related to airborne pollutants from the generating station, it would be expected that these sites would show increased concentrations of these trace elements from aerial deposition. Strontium is an established tracer of fly ash deposition in vegetation (Long and Davis, 1989), and the amount of airborne deposition from the generating station may have been too low and/or discontinuous to result in a substantial accumulation in tree foliage and woody tissue in plots near the station. The elevated concentration of Sr and Ba in the westerly area was correlated with a number of soil properties, not predicted airborne deposition from the generating station. The organic matter content of the soil can influence the uptake of Sr by vegetation (Nisbet, 1993), and Sr concentrations in the litter in this study were highly, positively correlated with soil organic matter.

It is well established that trace element pollutants accumulate in natural systems near large pollution sources. In a study by McMartin *et al.* (1999), the concentrations of six trace metals, including As, in litter samples were determined at increasing distance from a base metal smelter in Flin Flon, Manitoba. Arsenic in the forest litter was 18 ppm at 5 km from the smelter, 8 ppm at 10 km, and between 1-2 ppm at 16-75 km from the smelter. A distinct decrease in concentration was observed with increasing distance. Similarly, in a study of elemental concentration in plant tissue near a 2175 MW coal-fired station using a 22% ash coal by Wangen and Turner (1980), Sr concentration ranged from 110-412 ug/g and there was a significantly negative slope in the regression analysis of Sr with distance from the generating station. In the present study area surrounding the Selkirk coal-fired generating station, no such distance trends in As or Sr concentration in the litter were found, and the average concentrations in vegetation were considerably lower. This is likely due to the fact that this generating station was relatively small (132 MW), and never operated at more than 42% of its capacity in a given year (with a large portion of this operation during the dormant season, when demand for power was high).

Forest health

Trembling aspen

The health status of trembling aspen in this study area was similar to that reported in the Canadian Acid Rain National Early Warning System (ARNEWS) forest health monitoring sites in the Boreal Plains ecozone, which extends into southeastern Manitoba (Hall, 1995). In these monitoring sites, about a third of the trembling aspen trees showed a low degree of dieback. According to Hall (1995), damage by the forest tent caterpillar, and leaf beetles was present for several years, and documented decay was caused by false tinder conk and poplar Peniophora (*Peniophora polygonia* (Pers.:Fr.) Boud.). Stem cankers were also abundant on aspen, and the trees in this ecozone showed no symptoms that were attributable to air pollution damage. All mortality was attributed to identifiable and known stress factors, including insects, diseases, and weather extremes (Hall, 1995).

The multiple regression models predicting for dieback in plots dominated by trembling aspen included soil N concentration (higher soil N predicted lower dieback index values). Soils preferred by aspen are usually high in organic matter, calcium, magnesium, potassium and nitrogen (Perala, 1990), due to the tree's high nutrient requirements. Higher soil Ca also was a significant predictor of aspen dieback; it was expected that soil Ca would have a negative coefficient in the model predicting for dieback due to high nutrient requirements, but the coefficient was positive. This positive relationship reflects the higher dieback of plots located on calcareous till (high in $CaCO_3$), which were thin soils developed on gravelly lenses. Aspen is known to grow poorly on shallow soils over bedrock (Sims *et al.*, 1990). However it should be noted that unlike oak plots, the branch dieback observed in aspen plots was not as severe and did not result in whole tree mortality.

In the aspen model predicting for vigour, the Garson soil association had a positive coefficient. The soils of this association tend to be well drained due to the nature of the glacial till parent material. Soil drainage is important in the growth of aspen, with water tables shallower than 0.6 m or deeper than 2.5 m limiting growth (Perala, 1990). Aspen roots have low tolerance for high soil moisture levels, and waterlogging of the soil can reduce the level of suckering (Peterson and Peterson, 1996). Additionally, aspen vigour was predicted to be higher on sites with low sand and low clay contents. Because aspen grows best on well-drained, moist, sandy or gravelly loams (Hosie, 1979), the heavy clay sites (i.e. Red River association) with restricted drainage, and the very sandy sites (with lower nutrient levels) would not be ideal for aspen development. Sandy soils inherently have a lower nutrient availability due to the lower surface area relative to clay soil (Havlin *et al.*, 1999), therefore aspen trees tended to have a lower vigour on sites with a high sand content. A balance of both adequate soil nutrients and sufficient drainage is needed for ideal aspen growth.

After the effects of soil characteristics on the vigour index were explained, Ba concentration in the leaf litter had a positive coefficient in the predictive model. This illustrates that even though there were increased concentrations of this element near the station, it was a significant predictor of higher vigour, which does not support a possible negative pollution effect. The measure of relative pollution exposure was not significant in either of the trembling aspen regression models.

Bur oak

No similar monitoring program like that of ARNEWS has been documented that includes bur oak health in the Prairie Provinces. However, there has been documentation of bur oak decline in the city of Winnipeg in recent years, with crown dieback as the main visible symptom. A study is currently being done to determine what environmental factors may be related to the decline of these park and boulevard trees (H. Caton, 2003, pers. comm.).

There was one bur oak stand in the area of the predicted annual average SPM deposition rate of 0.2 g/m^2y (plot 14) that exhibited some degree of branch dieback and foliar necrosis. This stand was situated on thin, sandy soil, developed on stony, calcareous till (Michalyna *et al.*, 1975). The soil of this stand (Garson association) had a large amount of gravel and stones, and had lower soil nutrient levels relative to the other plots situated on higher clay soils. Incidentally, the other two declining bur oak sites (plots 40 and 42), which were not located in the area of predicted average SPM deposition, but in the far southern portion of the study area, were also on similar nutrient-poor, thin, stony soils (Garson association). Therefore, although these three plots were not all in the area of predicted deposition, they were located on similar soils. Additionally, the other bur oak site that was within the area of predicted annual SPM deposition (plot 9) had almost no dieback (plot index value was 9.5/100), but had soil of the Semple association, with high clay content.

In terms of gaseous pollutants, bur oak is considered to be fairly tolerant to SO_2 and NO_X pollution, so it would not be expected that the observed crown dieback would be attributable to intermittent airborne emissions from the coal-fired station. Rather, if airborne emissions were high enough to cause tree decline, it would be expected that the plots dominated by trembling aspen would have displayed dieback near the generating station, as they are more sensitive to gaseous pollutants, but this was not observed.

The multiple regression model predicting for dieback in oak plots included soil S, soil Mg, the Garson soil association, soil Na, and soil Mn. The level of dieback was greater on sites belonging to the Garson soil association, and on those that had increased concentrations of soil S and Na. In general the concentrations of soil Na and S were positively correlated with the dieback index, however the oak sites with the highest levels of dieback (plots 14, 40, 42) actually had low concentrations of soil Na and S (a function of low nutrient availability in sites with a higher sand component). Oak dieback was lower in sites with greater soil Mg and soil Mn. Although very little information on bur oak nutrient requirements exists in the literature, exchangeable soil Mg has been shown to be a significant variable for predicting height-growth in northern red oak (*Quercus rubra* L.) in Ohio (McClenahen, 1983), indicating the importance of this nutrient to oak tree health.

In the model predicting for the vigour index of bur oak, % clay and soil P were significant predictors. Deficiencies in P have been determined to be an important characteristic of many declining deciduous forest stands in southeastern Quebec (Bernier and Brazeau, 1988), however the exact reasons for this association are not known. In the study area, sites with a higher clay soil had oak trees with a higher vigour, which is likely related to higher nutrient availability in clay soils. Bur oak does occur on dry uplands, and often dominates severe sites with thin soils and gravelly ridges (Johnson, 1990), as it is one of the most drought resistant of the North American oaks. However, these growing conditions are not ideal, and it would be expected that these trees would be highly susceptible to other stresses placed on them.

Oak decline

In addition to poor site conditions, it is hypothesized that the decline of the bur oak sites in the far southern portion (plots 40 and 42, just north of Birds Hill Provincial Park) is related to urban development, with construction of a road immediately adjacent to the stands, perpendicular to the direction of site drainage, occurring in 1977

(Springfield municipality office, 2002, pers. comm.). Tree ring analysis of trees in plot 40 showed extremely suppressed radial growth immediately following 1977 and continuing until 2001 (Boone *et al.*, submitted), demonstrating a marked change in site conditions affecting all trees. This area is known to have a high water table (e.g. residents have been unable to have basements in their houses), and interference with the natural drainage from the road likely led to this situation. Prior to the construction of the road, this site would have been relatively well drained, and suitable for the drought resistant bur oak. A relatively sudden change in site conditions, restricting drainage and leading to a high water table would have been a considerable stress on trees that were growing, albeit slowly, on an already poor site. Bur oak, once established, is very sensitive to small changes in their growing environment, and are often placed under extreme stress or killed by grade changes, compaction of soil around the root zone, acute injury during nearby construction, or changes in the ratio of air to moisture in soil (Allen and Kuta, 1994).

Insufficient soil aeration may be a factor causing oak decline (Gaertig *et al.*, 2002), and altered drainage at this site, leading to a high water table, would have greatly reduced the soil aeration. It is thought that deficiencies in soil gas permeability reduce the formation of fine roots, and result in a reduced stress tolerance of trees. As a result, oak trees can lose their typical ability of acquiring water supply from deeper soil during dry periods. This could explain why oak decline is often seen in seasonally waterlogged soils (Gaertig *et al.*, 2002). An excess of water around a plant's roots may result in symptoms similar to air pollution injury. In trees, chlorosis later becoming necrosis is found, with dieback occurring at the tree level (Taylor *et al.*, 1986). Foliar chlorosis reported by residents south of the station in the spring of 2000 may indeed have been a result of excess soil water.

With the construction of a road next to plots 40 and 42 in 1977, likely causing a decrease in fine root formation from the reduced soil aeration, nutrient uptake by the trees would have been restricted. The Garson soil association naturally has low fertility, and the fact that induced nutrient deficiencies can result from soil physical conditions including poor drainage may confound this issue (Department of the Environment, 1993). The uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium can all be reduced in flooded soils (Kozlowski and Pallardy, 1997). This reduced uptake, coupled with the already low soil nutrient status of soils in these plots, likely played a role in the observed branch dieback and tree mortality in plots 40 and 42. According to Havlin *et al.* (1999), phosphorus concentrations in the soil are considered low for plant growth under 13 ppm, and for sulphur soil status (SO_4 test), concentrations greater than 6 ppm are considered adequate. These sufficiency values are for higher plants in general, and are not specifically for trees, but both declining bur oak sites in the southern portion of the study area had lower than recommended P and SO_4. The soil nutrient status of stands in this study area, and its relation to forest health, requires further investigation.

There was no direct relationship found between pollution exposure and tree health measurements in this study. However, there did appear to be evidence for a relationship between forest health indicators and soil characters, and this relationship could potentially mask any pollution relationship present. The soil character relationship was thus defined and shown to be strong in the multiple regression analyses. After accounting for the soil relationship, there was no significant pollution relationship with the residuals in any of the regression models. Additionally, standardized residuals of final models were examined and found to lack evidence of pattern relating to the generating station location.

CONCLUSION

There was no clear distinction in the vigour or dieback of bur oak and trembling aspen trees in individual plots along any directional or distance gradients from the generating station, as would be expected if airborne emissions from the station were responsible for decline. Additionally, the spatial distribution of trace element concentrations was not congruent with the area of predicted SPM deposition from the generating station, with the exception of Ba, indicating no substantial accumulation of elements due to airborne deposition over time. The tree decline present in stands with bur oak appears to be related to site conditions, including both poor soil quality and restricted drainage.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank B. Jones and M. Kulbaba for their help in the field and the lab, Y. Beaubien (Manitoba Conservation) for help in implementing the forest health assessment, and G. Becker (Manitoba Conservation) for providing aerial photographs and forest inventory information for the study area. Thanks to Drs. J. Tardif, N. Holliday, and S. Renault for their valuable comments on the manuscript. This research was funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, and Manitoba Hydro (facilitated by Manitoba Conservation).

REFERENCES

Adriano, D. C., A. L. Page, A. A. Alseewi, A. C. Chang, and I. Straughan: 1980. Utilization and disposal of fly ash and other coal residues in terrestrial ecosystems: a review. Journal of Environmental Quality **9**: 333-344.

Agrawal, M., and S. B. Agrawal: 1989. Phytomonitoring of air pollution around a thermal power plant. Atmospheric Environment **23**: 763-769.

Alfonso, A. T., and E. B. Rodriguez: 1994. Estimation of air pollution in the area of influence of the coal power station at La Robla (Leon, Northwest Spain) using epiphytic lichens as bioindicators. Cryptogamie, Brol. Lichenol. **15**: 135-151.

Allen, M., and G. Kuta: 1994. Oak Decline. Forestry Leaflet 28. Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Northern Forestry Centre. Edmonton, AB.

Bernier, B., and M. Brazeau: 1988. Foliar nutrient status in relation to sugar maple dieback and decline in the Quebec Appalachians. Canadian Journal of Forest Research **18**: 754-761.

Bowen, H. J. M.: 1979. Environmental Chemistry of the Elements. Academic Press, London, England. 333 pp.

Department of the Environment: 1993. Air Polllution & Tree Health in the United Kingdom. HMSO, London.

Djingova, R., I. Kuleff, and N. Andreev: 1993. Comparison of the ability of several vascular plants to reflect environmental pollution. Chemosphere **27**: 1385-1396.

Dytham, C.: 2003. Choosing and Using Statistics: A Biologist's Guide. Second Edition. Blackwell Science, Malden, MA. 248 pp.

Draper, N. R., and H. Smith: 1998. Applied Regression Analysis. Third Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, NY. 706 pp.

Efroymson, R. A., M. E. Will, G. W. I. Suter, and A. C. Wooten: 1997. Toxicological Benchmarks for Screening Contaminants of Potential Concern for Effects on Terrestrial Plants: 1997 Revision. United States Department of Energy.

Ehnes, J.: 2002. Assessing Deposition of Airborne Particulates and Gases in the Selkirk Area Using Lichens Growing on Tree Trunks: Non-Technical Summary. Manitoba Conservation, Environmental Approvals Branch, Winnipeg, MB.

Ehrlich, W., E. Poyser, L. Pratt, and J. Ellis: 1953. Report of Reconnaissance Soil Survey of Winnipeg and Morris Map Sheet Areas. Soils Report No. 5, Canada Department of

Agriculture, Provincial Department of Agriculture and Soils Department, The University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB.

Environment Canada: 2003. Terrestrial Ecozones of Canada. Online. Available: http://www.ec.gc.ca/soer-ree/English/Framework/Nardesc/canada_e.cfm. Accessed June 17, 2003.

Fergusson, J. E.: 1990. Heavy metals in plants. In: Fergusson, J. E. (Ed.), The Heavy Elements. Pergamon Press, Elmsford, NY, pp. 377-405.

Fox, J.: 1991. Regression Diagnostics. Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences Series No. 79. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA.

Gaertig, T., H. Schack-Kirchner, E. E. Hildebrand, and K. V. Wilbert: 2002. The impact of soil aeration on oak decline in southwestern Germany. Forest Ecology and Management **159**: 15-25.

Gupta, M. C., and A. K. M. Ghouse: 1987. Cuticular geography, pigment content and anatomical traits of *Ficus bengalensis* L. under the influence of coal-smoke pollutants. Journal of Tree Science **6**: 106-110.

Gupta, B. N., A. J. Williams, and S. K. Banerjee: 1995. Impact of thermal power plant emissions on vegetation and soil. Proceedings of the Indian natns. Science Academy **B61**: 457-470.

Haapala, H., N. Goltsova, R. Seppala, S. Huttunen, J. Kouki, J. Lamppu, and B. Popovichev: 1996. Ecological condition of forests around the eastern part of the Gulf of Finland. Environmental Pollution **91**: 253-265.

Hall, J. P.: 1995. Forest health monitoring in Canada: how healthy is the boreal forest? Water, Air and Soil Pollution **82**: 77-85.

Havlin, J. L., J. D. Beaton, S. L. Tisdale, and W. L. Nelson: 1999. Soil Fertility and Fertilizers: An Introduction to Nutrient Management. Sixth edition. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, New Jersey. 499 pp.

Hosie, R.C.: 1979. Native Trees of Canada. 8th edition. Fitzhenry & Whiteside Ltd., Don Mills, Ontario.

Johnson, P. S.: 1990. *Quercus macrocarpa* Michx. In: Burns, R. M., Honkala, B. H. (Eds.), Silvics of North America: 2. Hardwoods. Agricultural Handbook 654. USDA, Forest Service, Washington, D. C., pp. 682-692.

Jones, J. B.: 1998. Plant Nutrition Manual. CRC Press LLC, New York, NY. 200 pp.

Julchang, R., B. Freedman, C. Coles, B. Zwicker, J. Holzbecker, and A. Chatt: 1995. Vanadium contamination of lichens and tree foliage in the vicinity of three oil-fired power plants in eastern Canada. Air & Waste Management Association **45**: 461-464.

Kaakinen, J. W., R. M. Jorden, M. H. Lawasani, and J. Wood: 1975. Trace element behavior in coal-fired power plant. Environmental Science and Technology **9**: 862-869.

Kalra, Y. P., and D. G. Maynard: 1991. Methods Manual for Forest Soil and Plant Analysis. Information Report NOR-X-319. Forestry Canada, Northwest Region, Northern Forestry Centre, Edmonton, Alberta.

Kovacs, M.: 1992. Biological Indicators in Environmental Protection. Ellis Horwood Limited, England.

Kozlowski, T. T. and S. G. Pallardy: 1997. Physiology of Woody Plants. Second Edition. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA. 411 pp.

Larsson, S. 1989. Stressful times for the plant stress - insect performance hypothesis. OIKOS 56: 277-283.

Lawrey, J. D.: 1979. Boron, strontium, and barium accumulation in selected plants and loss during leaf litter decomposition in areas influenced by coal strip mining. Canadian Journal of Botany **57**: 933-940.

MAXXAM ANALYTICS INC.: 2001. Source Emission Survey: Manitoba Hydro Selkirk, Manitoba. Boiler #1 Exhaust Ducts February 5-7, 2001. 9 pp.

McClenahen, J.R.: 1983. The impact of an urban-industrial area on deciduous forest tree growth. Journal of Environmental Quality **12**: 64-69.

McMartin, I., P. J. Henderson, and E. Nielsen: 1999. Impact of a base metal smelter on the geochemistry of soils of the Flin Flon region, Manitoba and Saskatchewan. Canadian Journal of Earth Science **36**: 141-160.

Michalyna, W., W. Gardiner, and G. Podolsky: 1975. Soils of the Winnipeg Region Study Area. Province of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba.

Mulgrew, A. and P. Williams: 2000. Biomonitoring of Air Quality Using Plants: Air Hygiene Report no. 10. WHO Collaborating Centre for Air Quality Management and Air Pollution Control, Berlin.

Nisbet, A. F. 1993. Effect of soil-based countermeasures on solid-liquid equilibria in agricultural soils contaminated with radiocaesium and radiostrontium. Science of the Total Environment 137: 99-118.

Palmer, M. W.: 1993. Putting things in even better order: the advantages of canonical correspondence analysis. Ecology **74**: 2215-2230.

Perala, D. A.: 1990. *Populus tremuoides* Michx. In: R. M. Burns and B. H. Honkala (eds.), Silvics of North America: 2. Hardwoods. Agricultural Handbook 654. USDA, Forest Service, Washington, D. C., pp. 555-569.

Peterson, E. B. and Peterson, N. M.: 1996. Ecology and silviculture of trembling aspen. In: Corneau, P. G., G.J. Harper, M.E. Blache, J.O. Boateng, K.D. Thomas (Eds.),Ecology and Management of B.C. Hardwoods: Workshop Proceedings. December 1-2, 1993. Government of Canada, Province of British Columbia, Richmond, B.C., pp. 30-51.

Saxe, H.: 1996. Physiological and biochemical tools in diagnosis of forest decline and air pollution injury to plants. In: Yunus, M. and M. Iqbal (Eds.), Plant Response to Air Pollution. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., West Sussex, England, pp. 449-487.

SENES Consultants Ltd.: 2001. Environmental Impact Assessment 1993-2001 of the Selkirk Generating Station. SENES Consultants Limited, Vancouver, B.C.

Sims, R. A., H. M. Kershaw, and G. M. Wickware: 1990. The Autecology of Major Tree Species in the North Central Region of Ontario. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Thunder Bay, Ontario.

SPSS Inc.: 1999. SPSS Base 10.0 for Windows User's Guide. SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL.

Stolte, K. W.: 1997. 1996 National Technical Report on Forest Health. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Washington, D.C.

Tamm, C. O., and E. B. Cowling: 1977. Acidic precipitation and forest vegetation. Water, Air and Soil Pollution **7**: 503-511.

Taylor, H. J., M. R. Ashmore, and J. N. B. Bell: 1986. Air Pollution Injury to Vegetation. IEHO, Chadwick House, London.

Tingey, D. T.: 1989. Bioindicators in air pollution research - applications and constraints. In: Committee on Biologic Markers of Air-Pollution Damage in Trees, Board on Environmental Studies and Toxicology, Commission on Life Sciences, National Research Council (Eds.), Biologic Markers of Air-Pollution Stress and Damage in Forests. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., pp. 73-80.

Truby, P.: 1995. Distribution patterns of heavy metals in forest trees on contaminated sites in Germany. Angew. Bot. **69**: 135-139.

Van Voris, P., T. L. Page, W. H. Rickard, J. G. Droppo, and B. E. Vaughan: 1985. Report for the Canadian Electic Association: Volume I. Environmental Implications of Trace

Element Releases From Canadian Coal-fired Generating Stations. Battelle, Pacific Northwest Laboratories, Richland, Washington.180 pp.

Wangen, L. E., and M. D. Williams: 1978. Elemental deposition downwind of a coal-fired plant. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution **10**: 33-44.

Zhang, L., D. Planas, and J. Qian: 1995. Mercury concentrations in black spruce (*Picea mariana* Mill. B.S.P.) and lichens in boreal Quebec, Canada. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution **81**: 153-161.

Zoladeski, C. A., G. M. Wickware, R. J. Delorme, R. A. Sims, and I. G. W. Corns: 1995. Forest Ecosystem Classification for Manitoba: Field Guide. Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Northwest Region, Northern Forestry Centre, Edmonton, Alberta.

CHAPTER 3

Radial growth of oak and aspen near a coal-fired station, Manitoba, Canada.[1]

ABSTRACT

Eighteen stands of bur oak (*Quercus macrocarpa*) and trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides*) were sampled and analyzed using dendrochronological methods to study the potential effects on tree growth of emissions from a 132 MW coal-fired generating station. Sixteen stands were sampled within a 16 km radius of the station, and two control stands were sampled outside of the range of influence, at distances >40 km. All stands showed similar radial growth patterns from 1960–2001, regardless of distance from or direction relative to the generating station, and a number of stands, including the controls, had below average growth after 1970. Both species were significantly affected by climatic factors, showing decreased radial growth with increasing June temperature. The species differed in their growth responses to May precipitation and temperature in the previous October. One bur oak site displayed marked radial growth decline beginning in the mid-1970s, strongly pronounced following 1977. This decline does not appear to be related to emissions from the station, but is suspected to be a result of poor site conditions (shallow soil developed over calcareous till), confounded by a change in drainage (a road was built adjacent to the stand in 1977, perpendicular to the direction of drainage). The below average growth seen in 1970–2001 across most stands is likely attributable to age effects and stand dynamics.

[1] Paper accepted in Tree-Ring Research.

INTRODUCTION

Pollution and Tree Growth

The growth of trees is largely a function of environmental conditions. Air pollutants such as sulphur dioxide and heavy metals have long been recognized as factors that influence tree growth and forest health. These pollutants can cause an inhibition of photosynthesis (Keller, 1980; Malhotra and Blauel, 1980), which can have a lasting effect on growth for several years (Fritts, 1976). Growth reduction can be manifested in many parts of the tree, particularly in radial growth. More specifically, reduced photosynthesis slows cambial activity and consequently wood production (Yunus and Iqbal, 1996). Obtaining long-term records of tree growth is desirable in many air pollution studies, and tree rings are a readily available source of baseline information on forest growth and productivity that can predate present instances of increased air pollution (Cook and Innes, 1989).

Because tree rings integrate many environmental influences, a weak pollution signal may be embedded in a high level of natural environmental noise (Cook and Innes, 1989). Therefore, the roles of natural determinants of tree growth, particularly climatic factors, must be evaluated critically before a clear interpretation of air pollution effects on tree growth can be made (LeBlanc et al., 1987). It is assumed that all trees respond to climatic conditions to varying degrees, and sites that are influenced by non-climatic factors are expected to produce ring-width patterns different from those seen in sites that are limited only by climate (Thompson, 1981). By comparing the climatic response of trees from different sites in a region, it is possible to determine whether other environmental factors exist, such as air pollution, that affect radial growth. This may be particularly useful when examining the effect of point source pollution on radial growth patterns, especially when historical emission records are available.

It is also important to recognize that sensitivity to different environmental factors varies from species to species. This applies to both natural and human-induced stress factors, and therefore surveys of single species may not allow generally valid conclusions in forest health studies (Schweingruber, 1985). The use of two or more species is important in these types of investigations, to accurately identify or rule out potential stress factors. Each individual tree and each tree species has certain ecological requirements, and because the combined effect of the site factors (e.g. soil conditions, stand dynamics) is integrated into the tree-ring pattern, serious consideration must be given to overall site placement (Schweingruber et al., 1990).

This study examines the radial growth of bur oak (*Quercus macrocarpa* Michx.) and trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides* Michx.) trees in stands near a 132 MW coal-fired generating station. The station was commissioned in 1960 and began operation in 1961. Until 2002 (at which time, a conversion to natural gas operation was completed), operations consisted of two electrical generators, each with a 66 MW capacity. Lignite coal (0.6% sulphur, 8.7% ash content) was used to power the plant until 1993. Subsequently sub-bituminous coal was utilized (0.36% sulphur, 4.25% ash content). Before flue gas exited the 76 m stack, it was directed through a multi-clone dust collector, capable of removing approximately 70% of the fly ash. The station was never equipped with electrostatic precipitators, nor did it have sulphur dioxide scrubbers. The primary operating roles were during periods of increased demand (e.g. winter), drought, system failure of the provincial hydro-based network, or to allow hydraulic facilities to maintain or increase reservoir storage (SENES, 2001). Historically, peak years of output were 1976–77, 1987–88, 1998 and 2000. Increased production in 1998 and 2000 is of particular concern in this study, as visible decline symptoms in bur oak and trembling aspen were noted by residents in the vicinity of the station in the spring of 2000. Decline included top dieback, leaf chlorosis, and whole tree mortality.

In southern Manitoba, bur oak is near its northwestern limit of distribution in North America. This species can tolerate a wide range of soil conditions and moisture levels. It grows slowly on dry uplands and sandy plains but is also found on fertile limestone soils and moist bottomlands with other hardwoods (Johnson, 1990). Bur oak is considered to be a hardy species that is relatively tolerant to sulphur dioxide and nitrogen oxides as air pollutants (Taylor et al., 1986). Trembling aspen grows throughout the forested regions of Canada, and often occurs in mixed stands with bur oak in the study region. It is a pioneer species, and commonly colonizes recently disturbed areas. This fast-growing species is short lived and it grows on many soil types, especially sandy and gravelly slopes (Perala, 1990). Aspen species have been shown to have an intermediate sensitivity to sulphur dioxide pollution (Taylor et al., 1986).

The objective of this study was to determine if airborne emissions from the generating station could be linked to any forest decline noted by residents in its vicinity. To do so, both the climatic and non-climatic variations in radial growth were analyzed in stands dominated by bur oak, trembling aspen, and in mixed stands dominated by the two species.

METHODS

Study Area

The study area is approximately 60 km north of Winnipeg, Manitoba, centered on the generating station (50°08′ N 96°51′ W) (Figure 3.1). The study area had a 16 km radius (rationale explained below) and encompassed a circular area of 804 km^2. The area lies within the Aspen Parkland vegetation zone, and is highly modified by agriculture (Zoladeski et al., 1995). Forest stands situated within this agro-forestry interface are discontinuous, and the majority of these are privately owned remnants. The topography and geology of the study area are relatively homogeneous and soils are dominantly Chernozemic. The study area lies in the Manitoba Lowlands, once occupied by glacial Lake Agassiz, and the underlying bedrock is limestone.

The climate of the area is sub humid, cool continental, characterized by high summer and low winter temperatures (Michalyna et al., 1975). For the years 1971–2000, mean annual temperature was 2.6° C and mean total annual precipitation was 513.7 mm, with over 80% of the precipitation occurring as rainfall during the growing season (Environment Canada, 2002). Winds at the generating station are predominantly from the south-southeast and the north-northwest, and deposition models have been produced which show maximum particulate deposition within 12 km from the station (SENES, 2001). These models have been verified by trace element concentration in lichens within the study area (Ehnes, 2002). Dust deposition contours created from lichen tissue concentrations closely shadowed the predicted south-southeast to north-northwest pattern of average annual suspended particulate matter deposition. Additionally, deposition of airborne trace elements and SO_2 from industrial sources has been shown to be highest



Figure 3.1. Location of the study area in southern Manitoba (inset). The 16 stands selected within the study area are presented in relation to the coal-fired generating station (the 2 control stands, not shown, are located 42 km NW and 65 km SE of generating station).

between 5 and 15 km from the point of emission (Wangen and Williams 1978; Van Voris et al., 1985; Bourque and Arp, 1994).

Site Selection

Using digital forest inventory maps, forest stands were identified that had either bur oak or trembling aspen as the dominant tree species within a 16 km radius of the generating station. From this initial phase, stands that covered more than 2 hectares, had a crown closure > 50% (i.e. mature stands), and had equivalent moisture regimes were marked. To compare tree growth in different directions and at varying distances from the generating station, marked stands were selected that were north, east, south, and west of the station, at increasing distances. Along each of these four directional transects, three to five stands were chosen. In total, sixteen bur oak, trembling aspen, or mixed stands dominated by the two species within the study area were selected for dendrochronological sampling (Figure 3.1 and Table 3.1; see Appendix 5 for a list of the 16 forest health plots used in the dendrochronological study).

Additionally, two control stands were chosen that were out of the maximum range of influence of the generating station, as determined from deposition models for the generating station (SENES, 2001). The oak control stand was located 65 km SE of the station and the aspen control stand was located 42 km NW of the station.

Dendrochronological Sampling and Processing

In each of the 16 study stands and two control stands, two cores were collected at approximately 1.3 m above ground level from 10 dominant or co-dominant trees, using an increment borer. Trees with double stems, or that were immediately adjacent to another mature tree were not selected for sampling, to avoid competition effects on

Table 3.1. Location of sites relative to the generating station, with dominant tree species.

Site*	Direction	Distance (km)
N1O	North	2.3
N2O, A	North	6.1
N3A	North	8.1
N4A	North	12.7
E1O, A	East	3.9
E2A	East	5.9
E3O, A	East	8.8
E4O	East	12.6
S1O	South	7.0
S2A	South	9.2
S3O	South	10.5
S4O, A	South	12.7
S5A	South	15.3
W1O	West	5.9
W2O	West	10.6
W3O	West	14.7
C1A	Northwest	42.0
C2O	Southeast	65.0

* O indicates dominant oak representation in a given site, while A indicates dominant aspen representation in a site. Sites appended with both O and A indicate a site with a mixture of the two species.

growth. Cross sections were collected from 1-2 trees in some sites at approximately 1.3 m above ground level to supplement core samples. All samples were air-dried and prepared according to standard methods (Stokes and Smiley, 1968). Cores and cross-sections were sanded, and all samples were crossdated both within trees and within each site to ensure accurate dating. Radial growth was measured to 0.01 mm precision using a Velmex measuring system. To ensure the accuracy of crossdating and measuring, the COFECHA program was utilized (Holmes, 1983).

Two standardization approaches were followed. In the first, each measurement series was standardized using a straight line through the mean (bi-weight robust mean) using the ARSTAN program (Cook and Holmes, 1999). This resulted in dimensionless ring width indices for each series, which were averaged for trees within a site to produce standard site chronologies. As no de-trending method was applied to the measurement series, the standardized chronologies contained both low frequency (i.e. decadal) and high frequency (i.e. annual) variance.

The effect of pollutant levels on the photosynthetic capacity of trees may induce a low-frequency growth response of a decade or more in length (McClenahen and Dochinger, 1985). It is therefore desirable to separate the low frequency variation, which includes age trends as well as any long-term effects of air pollution, from the high frequency component variation, which includes responses to variations in climate factors as well as to short-term increases in air pollution from the generating station. A second standardization approach was carried out to separate the variance into these components. To do so, a 20-year smoothing spline was applied to all measurement series using the ARSTAN program (Cook and Holmes, 1999), resulting in ring width indices (representing the high frequency variance) and spline curves (representing the low frequency variance) for each site. Before averaging, the 20-year spline curves were transformed using a straight line through the mean (bi-weight robust mean). Autoregressive modeling was carried out on the high frequency series (resulting from the 20-year spline) to remove temporal autocorrelation and to ensure independent observations, a requirement for most statistical analyses (Legendre and Legendre, 1998). This resulted in residual, high frequency chronologies for each site. For standard high frequency chronologies in which autocorrelation was found not to be significant ($p > 0.05$), the standard chronology was used rather than the residual chronology in subsequent data analyses.

Data Analyses

To compare historical variation in radial growth among all sites, chronology statistics for both tree species were produced using the ARSTAN program (Cook and Holmes, 1999), with a common interval analysis of 41 years (covering the period of generating station operation) for bur oak and 31 years for trembling aspen (aspen chronologies were shorter in length). Sites that were sampled for oak are appended with 'O' and those that were sampled for aspen are appended with 'A'. To assess if yearly production levels of the generating station were related to radial growth, Spearman's correlations were run on yearly production (GWh) from the generating station and the three different ring width indices (straight line through the mean, high frequency, and low frequency). Spearman's correlation was used here instead of parametric correlation as the yearly production values were not normally distributed. To ensure that growth in the control site was related to growth in study sites prior to the operation of the generating station, residual chronologies (straight line through the mean) of bur oak study sites and the bur oak control site (for the period of 1920–1960) were compared using Pearson's correlations. The tree-ring records for trembling aspen did not date far enough back to allow for such a comparison.

Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using CANOCO 4.0 (ter Braak and Smilauer, 1998) on the high frequency site chronologies (derived from the 20 year spline) to analyze the common variance among sites (18 sites and 39 years). PCA was run on both tree species (all sites); each year was treated as a sample in this analysis, and each site as a descriptor. To determine how much of the variation in radial growth was attributable to climate variables, correlation analysis was employed using PRECON (version 5.16) (Fritts et al., 1991). Year scores from the first and second PCA axes were analyzed with meteorological data from the Winnipeg International Airport (located 35 km southwest of the generating station: 49°54' N, 97°14' W), including mean monthly temperature and total monthly precipitation (Environment Canada, 2002). The period of 1961–1999 was used in the correlation analysis as this period corresponds with operation of the generating station, the span of climatic data (available records end in 1999), and the short length of the trembling aspen chronologies. Sixteen months were used in the climate analysis, beginning with May of the previous growing season and ending with August of the current growing season.

RESULTS

Comparison of Radial Growth

Bur oak

Chronology statistics for bur oak were similar across all sites (Table 3.2). Mean ring width ranged from 0.69–1.43 mm across all sites. Site N2O demonstrated the largest mean ring width, likely related to the young age of this stand relative to other bur oak stands. Mean ring width, mean sensitivity, and standard deviation for the common period (1960–2000) was lowest for site S3O, a stand south of the station showing extensive crown dieback. All site chronologies showed similar year-to-year variation (i.e. wide and narrow growth years are corresponding across all sites) (Fig. 3.2). In terms of the low frequency variation, a number of sites showed below average growth starting around 1970, including the control site (Fig. 3.2c). Only site S4O showed above average growth during this time period, demonstrating the highest index values from 1980–2001.

Site S3O started to show decreased growth in 1974, while all sites exhibited low growth in 1976–77 (Fig. 3.2a); but only site S3O showed a decrease in sensitivity after 1977, and did not fully recover. Growth at this site remained far below average following 1977, even though the high frequency variation was still corresponding marginally with other sites (Fig. 3.2b). The raw measurement series for site S3O showed the synchronous onset of suppressed radial growth in all trees (Fig. 3.3), suggesting that a change in the local environment occurred at that site. None of the other bur oak sites, regardless of proximity to the generating station, showed a radial growth decline similar to that observed in site S3O. Correlations between the bur oak chronologies (straight line through the mean, low frequency and high frequency variation) and yearly production

Table 3.2. Statistics for all bur oak standard chronologies resulting from detrending with a 20-year spline and for the common interval analysis.

Site	N1O	N2O	E1O	E3O	E4O	S1O	S3O	S4O	W1O	W2O	W3O	C2O
Chronology length	1916-2001	1937-2001	1919-2001	1914-2001	1923-2001	1892-2001	1906-2001	1892-2001	1897-2001	1893-2001	1897-2001	1892-2001
No. of trees	11	10	11	10	10	10	11	10	11	11	10	11
No. of radii	21	20	21	20	20	20	22	20	21	22	20	21
Mean ring width (mm)	1.14	1.43	1.13	1.20	1.06	0.92	0.69	1.01	1.36	1.05	1.31	0.95
Mean sensitivity	0.17	0.15	0.18	0.2	0.18	0.16	0.14	0.17	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.22
Standard deviation	0.16	0.15	0.19	0.2	0.21	0.17	0.15	0.19	0.22	0.16	0.18	0.2
First order autocorrelation	0.06	0.24	0.27	0.31	0.33	0.23	0.35	0.30	0.43	0.04	0.05	0.11
Common Interval Analysis (1960–2000)												
No. of trees	11	10	11	10	10	10	11	10	10	11	10	11
No. of radii	18	20	21	19	19	19	19	20	18	22	18	21
Variance in PC1(%)	63.87	48.32	68.20	60.8	65.11	51.24	35.31	64.51	60.03	57.82	50.36	62.85
Interseries correlation	0.61	0.43	0.66	0.58	0.62	0.47	0.3	0.62	0.57	0.55	0.47	0.6
Mean sensitivity	0.15	0.12	0.15	0.19	0.15	0.15	0.12	0.18	0.19	0.19	0.17	0.20



Figure 3.2: (A) Standard chronologies for the *Q. macrocarpa* sites, standardized with a straight line through the mean, with site S3O indicated with thick, bold line, and site C2O indicated with thin, bold line. (B) High frequency variance (standard chronology, resulting from a 20 year smoothing spline) for all *Q. macrocarpa* sites, with site S3O indicated with thick, bold line, and site C2O indicated with thin, bold line. (C) Low frequency variance for all *Q. macrocarpa* sites, with site S3O indicated with thick, bold line, and site C2O indicated with thin, bold line. (D) Annual power production from 1961–2001 (Gigawatt hours) of the coal-fired generating station.



Figure 3.3. Raw measurements for all *Q. macrocarpa* trees sampled in plot S3O (transformed by a straight line through the mean), illustrating the simultaneous onset of radial growth decline.

output were not significant for the period of 1961–2001. There was some correspondence of decreased radial growth and increased production levels in 1976–77 and 1988 (Fig. 3.2), however the control chronology also showed decreased growth at these times.

Coefficients for the correlation between bur oak chronologies of study plots with that of the bur oak control plot, prior to the onset of operations, were all significant except for one site, N2O (Table 3.3). Site N2O was comparatively younger than the control plot, and age effects are most likely responsible for this non-congruency. This verifies the use of the high frequency control chronology as a reference chronology during the pollution period, as it showed correspondence with the other sites prior to the pollution source, yet would not have been affected by emissions. For the period of generating station operation (1961–2001), the strength of correlations between the study plots and control plot increased for the majority of the study plots (Table 3.3).

Trembling aspen

The aspen chronology statistics were similar across all sites (Table 3.4). Relative to bur oak, trembling aspen chronologies had larger mean ring widths, and higher values for both mean sensitivity and standard deviation. Mean ring width for the aspen chronologies was between 1.43 and 2.62 mm. All sites demonstrated substantially similar growth patterns during the period of coal-fired operation (Fig. 3.4a, b, c), regardless of proximity to the generating station. No significant crown dieback was present in any of the sites, nor was any drastic radial growth decline. In the low frequency component of growth, many of the sites demonstrated lower than average growth following 1970, including the control chronology (Fig. 3.4a, c).

Table 3.3. Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) and p-values for bur oak site residual chronologies (straight line through the mean) with the control plot residual chronology: prior to (1920–1960) and during operation of the generating station (1961–2001).

	1920-1960		1961-2001	
Site	r	p > r	r	p > r
N1O	0.42	0.006	0.47	0.002
N2O	0.27	ns	0.38	0.014
E1O	0.31	0.050	0.42	0.006
E3O	0.37	0.018	0.61	0.000
E4O	0.45	0.005	0.49	0.001
S1O	0.50	0.001	*0.41	0.009
S3O	0.42	0.007	0.43	0.005
S4O	0.72	0.000	*0.64	0.000
W1O	0.57	0.000	0.73	0.000
W2O	0.37	0.016	0.63	0.000
W3O	0.48	0.002	0.50	0.001

*Indicates a decrease in correlation during period of station operation.

Note: N2O pre-operation period was 1937–1960; E4O pre-operation period was 1923–1960. Designation of ns = not significant.

Table 3.4. Statistics for all trembling aspen standard site chronologies resulting from detrending with a 20-year spline and for the common interval analysis.

Site	N2A	N3A	N4A	E1A	E2A	S2A	S4A	S5A	C1A
Chronology length	1933-2001	1931-2001	1952-2001	1937-2001	1938-2001	1935-2001	1935-2001	1930-2001	1924-2001
No. of trees	10	10	10	9	13	10	11	10	13
No. of radii	20	20	21	18	26	18	16	20	24
Mean ring width	1.66	1.73	2.62	1.83	1.79	1.72	1.79	2.01	1.43
Mean sensitivity	0.26	0.29	0.33	0.34	0.42	0.22	0.23	0.27	0.35
Standard deviation	0.31	0.24	0.29	0.31	0.38	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.29
First order autocorrelation	0.12	0.06	0.17	0.05	0.03	0.14	0.07	0.13	0.12
Common Interval Analysis (1970–2000)									
No. of trees	10	10	6	9	10	10	11	10	13
No. of radii	20	16	13	14	16	18	16	18	23
Variance in PC1(%)	60.88	51.15	49.85	70.53	58.52	43.18	39.85	54.57	69.67
Interseries correlation	0.58	0.48	0.45	0.68	0.55	0.37	0.35	0.51	0.68
Mean sensitivity	0.29	0.30	0.28	0.32	0.34	0.26	0.28	0.24	0.40



Figure 3.4. (A) Standard chronologies for the *P. tremuloides* sites, standardized with a straight line through the mean, with site C1A indicated with a thin, bold line. (B) High frequency variance (standard chronology, resulting from a 20 year smoothing spline) for all *P. tremuloides* sites, with site C1A indicated with a thin, bold line. (C) Low frequency variance for all *P. tremuloides* sites, with site C1A indicated with a thin, bold line. (D) Annual power production from 1961–2001 (Gigawatt hours) of the coal-fired generating station.

Correlation of the trembling aspen high frequency component variance with yearly production output was not significant for any sites during the period of 1961–2001. Correlation of one of the trembling aspen standard chronologies (straight line through the mean) with yearly output was significant, as was one the low frequency chronologies (i.e. 2 out of the total 63 correlations with yearly production were significant). However, the apparently significant correlations were contradictory, therefore no clear correlation existed between radial growth and pollutant emission levels. There was correspondence of decreased radial growth and increased production levels in 1976–77 (Fig. 3.4), however the control chronology also showed decreased growth during this period.

Climatic Analysis

The first four PC axes explain 52.9, 15.8, 6.6, and 5.2 % of the total variance present among all high frequency site chronologies. The first two axes are presented here. The component scores for all sites on the first axis were positive, showing that patterns of radial growth are correlated among all site chronologies, regardless of species (Fig. 3.5a). Year scores from the first axis (Fig. 3.5b) were significantly, negatively correlated with June temperature in the current growing season (Fig. 3.6a). Year scores from the first axis were also significantly, positively correlated with precipitation in the previous May and previous September, and negatively with precipitation in the current February (Fig. 3.6b). On the second axis, all bur oak sites had negative loadings, while trembling aspen response was mostly positive, with the exception of site N4A (Fig. 3.7a). Year scores from the second axis (Fig. 3.7b) were significantly, positively



Figure 3.5. (A) Site scores from the first component of the principal component analysis (PCA). Black bars indicate *Q. macrocarpa*, white bars indicate *P. tremuloides*. (B) Year scores from the first component of the PCA.



Figure 3.6. Correlation coefficients between year scores and mean monthly temperature (A) and total monthly precipitation (B) for the period 1961–1999. Dashed lines indicate significant correlations at $p < 0.05$.



Figure 3.7. (A) Site scores from the second component of the principal component analysis (PCA). Black bars indicate *Q. macrocarpa*, white bars indicate *P. tremuloides*. (B) Year scores from the second component of the PCA.

correlated with temperature in the previous October (Fig. 3.8a).



Figure 3.8. Correlation coefficients between year scores and mean monthly temperature (A) and total monthly precipitation (B) for the period 1961–1999. Dashed lines indicate significant correlations at $p < 0.05$.

DISCUSSION

Radial Growth

If atmospheric deposition of sulphur dioxide was responsible for a growth decline, it is likely that many stands of a given species would show a marked decline at the same time (Van Deusen, 1990). However, this was not found, with site S3O being the only site sampled in which a substantial radial decline was observed, and in which the majority of trees were either dead or dying. Although radial growth across both tree species was below average following 1970, this decrease was also present in the control chronologies, which would not have been affected by airborne emissions from the generating station. This radial growth decrease is therefore likely a result of age effects and stand dynamics. At breast height, the cambial age and the distance of the cambium from the photosynthetic centres of the canopy increase over time (Cook and Innes, 1989). The annual ring width also decreases as a tree ages, because the amount of new wood added each year is constant, while the circumference increases (Nash et al., 1975). This leads to a decrease in annual ring width with increasing age. Additionally, in closed canopy stands, the effects of competition increase as individual trees increase in size. Unlike the rapid growth associated with a release (e.g. death of an adjacent tree), growth decline due to increasing competition appears to be gradual (Phipps, 1984). Trembling aspen is a light dependent species (Perala, 1990), while bur oak has an intermediate light requirement (Johnson, 1990), therefore it is expected that these species would be in competition with surrounding canopy trees as they were in relatively even-aged stands. Slightly decreasing growth trends can be expected as tree crown competition increases (McClenahen and Dochinger, 1985).

Although it is well established that increases in ambient sulphur dioxide levels are linked to decreased radial growth (e.g. Thompson, 1981; Fox et al., 1986), the emissions from this generating station were likely too low and discontinuous to have had a widespread deleterious effect on tree growth near the station. This generating station was quite small (132 MW) in comparison to other stations documented in the literature that show negative effects on tree growth. Over the 40-year span of operations, emissions were significantly increased only at four different times, and in 1998 (the year of highest output, but only at 42% of the station capacity) the maximum annual predicted ground-level concentration of SO_2 was 0.58 $\mu g/m^3$ (SENES, 2001). It has been suggested that a long-term concentration threshold of 100-150 $\mu g/m^3$ SO_2 will cause negative growth effects on forest trees (Roberts, 1984), although a critical level of 20 $\mu g/m^3$ SO_2 (annual mean) has been established in Europe for forest trees (Sanders et al., 1995). In comparison to these suggested thresholds, the levels of SO_2 in this study area are far below those of potential negative growth effects. Further, operations were historically less than 20% capacity on average, and increased operation was greatest during the dormant season (winter) and when stomatal conductance was likely reduced (drought). Coupled with the fact that bur oak and trembling aspen are tolerant or intermediate in tolerance to SO_2, any pollution effect would be very small and difficult to detect.

The decline in site S3O is likely related to urban development, with construction of a road immediately adjacent to the site, perpendicular to the direction of site drainage, occurring in 1977 (Springfield municipality office, pers. comm., 2002). This area is known to have a high water table (e.g. residents are unable to have basements in their houses), and interference with the natural drainage may have exaggerated the situation.

Insufficient soil aeration may be a factor causing oak decline, with deficiencies in soil gas permeability reducing fine root formation and subsequently reducing the stress tolerance of trees (Gaertig et al., 2002). Soils that are waterlogged, compacted, or shallow have previously been implicated in oak decline (Wargo et al. 1983).

True controls are nearly impossible to obtain in tree-ring research because one can never assess all possible sources of site variation. However, important information on natural variability can be gathered from carefully scrutinized sites that are outside the range of influence of pollutants (Nash and Kincaid, 1990). Chronology congruency prior to operation was confirmed between the bur oak study plots and the control plot, and correlations with the control site chronology became stronger for most bur oak study sites during the period in which the generating station was operating. This stronger correspondence of radial growth trends with sites near the pollution source and the control site does not support a pollution effect; if a pollution effect existed, one would expect a decrease in correspondence (assuming that the controls had no pollution exposure).

There was some correspondence between years of increased emissions and years with reduced growth (1976–77, 1988). The increased production at the Selkirk station (and therefore increased emission levels) in these years was in response to prolonged drought conditions, which reduces hydro electrical generating capacity. Therefore, a comparison of the control chronology with sites near the station is necessary to determine if climatic extremes are primarily responsible for decreased radial growth. For both tree species, the control site also demonstrated reduced growth in these years. Further, in 1998 and 2000 (high emission, non-drought years), there was no significant decrease in ring width; there was actually an increase in ring width in the aspen chronologies in 2000 (Fig. 4a, b). This demonstrates that the decreased growth in 1976-77 and 1988 is most likely due to low precipitation levels and not increased emissions.

Climatic Analysis

Climatic variation is important in controlling the growth of both bur oak and trembling aspen in southeastern Manitoba. Growth of bur oak and trembling aspen in all sites was lowest in years with a hot June. High June temperature likely inhibits leaf expansion of both species, and subsequently affects radial growth. In a study on the radial growth of bur oak in Birds Hill Provincial Park (southern end of this study area) by Hanuta (2002), a significant negative correlation was found between radial growth and temperature in May and June of the current year. This was also found with bur oak in eastern Nebraska, where current June temperature was negatively correlated with radial growth (Lawson et al., 1980). High May temperatures were found to be associated with smaller springwood vessels in bur oak in Virginia, and it was hypothesized that this was due to the adverse effect of high temperatures and water stress at the time these large cells are expanding (Woodcock, 1987).

Hogg et al. (2002) employed regression modeling of trembling aspen growth response to climate variation, and found that the climate moisture index (CMI), growing degree-days (GDD), and snow depth at the end of March were all significant regression coefficients. Precipitation in the current June and July were also found to be significant in a regression model, but the strength of the model was not as strong as with the CMI, GDD, and snow depth.

A clear differentiation between the two tree species was also observed. Bur oak sites all showed strong negative loadings on the second PC axis, indicating a positive relationship with May - July precipitation (although these correlations were not significant), and sensitivity to warmer temperature in the previous October. Bur oak is a ring-porous species, and as such begins cambial activity in the spring to transport water for photosynthesis before leaf emergence (Lechowicz, 1984). Hanuta (2002) and Lawson et al. (1980) also noted a positive relationship between growth and precipitation in the spring for bur oak. Trembling aspen, a diffuse-porous species that demonstrates early and indeterminate leaf emergence, does not depend on early season water availability to the same extent as ring porous trees (Lechowicz, 1984); its growth was actually inhibited by increasing current growing season precipitation. Many of the sites in the study area have high clay soils with restricted drainage. Any waterlogging of the soil would have had a negative effect on aspen, which grows best on sandy, well-drained soils (Perala, 1990). In terms of temperature in the previous October, warmer weather may lead to an increase in evaporation rates (Kozlowski and Pallardy, 1997). If a tree's transpiration is greater than its water absorption in the fall, water stress will increase (Fritts and Shashkin, 1995). This stress may be reflected in the following year's growth in bur oak, a ring porous species, but not necessarily in trembling aspen.

Substrate composition may also be a factor in how bur oaks respond to precipitation, due to their drought resistant nature (Lawson et al., 1980). The mean sensitivity, a measure of the relative differences in width between adjoining rings (Fritts, 1976), of trees in sites that are not well drained is expected to be lower as they are not as dependent on regular precipitation events. This is demonstrated by site S3O, having a loamy soil, a high water table (likely resulting from reduced site drainage) and a low sensitivity value. Conversely, site S4O, located two kilometers south of S3O, has a sandy loam soil with good drainage and showed higher sensitivity and higher mean ring width. Site S4O acted as a control for S3O, in that it too would have been expected to show a growth decline if airborne emissions were causing decline along the southern transect. Although pollution has been shown to change a tree's growth-climate response (LeBlanc, 1993), it seems that age effects and site factors (such as the drainage regime) were of more influence in this study. Unless changing growth-climate responses are apparent along a supposed pollution gradient (e.g. Thompson, 1981), which was not the case in this study, no conclusions can be made with respect to adverse pollution effects on growth.

CONCLUSION

Emissions from the coal-fired generating station do not appear to be linked to decline observed south of the station, rather the decline in S3O was likely a result of poor site conditions (i.e. poor drainage following the construction of a road), while the below average growth seen in 1970–2001 across most stands seems attributable to age effects. As the radial growth of both bur oak and trembling aspen in southern Manitoba was found to be significantly related to climate, it should be considered an important natural stress factor in future forest health studies in the area. Tree-ring analysis has proved to be effective in determining the onset of decline in a bur oak stand in this study (i.e. decline started far earlier than 1998 or 2000, the years with increased emission levels that residents were concerned had negatively affected tree growth), and that decline was not linked to emissions from a local generating station.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank B. Jones and M. Kulbaba for assistance in the field and the laboratory, and F. Conciatori for technical assistance with the dendrochronological samples. H. Grissino-Mayer and two anonymous reviewers provided a critical review of the manuscript, with many valuable comments. This research was made possible by funding from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and Manitoba Hydro (through the facilitation of Manitoba Conservation).

LITERATURE CITED

Cook, E. R., and R. L. Holmes

1999 *Users Manual for Program ARSTAN.* Laboratory of Tree-Ring Research, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona.

Cook, E. R., and J. L. Innes

1989 *Tree-ring Analysis as an Aid to Evaluating the Effects of Air Pollution on Tree Growth*. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.

Ehnes, J.

2002 *Assessing Deposition of Airborne Particulates and Gases in the Selkirk Area Using Lichens Growing on Tree Trunks: Non-Technical Summary.* Manitoba Conservation, Environmental Approvals Branch, Winnipeg, MB.

Environment Canada

2002 *Canadian Climate Normals 1971-2000.* Online. Available: http://www.msc.ec.gc.ca/climate/climate_normals/results_e.cfm.

Fox, C. A., W. B. Kincaid, T. H. I. Nash, D. L. Young, and H. C. Fritts

1986 Tree-ring variation in western larch (*Larix occidentalis*) exposed to sulfur dioxide emissions. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 16, 283-292.

Fritts, H. C.

1976 *Tree Rings and Climate*. Academic Press, London.

Fritts, H. C., and M. Y. Shkolnik

1995 Modeling tree-ring structure as related to temperature, precipitation, and day length. In *Tree Rings as Indicators of Ecosystem Health*, edited by T. E. Lewis. CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida, USA. pp. 17-57.

Fritts, H. C., E. A. Vaganov, I. V. Sviderskaya, and A. V. Shashkion

1991 Climatic variation and tree-ring structure in conifers: empirical and mechanistic models of tree-ring width, number of cells, cell size, cell-wall thickness and wood density. *Climatic Research* 1, 97-116.

Gaertig, T., H. Schack-Kirchner, E. E. Hildebrand, and K. V. Wilbert

2002 The impact of soil aeration on oak decline in southwestern Germany. *Forest Ecology and Management* 159, 15-25.

Hanuta, I.

2002 Dendrochronology and dendroclimatology from bur oak trees in Birds Hill Provincial Park, Manitoba. *Prairie Perspectives: Geographical Essays* 5, 90-108.

Hogg, E. H., J. P. Brandt, and B. Kochtubajba

2002 Growth and dieback of aspen forests in northwestern Alberta, Canada, in relation to climate and insects. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 32, 823-832.

Holmes, R. L.

1983 Computer-assisted quality control in tree-ring dating and measurement. *Tree-Ring Bulletin* 43, 69-78.

Hughes, M. K., P. Leggett, S. J. Milsom, and F. A. Hibbert

1978 Dendrochronology of oak in North Wales. *Tree-Ring Bulletin* 38, 15-23.

Johnson, P. S.

1990 *Quercus macrocarpa* Michx. In *Silvics of North America: 2. Hardwoods* (Agricultural Handbook 654), edited by R. M. Burns and B. H. Honkala. USDA, Forest Service, Washington, D. C., pp. 682-692.

Kalra, Y. P., and D. G. Maynard

1991 Methods Manual for Forest Soil and Plant Analysis. Information Report NOR-X-319. Forestry Canada, Northwest Region, Northern Forestry Centre, Edmonton, Alberta.

Keller, T.

1980 The effect of a continuous springtime fumigation with SO_2 on CO_2 uptake and structure of the annual ring in spruce. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 10, 1-6.

Kozlowski, T. T. and S. G. Pallardy

1997 Physiology of Woody Plants. Second Edition. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA. 411 pp.

Lawson, M. P., R. J. Heim, J. A. Mangimeli, and G. Moles

1980 Dendroclimatic analysis of bur oak in eastern Nebraska. *Tree-Ring Bulletin* 40, 1-11.

LeBlanc, D. C.

1993 Temporal and spatial variation of oak growth - climate relationships along a pollution gradient in the midwestern United States. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 23, 772-782.

LeBlanc, D. C., D. J. Raynal, and E. H. White

1987 Acidic deposition and tree growth: II. Assessing the role of climate in recent growth declines. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 16, 334-340.

Lechowicz, M. J.

1984 Why do temperate deciduous trees leaf out at different times? Adaptation and ecology of forest communities. *The American Naturalist* 124, 821-842.

Legendre, P., and L. Legendre

1998 *Numerical Ecology, Second English Edition*. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam.

Malhotra, S. S., and R. A. Blauel

1980 Diagnosis of Air Pollutant and Natural Stress Symptoms on Forest Vegetation in Western Canada. Information Report NOR-X-228. Environment Canada, Canadian Forestry Service, Northern Forest Research Centre, Edmonton, Alberta.

McClenahen, J. R., and L. S. Dochinger

1985 Tree ring response of white oak to climate and air pollution near the Ohio River Valley. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 14, 274-280.

Meteorological Service of Canada, Climate Monitoring and Data Interpretation Division of the Climate Research Branch

2002 *Monthly Rehabilitated Precipitation and Homogenized Temperature Data Sets*. Online. Available: http://www.cccma.bc.ec.gc.ca/hccd/data/access_data.html.

Michalyna, W., W. Gardiner, and G. Podolsky

1975 Soils of the Winnipeg Region Study Area. Province of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba.

Nash, T. H., and W. B. Kincaid

1990 Statistical methods for detecting environmental change. In *Methods of Dendrochronology*, edited by E. R. Cook and L. Kairuikstis. International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Netherlands, pp. 232-240.

Perala, D. A.

1990 *Populus tremuloides* Michx. In *Silvics of North America: 2. Hardwoods* (Agricultural Handbook 654), edited by R. M. Burns and B. H. Honkala. USDA, Forest Service, Washington, D. C., pp. 555-569.

Phipps, R. L.

1984 Ring-width analysis. In *Symposium on Air Pollution and the Productivity of the Forest (1983: Washington, D.C.)*. Izaak Walton League of America, Arlington, VA., pp. 255-271.

Schweingruber, F. H.

1985 Abrupt changes in growth reflected in tree ring sequences as an expression of biotic and abiotic influences. In *Anstalt fur forstliche Versuchswesen*, edited by P. Schmid-Haas. Birmensdorf, Eidg, pp. 291-295.

Schweingruber, F. H., L. Kairiukstis, and S. Shiyatov

1990 Primary data. In *Methods of Dendrochronology*, edited by E. R. Cook and L. Kairiukstis. International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Netherlands, pp. 23-96.

SENES Consultants Ltd.

2001 Environmental Impact Assessment 1993-2001 of the Selkirk Generating Station. SENES Consultants Limited, Vancouver, B.C.

Soil Classification Working Group

1998 Canadian System of Soil Classification. Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Ottawa.

Stokes, M. A., and T. L. Smiley

1968 *An Introduction to Tree-Ring Dating*. University of Chicago Press, Illinois.

Taylor, H. J., M. R. Ashmore, and J. N. B. Bell

1986 *Air Pollution Injury to Vegetation*. IEHO, Chadwick House, London.

ter Braak, C. J. F., and P. Smilauer

1998 *CANOCO Reference Manual and User's Guide to Canoco for Windows: Software for Canonical Community Ordination (version 4).* Microcomputer Power, Ithica, New York.

Thompson, M. A.

1981 Tree rings and air pollution: a case study of *Pinus monophylla* growing in east-central Nevada. *Environmental Pollution* 26, 251-266.

Van Deusen, P. C.

1990 Stand dynamics and red spruce decline. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 20, 743-749.

Wargo, P. M., D. R. Houston, and L. A. LaMadeleine.

1983 *Oak Decline*. Forest Insect & Disease Leaflet 165. United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service.

Woodcock, D.

1987 Sensitivity of vessel diameter to climatic conditions in bur oak (*Quercus macrocarpa*). In *Physiology of Cell Expansion During Plant Growth*, edited by D.

J. Cosgrove and D. P. Knievel. The American Society of Plant Physiologists, Rockville, Md., pp. 245-248.

Yunus, M., and M. Iqbal

1996 *Plant Response to Air Pollution*. John Wiley & Sons, West Sussex, England.

Zoladeski, C. A., G. M. Wickware, R. J. Delorme, R. A. Sims, and I. G. W. Corns

1995 Forest Ecosystem Classification for Manitoba: Field Guide. Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Northwest Region, Northern Forestry Centre, Edmonton, Alberta.

GENERAL DISCUSSION

Levels of airborne pollutants

Additional to the aerially deposited trace element concentrations that were measured in plant tissue, sulphur dioxide (SO_2) is another potential pollutant originating from this electrical generating station that can adversely affect forest health. Sulphur was not chosen as a tracer element for pollution in this study as it is present in vegetation at fairly high concentrations as a component of plant proteins, so moderate increases resulting from SO_2 may be obscured (Applied Science Associates, 1978).

The predicted concentration of SO_2 in the area of maximum predicted deposition was 0.58 $\mu g/m^3$ annually (based on operation levels in 1998, which were historically the highest ever, but still only at a 42% capacity factor) (SENES, 2001). It has been suggested that a long-term concentration threshold of 100-150 $\mu g/m^3$ SO_2 will cause negative growth effects on forest trees, although some studies have demonstrated that annual average concentrations of 40-60 $\mu g/m^3$ SO_2 show adverse effects in conifers (Roberts, 1984). A critical level of 20 $\mu g/m^3$ SO_2 (annual mean) has been established in Europe for forest trees (Sanders et al., 1995). In comparison to these suggested thresholds, the levels of SO_2 in this study area were far below those of potential negative growth effects. Further, operations were historically less than 20% capacity on average, meaning that ambient concentrations in the past would have been even lower than the predicted annual concentration of 0.58 $\mu g/m^3$ SO_2.

It is unlikely that NO_X emissions from the generating station had any adverse effect on surrounding trees, as relatively high concentrations of NO_2 are required for visible symptoms to appear on plants, approximately 2 to 5 times the concentration of SO_2 under the same conditions (Taylor et al., 1986; Mulgrew and Williams, 2000).

Potential environmental impacts from coal-fired stations depend on the type of coal used, combustion conditions, station operating conditions and environmental characteristics (Van Voris et al., 1985). For example, there have been numerous studies on large coal-fired generating stations that use a high ash and sulphur content coal (Agrawal and Agrawal, 1989; Gupta et al., 1995). These authors studied the impacts on numerous tree species in India near 1550 MW and 3610 MW stations, which were operating with coals that had more than 30% ash content. The authors of both studies reported extensive visible injury symptoms (including necrosis and chlorosis) within 4-5 km from the generating station in almost all plant species, with injury gradually decreasing with increasing distance from the power plant. This widespread injury to vegetation was not present in the vicinity of the Selkirk station, which had less than one tenth the production capacity of these large-scale operations, and used a coal with less than 5% ash content.

Other studies that have focused on coal-fired generating stations have not demonstrated strong, negative pollution effects on surrounding forest stands. Muir and McCune (1988) studied foliar symptoms in *Acer saccharum* Marsh., *Fraxinus* spp., *Liriodendron tulipifera* L., *Quercus alba* L., and *Quercus rubra* L. near a coal-fired station in southern Indiana. The authors found that year-to-year variations in oak growth at the site near the station were negatively correlated with SO_2 emissions from the station, but few differences were evident in terms of foliar symptoms between areas. Muir and McCune (1988) stressed that chlorosis and necrosis can indicate many different problems, including phytotoxic pollutant exposure, nutrient or water imbalances, presence of pests, and senescence. The lack of differences in foliar symptoms between the two areas was attributed to the fact that factors other than emissions from the power plant (previous history, microsites of individual trees, and climate) are more important in controlling tree growth.

According to Smith (1974), the response of vegetation to pollutants depends on the degree of pollutant loading. At low pollutant loads, vegetation can act as a sink for pollutants, and no or minimal physiological alteration occurs. The pollutants are shifted from the atmosphere to the organic or available nutrient section of the ecosystem. In a situation of intermediate pollutant load, reduced growth and reproduction may occur, as well as predisposition to disease and insect organisms. This may result in reduced productivity and biomass, and possibly altered species composition. Lastly, high air pollutant levels can lead to acute predisposition to environmental stresses and mortality. Based on the low predicted concentrations of airborne pollutants from the generating station in this study, vegetation likely acted as a sink for air pollution, transferring any accumulated pollutants to the organic or available nutrient portion of the ecosystem, as no consistent, adverse growth effects were observed in stands within the area of maximum predicted deposition. Additionally, the Selkirk station had switched from a low-sulphur lignite coal to a sub-bituminous coal in 1993, the latter with lower levels of trace elements, including many heavy metals (SENES, 2001). This fuel switching would have effectively reduced net emissions from the station following 1993.

It should be stressed however, that the Selkirk station did not possess either electrostatic precipitators or SO_2 scrubbers as pollution control devices, which can remove approximately 99% of particulate and gaseous pollutants from airborne emissions. Electrostatic precipitators are now the required pollution control devices on all new coal-fired generating stations. So, although the emissions from the Selkirk station were relatively low and discontinuous, they were comparatively "dirtier" than those from other large generating stations that are equipped with electrostatic precipitators. Almost all of the literature to date has focused on the adverse effects of emissions from generating stations with electrostatic precipitators and/or SO_2 scrubbers as pollution control devices, therefore it is difficult to compare results from this study directly to other studies looking at power plants that have these devices.

The station had operated at a very low level during the summer of 2001 when the forest health assessment was carried out, so any foliar symptoms that may have occurred during increased operation levels (e.g. in 1998, 2000) would not have been present at the time of assessment. The vigour index and the dieback index were used for this reason in the statistical analyses, as they are measures of forest health that would not fluctuate widely from year to year, as may be seen with measures of foliar chlorosis or necrosis. As the defoliation index was primarily a reflection of the level of forest tent caterpillar defoliation in plots in 2001, it was not selected for examining spatial patterns in relation to the generating station as defoliating insects do not tend to have mass outbreaks in forest trees exposed to air pollutants (Holopainen and Oksanen, 1995).

Measures of pollutant damage

Although the results of both the forest health assessment and the tree-ring analysis demonstrate that where tree decline was found it was not associated with airborne emissions from the Selkirk generating station, it may have been that macroscopic foliar symptoms and trace element analysis were not sensitive enough measures to detect any minor adverse effects on vegetation resulting from airborne emissions in plots closest to the station. A variety of studies have investigated methods of determining biochemical changes in plants exposed to increased SO_2 levels. Ricks and Williams (1975) found that enhanced levels of leaf sulphur leads to the preferential degradation of chlorophyll a over chlorophyll b, most likely from the increased acidic conditions within the leaf. Conversely, in naturally senescing leaves, they are broken down at comparable rates. Under field conditions where low SO_2 conditions exist, reduction in chlorophyll level could be a useful indicator of pollutant damage (Agrawal et al., 1991). Leaf-extract pH may also be a useful indicator as SO_2 entering the leaf is dissolved in inter-cellular water of mesophyll cells to form sulphurous acid (H_2SO_3), which depending on the pH of the medium dissociates into H^+ and HSO_3^- and SO_3^-, causing acidification of the cell (Puckett et al., 1973). A decrease in ascorbic acid, starch and protein contents following SO_2 exposure may also be related to the sensitivity of the plant (Agrawal et al., 1991).

If the forest health assessment carried out in the vicinity of the Selkirk generating station had occurred in 1998 or 2000, years of increased emissions, a comparison of one or more biochemical measures across plots could have been implemented. However, the low levels of production in the year of assessment prevented the use of such measures. Regardless, it remains that the focus of this research was on the observed macroscopic decline symptoms present within the study area, and there was no evidence indicating that crown dieback observed in bur oak stands south of the generating station was a result of airborne emissions from the station. Additionally, the station has now completely converted to natural gas operation, making any additional research on the coal-fired emissions impossible.

Conifers are considered to be more sensitive to air pollution than deciduous trees, and for this reason plots with white spruce were originally included in the forest health study. However, the only natural white spruce stands within the study area were in and near Birds Hill Provincial Park, in the far south end of the study area. There were no white spruce stands within the area of predicted maximum SPM deposition, so it would have been difficult to make any comparisons between stands based on potential pollution exposure. Additionally, control plots located between 42-85 km from the generating station were also initially included in the study for the purposes of the forest health assessment and trace element toxicology, however it was decided that focusing on plots near the generating station would be more useful when investigating causal factors for elemental concentrations and tree decline. Essentially, plots within the 16 km radius study area that were outside of the predicted area of average annual SPM deposition rate were able to serve as controls for the forest health assessment and trace element toxicology portions of the study.

Factors affecting tree health

It was determined in this study that climatic factors, including mean monthly temperature and total monthly precipitation, as well as soil conditions (e.g. nutrient levels and drainage regime) can have significant impacts on the health status of bur oak and trembling aspen trees in southeastern Manitoba. The microenvironment of individual stands, along with climatic factors, seemed to be more important in controlling tree health than were any adverse effects of airborne pollutants from the Selkirk coal-fired generating station. It is possible that in years of increased production (1976-77, 1987-88, 1998, 2000) there had been visible foliar effects and/or modifications of growth present, however there was no evidence of this in the summers of 2001 and 2002. Even if there was a small pollution effect from airborne emissions in the study area, it may have been embedded in large natural variations of tree health due to local site factors, including soil type and drainage regime.

Although tree mortality can be a useful bioindicator of forest condition, a main disadvantage is that there may be a significant time interval between the declining health of a tree and its death (Busing et al., 1996). For this reason, tree ring analysis was especially useful in determining the onset of decline in the declining bur oak stands south of the generating station. Linking the date of the onset of radial growth decline with the construction of the road adjacent to the declining bur oak sites enabled a plausible explanation for the observed decline.

When correlating forest health to causative factors, the most important question to address is whether the effects are most severe in areas of highest atmospheric pollution. It was clear from the contour maps of both the dieback and vigour indices that no spatial patterns relating to the Selkirk generating station were observable. Some considerations that need to be made in a diagnostic routine include the number of species being affected, the symptoms of injury and the part of the plant that is most affected, the distribution of affected plants (natural features including a high water table, a frost pocket or flat exposed areas can lead to localized stresses; symptoms in areas downwind from a pollution source may indicate pollutant damage), the presence of pest organisms, if similar symptoms have been seen previously (i.e. seasonality of symptoms), characteristics of the local terrain (soil, drainage), local management practices (application of fertilizers, pesticides), and local pollution sources (Taylor et al., 1986). Variation in soil type, competition, and tree age also need to be investigated (McLaughlin and Braker, 1985). In this study, variation in soil type and a high water table were clearly implicated as factors in the decline of bur oak, demonstrating the importance of investigating all natural stress factors.

Predisposition to decline is thought to arise either slowly due to microenvironment (e.g. impeded soil drainage, nutrient imbalance), or as growth shocks resulting from physical injury (e.g. frost injury, defoliation) or physiological stress such as drought (McClenahen, 1995). Within many oak species, oak decline is characterized by 1) progressive terminal branch dieback; 2) branch and bole sprout and staghead (dead branches in the outer crown) development; 3) sudden foliage wilt and browning, but no leaf drop; 4) fans and rhizomorphs of armillaria root rot often present beneath bark of roots and root collars on dying trees; 5) galleries and exit holes of the twolined chestnut borer often present in stems of dying or dead trees; 6) decline found throughout the range of oak; and 7) mortality related to site features, tree stress, and effects of insects and diseases (Wargo et al., 1983). One of the major causes of stress in trees is growing in locations to which they are not suited. Tree crowns most frequently begin to die back when the roots have been damaged or are diseased, because plants grow with a carefully balanced root/shoot ratio (Kozlowski and Pallardy, 1997). When a portion of the roots ceases to function, a portion of the crown usually dies as well. This can occur when already weakened trees are subjected to a particularly severe environmental stress (e.g. drought or waterlogging), resulting in an accelerated decline and then "sudden death"

(Hartman and Witt, 1991). Often, growth is reduced before the appearance of symptoms, and dieback symptoms can result from the effects of stress alone (i.e. even if a pest infestation does not occur following the reduced level of tree vigour). Stress, if sufficiently severe or prolonged, can result in tree mortality (Wargo et al., 1983).

The stands that exhibited oak dieback in this study had thin, sandy soils with low levels of plant-available nutrients, and poor site conditions like these are known to cause reduced growth in bur oak (Hosie, 1979). Further, the high water table, likely resulting from construction of a road adjacent to the plots just north of Birds Hill Park (plots 40 and 42), was an additional stress placed on already slow-growing trees. This added stress, although not evident by external symptoms until the late 1990's, had been suppressing radial growth since 1977. Soils that are waterlogged, compacted, or shallow have previously been implicated in oak decline (Wargo et al., 1983). It should also be mentioned that although there were no mature trembling aspen trees in either plot 40 or 42, there were some trembling aspen trees near these plots that were showing some crown dieback as well. These trees, like the declining bur oaks within the established study plots, were growing in very wet soil. Soil drainage is also important in the growth of aspen, with water tables shallower than 0.6 m or deeper than 2.5 m limiting the growth of aspen (Perala, 1990). Aspen roots have low tolerance for high soil moisture levels, and waterlogging of the soil can reduce the level of suckering (Peterson and Peterson, 1996). Additionally, aspen grows poorly on shallow soils over bedrock (Sims et al., 1990), so the combination of poor soil and a high water table was also having visible adverse growth effects on the aspen in these sites.

Other considerations

For the purposes of plot selection for the dendrochronological portion of this study in the summer of 2001, wind data were only available from the Winnipeg International Airport weather station (40 km south of the generating station), and not from weather stations closer to the source of emissions (see Appendix 4 for the wind rose diagram based on data from the Winnipeg International Airport). This initial wind rose showed that winds were predominantly from the south, and hence a subset of the forest health assessment plots were selected for sampling along a N-S transect, as well as along an E-W transect for purposes of directional comparison. However, after the dendrochronological sampling was completed, new wind data from a weather station near East Selkirk was made available, which demonstrated a SSE-NNW pattern of predominant wind direction (Figure 2.1a). This new, more accurate wind data showed a rotation of the previous wind rose approximately 22.5 degrees counter-clockwise, with an increased frequency from the NNW. Had this updated wind data been available prior to the dendrochronological sampling, selection of the plots would have reflected the new information. However, with the way sampling was carried out, plots E1 and E2 (indicated as plots 1 and 11 respectively, in the forest health assessment) were the only dendrochronological plots that were situated within the area of predicted average SPM deposition. Although this led to an unbalanced sampling regime, the results did show that trees in these two plots showed very similar radial growth trends to trees in other plots, regardless of direction or distance from the generating station.

Conclusions

Emissions from the coal-fired generating station do not appear to be linked to tree decline observed south of the Manitoba Hydro Selkirk generating station, as measured by radial growth, overall plot vigour, or degree of crown dieback. Rather, the bur oak decline was likely a result of poor soil conditions, confounded in two plots by restricted site drainage. Additionally, the spatial distribution of trace element concentrations in the leaf litter was not congruent with the area of predicted SPM deposition from the generating station, except for Ba, however concentrations of all elements were below those suggested for potential phytotoxicity. As the radial growth of both bur oak and trembling aspen in southern Manitoba was found to be significantly related to climate, it should be considered an important natural stress factor in future forest health studies in the area.

REFERENCES

Agrawal, M., and S. B. Agrawal. 1989. Phytomonitoring of air pollution around a thermal power plant. Atmospheric Environment 23: 763-769.

Agrawal, M., S. K. Singh, J. Singh, and D. N. Rao. 1991. Biomonitoring of air pollution around urban and industrial sites. Journal of Environmental Biology 28: 211-222.

Applied Science Associates, Inc. 1978. Diagnosing Vegetation Injury Caused by Air Pollution. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air and Waste Management, Research Triangle Park, N.C.

Arp, P. A., and J. Manasc. 1988. Red spruce stands downwind from a coal-burning power generator: tree-ring analysis. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 18: 251-264.

AXYS Agronomics. 2001. East Selkirk Coal Powered Generation Station: Environmental Soil Assessment. A Report Prepared for Manitoba Hydro. 30 pp.

Balsberg-Pahlsson, A. 1989. Effects of heavy-metal and SO_2 pollution on the concentrations of carbohydrates and nitrogen in tree leaves. Canadian Journal of Botany 67: 2106-2113.

Beg, M. U., and M. Farooq. 1990. Performance of trees around a thermal power station. Environment & Ecology 8: 791-797.

Berry, W. L. and A. Wallace. 1974. Trace Elements in the Environment - Their Role and Potential Toxicity as Related to Fossil Fuels: A Preliminary Study. University of California, Los Angeles, CA.

Breckle, S. W. and H. Kahle. 1992. Effects of toxic heavy metals (Cd, Pb) on growth and mineral nutrition of beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) Vegetatio 101: 43-53.

Busing, R. T., L. H. Liegel, and V. J. Labau. 1996. Overstory mortality as an indicator of forest health in California. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 42: 285-295.

Bussotti, F., E. Cenni, A. Cozzi, and M. Ferretti. 1997. The impact of geothermal power plants on forest vegetation. A case study at Travale (Tuscany, central Italy). Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 45: 181-194.

Cook, E. R., and J. L. Innes. 1989. Tree-ring Analysis as an Aid to Evaluating the Effects of Air Pollution on Tree Growth. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.

Cook, E. R., A. H. Johnson, and T. J. Blasing. 1987. Forest decline: modeling the effect of climate in tree rings. Tree Physiology 3: 27-40.

Cox, R. M. 1988. The sensitivity of pollen from various coniferous and broad-leaved trees to combinations of acidity and trace metals. New Phytologist 109: 193-201.

Department of the Environment. 1993. Air Polllution & Tree Health in the United Kingdom. HMSO, London.

Djingova, R., I. Kuleff, and N. Andreev. 1993. Comparison of the ability of several vascular plants to reflect environmental pollution. Chemosphere 27: 1385-1396.

Eckstein, D., C. Krause, and J. Bauch. 1989. Dendroecological investigation of spruce trees (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.) of different damage and canopy classes. Holzforschung 43: 411-417.

Efroymson, R. A., M. E. Will, G. W. I. Suter, and A. C. Wooten. 1997. Toxicological Benchmarks for Screening Contaminants of Potential Concern for Effects on Terrestrial Plants: 1997 Revision. United States Department of Energy.

Ehnes, J. 2002. Assessing Deposition of Airborne Particulates and Gases in the Selkirk Area Using Lichens Growing on Tree Trunks: Non-Technical Summary. Manitoba Conservation, Environmental Approvals Branch, Winnipeg, MB.

Eversten, J. A., M. P. Mac Siurtain, and J. J. Gardiner. 1986. The effect of industrial emission on wood quality in Norway spruce (*Picea abies*). IAWA Bulletin 7: 399-404.

Fergusson, J. E. 1990. Heavy metals in plants. In: Fergusson, J. E. (Ed.), The Heavy Elements. Pergamon Press, Elmsford, NY, pp. 377-405.

Fox, C. A., W. B. Kincaid, T. H. I. Nash, D. L. Young, and H. C. Fritts. 1986. Tree-ring variation in western larch (*Larix occidentalis*) exposed to sulfur dioxide emissions. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 16: 283-292.

Gupta, M. C., and A. K. M. Ghouse. 1987. Cuticular geography, pigment content and anatomical traits of *Ficus bengalensis* L. under the influence of coal-smoke pollutants. Journal of Tree Science 6: 106-110.

Gupta, B. N., A. J. Williams, and S. K. Banerjee. 1995. Impact of thermal power plant emissions on vegetation and soil. Proceedings of the Indian natns. Science Academy B61: 457-470.

Haapala, H., N. Goltsova, R. Seppala, S. Huttunen, J. Kouki, J. Lamppu, and B. Popovichev. 1996. Ecological condition of forests around the eastern part of the Gulf of Finland. Environmental Pollution 91: 253-265.

Hagemeyer, J., H. Kahle, S. W. Breckle, and Y. Waisel. 1985. Cadmium in interconnection with transpiration. Water, Air and Soil Pollution 29: 347-359.

Hartman, J., and M. L. Witt. 1991. Shade Tree Decline and Related Problems. ID-50. Agricultural Communications Services, College of Agriculture, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KT.

Holopainen, J. K., and J. Oksanen. 1995. Arboreal insects as indicators of air pollution effects on woody plants. In: Munawar, M., O. Hanninen, S. Roy, N. Munawar, L. Karenlampi, and D. Brown (Eds.), Bioindicators of Environmental Health. SPB Acsdemic Publishing bv, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Hosie, R.C. 1979. Native Trees of Canada. 8th edition. Fitzhenry & Whiteside Ltd., Don Mills, Ontario.

Huhn, G., H. Schulz, H. Stark, R. Tolle, and G. Schuurmann. 1995. Evaluation of regional heavy metal deposition by multivariate analysis of element contents in pine tree barks. Water, Air and Soil Pollution 84: 367-383.

Innes, J.L. 1993. Forest health: its assessment and status. C.A.B. International, Wallingford. 677 pp.

Keller, T. 1980. The effect of a continuous springtime fumigation with SO_2 on CO_2 uptake and structure of the annual ring in spruce. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 10: 1-6.

Klein, D. H., and P. Russell. 1973. Heavy metals: fallout around a power plant. Environmental Science and Technology 7: 357-358.

Kovacs, M. 1992. Biological Indicators in Environmental Protection. Ellis Horwood Limited, England.

Kozlowski, T. T. and S. G. Pallardy. 1997. Physiology of Woody Plants. Second Edition. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA. 411 pp.

Lawrey, J. D. 1979. Boron, strontium, and barium accumulation in selected plants and loss during leaf litter decomposition in areas influenced by coal strip mining. Canadian Journal of Botany 57: 933-940.

Long, R. P., and D. D. Davis. 1989. Growth variation of white oak subjected to historic levels of fluctuating air pollution. Environmental Pollution 106: 193-202.

Malhotra, S. S., and R. A. Blauel. 1980. Diagnosis of Air Pollutant and Natural Stress Symptoms on Forest Vegetation in Western Canada. Information Report NOR-X-228. Environment Canada, Canadian Forestry Service, Northern Forest Research Centre, Edmonton, Alberta.

Mankovska, B. 1994. Airborne sulphur and heavy metal polllution in the environment of a thermal power plant. Ekologia 13: 207-217.

MAXXAM ANALYTICS INC. 2001. Source Emission Survey: Manitoba Hydro Selkirk, Manitoba. Boiler #1 Exhaust Ducts February 5-7, 2001. 9 pp.

McClenahen, J. R. 1983. The impact of an urban-industrial area on deciduous forest tree growth. Journal of Environmental Quality 12: 64-69.

McClenahen, J. R. 1995. Potential dendroecological approaches to understanding forest decline. In: Lewis, T. E. (Ed.), Tree Rings as Indicators of Ecosystem Health. CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida, pp. 59-79.

McClenahen, J. R., and L. S. Dochinger. 1985. Tree ring response of white oak to climate and air pollution near the Ohio River Valley. Journal of Environmental Quality 14: 274-280.

McLaughlin, S. B., and O. U. Braker. 1985. Methods for evaluating and predicting forest growth responses to air pollution. Experientia 41: 310-319.

Mudd, J. B. 1975. Sulfur dioxide. In: Mudd, J. B. and T. T. Kozlowski (Eds.), Responses of Plants to Air Pollution. Academic Press, Inc., New York, pp. 9-22.

Muir, P. S., and B. McCune. 1988. Lichens, tree growth, and foliar symptoms of air pollution: are the stories consistent? Journal of Environmental Quality 17: 361-370.

Mulgrew, A. and P. Williams. 2000. Biomonitoring of Air Quality Using Plants: Air Hygiene Report no. 10. WHO Collaborating Centre for Air Quality Management and Air Pollution Control, Berlin.

Munch, D. 1993. Concentration profiles of arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, nickel, zinc, vanadium and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) in forest soil beside an urban road. The Science of the Total Environment 138: 47-55.

Nash, T. H. I., H. C. Fritts, and M. A. Stokes. 1975. A technique for examining non-climatic variation in widths of annual tree rings with special reference to air pollution. Tree-Ring Bulletin 35: 15-24.

Perala, D. A. 1990. *Populus tremuoides* Michx. In: R. M. Burns and B. H. Honkala (eds.), Silvics of North America: 2. Hardwoods. Agricultural Handbook 654. USDA, Forest Service, Washington, D. C., pp. 555-569.

Peterson, E. B. and Peterson, N. M. 1996. Ecology and silviculture of trembling aspen. In: Corneau, P. G., G.J. Harper, M.E. Blache, J.O. Boateng, K.D. Thomas (Eds.),Ecology and Management of B.C. Hardwoods: Workshop Proceedings. December 1-2, 1993. Government of Canada, Province of British Columbia, Richmond, B.C., pp. 30-51.

Poikolainen, J. 1997. Sulphur and heavy metal concentrations in Scots pine bark in northern Finland and the Kola Peninsula. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 93: 395-408.

Puckett, K. J., E. Nieboer, W. P. Flora, and D. H. S. Riachardson. 1973. Sulphur dioxide: its effects on photosynthetic (14C) fixation in lichens and suggested mechanisms of phytotoxicity. New Phytologist 72: 141-154.

Rice, P. M., R. A. Boldi, C. E. Carlson, P. C. Tourangeau, and C. C. Gordon. 1983. Sensitivity of *Pinus ponderosa* foliage to airborne phytotoxins: use in biomonitoring. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 13: 1083-1091.

Ricks, G. R., and R. J. H. Williams. 1975. Effects of atmospheric pollution on deciduous woodland Part 3: effects on photosynthetic pigments of leaves of *Quercus petraea* (Mattuschka) Leibl. Environmental Pollution 8: 97-106.

Roberts, T. M. 1984. Effects of air pollution on agriculture and forestry. Atmospheric Environment 18: 629-640.

Ross, S. M. and K. J. Kaye. 1994. The meaning of metal toxicity in soil-plant systems. In: Ross, S. M. (Ed.), Toxic Metals in Soil-Plant Systems. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., West Sussex, England, pp. 27-61.

Sanders, G. E., L. Skarby, M. R. Ashmore, and J. Fuhrer. 1995. Establishing critical levels for the effects of air pollution on vegetation. Water, Air and Soil Pollution 85: 189-200.

Saxe, H. 1996. Physiological and biochemical tools in diagnosis of forest decline and air pollution injury to plants. In: Yunus, M. and M. Iqbal (Eds.), Plant Response to Air Pollution. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., West Sussex, England, pp. 449-487.

SENES Consultants Ltd. 2001. Environmental Impact Assessment 1993-2001 of the Selkirk Generating Station. SENES Consultants Limited, Vancouver, B.C.

Sims, R. A., H. M. Kershaw, and G. M. Wickware. 1990. The Autecology of Major Tree Species in the North Central Region of Ontario. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Thunder Bay, Ontario.

Smith, W. H. 1974. Air pollution - effects on the structure and function of the temperate forest ecosystem. Environmental Pollution 6: 111-129.

Symeonides, C. 1997. Tree-ring analysis for tracing the history of pollution: application to a study in northern Sweden. Journal of Environmental Quality 8: 482-486.

Taylor, O. C., C. R. Thompson, D. T. Tingey, and R. A. Reinert. 1975. Oxides of nitrogen. In: Mudd, J. B. and T. T. Kozlowski (Eds.), Responses of Plants to Air Pollution. Academic Press, New York, NY, pp. 121-139.

Taylor, H. J., M. R. Ashmore, and J. N. B. Bell. 1986. Air Pollution Injury to Vegetation. IEHO, Chadwick House, London.

Thompson, M. A. 1981. Tree rings and air pollution: a case study of *Pinus monophylla* growing in east-central Nevada. Environmental Pollution 26: 251-266.

Tichy, J. 1996. Impact of atmospheric deposition on the status of planted Norway spruce stands: a comparative study between sites in southern Sweden and the northeastern Czech Republic. Environmental Pollution 93: 303-312.

Tingey, D. T. 1989. Bioindicators in air pollution research - applications and constraints. In: Committee on Biologic Markers of Air-Pollution Damage in Trees, Board on Environmental Studies and Toxicology, Commission on Life Sciences, National Research Council (Eds.), Biologic Markers of Air-Pollution Stress and Damage in Forests. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., pp. 73-80.

Treshow, M. 1980. Interactions of air pollutants and plant disease. In: Miller, P. R. (Ed.), Proceedings of Symposium on Effects of Air Pollutants on Mediterranean and Temperate Forest Ecosystems, June 22-27, 1980, Riverside, California. Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experimental Station, Forest Services, United States Department of Agriculture, Berkeley, CA, pp. 103-109.

Truby, P. 1995. Distribution patterns of heavy metals in forest trees on contaminated sites in Germany. Angew. Bot. 69: 135-139.

Tyler, G. 1978. Leaching rates of heavy metal ions in forest soils. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 9: 137-148.

Ulrich, B. 1984. Effects of air pollution on forest ecosystems and waters - the principles demonstrated at a case study in central Europe. Atmospheric Environment 18: 621-628.

Ulrich, B., and Pankrath, J. (Eds.). 1983. Effects of air pollution in forest ecosystems. D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, Holland.

Van Voris, P., T. L. Page, W. H. Rickard, J. G. Droppo, and B. E. Vaughan. 1985. Report for the Canadian Electic Association: Volume I. Environmental Implications of Trace Element Releases From Canadian Coal-fired Generating Stations. Battelle, Pacific Northwest Laboratories, Richland, Washington.180 pp.

Vaughan, B. E., K. H. Abel, D. A. Cataldo, J. M. Hales, C. E. Hane, L. A. Rancitelli, R. C. Routson, R. E. Wildung, and E. G. Wolf. 1975. Review of Potential Impact on Health and Environmental Quality from Metals Entering the Environment as a Result of Coal Utilization. Battelle Energy Program, Richland, Washington.

Wallace, A. and E. M. Romney. 1971. Some interactions of Ca, Sr, and Ba in plants. Agronomy Journal 63: 245-248.

Wangen, L. E., and F. B. Turner. 1980. Trace elements in vegetation downwind of a coal-fired plant. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 13: 99-108.

Wangen, L. E., and M. D. Williams. 1978. Elemental deposition downwind of a coal-fired plant. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 10: 33-44.

Wargo, P. M., D. R. Houston, and L. A. LaMadeleine. 1983. Oak Decline. Forest Insect & Disease Leaflet 165. United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service.

Wolterbeek, H. T., and P. Bode. 1995. Strategies in sampling and sample handling in the context of large-scale plant biomonitoring surveys of trace element air polllution. The Science of the Total Environment 176: 33-43.

Yunus, M., and M. Iqbal. 1996. Plant Response to Air Pollution. John Wiley & Sons, West Sussex, England. 447 pp.

Zhang, L., D. Planas, and J. Qian. 1995. Mercury concentrations in black spruce (*Picea mariana* Mill. B.S.P.) and lichens in boreal Quebec, Canada. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 81: 153-161.

APPENDIX 1

Soil characteristics of all stands, including soil classification and association, soil texture, % organic matter (OM), macro and micronutrients (ppm), pH,and salinity (electrical conductivity (EC) in dS/m) values.

Plot	OM	Nitrogen	Phosphorus	Potassium	Sulphur	Copper	Iron	Manganese	Zinc	Calcium	Magnesium
1	13.5	2	12	339	9	1.49	144	28.1	7.77	3640	766
2	19.9	1	59.1	572	17	2.32	398	30.5	16.9	3520	834
3	20.7	7	28	547	18	3.02	279	56.4	12.7	5400	1400
4	29.9	2	29	567	20	2.25	183	55.2	9.03	4570	1350
5	12.2	2	32	371	7	1.13	55	24.2	4.93	4820	966
6	22.4	1	45	381	9	0.75	43	27.2	6.84	6720	876
7	32.5	9	23	360	59	1.52	103	37	2.36	5990	1840
8	26.2	1	58.8	381	70	0.97	114	49.2	8.82	3630	1010
9	24.9	10	46	450	42	1.41	71	26.7	11.3	5670	1540
10	32.8	22	81	840	85	1.15	84	24.7	6	5070	1000
11	31.6	5	45	628	18	1.61	108	15.8	11.9	6920	1020
12	24.2	9	25	507	20	1.59	256	34.7	18.9	4140	1090
13	24.2	18	17	490	16	2.39	256	19.4	11.4	5150	1320
14	9	1	11	173	8	1.13	45	14.4	1.82	4040	683
15	19.5	11	27	498	7	1.18	66	18.8	2.93	5510	1180
16	17.7	2	13	249	18	0.97	122	38.5	1.31	4230	584
17	20.8	3	31	521	20	0.99	202	33.1	3.98	4470	753
18	16.8	4	27	331	16	1.14	48	17.1	2.98	6050	627
19	15.8	2	13	285	5	1.53	56	18.2	3.82	4180	960
20	21.5	2	23	446	8	1.15	116	36.3	2.3	4480	808
21	22.4	2	31	383	10	1.17	91	16.7	7.84	4370	1150
22	13.2	5	19	258	6	0.82	37	15.8	2.53	6340	728
23	19.9	2	18	465	14	1.66	107	24.8	6.17	5070	1250
24	32.9	5	15	320	17	1.43	271	11.7	10.7	3560	760
25	32.9	4	25	466	3	1.52	130	17.4	13.4	4660	1010
26	12.9	1	20	219	5	0.6	38	5	1.53	3020	663
27	9.5	13	20	138	4	0.25	37	7.1	2.21	2640	484
28	22.1	2	30	466	5	1.32	181	6.8	7.53	3260	703
29	23.7	2	24	378	14	1.37	251	6.8	8.85	3720	615
30	18.4	5	14	393	7	1.14	26	10.4	4.41	4570	1120
31	22.7	23	14	445	5	1.81	205	13.2	8.29	4760	1080
32	12.9	1	27	201	6	1.06	60	11.5	2.18	4800	777
33	34.2	5	24	387	2	1.27	113	14.7	11.1	4240	925
34	20.5	3	45	424	20	0.59	19	5.3	3.41	3730	941
35	14.2	1	43	337	7	0.93	46	20.6	5.41	6480	728
36	22.3	7	18	547	20	2.04	116	48.9	8.84	4820	1300
37	11	1	31	314	6	1.13	67	29.7	2.7	4470	911
38	19.6	5	62	404	6	2.31	119	18.3	12.1	6190	1200
39	28.7	10	24	600	20	1.37	85	15.4	16.6	5730	1070
40	10.2	1	5	155	4	0.65	29	8.8	2.48	4030	687
41	9.3	1	5	129	4	0.49	28	15.7	0.56	3640	751
42	12.9	1	9.6	198	6	0.35	26	9.1	1.51	3820	661

* Soil texture determined by % sand and % clay using a soil texture triangle (Canadian Soil Working Group, 1998).

APPENDIX 1 (contd.)

Plot	Sodium	Boron	pH	EC	Soil texture*	Soil classification	Soil association
1	33	1.41	6.8	0.35	Heavy Clay	Gleyed Rego Black Chernozem	Semple
2	28	1.35	6.3	0.33	Heavy Clay	Orthic Luvic Gleysol	Red River
3	80.3	1.76	6.7	0.95	Heavy Clay	Gleyed Black Chernozem	Red River
4	36	1.67	6.7	0.66	Heavy Clay	Gleyed Black Chernozem	Red River
5	9.3	1.38	7.2	0.76	Clay	Orthic Dk. Gray Chernozem	Garson
6	6	1.4	7.3	0.76	Clay	Orthic Dk. Gray Chernozem	Semple
7	7.4	1.67	7	0.84	Heavy Clay	Orthic Dk. Gray Chernozem	Semple
8	8.7	1.68	6.5	0.52	Clay Loam	Gleyed Gray Luvisol	Semple
9	9.1	2.31	7	0.84	Heavy Clay	Orthic Dk. Gray Chernozem	Semple
10	6.6	1.98	7.1	0.86	Clay	Eluviated Dk. Gray Chernozem	Semple
11	20	1.86	6.8	0.47	Heavy Clay	Gleyed Dk. Gray Chernozem	Semple
12	51	1.78	6.4	0.56	Clay	Orthic Dk. Gray Chernozem	Red River
13	19	1.78	6.5	0.49	Heavy Clay	Orthic Luvic Gleysol	Red River
14	8.5	0.68	7.4	0.45	Clay	Orthic Dk. Gray Chernozem	Garson
15	6	2.07	7.2	0.62	Heavy Clay	Rego Black Chernozem	Semple
16	13	1.1	6.7	0.31	Silty Clay	Orthic Melanic Brunisol	Semple
17	11	1.65	6.6	0.37	Clay	Orthic Black Chernozem	Semple
18	7.9	1	7.5	0.58	Clay	Orthic Regosol	Semple
19	7.6	0.93	7.2	0.41	Clay	Gleyed Eluv. Dk. Gray Chernozem	Garson
20	8	1.52	6.8	0.35	Heavy Clay	Orthic Dk. Gray Chernozem	Semple
21	9.4	1.75	7.1	0.41	Heavy Clay	Orthic Dk. Gray Chernozem	Red River
22	25	1	7.5	0.6	Clay Loam	Rego Dk. Gray Chernozem	Semple
23	6.6	1.73	7	0.41	Heavy Clay	Gleyed Dk. Gray Chernozem	Red River
24	13	1.57	6.8	0.29	Clay	Orthic Luvic Gleysol	Red River
25	13	1.9	6.7	0.39	Heavy Clay	Gleyed Rego Black Chernozem	Red River
26	7.7	1.1	7.6	0.21	Clay Loam	Gleyed Dk. Gray Chernozem	Zora
27	6	1.2	7.2	0.29	Sandy Loam	Eutric Brunisol	Pine Ridge
28	7	1.1	6.9	0.23	Heavy Clay	Gleyed Black Chernozem	Red River
29	7.4	1.23	6.8	0.27	Clay	Gleyed Rego Black Chernozem	Red River
30	6.3	1.59	7.5	0.58	Clay	Gleyed Dk. Gray Chernozem	Red River
31	16	1.99	7	0.47	Clay	Gleyed Black Chernozem	Red River
32	16	0.83	7.6	0.45	Clay Loam	Rego Black Chernozem	Garson
33	10	1.63	7.1	0.41	Heavy Clay	Gleyed Black Chernozem	Red River
34	19	1.27	7.8	0.47	Heavy Clay	Orthic Dk. Gray Chernozem	Semple
35	15	1.36	7.5	0.64	Silty Clay	Orthic Dk. Gray Chernozem	Garson
36	19	1.67	7	0.31	Heavy clay	Gleyed Orthic Dk. Gray	Riverdale
37	14	1.28	7.3	0.45	Clay	Orthic Dk. Gray Chernozem	Peguis
38	19	1.45	7.5	0.64	Clay	Gleyed Orthic Dk. Gray	Peguis
39	17	2.34	7.2	0.52	Heavy Clay	Gleyed Rego Black Chernozem	Red River
40	21	0.81	7.7	0.39	Loam	Orthic Dk. Gray Chernozem	Garson
41	13	0.62	7.8	0.31	Clay Loam	Orthic Dk. Gray Chernozem	Garson
42	10	0.8	7.8	0.29	Clay Loam	Orthic Dk. Gray Chernozem	Pine Ridge

* Soil texture determined by % sand and % clay using a soil texture triangle (Canadian Soil Working Group, 1998).

APPENDIX 2

Elemental concentrations (ppm) of arsenic (As), barium (Ba), strontium (Sr), and vanadium (V) in the leaf litter, trembling aspen woody tissue, and bur oak woody tissue.

	Leaf litter				Bur oak woody tissue				Trembling aspen woody tissue			
Plot	As	Ba	Sr	V	As	Ba	Sr	V	As	Ba	Sr	V
1	1.3	145	55.3	10.7	0.6	57.3	31.6	0.06	0.5	58.6	59.1	0.05
2	0.5	84	76.9		0.6	57	58.9	0.08	0.5	41.1	64.8	0.05
3	1	131	72.1	3.87	0.5	78.9	49.1	0.06				
4	0.6	50.6	85.7	4.15					0.6	26.9	86.1	0.06
5	0.6	94.2	24.2	4.03	0.6	53.6	14.7	0.08				
6	0.6	55.8	26.6	2.52	0.6	45.4	12.4	0.06	0.6	24.6	20.4	0.06
7	0.5	56.4	47.9	2.14					0.5	20.1	30.6	0.05
8	0.6	40.8	58.7	1.45	0.6	34.4	35.4	0.06	0.5	23.5	48	0.05
9	0.6	92.6	45	3.26	0.5	47	26.7	0.05	0.6	20.6	37.2	0.06
10	0.5	51.1	35.2	2.41	0.6	35.2	16.2	0.06	0.5	23.7	21.3	0.05
11	0.6	77.6	63.6	1.61					0.6	59.4	62	0.06
12	0.6	115	51.8	3.99	0.6	89.8	43.9	0.06				
13	0.7	91.2	64.8	7.69	0.5	44.8	31.2	0.05				
14	0.6	51.8	13.6	2.19	0.6	24	10.2	0.06				
15	0.5	60	53	1.71					0.6	31.8	31.6	0.06
16	0.5	56.4	19.7	1.52	0.6	48.3	7.72	0.06	0.6	21.6	11.8	0.06
17	0.5	93.9	29.5	2.86	0.5	88.6	18.9	0.05	0.6	29.3	24	0.06
18	0.6	55.9	24.3	2.5	0.5	34.1	12.3	0.11	0.6	14.6	13.7	0.06
19	0.5	40.7	24.3	1.27	0.6	55.2	19.2	0.06	0.6	17.4	18	0.06
20	0.6	82	23.6	3.24	0.6	77.6	13.8	0.06	0.6	29.8	16.1	0.06
21	0.6	56.9	51.7	1.86	0.6	58.9	31.2	0.06	0.6	30.1	43.7	0.06
22	1.6	67	18.8	14.1	0.5	58.2	16.2	0.05	0.6	15.7	14.4	0.06
23	0.6	83	26.3	1.84	0.6	56.8	19.1	0.06				
24	0.5	79.5	55.2	2.75	0.6	34.7	25	0.06	0.5	32.5	43.2	0.05
25	0.6	87.5	60.1	3.38	0.6	88.6	43.6	0.06	0.6	41.1	51.8	0.06
26	0.6	36.7	43.1	1.97					0.6	15.4	22.5	0.06
27	0.6	45.8	17.9	1.82	0.6	50.9	11.4	0.06	0.6	16.7	12.2	0.06
28	0.7	65.7	53	4.09	0.6	36.5	26.8	0.06	0.5	31.4	40.2	0.05
29	0.6	80.2	46.4	3.3	0.5	36.9	19.7	0.05	0.5	26.1	26.7	0.05
30	0.6	46.5	39.7	0.91	0.6	54.3	22.5	0.06	0.5	30.6	34.5	0.05
31	0.8	75.1	42.3	5.36	0.6	65	28.3	0.06	0.6	32	38.8	0.06
32	1.3	87.7	21.1	12.6	0.6	34.8	17.2	0.09				
33	0.7	98.8	42.4	4.74	0.5	52.1	30	0.06				
34	0.6	66.7	31.7	5.01	0.5	40.1	23.4	0.05	0.6	14.9	18.6	0.06
35	0.6	78.8	25.5	4.12	0.6	33.3	19.7	0.07				
36	1	77.9	56.6	11.8					0.5	25.7	23	0.05
37	0.9	84.9	22.2	10	0.6	57.9	15.6	0.07	0.5	23	12.6	0.05
38	1.7	85.9	38.6	18.6					0.5	21.6	28.5	0.05
39	2	115	36.9	19.2	0.5	86.3	39.6	0.05	0.6	35.2	36.7	0.06
40	0.6	33.3	16	3.04	0.6	11.5	8.9	0.11	0.5	7.25	9.14	0.05
41	0.7	36.7	16.9	5.6					0.5	11.7	10.9	0.05
42	0.6	38.1	16.9	2.24	0.6	18.7	11.4	0.06				

Blank values indicate no data for that plot

APPENDIX 3

Forest health assessment categories and values for all bur oak and trembling aspen stands surveyed. Values are expressed as a percentage of trees per plot exhibiting the presence of a given descriptor, unless otherwise indicated.

Plot	Vigour 1	Vigour 2	Vigour 3	Vigour Index*	Defoliation 1	Defoliation 2	Defoliation 3	Defoliation 4	Defoliation Index*
1	5.00	10.00	70.00	78.33	75.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	23.75
2	6.25	40.63	46.88	76.04	93.94	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.48
3	0.00	40.00	60.00	86.67	80.00	13.33	0.00	0.00	26.67
4	11.11	16.67	50.00	64.81	72.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.06
5	9.09	21.21	54.55	71.72	78.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	19.70
6	13.64	27.27	45.45	68.18	31.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.95
7	8.33	20.83	54.17	70.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	2.17	21.74	58.70	73.91	0.00	52.17	26.09	0.00	45.65
9	4.76	19.05	71.43	85.71	4.76	19.05	71.43	0.00	64.29
10	2.94	14.71	68.63	79.41	78.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	19.61
11	20.00	10.00	66.67	80.00	73.33	13.33	0.00	0.00	25.00
12	8.00	40.00	32.00	61.33	80.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00
13	8.33	16.67	58.33	72.22	79.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	19.79
14	10.00	90.00	0.00	63.33	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00
15	7.89	39.47	50.00	78.95	47.37	7.89	0.00	0.00	15.79
16	3.03	3.03	81.82	84.85	60.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.15
17	6.58	10.53	68.42	77.63	63.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.91
18	11.43	60.00	25.71	69.52	11.43	25.71	2.86	0.00	17.86
19	8.11	18.92	70.27	85.59	18.92	32.43	16.22	0.00	33.11
20	11.11	14.81	59.26	72.84	25.93	25.93	22.22	0.00	36.11
21	0.00	29.63	48.15	67.90	77.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	19.44
22	25.00	8.33	58.33	72.22	83.33	8.33	0.00	0.00	25.00
23	5.56	22.22	55.56	72.22	58.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	22.92
24	3.03	48.48	42.42	75.76	78.79	3.03	0.00	0.00	21.21
25	3.92	19.61	74.51	88.89	41.18	3.92	0.00	0.00	12.25
26	5.00	30.00	47.50	69.17	42.50	32.50	0.00	0.00	26.88
27	0.00	15.79	84.21	94.74	21.05	57.89	0.00	0.00	34.21
28	3.28	31.15	63.93	85.79	95.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.77
29	6.67	35.56	53.33	79.26	91.11	2.22	2.22	0.00	25.56
30	6.67	10.00	56.67	65.56	60.00	13.33	0.00	0.00	21.67
31	4.00	38.00	42.00	68.67	32.00	32.00	16.00	0.00	36.00
32	18.18	54.55	18.18	60.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	90.91	90.91
33	17.65	8.82	61.76	73.53	58.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.71
34	9.52	57.14	23.81	65.08	14.29	47.62	19.05	0.00	41.67
35	4.76	19.05	61.90	76.19	85.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43
36	16.13	38.71	35.48	66.67	45.16	32.26	9.68	0.00	34.68
37	23.08	7.69	61.54	74.36	15.38	38.46	0.00	0.00	23.08
38	4.26	6.38	82.98	88.65	91.49	2.13	0.00	0.00	23.94
39	9.09	30.30	57.58	80.81	78.79	9.09	0.00	0.00	24.24
40	38.89	11.11	27.78	18.01	50.00	5.56	16.67	0.00	27.78
41	0.00	38.89	55.56	81.48	83.33	11.11	0.00	0.00	26.39
42	80.00	0.00	0.00	26.67	86.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.67

* Indices range from 0-100

APPENDIX 3 (contd.)

Plot	Dieback 1	Dieback 2	Dieback 3	Dieback Index*	Chlorosis	Necrosis	Wilt	Gall-forming Insects	Defoliating Insects
1	10.00	5.00	0.00	6.67	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	70.00
2	21.21	21.21	0.00	21.21	0.00	0.00	24.24	0.00	75.76
3	20.00	0.00	0.00	6.67	0.00	0.00	26.67	0.00	13.33
4	5.56	0.00	5.56	7.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	18.18	3.03	0.00	8.08	0.00	44.13	0.00	0.00	78.79
6	9.09	13.64	0.00	12.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.55
7	0.00	4.17	0.00	2.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	8.70	2.17	0.00	4.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	78.26
9	14.29	0.00	4.76	9.52	12.60	17.98	0.00	0.00	95.24
10	3.92	0.98	0.00	1.96	0.00	14.04	0.98	6.86	75.49
11	13.33	0.00	3.33	7.78	0.00	0.00	13.33	3.33	83.33
12	32.00	8.00	8.00	24.00	0.00	39.23	0.00	0.00	76.00
13	8.33	4.17	4.17	9.72	0.00	18.83	2.08	4.17	66.67
14	80.00	10.00	10.00	43.33	0.00	56.79	0.00	0.00	100.00
15	7.89	0.00	0.00	2.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.26
16	0.00	0.00	3.03	3.03	0.00	17.55	0.00	0.00	33.33
17	5.19	1.30	0.00	2.60	0.00	13.17	0.00	0.00	23.38
18	17.14	0.00	5.71	11.43	0.00	22.21	0.00	0.00	40.00
19	0.00	0.00	2.70	2.70	0.00	21.57	0.00	5.41	64.86
20	3.70	7.41	0.00	6.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	77.78
21	3.70	0.00	0.00	1.23	0.00	28.13	0.00	11.11	77.78
22	0.00	16.67	0.00	11.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.33	91.67
23	5.56	2.78	0.00	3.70	0.00	33.56	0.00	0.00	75.00
24	21.21	6.06	0.00	11.11	0.00	27.42	0.00	15.15	78.79
25	1.96	0.00	1.96	2.61	0.00	30.32	0.00	1.96	45.10
26	2.50	2.50	0.00	2.50	0.00	40.69	0.00	0.00	75.00
27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.41	0.00	15.79	78.95
28	6.56	0.00	1.64	3.83	0.00	32.90	0.00	9.84	93.44
29	4.44	0.00	0.00	1.48	0.00	24.94	0.00	11.36	93.33
30	3.33	0.00	0.00	1.11	0.00	14.96	0.00	6.67	73.33
31	12.00	2.00	0.00	5.33	0.00	31.95	0.00	44.00	84.00
32	45.45	18.18	0.00	27.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	90.91
33	8.82	0.00	2.94	5.88	0.00	22.55	0.00	32.35	58.82
34	38.10	14.29	4.76	26.98	0.00	29.21	0.00	38.10	80.95
35	57.14	14.29	0.00	28.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00
36	6.45	16.13	0.00	12.90	10.35	10.35	0.00	0.00	87.10
37	15.38	7.69	7.69	17.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	53.85
38	6.38	2.13	0.00	3.55	0.00	0.00	6.38	0.00	91.49
39	24.24	12.12	9.09	25.25	0.00	0.00	6.06	0.00	87.88
40	15.00	7.70	31.00	41.13	13.63	31.81	0.00	33.33	77.78
41	5.56	0.00	0.00	1.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	94.44
42	0.00	53.33	33.33	68.89	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	86.67

* Indices range from 0-100

APPENDIX 3 (contd.)

Plot	Leaf mining Insects	Skeletonizing Insects	Sucking Insects	Leaf spot	Leaf rust	Cankers	Conks
1	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	5.00
2	0.00	87.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	0.00
3	0.00	66.67	93.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	0.00	72.22	0.00	11.11	0.00	27.78	16.67
5	0.00	81.82	81.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6	0.00	31.82	0.00	4.55	0.00	4.55	0.00
7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	0.00	71.74	2.17	0.00	0.00	2.17	8.70
9	0.00	19.05	76.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	61.76	69.61	3.92	0.00	0.00	12.75	0.98
11	0.00	83.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00
12	0.00	80.00	80.00	0.00	0.00	4.00	0.00
13	10.42	25.00	54.17	0.00	0.00	2.08	2.08
14	0.00	100.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
15	7.89	50.00	0.00	18.42	0.00	10.53	5.26
16	21.21	21.21	21.21	0.00	0.00	3.03	3.03
17	10.39	38.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.39	0.00
18	8.57	31.43	14.29	2.86	0.00	2.86	0.00
19	21.62	37.84	5.41	2.70	0.00	0.00	0.00
20	0.00	77.78	7.41	0.00	0.00	18.52	3.70
21	0.00	77.78	62.96	14.81	0.00	11.11	0.00
22	0.00	91.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67
23	72.22	75.00	75.00	0.00	0.00	5.56	0.00
24	21.21	57.58	66.67	3.03	0.00	3.03	0.00
25	33.33	45.10	37.25	0.00	0.00	1.96	1.96
26	35.00	40.00	0.00	22.50	0.00	5.00	0.00
27	0.00	78.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.26
28	81.97	91.80	14.75	4.92	21.31	3.28	1.64
29	48.89	93.33	28.89	40.00	0.00	4.44	4.44
30	30.00	73.33	13.33	3.33	0.00	16.67	0.00
31	80.00	84.00	20.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	2.00
32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
33	50.00	58.82	50.00	0.00	0.00	2.94	0.00
34	80.95	80.95	9.52	4.76	0.00	9.52	4.76
35	0.00	85.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	0.00
36	0.00	87.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.23
37	0.00	53.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69
38	0.00	36.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.13	27.66
39	0.00	87.88	0.00	6.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
40	50.00	72.22	33.33	5.56	22.22	16.67	0.00
41	83.33	94.44	11.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22
42	0.00	86.67	86.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.67

APPENDIX 4

Wind rose diagram generated from the Winnipeg International Airport weather station, over a four-year period (1985-1989). Source: SENES Consultants Ltd.

WIND ROSE
FOR WINNIPEG
(1985 - 89)



NOTE :

1. WIND SPEED INDICATED AS m / s *

APPENDIX 5

Designations for plots used in the forest health assessment, trace element toxicology, and dendrochronological portions of the study.

Forest Helath and Trace Element Toxicology Plots	Tree-ring Plots	Owner*
1	E1O, A	G. VanAert
2		A. Gavel
3		n/a
4		n/a
5	S1O	E. Dalebozik
	S2A	W. Pervank
6		n/a
7		n/a
8		n/a
9		n/a
10		D. Hygard
11	E2A	C. Wazny
12		n/a
13	W3O	n/a
14		n/a
15		n/a
16		n/a
17		n/a
18		n/a
19		Government of Manitoba
20		n/a
21		L. Pearson
22	E3O, A	n/a
23		n/a
24		Lower Fort Garry Nursery
25		n/a
26	S5A	Bazan
27	S4O, A	D. McGuire
28		Danbo
29		Denz
30		n/a
31	W1O	n/a
32	E4O	n/a
33	W2O	n/a
34		n/a
35	N1O	n/a
36	N3A	L. Waznylyk
37	N2O, A	n/a
38	N4A	J. Rolls
39		L. Hoppe
40	S3O	R. Northwood
41		J. Tye
42		J. Tye
	C1A	Reid
	C2O	n/a

n/a = Owners did not provide his/her last name.