## ASSESSMENT OF COMPLEMENTARY FEEDING OF CANADIAN INFANTS

By

Wafaa A. Qasem

A Thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies of

The University of Manitoba

In partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of

## DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Department of Human Nutritional Sciences

University of Manitoba

Winnipeg, Manitoba

Copyright 2015 © Wafaa A. Qasem

#### ABSTRACT

Health Canada recommends exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) until 6 months followed by introducing iron-rich complementary foods (CFs) such as iron-fortified cereal and meat to prevent iron deficiency (ID). There is a concern that consumption of CFs with high iron dose may predispose infants to inflammation through reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation in their intestinal tract. The nutrient intake from these recommended first CFs had not been assessed in terms of meeting the daily requirements. Therefore the aim of this study was to assess if the recommended CFs are safe from a free radical and inflammatory perspective and to assess these CFs in relation to socio-demographic characteristics, feeding patterns, nutrient intake, iron status and growth. Eighty-seven EBF infants were randomly assigned to receive one of the following: iron-fortified cereal (Cer), iron-fortified cereal with fruit (Cer+Fr), meat (M). Urine and stool samples were collected before and after introduction of CFs to assess the following markers: urinary F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprostanes, fecal ROS, fecal iron and fecal calprotectin. Blood was collected from 18 infants to measure iron parameters. Socio-demographic characteristics and feeding patterns were obtained using questionnaires. Nutrient intake was collected using 3-day dietary records. There are maternal factors that were associated with selected feeding patterns. Nutrient intake was only adequate when provided by both breast milk and CFs. Plasma ferritin decreased over time in all groups (p = 0.04). Infants in M group had lower fecal iron than infants in Cer and Cer+Fr groups (p < 0.001, p = 0.014, respectively). An increase in fecal ROS formation (p < 0.002) after the introduction of CFs was observed. There are maternal socio-demographic factors such as lower parity and lower BMI that

need to be targeted in the future to optimize feeding time, type and frequency. Infants with EBF may be at risk of developing ID despite the provision of iron-rich CFs. Untargeted iron fortification may result in untoward effects including ROS generation in the infant's intestinal tract. In future, if these findings are further confirmed in EBF and formula-fed infants, reconsidering the strategies of iron fortifications to both meet infants' requirements and minimizing oxidative stress maybe warranted.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Special acknowledge and thanks to my advisor (Prof. James Friel) and my advisory committee members (Dr. James House, Dr. Trust Beta and Dr. Richard Keijzer), for providing me with support and guidance during my doctoral research. Also I would like to acknowledge and thank the research funding agency; Canadian Institutes of Health Research, the Children's Hospital Research Institute of Manitoba, Manitoba Clinic pediatricians, Prof. Friel's laboratory group, and my sponsor: Kuwait Cultural Office, Embassy of the State of Kuwait at Washington DC.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	II
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	IV
LIST OF TABLES	XIII
LIST OF FIGURES	XV
LIST OF COPYRIGHTED MATERIALS	XVII
ABBREVIATIONS	XVIII
CHAPTER I: OVERALL INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Objectives	4
1.3 Hypotheses	
1.4 References	5
BRIDGE TO CHAPTER II	
CHAPTER II	
MANUSCRIPT 1: LITERATURE REVIEW	
AN OVERVIEW OF IRON IN TERM BREASTFED INFANTS	
2.1 Abstract	
2.2 Introduction	
2.3 The full-term infant	

2.4 Definitions and international recommendations	
2.5 Iron	
2.6 Iron metabolism	
2.7 Iron requirements	
2.8 Iron deficiency	
2.9 Sources of iron for the growing infant	
2.10 Iron supplementation	
2.11 Iron nutrient interaction	
2.12 Iron and oxidative stress	
2.12.1 Iron and reactive oxygen species	
2.12.2 Iron and cancer	
2.13 Conclusion	
2.14 Conflict of interest and funding	
2.15 Acknowledgment	
2.16 References	
BRIDGE TO CHAPTER III	41
CHAPTER III	
MANUSCRIPT 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	
THE RECOMMENDED FIRST COMPLEMENTARY FOODS: A RE	VIEW OF
THE LITERATURE	
3.1 Abstract	
3.2 Introduction	
	VI

3.3 Results and discussion	
3.3.1 Meat as a first complementary food	
3.3.1.1 Observational studies	
3.3.1.2 Interventional studies	
3.3.2 Iron-fortified cereal as the first complementary food	
3.3.2.1 Observational studies	
3.3.2.2 Interventional studies	
3.4 Conclusion	59
3.5 Conflict of interest	59
3.6 Acknowledgment	
3.7 References	60
BRIDGE TO CHAPTER IV	69
CHAPTER IV	
MANUSCRIPT 3: SYSTEMATIC REVIEW	
AGE OF INTRODUCTION OF FIRST COMPLEMENTARY FEI	EDING FOR
INFANTS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW	
4.1 Abstract	71
4.2 Introduction	
4.3 Methods	
4.3.1 Literature Search	
4.3.2 Inclusion criteria	74
4.3.3 Exclusion criteria	74
4.3.4 Data analysis	74
	VII

4.4 Results	74
4.4.1 Iron	
4.4.2 Growth	
4.5 Discussion	89
4.6 Limitations	
4.7 Conclusion	
4.8 References	
BRIDGE TO CHAPTER V	102
CHAPTER V	103
MANUSCRIPT 4:	103
ASSESSMENT OF COMPLEMENTARY FEEDING OF CANADIAN	INFANTS:
SOCIO DEMOCDADIJICS FEEDINC DATTEDNS NUTDIENT IN	
SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHICS, FEEDING PATTERNS, NUTRIENT IN	FAKE AND
GROWTH OF BREASTFED INFANTS WHO RECEIVED FIRST	FAKE AND
GROWTH OF BREASTFED INFANTS WHO RECEIVED FIRST COMPLEMENTARY FOODS	ГАКЕ AND 103
SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHICS, FEEDING PATTERNS, NOTRIENT IN GROWTH OF BREASTFED INFANTS WHO RECEIVED FIRST COMPLEMENTARY FOODS	ГАКЕ AND 103 104
SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHICS, FEEDING PATTERNS, NOTRIENT IN GROWTH OF BREASTFED INFANTS WHO RECEIVED FIRST COMPLEMENTARY FOODS	ГАКЕ AND 103 104 105
GROWTH OF BREASTFED INFANTS WHO RECEIVED FIRST COMPLEMENTARY FOODS 5.1 Abstract 5.2 Introduction 5.3 Methods	FAKE AND 103 104 105 108
GROWTH OF BREASTFED INFANTS WHO RECEIVED FIRST COMPLEMENTARY FOODS	FAKE AND 
GROWTH OF BREASTFED INFANTS WHO RECEIVED FIRST COMPLEMENTARY FOODS	FAKE AND          103         104         105         108         109
GROWTH OF BREASTFED INFANTS WHO RECEIVED FIRST COMPLEMENTARY FOODS	FAKE AND          103         104         105         108         108         109         111
GROWTH OF BREASTFED INFANTS WHO RECEIVED FIRST COMPLEMENTARY FOODS	<b>FAKE AND</b>
GROWTH OF BREASTFED INFANTS WHO RECEIVED FIRST COMPLEMENTARY FOODS	TAKE AND         103         104         105         108         109         111         111         112

5.3.6 Anthropometric measurements	113
5.3.7 Dietary analysis	114
5.3.8 Sample size determination	115
5.3.9 Statistical analysis	116
5.4 Results	117
5.4.1 Socio-demographic characteristics	117
5.4.2 Feeding Patterns	119
5.4.3 Association between socio-demographic characteristics and selected fe	eding
patterns	119
5.4.4 Energy and selected nutrient intake from the recommended first	
complementary foods only	124
5.4.4.1 Macronutrient intake	124
5.4.4.2 Micronutrient intake	125
5.4.4.2.1 Vitamin intake	125
5.4.4.2.2 Mineral intake	127
5.4.5 Growth	128
5.4.5.1 Growth and nutrient intake from complementary foods	131
5.5 Discussion	135
5.5.1 Socio-demographic characteristics and feeding patterns	135
5.5.2 Feeding patterns	137
5.5.3 Nutrient intake	138
5.5.4 Growth	142
5.6 Limitations	144
5.7 Conclusion	144

5.8 References	146
BRIDGE TO CHAPTER VI	156
CHAPTER VI	157
MANUSCRIPT 5	157
ASSESSMENT OF COMPLEMENTARY FEEDING OF CANADIAN INFA	ANTS:
EFFECTS OF IRON RICH FOODS ON IRON STATUS AND OXIDATIVE	E
STRESS MARKERS	157
6.1 Abstract	158
6.2 Introduction	159
6.3 Methods	160
6.3.1 Sample collection	161
6.3.1.1 Blood sample	161
6.3.1.2 Urine sample	162
6.3.1.3 Fecal sample	162
6.3.2 Laboratory methods	162
6.3.2.1 Hemoglobin	162
6.3.2.2 Plasma ferritin	163
6.3.2.3 Urinary creatinine	165
6.3.2.4 Urinary F2-Isoprostanes	167
6.3.2.5 Fecal iron	167
6.3.2.5.1 Sample preparation	168
6.3.2.5.2 Photometric determination of iron	168
6.3.2.5.3 Calculation	169
6.3.2.6 Fecal reactive oxygen species generation	169
6.3.2.6.1 Phosphate buffer 100 mM solution preparation	170

6.3.2.6.2 Incubation buffer solution preparation	170
6.3.2.6.3 Calibration curve dilutions	171
6.3.2.6.4 Sample incubation and analysis	171
6.3.2.7 Fecal calprotectin	172
6.3.2.7.1 Calprotectin extraction	173
6.3.2.7.2 Calprotectin ELISA	173
6.4 Results	174
6.4.1 Hemoglobin concentration	177
6.4.2 Plasma ferritin	177
6.4.3 Urinary creatinine	178
6.4.4 Urinary F2-Isoprostanes	179
6.4.5 Fecal iron	181
6.4.6 Fecal reactive oxygen species generation	181
6.4.7 Associations between fecal iron, ROS generation and dietary iron	184
6.4.8 Fecal calprotectin	184
	187
6.5 Discussion	
6.5 Discussion	187
<ul><li>6.5 Discussion</li><li>6.5.1 Iron status</li><li>6.5.2 Oxidative stress and inflammation</li></ul>	187 188
<ul> <li>6.5 Discussion</li> <li>6.5.1 Iron status</li> <li>6.5.2 Oxidative stress and inflammation</li> <li>6.6 Conclusion</li> </ul>	187 188 <b> 192</b>
<ul> <li>6.5 Discussion</li> <li>6.5.1 Iron status</li> <li>6.5.2 Oxidative stress and inflammation</li> <li>6.6 Conclusion</li> <li>6.7 References</li> </ul>	187 188 192 193
<ul> <li>6.5 Discussion</li> <li>6.5.1 Iron status</li> <li>6.5.2 Oxidative stress and inflammation</li> <li>6.6 Conclusion</li> <li>6.7 References</li> <li>CHAPTER VII: OVERALL CONCLUSION</li> </ul>	187 188 192 193 201
<ul> <li>6.5 Discussion</li> <li>6.5.1 Iron status</li> <li>6.5.2 Oxidative stress and inflammation</li> <li>6.6 Conclusion</li> <li>6.7 References</li> <li>CHAPTER VII: OVERALL CONCLUSION</li> <li>7.1 Summary and implications</li> </ul>	187 188 192 193 201 201
<ul> <li>6.5 Discussion</li> <li>6.5.1 Iron status</li> <li>6.5.2 Oxidative stress and inflammation</li> <li>6.6 Conclusion</li> <li>6.7 References</li> <li>CHAPTER VII: OVERALL CONCLUSION</li> <li>7.1 Summary and implications</li></ul>	187 188 192 193 201 201 204

7.4 References	
APPENDECES	210
Appendix 1: Study announcement poster	210
Appendix 2: Study's questionnaire	211
Appendix 3: Bannatyne Research Ethics Board approval letter	
Appendix 4: Winnipeg Regional Health Authority approval letter	215
Appendix 5: Parent's study handout	
Appendix 6: Participants consent form	
Appendix 7: 3-day dietary record (1 <sup>ST</sup> visit)	
Appendix 8: 3-day dietary record (2 <sup>nd</sup> visit)	225
Appendix 9: Urine sample collection instructions	
Appendix 10: Stool and urine sample collection instructions	
Appendix 11: Aditional results and figures corresponding to the stu	ıdy described
in chapters V and VI	
Appendix 12: Permissions to use copyrighted materials	241

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Summary of the clinical feeding interventions with meat
Table 2. Summary of clinical feeding interventions of iron-fortified cereal
Table 3. Summary of results of studies included in the systematic review
Table 4. Excluded studies
Table 5. Nutritional composition of study foods    113
Table 6. Baseline comparisons of the three study group's characteristics 117
Table 7. Feeding patterns among EBF infants in the three study groups 120
Table 8. Analysis of selective feeding patterns by socio-demographic characteristics 121
Table 9. Energy and macronutrient intake from the recommended first CFs only in the
three study groups 124
Table 10. Comparison of mean of % contribution of macronutrients towards the daily
recommended DRIs from first recommended CFs only 125
Table 11. Vitamin intake from the recommended first CFs only in the three study groups
Table 12. Comparison of mean of % contribution of vitamins towards the daily
recommended DRIs from first recommended CFs only 126
Table 13. Selective mineral intake from the recommended first CFs only in the three
study groups 127
Table 14. Comparison of mean of % contribution of selective minerals towards the daily
recommended DRIs from the recommended CFs only

Table 15. Growth rate in <i>z</i> -scores in the three study groups from before and after	
introduction of CFs categorized according to solid feeding duration	129
Table 16. Analysis of selective feeding practices by growth rate	130
Table 17. Summary of biomarker measures of infants in the three study groups	176

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Iron metabolism at molecular level
Figure 2. Study flow of the systematic review75
Figure 3. Iron status analyses of developed countries
Figure 4. Iron status analyses of developing countries
Figure 5. Weight analyses of developed countries
Figure 6. Length analyses of developed countries
Figure 7. Head circumference analyses of developed countries
Figure 8. Growth analyses of developing countries
Figure 9. Study design 110
Figure 10. Correlation between total energy intake and gain in head circumference in all
infants
Figure 11. Correlation between carbohydrate intake and gain in head circumference in all
infants
Figure 12. Correlation between thiamin intake and gain in head circumference in all
infants
Figure 13. Correlation between riboflavin intake and gain in head circumference in all
infants
Figure 14. Correlation between iron intake and gain in head circumference in all infants.

Figure 15. Correlation between phosphorus intake and gain in head circumference in all
infants
Figure 16. Plasma ferritin level in the cereal group (combined) and the meat group 178
Figure 17. Average creatinine corrected F2-Isoprostanes in the urinary samples of infants
in the three study groups
Figure 18. Fecal iron of infants in the three study groups
Figure 19. Reactive oxygen species generation in fecal samples of infants in the three
study groups
Figure 20. Correlation between dietary iron, fecal iron and ROS production 185
Figure 21. Fecal calprotectin concentration of infants in the three study groups

# LIST OF COPYRIGHTED MATERIALS

Figure 1. Iron metabolism at molecular level. Adapted from (Domellof 2007).....18

# ATIONS

ABBREVIATION
ANOVA: analysis of variance
BM: breast milk
BMI: body mass index
Cer: iron fortified cereal group
Cer+Fr: iron fortified cereal with fruit group
CFs: complementary foods
CI: confidence interval
CV: coefficient of variation
DRIs: Dietary Reference Intakes
EBF: exclusive breastfeeding or exclusively breastfed
Hb: hemoglobin
IBD: inflammatory bowel disease
ID: iron deficiency
IDA: iron deficiency anemia
M: meat group
MD: mean difference
Mo: month
N: number
OD: optical density
OR: odds ratio
RCT: randomized controlled trial
ROS: reactive oxygen species

SD: standard deviation

SE: standard error

SR: systematic review

SRM: standard reference material

USA: United States of America

WHO: World Health Organization

Wks: weeks

#### **CHAPTER I: OVERALL INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Introduction**

Optimal complementary feeding has been acknowledged to be critical for meeting the nutritional requirements of the breastfed infant. Indeed, introduction of nutritionally adequate complementary foods (CFs) is recognized as one of the main measures to prevent nutritional deficiency particularly iron deficiency (ID) (1, 2). The period of infancy also represents a critical window for the development of the brain, and thus of vulnerability to harm from micronutrient deficiencies mainly from lack of iron that may result in permanent neuro-cognitive developmental impairments (3, 4). There is a universal agreement that the breast milk is the optimal complete nutrition standard for infants given all its evident advantages (5). However, at about six months of age, the iron stores of the growing infant start to deplete and breast milk alone no longer meets the developmental needs of the infant (6). Moreover, prolonged exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) has been linked with the development of ID and iron deficiency anemia (IDA) (7, 8). This is due to the fact that breast milk contains relatively low amounts of iron at < 1mg/l (9). Available evidence from Canada showed that the prevalence of IDA in eight months old breastfed infants is 15% (10). Other studies had also obtained similar results (7, 11). Accordingly, professional health organizations including Health Canada emphasized and recommended the provision of appropriate iron-containing CFs following the EBF six months period to prevent ID and IDA (5, 12).

Health Canada recommended iron-fortified cereal and meat as first iron-rich CFs in their latest statement (12). Iron fortified cereal, which is the traditional most common first CF introduced to Canadian infants (13), contains appreciable amount of iron at 25-30 mg iron/100 g dry weight (14). However, the form of this iron is non-heme electrolytic, which is absorbed at a rate of < 5% (15). Meat is the newly recommended CF by Health Canada and contains heme iron, which is better absorbed at a rate of 35% (16). Despite meat being a good source of iron, the consumption of meat was found to be the least common feeding practice among Canadian infants (13). With these absorption rates, most of the residual iron reaches the large intestine unabsorbed. Available evidence from adult iron supplementation studies demonstrates an association between the residual unabsorbed iron and the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (17, 18). These findings were seen in adults receiving 120 mg/day iron (18). Infants consuming ironfortified cereals are likely receiving the same amount of iron and probably producing ROS in their intestinal tract (19). This has led to uncertainty and a concern about the possibility of these recommended CFs to cause ROS generation.

Increased amount of ROS generation in the large intestine can lead to adverse health consequences (20). Exposure to iron has been linked with the initiation of intestinal inflammation (21, 22). In addition, oral iron supplementation has been reported as a risk factor to develop inflammatory bowel diseases including crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis (23, 24). Furthermore, previous evidence suggests that dietary iron seems to promote carcinoma development by increasing inflammation, oxidative stress, and epithelial proliferation (25). Several case-control and prospective studies showed that dietary iron has been positively associated with a subsequent risk of colon cancer (26).

Compelling evidence had showed that anti-oxidants rich foods such as fruits prevent ROS generation thus inflammation and disease initiation (27, 28).

In addition to iron, other specific nutrients need to be derived from CF to avoid nutritional deficiencies that may result from prolonged breastfeeding for infants of this age (29). This is important since the diet of infants play an important role in short and long term health and development (30, 31). While feeding patterns yield a useful measure of an infant's diet, it is crucial to recognize the nutrient intake underlying the pattern. Previous studies had identified some feeding patterns that were strongly associated with socio-economic factors such as maternal body mass index (BMI), duration of EBF and the type of first CFs (32, 33). The available evidence in regards to the nutrient intakes from CFs consumption and the feeding patterns is limited not only in Canada but also in North America (13, 19, 34-36).

To our knowledge, no studies have considered the iron-fortified cereal (traditional) and meat (new) from the ROS generation and inflammatory perspective. Furthermore, understanding the nutrient intakes from the traditional and from the newly recommended CFs is also part of assessing the efficiency of first CFs. This information along with feeding pattern, socio-demographic characteristics and growth can help us to determine the optimal first CF. Therefore, the aim of the present study is to assess the complementary feeding of Canadian infants by determining if the recommended CFs are safe from a free radical and inflammatory perspective, to determine whether nutrient intakes from the first recommended CFs of breastfed infants are sufficient in terms of meeting the daily recommendation; and to identify the associations between the feeding patterns, the socio-demographic characteristics and the growth of breastfed infants. The

output of this research is fundamental in advancing our knowledge of optimizing the choice of first CFs to mitigate potential free radical injury along with meeting the nutritional and developmental needs of the breastfed infants.

## **1.2 Objectives**

The present research has 6 specific objectives:

- To determine if infant cereal with iron increase ROS generation in the large intestine of breastfed infants.
- 2. To determine if infant iron-fortified cereal with an antioxidant reduces the oxidative effect of iron in the intestinal tract.
- 3. To identify if meat generates ROS in the large intestine of breastfed infants.
- 4. To identify if iron-fortified cereal and meat maintain the iron status of breastfed infants.
- 5. To determine whether nutrient intakes from the first recommended CFs are sufficient in meeting the daily recommendations.
- 6. To determine the associations between the feeding patterns, the sociodemographic characteristics and the growth of breastfed infants.

## **1.3 Hypotheses**

The hypotheses to be tested include:

- 1- Consumption of infant cereals with iron will increase ROS generation in the gut.
- 2- Consumption of iron-fortified cereal with fruit will decrease ROS production in the gut.
- 3- Consumption of meat will not generate ROS.

- 4- Consumption of either iron fortified cereals or meat will maintain iron status during infancy.
- 5- Consumption of either iron-fortified cereals or meat will meet the nutritional requirements of breastfed infants.

The following manuscripts (chapter II and III) will present an overview of iron in term breastfed infants and will assess the available body of evidence surrounding breastfed infants' feeding particularly on complementary feeding. Chapter IV (manuscript 3) will examine the effect of age of introduction of complementary feeding on the iron status and the growth of the EBF infant by performing a systematic review and meta-analyses. Chapter V (manuscript 4) will present a detailed dietary analysis of the breastfed infants from breast milk (before the introduction of CFs), from breast milk with CFs (after the introduction of CFs) and from CFs alone. In addition, chapter V will present the associations between socio-demographic factors, selective feeding patterns and growth. Chapter VI (manuscript 5) will demonstrate a detailed investigation of the effects of the provision of the recommended iron rich CFs on the intestinal health from free radical and inflammatory perspective. Thereafter, chapter VII presents the overall conclusion from the current research.

#### **1.4 References**

1. Jones G, Steketee RW, Black RE, Bhutta ZA, Morris SS, Bellagio Child Survival Study G. How many child deaths can we prevent this year? Lancet. 2003 Jul 5;362(9377):65-71.

2. World Health Organization. Complementary feeding of young children in developing countries: a review of current scientific knowledge. 1998 [cited 2014 February 2];

Available from:

http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/infantfeeding/WHO\_NUT\_98.1/en/.

3. Lozoff B. Iron deficiency and child development. Food Nutr Bull. 2007 Dec;28(4 Suppl):S560-71.

4. Lozoff B, Beard J, Connor J, Barbara F, Georgieff M, Schallert T. Long-lasting neural and behavioral effects of iron deficiency in infancy. Nutr Rev. 2006 May;64(5 Pt 2):S34-43; discussion S72-91.

5. World Health Organization. Global Strategy for Infant and Young Child Feeding, The Optimal Duration of Exclusive Breastfeeding. Geneva: WHO. 2001 [cited 2013 October
7]; Available from: http://www.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf\_files/WHA54/ea54id4.pdf.

6. Baker RD, Greer FR, Committee on Nutrition American Academy of P. Diagnosis and prevention of iron deficiency and iron-deficiency anemia in infants and young children (0-3 years of age). Pediatrics. 2010 Nov;126(5):1040-50.

7. Meinzen-Derr JK, Guerrero ML, Altaye M, Ortega-Gallegos H, Ruiz-Palacios GM, Morrow AL. Risk of infant anemia is associated with exclusive breast-feeding and maternal anemia in a Mexican cohort. J Nutr. 2006 Feb;136(2):452-8.

 Maguire JL, Salehi L, Birken CS, Carsley S, Mamdani M, Thorpe KE, et al.
 Association between total duration of breastfeeding and iron deficiency. Pediatrics. 2013 May;131(5):e1530-7.

9. Dorea JG. Iron and copper in human milk. Nutrition. 2000 Mar;16(3):209-20.

10. Innis SM, Nelson CM, Wadsworth LD, MacLaren IA, Lwanga D. Incidence of irondeficiency anaemia and depleted iron stores among nine-month-old infants in Vancouver, Canada. Can J Public Health. 1997 Mar-Apr;88(2):80-4. Calvo EB, Galindo AC, Aspres NB. Iron status in exclusively breast-fed infants.
 Pediatrics. 1992 Sep;90(3):375-9.

12. Health Canada, Canadian Paediatric Society, Dietitians of Canada, Breastfeeding Committee for Canada. Nutrition for healthy term infants: recommendations from birth to six months. Can J Diet Pract Res : a publication of Dietitians of Canada = Revue canadienne de la pratique et de la recherche en dietetique : une publication des Dietetistes du Canada. 2012 Winter;73(4):204.

13. Friel JK, Isaak C, Hanning R, Miller A. Complementary Food Consumption of Canadian Infants. T O Nutr J. 2009;3:11-6.

14. Fisher JO, Butte NF, Mendoza PM, Wilson TA, Hodges EA, Reidy KC, et al. Overestimation of infant and toddler energy intake by 24-h recall compared with weighed food records. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008 Aug;88(2):407-15.

15. Davidsson L, Kastenmayer P, Szajewska H, Hurrell RF, Barclay D. Iron bioavailability in infants from an infant cereal fortified with ferric pyrophosphate or ferrous fumarate. Am J Clin Nutr. 2000 Jun;71(6):1597-602.

16. Hurrell R, Egli I. Iron bioavailability and dietary reference values. Am J Clin Nutr.2010 May;91(5):1461S-7S.

17. Lund EK, Wharf SG, Fairweather-Tait SJ, Johnson IT. Oral ferrous sulfate supplements increase the free radical-generating capacity of feces from healthy volunteers. Am J Clin Nutr. 1999 Feb;69(2):250-5.

18. Orozco MN, Solomons NW, Schumann K, Friel JK, de Montenegro AL. Antioxidantrich oral supplements attenuate the effects of oral iron on in situ oxidation susceptibility of human feces. J Nutr. 2010 Jun;140(6):1105-10.  Friel JK, Hanning RM, Isaak CA, Prowse D, Miller AC. Canadian infants' nutrient intakes from complementary foods during the first year of life. BMC Pediatr. 2010;10:43.
 Valko M, Rhodes CJ, Moncol J, Izakovic M, Mazur M. Free radicals, metals and antioxidants in oxidative stress-induced cancer. Chem Biol Interact. 2006 Mar 10;160(1):1-40.

21. Reifen R, Matas Z, Zeidel L, Berkovitch Z, Bujanover Y. Iron supplementation may aggravate inflammatory status of colitis in a rat model. Dig Dis Sci. 2000 Feb;45(2):394-7.

22. Carrier J, Aghdassi E, Platt I, Cullen J, Allard JP. Effect of oral iron supplementation on oxidative stress and colonic inflammation in rats with induced colitis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2001 Dec;15(12):1989-99.

23. Campbell S, Ghosh S. Ulcerative colitis and colon cancer: strategies for cancer prevention. Dig Dis. 2002;20(1):38-48.

24. Xavier RJ, Podolsky DK. Unravelling the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. Nature. 2007 Jul 26;448(7152):427-34.

25. Wurzelmann JI, Silver A, Schreinemachers DM, Sandler RS, Everson RB. Iron intake and the risk of colorectal cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 1996 Jul;5(7):503-7.

26. Ullen H, Augustsson K, Gustavsson C, Steineck G. Supplementary iron intake and risk of cancer: reversed causality? Cancer Lett. 1997 Mar 19;114(1-2):215-6.

27. Halliwell B. Oxidative stress, nutrition and health. Experimental strategies for optimization of nutritional antioxidant intake in humans. Free Radic Res. 1996 Jul;25(1):57-74.

28. Lotito SB, Frei B. Consumption of flavonoid-rich foods and increased plasma antioxidant capacity in humans: cause, consequence, or epiphenomenon? Free Radic Biol Med. 2006 Dec 15;41(12):1727-46.

29. Agostoni C, Decsi T, Fewtrell M, Goulet O, Kolacek S, Koletzko B, et al. Complementary feeding: a commentary by the ESPGHAN Committee on Nutrition. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2008 Jan;46(1):99-110.

30. Kramer MS, Aboud F, Mironova E, Vanilovich I, Platt RW, Matush L, et al. Breastfeeding and child cognitive development: new evidence from a large randomized trial. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2008 May;65(5):578-84.

31. Subar AF, Krebs-Smith SM, Cook A, Kahle LL. Dietary sources of nutrients among US adults, 1989 to 1991. J Am Diet Assoc. 1998 May;98(5):537-47.

32. Smithers LG, Brazionis L, Golley RK, Mittinty MN, Northstone K, Emmett P, et al. Associations between dietary patterns at 6 and 15 months of age and sociodemographic factors. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2012 Jun;66(6):658-66.

33. Robinson S, Marriott L, Poole J, Crozier S, Borland S, Lawrence W, et al. Dietary patterns in infancy: the importance of maternal and family influences on feeding practice.Br J Nutr. 2007 Nov;98(5):1029-37.

34. Butte NF, Fox MK, Briefel RR, Siega-Riz AM, Dwyer JT, Deming DM, et al. Nutrient intakes of US infants, toddlers, and preschoolers meet or exceed dietary reference intakes. J Am Diet Assoc. 2010 Dec;110(12 Suppl):S27-37.

35. Briefel RR, Reidy K, Karwe V, Devaney B. Feeding infants and toddlers study: Improvements needed in meeting infant feeding recommendations. J Am Diet Assoc.2004 Jan;104(1 Suppl 1):s31-7. 36. Ponza M, Devaney B, Ziegler P, Reidy K, Squatrito C. Nutrient intakes and food choices of infants and toddlers participating in WIC. J Am Diet Assoc. 2004 Jan;104(1 Suppl 1):s71-9.

## **BRIDGE TO CHAPTER II**

The following chapter comprises a manuscript which provides an overview of the current knowledge on the importance of iron in breastfed infants with a focus on recommendations, metabolism, requirements and its relation to oxidative stress. Wafaa Qasem was the principal manuscript author and James Friel supervised and guided the preparation of the manuscript.

### **CHAPTER II**

#### **MANUSCRIPT 1: LITERATURE REVIEW**

### AN OVERVIEW OF IRON IN TERM BREASTFED INFANTS

This manuscript has been accepted for publication in the journal Clinical Medicine Insights: Pediatrics (2015).

Wafaa Qasem and James Friel

Corresponding author: Wafaa Qasem

Department of Human Nutritional Sciences, University of Manitoba Richardson Centre

for Functional Foods and Nutraceuticals

196 Innovation Drive, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada, R3T 6C5

Email: <u>qasemw@myumanitoba.ca</u>

Co-author: James Friel

Department of Human Nutritional Sciences, University of Manitoba

Richardson Centre for Functional Foods and Nutraceuticals

196 Innovation Drive, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada, R3T 2N2

Email: James.Friel@umanitoba.ca

#### 2.1 Abstract

**Background:** Iron is an essential nutrient for normal growth and neurodevelopment of infants. Iron deficiency (ID) remains the most common micronutrient deficiency worldwide. There is convincing data that ID is associated with negative effects on

neurological and psychomotor development. **Objectives:** In this review we provide an overview of current knowledge of the importance of iron in normal term breastfed infants with a focus on recommendations, metabolism, iron requirements and its relation to oxidative stress. **Conclusions:** Health organizations around the world recommend the introduction of iron- rich foods or iron supplements for growing infants to prevent ID. However, there is no routine screening for ID in infancy. Multicenter trials with long-term follow up are needed to investigate the association between iron fortification/supplementation and various health outcomes including oxidative stress status.

**Key words**: iron deficiency, iron metabolism, iron recommendations, iron requirements, iron in breastfed infants

#### **2.2 Introduction**

The period of infancy constitutes a critical window of growth and brain development, and thus micronutrient deficiencies, during this vulnerable period may have adverse effects on neurocognitive functions (1-3). The most common micronutrient deficiency in the world is iron deficiency (ID) and results in approximately one billion cases of anemia worldwide (4). At about 6 months, infants are at risk of developing ID because of the exhaustion of their iron stores needed for rapid growth. In addition, iron concentration in breast milk is relatively low (5-7). Therefore, various organizations recommend the introduction of iron-rich foods to infants or medicinal iron supplements in order to meet their iron requirements (8-10). The aim of the following review is to update health care professionals on the current state of knowledge of iron in full-term breastfed infants with

a particular focus on the recommendations, metabolism, requirements, and its relation to oxidative stress.

#### 2.3 The full-term infant

Full-term infants are those who are born between 37 and 42 weeks of gestation. The normal birth weight of a healthy full-term newborn ranges between 2500 g to 4000 g. Low birth weight describes a weight of less than 2500g at birth regardless of gestational age (11, 12). For the first few months of life, the length of a healthy full-term infant would increase about 3 to 5 cm/month. An infant's head circumference will increase by 1 to 2 cm each month until 6 months of age to account for the increase in brain development. Growth is an important indicator of normal child development. The period of infancy is characterized by rapid progression of growth that is largely dependent on the infant's endogenous stores of nutrients and exogenous sources such as human or formula milk. The breast milk intake in exclusively breastfed (EBF) infants appears to meet the energy and nutrient requirements of most infants (13, 14). An extensive body of evidence with improved epidemiological methods substantiates the advantages of breastfeeding for the infants and their mothers. These include developmental, nutritional, immunologic, health, psychological, economic, environmental and social advantages (8, 10). The data from the Maternity Experiences Survey of the Canadian Perinatal Surveillance System show that the rate of initiation of breastfeeding is 90.3% while the rate of exclusive breastfeeding at 3 months is 51.7 %. Six months after birth, the proportions of EBF infants further falls to 14.4 % (15).

#### 2.4 Definitions and international recommendations

In 2001, a joint statement of the World Health Organization (WHO) and the United Nations Children's Fund (16) recommended "exclusive breastfeeding for the first 6 months of age and introducing nutritionally adequate complementary food along with sustaining breastfeeding up to two years of age or beyond" (17). Exclusive breastfeeding is defined as human breast milk being the only source of food and liquid introduced to the infant. The complementary feeding period (typically 6-12 months of age) begins when food other than human breast milk is introduced to infants in addition to breastfeeding. Weaning is defined as the action of providing non-human milk to the infant regardless of the continuation of breast/ bottle feeding (16).

In 2004, Health Canada like many other health organizations, revised its statement on the duration of exclusive breastfeeding to support the Global Strategy of exclusive breast feeding by WHO (18). Other organizations that endorsed the WHO recommendations include: European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition (ESPGHAN) (19), United Kingdom Department of Health (20), Australia National Health and Medical Research Council (21), and New Zealand Ministry of Health (22). Although there is universal agreement that breast milk alone is the optimal first food and should be exclusively introduced for the first 6 months of life, the time of introduction of the complementary food varies among health organizations. For example, in the United States, agencies such as the US Department of Agriculture (23) and the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) (24) recommend complementary food introduction between 4 and 6 months of age. Furthermore, the statement on complementary feeding by ESPGHAN, also recommends that complementary food should not be introduced before 17 weeks nor

later than 26 weeks (25). In Canada, as with global efforts, increasing the rate and duration of breastfeeding until 6 months of age is a main public health target. This has led to less emphasis being given to complementary feeding for the older breastfed infant.

### 2.5 Iron

In the human body, iron is the most abundant trace element that acts as a center for a broad spectrum of functions. Its importance is derived from its redox activity, because in oxidation states iron exists mainly in ferrous ( $Fe^{2+}$ ) and ferric ( $Fe^{3+}$ ) forms which are interchangeable. This reaction forms part of the electron transport chain, essential in the generation of ATP during metabolism and in the reductions needed for molecule synthesis. About two thirds of iron is utilized as functional iron, which is found in hemoglobin (60%), myoglobin (5%), heme and nonheme enzymes (5%), and with transferrin (< 0.1%). The rest of the iron is stored in the two main storage proteins, ferritin (20%) and hemosiderin (10%) (26). In hemoglobin (Hb), the key function of iron is oxygen transportation, essential for cell respiration. Iron in myoglobin is required to store oxygen in muscles. As a component of tissue enzymes, iron is important in energy production and immune system functioning (27). Owing to its high presence in multiple brain regions, iron plays an important role in essential neurologic processes such as neurotransmitter synthesis and myelination (28).

At birth, full-term healthy infants have a notable iron endowment of about 75 mg/kg, and high blood volume, and Hb concentration in proportion to their body weight. During the first few months of life, they experience a physiological decline in their blood volume and Hb concentration and an active shift from fetal Hb to adult type Hb (7, 14).

#### 2.6 Iron metabolism

In the human body, iron is balanced and regulated in order to prevent deficiency and overload. This balance is achieved through three unique mechanisms: iron storage, erythrocyte iron reutilization, and iron absorption regulation. Therefore, when the body is deficient in iron, absorption is maximum, and when the iron level is sufficient, iron absorption is limited (27, 29). The absorption of iron occurs mainly in the duodenum by an active transport process from the intestinal lumen to the enterocytes (29). If iron is required for metabolic processes, it is released through the enterocytes to the blood and transported by transferrin to the body tissues and the bone marrow. Where there is iron redundancy, it is stored in the enterocytes as ferritin and in the liver, spleen and bone marrow as hemosiderin. When the enterocytes exfoliate, iron is excreted in the feces (27). The peptide hepcidin has recently been suggested as the major iron absorption regulator (30). Hepcidin is synthesized in the hepatocytes and secreted in response to high serum iron levels, which further leads to down regulation of ferroportin expression on the basolateral membrane, and thereby resulting in the blocking of iron release through the enterocytes to the blood stream (31). Regarding iron absorption at molecular level (figure 1), the enzyme duodenal cytochrome b (Dcytb) catalyzes the reduction of  $Fe^{3}$ + to  $Fe^{2}$ +, which is further transported to the enterocytes via the divalent metal transporter 1 (DMT1). Heme iron is absorbed by the transporter heme carrier protein 1 (HCP1). Recently, HCP1 has been identified as a proton-coupled folate transporter (PCFT) (32). It has been shown in animal models that PCFT/HCP1 is altered in response to the altered folate supply and that each of folate and heme substrates can affect the uptake of the other (33-34). Excess iron is stored intracellularly as ferritin. When iron is needed in the body,
$Fe^{2}+$  is further transported by the transporter ferroportin (FPN1/IREG1) located on the basolateral membrane, which will be oxidized to  $Fe^{3}+$  by hephaestin and transported in the blood by transferrin (26). It has recently been theorized that the lactoferrin receptors that have been found in human infant intestinal cells have a role in iron absorption. This process occurs by receptor-mediated endocytosis of iron bound lactoferrin (35).





## 2.7 Iron requirements

According to the Institute of Medicine (IOM), the amount of iron in human breast milk was used to estimate the adequate intake of iron for healthy full-term infants up to 6 months of age (36). Thus, an adequate intake of 0.27 mg/l was determined. This was calculated by multiplying the mean iron content of breast milk (0.35 mg/l) by the average daily intake of breast milk in EBF infants (0.78 l/day) (36). For infants aged 7 to 12 months, according to the IOM (36) the recommended dietary allowance is 11 mg/day. This value was calculated by adding the amount of iron lost from the urinary and intestinal tracts and from the sheds of skin epithelial cells to the amount of iron needed for increasing tissue mass, blood volume and storage iron during 7 to 12 months of age. This further reinforces the fact that iron needs to increase significantly for 6-month-old full-term infants compared with full-term infants aged less than 6 months.

## 2.8 Iron deficiency

Iron deficiency is a state where the iron stores progressively decline owing to prolonged negative iron balance. Subsequently, the supply of iron to the tissues is diminished leading to iron deficiency anemia (IDA). Iron deficiency is defined as a plasma ferritin concentration of  $< 12 \ \mu g/l$  (for children  $< 5 \ years$ ) (37). Iron deficiency anemia is diagnosed clinically with signs and symptoms such as fatigue and pallor. The WHO defines anemia as an Hb level of less than 2 standard deviations (SDs) of the average Hb level for a normal population of the same gender and age group. Iron deficiency anemia is diagnosed when the hemoglobin level is  $< 11.0 \ g/dl$  (for children 6 months to 59 months). Other ID and IDA laboratory indicators include: serum iron, total iron binding capacity, serum transferrin, and serum transferrin receptors (37).

Untreated ID has detrimental functional outcomes. There is compelling evidence that early ID either during fetal/neonatal or toddler time periods, impairs cognitive function and retards psychomotor development in childhood, and persists to adolescence and

adulthood (38-40). Neurologic animal studies have explored the pathophysiology by which ID alters neurodevelopmental function and have found that ID results in an alteration in essential neurologic processes such as dopamine metabolism, myelination, and hippocampal function (28, 41, 42). Evidence from more than 40 human studies has linked the observed neurodevelopmental dysfunctions with these abnormal neurologic processes which occur during the ID period (43, 44). In these studies, poor behavioral and cognitive test performances were consistent findings in iron-deficient children who were < 2 years old (45). Moreover, long-term follow-up studies have reported lower achievement in verbal/quantitative learning, intelligence quotient, memory, and attention scores among formerly iron-deficient children than among children in the control group (3, 46, 47). Worldwide, ID is the most common micronutrient deficiency among infants and young children (37, 48). In developed countries, the prevalence of ID is > 8% among preschool aged children (49, 50). Breastfed infants are vulnerable to developing ID because of rapid growth, depletion of their iron endowment, and low iron content in breast milk and in some complementary foods (51). It has been shown that prolonged exclusive breastfeeding for more than 6 months is associated with increased risk of IDA (52). Other non-dietary related causes of infantile ID include intra uterine growth restriction, gestational diabetes, small for gestational age, maternal ID, preeclampsia, early clamping of umbilical cord, and prematurity (53-56). In Canada, Innis et al. found that 34% of breastfed infants living in Vancouver had ID and 7% had IDA (57). Similarly, Friel et al. showed a 33% prevalence with ID and 14% with IDA among breastfed infants living in Newfoundland (58). It was estimated that the prevalence of IDA among Aboriginal children aged 1-5 years was five times higher than among

children living in urban Canada (59, 60). Similar observations have been documented in other developed countries. For example, it was reported that 4% of Norwegian breastfed infants had low iron status (61). In the United States, the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) has reported an estimated prevalence of ID±A of 7% in young children (62). In Australia, Makrides et al. found ID in 15% and IDA in 1% of 6 month-old breastfed infants (63). Thus, it is noticeable that some breastfed infants are not protected by their iron endowment. To our knowledge, iron status is not routinely examined in infancy, particularly in EBF infants.

## 2.9 Sources of iron for the growing infant

Hemoglobin iron and storage iron present at birth are the most important iron sources during the first few months of life for full-term infants, particularly breastfed infants (7). Another source of iron is breast milk, which contains a low amount (mean iron content = 0.35 mg/l) with a bioavailability of 45-100% (64). Ferrous sulfate is the form of iron available in cow's milk based infant formula. Despite ferrous sulfate being well absorbable form of iron, the cow's milk proteins available in the formula show an inhibitory effect on iron absorption (65). Iron-fortified cereals are the most common source of iron during the complementary feeding period (66). Ferric pyrophosphate and elemental iron are the two types of iron fortificants added to these cereals, which have low bioavailability (67). Depending on the type of weaning food, the infant may receive a household modified diet with low iron content or a highly absorbable heme iron-rich diet such as meat.

## 2.10 Iron supplementation

Iron supplementation can be delivered either by iron-fortified foods or by medicinal iron. Currently, in Canada there is no recommendation for iron supplementation for the healthy full-term infant. While in the United States, the American Academy of Pediatrics recommends iron supplementation of 1 mg/kg/day for EBF infants at 4 months of age until weaning with iron-rich foods is commenced (10). Available evidence is conflicting regarding whether iron supplementation would suffice and meet the expected beneficial outcomes for the supplemented infants. In a randomized controlled trial (RCT) conducted with Honduran and Swedish infants, two different concentrations of iron supplementation were given. It was observed that infants who received the lower iron supplementation had higher head growth and lower rates of infection than infants in the other group. Iron supplementation increased the rate of gastrointestinal infections and decreased the linear growth of the infants in the higher iron supplementation group. The prevalence of anemia was also found to be significantly lower among supplemented Honduran infant group (68). In another RCT, young infants who received iron-fortified formula of either 1 or 5 mg/l showed no significant difference in the risk of developing anemia between the two groups (69). On the other hand, some iron supplementation trials among 6- to 12-monthold infants showed improvement of serum Hb and ferritin level (58, 70, 71). In Canada, Friel et al, randomized 77 full-term breastfed infants to receive either iron supplementation or a placebo (58). They found improvements in visual acuity and psychomotor functions among infants who received iron supplementation orally. Iron status parameters were also improved among the iron supplemented group (58).

#### **2.11 Iron nutrient interaction**

Iron has been found to interact with the following nutrients: lead, zinc, copper, and calcium (72, 73). Lead poisoning has been associated with ID in children. The suggested mechanism was that the up-regulation of DMT1 during ID led to increased lead absorption. It was observed that iron supplementation of iron-deficient lead-exposed children resolved high blood lead concentrations (72, 74). Interaction between zinc and iron appears to be due to the competition between those two nutrients for the same absorptive pathway. However, the available evidence is conflicting regarding the negative effects resulting from the interaction between these two nutrients (75). Iron-deficient status has been linked to negative copper metabolism and vice versa. Iron supplementation showed no effect in improving anemia caused by copper deficiency (76). A negative effect on copper/zinc superoxide dismutase activity by iron supplementation was observed in breastfed infants (77). An interaction between calcium and iron may occur. Therefore, it is recommended to avoid calcium-rich products i.e. milk and calcium supplements, with iron-rich foods (78).

Another compound that impedes the absorption of iron is phytate. Studies have shown a positive association between the amount of phytate contained in a meal with the inhibitory effect of iron absorption by 82% (79, 80). Ascorbic acid has been shown to enhance iron absorption when provided as a food source or as a fortificant (81, 82). Animal tissues such as beef, pork, and chicken are known to provide a good source of heme iron (30-70% of the total iron) as well as having an enhancing effect on iron absorption itself (83, 84).

#### 2.12 Iron and oxidative stress

#### 2.12.1 Iron and reactive oxygen species

From animal and human studies, links have been suggested between excess iron in the body and an increased risk of various diseases such as cancer, vascular disease and certain neurological conditions (85-87). It has been shown that unabsorbed iron may become available to participate in Haber Weiss and Fenton type reactions that increase free radical generation in the colon and reach a level that causes mucosal injury (88). Iron mediated generation of free radicals results in DNA damage and lipid peroxidation (89). This damage is a result of oxygen exaggeration in tissues that have been transported by iron (90). Walter et al, have shown that iron supplementation results in iron accumulation in the colonocytes and hepatocytes as well as lipid peroxidation and mitochondrial dysfunction in a rat model (91). Similar findings of DNA damage and lipid peroxidation in the intestinal cells were observed by Lund et al, (92). In another rat model, it was demonstrated that the ingestion of ferrous sulfate induced acute toxicity and altered oxidative stress markers in the intestinal mucosa and in the liver (93). In a human study conducted by Lund et al, the ingestion of 19 mg of ferrous sulfate for a period of 2 weeks, increased fecal free radical generation by 40% and decreased the fecal antioxidant capacity (94). Friel et al. conducted an RCT of iron supplementation of 7.5 mg/day for 1-6 months in breastfed infants and found that the production of methanesulfinic acid (oxidative stress product) increased in the feces of iron supplemented infants compared with the feces of non-supplemented infants (0.46, 0.10 mM/g respectively) (58). Orozco et al, conducted a two phase study on 17 healthy male subjects. The subjects received either 120 mg iron, 120 mg iron with refined palm oil, or 120 mg iron with refined palm

oil combined with one of the two doses of 0.4 g or 0.8 g of Carotino-Tocotrienol-Carotene Mixed Concentrate (CTCMC). There was an increase in the concentration of the hydroxylated compounds in the feces of the iron and iron oil treatment groups. The production of the hydroxylated compounds was significantly reduced in the feces of the two iron CTCMC groups compared with the iron oil group. It was concluded that dietary antioxidants can restore the iron-induced oxidative effects in the colon (95). Infants receiving high doses of iron through iron-fortified complementary food or medicinal iron may be at risk of reactive oxygen species generation and thus of intestinal inflammation. To our knowledge, no studies have assessed the effect of iron fortification on oxidative stress and intestinal inflammation in infants and children.

## 2.12.2 Iron and cancer

Experimental animal studies have substantiated the carcinogenicity of iron by showing that iron may originate and facilitate carcinogenesis by causing free radical production and promoting neoplasm proliferation (96). In addition, iron acts directly by activation of transcription factors responsible for cell proliferation causing dysregulation of cell growth (97). Evidence from cohort studies has found a positive association between high iron stores and the risk of cancer and cancer mortality (98, 99). Mainous et al, found that participants with normal iron intake did not have higher rates of cancer despite their high transferrin concentration levels (100). Moreover, it has been found that a daily intake of iron of more than 18 mg is associated with an increased risk of cancer development (101). A causal relationship was observed in some studies between decreasing iron stores by blood donation and a lower risk of cancer (102, 103). In the NHANES I study, the risk of colorectal cancer development was positively associated with high dietary and body iron

stores (104). Iron overload has also been associated with colonic precancerous lesions, adenoma, and polyps (105, 106). Data similarly support the observation of excess iron and an increased risk of colorectal cancer in ulcerative colitis patients receiving therapeutic iron supplementation (107).

### 2.13 Conclusion

There is substantial evidence to support the negative effects of ID on neurodevelopmental outcomes in childhood. Therefore, it is of high importance to insure adequate iron intake during infancy by either complementary feeding or medicinal supplementation. Currently, there is no routine screening for ID in infants, particularly breastfed infants. Serum ferritin is the best representative indicator of iron stores. It may be necessary to develop a screening score to identify infants at risk and target them for supplementation. Recent advances in knowledge have led to greater understanding of iron metabolism, which may direct future research of iron fortification strategies. The lack of evidence in relation to iron supplementation and oxidative stress calls for further research to assess the implications of iron supplementation on the oxidative stress status of infants and children. In future, multicenter trials with long-term follow-up of infant populations are needed to investigate the association between iron fortification/supplementation and various health outcomes such as growth, iron status and intestinal inflammation.

## 2.14 Conflict of interest and funding

The authors have not received any funding or benefits from industry or elsewhere to conduct this study.

## 2.15 Acknowledgment

Special thanks to the University of Manitoba for providing us all facilities for carrying out this bibliographic search.

## 2.16 References

 Black RE, Allen LH, Bhutta ZA, Caulfield LE, de Onis M, Ezzati M, et al. Maternal and child undernutrition: global and regional exposures and health consequences. Lancet.
 2008 Jan 19;371(9608):243-60.

2. Lozoff B, Jimenez E, Wolf AW. Long-term developmental outcome of infants with iron deficiency. N Engl J Med. 1991 Sep 5;325(10):687-94.

3. Grantham-McGregor S, Ani C. A review of studies on the effect of iron deficiency on cognitive development in children. J Nutr. 2001 Feb;131(2S-2):649S-66S; discussion 66S-68S.

4. Pasricha SR, Drakesmith H, Black J, Hipgrave D, Biggs BA. Control of iron deficiency anemia in low- and middle-income countries. Blood. 2013 Apr 4;121(14):2607-17.

5. Dorea JG. Iron and copper in human milk. Nutrition. 2000 Mar;16(3):209-20.

6. Picciano MF. Nutrient composition of human milk. Pediatr Clin North Am. 2001Feb;48(1):53-67.

7. Dewey KG, Chaparro CM. Session 4: Mineral metabolism and body composition iron status of breast-fed infants. Proc Nutr Soc. 2007 Aug;66(3):412-22.

8. Health Canada, Canadian Paediatric Society, Dietitians of Canada, BreastfeedingCommittee for Canada. Nutrition for healthy term infants: recommendations from birth tosix months. Can J Diet Pract Res : a publication of Dietitians of Canada = Revue

canadienne de la pratique et de la recherche en dietetique : une publication des Dietetistes du Canada. 2012 Winter;73(4):204.

9. Eidelman AI. Breastfeeding and the use of human milk: an analysis of the American Academy of Pediatrics 2012 Breastfeeding Policy Statement. Breastfeed Med. 2012 Oct;7(5):323-4.

10. Gartner LM, Morton J, Lawrence RA, Naylor AJ, O'Hare D, Schanler RJ, et al. Breastfeeding and the use of human milk. Pediatrics. 2005 Feb;115(2):496-506.

11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Pediatrics and Pregnancy Nutrition

Surveillance System. 2009 [cited 2013 November 14]; Available from:

http://www.cdc.gov/pednss/glossary.htm.

12. World Health Organization. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and related Health Problems. 2004 [cited 2013 December 14]; Available from:

http://www.who.int/classifications/icd/ICD-10\_2nd\_ed\_volume2.pdf.

13. Butte NF. Energy requirements of infants and children. Nestle Nutr Workshop Ser Pediatr Program. 2006;58:19-32; discussion 3-7.

14. Butte NFL-A, M. G. Garza, C. Nutrient adequacy of exclusive breastfeeding for the term infant during the first six months of life. Geneva. World Health Organization. 2002 [cited 2013 November 17]; Available from:

http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/infantfeeding/9241562110/en/.

15. Chalmers B, Levitt C, Heaman M, O'Brien B, Sauve R, Kaczorowski J, et al. Breastfeeding rates and hospital breastfeeding practices in Canada: a national survey of women. Birth. 2009 Jun;36(2):122-32. 16. World Health Organization. Global strategy for infant and young child feeding.Geneva: World Health Organization; 2003 [cited 2013 September 17]; Available from: http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/infantfeeding/9241562218/en/.

17. World Health Organization. Global Strategy for Infant and Young Child Feeding, The

Optimal Duration of Exclusive Breastfeeding. Geneva: WHO. 2001 [cited 2013 October

7]; Available from: http://www.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf\_files/WHA54/ea54id4.pdf.

18. Health Canada. Exclusive Breastfeeding Duration, 2004 Health Canada

Recommendation. 2004 [cited 2013 September 11]; Available from: http://www.hc-

sc.gc.ca/fn-an/nutrition/infant-nourisson/excl\_bf\_dur-dur\_am\_excl-eng.php.

19. Nutrition ECo, Agostoni C, Braegger C, Decsi T, Kolacek S, Koletzko B, et al. Breast-feeding: A commentary by the ESPGHAN Committee on Nutrition. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2009 Jul;49(1):112-25.

20. United Kingdom Department of Health. Infant Feeding Recommendation. 2003 [cited2013 September 15]; Available from:

http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGu idance/DH\_4097197.

21. NHMRC. Infant Feeding Guidelines: information for health workers (2012). 2012[cited 2013 September 12]; Available from:

http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/guidelines/publications/n56.

22. New Zealand Ministry Of Health. National Strategic Plan of Action for Breastfeeding 2008-2012. 2009 [cited 2013 September 12]; Available from:

http://www.health.govt.nz/publication/national-strategic-plan-action-breastfeeding-2008-2012.

23. United States Department of Agriculture Food and Nutrition Service (2010). Infant Nutrition and Feeding: A Guide for Use in the WIC and CSF Programs. 2010 [cited 2013 October 2]; Available from:

http://wicworks.nal.usda.gov/nal\_display/index.php?info\_center=12&tax\_level=2&tax\_s ubject=624&level3\_id=0&level4\_id=0&level5\_id=0&topic\_id=2625&&placement\_defa ult=0.

24. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Recommendations to prevent and control iron deficiency in the United States. 1998 [cited 2013 October 2]; Available from: www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00051880.htm.

25. Agostoni C, Decsi T, Fewtrell M, Goulet O, Kolacek S, Koletzko B, et al.

Complementary feeding: a commentary by the ESPGHAN Committee on Nutrition. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2008 Jan;46(1):99-110.

26. McDermid JM, Lonnerdal B. Iron. Adv Nutr. 2012 Jul;3(4):532-3.

27. Reilly C. The Nutritional Trace Metals. Oxford: Weily-Blackwell; 2006.

28. Tran PV, Fretham SJ, Carlson ES, Georgieff MK. Long-term reduction of hippocampal brain-derived neurotrophic factor activity after fetal-neonatal iron deficiency in adult rats. Pediatr Res. 2009 May;65(5):493-8.

29. Domellof M, Lonnerdal B, Abrams SA, Hernell O. Iron absorption in breast-fed infants: effects of age, iron status, iron supplements, and complementary foods. Am J Clin Nutr. 2002 Jul;76(1):198-204.

30. Pigeon C, Ilyin G, Courselaud B, Leroyer P, Turlin B, Brissot P, et al. A new mouse liver-specific gene, encoding a protein homologous to human antimicrobial peptide

hepcidin, is overexpressed during iron overload. J Biol Chem. 2001 Mar16;276(11):7811-9.

31. Hugman A. Hepcidin: an important new regulator of iron homeostasis. Clin Lab Haematol. 2006 Apr;28(2):75-83.

32. Qiu A, Jansen M, Sakaris A, Min SH, Chattopadhyay S, Tsai E, Sandoval C, Zhao R, Akabas MH, Goldman ID 2006 Identification of an intestinal folate transporter and the molecular basis for hereditary folate malabsorption. Cell 127:917-928.

33. Qiu A, Min SH, Jansen M, Malhotra U, Tsai E, Cabelof DC, Matherly LH, Zhao R, Akabas MH, Goldman ID 2007 Rodent intestinal folate transporters (SLC46A1): secondary structure, functional properties, and response to dietary folate restriction. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 293:C1669-1678.

34. Laftah AH, Latunde-Dada GO, Fakih S, Hider RC, Simpson RJ, McKie AT 2009 Haem and folate transport by proton-coupled folate transporter/haem carrier protein 1 (SLC46A1). Br J Nutr 101:1150-1156.

35. Lopez V, Suzuki YA, Lonnerdal B. Ontogenic changes in lactoferrin receptor and DMT1 in mouse small intestine: implications for iron absorption during early life.Biochem Cell Biol. 2006 Jun;84(3):337-44.

36. Institue Of Medicine. Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin A, Vitamin K, Arsenic, Boron, Chromium, Copper, Iodine, Iron, Manganese, Molybdenum, Nickel, Silicon, Vanadium, and Zinc (2001). 2001 [cited 2013 December 17]; Available from: http://www.iom.edu/Activities/Nutrition/SummaryDRIs/DRI-Tables.aspx.

37. World Health Organization. Iron deficiency anemia: assessment, prevention and control. A guide for programme managers. 2001 [October 4]; Available from:

http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/micronutrients/anaemia\_iron\_deficiency/WHO \_NHD\_01.3/en/.

38. Angulo-Barroso RM, Peirano P, Algarin C, Kaciroti N, Lozoff B. Motor Activity and intra-individual variability according to sleep-wake states in preschool-aged children with iron-deficiency anemia in infancy. Early Hum Dev. 2013 Dec;89(12):1025-31.

39. Lozoff B, Smith JB, Kaciroti N, Clark KM, Guevara S, Jimenez E. Functional significance of early-life iron deficiency: outcomes at 25 years. J Pediatr. 2013 Nov;163(5):1260-6.

40. Lozoff B. Iron deficiency and child development. Food Nutr Bull. 2007 Dec;28(4 Suppl):S560-71.

41. Beard J, Erikson KM, Jones BC. Neonatal iron deficiency results in irreversible changes in dopamine function in rats. J Nutr. 2003 Apr;133(4):1174-9.

42. Connor JR, Menzies SL. Relationship of iron to oligodendrocytes and myelination. Glia. 1996 Jun;17(2):83-93.

43. Georgieff MK. Long-term brain and behavioral consequences of early iron deficiency. Nutr Rev. 2011 Nov;69 Suppl 1:S43-8.

44. Beard J. Iron deficiency alters brain development and functioning. J Nutr. 2003 May;133(5 Suppl 1):1468S-72S.

45. Logan S, Martins S, Gilbert R. Iron therapy for improving psychomotor development and cognitive function in children under the age of three with iron deficiency anaemia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2001 (2):CD001444. 46. Lozoff B, Beard J, Connor J, Barbara F, Georgieff M, Schallert T. Long-lasting neural and behavioral effects of iron deficiency in infancy. Nutr Rev. 2006 May;64(5 Pt 2):S34-43; discussion S72-91.

47. Lozoff B, Jimenez E, Hagen J, Mollen E, Wolf AW. Poorer behavioral and developmental outcome more than 10 years after treatment for iron deficiency in infancy. Pediatrics. 2000 Apr;105(4):E51.

48. McLean E, Cogswell M, Egli I, Wojdyla D, de Benoist B. Worldwide prevalence of anaemia, WHO Vitamin and Mineral Nutrition Information System, 1993-2005. Public Health Nutr. 2009 Apr;12(4):444-54.

49. Hartfield D. Iron deficiency is a public health problem in Canadian infants and children. Paediatr Child Health. 2010 Jul;15(6):347-50.

50. Thane CW, Walmsley CM, Bates CJ, Prentice A, Cole TJ. Risk factors for poor iron status in British toddlers: further analysis of data from the National Diet and Nutrition Survey of children aged 1.5-4.5 years. Public Health Nutr. 2000 Dec;3(4):433-40.

51. Ziegler EE, Nelson SE, Jeter JM. Iron supplementation of breastfed infants. Nutr Rev.2011 Nov;69 Suppl 1:S71-7.

52. Meinzen-Derr JK, Guerrero ML, Altaye M, Ortega-Gallegos H, Ruiz-Palacios GM, Morrow AL. Risk of infant anemia is associated with exclusive breast-feeding and maternal anemia in a Mexican cohort. J Nutr. 2006 Feb;136(2):452-8.

53. Ornoy A. Growth and neurodevelopmental outcome of children born to mothers with pregestational and gestational diabetes. Pediatr Endocrinol Rev. 2005 Dec;3(2):104-13.

54. Karaduman D, Ergin H, Kilic I. Serum ferritin, iron levels and iron binding capacity in asymmetric SGA babies. Turk J Pediatr. 2001 Apr-Jun;43(2):121-4.

55. Chockalingam UM, Murphy E, Ophoven JC, Weisdorf SA, Georgieff MK. Cord transferrin and ferritin values in newborn infants at risk for prenatal uteroplacental insufficiency and chronic hypoxia. J Pediatr. 1987 Aug;111(2):283-6.

56. Rao R, Georgieff MK. Perinatal aspects of iron metabolism. Acta Paediatr Suppl. 2002;91(438):124-9.

57. Innis SM, Nelson CM, Wadsworth LD, MacLaren IA, Lwanga D. Incidence of irondeficiency anaemia and depleted iron stores among nine-month-old infants in Vancouver, Canada. Can J Public Health. 1997 Mar-Apr;88(2):80-4.

58. Friel JK, Aziz K, Andrews WL, Harding SV, Courage ML, Adams RJ. A doublemasked, randomized control trial of iron supplementation in early infancy in healthy term breast-fed infants. J Pediatr. 2003 Nov;143(5):582-6.

59. Christofides A, Schauer C, Zlotkin SH. Iron deficiency and anemia prevalence and associated etiologic risk factors in First Nations and Inuit communities in Northern Ontario and Nunavut. Can J Public Health. 2005 Jul-Aug;96(4):304-7.

60. Willows ND, Dewailly E, Gray-Donald K. Anemia and iron status in Inuit infants from northern Quebec. Can J Public Health. 2000 Nov-Dec;91(6):407-10.

61. Hay G, Sandstad B, Whitelaw A, Borch-Iohnsen B. Iron status in a group of

Norwegian children aged 6-24 months. Acta Paediatr. 2004 May;93(5):592-8.

62. Looker AC, Dallman PR, Carroll MD, Gunter EW, Johnson CL. Prevalence of iron deficiency in the United States. JAMA. 1997 Mar 26;277(12):973-6.

63. Makrides M, Leeson R, Gibson R, Simmer K. A randomized controlled clinical trial of increased dietary iron in breast-fed infants. J Pediatr. 1998 Oct;133(4):559-62.

64. Aggett PJ, Agostoni C, Axelsson I, Bresson JL, Goulet O, Hernell O, et al. Iron metabolism and requirements in early childhood: do we know enough?: a commentary by the ESPGHAN Committee on Nutrition. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2002 Apr;34(4):337-45.

65. Kibangou IB, Bouhallab S, Henry G, Bureau F, Allouche S, Blais A, et al. Milk proteins and iron absorption: contrasting effects of different caseinophosphopeptides. Pediatr Res. 2005 Oct;58(4):731-4.

66. Friel JK, Hanning RM, Isaak CA, Prowse D, Miller AC. Canadian infants' nutrient intakes from complementary foods during the first year of life. BMC Pediatr. 2010;10:43.
67. Davidsson L, Kastenmayer P, Szajewska H, Hurrell RF, Barclay D. Iron bioavailability in infants from an infant cereal fortified with ferric pyrophosphate or ferrous fumarate. Am J Clin Nutr. 2000 Jun;71(6):1597-602.

68. Domellof M, Cohen RJ, Dewey KG, Hernell O, Rivera LL, Lonnerdal B. Iron supplementation of breast-fed Honduran and Swedish infants from 4 to 9 months of age. J Pediatr. 2001 May;138(5):679-87.

69. Tuthill DP, Cosgrove M, Dunstan F, Stuart ML, Wells JC, Davies DP. Randomized double-blind controlled trial on the effects on iron status in the first year between a no added iron and standard infant formula received for three months. Acta Paediatr. 2002;91(2):119-24.

70. Baqui AH, Walker CL, Zaman K, El Arifeen S, Chowdhury HR, Wahed MA, et al. Weekly iron supplementation does not block increases in serum zinc due to weekly zinc supplementation in Bangladeshi infants. J Nutr. 2005 Sep;135(9):2187-91. 71. Giovannini M, Sala D, Usuelli M, Livio L, Francescato G, Braga M, et al. Double-blind, placebo-controlled trial comparing effects of supplementation with two different combinations of micronutrients delivered as sprinkles on growth, anemia, and iron deficiency in cambodian infants. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2006 Mar;42(3):306-12.
72. Bressler JP, Olivi L, Cheong JH, Kim Y, Bannona D. Divalent metal transporter 1 in

lead and cadmium transport. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2004 Mar;1012:142-52.

73. Domellof M. Iron requirements, absorption and metabolism in infancy and childhood.Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2007 May;10(3):329-35.

74. Zimmermann MB, Muthayya S, Moretti D, Kurpad A, Hurrell RF. Iron fortification reduces blood lead levels in children in Bangalore, India. Pediatrics. 2006 Jun;117(6):2014-21.

75. Fischer Walker C, Kordas K, Stoltzfus RJ, Black RE. Interactive effects of iron and zinc on biochemical and functional outcomes in supplementation trials. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005 Jul;82(1):5-12.

76. Sharp P. The molecular basis of copper and iron interactions. Proc Nutr Soc. 2004 Nov;63(4):563-9.

77. Domellof M, Dewey KG, Cohen RJ, Lonnerdal B, Hernell O. Iron supplements reduce erythrocyte copper-zinc superoxide dismutase activity in term, breastfed infants. Acta Paediatr. 2005 Nov;94(11):1578-82.

78. National Institutes of Health. Optimal Calcium Intake. 1994 [cited 2013 November
9]; Available from: http://consensus.nih.gov/1994/1994optimalcalcium097html.htm.
79. Hallberg L, Brune M, Rossander L. Iron absorption in man: ascorbic acid and dosedependent inhibition by phytate. Am J Clin Nutr. 1989 Jan;49(1):140-4.

80. Hurrell RF, Reddy MB, Juillerat MA, Cook JD. Degradation of phytic acid in cereal porridges improves iron absorption by human subjects. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003 May;77(5):1213-9.

81. Thankachan P, Walczyk T, Muthayya S, Kurpad AV, Hurrell RF. Iron absorption in young Indian women: the interaction of iron status with the influence of tea and ascorbic acid. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008 Apr;87(4):881-6.

82. Diaz M, Rosado JL, Allen LH, Abrams S, Garcia OP. The efficacy of a local ascorbic acid-rich food in improving iron absorption from Mexican diets: a field study using stable isotopes. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003 Sep;78(3):436-40.

83. Baech SB, Hansen M, Bukhave K, Jensen M, Sorensen SS, Kristensen L, et al. Nonheme-iron absorption from a phytate-rich meal is increased by the addition of small amounts of pork meat. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003 Jan;77(1):173-9.

84. Reddy MB, Hurrell RF, Cook JD. Meat consumption in a varied diet marginally influences nonheme iron absorption in normal individuals. J Nutr. 2006 Mar;136(3):576-81.

85. Berg D, Gerlach M, Youdim MB, Double KL, Zecca L, Riederer P, et al. Brain iron pathways and their relevance to Parkinson's disease. J Neurochem. 2001 Oct;79(2):225-36.

86. Siah CW, Trinder D, Olynyk JK. Iron overload. Clin Chim Acta. 2005 Aug;358(1-2):24-36.

87. Bush AI, Curtain CC. Twenty years of metallo-neurobiology: where to now? Eur Biophys J. 2008 Mar;37(3):241-5.

88. Babbs CF. Free radicals and the etiology of colon cancer. Free Radic Biol Med.1990;8(2):191-200.

89. Valko M, Morris H, Cronin MT. Metals, toxicity and oxidative stress. Curr Med Chem. 2005;12(10):1161-208.

90. Halliwell B, Gutteridge JM. Role of free radicals and catalytic metal ions in human disease: an overview. Methods Enzymol. 1990;186:1-85.

91. Walter PB, Knutson MD, Paler-Martinez A, Lee S, Xu Y, Viteri FE, et al. Iron deficiency and iron excess damage mitochondria and mitochondrial DNA in rats. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002 Feb 19;99(4):2264-9.

92. Lund EK, Fairweather-Tait SJ, Wharf SG, Johnson IT. Chronic exposure to high levels of dietary iron fortification increases lipid peroxidation in the mucosa of the rat large intestine. J Nutr. 2001 Nov;131(11):2928-31.

93. Toblli JE, Cao G, Olivieri L, Angerosa M. Comparative study of gastrointestinal tract and liver toxicity of ferrous sulfate, iron amino chelate and iron polymaltose complex in normal rats. Pharmacology. 2008;82(2):127-37.

94. Lund EK, Wharf SG, Fairweather-Tait SJ, Johnson IT. Oral ferrous sulfate supplements increase the free radical-generating capacity of feces from healthy volunteers. Am J Clin Nutr. 1999 Feb;69(2):250-5.

95. Orozco MN, Solomons NW, Schumann K, Friel JK, de Montenegro AL. Antioxidantrich oral supplements attenuate the effects of oral iron on in situ oxidation susceptibility of human feces. J Nutr. 2010 Jun;140(6):1105-10.

96. Ullen H, Augustsson K, Gustavsson C, Steineck G. Supplementary iron intake and risk of cancer: reversed causality? Cancer Lett. 1997 Mar 19;114(1-2):215-6.

97. Valko M, Rhodes CJ, Moncol J, Izakovic M, Mazur M. Free radicals, metals and antioxidants in oxidative stress-induced cancer. Chem Biol Interact. 2006 Mar 10;160(1):1-40.

98. Zacharski LR, Ornstein DL, Woloshin S, Schwartz LM. Association of age, sex, and race with body iron stores in adults: analysis of NHANES III data. Am Heart J. 2000 Jul;140(1):98-104.

99. Mainous AG, 3rd, Gill JM, Carek PJ. Elevated serum transferrin saturation and mortality. Ann Fam Med. 2004 Mar-Apr;2(2):133-8.

100. Mainous AG, 3rd, Wells B, Carek PJ, Gill JM, Geesey ME. The mortality risk of elevated serum transferrin saturation and consumption of dietary iron. Ann Fam Med. 2004 Mar-Apr;2(2):139-44.

101. Mainous AG, 3rd, Gill JM, Everett CJ. Transferrin saturation, dietary iron intake, and risk of cancer. Ann Fam Med. 2005 Mar-Apr;3(2):131-7.

102. Edgren G, Reilly M, Hjalgrim H, Tran TN, Rostgaard K, Adami J, et al. Donation frequency, iron loss, and risk of cancer among blood donors. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2008 Apr 16;100(8):572-9.

103. Merk K, Mattsson B, Mattsson A, Holm G, Gullbring B, Bjorkholm M. The incidence of cancer among blood donors. Int J Epidemiol. 1990 Sep;19(3):505-9.
104. Wurzelmann JI, Silver A, Schreinemachers DM, Sandler RS, Everson RB. Iron intake and the risk of colorectal cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 1996 Jul;5(7):503-7.

105. Bird CL, Witte JS, Swendseid ME, Shikany JM, Hunt IF, Frankl HD, et al. Plasma ferritin, iron intake, and the risk of colorectal polyps. Am J Epidemiol. 1996 Jul 1;144(1):34-41.

106. Nelson RL, Davis FG, Sutter E, Sobin LH, Kikendall JW, Bowen P. Body iron stores and risk of colonic neoplasia. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1994 Mar 16;86(6):455-60.
107. Campbell S, Ghosh S. Ulcerative colitis and colon cancer: strategies for cancer prevention. Dig Dis. 2002;20(1):38-48.

## **BRIDGE TO CHAPTER III**

The following chapter comprises a manuscript which provides a review of the available literature on the research of the complementary foods with a focus on fortified cereal and meat and their effects on infants iron status and other health outcomes that were introduced in chapter II. This chapter further shows the limited evidence available regarding the complementary foods and confirm the existence of knowledge gap in the relation between iron, oxidative stress and inflammation that was discussed in chapter II. Wafaa Qasem was the principle manuscript author and James Friel supervised and guided the preparation of the manuscript.

## **CHAPTER III**

## **MANUSCRIPT 2: LITERATURE REVIEW**

# THE RECOMMENDED FIRST COMPLEMENTARY FOODS: A REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

This manuscript was published in the Journal of Pediatrics & Neonatal Care (2015).

Qasem W, Friel J (2015) The Recommended First Complementary Foods: A Review of

the Literature. J Pediatr Neonatal Care 2(2): 00069. DOI: 10.15406/jpnc.2015.02.00069

Wafaa Qasem, James Friel

Department of Human Nutritional Sciences, University of Manitoba

Richardson Centre for Functional Foods and Nutraceuticals, 196 Innovation Drive,

Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada, R3T 2N2

Corresponding author: Wafaa Qasem

Richardson Centre for Functional Foods and Nutraceuticals

196 Innovation Drive, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada, R3T 6C5

Email: <u>qasemw@myumanitoba.ca</u>

Co-author: James Friel

Richardson Centre for Functional Foods and Nutraceuticals

196 Innovation Drive, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada, R3T 2N2

Email: James.Friel@umanitoba.ca

## **3.1 Abstract**

**Background:** During infancy, adequate nutrition is essential to allow the healthy growth and development of infants to their full potential. Nutritional deficits, particularly iron deficiency, during this critical period increase the risk of illness and long-term developmental impairment. Therefore, international organizations, including Health Canada, recommend that infants should be primarily introduced to iron-rich complementary foods (CFs), such as iron-fortified cereal and meat, to meet their iron requirements and to prevent growth retardation. **Objectives:** This review examines the available research on the recommended first CFs and their effects on various health outcomes, especially iron status. **Conclusions:** The studies on meat evaluated in this review were inconsistent in their findings regarding the improvement of iron status, although the consumption of meat had positive effects on growth and other health outcomes. Studies on fortified cereals reported an improvement in iron status and possible growth-promoting effects. Further large-scale multicenter trials are needed to support the current findings and to investigate the long-term benefits of these recommended CFs.

## **3.2 Introduction**

During infancy, adequate nutrition is essential to allow the healthy growth and development of infants to their full potential. Nutritional deficits, particularly iron deficiency, during this critical period increase the risk of illness and long-term developmental impairment (1-3). Therefore, optimal infant feeding practices of exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) up to 6 months of age followed by the introduction of nutritionally adequate complementary foods (CFs) are highly important to ensure the disease-free and healthy development of the growing infant (4).

At about 6 months of age, full-term EBF infants are at risk of developing iron deficiency (ID) because of the large amounts of iron needed for growth and the low concentartion of iron in breast milk (5-7). Thus, Health Canada recommends that infants should be primarily introduced to iron-rich foods to meet their iron requirements (8). Its statement reinforced the introduction of iron-fortified infant cereals as well as meat and meat alternatives. A survey showed that iron-fortified cereals were the most common first CFs while meats were the least common CFs introduced to Canadian infants (9). It is widely known that the non-heme iron of iron-fortified cereal has an absorption rate of <5% and whereas heme iron available from meats is better absorbed (>35%) (10). In addition to iron, there are other nutrients that need to be obtained from these first CFs to assure the normal development of infants. Thus, an understanding of the efficiency of these first foods in satisfying the developmental needs for this age group is essential. In this literature review, we provide an overview of the existing research on infant complementary feeding, with a focus on fortified cereal and meat, in relation to iron status and other health outcomes.

## 3.3 Results and discussion

#### 3.3.1 Meat as a first complementary food

As a good source of bioavailable iron and zinc as well as vitamins  $B_6$  and  $B_{12}$ , meat has been recommended as an appropriate early CF by Health Canada (11, 12). However, a Canadian survey by Friel et al. showed that <10% of 6- to 9-month old infants consume meats (13). In the United States, the Infant Feeding Practices II study found that meat was the least common first solid food introduced to infants (14). In a survey conducted in four countries; Guatemala, Democratic Republic of Congo, Zambia, and Pakistan, Krebs et al. found that <25% of breastfed infants consumed meat regularly (15). In Germany, only 15% of infants received meat as a first CF (16). Nonetheless, there is increasing agreement regarding the health and growth advantages of meat as the first CF (15). However, the literature contains few studies of the potential benefits of the introduction of meat as a CF. Moreover, the age of the studied population was highly variable (4 months to 24 months), as was the mode of feeding (human milk vs. formula) and the outcome measures (iron status, growth, microbiome, etc.).

## 3.3.1.1 Observational studies

The few studies on the benefits of meat introduction have been conducted in developing and developed countries. For example, in a cross-sectional survey of 12- to 24-month old Indian children, there was a positive association between length-for-age scores and parental education and meat consumption (17). In another study, conducted on breastfed infants and toddlers in Peru, linear growth was positively associated with the intake of meats (18). In a prospective cohort study conducted in the United Kingdom, 144 full-term infants were recruited at 4 months of age and followed until they reached 24 months of age. Meat consumption of 28.3 g/day from 4 to 12 months was positively associated with weight gain (p < 0.05), and psychomotor development (p < 0.02) (19). Similar observations were reported in a Danish study, which showed an association between high protein intake and weight gain (p = 0.03) (20). In that study, however, in another 198 children, there was no association between iron status parameters, hemoglobin, and zinc concentrations and the intake of meat. When the relation between these parameters and the various diet groups was further explored using the chi-squared test, a significant

inverse relationship was determined between low serum iron and meat intake at 12 months of age (p < 0.023) (21). In the cross-sectional study by Krebs et al., meat consumption was associated with a reduced likelihood of stunting (odds ratio = 0.64; 95% confidence interval, 0.46-0.90) (15). In a retrospective study, data from the China Food and Nutrition Surveillance System indicated that the risk of the non-consumption of animal-source food resulting in stunting and underweight was 28.2% and 11.7%, respectively (22). In a multi-country prospective cohort study (the Global Exploration of Human Milk) 365 breast fed infants from the United States (Cincinnati), Mexico (Mexico City) and China (Shanghai) were followed from birth until 2 years of age to examine the growth and health outcomes in relation to breast milk and CF consumption. High-protein foods (meat, eggs, legumes) were introduced earlier among Chinese infants (4.8 months) than among Mexican infants (7.0 months) and American infants (9.3 months; p =0.0001). Infants in Shanghai had a significantly higher increase in their anthropometric measurements (weight-for age z-score, length-for age z-score, weight-for-length z-score, BMI-for-age z-score, head circumference-for-age z-score) at 1 year of age than infants in Cincinnati and Mexico City (p < 0.001). However, an association between earlier highprotein food introduction and anthropometric scores at 1 year of age could not be established with the exception of a longer duration of EBF, which was associated with lower weight-for age z-score (p < 0.05) (23). The authors concluded that the specific feeding practices did not explain the differences in the growth measurements.

## 3.3.1.2 Interventional studies

A number of nutritional intervention trials have targeted the improvement and diversification of complementary feeding practices through nutritional education provided

to caregivers. For example, in Peru, conveying a message to introduce liver, eggs, and fish into the infant diet resulted in a significant reduction of stunting (24). In a recent randomized controlled trail, 85 EBF Colombian infants were randomly assigned either to a group in which caregivers received recommendations that emphasized meat consumption three times per week (new guidelines group) or to a group in which caregivers received the usual advice on solid-food introduction (control group). At 12 months of age, there was a significant increase in the hemoglobin and the hematocrit levels within the new guidelines group (p = 0.01, p = 0.03 respectively). However, there were no significant differences between the two groups with respect to linear growth and zinc levels (25). A clinical study in Denmark randomized 8-month old breastfed infants either to low or high meat-feeding groups for 8 weeks. Infants in the high meat-feeding group had higher serum hemoglobin concentrations than infants in the low meat-feeding group (26). A trial in the United States randomized 88 EBF infants at 4 months of age to receive either pureed meat or iron- fortified cereal. Infants in the meat group had a greater increase in head circumference than infants in the cereal group. Zinc absorption from a test meal was 16-fold higher in the meat group than in the cereal group (27). Table 1 summarizes the clinical feeding interventions in infants in which meat was the CF. Over all, the studies have suggested both the positive effects and the acceptability of meat as a first CF.

Author/year	Country	Ν	Age (mo)	Duration (mo)	Mode of feeding	Intervention	Outcome measures	Results
Dube et al. 2010 (28)	Germany	132	4-6	4	Predominantly BF	Low meat (15.2g/portion) vs high meat (20g/portion)	Iron status parameters: Hb, hematocrit, mean cell volume, mean cell Hb, ferritin, transferrin receptors zinc protoporphyrin, serum iron	- No sig differences founded between the groups in all iron status parameters
Engelmann et al. 1998 (26)	Denmark	41	8	2	Partially BF	Low meat (10g/d) vs high meat (27g/d)	Iron status parameters, serum zinc, growth, illness	<ul> <li>Sig difference in the decline of Hb in the low meat group (-4.9 g/l, p&lt;0.001)</li> <li>No sig decline in the Hb of the high meat group</li> <li>No sig differences in the other iron status parameters, serum zinc, growth parameters, illness</li> </ul>
Jalla et al. 2002 (29)	USA	18	5-6	1	EBF	Iron fortified cereal (55 Kcal/d) vs pureed beef (65 Kcal/d)	Zinc absorption	Absorbed zinc was sig higher from beef than from cereal $(p < 0.001)$

## Table 1. Summary of the clinical feeding interventions with meat

(Table I con	tinued)							
Krebs et al. 2012 (30)	DR of Congo, Zambia, Guatemala, Pakistan	1062	6	12	EBF	Micronutrient fortified rice-soy cereal (~20g/d) vs meat (30g/d)	Growth, micronutrient status, dietary diversity, neurocognitive development, occurrence of infectious disease	<ul> <li>The linear growth (primary outcome) did not differ sig between the groups.</li> <li>Sig more consumption of food groups among infants in meat group.</li> <li>No sig differences between the groups in infectious disease occurrence.</li> <li>No sig differences in Bayley Scales of infant development were observed.</li> <li>ID was significantly lower in the cereal group (<i>p</i>=0.001).</li> </ul>
Krebs et al. 2012 (31)	USA	45	6	5	EBF	Zinc-iron-fortified cereal (~15g/d) vs organic whole grain iron fortified cereal (~15g/d) vs pureed meat (~71g/d)	Zinc status biochemical markers: fractional absorption of zinc, total absorbed zinc, exchangeable zinc pool size	<ul> <li>Sig higher zinc intake for the meat and zinc-iron-fortified cereal groups than for iron-fortified group.</li> <li>Sig higher total absorbed zinc amount was observed for the meat group than the other groups (<i>p</i>&lt;0.027).</li> <li>Sig association between exchangeable zinc pool size and both zinc intake and total absorbed zinc (<i>r</i>=0.43, <i>p</i>&lt;0.01; <i>r</i>=0.54, <i>p</i>&lt;0.001 respectively).</li> <li>Sig higher fractional absorption of zinc from CF in the iron-fortified cereal group than in the other groups (<i>p</i>=0.003).</li> </ul>

- -

(Table 1 continued)									
Krebs et al. 2006 (27)	USA	88	5	2	EBF	Iron fortified rice cereal (mean±SE:90±25 Kcal/d) vs pureed beef (mean±SE:80±20 Kcal/d) at 5 mo	Growth, iron status, zinc level, Bayley Scales of Infant Development.	<ul> <li>Sig higher increase in head circumference among infants in meat group (<i>p</i>=0.02).</li> <li>No sig differences in the other anthropometric measurements between the groups.</li> <li>No sig difference in iron and zinc status between the groups.</li> <li>Developmental scores did not differ between the groups.</li> </ul>	
N.B: $BF = b$ sig = signific	reastfed, CF = cant	= comp	lementa	ry food, EB	F = exclusiv	rely breastfed, Hb = hen	noglobin, ID = iro	n deficiency, $mo = month$ ,	

#### 3.3.2 Iron-fortified cereal as the first complementary food

Because of high iron requirements of infants, iron fortification of infant cereal has become an important vehicle to meet the iron needs of the growing infant (32). However, regardless of its fortification, cereal is a low-fat and a low-energy diet and contains phytate (33). Iron-fortified cereal has been the typical first CF offered to infants in Canada and other countries (13, 34). Fruits and vegetables are also commonly introduced after cereal, despite being a poor source of iron. At the end of the infant's first year of life, protein sources are introduced gradually. This complementary feeding practice for the breastfed infant is not unique to Canada but also prevails in both developed and developing countries (34). However, the typical unfortified plant-based cereal may lead to iron and zinc deficiencies if consumed alone without supplements (35). In various African and Asian countries, the introduction of homemade plant-based cereal is a common practice owing to its affordability; however, foods such as maize porridges are low in iron, zinc, and vitamin A (33, 36). Therefore, the fortification of these lownutrient- density foods has been adopted as a strategy to address micronutrient deficiency and malnutrition in developing countries. In developed countries, the fortification of food is the primary strategy to prevent micronutrient deficiency in infants, and improvements in the rate of anemia and growth have been documented (37). In 2003, a joint statement between the Pan American Health Organization and the WHO was published to provide consistent child-feeding guidelines (35). These addressed EBF duration and the age at which solids should be introduced, breastfeeding maintenance, responsive feeding, the preparation and storage of CFs, the amount and consistency of CFs, CF feeding frequency, the energy density of CFs, their nutrient content, the use of vitamin and

mineral supplements and fortified food for mothers and infants, and feeding throughout and after illness. Among the guidelines on CFs, it was recommended that breastfed infants living in industrialized countries consume 130 kcal/day at 6-8 months, 310 kcal/day at 9-11 months, and 580 kcal/day at 12-23 months of age. A gradual increase in food consistency, variety, and frequency with increasing infant age was also recommended, as was the daily consumption of meat, poultry, fish, eggs, fruits, and vegetables. The guidelines supported the use of fortified CFs for the infant as needed.

## 3.3.2.1 Observational studies

Infant feeding practices and the factors that influence them, such as maternal education, formula introduction, and breastfeeding initiation, have been investigated in a small number of studies (38-40). In developing countries, most of the observational studies examined the association between local complementary feeding practices and growth parameters, including stunting. In a cross-sectional study conducted on breastfed Malawian children, both feeding practices and the nutritional quality of CF were evaluated. The results showed that >70% of the total energy intake of 6-month old infants was from cereals and only 2-4% from meats. Intakes of iron, niacin, zinc, and calcium at all bioavailability levels were inadequate in all cases. The study reported a prevalence of at least 25% of low anthropometric scores among infants in all age groups. No correlation tests were conducted between growth, micronutrient status and complementary feeding (41). Several other observational studies have documented the relationship between feeding micronutrient-fortified CF and iron status, zinc status, anemia rate, growth, and morbidity. For example, in a cohort of 76 full-term Swedish infants, iron, zinc, and hemoglobin status were evaluated in relation to feeding with iron- and zinc-rich foods

(42). Iron depletion was prevalent in 26% of the infants and a low serum zinc concentration in 36%. Although there was no association between feeding pattern and iron depletion, the author suggested that the high intake of cereal as the first CF affected the bioavailability of both iron and zinc. In 200 Iranian infants, the differences in weight and length gains between EBF infants and infants who received CF were not significant. Moreover, the EBF infants had lower rates of occurrence of gastrointestinal and respiratory illnesses (43).

## 3.3.2.2 Interventional studies

In the studies described in the literature, iron was supplemented through different routes: orally, parenterally, as iron-fortified infant formula, and as iron-fortified cereal. Most interventions have been conducted in developing countries, where the prevalence of ID and stunting are high (44). Table 2 summarizes the clinical feeding interventions of ironfortified cereal as a CF in infants. The trials included in the table varied in terms of population size, age group, duration, and the measured outcome variables, which in most cases were the effects of the fortified cereal on iron status parameters and growth. None of the studies showed relevant effect of iron-fortified cereal on weight and length gains or other growth parameters, although this may have been due to the short follow-up periods. Other possible explanations of the inconsistencies of the results are differences in the iron compounds used and the amount provided. Most of the interventions showed significant positive effect of iron-fortified cereal consumption on iron status parameters; however, some interventions used CFs containing multiple micronutrients, which calls into question any claim of a cause-and-effect relationship between iron consumption and iron status improvement (Table 2). Eichler et al., carried out a meta-analysis to weigh the
evidence for positive effects of fortified infant formula combined with cereal foods on infants and children (44). A clinically relevant increase in serum hemoglobin levels was determined (mean increase of 0.6 g/dl; 95% CI: 0.34-0.89) for children consuming ironfortified formula and cereal. Similarly, iron fortification increased the mean ferritin level by 11.3  $\mu$ g/l (95% CI: 3.3-19.2) compared with the control group. Iron fortification of formula and cereal was also shown to reduce the risk of anemia by 50% (risk ratio = 0.05, 95% CI: 0.33-0.75). Although the meta-analysis results were consistent with the promising effects of iron fortification on functional health outcomes, one limitation is that the data were pooled from both iron-fortified formula and cereal interventions. Another systematic review examined interventions and programs aimed at enhancing biological and clinical outcomes with CFs (45). However, rather than a meta-analysis of the effects of these foods on the outcomes, the results were presented as averaged effect sizes. The interventions included in that systematic review targeted mainly breastfed children ages 6-24 months. The strategies of the reviewed interventions included: offering education about complementary feeding, providing CFs with extra energy, the provision of both CFs and education, the use of fortified CFs, and increasing the nutrient bioavailability and energy density of CFs by simple technology. Studies that measured iron status parameters after iron-fortified complementary interventions showed an average impact increase of a mean hemoglobin of 6 g/l and a 17% reduction in the prevalence of anemia. However, the results on growth were inconsistent, with an overall mean effect size of 0.60 for weight and 0.47 for linear growth. The authors concluded that the provision of iron-fortified foods along with educational messages can substantially improve iron status, growth, and behavioral development and lower morbidity. Other reviews also combined data from

iron supplementation and CF fortifications studies in their analyses or included studies on children as well as adult women (46-49).

Author/	Country	N	Age	Duration	Mode of feeding	Intervention	Outcome	Results
Faber et al. 2005 (50)	South Africa	361	6- 12	6 months	Predominantly BF	Fortified maize- porridge (27.5 mg/d iron) vs unfortified maize porridge	Hb, serum retinol, zinc, ferritin, motor development, growth	<ul> <li>Sig intervention effect for ferritin (9.4 μg/L) and for Hb (9 g/L) among the fortified porridge group</li> <li>Sig decrease in proportion of anemic infants from 45% to 17% in the fortified porridge group</li> <li>Sig higher motor development score achievement (15.5 points) in fortified porridge group than the control group (14.4 points) (<i>p</i>=0.007)</li> <li>No sig differences between the groups in growth parameters</li> </ul>
Gibson et al. 2011 (51)	Zambia	743	6	12	Predominantly BF	Fortified porridge (65% maize, 15% beans, 20% nuts), (5.36 mg/d iron) vs unfortified porridge	Iron status parameters, micronutrients deficiency, prevalence of anemia	<ul> <li>Sig treatment effect on serum Hb, ferritin, transferrin receptor (<i>p</i>&lt;0.001), and selenium (<i>p</i>=0.009)</li> <li>No treatment effect on serum zinc</li> <li>Consumption of fortified porridge reduced the odds of anemia by 63%, elevated transferrin receptor by 79%, low ferritin by 72% and IDA by 82%</li> </ul>
Javaid et al. 1991 (52)	Pakistan	86	6- 12	8	Predominantly BF	Fortified milk cereal with ferrous fumarate (7.5mg/100g) vs fortified milk cereal with ferric pyrophosphate (7.5mg/100g) vs unfortified milk cereal	Iron status parameters, growth, morbidity	<ul> <li>Sig higher Hb and ferritin levels in the iron fortified cereal groups than unfortified group</li> <li>Sig higher weight gain in the iron-fortified cereal groups</li> <li>Sig lower incidence of malnutrition among infants in the iron-fortified cereal groups</li> <li>Sig lower incidence of acute diarrhea in both iron fortified groups than in unfortified group (p&lt;0.05)</li> <li>No sig differences in incidence of infections between the groups</li> </ul>

Table 2. Summary of clinical feeding interventions of iron-fortified cereal

(Table 2 c	ontinued)							
Lartey et al. 1999 (53)	Ghana	208	6- 12	5	Partially BF	3 Fortified cereal-legume blend (Weanimix) groups (weanimix only, weanimix+multi-vitamins, weanimix+fish powder) vs unfortified maize porridge group	Iron status parameters, serum zinc, erythrocyte riboflavin, vitamin A, growth, morbidity	<ul> <li>No sig differences between the groups in growth parameters</li> <li>No sig differences between the groups in iron parameters, serum zinc, erythrocyte riboflavin</li> <li>Sig higher plasma retinol level among infants in the vitamins + minerals fortified porridge group</li> <li>Sig improvement in all growth parameters in the combined intervention groups compared with non-intervention group (<i>p</i>&lt;0.001)</li> </ul>
Lind et al. 2004 (54)	Sweden	300	6- 12	12	Formula fed & BF	Commercial milk-based porridge vs phytate-reduced porridge vs formula-based porridge	Growth, Bayley scales of infant development, morbidity	<ul> <li>No sig differences between the groups in growth, development and morbidity</li> <li>77% higher risk of diarrhea among infants in the formula-based porridge group</li> </ul>
Lind et al. 2003 (55)	Sweden	300	6- 12	6	Formula fed & BF	Commercial milk-based porridge vs phytate-reduced porridge vs formula-based porridge	Iron and zinc status parameters	<ul> <li>Sig higher Hb level in the phytate-reduced porridge group (<i>p</i>=0.012)</li> <li>Lower prevalence of anemia among phytate-reduced porridge group</li> <li>No sig differences in the other iron and zinc parameters between the groups</li> </ul>
Mamiro et al. 2004 (56)	Tanzania	137	6	6	Predominantly BF	Processed cereal (to increase E density & Fe solubility & to decrease phytate) (65.2% finger millet, 19.1% kidney beans, 7.7% mango puree) vs unprocessed cereal	Iron status parameters, Fe intake, zinc protoporphyrin, growth	<ul> <li>No significant differences in Hb level between the groups</li> <li>No sig differences in iron intake between the groups</li> <li>No sig differences in zinc protoporphyrin and in growth z-scores between the groups were found</li> </ul>

(Table 2 co	ntinued)							
Menon et al. 2007 (57)	Haiti	415	9-24	7	Predominantly BF	Fortified wheat-soy blend (sprinkles) vs unfortified wheat-soy blend	Iron status parameters	<ul> <li>Sig increase in Hb level among infants in the fortified wheat-soy blend group (<i>p</i>&lt;0.001).</li> <li>Sig decline in anemia rate in infants in the fortified wheat-soy blend group (54% to 14%, <i>p</i>&lt;0.05)</li> </ul>
Oelofse et al. 2003 (58)	South Africa	60	6	6	Partially BF	Fortified porridge (0.8 mg/d iron) vs normal diet	Iron status parameters, zinc level, retinol level, growth, psychomotor development	<ul> <li>Sig higher retinol level among infants in the fortified porridge group (<i>p</i>&lt;0.005)</li> <li>No sig difference in the Hb and total iron level between the groups</li> <li>No sig differences were detected in growth parameters and psychomotor development scores between the groups</li> <li>No sig difference in zinc level between the groups</li> </ul>
Owino et al. 2007 (59)	Zambia	150	6	3	Predominantly BF	Fortified porridge (65% maize, 15% beans, 20% nuts) vs fortified porridge + amylase vs control	Iron status parameters, growth, breast milk intake	<ul> <li>Sig increase in Hb level among infants in the iron-fortified grougs compared to control.</li> <li>Sig lower rate of anemia in iron-fortified groups</li> <li>No differences in breast milk intake between the groups</li> <li>No sig differences in weight and length z-scores between the groups</li> </ul>
Pham et al. 2012 (60)	Vietnam	426	5	6	Predominantly BF	2 fortified gruel vs unfortified gruel	Growth	- Sig higher growth parameters scores in the fortified gruel groups compared to the unfortified gruel group
Walter et al. 1993 (61)	Chile	515	4	11	EBF	Iron-fortified rice cereal with electrolytic iron (55mg/100g dry cereal) vs unfortified rice cereal	Iron status parameters	<ul> <li>Sig differences between the groups in Hb level</li> <li>No sig differences in ferritin level between the groups</li> </ul>

N.B: BF = breastfed, CF = complementary food, E = energy, EBF = exclusively breastfed, Hb = hemoglobin, mo = month, sig = significant

#### **3.4 Conclusion**

Optimal complementary feeding is a desired goal for health care providers and caregivers to ensure the optimal growth and development of infants. Micronutrients such as iron need to be derived from CFs to compensate for their low levels in breast milk. Traditional CFs (fortified cereals) provide non-heme iron, which has a low absorption rate. By contrast, meats (newly recommended) are rich in heme iron with a favorable absorption rate and contain other essential nutrients. A limited number of interventional studies on meat are available, and their findings are inconsistent with respect to its improvement of iron status. Nonetheless, the results have suggested other beneficial health outcomes of meat feeding. Based on the studies considered in this review, it can be concluded that the consumption of fortified cereals results in an improvement of iron status and possibly also growth. These findings support the current complementary feeding recommendations of the WHO and Health Canada regarding the introduction of fortified cereal and meat as first CFs for infants. Further large-scale, multicenter trials are needed to validate these conclusions and to investigate the long-term benefits of the recommended CFs.

#### **3.5 Conflict of interest**

The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest and shall disclose any potential conflicts of interest in the future.

#### **3.6 Acknowledgment**

We thank the University of Manitoba for providing us with all facilities needed to carry out the bibliographic search that formed the basis of this review.

#### **3.7 References**

 Black RE, Allen LH, Bhutta ZA, Caulfield LE, de Onis M, Ezzati M, et al. Maternal and child undernutrition: global and regional exposures and health consequences. Lancet. 2008 Jan 19;371(9608):243-60.

2. Lozoff B, Jimenez E, Wolf AW. Long-term developmental outcome of infants with iron deficiency. N Engl J Med. 1991 Sep 5;325(10):687-94.

3. Grantham-McGregor S, Ani C. A review of studies on the effect of iron deficiency on cognitive development in children. J Nutr. 2001 Feb;131(2S-2):649S-66S; discussion 66S-68S.

4. World Health Organization. Global strategy for infant and young child feeding.
Geneva: World Health Organization; 2003 [cited 2013 September 17]; Available from: http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/infantfeeding/9241562218/en/.

5. Dorea JG. Iron and copper in human milk. Nutrition. 2000 Mar;16(3):209-20.

6. Picciano MF. Nutrient composition of human milk. Pediatr Clin North Am. 2001 Feb;48(1):53-67.

7. Dewey KG, Chaparro CM. Session 4: Mineral metabolism and body composition iron status of breast-fed infants. Proc Nutr Soc. 2007 Aug;66(3):412-22.

8. Health Canada, Canadian Paediatric Society, Dietitians of Canada, Breastfeeding Committee for Canada. Nutrition for healthy term infants: recommendations from birth to six months. Can J Diet Pract Res : a publication of Dietitians of Canada = Revue canadienne de la pratique et de la recherche en dietetique : une publication des Dietetistes du Canada. 2012 Winter;73(4):204. 9. Friel JK, Hanning RM, Isaak CA, Prowse D, Miller AC. Canadian infants' nutrient intakes from complementary foods during the first year of life. BMC Pediatr. 2010;10:43.
10. Hurrell R, Egli I. Iron bioavailability and dietary reference values. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010 May;91(5):1461S-7S.

11. Health Canada, Canadian Paediatric Socoety, Dietitians of Canada, BreastfeedingCommittee for Canada. Nutrition for healthy term infants: recommendations from birth tosix months. Can J Diet Pract Res. 2012 Winter;73(4):204.

12. Krebs NF. Meat as an early complementary food for infants: implications for macroand micronutrient intakes. Nestle Nutr Workshop Ser Pediatr Program. 2007;60:221-9; discussion 9-33.

13. Friel JK, Isaak C, Hanning R, Miller A. Complementary Food Consumption of Canadian Infants. T O Nutr J. 2009;3:11-6.

14. Grummer-Strawn LM, Scanlon KS, Fein SB. Infant feeding and feeding transitions during the first year of life. Pediatrics. 2008 Oct;122 Suppl 2:S36-42.

15. Krebs NF, Mazariegos M, Tshefu A, Bose C, Sami N, Chomba E, et al. Meat consumption is associated with less stunting among toddlers in four diverse low-income settings. Food Nutr Bull. 2011 Sep;32(3):185-91.

16. Rebhan B, Kohlhuber M, Schwegler U, Koletzko BV, Fromme H. Infant feeding practices and associated factors through the first 9 months of life in Bavaria, Germany. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2009 Oct;49(4):467-73.

17. Bhandari N, Bahl R, Taneja S, de Onis M, Bhan MK. Growth performance of affluentIndian children is similar to that in developed countries. Bull World Health Organ.2002;80(3):189-95.

18. Marquis GS, Habicht JP, Lanata CF, Black RE, Rasmussen KM. Breast milk or animal-product foods improve linear growth of Peruvian toddlers consuming marginal diets. Am J Clin Nutr. 1997 Nov;66(5):1102-9.

19. Morgan J, Taylor A, Fewtrell M. Meat consumption is positively associated with psychomotor outcome in children up to 24 months of age. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2004 Nov;39(5):493-8.

20. Nielsen GA, Thomsen BL, Michaelsen KF. Influence of breastfeeding and complementary food on growth between 5 and 10 months. Acta Paediatr. 1998 Sep;87(9):911-7.

21. Taylor A, Redworth EW, Morgan JB. Influence of diet on iron, copper, and zinc
status in children under 24 months of age. Biol Trace Elem Res. 2004 Mar;97(3):197-214.
22. Chang SY, He W, Chen CM. Complementary feeding and growth of infant and young
child in China. Biomed Environ Sci. 2008 Jun;21(3):264-8.

23. Woo JG, Guerrero ML, Ruiz-Palacios GM, Peng YM, Herbers PM, Yao W, et al. Specific infant feeding practices do not consistently explain variation in anthropometry at age 1 year in urban United States, Mexico, and China cohorts. J Nutr. 2013 Feb;143(2):166-74.

24. Penny ME, Creed-Kanashiro HM, Robert RC, Narro MR, Caulfield LE, Black RE. Effectiveness of an educational intervention delivered through the health services to improve nutrition in young children: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2005 May 28-Jun 3;365(9474):1863-72. 25. Olaya GA, Lawson M, Fewtrell MS. Efficacy and safety of new complementary feeding guidelines with an emphasis on red meat consumption: a randomized trial in Bogota, Colombia. Am J Clin Nutr. 2013 Oct;98(4):983-93.

26. Engelmann MD, Sandstrom B, Michaelsen KF. Meat intake and iron status in late infancy: an intervention study. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 1998 Jan;26(1):26-33.

27. Krebs NF, Westcott JE, Butler N, Robinson C, Bell M, Hambidge KM. Meat as a first complementary food for breastfed infants: feasibility and impact on zinc intake and status. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2006 Feb;42(2):207-14.

28. Dube K, Schwartz J, Mueller MJ, Kalhoff H, Kersting M. Complementary food with low (8%) or high (12%) meat content as source of dietary iron: a double-blinded randomized controlled trial. Eur J Nutr. 2010 Feb;49(1):11-8.

29. Jalla S, Westcott J, Steirn M, Miller LV, Bell M, Krebs NF. Zinc absorption and exchangeable zinc pool sizes in breast-fed infants fed meat or cereal as first complementary food. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2002 Jan;34(1):35-41.

30. Krebs NF, Mazariegos M, Chomba E, Sami N, Pasha O, Tshefu A, et al. Randomized controlled trial of meat compared with multimicronutrient-fortified cereal in infants and toddlers with high stunting rates in diverse settings. Am J Clin Nutr. 2012 Oct;96(4):840-7.

31. Krebs NF, Westcott JE, Culbertson DL, Sian L, Miller LV, Hambidge KM. Comparison of complementary feeding strategies to meet zinc requirements of older breastfed infants. Am J Clin Nutr. 2012 Jul;96(1):30-5. 32. Baker RD, Greer FR, Committee on Nutrition American Academy of P. Diagnosis and prevention of iron deficiency and iron-deficiency anemia in infants and young children (0-3 years of age). Pediatrics. 2010 Nov;126(5):1040-50.

33. World Health Organization. Complementary feeding of young children in developing countries: a review of current scientific knowledge. 1998 [cited 2014 February 2]; Available from:

http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/infantfeeding/WHO\_NUT\_98.1/en/.

34. de Onis M, Garza C, Victora CG, Onyango AW, Frongillo EA, Martines J. The WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study: planning, study design, and methodology. Food Nutr Bull. 2004 Mar;25(1 Suppl):S15-26.

35. World Health Organization. Guiding principles for complementary feeding of the breastfed child. 2003 [cited 2014 February 7]; Available from:

http://www.who.int/maternal\_child\_adolescent/documents/a85622/en/.

36. Dewey KG, Brown KH. Update on technical issues concerning complementary feeding of young children in developing countries and implications for intervention programs. Food Nutr Bull. 2003 Mar;24(1):5-28.

37. Lutter CK, Rivera JA. Nutritional status of infants and young children and characteristics of their diets. J Nutr. 2003 Sep;133(9):2941S-9S.

38. Giovannini M, Riva E, Banderali G, Scaglioni S, Veehof SH, Sala M, et al. Feeding practices of infants through the first year of life in Italy. Acta Paediatr. 2004 Apr;93(4):492-7.

39. Foterek K, Hilbig A, Alexy U. Breastfeeding and Weaning Practices in the DONALD Study - Age and Time Trends. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2013 Oct 10. 40. Senarath U, Agho KE, Akram DE, Godakandage SS, Hazir T, Jayawickrama H, et al. Comparisons of complementary feeding indicators and associated factors in children aged 6-23 months across five South Asian countries. Matern Child Nutr. 2012 Jan;8 Suppl 1:89-106.

41. Hotz C, Gibson RS. Complementary feeding practices and dietary intakes from complementary foods amongst weanlings in rural Malawi. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2001 Oct;55(10):841-9.

42. Persson LA, Lundstrom M, Lonnerdal B, Hernell O. Are weaning foods causing impaired iron and zinc status in 1-year-old Swedish infants? A cohort study. Acta Paediatr. 1998 Jun;87(6):618-22.

43. Khadivzadeh T, Parsai S. Effect of exclusive breastfeeding and complementary
feeding on infant growth and morbidity. East Mediterr Health J. 2004 May;10(3):289-94.
44. Eichler K, Wieser S, Ruthemann I, Brugger U. Effects of micronutrient fortified milk
and cereal food for infants and children: a systematic review. BMC Public Health.
2012;12:506.

45. Dewey KG, Adu-Afarwuah S. Systematic review of the efficacy and effectiveness of complementary feeding interventions in developing countries. Matern Child Nutr. 2008 Apr;4 Suppl 1:24-85.

46. De-Regil LM, Suchdev PS, Vist GE, Walleser S, Pena-Rosas JP. Home fortification of foods with multiple micronutrient powders for health and nutrition in children under two years of age. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011 (9):CD008959.

47. Ramakrishnan U, Aburto N, McCabe G, Martorell R. Multimicronutrient interventions but not vitamin a or iron interventions alone improve child growth: results of 3 meta-analyses. J Nutr. 2004 Oct;134(10):2592-602.

48. Allen LH, Peerson JM, Olney DK. Provision of multiple rather than two or fewer micronutrients more effectively improves growth and other outcomes in micronutrient-deficient children and adults. J Nutr. 2009 May;139(5):1022-30.

49. Gera T, Sachdev HS, Boy E. Effect of iron-fortified foods on hematologic and biological outcomes: systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Am J Clin Nutr. 2012 Aug;96(2):309-24.

50. Faber M, Kvalsvig JD, Lombard CJ, Benade AJ. Effect of a fortified maize-meal porridge on anemia, micronutrient status, and motor development of infants. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005 Nov;82(5):1032-9.

51. Gibson RS, Kafwembe E, Mwanza S, Gosset L, Bailey KB, Mullen A, et al. A micronutrient-fortified food enhances iron and selenium status of Zambian infants but has limited efficacy on zinc. J Nutr. 2011 May;141(5):935-43.

52. Javaid N, Haschke F, Pietschnig B, Schuster E, Huemer C, Shebaz A, et al. Interactions between infections, malnutrition and iron nutritional status in Pakistani infants. A longitudinal study. Acta Paediatr Scand Suppl. 1991;374:141-50.

53. Lartey A, Manu A, Brown KH, Peerson JM, Dewey KG. A randomized, communitybased trial of the effects of improved, centrally processed complementary foods on growth and micronutrient status of Ghanaian infants from 6 to 12 mo of age. Am J Clin Nutr. 1999 Sep;70(3):391-404. 54. Lind T, Persson L, Lonnerdal B, Stenlund H, Hernell O. Effects of weaning cereals with different phytate content on growth, development and morbidity: a randomized intervention trial in infants from 6 to 12 months of age. Acta Paediatr. 2004 Dec;93(12):1575-82.

55. Lind T, Lonnerdal B, Persson LA, Stenlund H, Tennefors C, Hernell O. Effects of weaning cereals with different phytate contents on hemoglobin, iron stores, and serum zinc: a randomized intervention in infants from 6 to 12 mo of age. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003 Jul;78(1):168-75.

56. Mamiro PS, Kolsteren PW, van Camp JH, Roberfroid DA, Tatala S, Opsomer AS. Processed complementary food does not improve growth or hemoglobin status of rural tanzanian infants from 6-12 months of age in Kilosa district, Tanzania. J Nutr. 2004 May;134(5):1084-90.

57. Menon P, Ruel MT, Loechl CU, Arimond M, Habicht JP, Pelto G, et al. Micronutrient
Sprinkles reduce anemia among 9- to 24-mo-old children when delivered through an
integrated health and nutrition program in rural Haiti. J Nutr. 2007 Apr;137(4):1023-30.
58. Oelofse A, Van Raaij JM, Benade AJ, Dhansay MA, Tolboom JJ, Hautvast JG. The
effect of a micronutrient-fortified complementary food on micronutrient status, growth
and development of 6- to 12-month-old disadvantaged urban South African infants. Int J
Food Sci Nutr. 2003 Sep;54(5):399-407.

59. Owino VO, Kasonka LM, Sinkala MM, Wells JK, Eaton S, Darch T, et al. Fortified complementary foods with or without alpha-amylase treatment increase hemoglobin but do not reduce breast milk intake of 9-mo-old Zambian infants. Am J Clin Nutr. 2007 Oct;86(4):1094-103.

60. Pham VP, Nguyen VH, Salvignol B, Treche S, Wieringa FT, Dijkhuizen MA, et al. A six-month intervention with two different types of micronutrient-fortified complementary foods had distinct short- and long-term effects on linear and ponderal growth of Vietnamese infants. J Nutr. 2012 Sep;142(9):1735-40.

61. Walter T, Dallman PR, Pizarro F, Velozo L, Pena G, Bartholmey SJ, et al.

Effectiveness of iron-fortified infant cereal in prevention of iron deficiency anemia.

Pediatrics. 1993 May;91(5):976-82.

### **BRIDGE TO CHAPTER IV**

The following chapter comprises a manuscript which presents a systematic review and a meta-analysis on the effect of age of introduction of complementary foods on EBF infants. As introduced in chapter II, the age in which solids should be commenced is uncertain and controversial. This may lead to iron deficiency in some infants. Therefore, this systematic review addresses an important and controversial topic - namely the effect of introducing solids at 4 v 6 months on iron status and growth. Wafaa Qasem is the principal author of this systematic review. She conceptualized and designed the study, carried out the analyses and the data search, and drafted the manuscript. Dr. Tanis Fenton carried out the data search, reviewed and revised the manuscript, and approved the final manuscript. Dr. James Friel conceptualized and designed the study, and coordinated and supervised data search, reviewed the manuscript, and approved the final manuscript.

# **CHAPTER IV**

# **MANUSCRIPT 3: SYSTEMATIC REVIEW**

# AGE OF INTRODUCTION OF FIRST COMPLEMENTARY FEEDING FOR INFANTS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

This manuscript has been accepted for publication in BMC Pediatrics (2015)

Wafaa Qasem, Tanis Fenton, James Friel

Corresponding author: Wafaa Qasem, MD

Department of Human Nutritional Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg,

Manitoba, Canada, R3T 2N2

Richardson Centre for Functional Foods and Nutraceuticals

196 Innovation Drive, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada, R3T 6C5

Co-author: Tanis Fenton, PhD

Nutrition Services, Alberta Health Services, Alberta Children's Hospital Research

Institute, Department of Community Health Sciences, University of Calgary

TRW Building, 3280 Hospital Dr NW, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, T2N 4Z6

E-mail: <u>tfenton@ucalgary.ca</u>

Co-author: James Friel, PhD

Department of Human Nutritional Sciences, University of Manitoba

Richardson Centre for Functional Foods and Nutraceuticals

196 Innovation drive, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada, R3T 2N2

E-mail: James.Friel@umanitoba.ca

#### 4.1 Abstract

**Background**: With the recommendation of exclusive breastfeeding to six months of age for all full-term infants, it is not clear what the health implications may be. Eventually breast milk alone may not meet nutrition needs for the growing infant leaving them at risk for deficiencies. **Objective:** to investigate the relation between moderate (4 months) versus late (6 months) introduction of complementary foods to the full-term breastfed infant related to iron status and growth. Methods: an electronic search of electronic and gray-literature for randomized control trials (RCTs) related to the timing of introduction of complementary foods was conducted. Iron status and growth data from the relevant RCTs were analyzed using RevMan 5.2.11. Results: Limited data met the inclusion criteria: four RCTs and one observational study. Our meta-analysis showed that there was a significantly higher hemoglobin among the 4 months solid fed infants versus 6 months solid fed infants living in developing countries [mean difference [MD]: 5 g/l; 95% CI: 1.54, 8.6 g/l; p = 0.005], and serum ferritin levels in both developed and developing countries [MD: 26  $\mu$ g/l; 95% CI: -0.10, 52.10  $\mu$ g/l, p = 0.05], [MD: 18.90  $\mu$ g/l; 95% CI: 0.74, 37.06  $\mu$ g/l, p = 0.04]. Limitations: short follow-up period and small sample size of the included studies were the major limitations. Conclusions: RCT evidence suggests the rate of iron deficiency anemia in breastfed infants could be positively altered by introduction of solids at 4 months.

#### Whats known on this subject

Current infant feeding recommendation is exclusive breastfeeding for the first six months of life followed by introduction of adequate complementary foods. However, the age in which solids should be commenced is uncertain and controversial. This may lead to iron deficiency in some infants.

#### What this study adds

This study shows the available evidence that is related to the age of introduction of solids which may form the basis of complementary feeding guidelines. This study assesses the effect of earlier introduction of solids on the iron status and growth of exclusively breastfed infants.

#### **4.2 Introduction**

The World Health Organization current infant feeding recommendation is exclusive breastfeeding for the first six months of life followed by introduction of adequate complementary foods. This recommendation is for infants living in developing and developed countries, including Canada (1, 2). Although there is almost universal agreement that breast milk alone is the optimal first food, the age range in which solids should be commenced is uncertain leading to what has been called "weanling's dilemma" (3).

The importance of the period of complementary feeding is that it accompanies a critical window of vulnerability. During this time period growth faltering is highly evident (4). Another concern during this period is micronutrient deficiencies, mostly prevalent because infants have higher nutrient demands relative to increased energy requirements. Deficiencies of certain micronutrients, such as iron results in possible irreversible negative effects on brain development as well as other detrimental psychological outcomes (5). There is general but not universal agreement that iron stores of infants start to deplete at about six months of age which leave the infants at high risk of iron

72

deficiency and iron deficiency anemia. This is especially true among the exclusively breastfed (6, 7). The estimated prevalence of iron deficiency anemia among Canadian children aged 1-5 years is 5% and was found to be five times higher among Aboriginal children (8, 9). Therefore, it is highly important to determine the ideal age to introduce iron rich complementary foods. Thus, our objective was to evaluate the current scientific evidence and to investigate the relation between moderate versus later introduction of complementary foods to the full-term breastfed infant with respect to iron status primarily, and growth secondarily, for infants in developing and developed countries. This review includes any relevant studies that targeted exclusively breastfed infants within the age range 4-6 months.

#### 4.3 Methods

Our review was used conducted according to the PRISMA guidelines (10).

#### 4.3.1 Literature Search

Electronic searches of the MEDLINE and CINHAL databases were used to study the timing of introduction of complementary foods; the searches were completed by two authors (WQ, TRF) in May 2014. Medical subject headings and text words used to search were as follows: complementary feeding, infant food, solid(s), weaning, timing of introduction, micronutrient, iron, developmental outcomes, iron supplementation, random allocation, cohort studies, follow up studies, prospective studies, cross over studies, cross sectional studies. To decrease the chance of publication bias influencing the results, TRF conducted a gray literature search to include studies that may not be included in

73

bibliographic retrieval systems. Google, Current Controlled Trials, NIH Clinical Research Trials, ISRCTN, Cochrane Register of Clinical Trials were searched up to May 2014.

#### 4.3.2 Inclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria included: randomized control trials (RCTs) and observational studies focusing on introduction of CF at 4 months versus at 6 months of age all conducted on healthy full-term exclusively breastfed infants.

#### 4.3.3 Exclusion criteria

Studies were excluded if they included formula fed, preterm, or low birth weight infants or used medicinal iron supplementation. Studies in which infants were introduced to solid foods at ages younger than 4 or greater than 6 months of age were also excluded.

#### 4.3.4 Data analysis

Weighted mean difference meta-analysis of iron status data extracted from the relevant RCTs was carried out using Review Manager software (RevMan Version 5.2.11, The Cochrane Collaboration) (11) to asses the effect of age of introduction of solids on iron status and linear growth. The analyses were stratified by whether the study was conducted in developing versus developed countries and by study design, that is, randomized controlled trials versus observational studies.

#### 4.4 Results

A total of 923 study citations were found related to age of complementary feeding (Figure 2). Twenty-five RCTs were found but only three of them met the inclusion criteria, one in developed (with 2 publications), two in developing countries (Table 3). Forty-seven observational studies examining the age of introduction of CF were located. Only one of the observational studies (in a developing country) met the inclusion criteria (Table 3). Table 4 lists the excluded studies and the reasons behind their exclusion.



Figure 2. Study flow of the systematic review

Study	Study design	Country	N	Outcomes related to age of CF introduction		Results from CF i	ntroduction at	Р	Conclusion/ Main findings related to age of introduction of solids
						6 mo	4 mo		
Cohen et al.	RCT	Honduras	141	Growth	Wt gain (g)	1092 (356)	1051 (315)	>0.05	No sig differences in
1994 (12)					Length gain (cm)	3.9 (1.2)	3.8 (1.1)	>0.05	weight and length
									between the groups.
Dewey et al.	RCT	Honduras	164	Fe status	Hb (g/L)	104 (10)	109 (10)	< 0.05	Infants who received
1998(13)					Ht	0.33 (0.027)	0.34 (0.026)	< 0.05	CF at 4 months had
1770(15)					Ferritin (µ/L)	48.4 (44.2)	67.3 (64.5)	< 0.05	sig higher iron status
									parameters than EBF
									infants
Jonsdottir et	RCT	Iceland	100	Growth	Wt gain (z score)	-0.01(0.42)	-0.02(0.31)	0.9	No sig differences
al. 2012(14)					Length gain (z score)	) 0.04 (0.51)	0.03 (0.50)	0.9	were found between
					Gain in HC (z score)	0.06 (0.48)	0.06 (0.40)	0.9	the groups in growth.
				Fo status	$\text{Hb}(\alpha/\text{I})$	1127(72)	1120(61)	0.01	Sig positive effect of
				re status	Ferritin (ug/L)	113.7(7.3)	70.0(77.3)	0.91	introduction on iron
					rentin (µg/L)	44.0 (55.8)	70.0 (77.5)	0.02	stores
Wells et al.	RCT	Iceland	100	Growth	Wt (z score)	0.36 (0.99)	0.28 (1.08)	0.7	No significant
2012 (15)					Length (z score)	0.77 (0.84)	0.60 (0.92)	0.3	differences were
					BMI (z score)	-0.10 (1.04)	-0.08 (1.14)	0.9	found between the
					HC (z score)	1.02 (0.89)	0.94 (0.77)	0.6	groups in growth and
									body composition.
				Body	Lean mass (kg)	4.96 (1.18)	5.13 (0.92)	0.4	
				composition	Fat mass (kg)	3.04 (1.12)	2.71 (0.96)	0.1	

# Table 3. Summary of results of studies included in the systematic review

(Table 3	continued)	
I abic 5	commune	

Khadivzadeh	Observ.	Islamic	200	Growth	Wt (g)	7719 (763)	7762 (843)	0.95	There were no
and Parsai		republic of			Length (cm)	66.5 (3.0)	66.6 (3.1)	0.86	significant
2004 (16)		Iran			Wt gain (g)	922 (500)	1015 (419)	0.86	differences in wt and
					Length gain (cm)	3.6 (1.3)	3.5 (1.1)	0.70	length between
									infants fed solids at 4
									months and infants
									fed solids at 6 mo of
									age.

N.B: BMI = body mass index, CF = complementary feeding, EBF = exclusively breastfeeding, HC = head circumference, Ht: hematocrit, mo = month, Observ.= observational, Wt = weight, data are presented as mean (SD). Jonsdottir et al. 2012 and Wells et al. 2012 were two articles published from a single RCT.

Table	4.	Exc	luded	studies
-------	----	-----	-------	---------

Study (design)	Reason behind exclusion
Adu-Afarwuah et al. 2007 (17) (RCT)	Age of introduction of solids > 6 mo
Bisimwa et al. 2012 (18) (RCT)	Age of introduction of solids > 6 mo
Fewtrell et al. 2012 (19) (RCT)	Age of introduction of solids > 6 mo
Gibson et al. 2011 (20) (RCT)	Age of introduction of solids > 6 mo
Hambidge et al. 2004 (21) (RCT)	Age of introduction of solids > 6 mo
Krebs et al. 2011 (22) (RCT)	Age of introduction of solids > 6 mo
Ly et al. 2006 (23) (RCT)	No EBF group (no control group)
Martin-Calama et al. 1997 (24) (RCT)	Age of introduction of solids < 4 mo
Mehta et al. 1998 (25) (RCT)	Age of introduction of solids < 4 mo
Mosley et al. 2001 (26) (RCT)	Preterm infants
Nicoll et al. 1982 (27) (RCT)	Newborn infants
Ojofeitimi and Elegbe 1982 (28) (RCT)	Newborn infants
Phuka et al. 2008 (29) (RCT)	Age of introduction of solids > 6 mo
Rivera et al. 2004 (30) (RCT)	Age of introduction of solids non specified
Roy 2006 (31) (RCT)	Age of introduction of solids > 6 mo. Malnourished infants
Sachdev et al. 1991 (32) (RCT)	Water supplementation. Infants age <4 mo
Saleem 2010 (33) (RCT)	Age of introduction of solids > 6 mo
Sarker 2009 (34) (RCT)	Age of introduction of solids > 6 mo. No EBF group
Schutzman et al. 1986 (35) (RCT)	Newborn infants
Simondon et al. 1996 (36) (RCT)	No EBF group
Ziegler et al. 2009 (37) (RCT)	Non EBF
Ahmed et al. 1993 (38)	Age of introduction of solids < 4 mo

(Table 4 continued)	
Armar-Klemesu et al. 1991 (39)	Age of introduction of solids non specified
Arvas et al. 2000 (40)	Medicinal iron supplementation
Baker et al. 2004 (41)	Age of introduction of solids < 4 mo
Baird et al. 2008 (42)	Mixed feeding (formula + BM)
Calvo et al. 1992 (43)	Age of introduction of solids was at 6 mo for both groups
Castro et al. 2009 (44)	Mixed feeding (formula + BM), no data on postnatal birth wt and conditions
Chantry et al. 2007 (45)	Non EBF (other foods introduced)
Domellöf et al. 2001 (46)	Age of introduction of solids > 6 mo, medicinal iron supplementation
Dube et al. 2010 (47)	No analysis on early vs late introduction of solids among the groups
Durá Travé & Diaz Velaz 2002 (48)	Early weaned group had mixed feeding (formula + BM)
Eissa et al. 1990 (49)	Age of introduction of solids non specified
Filipiak et al. 2007 (50)	Mixed feeding (formula + BM), no EBF group
Forsyth et al. 1993 (51)	Age of introduction of solids < 4 mo
Freeman et al. 1998 (52)	Mixed feeding (formula + BM)
Gray 1996 (53)	Mixed feeding (formula + BM)
Haschke & van't Hof 2000 (54)	Age of introduction of solids < 4 mo
Heinig et al. 1993 (55)	Mixed feeding (formula + BM), age of introduction of solids = or $> 6$ months
Hokama 1993 (56)	No analysis on association between age of introduction of solids and iron parameters
Kajosaari & Saarinen 1983 (57)	Age of introduction of solids < 4 mo
Kajosaari 1991 (58)	Age of introduction of solids < 4 mo
Kikafunda et al. 2009 (59)	Age of introduction of solids $> 6$ mo
Kramer et al. 2011 (60)	Age of introduction of solids at 1, 2, 3 mo
Lartey et al. 1999 (61)	Age of introduction of solids $> 6$ mo

(Table 4 continued)	
Marlin et al. 1980 (63)	Age of introduction of solids < 4 mo
Marquis et al. 1997 (64)	Infants age group 12-15 mo
Messiah et al. 2012 (65)	Non specific information on how exclusive breastfeeding in BF and in CF groups
Nielsen et al.1998 (66)	No analysis on association between age of introduction of solids among EBF and growth
Piwoz et al. 1996 (67)	Age of introduction of solids < 4 mo
Popkin et al. 1990 (68)	Age of introduction of solids non specified
Quigley et al. 2009 (69)	No analysis on the type of milk received by CF group
Rowland et al. 1988 (70)	Age of introduction of solids non specified
Saarinen & Siimes 1978(71)	Age of introduction of solids < 4 mo. Mixed feeding (formula + BM)
Saarinen 1978 (72)	Age of introduction of solids non specified
Salmenpera et al. 1985 (73)	Age of introduction of solids < 4 mo
Simondon & Simondon 1997 (74)	Age of introduction of solids < 4 mo
Sloan et al. 2008 (75)	Age of introduction of solids < 4 mo
Victora et al. 1998 (76)	Age of introduction of solids < 4 mo, low birth weight infants included in the analysis
Wilson et al. 1998 (77)	Age of introduction of solids < 4 mo
Wilson et al. 2006 (78)	Age of introduction of solids < 4 mo
Zhou et al. 2012 (79)	Age of introduction of solids $> 6$ mo

N.B: CF= complementary feeding, EBF= exclusively breastfeeding, mo= month.

### 4.4.1 Iron

A total of two RCTs had assessed iron status outcomes (Table 3). Meta-analysis (figure. 3.1) suggested that introduction of solids at 4 months of age, compared with introduction of solids at 6 months did not improve hemoglobin status of breastfed infants in developed countries [mean difference [MD]: 0.20 g/l; 95% CI: -2.44, 2.84 g/l; p = 0.88], while in developing countries (Fig. 4.1), significant improvement was detected [MD: 5 g/l; 95% CI: 1.54, 8.6 g/l; p = 0.005]. Plasma ferritin concentration was improved with introduction of solids at 4 months of age of infants living in both developed and developing countries [MD: 26 µg/l; 95% CI: -0.10, 52.10 µg/l, p = 0.05], [MD: 18.90 µg/l; 95% CI: 0.74, 37.06 µg/l, p = 0.04] (Figures 3.2 & 4.2). The included observational study did not include iron parameters in their assessment.



Plasma Hb concentration (g/l), developed countries



Plasma ferritin concentration (µg/l), developed countries

Figure 3. Iron status analyses of developed countries



Plasma Hb concentration (g/l), developing countries



Plasma ferritin concentration (µg/l), developing countries

Figure 4. Iron status analyses of developing countries

### 4.4.2 Growth

Growth was assessed in the included studies mainly by differences between the groups in weight and length. Three (12, 14, 15) of the included four interventional studies reported the impact of solid introduction (Table 3). The meta-analyses showed a nonsignificant effect of earlier solids introduction in accelerating growth in both developing and developed countries (Figures 5, 6, 7 and 8). In addition, the study by Wells et al. (Table 3) showed nonsignificant differences between the two groups in body composition (lean mass p = 0.4, fat mass p = 0.14).

There was no association between the effect of early introduction of complementary foods with a difference in weight and/or length in the study conducted in developing country (p = 0.95, p = 0.86, respectively) (16).



Weight z-score, developed countries



Weight gain z-score, developed countries

# Figure 5. Weight analyses of developed countries

	Solids at 4 mo			Solids at 6 mo				Mean Difference	Mean Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fixe	d, 95% Cl		
Wells 2012	0.6	0.92	50	0.77	0.84	50	100.0%	-0.17 [-0.52, 0.18]					
Total (95% CI)			50			50	100.0%	-0.17 [-0.52, 0.18]					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.96 (P = 0.33)									-10 - Favours [so	5 ids at 6 mo]	) Favours [s	5 olids at 4	10 mo]

Length z-score, developed countries

Solids at 4 months			nths	Solids	at 6 mo	nths		Mean Difference		Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fixe	d, 95% CI		
Jonsdottir 2012	0.03	0.5	50	0.04	0.51	50	100.0%	-0.01 [-0.21, 0.19]					
Total (95% CI)			50			50	100.0%	-0.01 [-0.21, 0.19]					
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2	licable Z = 0.10 (P	= 0.92	)						-10 - Favours [so	5 Iids at 6 mo]	0 Favours (sc	1 5 blids at /	10 4 mo]

Gain in length z-score, developed countries

# Figure 6. Length analyses of developed countries

	Solids at 4 mo			Solids at 6 mo				Mean Difference					
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fixe	d, 95% Cl		
Wells 2012	0.94	0.77	50	1.02	0.89	50	100.0%	-0.08 [-0.41, 0.25]					
Total (95% CI)			50			50	100.0%	-0.08 [-0.41, 0.25]					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.48 (P = 0.63)									-10 - Favours [sol	5 ids at 6 mo]	) Favours [s	5 olids at	10 4 mo]

Head circumference z-score, developed countries

	Solids at 4 mo			Solids at 6 mo				Mean Difference	Mean Diffe			ce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl		IV,	Fixed, 95%	CI	
Jonsdottir 2012	0.06	0.4	50	0.06	0.48	50	100.0%	0.00 [-0.17, 0.17]					
Total (95% CI)			50			50	100.0%	0.00 [-0.17, 0.17]					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.00 (P = 1.00)									-10 Favou	-5 Irs Solids at 6	0 mo Favo	5 Jrs Solids a	10 it 4 mo

Gain in head circumference z-score, developed countries

# Figure 7. Head circumference analyses of developed countries



Weight gain (g), developing countries



Gain in length (cm), developing countries

Figure 8. Growth analyses of developing countries

#### **4.5 Discussion**

In this meta-analysis, we found that infants in developing countries who were introduced to solid foods at 4 months of age had a clinically relevant increase in hemoglobin and ferritin levels compared with hemoglobin and ferritin in exclusively breastfed infants at 6 months of age. The data from developed countries showed a significant increase in ferritin level only resulting from the earlier introduction of solids. In regards to growth, our meta-analysis indicated that there was no significant impact of earlier introduction of solids on weight, length and head circumference in both developed and developing countries.

To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review that weighs the evidence of the effect of complementary food introduction at 4 versus 6 months of age on iron status and growth. Other reviews have examined the effect of iron fortified food on iron status and anemia rate however, in different age ranges (80). In the systematic review by Dewey and Adu-Afaruah, the authors gave a general overview of existing studies that looked at the effects of complementary foods on various biochemical and functional outcomes, however, they did not conduct an evaluation of effects of solids introduction at 4 versus 6 months (81). The effects on growth in our review is in line with the finding of the systematic review of Kramer and Kakuma in which WHO recommendation was largely based. The authors found nonsignificant differences in linear growth in their comparison of effects of the introduction of solids between before 4 months and at 6 months (82). A previous review showed significant growth improvements with provision of solid foods; (83, 84) however, this finding could be due to studies, conducted on moderately malnourished infants.

89
Further evidence is needed to confirm the optimal timing of introduction of solids for the exclusively breastfed infants. In future studies, perhaps multi-central with long-term follow up, with special attention to hematological results would be warranted to clarify this important issue.

#### 4.6 Limitations

The included studies had short follow-up periods in which to assess the impact of complementary food introduction on outcomes. At this point the longer-term outcomes are not known. Small sample size is another limitation. Finally, pooled data analyses could not be performed for all the outcomes due to the differences in the outcome measures assessed in the individual studies.

#### 4.7 Conclusion

Encouraging exclusive breastfeeding is a desirable goal for the health care professionals and there is consistent evidence to support breastfeeding. We are concerned that the generalization of the age of introduction of solid recommendation at six months may not be optimum for all breastfed healthy infants. From this review iron status of healthy fullterm infants could be positively altered by an earlier introduction of complementary food leading to maintainance of infant iron stores. Furthermore, there may be value in considering the changing of the current statement regarding solid introduction from a fixed time (6 months) to a range of time and leave the decision of solid commencement for individual infants to health care professionals and parents. Larger randomized controlled trials perhaps with a multi-center design in developed and developing countries

90

are needed to investigate the differences between the outcomes of introduction of solids before and at six months of age.

#### 4.8 References

1. World Health Organization. Infant and young child feeding. 2010; Available from: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs342/en/.

 Health Canada, Canadian Paediatric Society, Dietitians of Canada, Breastfeeding Committee for Canada. Nutrition for healthy term infants: recommendations from birth to six months. Can J Diet Pract Res. 2012 Winter;73(4):204.

3. Rowland MG. The weanling's dilemma: are we making progress? Acta Paediatr Scand Suppl. 1986;323:33-42.

4. Shrimpton R, Victora CG, de Onis M, Lima RC, Blossner M, Clugston G. Worldwide timing of growth faltering: implications for nutritional interventions. Pediatrics. 2001 May;107(5):E75.

 Lozoff B, Beard J, Connor J, Barbara F, Georgieff M, Schallert T. Long-lasting neural and behavioral effects of iron deficiency in infancy. Nutr Rev. 2006 May;64(5 Pt 2):S34-43; discussion S72-91.

6. Butte NFL-A, M. G. Garza, C. Nutrient adequacy of exclusive breastfeeding for the term infant during the first six months of life. Geneva. World Health Organization. 2002 [cited 2013 November 17]; Available from:

http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/infantfeeding/9241562110/en/.

7. Meinzen-Derr JK, Guerrero ML, Altaye M, Ortega-Gallegos H, Ruiz-Palacios GM, Morrow AL. Risk of infant anemia is associated with exclusive breast-feeding and maternal anemia in a Mexican cohort. J Nutr. 2006 Feb;136(2):452-8. 8. Christofides A, Schauer C, Zlotkin SH. Iron deficiency and anemia prevalence and associated etiologic risk factors in First Nations and Inuit communities in Northern Ontario and Nunavut. Can J Public Health. 2005 Jul-Aug;96(4):304-7.

9. Willows ND, Dewailly E, Gray-Donald K. Anemia and iron status in Inuit infants from northern Quebec. Can J Public Health. 2000 Nov-Dec;91(6):407-10.

10. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gotzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. J Clin Epidemiol. 2009 Oct;62(10):e1-34.

11. Smithers LG, Brazionis L, Golley RK, Mittinty MN, Northstone K, Emmett P, et al. Associations between dietary patterns at 6 and 15 months of age and sociodemographic factors. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2012 Jun;66(6):658-66.

12. Cohen RJ, Brown KH, Canahuati J, Rivera LL, Dewey KG. Effects of age of introduction of complementary foods on infant breast milk intake, total energy intake, and growth: a randomised intervention study in Honduras. Lancet. 1994 Jul 30;344(8918):288-93.

 Dewey KG, Cohen RJ, Rivera LL, Brown KH. Effects of age of introduction of complementary foods on iron status of breast-fed infants in Honduras. Am J Clin Nutr. 1998 May;67(5):878-84.

14. Jonsdottir OH, Thorsdottir I, Hibberd PL, Fewtrell MS, Wells JC, Palsson GI, et al. Timing of the introduction of complementary foods in infancy: a randomized controlled trial. Pediatrics. 2012 Dec;130(6):1038-45. 15. Wells JC, Jonsdottir OH, Hibberd PL, Fewtrell MS, Thorsdottir I, Eaton S, et al. Randomized controlled trial of 4 compared with 6 mo of exclusive breastfeeding in Iceland: differences in breast-milk intake by stable-isotope probe. Am J Clin Nutr. 2012 Jul;96(1):73-9.

16. Khadivzadeh T, Parsai S. Effect of exclusive breastfeeding and complementary
feeding on infant growth and morbidity. East Mediterr Health J. 2004 May;10(3):289-94.
17. Adu-Afarwuah S, Lartey A, Brown KH, Zlotkin S, Briend A, Dewey KG.
Randomized comparison of 3 types of micronutrient supplements for home fortification
of complementary foods in Ghana: effects on growth and motor development. Am J Clin
Nutr. 2007 Aug;86(2):412-20.

18. Bisimwa G, Owino VO, Bahwere P, Dramaix M, Donnen P, Dibari F, et al. Randomized controlled trial of the effectiveness of a soybean-maize-sorghum-based ready-to-use complementary food paste on infant growth in South Kivu, Democratic Republic of Congo. Am J Clin Nutr. 2012 May;95(5):1157-64.

19. Fewtrell MS, Kennedy K, Nicholl R, Khakoo A, Lucas A. Infant feeding bottle design, growth and behaviour: results from a randomised trial. BMC Res Notes. 2012;5:150.

20. Gibson RS, Kafwembe E, Mwanza S, Gosset L, Bailey KB, Mullen A, et al. A micronutrient-fortified food enhances iron and selenium status of Zambian infants but has limited efficacy on zinc. J Nutr. 2011 May;141(5):935-43.

21. Hambidge SJ, Davidson AJ, Phibbs SL, Chandramouli V, Zerbe G, LeBaron CW, et al. Strategies to improve immunization rates and well-child care in a disadvantaged

population: a cluster randomized controlled trial. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2004 Feb;158(2):162-9.

22. Krebs NF, Hambidge KM, Mazariegos M, Westcott J, Goco N, Wright LL, et al. Complementary feeding: a Global Network cluster randomized controlled trial. BMC Pediatr. 2011;11:4.

23. Ly CT, Diallo A, Simondon F, Simondon KB. Early short-term infant food supplementation, maternal weight loss and duration of breast-feeding: a randomised controlled trial in rural Senegal. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2006 Feb;60(2):265-71.

24. Martin-Calama J, Bunuel J, Valero MT, Labay M, Lasarte JJ, Valle F, et al. The effect of feeding glucose water to breastfeeding newborns on weight, body temperature, blood glucose, and breastfeeding duration. J Hum Lact. 1997 Sep;13(3):209-13.

25. Mehta KC, Specker BL, Bartholmey S, Giddens J, Ho ML. Trial on timing of introduction to solids and food type on infant growth. Pediatrics. 1998 Sep;102(3 Pt 1):569-73.

26. Mosley C, Whittle C, Hicks C. A pilot study to assess the viability of a randomised controlled trial of methods of supplementary feeding of breast-fed pre-term babies.Midwifery. 2001 Jun;17(2):150-7.

27. Nicoll A, Ginsburg R, Tripp JH. Supplementary feeding and jaundice in newborns. Acta Paediatr Scand. 1982 Sep;71(5):759-61.

28. Ojofeitimi EO, Elegbe IA. The effect of early initiation of colostrum feeding on proliferation of intestinal bacteria in neonates. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 1982 Jan;21(1):39-42.

29. Phuka JC, Maleta K, Thakwalakwa C, Cheung YB, Briend A, Manary MJ, et al.
Complementary feeding with fortified spread and incidence of severe stunting in 6- to 18month-old rural Malawians. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2008 Jul;162(7):619-26.
30. Rivera JA, Sotres-Alvarez D, Habicht JP, Shamah T, Villalpando S. Impact of the Mexican program for education, health, and nutrition (Progresa) on rates of growth and anemia in infants and young children: a randomized effectiveness study. JAMA. 2004 Jun 2;291(21):2563-70.

31. Roy. Feasible Means to Address Moderately Malnourished Children Within BINP
Communities. 2006; Available from: http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT00372242.
32. Sachdev HP, Krishna J, Puri RK, Satyanarayana L, Kumar S. Water supplementation

in exclusively breastfed infants during summer in the tropics. Lancet. 1991 Apr

20;337(8747):929-33.

33. Saleem. Maternal Education on Complementary Feeding and Infant Outcome. 2010;
Available from: http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01128517?term=saleem&rank=5.
34. Sarker. Ferrous Fumarate and Ferric Pyrophosphate as Food Fortificants in
Developing Countries. 2009; Available from:

http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00867867?term=ferrous&rank=3.

35. Schutzman DL, Hervada AR, Branca PA. Effect of water supplementation of fullterm newborns on arrival of milk in the nursing mother. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 1986 Feb;25(2):78-80.

36. Simondon KB, Gartner A, Berger J, Cornu A, Massamba JP, San Miguel JL, et al. Effect of early, short-term supplementation on weight and linear growth of 4-7-mo-old infants in developing countries: a four-country randomized trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 1996 Oct;64(4):537-45.

37. Ziegler EE, Nelson SE, Jeter JM. Iron status of breastfed infants is improved equally by medicinal iron and iron-fortified cereal. Am J Clin Nutr. 2009 Jul;90(1):76-87.

38. Ahmed F, Clemens JD, Rao MR, Khan MR, Haque E. Initiation of food supplements and stopping of breast-feeding as determinants of weanling shigellosis. Bull World Health Organ. 1993;71(5):571-8.

39. Armar-Klemesu MA, Wheeler EF, Brakohiapa LA, Yamamoto S. Infant feeding and growth in rural Ghana: is the use of the traditional fermented porridge a case for early supplementation? J Trop Pediatr. 1991 Jun;37(3):111-5.

40. Arvas A, Elgormus Y, Gur E, Alikasifoglu M, Celebi A. Iron status in breast-fed fullterm infants. Turk J Pediatr. 2000 Jan-Mar;42(1):22-6.

41. Baker JL, Michaelsen KF, Rasmussen KM, Sorensen TI. Maternal prepregnant body mass index, duration of breastfeeding, and timing of complementary food introduction are associated with infant weight gain. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004 Dec;80(6):1579-88.

42. Baird J, Poole J, Robinson S, Marriott L, Godfrey K, Cooper C, et al. Milk feeding and dietary patterns predict weight and fat gains in infancy. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.2008 Nov;22(6):575-86.

43. Calvo EB, Galindo AC, Aspres NB. Iron status in exclusively breast-fed infants. Pediatrics. 1992 Sep;90(3):375-9.

44. Castro TG, Baraldi LG, Muniz PT, Cardoso MA. Dietary practices and nutritional status of 0-24-month-old children from Brazilian Amazonia. Public Health Nutr. 2009 Dec;12(12):2335-42.

45. Chantry CJ, Howard CR, Auinger P. Full breastfeeding duration and risk for iron deficiency in U.S. infants. Breastfeed Med. 2007 Jun;2(2):63-73.

46. Domellof M, Cohen RJ, Dewey KG, Hernell O, Rivera LL, Lonnerdal B. Iron supplementation of breast-fed Honduran and Swedish infants from 4 to 9 months of age. J Pediatr. 2001 May;138(5):679-87.

47. Dube K, Schwartz J, Mueller MJ, Kalhoff H, Kersting M. Iron intake and iron status in breastfed infants during the first year of life. Clin Nutr. 2010 Dec;29(6):773-8.

48. Dura Trave T, Diaz Velaz L. [Prevalence of iron deficiency in healthy 12-month-old infants]. An Esp Pediatr. 2002 Sep;57(3):209-14.

49. Eissa AM, El-sherbini AF, Khashaba AA, El-bakry M, Abou Amer ER. Breast feeding and infant growth, a follow-up study in Menoufia, Egypt. Popul Sci. 1990 Jul;9:69-75.

50. Filipiak B, Zutavern A, Koletzko S, von Berg A, Brockow I, Grubl A, et al. Solid food introduction in relation to eczema: results from a four-year prospective birth cohort study. J Pediatr. 2007 Oct;151(4):352-8.

51. Forsyth JS, Ogston SA, Clark A, Florey CD, Howie PW. Relation between early introduction of solid food to infants and their weight and illnesses during the first two years of life. BMJ. 1993 Jun 12;306(6892):1572-6.

52. Freeman VE, Mulder J, van't Hof MA, Hoey HM, Gibney MJ. A longitudinal study of iron status in children at 12, 24 and 36 months. Public Health Nutr. 1998 Jun;1(2):93-100.
53. Gray SJ. Ecology of weaning among nomadic Turkana pastoralists of Kenya: maternal thinking, maternal behavior, and human adaptive strategies. Hum Biol. 1996 Jun;68(3):437-65.

54. Haschke F, van't Hof MA. Euro-Growth references for breast-fed boys and girls: influence of breast-feeding and solids on growth until 36 months of age. Euro-Growth Study Group. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2000;31 Suppl 1:S60-71.

55. Heinig MJ, Nommsen LA, Peerson JM, Lonnerdal B, Dewey KG. Intake and growth of breast-fed and formula-fed infants in relation to the timing of introduction of complementary foods: the DARLING study. Davis Area Research on Lactation, Infant Nutrition and Growth. Acta Paediatr. 1993 Dec;82(12):999-1006.

56. Hokama T. Levels of serum ferritin and total body iron among infants with different feeding regimens. Acta Paediatr Jpn. 1993 Aug;35(4):298-301.

57. Kajosaari M, Saarinen UM. Prophylaxis of atopic disease by six months' total solid food elimination. Evaluation of 135 exclusively breast-fed infants of atopic families. Acta Paediatr Scand. 1983 May;72(3):411-4.

58. Kajosaari M. Atopy prophylaxis in high-risk infants. Prospective 5-year follow-up study of children with six months exclusive breastfeeding and solid food elimination. Adv Exp Med Biol. 1991;310:453-8.

59. Kikafunda JK, Lukwago FB, Turyashemererwa F. Anaemia and associated factors among under-fives and their mothers in Bushenyi district, Western Uganda. Public Health Nutr. 2009 Dec;12(12):2302-8.

60. Kramer MS, Moodie EE, Dahhou M, Platt RW. Breastfeeding and infant size: evidence of reverse causality. Am J Epidemiol. 2011 May 1;173(9):978-83.

61. Lartey A, Manu A, Brown KH, Peerson JM, Dewey KG. A randomized, communitybased trial of the effects of improved, centrally processed complementary foods on growth and micronutrient status of Ghanaian infants from 6 to 12 mo of age. Am J Clin Nutr. 1999 Sep;70(3):391-404.

62. Lopez-Alarcon M, Villalpando S, Fajardo A. Breast-feeding lowers the frequency and duration of acute respiratory infection and diarrhea in infants under six months of age. J Nutr. 1997 Mar;127(3):436-43.

63. Marlin DW, Picciano MF, Livant EC. Infant feeding practices. J Am Diet Assoc.1980 Dec;77(6):668-76.

64. Marquis GS, Habicht JP, Lanata CF, Black RE, Rasmussen KM. Association of breastfeeding and stunting in Peruvian toddlers: an example of reverse causality. Int J Epidemiol. 1997 Apr;26(2):349-56.

65. Messiah SE, Arheart KL, Lipshultz SE, Bandstra ES, Miller TL. Perinatal Factors Associated with Cardiovascular Disease Risk among Preschool-Age Children in the United States: An Analysis of 1999-2008 NHANES Data. Int J Pediatr. 2012;2012:157237.

66. Nielsen GA, Thomsen BL, Michaelsen KF. Influence of breastfeeding and complementary food on growth between 5 and 10 months. Acta Paediatr. 1998 Sep;87(9):911-7.

67. Piwoz EG, Creed de Kanashiro H, Lopez de Romana GL, Black RE, Brown KH.
Feeding practices and growth among low-income Peruvian infants: a comparison of internationally-recommended definitions. Int J Epidemiol. 1996 Feb;25(1):103-14.
68. Popkin BM, Adair L, Akin JS, Black R, Briscoe J, Flieger W. Breast-feeding and diarrheal morbidity. Pediatrics. 1990 Dec;86(6):874-82.

69. Quigley MA, Kelly YJ, Sacker A. Infant feeding, solid foods and hospitalisation in the first 8 months after birth. Arch Dis Child. 2009 Feb;94(2):148-50.

70. Rowland MG, Rowland SG, Cole TJ. Impact of infection on the growth of children from 0 to 2 years in an urban West African community. Am J Clin Nutr. 1988 Jan;47(1):134-8.

71. Saarinen UM, Siimes MA. Serum ferritin in assessment of iron nutrition in healthy infants. Acta Paediatr Scand. 1978 Nov;67(6):745-51.

72. Saarinen UM. Need for iron supplementation in infants on prolonged breast feeding. J Pediatr. 1978 Aug;93(2):177-80.

73. Salmenpera L, Perheentupa J, Siimes MA. Exclusively breast-fed healthy infants grow slower than reference infants. Pediatr Res. 1985 Mar;19(3):307-12.

74. Simondon KB, Simondon F. Age at introduction of complementary food and physical growth from 2 to 9 months in rural Senegal. Eur J Clin Nutr. 1997 Oct;51(10):703-7.
75. Sloan S, Gildea A, Stewart M, Sneddon H, Iwaniec D. Early weaning is related to weight and rate of weight gain in infancy. Child Care Health Dev. 2008 Jan;34(1):59-64.
76. Victora CG, Morris SS, Barros FC, Horta BL, Weiderpass E, Tomasi E. Breastfeeding and growth in Brazilian infants. Am J Clin Nutr. 1998 Mar;67(3):452-8.
77. Wilson AC, Forsyth JS, Greene SA, Irvine L, Hau C, Howie PW. Relation of infant diet to childhood health: seven year follow up of cohort of children in Dundee infant feeding study. BMJ. 1998 Jan 3;316(7124):21-5.

78. Wilson W, Milner J, Bulkan J, Ehlers P. Weaning practices of the Makushi of Guyana and their relationship to infant and child mortality: a preliminary assessment of international recommendations. Am J Hum Biol. 2006 May-Jun;18(3):312-24.

79. Zhou H, Wang XL, Ye F, Zeng XL, Wang Y. Relationship between child feeding practices and malnutrition in 7 remote and poor counties, P R China. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr. 2012;21(2):234-40.

80. Eichler K, Wieser S, Ruthemann I, Brugger U. Effects of micronutrient fortified milk and cereal food for infants and children: a systematic review. BMC Public Health. 2012;12:506.

81. Dewey KG, Adu-Afarwuah S. Systematic review of the efficacy and effectiveness of complementary feeding interventions in developing countries. Matern Child Nutr. 2008 Apr;4 Suppl 1:24-85.

82. Kramer MS, Kakuma R. Optimal duration of exclusive breastfeeding. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;8:CD003517.

83. Imdad A, Yakoob MY, Bhutta ZA. Impact of maternal education about complementary feeding and provision of complementary foods on child growth in developing countries. BMC Public Health. 2011;11 Suppl 3:S25.

84. Bhutta ZA, Ahmed T, Black RE, Cousens S, Dewey K, Giugliani E, et al. What works? Interventions for maternal and child undernutrition and survival. Lancet. 2008 Feb 2;371(9610):417-40.

#### **BRIDGE TO CHAPTER V**

The previous chapters showed that the available evidence is limited in regards to the assessment of the optimal time and type of complementary foods. Therefore, chapter V comprises a manuscript that demonstrates the assessment of the recommended first complementary foods in terms of meeting the requirements of the EBF infants by determining their nutrient intakes. This manuscript also illustrates the associations between socio-demographic factors, selected feeding patterns, nutrient intakes and growth of the EBF infants. Wafaa Qasem was the principal author of this manuscript. Wafaa Qasem helped in the data collection, coordinated and supervised data collection, carried out the analyses, drafted the manuscript. Sarah Jorgensen, Sandra Castillo San Juan, and Chenxi Cai helped in the data collection. Dr. Trust Beta, helped in the study design and the data collection methods, and currently reviewing the manuscript. Dr. James Friel was the principal investigator of this study. He conceptualized and designed the study, designed the data collection methods, and coordinated and supervised data collection, reviewed the manuscript, and approved the final manuscript as submitted.

#### **CHAPTER V**

#### **MANUSCRIPT 4:**

# ASSESSMENT OF COMPLEMENTARY FEEDING OF CANADIAN INFANTS: SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHICS, FEEDING PATTERNS, NUTRIENT INTAKE AND GROWTH OF BREASTFED INFANTS WHO RECEIVED FIRST COMPLEMENTARY FOODS

This manuscript is currently under internal review and will be submitted to the journal Pediatrics for review for publication (2015)

Wafaa Qasem<sup>1,2</sup>, Sarah Jorgensen<sup>2</sup>, Sandra Castillo San Juan<sup>1,2</sup>, Chenxi Cai<sup>1,2</sup>, Trust Beta<sup>2,3,4</sup>, James Friel<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departement of Human Nutritional Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Richardson Centre for Functional Foods and Nutraceuticals, 196 Innovation Drive,

University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

<sup>3</sup>Children's Hospital Research Institute of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

<sup>4</sup>Department of Food Science, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

Corresponding author: Wafaa Qasem, Richardson Centre for Functional Foods and

Nutraceuticals, 196 Innovation Drive, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

Email: [qasemw@myumanitoba.ca]

**Key words:** complementary feeding, breastfed infants, socio-demographics, feeding patterns, nutrient intake, growth.

**Funding source:** All phases of this clinical trial were supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR).

Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

**Clinical trial registration:** The study was registered in the ClinicalTrial.gov registry (Assessment of Complementary Feeding of Canadian Infants, NCT01790542).

#### What's known on this subject?

Health Canada recommends EBF followed by the introduction of iron rich foods such as infant cereal (traditional) and meat (newly recommended). No studies had assessed the effect of these recommended foods on the breastfed infant's overall health and in terms of meeting the daily recommendations.

#### What this study adds?

This study assessed and compared the effect of the recommended first complementary foods (CFs) on multiple health outcomes including growth and macronutrient and micronutrient intakes before and after the introduction of CF. In addition, sociodemographic factors and feeding patterns were also evaluated.

## 5.1 Abstract

**Background:** Health Canada recommends EBF up to 6 months of age followed by the introduction of iron-rich foods such as iron-fortified cereal and meat. Limited number of studies have assessed the effect of first complementary foods (CFs) on infant health. **Objectives:** This study aimed to assess the complementary feeding of breastfed infants in relation to socio-demographic characteristics, feeding patterns, nutrient intake and growth. **Methods**: Eighty-seven EBF were randomly assigned to receive one of the following CFs: iron-fortified cereal (Cer), iron-fortified cereal with fruit (Cer+Fr), meat

(M). Details of socio-demographic characteristics and infant feeding patterns were obtained using parent-completed questionnaires. Infant dietary intake data were collected using 3-day dietary records. Infants growth was determined using standardized measurements. **Results**: Only 27.3% of mothers adhered to the Health Canada recommendation of EBF for up to 6 months. The rate of later introduction of CFs was associated with maternal factors: lower parity (odds ratio (OR) = 0.2, CI: 0.09, 0.9), receiving multi-vitamins during breastfeeding (OR = 0.3, CI: 0.1, 1.9), working prior to delivery (OR = 0.09, CI: 0.01, 0.5). Macronutrient and micronutrient intake was adequate and met the recommendation when provided by both breast milk and CFs. Higher weightgain z-scores were significantly associated with earlier introduction of CFs (OR = 3.0, CI: 1.0, 9.3, p < 0.001). Gain in head circumference was positively correlated with iron intake among all the breastfed infants (r = 0.31, p = 0.01). Conclusions: Maternal factors were associated with selected feeding patterns. In future, targeting those factors may be warranted. Recommending the three types of CFs as the first such foods together with breast milk appears to satisfy the high requirements of growing infants.

#### **5.2 Introduction**

The World Health Organization (WHO) and various agencies, including Health Canada, have established official recommendations that support exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) for infants up to 6 months of age (1, 2). As energy requirements steadily increase at around 6 months, the total calories as well as specific micronutrients and macronutrients supplied by breast milk become inadequate (3). Feeding by breast milk should therefore then be accompanied by the first complementary foods (CFs) to avoid nutritional deficiencies and inadequate growth (4, 5). The latest recommendation of Health Canada, "*Nutrition for* 

*Healthy Term Infants: Recommendations from Birth to Six Months*", clearly states the need to introduce iron-rich foods such as iron-fortified cereal, meat, and meat alternatives, two to three times per day to ensure that the infant's nutritional requirements are met (2). Other specific nutrients have to be derived from complementary feeding to compensate for the nutritional deficiencies of breast milk for infants of this age. This is important given that the diet of infants play a major role in short- and long-term health and development (6-9).

Although feeding patterns provide a useful measure of an infant's diet, it is highly important to understand the nutrient intake within those patterns. It has been shown that infant feeding patterns are associated with nutrient intake and eating habits (10, 11). Some studies have identified feeding patterns that were strongly associated with sociodemographic variables, such as maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI), educational level, breastfeeding duration, and type of first CFs (12-14). In addition, compared with formula feeding, longer duration of breastfeeding has been associated with later introduction of solid foods and slower weight gain during the second half of infancy (15-17). Moreover, earlier introduction of solid foods (before 4 months) has been linked to childhood obesity (18), however, a recent systematic review concluded that the overall relationship was weak (19). Other evidence has linked feeding patterns with various child health outcomes, such as IQ and overall food allergy (20, 21).

The term "complementary feeding" refers to energy- and nutrient- containing semi-solids or solids fed to infants in addition to breast milk or formula (22). Typically, the transition from a fully milk to a mixed diet generally occurs between 6 and 24 months of age (23). The most important factor that determines the required CF type and amount is whether the infant received EBF or was formula fed, as this clearly affects the nutritional intake and nutrient utilization (24). At about 6 months, the addition of CFs is critical, because breast milk alone may not provide adequate amounts of macronutrients, such as protein and some micronutrients, such as zinc and vitamin D (2, 5). However, introducing CFs before 6 months is a concern because it may displace breast milk, which is the major source of nutrients for growing infants (22, 25). Only one study in Canada has reported the CF intake of infants during the first year of life (26), independent of breast milk and formula intake, in which the average daily intake of nutrients from all types of CFs were compared with daily recommendations (Dietary Refernce Intakes (DRIs), for macronutrients and micronutrients (27-29).

Ensuring normal growth and development is a priority during infancy. Therefore, the type of complementary feeding and feeding patterns have great importance during this period . Of particular interest is the timing of the introduction of solids, which is important because it may affect growth among breastfed infants. Breast milk contains a lower quantity of protein than formula. In one study, infant formula with a low protein content showed growth effects similar to those with breastfeeding, which suggests an association between protein intake and growth (30). There is increasing agreement about the growth advantages of meat as the first CF (31). The available evidence from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) has indicated non-significant differences in growth parameters between infants introduced to solids at 4 months compared with 6 months (32-34). However, data from RCTs comparing the growth effects of different types of first CFs are very limited (35).

It is necessary to understand energy and nutrient intake when assessing the efficiency of the first recommended CFs. Along with feeding patterns, socio-demographic characteristics and growth, this information can help us determine the optimal first CFs. The aims of the present study were therefore as follows: 1) to determine whether nutrient intake from the first recommended CF of breastfed infants is sufficient in terms of meeting daily recommendations; and 2) to identify any associations among feeding patterns, socio-demographic characteristics and growth.

#### 5.3 Methods

#### 5.3.1 Study design

The study was an RCT of the daily intake of one of the following foods over a period of 2-4 weeks: iron-fortified rice cereal, iron-fortified rice cereal with fruit, meat (beef) (figure 9). Exclusively breastfed infants were recruited throughout the city of Winnipeg between December 2012 and May 2014. The study was advertised in local newspapers, a study poster, and websites; mothers were also recruited by word of mouth. In addition, breastfeeding mothers were approached by pediatricians working in private clinics or in Winnipeg Regional Health Authority hospitals and by public health nurses. The study data were gathered on the first and second visits. The first visits were conducted at the age of 4-6 months and before introducing the study foods. At both visits, urine and stool samples and dietary records were collected, and anthropometric measurements were obtained. During the first visit, there was the additional task of completing a

108

questionnaire to obtain baseline information (Appendix 1). Both visits took place in the participating mother's homes.

Visits to the research clinic at the Children's Hospital Research Institute of Manitoba were conducted for the infants of mothers and caregivers who agreed to supply blood for iron status analyses (Chapter VI). Mothers and care providers of infants in all the groups were encouraged to continue breastfeeding during the intervention period. With the help of the PhD student, a research assistant was responsible for the recruitment, initial contact, distribution of CFs, first and second home or/clinic visits, the collection of baseline data, collection of samples, conducting anthropometric measurements, and monitoring participant compliance with the study food.

## 5.3.2 Ethical approval

This study was approved by the Bannatyne Campus Research Ethics Board, University of Manitoba (Protocol no. H2011:166) and the Winnipeg Regional Health Authority Research Review Committee (Ref. No.2012-006), (Appendices 2 and 3). The study was registered in the ClinicalTrial.gov registry (Identifier No. NCT01790542). A brief handout explaining eligibility for the study and its objectives was given to the breastfeeding mothers; they were informed that if they wished to participate in the study, they should contact the research team (Appendix 4). Written informed consent was obtained from all the mothers before participation (Appendix 5).



Figure 9. Study design

#### 5.3.3 Study subjects

We enrolled 87 EBF infants aged 4-6 months from City of Winnipeg area in this study. Infants who fulfilled the following inclusion criteria were considered eligible for participation: full term (>37 gestational weeks), birth weight of > 2500 g, absence of congenital anomalies and medical conditions, exclusively breastfed, willingness of mothers to provide urine and stool samples and to complete the dietary records. Infants were excluded if they had already started on solid foods or consumed more than 200 ml of iron-fortified formula.

# 5.3.4 Study foods

The three study foods were as follows: iron-fortified rice cereal (Milupa GmbH, Danone, Friedrichsdorf, Germany), iron-fortified cereal with raspberry (Milupa GmbH, Danone, Friedrichsdorf, Germany), pureed beef (Heinz®, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). The nutritional compositions of the study foods are presented in table 5. The nutritional content of the study foods was obtained from the product label as the percentage of the daily value and it was converted to the equivalent amount in scientific units using the DRIs (28, 29, 36). The nutritional content of the pureed meat jar was calculated using the nutritional software FoodFocus© version 4.1. Iron fortified rice cereal was selected because it was found to be the most common first complementary food choice among Canadian infants. Iron fortified rice cereal with fruit (raspberry) was selected because of the following: another commonly consumed infant cereal, contains single fruit extract (raspberry powder) ingredient rather than multiple fruits extract which allows the isolation of the other fruits effects on the measurable endpoints, raspberry is a rich source of phenolic phytochemicals (total phenolic content 359-512 mg/100g fruit, total flavonoid compound 111 63-103 mg/100g, total anthocyanin content 2.6-57.6 mg/100g) and exhibits high antioxidant activities; it contains anthocyanins, proanthocyanidin-like tannins and ellagitannins (37, 39). The iron fortified rice cereal with raspberry contains raspberry powder in an amount of 1.8% of the total ingredients. The present study aimed to asses the newly recommended CF by Health Canada which is meat. Pureed beef infant food was selected for the following reasons: it contains single meat product (beef), red meat is a good source of heme iron, and the product is mostly readily available on shelf of Canadian grocery stores.

At the first visit, the mothers were provided with sufficient amount of the study foods for 4 weeks. The decision on when to start giving the study foods was left to the parents and they were asked to report the initial feeding date. We made follow-up telephone calls to the parents to monitor compliance and to check about the occurrence of any adverse events with feeding. In addition, parents were also asked to complete two 3-day dietary records (Appendices 6 and 7) before introducing the study food and at the end of the feeding duration.

#### 5.3.5 Socio-demographic characteristics

Detailed background socio-demographic data such as, age, sex, family composition and educational level were collected by questionnaire (Appendix 1) at the initial visit. In addition, data were obtained about infant feeding practises such as, breastfeeding and timing of introduction of CFs and the use of nutrient supplements.

	Iron fortified rice	Iron fortified rice	Meat
	cereal	cereal and fruit	Weat
Energy & nutrients	Per 28 g (7-8 tbs)	Per 28 g (7-8 tbs)	Per 100 g (1 jar)
Energy (Kcal)	120	120	140.9
Protein (g)	4.0	3.0	12.0
Carbohydrate (g)	21.0	21.0	0.3
Fibre (g)	0.0	0.0	0.3
Sugar (g)	3.0	4.0	0.0
Fat (g)	2.5	2.5	10.5
Cholesterol (mg)	ND	ND	29.4
Vitamin A (µg)	0.0	0.0	5.1
Vitamin B12 (µg)	0.35	0.17	1.4
Vitamin B6 (mg)	ND	ND	0.1
Thiamin (mg)	0.36	0.27	0.1
Riboflavin (mg)	0.38	0.38	0.2
Niacin (NE)	0.20	0.20	3.8
β Carotene (μg)	ND	ND	55.3
Vitamin C (mg)	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vitamin D (IU)	ND	ND	10.1
Vitamin E (IU)	ND	ND	ND
Sodium (mg)	20.0	20.0	38.5
Potassium (mg)	ND	ND	190.5
Calcium (mg)	30.3	20.0	4.1
Iron (mg)	8.80	8.80	1.3
Zinc (mg)	0.09	0.07	ND
Copper (µg)	13.2	13.2	ND
Magnesium (µg)	11.2	15.0	ND
Phosphorus (mg)	41.2	41.2	92.2
Iodine (µg)	13.0	13.0	ND
Manganese (mg)	0.04	0.00	ND
Selenium (µg)	ND	ND	2.7

Table 5. Nutritional composition of study foods

N.B: IU = international unit, NE = niacin equivalent, ND = no data provided, tbs = table spoon

#### 5.3.6 Anthropometric measurements

Anthropometric measurements were converted to z-scores and used to determine various indicators of infant growth such as, length-for-age and weight-for-age z-scores, which was done according to WHO standards (40, 41). Length, weight and head circumference were measured at both the first and second visits. Recumbent length was measured using an infantometer (417 Seca, Seca GmbH & Co, Hamburg, Germany), accurate to 0.1 cm. The infants were weighed naked on a calibrated electronic scale (BabyChecker<sup>™</sup>Scale,

Medela Inc. Breastfeeding U.S, IL, USA) accurate to 120 g. Using a flexible nonstretchable tape, the head circumference of the infants was measured to the nearest 0.1cm. We took three measurements for each variable and averaged the values. All the techniques used in the measurements and the WHO growth charts employed to monitor infants' growth were done in accordance with "A health professional's guide for using the new WHO growth charts" by Dieticians of Canada, Canadian Paediatric Society, The College of Family Physicians of Canada, and Community Health Nurses of Canada (42).

#### 5.3.7 Dietary analysis

Nutrient intake from first CFs was assessed using a 3-day dietary record (Appendices 6 and 7) at two time points; the record was to be completed and submitted to the research team at the first visit before the introduction of solids and at the second visit (last 3 days of feeding). These time points were chosen to assure exclusive breastfeeding and compliance with the study foods. Mothers were instructed to record their infant's food and beverage intake on 3 consecutive days. Common household measures were used to describe the food portion size. To further assure compliance with the use of study foods, mothers were also asked to record the recipes of any homemade foods and brand names of products consumed. Dietary intake data from the food records were entered into the nutritional analysis software FoodFocus© version 4.1. That program contains details relating to over 6000 foods utilizing food composition tables obtained from the Canadian Nutrient File 2010, and from the USDA database. The FoodFocus© program was compared with other food composition software in the International Survey for the National Nutrient Databank Conference. Each infant's mean daily macronutrient and

micronutrient intake from the first CFs was generated by the FoodFocus© database. Macronutrient and micronutrient intake was compared with DRIs provided by Health Canada. When other foods were consumed, these foods were excluded and were not entered into the FoodFocus© program. The nutrient intake from vitamin D supplement was not included in our analysis.

Breast milk volume intake was estimated for each recorded feeding. For each minute, we used an estimated amount of 12.5 ml, up to 125 ml for full feeding lasting 10 minutes or longer (43, 44).

#### 5.3.8 Sample size determination

The sample size of each feeding group was determined by power analysis for reactive oxygen species generation (ROS) (primary outcome, Chapter VI). One study (45) found mean ROS generation in adults ( $0.27 \pm 0.03 \text{ mg/ml}$ ) to be 10% lower in those who received anti-oxidant supplements. To observe a similar difference in infants, the required sample size is 25 infants in each feeding group (i.e N = 75) at a significant level ( $\alpha$ ) of 0.05, with power of 95% ( $\beta$  = 5%). We initially aimed at a sample size of 120 (40 infants in each group) to accommodate drop-off and non-compliance exclusion. The following formula was used to determine the sample size:

Power = Prob ( $F < F_{crit}$ ,  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$ , NC), where F is distributed as the non-central F (NC,  $-v_1$ ,  $-v_2$ ) and  $F_{crit}$  is the quantile (1- $\alpha$ ) of the F distribution  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  degrees of freedom.

n = number per group

r = number of groups

Vl = r-1

115

V2 = r (n-1)

*NC* (non centrality parameter) =  $\frac{nCSS}{\sigma^2}$  *CSS* (corrected sum of squares) =  $\sum_{g=1}^{G} (\mu g - \mu)^2$   $\mu g$  = mean of the gth group  $\mu$  = overall mean

 $\sigma^2$  = estimated mean square error

#### 5.3.9 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software package IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows version 22.0 (IBM Corp. Armonk, NY, USA). Variables were assessed for normality. The data are presented as means  $\pm$  standard error (SE) unless otherwise stated. To compare the feeding group means for two different time points (before and after introducing CFs), repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was used. For pair-wise comparisons, the post hoc Bonferroni test was used. Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient was used to determine the strength of the relationships between normally distributed variables, whereas Spearman's rank correlation coefficient test was used to measure the association between non-normally distributed variables. Where appropriate, pearson's chi-squared ( $X^2$ ) test and odds ratios, with 95% confidence intervals, were calculated to estimate the strength of association between exposures (certain levels of socio-demographic and other baseline variables, growth and selected feeding patterns). Statistical significant difference was determined at p < 0.05.

# 5.4 Results

In all, 90 infants were assessed for eligibility, and 3 infants met the exclusion criteria. Eighty-seven infants were enrolled in the study between December 2012 and May 2014 and they were allocated as follows: 25 in Cer group, 28 in Cer+Fr group and 34 in M group. A total of 82 infants completed the study and 5 were withdrawn (did not receive the allocated intervention) due to the following: mothers wish to discontinue compliance with the study food (cereal or meat), they had moved to a different province, no response to the study team calls.

# 5.4.1 Socio-demographic characteristics

Table 6 presents a baseline comparison of the socio-demographic characteristics among the three study groups. There were no statistical significant differences among the groups except for maternal age, and vitamin supplementation during pregnancy, in mothers of the meat group.

Variable	Cer	Cer+Fr	Μ	All groups
	(n = 22)	(n = 28)	(n = 32)	(n = 82)
Males (%)	44.0	48.3	54.8	49.4
Birth weight (g) mean±SD	3437±475	3693±494	3544±519	3563±503
Length at birth (cm) mean±SD	52±2.8	52.5±2.6	51.7±2.7	52±2.7
HC at birth (cm) mean±SD	34.1±2.0	34±1.9	35.5±1.8	34.7±1.9
Gestation (wks) mean±SD	39.8±1.3	39.8±1.7	39.4±1.0	39.7±1.4

Table 6. Baseline comparisons of the three study group's characteristics

(Table 6 continued)
$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{O}}$

Parity (%)				
1	32	27.6	35.5	31.8
2	36	41.4	51.6	43.5
≥3	32	30.8	12.9	24.8
Parity mean±SD	2.0±0.8	2.3±1.5	1.8±0.9	2±1.1
Mean Maternal age (years)	31.1±4.4	31.9±4.1	34.5±3.7*	32.6±4.3
Mode of delivery (%)				
Normal	83.3	92.3	83.3	86.3
Caesarian	12.5	3.8	16.7	11.3
Other	4.2	3.8	0.0	2.5
Antenatal-postnatal complication				
(%)				
Yes	24	20.7	29	24.7
No	76	79.3	71	75.3
Fe supplementation during	20	31	25.8	25.9
pregnancy (%)				
Vitamin supplementation during	88	89.7	96.8	91.8
pregnancy (%)				
<b>X724</b>	40	(2.1	70.2*	(2.0
Vitamin supplementation during	48	62.1	/9.3*	63.9
BF (%)				
Marriad	00	02.1	02.5	01.8
Single	00	93.1 6 0	93.3	91.0 3.5
Divorced	4.0	0.9	0.0	0.0
Common-law	8.0	0.0	6.5	0.0 4 7
Maternal pre-pregnancy weight	67+14 7	66 6+12 1	69 8+1/1 7	67.9+13.8
(Kg) mean+SD	0/±14.7	00.0±12.1	09.0±14.7	07.7±15.0
Maternal height (cm) mean+SD	165+63	166 3+6 9	166 5+7 5	166+6.9
Maternal nre-nregnancy RMI	24 6+4 4	24 1+3 0	25 3+5 3	24.7+4.6
mean+SD	24.014.4	24.1-3.9	20.0±0.0	24.7-4.0
Duration of previous BF (months)	14 9+8 9	12 3+7 5	13 4+6 2	13 5+7 4
mean+SD	14.7±0.7	12.3±7.3	13.4±0.2	13.5±7.4
Maternal education (%)				
Primary & secondary	16	10.7	9.7	11.9
Post secondary	84	89.3	90.3	88.1
Pre-delivery working mothers (%)	76	85.7	96.8	86.9
Paternal weight (Kg) mean±SD	88.4±14.9	92±18.8	87.9±11.7	89.5±15.2
Paternal height (cm) mean±SD	179.5±8.0	180±7.1	180±7.9	180±7.6
Paternal BMI mean±SD	25.1±8.7	28.3±5.3	27.0+4.2	26.9±6.1
Paternal education (%)				
Primary & secondary	16.0	3.8	3.2	7.3
Post secondary	84.0	96.2	96.8	92.7
No. of household occupants	3.9±0.8	4.3±1.5	3.9±1.0	4.0±1.2
mean±SD			-	
No. of household children	1.8±0.7	2.4±1.5	1.9±0.9	2.0±1.1
mean±SD				
Maternal smoking (%)	4.0	0.0	3.2	2.4
Infant Vitamin D supplementation	96.0	100.0	90.3	95.3
(%)				

N.B. BF = breastfeeding, HC = head circumference, wks = weeks, \*: p < 0.05 by ANOVA

#### 5.4.2 Feeding Patterns

The feeding patterns of the infants in the three study groups appear in table 7. A statistically significant decrease in the number of breast milk feeds per day and in the amount of breast milk intake (p = 0.001, p < 0.001 respectively) occurred after the introduction of CFs in all (within groups) the groups. However, there was no significant difference between the feeding groups regarding the number of breast milk feeds per day and in the amount of breast milk intake.

# 5.4.3 Association between socio-demographic characteristics and selected feeding patterns

Table 8 summarizes the relation between selected feeding patterns and sociodemographic characteristics. The rate of later introduction of solids was significantly associated with lower parity (odds ratio (OR) for lower parity = 0.2, confidence interval (CI): 0.09, 0.9), mothers who received multi-vitamins during breastfeeding (OR for vitamin supplementation during breastfeeding = 0.3, CI: 0.1, 1.9), and mothers who worked during the year preceding delivery (OR for having worked during the previous 12 months = 0.09, CI: 0.01, 0.5). Households with a greater number of occupants were significantly associated with earlier introduction of solid foods (OR = 0.2, CI: 0.05, 0.7). There was a significant association between lower maternal pre-pregnancy BMI and lower number of CFs introduced to the infants (OR = 3.3, CI: 1.0, 10.7).

	С	er (n=22)	Cer+Fr (n=28)		Ν	M (n=32)		All groups (n=82)	
Variable									
Infants EBF until 6 months (%)		18.2		25.9		34.4		27.3	
Age of introduction of CF (months) mean±SD		5.2±0.7	5.5±0.6			5.4±0.6		5.4±0.6	
Number of CF per day mean±SD	2.1±0.7			1.9±0.6		2.2±1.0		2.0±0.8	
CF intake per day (g) mean±SD	51±35.7		6	67.5±55.8		41.3±29.6		53.3±43	
	С	er (n=22)	Cei	r+Fr (n=28)	N	A (n=32)	All groups (n=82)		
Variable	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	
Number of BM feeds per day mean±SD	8.9±2.3	8.0±2.7*	8.3±2.0	7.4±2.0*	8.8±2.0	7.6±7.9*	8.7±2.1	7.7±2.2*	
BM intake per day (ml) mean±SD	890±186	760±257*	930±216	827±186*	957±225	865±261*	929±210	822±237*	

# Table 7. Feeding patterns among EBF infants in the three study groups

N.B: BM = breast milk, CF = complementary feeding, EBF = exclusively breastfed, \*significant difference (p < 0.05) (within a group) over time by repeated measures ANOVA test.

Characteristic	Age of introduction of solids				Frequency of CF per day			
	% at 4-5 mo	% at 5-6 mo	OR	(95% CI)	% of 1 CF	% of ≥2 CF	OR	(95% CI)
Gender			•					
Male	54.2	43.4	1.5	(0.5, 4.0)	54.5	46.0	1.4	(0.5, 3.8)
Female	45.8	56.6			45.5	54.0		
Gestation (wks)								
36-40	62.5	79.2	0.4	(0.1, 1.2)	72.7	78.0	0.7	(0.2, 2.3)
≥41.1	37.5	20.8			27.3	22.0		
Parity*			•			•		•
1-2	62.5	84.9	0.2*	(0.09, 0.9)	59.1	80.0	0.3	(0.1, 1.0)
≥3	37.5	15.1			40.9	20.0		
Maternal age			•			•		•
25-34	79.2	62.3	2.3	(0.7, 7.1)	63.6	66.0	0.9	(0.3, 2.5)
≥35	20.8	37.7			36.4	34.0		
Mode of delivery			•			•		•
Normal	86.4	84.3	1.1	(0.2, 4.9)	85.7	84.8	1.0	(0.2, 4.6)
Cesarean/other	13.6	15.7			14.3	15.2		
Antenatal/postnatal	•					·		•
complications								
Yes	29.2	22.6	0.7	(0.2, 2.1)	31.8	26.0	0.7	(0.2, 2.2)
No	70.8	77.4			68.2	74.0		
Fe supplementation								
during pregnancy	-							
Yes	29.2	22.6	0.7	(0.2, 2.1)	22.7	30.0	1.4	(0.4, 4.6)
No	70.8	77.4			77.3	70.0		

 Table 8. Analysis of selective feeding patterns by socio-demographic characteristics

(Table 8 continued)								
Vitamin								
supplementation								
during pregnancy	•	1		•		1		
Yes	95.8	92.5	1.8	(0.1, 17.7)	86.4	94.0	0.4	(0.07, 2.1)
No	4.2	7.5			13.6	6.0		
Vitamin								
supplementation								
during BF*	•	1		•		1		
Yes	47.8	73.1	0.3*	(0.1, 1.9)	52.4	69.4	0.4	(0.1, 1.3)
No	52.2	26.9			47.6	30.6		
Marital status	-			•				
Married/common-law	100.0	94.3	NA	NA	95.5	98.0	0.4	(0.02, 7.1)
Single	0.0	5.7			4.5	2.0		
Maternal pre-pregnancy								
BMI*	1	Γ		1		I		1
<i>≤</i> 24.99	60.9	56.9	1.1	(0.4, 3.2)	75.0	46.9	3.3*	(1.0, 10.7)
<i>≥</i> 25	39.1	34.1			25.0	53.1		
<b>Previous BF duration</b>								
<i>≤</i> 6 mo	21.1	17.2	1.2	(0.2, 5.5)	26.7	9.7	3.3	(0.6, 17.6)
≥6.1 mo	78.9	82.8			73.3	90.3		
Maternal education	•		•			•	•	
Primary & secondary	8.7	9.4	0.9	(0.1, 5.0)	13.6	8.2	1.7	(0.3, 8.7)
Post secondary	91.3	90.6			86.4	91.8		
Mother worked during								
past 12 mo*								
Yes	70.8	96.2	0.09*	(0.01, 0.5)	81.8	86.0	0.7	(0.1, 2.8)
No	29.2	3.8			18.2	14.0		

(Tuble 8 continueu)								
Paternal BMI								
<i>≤</i> 24.99	34.5	24.0	2.4	4 (0.8, 6.9)	28.6	25.5	1.1	(0.3, 3.6)
≥25	56.5	76.0			71.4	74.5		
Paternal education								
Primary & secondary	0.0	7.8	NA	NA	4.8	6.1	0.7	(0.07, 7.8)
Post secondary	100.0	92.2			95.2	93.9		
Household occupants*								
_≤3	12.5	41.5	0.2*	(0.05, 0.7)	27.3	34.0	0.7	(0.2, 2.1)
≥4	87.5	58.5			72.7	66.0		
Household children		<u>.</u>		-				
1-2	66.7	83.0	0.4	(0.1, 1.2)	59.1	80.0	0.3	(0.1, 1.0)
≥3	33.3	17.0			40.9	20.0		
Maternal smoking								
Yes	0.0	1.9	NA	NA	0.0	2.0	NA	NA
No	100.0	98.1			100.0	98.0		

(Table 8 continued)

BF = breastfeeding, BMI = body mass index, CF = complementary feeding, CI = confidence interval, mo = month, NA = not applicable, OR = odds ratio, wks = weeks, \*significant difference (p < 0.05) by pearson's chi-squared ( $X^2$ ) test.

# 5.4.4 Energy and selected nutrient intake from the recommended first complementary foods only

# 5.4.4.1 Macronutrient intake

The energy and the macronutrient intake from the recommended first CFs alone of the three study groups appear in table 9. There was a statistically significant difference in energy intake from CFs between infants in M group compared with the cereal groups. Complementary food intake provided additional energy and macronutrients to the infants in the three groups (table 10). The carbohydrate intake of 1.6% (contribution) of the DRI was significantly lower in M group and differed from the carbohydrate contributions in the two cereal groups.

me me enter study g				
		Feeding grou	р	
Nutrients	Cer	Cer+Fr	Μ	All groups
(mean±SE)				0
	(n=22)	( <b>n=28</b> )	( <b>n=32</b> )	( <b>n=82</b> )
Energy (Kcal)	223±33.5	294±47	$62.8{\pm}8.9^{*}$	189±22.5
Energy (KJ)	932±42.0	1266±198	$262 \pm 37.0^{*}$	804±95.6
Carbohydrate (g)	39.0±5.8	50.9±8.3	$1.4{\pm}0.87^{*}$	29.4±4.2
Protein (g)	7.4±1.1	$7.4{\pm}1.1$	$7.0\pm0.1$	9.8±0.2
Fat (g)	4.7±0.69	$6.4 \pm 0.98$	$4.2\pm0.58$	5.1±0.45
$ND_{1} * = -0.05$	-			

Table 9. Energy and macronutrient intake from the recommended first CFs only in the three study groups

N.B: \* = p < 0.05

 Table 10. Comparison of mean of % contribution of macronutrients towards the daily recommended DRIs from first recommended CFs only

	Feeding group						
Nutrients (mean±SE)	Cer	Cer+Fr	Μ	All groups			
. ,	(n=22)	( <b>n=28</b> )	(n=32)	( <b>n=82</b> )			
Food energy (%)	41.6±6.2	52.2±8.6	12.5±1.7*	29.9±2.9			
Carbohydrate (%)	66.9±9.5	84.8±13.8	1.0±0.4*	49.1±7.0			
Protein (%)	82.6±12.1	81.5±12.8	50.9±7.7	70.6±6.5			
Fat (%)	15.1±2.2	20.5±3.1	13.8±1.8	16.5±1.4			

N.B: DRIs for 0-6 mo, \* = p < 0.05

## 5.4.4.2 Micronutrient intake

#### 5.4.4.2.1 Vitamin intake

Table 11 shows the vitamin intake from the recommended first CFs in the three study groups. The two infant cereals did not provide vitamin A. Vitamin C was not provided by any of the CFs. There was a statistically significant higher intake of niacin in M group than in the cereal groups. Riboflavin and thiamin intake was significantly lower in M group than in the two cereal groups. The percentage contributions of vitamins with respect to the daily DRIs for the three CFs appear in table 12. The three recommended foods satisfied the daily recommended DRIs for vitamin B<sub>12</sub> for infants aged 0-6 months. For riboflavin and thiamin, the intake in the two cereal groups exceeded the daily recommended daily value in any of the groups, however, meat provided over 50% of the recommended daily amount.
Procho							
		Feeding group					
Nutrients (mean±SE)	Cer	Cer+Fr	М	All groups			
	(n=22)	( <b>n=28</b> )	(n=32)	( <b>n=82</b> )			
Vitamin A (µg)	0.0	0.0	2.1±0.30*	0.82±0.1			
Vitamin D (IU)	ND	ND	4.1±0.56	NA			
Thiamin (mg)	0.66±0.10	0.65±0.10	0.02±0.00*	0.4±0.05			
Riboflavin (mg)	0.70±0.10	0.92±0.14	0.08±0.01*	0.5±0.07			
Niacin (NE)	0.43±0.03	$0.54 \pm 0.08$	1.6±0.210*	0.9±0.01			
Vitamin B12 (µg)	0.65±0.09	0.46±0.07	0.57±080	0.5±0.04			
Vitamin C (mg)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			

Table 11. Vitamin intake from the recommended first CFs only in the three study groups

N.B: NA = not applicable, ND = no data provided, \* = p < 0.05

Table 12. Comparison of mean of % contribution of vitamins towards the daily
recommended DRIs from first recommended CFs only

Feeding group						
Nutrients (mean±SE)	Cer (n=22)	Cer+Fr (n=28)	M (n=32)	All groups		
Vitamin A (%)	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.38±0.11*	NA		
Vitamin D (%)	ND	ND	1.0±0.14	NA		
Thiamin (%)	330.5±51.0	327.6±53.4	15.2±2.5*	214±29.5		
Riboflavin (%)	233.0±35.8	308.0±50.0	26.1±3.5*	183.6±24.9		
Niacin (%)	14.3±1.8	18.3±2.8	53.5±7.2*	30.0±3.5		
Vitamin $B_{12}$ (%)	164.8±24.5	115.5±19.2	146.3±19.9	140.7±12.1		
Vitamin C (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		

N.B: DRI for 0-6 mo, NA = not applicable, ND = no data provided, \* = p < 0.05

# 5.4.4.2.2 Mineral intake

Overall, the intake of sodium, calcium, and phosphorus was significantly lower in M group (table 13). There was a statistically significant higher intake of iron in the two cereal groups than in M group. Table 14 presents the percentage contribution of selective minerals to the daily recommended DRIs from the three CFs only. Iron intake exceeded the daily recommendation for infants aged 0-6 months in the three groups. The intake of phosphorus in the cereal and fruit group fulfilled the required daily amount.

Feeding group						
Nutrients (mean±SE)	Cer (n=22)	Cer+Fr (n=28)	M (n=32)	All groups (n=82)		
Sodium (mg)	51.6±8.30	49.2±7.80	19.1±3.1*	38.7±4.1		
Calcium (mg)	56.8±8.50	49.9±7.70	3.8±1.60*	35.0±4.6		
Iron (mg)	16.0±2.50	21.2±3.50	0.57±0.08*	12.2±1.7		
Zinc (mg)	0.15±0.03	0.20±0.03	ND	NA		
Magnesium (mg)	1.40±0.58	0.80±0.20	ND	NA		
Phosphorus (mg)	78.6±7.40	104±16.0	40.4±5.5*	73.5±7.4		

 Table 13. Selective mineral intake from the recommended first CFs only in the three study groups

N.B: NA = not applicable, ND = no data provided, \* = p < 0.05

Nutrients (mean±SE)	Cer	Cer+Fr	М	All groups
	(n=22)	(n=28)	(n=32)	(n=82)
Sodium (%)	44.1±7.2	41.0±6.5	15.9±2.6*	32.7±3.5
Calcium (%)	28.5±4.2	25.0±3.8	1.9±0.82*	17.5±2.3
Iron (%)	3963±499	4622±437	217±30.3*	2823±315
Zinc (%)	9.6±1.2	10.2±1.8	ND	NA
Magnesium (%)	1.2±0.38	1.3±0.19	ND	NA
Phosphorus (%)	78.8±11.4	104.1±16.0	40.4±5.5*	73.6±7.4

Table 14. Comparison of mean of % contribution of selective minerals towards the daily recommended DRIs from the recommended CFs only

N.B: DRI for 0-6 mo, NA = not applicable, ND = no data provided, \* = p < 0.05

# 5.4.5 Growth

Table 15 compares the gain in weight, length and head circumference z-scores from before and after introduction of the study foods stratified by feeding duration. There were no significant differences in growth rates between male and female infants. No significant differences were recorded in growth rates between the three groups except for gain in head circumference of infants who were fed meat for 2-3 weeks. Table 16 summarizes the association between selected feeding patterns and the growth rate of all the infants. As evident in that table, higher weight gain was significantly associated with earlier age of introduction of the study foods (OR = 3.0, CI: 1.0 to 9.3). There was no significant correlation between the total estimated amount of breast milk and gain in weight, length and head circumference (r = 0.12, p = 0.3; r = -0.02, p = 0.85; r = 0.1, p = 0.4 respectively). Similarly, the amount of CF intake did not correlate with any of the growth

variables (weight gain; r = 0.09, p = 0.4, gain in length; r = 0.22, p = 0.07, gain in head circumference; r = -0.007, p = 0.9).

introduction of CF's categorized according to solid reduing duration							
Growth variable (mean±SE)	Cer	Cer+Fr	$\mathbf{M}$				
	( <b>n=8</b> )	(n=13)	( <b>n=18</b> )				
Feeding duration 2-3 wks							
Weight gain	-0.1±0.18	-0.06±0.13	-0.16±0.11				
Gain in length	0.31±0.4	-0.3±0.25	-0.01±0.1				
Gain in HC	0.5±0.39	0.59±0.39	-0.4±0.15*				
Growth variable (mean±SE)	Cer	Cer+Fr	Μ				
	( <b>n=13</b> )	( <b>n=15</b> )	( <b>n=10</b> )				
Feeding duration 3- 4 wks							
Weight gain	0.15±0.08	0.14±0.1	0.003±0.1				
Gain in length	-0.14±0.21	-0.23±0.25	-0.22±0.22				
Gain in HC	-0.16±0.21	0.23±0.17	-0.34±0.21				

Table 15. Growth rate in *z*-scores in the three study groups from before and after introduction of CFs categorized according to solid feeding duration

N.B: HC = head circumference, wks = weeks, \* = p < 0.05

	Age of introduction of solids			Frequency of CF per day				
Growth variable	% at 4-5 mo	% at 5-6 mo	OR	(95% CI)	% of 1 CF	% of ≥2 CF	OR	(95% CI)
Weight gain*								
Higher z-score (0.0 to 2.5)	71.4	44.7	3.0*	(1.0, 9.3)	55.0	53.3	1.0	(0.3, 3.0)
<i>Lower z-score</i> (-0.01to -2.5)	28.6	55.3			45.0	46.7		
Gain in length								
Higher z-score (0.0 to 2.5)	52.4	45.7	1.3	(0.4, 3.6)	45.0	48.9	0.8	(0.2, 2.4)
<i>Lower z-score</i> (-0.01to -2.5)	47.6	54.3	1		55.0	51.1		
Gain in HC								
Higher z-score (0.0 to 2.5)	65.0	43.5	2.4	(0.8, 7.1)	55.0	51.2	1.1	(0.4, 3.3)
Lower z-score (-0.01to -2.5)	35.0	56.5			45.0	48.8		

# Table 16. Analysis of selective feeding practices by growth rate

N.B: HC = head circumference, CF = complementary feeding, mo = month, \*significant difference (p < 0.05) by pearson's chi-squared ( $X^2$ ) test

5.4.5.1 Growth and nutrient intake from complementary foods

Overall, the macronutrient and micronutrient intake from the CFs did not correlate with weight gain nor with gain in length. Total energy (figure 10) and carbohydrate intake (figure 11) were significantly correlated with gain in head circumference (r = 0.3, p = 0.01, r = 0.3, p = 0.011 respectively). Significant positive correlations were observed between thiamin, riboflavin, iron and phosphorus intake (figures 12, 13, 14, 15) and gain in head circumference (r = 0.3, p = 0.02; r = 0.28, p = 0.02; r = 0.31, p = 0.01; r = 0.3, p = 0.03 respectively). When each feeding group analysis was performed separately, no significant correlations were observed between the macronutrient and micronutrient intake of the infants in each group and the growth variables.



Figure 10. Correlation between total energy intake and gain in head circumference in all infants. Spearman's correlation = 0.3 (p = 0.01, significant at 0.05 level).



Figure 11. Correlation between carbohydrate intake and gain in head circumference in all infants. Spearman's correlation = 0.3 (p = 0.01, significant at 0.05 level).



Figure 12. Correlation between thiamin intake and gain in head circumference in all infants. Spearman's correlation = 0.3 (p = 0.02, significant at 0.05 level).



Figure 13. Correlation between riboflavin intake and gain in head circumference in all infants. Spearman's correlation = 0.28 (p = 0.02, significant at 0.05 level).



Figure 14. Correlation between iron intake and gain in head circumference in all infants. Spearman's correlation = 0.31 (p = 0.01, significant at 0.05 level).



Figure 15. Correlation between phosphorus intake and gain in head circumference in all infants. Spearman's correlation = 0.3 (p = 0.03, significant at 0.05 level).

#### **5.5 Discussion**

# 5.5.1 Socio-demographic characteristics and feeding patterns

It is highly important to determine the socio-demographic factors that are associated with the feeding patterns of breastfed infants. This will allow a focus on those factors, which will in turn help in the future promotion of optimal feeding practices. Although we found similar baseline socio-demographic characteristics among the groups, our results showed that a number of socio-demographic factors were associated with selective feeding patterns of the infants. The rates of later introduction of solid foods were associated with the following maternal factors: mothers with lower parity (OR for lower parity = 0.2, CI: 0.09, 0.9), mothers who received multi-vitamins during breastfeeding (OR for vitamin supplementation during breastfeeding = 0.3, CI: 0.1, 1.9), and mothers who worked during the year preceding delivery (OR for having worked during the previous 12 months = 0.09, CI: 0.01, 0.5). Our data indicate that the factor of households with a higher number of occupants was associated with earlier introduction of solid foods (OR = 0.2, CI: 0.05, 0.7). The results show that there was a relation between lower maternal prepregnancy BMI and lower number of CFs given to the infants (OR = 3.3, CI: 1.0, 10.7). To our knowledge, few studies conducted in North America have examined the relationship between socio-demographic characteristics and infant feeding patterns. In the US Infant Feeding Practices Study II (IFPS II), the association between maternal education level and feeding practices was examined among 2400 mothers (46). Undesirable feeding practices of complementary feeding were more likely to be found

among lower-educated mothers. For example, introduction of CFs before 4 months of age was significantly higher among women with high school education or less compared with women with college education (35.8%, p < 0.001). Moreover, similar to our findings, the IFPS II found no association between maternal education and the numbers of times of receiving CFs per day (p = 0.26) (46). It has also been found that noncompliance with child and maternal health recommendations was inversely associated with socioeconomic status (47). The Feeding Infants and Toddlers (FIT) study, was a large cross-sectional examination of 3022 infants and toddlers conducted in the United States. It evaluated infant and toddler feeding in relation to multiple factors such as, nutrient intake, feeding practices and socio-demographic characteristics (48). One particular analysis within the FIT study assessed the maternal and child characteristics associated with the adherence to American Academy of Pediatrics feeding guidelines and specific feeding patterns (49). The FIT study found that later introduction of solids or exclusive breastfeeding up to 6 months was associated with higher maternal level of education (OR = 3.2) and being married (OR = 2.0). We did not find similar results in the present study owing to the small sample size compared with that of the FIT study. With regard to household occupants, the FIT study observed a negative association (OR, 0.6; p < 0.5) between having additional children (occupants) younger than age 18 years and continuing breastfeeding until 12 months of age. Moreover, The FIT study also reported that mothers who introduced CF between the age of 4 and 6 months had more college education (OR =2.0), lived in the western region of the United States (OR = 2.1), had a history of previous breastfeeding (OR = 1.7), were of higher age (OR = 1.05), and their current infant was the firstborn (OR = 1.4) (49). With respect to maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, it was found that

higher maternal BMI was strongly associated with earlier introduction of CFs in a cohort of breastfed infants (50). Although our study was not powered to detect such a difference, we observed that lower maternal pre-pregnancy BMI was associated with undesirable lower CF intake (OR = 3.3, CI: 1.0, 10.7).

#### 5.5.2 Feeding patterns

Feeding patterns during infancy affect infant growth and may influence eating habits, preferences, and overall health later in life (51). By leaving the decision about the timing of the introduction of the study foods to the parents, we were able to determine whether the parents followed the EBF guideline up to 6 months of age. The present study found that among the total study subjects, only 27.3% of the infants continued with EBF until 6 months. One Canadian investigation determined that over 83% of infants were started on cereal at 3 months of age (26). The larger FIT study of 3022 infants and toddlers reported that 76% of infants were exclusively or partially breastfed at birth and that this proportion declined to 30% at 6 months (52). In 2008, the second FIT study survey revealed that there was a significant increase in the breastfeeding rate to 42.5% accompanied by delay in introduction of solid foods compared with the 2002 survey (53). Our results showed that the average age of introduction of the study CFs for all the infants was 5.4 months. In the FIT study, about two thirds of infants were given CFs at the age of 4-6 months (52). Health Canada recommends that iron-rich foods should be introduced two to three times a day to breastfed infants to ensure adequate iron intake (2). We found that the daily average number of CFs introduced to the infants was 2 times as recommended (table 7). In regards to our finding of the significant decrease in the number of breast feeds per day and in the estimated volume of milk after introduction of the three study foods (table 7). It would seem that the breastfed infants were able to regulate their total energy intake by decreasing the amount of breast milk consumed after the CFs were introduced. In confirmation of our findings, one RCT showed that infants who received CFs consumed less breast milk than those who received EBF, when measured using a stable isotope method (34). Another RCT conducted in a developing country found that mean breast milk intake was similar at baseline between two groups of breastfed infants, however, it decreased significantly later in the group receiving CFs than in the group with continued exclusive breastfeeding (33). Further more, a one longitudinal study reported that breastfed infants who had more than six daily feeds consumed less CF than breastfed infants who had fewer than six breast milk feeds (54).

### 5.5.3 Nutrient intake

The dietary assessment revealed nutrient adequate first CFs that complied with the daily recommendations, with few exceptions that will be discussed below. Though the reported mean nutrient intakes were for 0-6 months of age, it is important to note that the corresponding recommendations for this age were based on breast milk intake only (28, 29, 36, 55). The current data and previous data consistently show that breast milk continues to make a major nutritional contribution to the breastfed infant's diet (56). In the present study, the macronutrient intake from breast milk alone and from breast milk with solid food met the recommendations for carbohydrates (60 g/day). However, when infants in M group were introduced to solid food, their carbohydrate intake was significantly lower than in the two cereal groups, though it remained within the recommended daily amount. This difference was predominantly due to the composition of meat which has less carbohydrate than cereal, and resulted in the significant difference in

energy intake between M group and the two cereal groups. The provision of all three types of foods led to a significant increase in protein intake in all the groups. Although infants in M group had significantly lower energy intake, their protein intake was comparable with that of the two cereal groups. Fat intake before and after introduction of the study foods exceeded the recommended total fat intake of 31 g/day. Fat intake did not increase significantly after the introduction of solid foods due to the displacement of breast milk intake by the introduction of CFs (24). Moreover, breast milk has a relatively high fat content compared with most CFs (56). Breast milk provides a considerable quantity of micronutrients (56), but the present study found that the estimated amount of breast milk alone did not satisfy the recommended daily amount of vitamin A, vitamin D, thiamin, zinc and magnesium for infants aged 0-6 months. However, after introduction of the three study foods, breast milk and CF together resulted in fulfillment of the micronutrient requirements of infants in that age group. The iron intake of all the infants in the three study groups was adequate (tables 13 & 14). In a Honduran RCT of 139 breastfed infants, the nutrient intake from either breast milk (n = 50) or from CF in addition to breast milk (n = 89) was assessed. It was found that iron intake from breast milk alone was inadequate, whereas the iron intake from breast milk together with CF was adequate and it significantly differed from the EBF infant group (0.17 mg/day, 4.3 mg/day, p < 0.001) (32). In a recent cross-sectional survey of 21 infants aged 0-6 months living in Baltimore, USA, it was found that the mean macronutrient and micronutrient intake was adequate for this age group (57). Comparable studies have also determined that infants exceeded the recommended macronutrient and the micronutrient levels (58, 59).

The WHO has estimated that the requirements from CFs alone for children living in developing countries is 269 Kcal/day for infants aged 6-8 months. This was calculated by subtracting the amount of energy and nutrients provided by breast milk from age-specific estimates of children's average nutrient and energy needs (56). For infants living in developed countries, Dewey has estimated the required energy and nutrient intake from CFs directly usine DRIs and WHO guidelines (60). Other studies have estimated energy and protein intake, which were believed to represent the normal "usual intake" of American infants (61). Based on the recommended intakes from CFs alone (28, 29, 36, 55, 60), the estimated energy intake from the groups was considered adequate except for meat which provided 22% (62.8 Kcal/day) of the recommendation of CFs. To our knowledge, only one Canadian survey has thoroughly examined infants' nutrient intake from CFs alone (26). In that study, it was estimated that CFs contributed a daily mean of 118 Kcal and 197 Kcal to the total diet at 4 and 6 months respectively, that accounted for 41.9% and 70%, respectively, of the recommended daily intake from CFs. Dewey et al. (60) found that CFs provided an estimated energy of 129 Kcal/day for infants aged 6-8 months; that compares with the findings of 223 Kcal, 294 Kcal and 62.8 Kcal from ironfortified cereal, iron-fortified cereal with fruit and meat respectively, provided for infants aged 4-6 months in the present study. Similar to our findings lower carbohydrate intake from CFs alone was also observed in the previous Canadian study: the mean carbohydrate intake for infant aged 4 and 6 months was 22 g/day and 37 g/day respectively (26). Our study found no significant differences in protein intake from CFs between the three feeding groups, which met the recommended protein intake from CFs. The Darling study of breastfed (n = 73) and formula-fed (n = 46) American infants observed no significant

differences in energy and protein intake from CFs between the two groups (62). The mean protein intake from CFs was 2.1 g/day in breastfed and 2.3 g/day in formula-fed infants aged 6 months, that was lower than the recommended amount of protein from CFs of 7 g/day. Our finding of lower fat contribution from iron-fortified cereal and meat is in line with the previous Canadian study for infants aged 4-6 months (26). The fat intake from CFs for the ages of 4 and 6 months was 2 g/day and 3 g/day respectively (26). With regard to vitamins in the present study, consumption of the two iron-fortified cereals provided the recommended amount of thiamin, riboflavin, and vitamin B<sub>12</sub>; but it did not fulfill the required amount of vitamin A, vitamin C, and niacin. Meat consumption provided 2.1 µg/day of vitamin A. However, consumption of meat did not meet the required amounts of all other vitamins. Compared with the results of the previous Canadian study, we found that the vitamin intake from the CFs was adequate for infants aged 6 months, except for vitamins C and D (26). It should be noted that the calculated nutrient intakes in the previous Canadian study were derived from the consumption of different types of CFs. Similar findings were obtained in the Darling study which was conducted on 46 breastfed infants in the United States, the average vitamin content provided by CFs was generally satisfactory for infants aged 6-8 months for such vitamins as thiamin, riboflavin, and niacin (62). According to the findings of the present study, mean iron intake from meat did not satisfy the desired amount from CFs alone (table 14), however, the amount of iron intake from the two cereal groups was two- to threefold greater than required. This was due to the fact that only iron-fortified cereal contains appreciable amount of iron (8.8 mg per 28 g of cereal, table 5); canned meat would provide about 2-10% of the daily required iron per 100 ml container (table 5). Our finding

of low intake of zinc (from cereals only) and calcium from the study CFs alone is in agreement with the results of the Darling study. The mean intake of zinc and calcium was 0.4 mg and 73 mg, respectively, which met only 36% and 52% of the recommended level (62). The previous Canadian study determined that the mean iron intake of 6-month old infants accounted for 81% of the recommendation for CFs alone (26). In a recent Icelandic RCT, 100 breast fed infants were randomized to receive CFs at either 4 or 6 months of age. Analysis of the nutrient intake from CFs alone at 5.25 months for the infants who received CFs revealed that the intake of iron, calcium, vitamin D and vitamin C fell below the recommended daily intake for infants aged 6-11 months (63). Although it has been reported that infant cereal is the most important complementary source of all minerals (64), our data show that consumption of cereals alone was not able to meet the recommendations for CFs for sodium, magnesium and phosphorus.

#### 5.5.4 Growth

In the present study, no significant differences were observed between the three feeding groups in terms of weight gain and length gain when infants were fed CFs for either 2-3 weeks or 3-4 weeks duration. However, we found that feeding meat for 2-3 weeks resulted in a significant difference in gain in head circumference compared with infants who received cereal over the same duration (table 15). When we conducted an analysis of growth rate by selective feeding practices, we found that infants with higher weight-gain z-scores were 3 times more likely to have their solid feeding started earlier than infants with lower weight gain z-scores (OR = 3.0, CI: 1.0, 9.3, p < 0.001). Among infants in all groups, total energy and carbohydrate intake was positively correlated with a gain in head circumference (figures 11 & 12). The intake of thiamin, riboflavin, iron and phosphorus

was also positively correlated with a gain in head circumference (figures 13, 14, 15 and 16). The available evidence from North America on the effects of different types of complementary feeding on growth in breastfed infants is limited. In a recent RCT conducted in the United States, the linear growth and weight gain of breastfed infants fed either iron-fortified cereal, zinc- and iron-fortified cereal or meat were not significantly different between the three feeding groups (35). A number of studies have examined the effect of age of CF introduction on growth. Our meta-analysis (Chapter IV), found no significant effect of earlier solid food introduction on the growth of EBF infants in both developed and developing countries. Kramer and Kakuma conducted a large Cochrane review on the effect of duration of exclusive breastfeeding and concluded that neither RCTs nor observational studies conducted in developed and developing countries found inadequacy in terms of gain in weight, length and head circumference for infants who continued to receive EBF for 6 months (65). In agreement with our findings, a significant positive association between earlier CF introduction (before 16 weeks) and infant weight gain was observed in a large cohort of Danish infants (50). In an RCT conducted in Denver, USA, breastfed infants were randomized to receive either commercial beef or iron-fortified cereal (66). Infants in the meat group showed a significant higher gain in head circumference than those in the cereal group. With regard to the association between growth and nutrient intake, a study conducted in the United Kingdom found no significant association between energy intake and weight gain among 299 breastfed infants which is inline with our findings (43). In a longitudinal study, modest meat intake of < 28.3 g/day was significantly associated with gain in weight during infancy, and with psycho-motor development at 24 months of age (67). Finally, a recent systematic review of RCTs

suggested that the evidence from developing and developed countries is inconclusive regarding the relationship between the effect of micronutrient-fortified cereal and infant growth (68).

#### 5.6 Limitations

One advantage of the present study over previous cross-sectional investigations is the RCT design, which is the gold standard in nutrition research (69). Another strength of our study is the use of analysis of repeated measures before and after the introduction of CFs, that provided important information about nutrient intake within individuals. Although te present study design offers a high level of evidence and important findings, our study sample is limited by including only breastfed infants that has been previously linked with specific demographic factors such as higher educated mothers, thus we have to interpret our findings with caution. In addition, there is also a possibility of underrepresentation of parents from minority groups, and those from lower socio-economic status. One important limitation to note is that we may have under- or over-estimated the volume of breast milk intake by using an approximate volume of milk consumed per minute of feeding (125 ml/minute). Although there is a possibility of recall bias through using the 3day dietary record, the consumption of other foods was limited by the provision of the study foods and due to the fact that during this time period there is less possibility of daily variability in intake (70).

# 5.7 Conclusion

Longer duration of breastfeeding and delayed introduction of solid foods are both positive trends and desirable goals for health-care professionals. Several important findings

emerge from the present study. Our data appear to indicate that the exclusive breastfeeding mothers did not heed the Health Canada message given the average age of introduction of solids of 5.4 months. Information about the transition to CFs should be conveyed to breastfeeding mothers in an easily understadable manner that emphasize the type, time and the frequency of CFs. Intake of both macronutrients and micronutrients is adequate if the sources are both breast milk and CFs, however, EBF infants may be at risk of micronutrient deficiency especially if breast milk intake decreases over time. A lower consumption of specific vitamins and minerals through introducing meat is only a concern if no other types of CFs are consumed. Given the small stomach capacity of infants in this age group, and the high demand of nutrients required for growth, both ironfortified cereal and meat could be offered as the first CFs since each food type will complement the other as well as breast milk. With regard to growth, our findings substantiate the relation between earlier CF introduction and gain in weight. Although our study has a small sample size compared with previous epidemiological studies of infant feeding practices, we found that breastfed infant feeding patterns were related to caregivers' socio-demographic characteristics. The overall findings presented here yield important insights to the possible content of future recommendations. These are needed to improve infant feeding patterns and indicate the most beneficial type of first CFs for infants, which is of paramount importance for overall health later in life. In future, special attention need to be devoted to educating the health-care providers who advise breastfeeding mothers on the type, time and frequency of first complementary feeding. More RCTs and observational studies with larger sample sizes need to be conducted in

Canada to support building a definitive evidence base for the optimal complementary feeding of EBF infants.

# **5.8 References**

 World Health Organization. Global Strategy for Infant and Young Child Feeding, The Optimal Duration of Exclusive Breastfeeding. Geneva: WHO 2001 [cited 2013 October 7]. Available from:http://www.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf\_files/WHA54/ea54id4.pdf.
 Health Canada, Canadian Paediatric Society, Dietitians of Canada, Breastfeeding Committee for Canada. Nutrition for healthy term infants: recommendations from birth to six months. Can J Diet Pract Res : a publication of Dietitians of Canada = Revue canadienne de la pratique et de la recherche en dietetique : une publication des Dietetistes du Canada. 2012 Winter;73(4):204.

3. Shrimpton R, Victora CG, de Onis M, Lima RC, Blossner M, Clugston G. Worldwide timing of growth faltering: implications for nutritional interventions. Pediatrics. 2001 May;107(5):E75.

4. Lozoff B. Iron deficiency and child development. Food Nutr Bull. 2007 Dec;28(4 Suppl):S560-71.

5. Butte NFL-A, M. G. Garza, C. Nutrient adequacy of exclusive breastfeeding for the term infant during the first six months of life. Geneva. World Health Organization 2002 [cited 2013 November 17]. Available from:

http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/infantfeeding/9241562110/en/.

Kramer MS, Aboud F, Mironova E, Vanilovich I, Platt RW, Matush L, et al.
 Breastfeeding and child cognitive development: new evidence from a large randomized trial. Arch Gen Psychiat. 2008 May;65(5):578-84.

7. Kramer MS, Fombonne E, Igumnov S, Vanilovich I, Matush L, Mironova E, et al.
Effects of prolonged and exclusive breastfeeding on child behavior and maternal adjustment: evidence from a large, randomized trial. Pediatrics. 2008 Mar;121(3):e435-40.

 Subar AF, Krebs-Smith SM, Cook A, Kahle LL. Dietary sources of nutrients among US adults, 1989 to 1991. J Am Diet Assoc. 1998 May;98(5):537-47.

9. Brown KH. Breastfeeding and complementary feeding of children up to 2 years of age.
Nestle Nutrition workshop series Paediatric programme. 2007;60:1-10; discussion -3.
10. Smithers LG, Golley RK, Brazionis L, Emmett P, Northstone K, Lynch JW. Dietary patterns of infants and toddlers are associated with nutrient intakes. Nutrients. 2012

Aug;4(8):935-48.

11. Ventura AK, Birch LL. Does parenting affect children's eating and weight status? IntJ Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2008;5:15.

12. Smithers LG, Brazionis L, Golley RK, Mittinty MN, Northstone K, Emmett P, et al. Associations between dietary patterns at 6 and 15 months of age and sociodemographic factors. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2012 Jun;66(6):658-66.

13. Robinson S, Marriott L, Poole J, Crozier S, Borland S, Lawrence W, et al. Dietary patterns in infancy: the importance of maternal and family influences on feeding practice.Brit J Nutr. 2007 Nov;98(5):1029-37.

14. Okubo H, Miyake Y, Sasaki S, Tanaka K, Murakami K, Hirota Y, et al. Dietary patterns in infancy and their associations with maternal socio-economic and lifestyle factors among 758 Japanese mother-child pairs: the Osaka Maternal and Child Health Study. Matern Child Nutr. 2014 Apr;10(2):213-25.

15. Griffiths LJ, Smeeth L, Hawkins SS, Cole TJ, Dezateux C. Effects of infant feeding practice on weight gain from birth to 3 years. Arch of Dis Child. 2009 Aug;94(8):577-82.
16. Dewey KG. Growth characteristics of breast-fed compared to formula-fed infants.
Biol Neonate. 1998;74(2):94-105.

17. Dewey KG. Growth patterns of breastfed infants and the current status of growth charts for infants. J Human lact. 1998 Jun;14(2):89-92.

18. Schack-Nielsen L, Sorensen T, Mortensen EL, Michaelsen KF. Late introduction of complementary feeding, rather than duration of breastfeeding, may protect against adult overweight. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010 Mar;91(3):619-27.

19. Moorcroft KE, Marshall JL, McCormick FM. Association between timing of introducing solid foods and obesity in infancy and childhood: a systematic review. Matern Child Nutr. 2011 Jan;7(1):3-26.

20. Smithers LG, Golley RK, Mittinty MN, Brazionis L, Northstone K, Emmett P, et al. Dietary patterns at 6, 15 and 24 months of age are associated with IQ at 8 years of age. Eur J of epidemiol. 2012 Jul;27(7):525-35.

21. Luccioli S, Zhang Y, Verrill L, Ramos-Valle M, Kwegyir-Afful E. Infant feeding practices and reported food allergies at 6 years of age. Pediatrics. 2014 Sep;134 Suppl 1:S21-8.

22. World Health Organization. Complementary feeding of young children in developing countries: a review of current scientific knowledge 1998 [cited 2014 February 2]. Available from:

http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/infantfeeding/WHO\_NUT\_98.1/en/.

23. Birch L, Savage JS, Ventura A. Influences on the Development of Children's Eating
Behaviours: From Infancy to Adolescence. Can J Diet Pract Res: a publication of
Dietitians of Canada = Revue canadienne de la pratique et de la recherche en dietetique :
une publication des Dietetistes du Canada. 2007;68(1):s1-s56.

24. Grummer-Strawn LM, Scanlon KS, Fein SB. Infant feeding and feeding transitions during the first year of life. Pediatrics. 2008 Oct;122 Suppl 2:S36-42.

25. Krebs NF, Hambidge KM. Complementary feeding: clinically relevant factors affecting timing and composition. Am J Clin Nutr. 2007 Feb;85(2):639S-45S.

26. Friel JK, Hanning RM, Isaak CA, Prowse D, Miller AC. Canadian infants' nutrient intakes from complementary foods during the first year of life. BMC Pediat. 2010;10:43.
27. National Research Council. Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin A, Vitamin K, Arsenic, Boron, Chromium, Copper, Iodine, Iron, Manganese, Molybdenum, Nickel, Silicon, Vanadium, and Zinc. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2001.

[cited 2014 April, 23]. Available from:http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record\_id=10026.

28. Dietary Reference Intakes for Thiamin, Riboflavin, Niacin, Vitamin B6, Folate,

Vitamin B12, Pantothenic Acid, Biotin, and Choline. The National Academies Collection:

Reports funded by National Institutes of Health. Washington DC: The National

Academies Press, 1998.

29. Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin C, Vitamin E, Selenium, and Carotenoids.Washington DC: The National Academies Press, 2000.

30. Koletzko B, von Kries R, Closa R, Escribano J, Scaglioni S, Giovannini M, et al. Lower protein in infant formula is associated with lower weight up to age 2 y: a randomized clinical trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2009 Jun;89(6):1836-45. 31. Krebs NF, Mazariegos M, Tshefu A, Bose C, Sami N, Chomba E, et al. Meat consumption is associated with less stunting among toddlers in four diverse low-income settings. Food Nutr Bull. 2011 Sep;32(3):185-91.

32. Dewey KG, Cohen RJ, Rivera LL, Brown KH. Effects of age of introduction of complementary foods on iron status of breast-fed infants in Honduras. Am J Clin Nutr. 1998 May;67(5):878-84.

33. Cohen RJ, Brown KH, Canahuati J, Rivera LL, Dewey KG. Effects of age of introduction of complementary foods on infant breast milk intake, total energy intake, and growth: a randomised intervention study in Honduras. Lancet. 1994 Jul

30;344(8918):288-93.

34. Wells JC, Jonsdottir OH, Hibberd PL, Fewtrell MS, Thorsdottir I, Eaton S, et al. Randomized controlled trial of 4 compared with 6 mo of exclusive breastfeeding in Iceland: differences in breast-milk intake by stable-isotope probe. Am J Clin Nutr. 2012 Jul;96(1):73-9.

35. Krebs NF, Sherlock LG, Westcott J, Culbertson D, Hambidge KM, Feazel LM, et al. Effects of different complementary feeding regimens on iron status and enteric microbiota in breastfed infants. J Pediatr. 2013 Aug;163(2):416-23.

36. Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin A, Vitamin K, Arsenic, Boron, Chromium,Copper, Iodine, Iron, Manganese, Molybdenum, Nickel, Silicon, Vanadium, and Zinc.Washington DC: The National Academies Press, 2001.

37. Li W, Hydamaka A, Beta T. Comparison of antioxidant capacities and phenolic compounds in berries, chokecherry, and seabuckthorn. Cent Eur J Biol. 2009;4:499-506.

38. Beekwilder J, Jonker H, Meesters P, Hall RD, van der Meer IM, Ric de Vos CH. Antioxidants in raspberry: on-line analysis links antioxidant activity to a diversity of individual metabolites. J Agric Food Chem. 2005 May 4;53(9):3313-20.

39. Li WF, J. Beta, T. An evaluation of the antioxidant properties and aroma quality of infants cereals. Food Chem. 2010;121(4):1095-102.

40. de Onis M, Onyango AW, Borghi E, Garza C, Yang H, Group WHOMGRS.

Comparison of the World Health Organization (WHO) Child Growth Standards and the National Center for Health Statistics/WHO international growth reference: implications for child health programmes. Public Health Nutr. 2006 Oct;9(7):942-7.

41. World Health Organization. Anthro for personal computer version 3.2.2 2011 [cited2014 September 5]. Available from:

http://www.who.int.proxy2.lib.umanitoba.ca/childgrowth/software/en/.

42. A health professional's guide for using the new WHO growth charts. Paediatr Child Health. 2010 Feb;15(2):84-98.

43. Emmett PM, Jones LR. Diet and growth in infancy: relationship to socioeconomic background and to health and development in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. Nutr Rev. 2014 Aug;72(8):483-506.

44. Ong KK, Emmett PM, Noble S, Ness A, Dunger DB, Team AS. Dietary energy intake at the age of 4 months predicts postnatal weight gain and childhood body mass index. Pediatrics. 2006 Mar;117(3):e503-8.

45. Orozco MN, Solomons NW, Schumann K, Friel JK, de Montenegro AL. Antioxidantrich oral supplements attenuate the effects of oral iron on in situ oxidation susceptibility of human feces. J Nutr. 2010 Jun;140(6):1105-10. 46. Fein SB, Labiner-Wolfe J, Scanlon KS, Grummer-Strawn LM. Selected complementary feeding practices and their association with maternal education. Pediatrics. 2008 Oct;122 Suppl 2:S91-7.

47. Gazmararian JA, Adams MM, Pamuk ER. Associations between measures of socioeconomic status and maternal health behavior. Am J Prev Med. 1996 Mar-Apr;12(2):108-15.

48. Devaney B, Kalb L, Briefel R, Zavitsky-Novak T, Clusen N, Ziegler P. Feeding infants and toddlers study: overview of the study design. J Am Diet Assoc. 2004 Jan;104(1 Suppl 1):s8-13.

49. Hendricks K, Briefel R, Novak T, Ziegler P. Maternal and child characteristics associated with infant and toddler feeding practices. J Am Diet Assoc. 2006 Jan;106(1 Suppl 1):S135-48.

50. Baker JL, Michaelsen KF, Rasmussen KM, Sorensen TI. Maternal prepregnant body mass index, duration of breastfeeding, and timing of complementary food introduction are associated with infant weight gain. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004 Dec;80(6):1579-88.

51. Section on Breastfeeding. Breastfeeding and the use of human milk. Pediatrics. 2012 Mar;129(3):e827-41.

52. Briefel RR, Reidy K, Karwe V, Devaney B. Feeding infants and toddlers study: Improvements needed in meeting infant feeding recommendations. J Am Diet Assoc.2004 Jan;104(1 Suppl 1):s31-7.

53. Butte NF, Fox MK, Briefel RR, Siega-Riz AM, Dwyer JT, Deming DM, et al. Nutrient intakes of US infants, toddlers, and preschoolers meet or exceed dietary reference intakes. J Am Diet Assoc. 2010 Dec;110(12 Suppl):S27-37. 54. Hopkins D, Emmett P, Steer C, Rogers I, Noble S, Emond A. Infant feeding in the second 6 months of life related to iron status: an observational study. Arch Dis Chil. 2007 Oct;92(10):850-4.

55. Trumbo P, Schlicker S, Yates AA, Poos M, Food, Nutrition Board of the Institute of Medicine TNA. Dietary reference intakes for energy, carbohydrate, fiber, fat, fatty acids, cholesterol, protein and amino acids. J Am Diet Assoc. 2002 Nov;102(11):1621-30.
56. World Health Organization. Guiding principles for complementary feeding of the breastfed child 2003 [cited 2014 October, 8]. Available from: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/paho/2003/a85622.pdf.

57. Sharma S, Kolahdooz F, Butler L, Budd N, Rushovich B, Mukhina GL, et al. Assessing dietary intake among infants and toddlers 0-24 months of age in Baltimore, Maryland, USA. Nutr J. 2013;12(1):52.

58. Devaney B, Ziegler P, Pac S, Karwe V, Barr SI. Nutrient intakes of infants and toddlers. J Am Diet Assoc. 2004 Jan;104(1 Suppl 1):s14-21.

59. Ponza M, Devaney B, Ziegler P, Reidy K, Squatrito C. Nutrient intakes and food choices of infants and toddlers participating in WIC. J Am Diet Assoc. 2004 Jan;104(1 Suppl 1):s71-9.

60. Dewey KG. Nutrition, growth, and complementary feeding of the breastfed infant. Pediat Clin North Am. 2001 Feb;48(1):87-104.

61. Butte NF, Wong WW, Hopkinson JM, Heinz CJ, Mehta NR, Smith EO. Energy requirements derived from total energy expenditure and energy deposition during the first 2 y of life. Am J Clin Nutr. 2000 Dec;72(6):1558-69.

62. Heinig MJ, Nommsen LA, Peerson JM, Lonnerdal B, Dewey KG. Energy and protein intakes of breast-fed and formula-fed infants during the first year of life and their association with growth velocity: the DARLING Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 1993 Aug;58(2):152-61.

63. Jonsdottir OH, Thorsdottir I, Hibberd PL, Fewtrell MS, Wells JC, Palsson GI, et al. Timing of the introduction of complementary foods in infancy: a randomized controlled trial. Pediatrics. 2012 Dec;130(6):1038-45.

64. Fox MK, Reidy K, Novak T, Ziegler P. Sources of energy and nutrients in the diets of infants and toddlers. J Am Diet Assoc. 2006 Jan;106(1 Suppl 1):S28-42.

65. Kramer MS, Kakuma R. Optimal duration of exclusive breastfeeding. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;8:CD003517.

66. Jalla S, Westcott J, Steirn M, Miller LV, Bell M, Krebs NF. Zinc absorption and exchangeable zinc pool sizes in breast-fed infants fed meat or cereal as first complementary food. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2002 Jan;34(1):35-41.

67. Morgan J, Taylor A, Fewtrell M. Meat consumption is positively associated with psychomotor outcome in children up to 24 months of age. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2004 Nov;39(5):493-8.

68. Eichler K, Wieser S, Ruthemann I, Brugger U. Effects of micronutrient fortified milk and cereal food for infants and children: a systematic review. BMC Public Health.2012;12:506.

69. AbuMweis SS, Jew S, Jones PJ. Optimizing clinical trial design for assessing the efficacy of functional foods. Nutr Rev. 2010 Aug;68(8):485-99.

70. Nelson M, Black AE, Morris JA, Cole TJ. Between- and within-subject variation in nutrient intake from infancy to old age: estimating the number of days required to rank dietary intakes with desired precision. Am J Clin Nutr. 1989 Jul;50(1):155-67.

#### **BRIDGE TO CHAPTER VI**

Manuscript V showed that the consumption of iron-fortified cereal appears more efficient than consumption of meat in satisfying the recommendation from complementary foods only for macro and micronutrients. The following chapter consists of a manuscript which presents another assessment and a comparison of the safety of the recommended first complementary foods from oxidative stress status and inflammatory perspective in the clinical trial described in chapter V. Wafaa Qasem was the principal author of the manuscript and the coordinator of the clinical trial. Wafaa Qasem drafted the manuscript, helped in the data collection and in the analyses. Dr. Meghan Azad helped in the data analysis, and reviewed the manuscript. Dr. Zakir Hossain helped in the data analysis. Sarah Jorgensen, Sandra Castillo San Juan, and Chenxi Cai helped in the data collection. Dr. Ehsan Khafipour helped in the data analysis and will review the manuscript. Dr. L.Jackson Roberts helped in the data analysis. Dr. Trust Beta, helped in the study design and the data collection methods, and she will review the manuscript. Dr. James Friel was the principal investigator of this clinical trial. He conceptualized and designed the study, designed the data collection methods, and coordinated and supervised data collection, reviewed the manuscript, and approved the final manuscript.

#### **CHAPTER VI**

# **MANUSCRIPT 5**

# ASSESSMENT OF COMPLEMENTARY FEEDING OF CANADIAN INFANTS: EFFECTS OF IRON RICH FOODS ON IRON STATUS AND OXIDATIVE STRESS MARKERS

This manuscript is currently under internal review and will be submitted to the journal Peditaric Research for review for publication (2015)

Wafaa Qasem<sup>1,2</sup>, Meghan Azad<sup>3,4</sup>, Zakir Hossain<sup>1,2</sup>, Sarah Jorgensen<sup>2</sup>, Sandra Castillo

San Juan<sup>1,2</sup>, Chenxi Cai<sup>1,2</sup>, Ehsan Khafipour<sup>5</sup>, L. Jackson Roberts<sup>6</sup>, Trust Beta<sup>2,7</sup>

James Friel<sup>1,2,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Human Nutritional Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Richardson Centre for Functional Foods and Nutraceuticals, 196 Innovation Drive,

University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pediatrics & Child Health, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB,

Canada

<sup>4</sup>Children's Hospital Research Institute of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

<sup>5</sup>Department of Animal Science and Department of Medical Microbiology & Infectious

Diseases, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

<sup>6</sup>Department of Pharmacology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

<sup>7</sup>Department of Food Science, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

**Corresponding Author:** James Friel, Richardson Centre for Functional Foods and Nutraceuticals, 196 Innovation Drive, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada R3T 6C5, Email: [James.Friel@umanitoba.ca], Phone: +1(204) 474-8682, Fax: 1(204) 474-7552

Running Title: Assessment of infant's complementary feeding

#### **Statement of financial support**

All phases of this clinical trial were supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR), and the authors have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

# Category of study: randomized clinical trial

## 6.1 Abstract

**Background:** Health Canada recommends exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) until six months followed by introducing iron-rich complementary foods (CFs). There is a concern that consumption of these CFs with high iron dose may predispose infants to inflammation through reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation in their intestinal tract. The aim of this study was to assess if the recommended CFs were safe from a free radical and inflammatory perspective and to assess if these CFs maintain the iron status of the breastfed infants. **Methods:** 87 EBF infants were randomly assigned to receive one of the following: iron-fortified cereal (Cer), iron-fortified cereal with fruit (Cer+Fr), meat (M). Blood, urine and stool samples were collected to assess iron status, ROS generation, and inflammatory markers. **Results:** There was a significant decrease in plasma ferritin over time in all groups (p = 0.04). A significant increase in fecal ROS formation (p < 0.002) after the introduction of CFs was observed, with meat group having the lowest concentration. **Conclusions:** EBF infants may be at risk of developing iron deficiency despite the provision of any type of iron rich CFs. Untargeted iron fortification of infant cereals may result in untoward effects including ROS generation in the intestinal tract.

#### **6.2 Introduction**

By 6 months of age, breastfed infants become dependent on complementary foods (CFs) as a source of iron to prevent iron deficiency (ID), as a result of declining of the iron stores present at birth and low levels of iron in breast milk (1-3). Health Canada recommends the introduction of traditional iron-fortified cereal and meat as first CFs in order to meet the iron requirements of the growing infants and to prevent ID and iron deficiency anemia (IDA) during infancy which can have devastating effects on neurodevelopment (4, 5). In North America, iron is provided most commonly through iron-fortified cereals (6, 7). These cereals contain non-heme electrolytic iron, which is absorbed at a rate of < 5% (8, 9). Meat provides the more readily absorbed heme iron with an absorption rate of 35% (10). However, we found that meat was among the least common CFs introduced to Canadian infants (6).

Available evidence suggests an association between excessive iron exposure in the intestinal tract and initiation of inflammatory process by reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation (11, 12). With such a high amount of iron in fortified cereals (25-30 mg of iron/100g dry weight) and low absorption rates, a concern has been raised about the possibility of the iron in these CFs causing ROS generation and inflammation to the large intestine of the infants (13, 14). Cumulative evidence indicates that anti-oxidant rich foods such as fruits prevent ROS generation thus inflammation (15-17). A study

conducted on adults who received high iron dosage of 120 mg/day for 2 separate 7-days periods, has implicated iron as a stimulator of oxidative stress and inflammation (14) which was ameliorated with a concurrent administartion of an anioxidant supplement. Infants introduced to iron-fortified cereals are receiving an equivalent dose of iron per kilogram body weight and may be producing ROS, leaving them prone to inflammation (11, 12). To date, no studies have considered the iron-fortified cereal and meat from the ROS generation and inflammatory perspective. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess and determine the safety of the traditional (iron-fortified cereal) and the newly recommended (meat) first CFs in regards to ROS generation and inflammation, to determine if the presence of antioxidant (fruit) in the iron-fortified cereal reduce the oxidative effect of iron in the intestinal tract and to assess the efficacy of these foods in maintaining the iron status of the breastfed infants.

#### 6.3 Methods

The study design, ethical approval, study subjects, study foods, dietary analysis, sample size determination and statistical analysis were previously reported in chapter V. In brief, 87 full term healthy EBF infants were randomly assigned to one of three study foods: iron-fortified cereal (Cer), iron-fortified cereal with fruit (Cer+Fr), meat (M). Random allocation sequence for the three feeding groups was generated using computer generated random numbers. This was done by the statistician of the Children's Hospital Research Institute of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba using SAS/STAT® software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The caregivers of the participating infants were blinded to the allocation in this trial. These foods were the first CFs introduced to the infants for a period of 2-4 weeks. Parents decided when to begin complementary feeding and reported

this date. The study consisted of two visits in which blood (optional), urine, stool, dietary records and growth measurements were collected. In addition, a questionnaire was completed at the first visit to obtain socio-demographic characteristics and infant feeding patterns. Detailed descriptions of the method sections of the socio-demographic characteristics and the anthropometric measurements were reported in chapter V. Blood samples of 18 infants were collected to measure plasma ferritin and hemoglobin concentrations. Plasma ferritin concentration is the method of choice and the most specific test that reflects total body iron stores (18). Hence, ID was defined as plasma ferritin < 12  $\mu$ g/l. Iron deficiency anemia was defined as hemoglobin level of < 110 g/l in combination with plasma ferritin level of < 12  $\mu$ g/l (18).

#### 6.3.1 Sample collection

#### 6.3.1.1 Blood sample

Blood samples of 0.5 ml were drawn by the research nurse at the Children's Hospital Research Institute of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba. The blood samples were obtained using BD Microtainer® contact activated lancets (Becton Dickinson and company ®, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, USA). This allowed a free flow of blood with minimal squeeze of the finger. The blood samples were collected in separate BD Microtainer ® MAP containing 1.0 mg of dipotassium ethylene diaminetetraacetic acid (K<sub>2</sub>EDTA) (Becton Dickinson and company ®, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, USA). Samples were placed in a cooler with ice packs and transferred to the laboratory at Richardson Centre for Functional Foods and Nutraceuticals (RCFFN), University of Manitoba, Winnipeg. Within one hour of blood collection, the samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 20
minutes to separate blood cells from plasma. The separated aliquots were stored at -80°C until analysis.

#### 6.3.1.2 Urine sample

Urine samples were collected by the infants caregivers using the pediatric urine collector (Kendall pediatric urine collector, Covidien©, Mansfield, Massachusetts, USA) provided by the study team along with instructions (Appendix 8). Samples were placed in cooler and transferred to RCFFN and separated in aliquots, stored in 1.5 ml tubes (Eppendorf® Safe-Lock tube<sup>™</sup>, Hamburg, Germany) at -80°C until analysis.

### 6.3.1.3 Fecal sample

Fecal samples were collected from soiled diapers. Instructions on sample collection along with plastic collection tubes were sent to the caregivers (Appendix 9). Samples were transported using a cooler with ice packs to the RCFFN by the study team, separated in labeled 1.5 ml tubes (Eppendorf® Safe-Lock tube<sup>™</sup>, Hamburg, Germany) and stored at - 80°C until analysis.

### 6.3.2 Laboratory methods

### 6.3.2.1 Hemoglobin

Hemoglobin was analyzed using the HemoCue meter Hb 201+ (HemoCue<sup>™</sup>, Angelholm, Sweden). The HemoCue portable photometer measures hemoglobin according to a modified azidemethemoglobin method first described by Vanzetti et al (19), which correlates with the international reference method for hemoglobin determination (International Committee for Standardization in Hematology Method) (20, 21). Basically, the sodium deoxycholate disintegrate the erythrocyte membranes, followed by the conversion of the hemoglobin iron from the ferrous form to the ferric form by nitrite resulting in methemoglobin, which binds to azide to form azidemethomoglobin. At the finger-prick blood sample collection,  $10 \ \mu$ l of the initial blood flow was applied directly on the HemoCue Hb microcuvette (HemoCue<sup>TM</sup>, Angelholm, Sweden) that contains the appropriate reagents and inserted into the HemoCue photometer for reading at two wavelengths (570 and 880 nm) to correct for turbidity. All the results were provided within 15-60 seconds. The HemoCue analyzer was a factory calibrated device against the cyanomethaemoglobin method and did not require recalibration (18).

## 6.3.2.2 Plasma ferritin

Plasma ferritin concentrations were determined using the quantitative colorimetric enzyme-linked immunoassay (ELISA) Spectro Ferritin following the manufacturer's guidelines (Ramco Laboratories Inc, Stafford, Texas, USA). Basically, in a two-stage reaction, the enzyme immunoassay allows the quantification of plasma ferritin. Stage one consisted of the binding of human serum ferritin to a solid phase antihuman ferritin, and the simultaneous binding of the purified antihuman ferritin conjugated with alkaline phosphatase to the insoluble immune-complex. Stage two consisted of a reaction of alkaline phosphatase with a substrate solution (phenylphosphate disodium and 4-aminoantipyrine). The addition of potassium ferricyanide allowed a color to develop (optical density 490-510nm), of which was directly proportional to the ferritin concentration in the sample. The kit contained the following reagents:

-Six pre-diluted ferritin calibrator solutions containing 0.3 ml human spleen ferritin calibrated to concentrations of 6, 20, 60, 200, 600, and 2000 ng/ml against WHO reference material.

- Solid phase antihuman ferritin: 96 wells coated with rabbit antihuman spleen ferritin.
- Sample dilution buffer (20 ml).
- Conjugated antihuman ferritin (23 ml).
- Substrate solution (23 ml).
- Potassium ferricyanide (15 ml).

The required number of wells were prepared and placed on the well holder and shook dry. In an amount of 10 µl of each calibrator solution and sample in duplicate were added to the prepared wells using micropipette (Research®Plus, Eppendorf Canada, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada). Two hundred microliter of the conjugated antihuman ferritin was added into all wells. On the mini-shaker (MS1 IKA®, Works Inc., North Carolina, USA) set at 180-200 rpm, the plate was incubated for 2 hours at room temperature. Following incubation, each well was filled with deionized water and washed three times. At final wash, the plate was taped against absorbent material for 30 seconds to drain. Two hundred microliter of the substrate solution was added into each well, followed by incubation for 30 minutes at room temperature. To develop the color, one hundred microliter of the 0.24% potassium ferricyanide was added to each well and mixed for 1 minute at the mini-shaker. A blank was prepared with two hundred microliter of the substrate solution and one hundred microliter of the potassium ferricyanide. Absorbance was read at 500 nm (Cary® 50 MPR Microplate Reader Varian, Agilent Technologies Canada, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada). A calibration curve was constructed by plotting the absorbance of each calibrator on the Y-axis and the corresponding ferritin concentration in ng/ml on the X-axis of the log-log graph paper provided in the kit. A curve was draw using a line drawn point to point. The average absorbance value for each

control and infant sample was calculated and the location of the average absorbance value on the Y-axis was determined. These points were followed horizontally until it intersected the calibration curve. These points of intersection were followed with the curve vertically until it intersects the X-axis. The X-axis values were the serum ferritin concentration of the controls or the infant samples. The sensitivity of the assay reported by the manufacturer had a minimum detectable concentration that can be distinguished from zero standard of 0.59 ng/ml. The highest intra-assay and the inter-assay coefficient of variation (CV) values reported were 9.6% and 8.7% respectively.

## 6.3.2.3 Urinary creatinine

The renal system has the capacity to regulate water reabsorption over one order of magnitude range; hence, a biomarker urinary concentration is dependent on its excretion rate and on the urinary flow rate. Owing to the constant amount of daily excreted creatinine and to the effects of water reabsorption on the urinary solute concentration, urinary biomarkers are expressed as a ratio to the urinary creatinine (22). Therefore, urinary creatinine concentrations were measured to correct F2-Isoprostanes levels. Urinary creatinine concentrations were determined using the Creatinine Parameter Assay kit (R&D Systems® Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) and following the manufacture's guidelines. The principle of this assay was based on Jaffe reaction, a red-orange color is formed by the interaction of creatinine and alkaline picrate solution. The alkaline picrate solution was added to the diluted samples, which were all added to a microplate followed by incubation at room temperature for 30 minutes. Concentrations of creatinine in the samples were reflected by the intensity of the color. The samples were compared to the standard curve. The kit contained the following material:

- Two 96 well microplates.
- Creatinine standard (2 mL of creatinine solution at 100 mg/dl).
- Picric acid reagent (25 ml of a 0.13% of picric acid solution).
- NaOH (5 ml of 1N sodium hydroxide).

Initially, the standard curve was prepared as follows: 200 µl of the 100 mg/dl standard was added using pipette (Research®Plus, Eppendorf Canada, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada) in a tube along with 800 µl of distal water to yield the 20 mg/dl standard. This standard solution was used to obtain a dilution series. In the remaining tubes 500 µl of distal water was added. Before each transfer, the tubes were mixed thoroughly. The distilled water was used as the zero standard (0 mg/dl) and the 20 mg/dl as the high standard.

All samples, standards and controls were prepared in duplicate. Samples were brought to room temperature initially and centrifuged at 10000 rpm for five minutes. This was followed by sample dilution in ratio of 1:10 (10 µl sample + 190 µl distilled water). Fifty microliter of each control, sample, or standard were pipetted to each well. Alkaline Picrate Solution was prepared by adding 125 ml picric acid to 2.5 ml of NaOH and mixed well. One hundred microliter of alkaline picrate solution was added to each well and incubated in room temperature for 30 minutes. The microplate reader was set to 490 nm (Cary® 50 MPR Microplate Reader Varian, Agilent Technologies Canada, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada), to obtain the optical density (OD) of each well. The averages of the duplicates for the standards and samples were calculated and the average of the zero standard OD was subtracted from these averages. The standard curve was created using Microsoft Excel software (Microsoft Corporation, Washington, USA). The mean absorbance of each standard was plotted on the Y-axis and the concentration on the Xaxis. The concentration of the samples were calculated using the formula:  $y = mx \pm c$ Since samples have been diluted, the results were multiplied by the dilution factor 10. The highest intra-assay and the inter-assay *CV* values reported were 3.5 % and 5.5% respectively.

## 6.3.2.4 Urinary F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprostanes

F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprostanes are prostaglandin like compounds produced as a result of free radical lipid peroxidation of arachidonic acid (23). Levels of urinary F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprostanes were determined by the liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS) assay method developed by Davies et al. (24). The precision and the accuracy of this assay were  $\pm 5.0\%$  and 80% respectively. The analysis was conducted in Dr. L. Jackson Roberts II laboratory, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA.

## 6.3.2.5 Fecal iron

The aim of this assay was to quantify the amount of iron in fecal sample using the commercially available spectrophotometrically-based assay Feren-B kit (Bioanalytic, Umrich, Freiburg, Germany). This method was adapted from Orozco et al. (14). The kit contained the following material:

- Iron buffer reagent.
- Reducing agent.
- Color reagent.
- Standard (140  $\mu$ g/dl Fe<sup>3+</sup>).

The analytic steps were as follows:

## 6.3.2.5.1 Sample preparation

Samples were digested with an acid mixture prepared as follows: 100 ml of 6 M 37% hydrochloric acid (HCL) (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Massachusetts, USA) was added to 100 ml 20% trichloroacetic acid (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Massachusetts, USA). 50 ml of HCL was added to make up to 100 ml (50 ml HCL + 50 ml dd H<sub>2</sub>O), the 20 ml ddH<sub>2</sub>O was first added then the acid (HCL) followed by the full amount to reach the 100 ml. Twenty grams of trichloroacetic acid were weighed (Sartorius CP, Sartorius AG, Goettingen, Germany) and added to 100 ml dd H<sub>2</sub>O. In 15 ml glass tube, 300-400 mg of each fecal sample were placed and weighed. This step was followed by adding 5 ml of the acid mixture to the each sample and mixed using vortex mixer (Analog Vortex Mixer, VWR®, Pennsylvania, USA). Samples were incubated at 65°C for 20 hours. After incubation, vortex mixer mixed samples and 1 ml of each sample supernatant was transferred into 1.5 ml micro-centrifuge tube (Eppendorf® Safe-Lock tube<sup>™</sup>, Hamburg, Germany) and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 3 minutes. A 1:10 dilution of each sample was prepared by adding 100 µl of each supernatant to 900 µl of Millipore water.

### 6.3.2.5.2 Photometric determination of iron

One package of the reducing agent was added and dissolved in the bottle of the iron buffer reagent to yield solution R1. Blank was prepared as follows: 500 µl of R1 solution, 100 µl Millipore water, and 20 µl of the color reagent solution were added in 1.5 ml micro-tube and mixed for 1 minute using vortex mixer (Analog Vortex Mixer, VWR®, Pennsylvania, USA). The blank was transferred into UV-cuvette (Sigma Aldrich Co. Missouri, USA) and placed into the spectrophotometer (Cary® 50 MPR

spectrophotometer Varian, Agilent Technologies Canada, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada) and absorbance was adjusted to 590 nm, and zeroed. In a 1.5 ml microtube, 100  $\mu$ l of each diluted sample was added to 500  $\mu$ l of R1 solution and vortex, followed by adding 20  $\mu$ l of the color reagent solution, and vortex for an additional minute. The samples were transferred into UV-cuvette and read at 590 nm absorbance. Standard solution was prepared by adding 500  $\mu$ l of R1 solution to 100  $\mu$ l of the iron standard (140 $\mu$ g/dl Fe<sup>3+</sup>) into 1.5 ml microtube and mixed, followed by adding 20  $\mu$ l of color reagent solution and vortexed. Standard was vortex again after 1 minute. The standard was transferred into UV-cuvette and the absorbance was read at 590 nm.

## 6.3.2.5.3 Calculation

Iron content in fecal samples was calculated as follows:

(Absorbance x 10.52 x 5 x 1000) =  $\mu$ g Fe/g feces

(Sample weight (mg) x 10)

Iron content in the standard was calculated as follows:

Absorbance x  $1062 = \mu g/dl$ 

6.3.2.6 Fecal reactive oxygen species generation

The aim was to quantify and separate the end products of the hydroxyl radical attack on salicylic acid, particularly, 2,5 dihydroxybenzoic acid, 2,3 dihydroxy benzoic acid, and catechol. This was a high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method that was validated by Orozco et al. and adapted from Orozco et al. and Owen et al. (14, 26). The following analytic steps were performed:

### 6.3.2.6.1 Phosphate buffer 100 mM solution preparation

In a 500 glass beaker (Pyrex®beaker, Corning Incorporated Life Sciences,

Massachusetts, USA), 6.81 g (0.2 M) of KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Massachusetts, USA) was added to 250 ml ddH<sub>2</sub>O, mixed to dissolve and transferred to 100 ml flask (Pyrex® Erlenmeyer flask, Corning Incorporated Life Sciences, Massachusetts, USA). Similarly, 3.48 g (0.2 M) of K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (Sigma Aldrich Co. Missouri, USA) was added to 100 ml ddH<sub>2</sub>O, mixed to dissolve and transferred to 100 ml flask. From the prepared K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 94.5 ml was collected using measuring cylinder (Pyrex® Graduated Cylinder, Corning Incorporated Life Sciences, Massachusetts, USA) and pipette (Research®Plus, Eppendorf Canada, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada) and added to 600 ml ddH<sub>2</sub>O. From the prepared KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 205.5 ml was collected using measuring cylinder and pipette and added to the mixture. The buffer solution pH was adjusted 6.5 (Accumet® Basic, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Massachusetts, USA).

# 6.3.2.6.2 Incubation buffer solution preparation

The incubation buffer was composed of 100 mM phosphate buffer, 500 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) (Sigma Aldrich Co. Missouri, USA), 50 μM FeCL<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Massachusetts, USA), and 2 mM salicylic acid (Sigma Aldrich Co. Missouri, USA). In a 500 ml volumetric flask (Pyrex® volumetric flask, Corning Incorporated Life Sciences, Massachusetts, USA), 73.06 mg of EDTA, 6.7 mg of FeCl<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O, and 138.12 mg of salicylic acid were added to 500 ml of the phosphate buffer and mixed to dissolve. The incubation buffer was adjusted for 6.5 pH value (Accumet® Basic, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Massachusetts, USA).

### 6.3.2.6.3 Calibration curve dilutions

Initially, 50 milliliters of 5mM of stock solutions of salicylic acid, 2,5 dihydroxybenzoic acid, 2,3 dihydroxybenzoic acid, and catechol were prepared (Sigma Aldrich Co. Missouri, USA). This step was followed by the dilution of the stock solutions to yield concentrations of 0.2 mM, 0.4 mM, 0.6 mM, 0.8 mM, 1 mM, and 2 mM to construct the calibration curve.

### 6.3.2.6.4 Sample incubation and analysis

Samples were thawed over night at 4°C. One hundred milligrams of each sample were weighed (Sartorius CP, Sartorius AG, Goettingen, Germany) and placed in 50 ml conical flask (Pyrex® Erlenmeyer flask, Corning Incorporated Life Sciences, Massachusetts, USA) containing 10 ml of the incubation buffer. Samples were homogenized using pipette (Research®Plus, Eppendorf Canada, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada) followed by incubation at 37°C for 21 hours (Branstead Lab-Line, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Massachusetts, USA). Following incubation, 2 milliliters of each sample was transferred into 2 ml microtube (Eppendorf® Safe-Lock tube™, Hamburg, Germany) and centrifuged for 5 minutes at 10000 rpm. Using 1 ml syringe (NoRM-JECT<sup>®</sup>, Henke Sass Wolf, Tuttlingen, Germany), 2 ml of each sample was filter-sterilized with 0.2  $\mu$ m filter (CORNING® Incorporated, Corning NY, USA). After filter sterilization, 20 µl of each sample was injected using 10 µl microliter syringe (Microliter Syringe<sup>™</sup> Hamilton Company, Nevada, USA) directly onto the HPLC column (ODS Hypersil 200 x 2.1 Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Massachusetts, USA) (HPLC Shimadzu USA Manufacturing Inc, Oregon, USA). For the chromatographic separation of individual

compounds, the mobile phase comprised of 2% acetic acid glacial in water (solvent A) and methanol (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Massachusetts, USA) making up solvent B utilizing the following gradient: 95% A/5% B for 2 min, 75% A/25% B for 8 minutes, 60% A/40% B for 10 minutes, 50% A/50% B for 10 minutes and 0% A/100% B for 10 minutes. For the first 5.5 minutes, the UV/VIS detector was adjusted at 278 nm and changed to 301 nm until completion of the run. The optimal flow rate was 0.5 ml/minute. Data handling and instrument control were conducted using the software ChemStation® (Agilent ChemStation, Agilent Technologies, California, USA).

### 6.3.2.7 Fecal calprotectin

Calprotectin is a calcium binding protein which is released from inflammatory cells at the site of intestinal inflammation and excreted into the feces (27). It is used as a non-specific marker of intestinal inflammation and was shown to be elevated in adults and children with inflammatory bowel disease (28, 29). It was shown to correlate with the gold standard test (excretion of fecal <sup>111</sup>indium) of intestinal inflammation (30). Fecal calprotectin concentrations were determined using the commercially available Calprotectin ELISA kit (BUHLMANN Calprotectin ELISA, ALPCO, Salem, NH, USA) and following the manufacture's guidelines. Basically, the test allowed for the selective quantification of calprotectin-antigen by sandwich ELISA. The kit contained the following materials:

- Extraction buffer.
- Wash buffer concentrate.
- Incubation buffer.
- Calibrators A to E.

- Control low and high (human serum).
- Enzyme label.
- -TMB (tetramethylbenzidine) substrate.
- Stop solution.
- Microtiter plate.
- Plate sealer.

The analytic steps were as follows:

### 6.3.2.7.1 Calprotectin extraction

Empty glass tubes were labeled and weighed. Fecal samples (between 50-100 mg) were added to the pre-weighted tubes and weighed. In each tube, 49 volumes of the extraction buffer (volume provided by the manufacturer's manual) were added according to the weight of the sample. The samples were vortexed (Analog Vortex Mixer, VWR®, Pennsylvania, USA) and homogenized for 30 minutes. After homogenization, 1 ml of each homogenate was transferred into 2 ml Eppendorf tube and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 minutes (Eppendorf® Safe-Lock tube™, Hamburg, Germany). Following centrifuging, supernatants were transferred into fresh tubes.

# 6.3.2.7.2 Calprotectin ELISA

The fecal extracts were diluted by 1:50 with the incubation buffer (2  $\mu$ l fecal extract + 98  $\mu$ l incubation buffer) and mixed and left to equilibrate for 5 minutes at room temperature. The plate was prepared with the strips required to test the calibrators, controls and the diluted samples. Then the coated well were washed twice using 300  $\mu$ l of the wash buffer. The wells were emptied and tapped against blotting paper. Between each washing step, an incubation time of 20 seconds was allowed. One hundred microliter of the incubation buffer, calibrators, controls, and diluted samples were pipetted (Research®Plus, Eppendorf Canada, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada) in duplicates into the wells. The plate was covered with the plate sealer and incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature on a rotator set at 500 rpm (MS1 IKA®, Works Inc., North Carolina, USA). After incubation, the wells were emptied and washed using  $300 \ \mu$ l of the wash buffer for 3 times. This was followed by adding 100  $\mu$ l of the Enzyme label into all wells. The plate was covered with a plate sealer and incubated for 30 minutes on a plate rotator set at 500 rpm at room temperature. Following the incubation, the wells were emptied and washed 5 times using  $300 \,\mu$ l of the wash buffer. One hundred microliter of the TMB substrate solution was pipetted in each well. The plate was sealed and covered with foil and incubated for 15 minutes on a rotator set at 500 rpm at room temperature. This was followed by adding  $100 \,\mu$ l of stop solution into all wells. The plate was placed in the microtiter plate reader (Cary® 50 MPR Microplate Reader Varian, Agilent Technologies Canada, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada) and the absorbance was read at 450 nm.

## 6.4 Results

Detailed baseline characteristics of the participants were presented in chapter V. A total of 90 infants were assessed for eligibility, and 3 infants met the exclusion criteria. Eighty-seven infants were enrolled in the study between December 2012 and May 2014 and received allocated intervention as follows: 25 in Cer group, 28 in Cer+Fr group and 34 in M group. A total number of 82 infants completed the study and 5 were withdrawn due to the following: mothers no more wanted to comply with the study food (cereal or meat),

moved to a different province, no response to the study team calls. Due to insufficient sample volumes, the number of infant samples analyzed for each outcome varied thus, were set to the minimum number required to detect significant differences between the feeding groups for each outcome. The sample numbers were as follows: 25 urine samples for F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprastanes analysis, 77 stool samples for fecal iron analysis, 66 stool samples for fecal ROS analysis, and 43 stool samples for fecal calprotectin analysis. A total of 18 mothers who agreed to obtain blood samples from their infants for iron status parameters. Table 17 summarizes the biomarker measures of infants in the three study groups.

Biomarker	Cer		%	Cer+Fr		%	M		%	р
(mean±SE)	Change				Change			Change		
	Before	After		Before	After		Before	After		
	Cer + Cer+Fr before			Cer + Cer+Fr after						
Hemoglobin										
$(g/l)^{\P}$ (n=18)	120.1±1.3			117.7±1.7		%2	126.0±2.6	124.5±2.6	%1.1	0.2
	Cer + Cer+Fr before			Cer + Cer+Fr after						
Serum ferritin										
(µg/l) <sup>¶</sup> (n=18)	43.8±8.9			37.1±6.6		%15	99.6±37.2	76.8±31.2	%22.8	0.04 <sup>a</sup>
Urinary										
F2-Isoprostane										
(ng/mg) (n=25)	0.45±0.81	0.52±0.78	%15.5	0.35±0.47	$0.41 \pm 0.08$	%17.1	$0.48\pm0.14$	0.68±0.12	%41.6	0.3
Fecal iron										
(Fe g/feces) (n=77)	3.9±0.37	5.6±0.38	%43.5	3.9±0.32	4.7±0.34	%20.5	2.9±0.25	3.7±0.25	%21.6	< 0.05 <sup>ab</sup>
Fecal ROS										
(mmol/l) (n=66)	$0.024 \pm 0.007$	$0.037 \pm 0.006$	%54.1	$0.014 \pm 0.003$	$0.031 \pm 0.005$	%121	$0.023 \pm 0.004$	$0.028 \pm 0.003$	%21.7	0.002 <sup>a</sup>
Fecal calprotectin										0.00.00
$(\mu g/g \text{ feces}) (n=43)$	111.0±12	122.3±13	%10.1	93.73±12	154.5±23	%64.8	108.1±11	131.9±18	%22	$0.004^{a}$

Table 17. Summary of biomarker measures of infants in the three study groups

<sup>a</sup> values superscript letters are significantly different over time (within groups) by repeated measures ANOVA (p < 0.05) <sup>b</sup> values superscript letters are significantly different between the groups by repeated measures ANOVA (p < 0.05)

<sup>¶</sup>values were combined for cereal groups

# 6.4.1 Hemoglobin concentration

A total of 18 infants whom parents agreed to give blood samples. Due to small number of blood samples, hemoglobin concentration results from both cereal groups were combined to perform the statistical analysis. There was no statistical significant difference between the groups in hemoglobin concentration before and after the introduction of the study foods (table 23).

## 6.4.2 Plasma ferritin

Iron status was assessed for a subsample of 18 infants whose parents agreed to provide the optional blood sample. Due to small number of blood samples, ferritin results from both cereal groups were combined for statistical analysis. Mean results are presented in table 23 and figure 16. Repeated measures ANOVA within groups detected a significant effect of time for plasma ferritin level in the iron-fortified cereal and iron fortified cereal with fruit combined group and in the meat group (p = 0.04). A total of two infants had low ferritin levels (< 15 µg/l) and non had low hemoglobin (< 110 g/l).



Figure 16. Plasma ferritin level in the cereal group (combined) and the meat group N.B: \* : statistical significant difference over time (within groups) by repeated measures ANOVA

## 6.4.3 Urinary creatinine

A total of 25 urine samples were analyzed for creatinine. The values of the calculated creatinine ( $\mu$ g/dl) were converted to g/l and used for the determination of the corrected

urinary F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprostanes. No significant differences in urinary creatinine concentration were observed between the three study groups nor at the two time points (p = 0.17, p = 0.32, respectively).

# 6.4.4 Urinary F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprostanes

The values of the urinary F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprostanes were creatinine corrected by the following

equation: <u>Concentration of F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprostanes ng/ml</u> = F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprostanes ng/mg creatinine Concentration of creatinine g/l

Repeated measures ANOVA demonstrates that the feeding effect of the three foods on the

excretion of the urinary F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprostanes was not significant (p = 0.8). Urinary F<sub>2</sub>-

Isoprostanes tended to increase after the introduction of CFs (figure 17), but these

elevations were not significant (p = 0.3).



Figure 17. Average creatinine corrected F2-Isoprostanes in the urinary samples of infants in the three study groups

# 6.4.5 Fecal iron

Seventy-seven fecal samples were analyzed for residual iron (figure 18). At baseline, although there was a slight variation in the amount of residual iron between the meat group compared with the cereal groups, this difference was not significant (p = 0.075). After the introduction of the three study foods, there was a statistically significant increase in the amount of the residual iron in all three feeding groups (p < 0.001, by repeated measures ANOVA). There was also a significant feeding group effect (p < 0.001) with lower iron levels in the meat group (p < 0.001 vs. Cer and p = 0.014 vs. Cer+Fr).

## 6.4.6 Fecal reactive oxygen species generation

In total, 66 fecal samples were analyzed for formation of hydroxylated products, indicating the production of ROS (Figure 19). Overall there was a 55% increase in ROS following introduction of CFs (p < 0.002, by repeated measures ANOVA; p = 0.003 by paired t-test). The generation of ROS in the meat group was lower in comparison with the two cereal groups; however, this difference did not reach significance (p = 0.28).





<sup>b</sup>: statistical significant different between the groups by repeated measure ANOVA





N.B: \*: statistical significant difference over time (within groups) by repeated measures ANOVA.

# 6.4.7 Associations between fecal iron, ROS generation and dietary iron

To determine if there was an association between dietary iron, residual fecal iron, and ROS production, multiple correlation regression analysis was performed. As shown in figure 20, the overall correlation between both ROS generation and the amount of the residual iron with dietary iron is positively significant (r = 0.22, p = 0.04).

# 6.4.8 Fecal calprotectin

A total of 43 fecal samples were analyzed to determine calprotectin concentration. Repeated measures ANOVA detected an overall significant increase in the fecal calprotectin concentration after the introduction of CFs (p = 0.004) (figure 21). However, no significant difference was observed between the three study groups (p = 0.9).



Figure 20. Correlation between dietary iron, fecal iron and ROS production r = 0.22, p = 0.04, by multiple regression analysis





### **6.5 Discussion**

This is the first study to investigate the effect of different first CFs on oxidative stress, inflammation in healthy EBF infants. Important findings emerge from the present study. The iron status of the breastfed infants was deteriorating regardless of the introduction of iron-rich foods and two infants developed ID (ferritin < 12  $\mu$ g/l). Residual fecal iron was lower in the meat group compared to the iron-fortified cereal groups. The urinary oxidative stress marker F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprostane did not differ significantly between the groups. However, the fecal oxidative stress marker of ROS production differed significantly over time with meat group having the lowest levels. ROS production was positively correlated with residual fecal iron and dietary iron intake (r = 0.22, p = 0.04). Both ROS generation and fecal iron, were lower in the iron-fortified cereal with fruit than in iron-fortified cereal only. Although the fecal calprotectin concentration increased after the introduction of the CFs, it did not differ significantly with different CF regimens.

### 6.5.1 Iron status

In the recent analysis of a cross-sectional survey of the Canadian TARGet kids research group, the relation between the total duration of breastfeeding and ID was investigated in 1647 healthy children, revealed that serum ferritin concentration decreased by 24.0  $\mu$ g/l (% 4.8) for every additional month of breastfeeding (*p* = 0.001) (31). A secondary analysis had also indicated a significant association between the breastfeeding duration and the odds of ID (Odds ratio (OR): 1.02, CI: 1.004-1.05). Similarly, the current study observed a decrease by 17.1  $\mu$ g/l in plasma ferritin among infants in all groups for a feeding duration of 2-4 weeks (table 23). The decline in iron stores in all the CF groups

despite the higher intake of dietary iron in the two cereal groups compared with the meat group underline the variations in bioavailability of iron from the non-heme versus heme sources. In a previous Canadian RCT of 77 breastfed infants, it was found that low ferritin was associated with EBF for 6 months compared to EBF and iron supplementation between 1 to 6 months (33%, 7% respectively) (32). Our finding of a negligible effect of CFs in restoring iron status of breastfed infants is also in agreement with the finding of an RCT conducted on 41 healthy breastfed American infants who received different CFs of either iron-fortified cereal, or iron- and zinc-fortified cereal or meat. It was reported that after the feeding period, 27% of all infants had low ferritin, and 36% had mild anemia with no difference observed between the feeding groups (33). The consistency of these results necessitate the need of implementing screening tools for ID in EBF and perhaps iron supplementation since CFs alone may not restore iron status (34).

### 6.5.2 Oxidative stress and inflammation

To our knowledge, no previous studies have evaluated the effect of different iron fortified CF regimens on the oxidative stress status of EBF infants. A previous study conducted on 3 healthy adults who received daily 120 mg of oral iron for 7 days, showed that 2 of the subjects had a two-fold increase in urinary F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprostanes from the baseline (12). F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprostanes have been measured in adult populations with various health conditions and mainly in children with type 1 diabetes (35-40). There are few studies that reported normal F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprostanes levels in healthy children (41, 42). In one of these studies, 342 children at risk of developing type one diabetes were followed up and their urinary F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprostanes levels were measured (42). It was indicated that urinary F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprostanes levels were highest among 9 months old infants and that there was an inverse association

between age and the concentration of urinary F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprostanes ( $\beta$  coefficient: -0.14, p = 0.0001). Even though in the present study the levels of urinary F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprostanes increased after the addition of the CFs, these values were in the normal range of urinary F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprostanes according to the Denver study (42). Another explanation for our finding of insignificant differences between the groups in F<sub>2</sub>-Isoprostanes levels is the small number of urine samples analyzed over all and in each feeding group (n = 25).

We aimed to present evidence for any association between high iron intake by CF and intestinal disease by relating the iron to the increased ROS generation in the stool. Our results are in keeping with those reported in a previous study conducted by Orozco et al. on 17 healthy men who received daily 120 mg of iron for 2 cycles of 7 days supplementation (14). From that study, it was reported that after iron supplementation, there was a significant increase in fecal ROS production by 36% (p = 0.026) (14). Similarly, in the present study, after the provision of iron-rich foods, ROS generation increased significantly by 55% (p = 0.003). With regard to the residual iron, in Orozco et al. study, the mean fecal iron was  $1.8 \pm 0.34 \,\mu g/g$  feces during the baseline and washout period, and increased significantly to  $4.4 \pm 0.47 \,\mu g/g$  feces during iron supplementation (p < 0.0001) with 145% increase rate. Compared to our findings, we also found that the fecal iron was  $3.6 \pm 0.46 \,\mu\text{g/g}$  feces before the introduction of all study foods, and raised significantly to  $4.6 \pm 0.21 \,\mu\text{g/g}$  (27.7%, p < 0.0001) with highest amount in infants received iron-fortified cereal only  $(5.6 \pm 0.38 \,\mu\text{g/g})$ . Although the iron dose received from the three CFs (average daily CF iron intake (chapter V) mean  $\pm$  SE, Cer: 16.3  $\pm$  2.5, Cer+Fr:  $21.5 \pm 3.4$ , M:  $0.86 \pm 0.08$ ) was lower than the iron dose received in the adult

study, we observed higher ROS production and lower fecal iron when compared to the adult study. One explanation for this observation is the differences between the adult and infant intestinal iron absorption. Previous studies have suggested that the dietary regulator of iron absorption at the age between 6-9 months is immature and remains under developmental changes (2, 43). Another possible cause is the individual variation in the iron stores which might had influenced the absorption rate thus affecting the amount of intestinal residual iron and ROS production (44). We had demonstrated such variation existed among the breastfed infants as assessed by plasma ferritin concentrations (table 23). Moreover, an important factor is the phytate content of the iron-fortified cereals that might had interfered with iron absorption (45, 46). A previous study found that the phytate effect on the minerals bioavailability in 36 CFs, the phytate: iron molar ratio (a ratio used to predict the inhibitory effect of phytate on the bioavailability of iron) was higher than the recommended level of < 1 among 32 of the assessed CFs (46). We also aimed to assess another common choice of first CF among Canadian infants which was the commercially available iron-fortified cereal mixed with fruit (raspberry). Our findings did not support our hypothesis of antioxidant's potential effect of the fruit contained in the iron-fortified cereal in ameliorating the oxidative stress generation in the intestinal tract. This may be due to the low content of raspberry powder (1.8%) of the iron-fortified cereal with fruit, which was insufficient to eliminate the iron oxidative effects. It seems like that the average daily iron intake from Cer+Fr of  $21.5 \pm 3.4$  mg (67.5g of cereal/day) was enough to increase the production of ROS. This observation is in accordance with the finding of the study Lund et al. in which a lower daily dose (19 mg) of ferrous sulfate was

provided to 18 adult subjects for 14 days and a significant association between iron supplementation and free radical production (p < 0.001) was reported (11). In the present study variability was observed in the baseline of both residual fecal iron and ROS generation before the introduction of CFs. Although all of the included infants were EBF, variations in the breast milk composition including iron or other genetic factors such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the iron transporters responsible for iron homeostasis in the secretory mammary epithelial cells, may likely attributed to these observations (47-49).

There are no existing studies that have measured calprotectin concentration in response to iron supplementation or iron fortification. Our study showed a significant feeding effect with the addition of CFs, however, with no significant effect of the type of CF. Fecal calprotectin concentration has been used to evaluate the degree of inflammation in various systemic and gastrointestinal conditions (30,50). For example, it was found that the values of fecal calprotectin were elevated in adult and children populations with different GI infections, however, these values were lower than in inflammatory bowel disease patients (51-53). These variations in calprotectin concentration by the degree of inflammation may explain why we did not find significant feeding effect on intestinal inflammation. It seems like that calprotectin is a chronic inflammatory phase reactant rather than acute phase reactant, and owing to our short duration of feeding, calprotectin did not cause high degree of intestinal inflammation. High fecal calprotectin levels are normal in young infants as reported previously (54, 55). Although the present study found that fecal calprotectin concentrations have increased after the introduction of the study foods, these elevations remained within the suggested normal values of calprotectin for

this age group (54, 56). In a previous study conducted on 74 (39 EBF and 35 formula fed) full-term Italian infants, it was reported that the median fecal calprotectin level was higher in EBF infants than in formula fed infant (555  $\mu$ g/g feces range: 122.5-2000  $\mu$ g/g feces; 206.6  $\mu$ g/g feces range: 31.2-797.6  $\mu$ g/g feces, p < 0.001) (55). It was concluded that there was a feeding effect on calprotecin concentration, which might be due to the effect of human milk bioactive molecules, which clearly contribute to the development of the gastrointestinal system (54). Increased intestinal permeability and immature adaptive immunity in infancy are also possible causes (54, 57). Another study also indicated that calprotectin is higher in EBF infants than in mixed-fed infants (58). A previous study compared the concentration of fecal calprotectin of healthy full-term infants to preterm infants, and found that the values were significantly higher among healthy infants than in preterm infants (235  $\mu$ g/g feces, range: 172-2880  $\mu$ g/g feces; 150  $\mu$ g/g feces, range: 81-221  $\mu$ g/g feces; p < 0.001) (59).

## 6.6 Conclusion

The present RCT highlights clinically important findings to inform the infant feeding guideline updates regarding the optimal first CFs. Our findings suggest that EBF infants are at risk of developing ID despite the provision of iron-rich CFs. Therefore, labeling EBF infants as at risk for ID and routinely screen them may be warranted. The results of this study support the conclusion that untargeted iron fortification may result in untoward effects including ROS generation in the intestinal tract of breastfed infants receiving high iron-fortified cereals (60). Thus, it is maybe noteworthy revising the guidelines of the first complementary feeding when these findings are further confirmed. Future research is

required to determine the association between ROS generation in the intestinal tract with other inflammatory markers and various health outcomes.

## **6.7 References**

Dee DL, Sharma AJ, Cogswell ME, Grummer-Strawn LM, Fein SB, Scanlon KS.
 Sources of supplemental iron among breastfed infants during the first year of life.
 Pediatrics. 2008 Oct;122 Suppl 2:S98-104.

2. Domellof M, Lonnerdal B, Abrams SA, Hernell O. Iron absorption in breast-fed infants: effects of age, iron status, iron supplements, and complementary foods. Am J Clin Nutr. 2002 Jul;76(1):198-204.

3. Dorea JG. Iron and copper in human milk. Nutrition. 2000 Mar;16(3):209-20.

4. Health Canada, Canadian Paediatric Society, Dietitians of Canada, Breastfeeding Committee for Canada. Nutrition for healthy term infants: recommendations from birth to six months. Can J Diet Pract Res. 2012 Winter;73(4):204.

5. Beard J. Iron deficiency alters brain development and functioning. J Nutr. 2003 May;133(5 Suppl 1):1468S-72S.

6. Friel JK, Isaak C, Hanning R, Miller A. Complementary Food Consumption of Canadian Infants. T O Nutr J. 2009;3:11-6.

7. Grummer-Strawn LM, Scanlon KS, Fein SB. Infant feeding and feeding transitions during the first year of life. Pediatrics. 2008 Oct;122 Suppl 2:S36-42.

 Bavidsson L, Kastenmayer P, Szajewska H, Hurrell RF, Barclay D. Iron bioavailability in infants from an infant cereal fortified with ferric pyrophosphate or ferrous fumarate. Am J Clin Nutr. 2000 Jun;71(6):1597-602.  Dostal A, Chassard C, Hilty FM, Zimmermann MB, Jaeggi T, Rossi S, et al. Iron depletion and repletion with ferrous sulfate or electrolytic iron modifies the composition and metabolic activity of the gut microbiota in rats. J Nutr. 2012 Feb;142(2):271-7.
 Hurrell R, Egli I. Iron bioavailability and dietary reference values. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010 May;91(5):1461S-7S.

11. Lund EK, Wharf SG, Fairweather-Tait SJ, Johnson IT. Oral ferrous sulfate supplements increase the free radical-generating capacity of feces from healthy volunteers. Am J Clin Nutr. 1999 Feb;69(2):250-5.

12. Schumann K, Kroll S, Weiss G, Frank J, Biesalski HK, Daniel H, et al. Monitoring of hematological, inflammatory and oxidative reactions to acute oral iron exposure in human volunteers: preliminary screening for selection of potentially-responsive biomarkers. Toxicology. 2005 Aug 15;212(1):10-23.

13. Fomon SJ, Nelson SE, Ziegler EE. Retention of iron by infants. Annu Rev Nutr. 2000;20:273-90.

14. Orozco MN, Solomons NW, Schumann K, Friel JK, de Montenegro AL. Antioxidantrich oral supplements attenuate the effects of oral iron on in situ oxidation susceptibility of human feces. J Nutr. 2010 Jun;140(6):1105-10.

15. Lotito SB, Frei B. Consumption of flavonoid-rich foods and increased plasma antioxidant capacity in humans: cause, consequence, or epiphenomenon? Free Radic Biol Med. 2006 Dec 15;41(12):1727-46.

16. Halliwell B. Free radicals and antioxidants: a personal view. Nutr Rev. 1994Aug;52(8 Pt 1):253-65.

17. Halliwell B. Oxidative stress, nutrition and health. Experimental strategies for optimization of nutritional antioxidant intake in humans. Free Radic Res. 1996 Jul;25(1):57-74.

18. World Health Organization. Iron deficiency anemia: assessment, prevention and control. A guide for programme managers. 2001 [October 4]; Available from: http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/micronutrients/anaemia\_iron\_deficiency/WHO \_NHD\_01.3/en/.

19. Vanzetti G. An azide-methemoglobin method for hemoglobin determination in blood.J Lab Clin Med. 1966 Jan;67(1):116-26.

20. Zwart A, van Assendelft OW, Bull BS, England JM, Lewis SM, Zijlstra WG. Recommendations for reference method for haemoglobinometry in human blood (ICSH standard 1995) and specifications for international haemiglobinocyanide standard (4th edition). J Clin Pathol. 1996 Apr;49(4):271-4.

21. Munoz M, Romero A, Gomez JF, Manteca A, Naveira E, Ramirez G. Utility of pointof-care haemoglobin measurement in the HemoCue-B haemoglobin for the initial diagnosis of anaemia. Clin Lab Haematol. 2005 Apr;27(2):99-104.

22. Waikar SS, Sabbisetti VS, Bonventre JV. Normalization of urinary biomarkers to creatinine during changes in glomerular filtration rate. Kidney Int. 2010 Sep;78(5):486-94.

23. Morrow JD, Hill KE, Burk RF, Nammour TM, Badr KF, Roberts LJ, 2nd. A series of prostaglandin F2-like compounds are produced in vivo in humans by a noncyclooxygenase, free radical-catalyzed mechanism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1990 Dec;87(23):9383-7. 24. Davies SS, Zackert W, Luo Y, Cunningham CC, Frisard M, Roberts LJ, 2nd.
Quantification of dinor, dihydro metabolites of F2-isoprostanes in urine by liquid
chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry. Anal Biochem. 2006 Jan 15;348(2):185-91.
25. Reed GF, Lynn F, Meade BD. Use of coefficient of variation in assessing variability
of quantitative assays. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol. 2002 Nov;9(6):1235-9.

26. Owen RW, Spiegelhalder B, Bartsch H. Generation of reactive oxygen species by the faecal matrix. Gut. 2000 Feb;46(2):225-32.

27. Roseth AG, Fagerhol MK, Aadland E, Schjonsby H. Assessment of the neutrophil dominating protein calprotectin in feces. A methodologic study. Scand J Gastroenterol. 1992 Sep;27(9):793-8.

28. Roseth AG, Aadland E, Jahnsen J, Raknerud N. Assessment of disease activity in ulcerative colitis by faecal calprotectin, a novel granulocyte marker protein. Digestion. 1997;58(2):176-80.

29. Bunn SK, Bisset WM, Main MJ, Gray ES, Olson S, Golden BE. Fecal calprotectin: validation as a noninvasive measure of bowel inflammation in childhood inflammatory bowel disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2001 Jul;33(1):14-22.

30. Tibble J, Teahon K, Thjodleifsson B, Roseth A, Sigthorsson G, Bridger S, et al. A simple method for assessing intestinal inflammation in Crohn's disease. Gut. 2000 Oct;47(4):506-13.

31. Maguire JL, Salehi L, Birken CS, Carsley S, Mamdani M, Thorpe KE, et al.Association between total duration of breastfeeding and iron deficiency. Pediatrics. 2013May;131(5):e1530-7.

32. Friel JK, Aziz K, Andrews WL, Harding SV, Courage ML, Adams RJ. A doublemasked, randomized control trial of iron supplementation in early infancy in healthy term breast-fed infants. J Pediatr. 2003 Nov;143(5):582-6.

33. Krebs NF, Sherlock LG, Westcott J, Culbertson D, Hambidge KM, Feazel LM, et al.Effects of different complementary feeding regimens on iron status and entericmicrobiota in breastfed infants. J Pediatr. 2013 Aug;163(2):416-23.

34. Baker RD, Greer FR, Committee on Nutrition American Academy of P. Diagnosis and prevention of iron deficiency and iron-deficiency anemia in infants and young children (0-3 years of age). Pediatrics. 2010 Nov;126(5):1040-50.

35. Matteucci E, Giampietro O. Oxidative stress in families of type 1 diabetic patients. Diabetes Care. 2000 Aug;23(8):1182-6.

36. Trevisan M, Browne R, Ram M, Muti P, Freudenheim J, Carosella AM, et al. Correlates of markers of oxidative status in the general population. Am J Epidemiol. 2001 Aug 15;154(4):348-56.

37. Block G, Dietrich M, Norkus EP, Morrow JD, Hudes M, Caan B, et al. Factors associated with oxidative stress in human populations. Am J Epidemiol. 2002 Aug 1;156(3):274-85.

38. Nechuta S, Cai Q, Zheng Y, Milne GL, Cai H, Dai Q, et al. Urinary biomarkers of oxidative stress and breast cancer survival. Cancer Causes Control. 2014 Jun;25(6):701-7.
39. Miller E, Morel A, Saso L, Saluk J. Isoprostanes and neuroprostanes as biomarkers of oxidative stress in neurodegenerative diseases. Oxid Med Cell Longev.

2014;2014:572491.
40. Villa MP, Supino MC, Fedeli S, Rabasco J, Vitelli O, Del Pozzo M, et al. Urinary concentration of 8-isoprostane as marker of severity of pediatric OSAS. Sleep Breath. 2014 Dec;18(4):723-9.

41. Stewart RJ, Askew EW, McDonald CM, Metos J, Jackson WD, Balon TW, et al. Antioxidant status of young children: response to an antioxidant supplement. J Am Diet Assoc. 2002 Nov;102(11):1652-7.

42. Kauffman LD, Sokol RJ, Jones RH, Awad JA, Rewers MJ, Norris JM. Urinary F2isoprostanes in young healthy children at risk for type 1 diabetes mellitus. Free Radic Biol Med. 2003 Sep 15;35(6):551-7.

43. Schneider BD, Leibold EA. Regulation of mammalian iron homeostasis. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2000 Jul;3(4):267-73.

44. Finch C. Regulators of iron balance in humans. Blood. 1994 Sep 15;84(6):1697-702.

45. Gibson RS, Bailey KB, Gibbs M, Ferguson EL. A review of phytate, iron, zinc, and calcium concentrations in plant-based complementary foods used in low-income countries and implications for bioavailability. Food Nutr Bull. 2010 Jun;31(2

Suppl):S134-46.

46. Roos N, Sorensen JC, Sorensen H, Rasmussen SK, Briend A, Yang Z, et al. Screening for anti-nutritional compounds in complementary foods and food aid products for infants and young children. Matern Child Nutr. 2013 Jan;9 Suppl 1:47-71.

47. Montalbetti N, Simonin A, Kovacs G, Hediger MA. Mammalian iron transporters: families SLC11 and SLC40. Mol Aspects Med. 2013 Apr-Jun;34(2-3):270-87.

48. Kelleher SL, Lonnerdal B. Molecular regulation of milk trace mineral homeostasis.Mol Aspects Med. 2005 Aug-Oct;26(4-5):328-39.

49. Lonnerdal B. Trace element transport in the mammary gland. Annu Rev Nutr. 2007;27:165-77.

50. Johne B, Fagerhol MK, Lyberg T, Prydz H, Brandtzaeg P, Naess-Andresen CF, et al. Functional and clinical aspects of the myelomonocyte protein calprotectin. Mol Pathol. 1997 Jun;50(3):113-23.

51. Damms A, Bischoff SC. Validation and clinical significance of a new calprotectin rapid test for the diagnosis of gastrointestinal diseases. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2008 Oct;23(10):985-92.

52. Berni Canani R, Rapacciuolo L, Romano MT, Tanturri de Horatio L, Terrin G, Manguso F, et al. Diagnostic value of faecal calprotectin in paediatric gastroenterology clinical practice. Dig Liver Dis. 2004 Jul;36(7):467-70.

53. Schoepfer AM, Trummler M, Seeholzer P, Seibold-Schmid B, Seibold F.
Discriminating IBD from IBS: comparison of the test performance of fecal markers,
blood leukocytes, CRP, and IBD antibodies. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2008 Jan;14(1):32-9.
54. Olafsdottir E, Aksnes L, Fluge G, Berstad A. Faecal calprotectin levels in infants with
infantile colic, healthy infants, children with inflammatory bowel disease, children with
recurrent abdominal pain and healthy children. Acta Paediatr. 2002;91(1):45-50.
55. Savino F, Castagno E, Calabrese R, Viola S, Oggero R, Miniero R. High faecal
calprotectin levels in healthy, exclusively breast-fed infants. Neonatology. 2010
Jun;97(4):299-304.

56. Fagerberg UL, Loof L, Merzoug RD, Hansson LO, Finkel Y. Fecal calprotectin levels in healthy children studied with an improved assay. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2003 Oct;37(4):468-72.

57. Berstad A, Arslan G, Folvik G. Relationship between intestinal permeability and calprotectin concentration in gut lavage fluid. Scand J Gastroenterol. 2000 Jan;35(1):64-9.

58. Dorosko SM, Mackenzie T, Connor RI. Fecal calprotectin concentrations are higher in exclusively breastfed infants compared to those who are mixed-fed. Breastfeed Med. 2008 Jun;3(2):117-9.

59. Nissen AC, van Gils CE, Menheere PP, Van den Neucker AM, van der Hoeven MA, Forget PP. Fecal calprotectin in healthy term and preterm infants. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2004 Jan;38(1):107-8.

60. Dewey KG, Domellof M, Cohen RJ, Landa Rivera L, Hernell O, Lonnerdal B. Iron supplementation affects growth and morbidity of breast-fed infants: results of a randomized trial in Sweden and Honduras. J Nutr. 2002 Nov;132(11):3249-55.

### **CHAPTER VII: OVERALL CONCLUSION**

### 7.1 Summary and implications

The results of the present research have important implications for infant feeding, particularly related to the introduction of first complementary foods. Currently, the most common first CF provided to Canadian infants is single grain iron-fortified cereal (1). The recent Health Canada statement guideline "Nutrition for Healthy Term Infants: *Recommendations from Birth to Six Months*" supports exclusive breastfeeding until six months of age; and reinforced the role of exclusive breastfeeding as a risk factor for the development of iron deficiency (2). Therefore, the guidelines recognized the necessity of introducing iron rich foods and the suggestions were that iron-fortified cereals or meats should be introduced at about six months of age. Evidence in regards to the timing, the type, the nutritional efficiency and the safety of CF is limited (3-5). The duration of exclusive breastfeeding remains a primary factor in the development of iron deficiency in infancy and a primary target of feeding guidelines (6). The meta-analysis of RCTs from current research demonstrates that moderate timing (at four months of age) for the introduction of CFs positively influenced the iron stores of the exclusively breastfed infants living in developed countries [serum ferritin, MD: 26 µg/L; 95% CI: -0.10, 52.10  $\mu$ g/L, p = 0.05]. Therefore, results suggest that introducing CFs between four to six months is necessary for some infants with a higher risk of iron deficiency especially for those who had been exclusively breastfed. Data from chapter VI showed that independent of the type of CF, the iron status of these healthy breastfed infants was declining.

201

Therefore, this observation has implications for iron deficiency screening between the age of four to six months. With respect to the relationship of socio-demographic factors and feeding patterns, although our study was not powered to detect these relationships, we were able to find some maternal factors that were associated with the timing of the introduction of CFs and with complementary feeding times per day. We also found that among the participating mothers, only 27.3% of them adhered to Health Canada guidelines in regards to EBF until six months. The average timing of introduction of CFs in our study was 5.4 months. A previous Canadian survey showed that the average timing of introduction of solids was 4.8 months (3). These socio-demographic and feeding patterns findings call for time for a national representative survey to confirm and update these findings and when more socio-demographic maternal factors are identified, the next step would be targeting these factors to improve the feeding practices of the infants. Of interest, data from chapter V suggests that the estimated amount of breast milk alone did not satisfy the recommended daily amount for infants aged 0 to 6 months of vitamin A, vitamin D, thiamin, zinc and magnesium. However, after the introduction of the three study foods, breast milk and CF together met the micronutrients requirements of infants in that age group. In terms of the nutrient intakes from CF only, consumption of ironfortified cereals (average daily consumption of 51 g/day for Cer and 67.5 g/day for Cer+Fr) satisfied most of the macronutrient and micronutrient daily recommendations for infants aged 0-6 months. In comparison, consumption of meat (average daily consumption of 41.3 g/day) did not meet the daily recommendation from CF only for total energy, all macronutrients (except protein) and most of the vitamins and minerals. These findings are of significance; further supporting the Health Canada recommendation

of iron-fortified cereal as first choice CF rather than meat, from a nutrient intake perspective. Nevertheless, in terms of a free radical perspective (chapter VI), the consumption of iron-fortified cereal led to the highest elevation in fecal ROS production (p = 0.002) after the provision of CF raising a concern of the possibility of intestinal inflammation. Thus, these findings contradict the Health Canada recommendation that iron-fortified cereal be the first CF from a safety perspective (2). We were able to provide more evidence on the associations between ROS generation, fecal iron and dietary iron as shown in chapter VI (r = 0.22, p = 0.04), which confirms the reports from other researchers (7, 8). However, the data presented in chapter VI showed no significant effect of ironfortified cereals on the systemic oxidation reflected in urinary F2-Isoprostanes. This suggests that while ROS may be produced in the intestine, systemic oxidation may not be occurring in the infants. Further more, a protective effect of the fruit in the cereal on the oxidation response was not significantly achieved; hence, our hypothesis that the addition of fruit would ameliorate the negative effects of iron fortification was not supported. More research is needed to determine the amount required, perhaps from fresh fruits, necessary to achieve a consistent suppressing effect on ROS generation. According to our results shown in chapter VI, fecal calprotectin concentrations were elevated in response to the addition of CFs. However, the number of infants analyzed for fecal calprotectin were too small to draw conclusions concerning the relationship to intestinal inflammation. In addition, this finding supports the conclusion that high calprotectin concentration is a normal phenomenon in infants (9, 10) and provides valuable insight surrounding the normal development of fecal calprotectin levels in infants fed CFs.

203

## 7.2 Limitations and future recommendations

Findings from the current body of research yield valuable insights into the complementary feeding of breastfed infants. However, considering limitations in the current research, the following suggested future directions would provide additional knowledge regarding iron status, feeding practices, oxidative stress and intestinal inflammation.

The current study is in agreement with previous Canadian studies in terms of the risk of developing iron deficiency among breastfed infants (11-14). To our knowledge, the iron status is not routinely examined in infancy. Based on these consistent findings, we are concerned about the possibility that the iron status of our infants may be deteriorating, which can lead to ID and IDA. Therefore, we suggest future studies to develop ID risk screening score to tackle this problem.

Another limitation of the current study was that a few number of mothers reported that their infants received other foods or formula. In addition, the variability between the infants on the time of the introduction of CFs was another limitation. These limitations could have impaired our ability to detect differences between the groups. Although the present study was sufficient in sample size to detect differences in fecal ROS generation, we were not able to assay all analytes in all infants, therefore, some comparisons were underpowered to observe differences between the groups. Hence, future studies studying the relationship of iron fortification of CF to the inflammatory biomarkers should power their sample size needed to investigate this relationship. In addition, we suggest that other systemic inflammatory biomarkers such as, TNF- $\alpha$ , interleukin 1 (IL-1), IL-6, IL-8, IL-12, and C-reactive protein that are related to intestinal inflammation may be worth

considering in future studies. Infants varied in their number of times of stool passage per day, however, the majority of them passed stool one time every 24 hours. Since we did not perform 24 hours stool sample collection, the residual iron may not reflect the total excretion of iron in some infants, which explains the variation in ROS production. After evaluating the effects of iron fortification on the apparently healthy EBF infants, an important next step would be to assess the effects of iron fortification on infants receiving formula, which is fortified at a level of 4-8 mg/l ferrous sulfate (15, 16) and to assess this effect in addition to iron-fortified cereals. In addition, there are other populations who receive iron supplementation such as pregnant women with iron deficiency that require further research to asses the implications of iron supplementation on the oxidative status of women in this vulnerable period.

The present study provided an overview of the feeding patterns and the related sociodemographic variables among EBF infants. However, the current study was not powered to detect these associations and it did not include other infant population (formula fed) from this perspective. Therefore, future studies should use a larger more representative sample in order to identify these associations and target the influencing sociodemographic factors.

It is important to acknowledge that we used the duration of feeding to estimate the volume of breast milk, thus we cannot exclude a lower estimated amount of breast milk in our study. Therefore, in the future, using a test weighing technique (infant weighing before and after feeds) that is a reliable and a consistent measure of breast milk intake, would provide more precise nutrient intake from breast milk (17). Although, the dietary records were checked for completeness by the research assistant, potential bias such as,

reporting bias might exist from the three-day dietary record dietary assessment method used in the current study. A more accurate dietary assessment method such as the threeday weighed intake may be worth considering in future interventions. This method, however, would place a greater burden on the parents (18).

A major strength in the current study is the use of a diet controlled randomized design, which is considered the gold standard for nutritional intervention (19). The precise CF given allowed more isolation of the effect of the iron on the endpoint measures and reduced possible confounding influences from other foods that might have been given. Results from chapter VI revealed variations in the iron status parameters of the breastfed infants. This observation has important implications as SNPs in the iron transporters in this population may account for these discrepancies in the iron status of the breastfed infants. Therefore, future studies should incorporate measures of genetic variants of iron transporters into the study to identify any existing SNPs.

In the future, multicenter trials should ultimately use a larger, more diverse infant population, including a broad range of baseline and genetic characteristics that would increase the generalizability of the study results.

## **7.3 Final conclusion**

The assessment of complementary feeding of Canadian infants study provide a foundation of building more understanding of the effects of complementary feeding consumption on overall health in this age group. From the current study we conclude that, if an interaction between the iron fortified cereal and the free radical production in the intestine is further confirmed, then reviewing the guidelines to meet the iron requirements while also diminishing negative effects on the intestinal tract will be warranted.

206

Meanwhile, the key messages until these findings are confirmed are the following: the dietary advice provided to the caregivers should stress the importance of meeting the nutrient requirements of the infants, emphasis should be placed on the provision of pureed meat as first CF, limiting the frequency and the amount of iron-fortified cereal consumption and including fruits in the infant diet in case of consumption of iron-fortified cereal.

## 7.4 References

1. Friel JK, Isaak C, Hanning R, Miller A. Complementary Food Consumption of Canadian Infants. T O Nutr J. 2009;3:11-6.

2. Health Canada, Canadian Paediatric Society, Dietitians of Canada, Breastfeeding Committee for Canada. Nutrition for healthy term infants: recommendations from birth to six months. Can J Diet Pract Res. 2012 Winter;73(4):204.

 Friel JK, Hanning RM, Isaak CA, Prowse D, Miller AC. Canadian infants' nutrient intakes from complementary foods during the first year of life. BMC Pediatr. 2010;10:43.
 Domellof M, Lonnerdal B, Abrams SA, Hernell O. Iron absorption in breast-fed infants: effects of age, iron status, iron supplements, and complementary foods. Am J Clin Nutr. 2002 Jul;76(1):198-204.

Krebs NF, Sherlock LG, Westcott J, Culbertson D, Hambidge KM, Feazel LM, et al.
 Effects of different complementary feeding regimens on iron status and enteric microbiota in breastfed infants. J Pediatr. 2013 Aug;163(2):416-23.

6. Baker RD, Greer FR, Committee on Nutrition American Academy of P. Diagnosis and prevention of iron deficiency and iron-deficiency anemia in infants and young children (0-3 years of age). Pediatrics. 2010 Nov;126(5):1040-50.

207

7. Lund EK, Wharf SG, Fairweather-Tait SJ, Johnson IT. Oral ferrous sulfate supplements increase the free radical-generating capacity of feces from healthy volunteers. Am J Clin Nutr. 1999 Feb;69(2):250-5.

8. Orozco MN, Solomons NW, Schumann K, Friel JK, de Montenegro AL. Antioxidantrich oral supplements attenuate the effects of oral iron on in situ oxidation susceptibility of human feces. J Nutr. 2010 Jun;140(6):1105-10.

9. Olafsdottir E, Aksnes L, Fluge G, Berstad A. Faecal calprotectin levels in infants with infantile colic, healthy infants, children with inflammatory bowel disease, children with recurrent abdominal pain and healthy children. Acta Paediatr. 2002;91(1):45-50.

10. Savino F, Castagno E, Calabrese R, Viola S, Oggero R, Miniero R. High faecal calprotectin levels in healthy, exclusively breast-fed infants. Neonatology. 2010 Jun;97(4):299-304.

11. Maguire JL, Salehi L, Birken CS, Carsley S, Mamdani M, Thorpe KE, et al.Association between total duration of breastfeeding and iron deficiency. Pediatrics. 2013May;131(5):e1530-7.

12. Friel JK, Aziz K, Andrews WL, Harding SV, Courage ML, Adams RJ. A doublemasked, randomized control trial of iron supplementation in early infancy in healthy term breast-fed infants. J Pediatr. 2003 Nov;143(5):582-6.

13. Innis SM, Nelson CM, Wadsworth LD, MacLaren IA, Lwanga D. Incidence of irondeficiency anaemia and depleted iron stores among nine-month-old infants in Vancouver, Canada. Can J Public Health. 1997 Mar-Apr;88(2):80-4.

14. Hartfield D. Iron deficiency is a public health problem in Canadian infants and children. Paediatr Child Health. 2010 Jul;15(6):347-50.

15. Molska A, Gutowska I, Baranowska-Bosiacka I, Nocen I, Chlubek D. The content of elements in infant formulas and drinks against mineral requirements of children. Biol Trace Elem Res. 2014 Jun;158(3):422-7.

16. Domellof M, Braegger C, Campoy C, Colomb V, Decsi T, Fewtrell M, et al. Iron

requirements of infants and toddlers. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2014 Jan;58(1):119-29.

17. Krebs NF, Reidinger CJ, Robertson AD, Hambidge KM. Growth and intakes of energy and zinc in infants fed human milk. J Pediatr. 1994 Jan;124(1):32-9.

18. Fisher JO, Butte NF, Mendoza PM, Wilson TA, Hodges EA, Reidy KC, et al.

Overestimation of infant and toddler energy intake by 24-h recall compared with weighed food records. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008 Aug;88(2):407-15.

19. AbuMweis SS, Jew S, Jones PJ. Optimizing clinical trial design for assessing the efficacy of functional foods. Nutr Rev. 2010 Aug;68(8):485-99.

## **APPENDECES**

## Appendix 1: Study announcement poster



## Appendix 2: Study's questionnaire

	Assessmen	t of Complementar Dr. Ja	<b>y Feeding of</b> mes Friel, Pri Univ	Canad ncipal versity o	<b>ian Infants</b> Investigator of Manitoba
University <u>∞</u> Manitoba		Departmen	t of Human N	utrition	nal Sciences
			1st Visit, Date:		
Mother's Name		Infant's 1	Name:		
Address					
Telephone #		Other/Emergency	Contact:		
DOB Baby		Gestation		Sex	
Baby's Birth Weight		Length		H.C	
Mother's Age	Para	Gravida	Delivery?	c/s	vaginal
Allergies					
Complications @ birth	or major hea	lth concerns?			
Did you take Fe or Vita	mins during	Pregnancy?	Now?		
Have you Breast fed be	fore?	How Long			
Pediatrician		_How did you hear abo	ut the study?		
Mother's Marital Status	s:	_MS	D	_CL	
Mother's Height		Mother's pre-preg	mant weight		
Father's Height		Father's weight			
Mother's Education/Oc	cupation				
Father's Education/Occ	upation				
# Of persons in househ	old	# of children	n in household_		
Do you smoke? No	Yes	# cigarettes /day	#Smoke	ers in ho	use
Version 3, November 2.	5, 2013				

Feeding GroupA	B	CAmount Given:
Dietary Record Obtained	? YesNo	#of times Baby feeds daily
Blood Work Done	Stool collected	Urine collected
# of stools per day:	Color/consisten	ncyCream/ointment
Is baby receiving any vita	amins/minerals at pr	esent? Type and amount
Is Baby receiving any for	m of Fe than study :	food?If yes,what?
Health of Baby since birt	h	
Visits to Doctor for illnes	is	
Hospitalization? Yes	No Details	
Weight 1)	2)	3)
Length 1)	2)	3)

Version 3, November 25, 2013

## $\label{eq:assessment} Assessment of Complementary Feeding of Canadian Infants $2^{nd}$ visit questionnaire}$

Date:	Subject #:	Feeding Group:	Α	B	С
		s of the second			-

Feeding Start Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Feeding End Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Total Duration of Feeding:

**Comments** (did baby like the food? Was there a change in stool consistency, color, or frequency? Any discomfort or adverse events?

Was the baby given any other food/formula during the feeding duration noted above? (list):

Total # boxes/jars consumed:					
Weight: 1)	2)	3)			
Length: 1)	2)	3)			
Head C. 1)	2)	3)			

Additional Contact for Follow-up/results of Study (email):

Version 3, November 25, 2013

## **Appendix 3: Bannatyne Research Ethics Board approval letter**



The above was approved by Dr. John Arnett, Ph.D., C. Psych., Chair, Health Research Ethics Board, Bannatyne Campus, University of Manitoba on behalf of the committee per your submission dated May 23, 2012. The Research Ethics Board is organized and operates according to Health Canada/ICH Good Clinical Practices, Tri-Council Policy Statement, and the applicable laws and regulations of Manitoba. The membership of this Research Ethics Board complies with the membership requirements for Research Ethics Board defined in Division 5 of the *Food and Drug Regulations of Canada*.

This approval is valid until the expiry date only. A study status report must be submitted annually and must accompany your request for re-approval. Any significant changes of the protocol and informed consent form should be reported to the Chair for consideration in advance of implementation of such changes. The REB must be notified regarding discontinuation or study closure.

This approval is for the ethics of human use only. For the logistics of performing the study, approval must be sought from the relevant institution, if required.

Sincerely yours,

John Arnett, PhD., C. Psych. Chair, Health Research Ethics Board Bannatyne Campus

Please quote the above Ethics Reference Number on all correspondence. Inquiries should be directed to the REB Secretary Telephone: (204) 789-3255 / Fax: (204) 789-3414

www.umanitoba.ca/medicine/ethics

P126 - 770 Bannatyne Avenue Winnipeg, Manitoba Canada R3E 0W3 Tel: (204) 789-3255 Fax: (204) 789-3414

## Appendix 4: Winnipeg Regional Health Authority approval letter



200 – 1155 Concordia Avenue Winnipeg, Manitoba R2K 2M9 CANADA

April 10, 2012

Dr. James Friel University of Manitoba Room 203 Richardson Center for Functional Foods and Neutraceuticals Winnipeg, MB R3T 2N2

Dear Dr. Friel:

#### Re: "Assessment of Complementary Feeding of Canadian Infants" – WRHA Reference No: 2012-006

We are pleased to inform you that your research access request for the above-named study has been approved by the Winnipeg Regional Health Authority (WRHA) Research Review Committee.

Your research access is also approved pending confirmation that the following conditions are met or agreed to:

You, your co-investigators, and your research assistants comply with the relevant privacy legislation as indicated below.

X The Personal Health Information Act

The Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act

The Personal Health Information Act and The Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act

- You complete and return the attached Confidentiality Agreement(s) to Kelly Clarke, Concordia Hip & Knee Institute, WRHA, 200 – 1155 Concordia Avenue, Winnipeg, MB R2K 2M9;
- You submit to our attention any significant changes in your proposal prior to implementation or any significant changes during the course of the study;
- You submit a summary of the final results of the study to the WRHA and provide us with a copy of any publications arising
  from the study;
- It is an expected courtesy that WRHA will be given a minimum of five working days advance notice of publication or
  presentation of results with policy implications, in order to be prepared for public response;
- You agree to be accountable for appropriate storage and elimination of material.

. .

 You agree to acknowledge the WRHA and/or affiliated organizations in any peer-reviewed publications of the results of this study.

Thank you for selecting the Winnipeg Regional Health Authority as the site to conduct your research. Please let us know should you encounter any site-related difficulties during the course of your study.

We extend best wishes for successful completion of your study.

Yours Sincerely,

Dr. Michael Moffatt, M.D., MSc., FRCPC Executive Director, Division of Research and Applied Learning Chair, Research Review Committee Winnipeg Regional Health Authority

- -

cc. Ms. Arlene Wilgosh, WRHA Dr. John Arnett, Chair, HREB

## **Appendix 5: Parent's study handout**

### Assessment of Complementary feeding of Canadian Infants

We would like to invite you to participate in an important research study that will help us to understand the best way to meet the dietary iron needs of Canadian infants and how this affects their intestinal health.

"As a global public health recommendation, the world health organization recommends that infants should be exclusively breastfed for the first six months of life. Thereafter, infants should receive nutritionally adequate & safe solid foods along with breast milk until 2 years of age and beyond...First complementary foods should be iron-rich. (We) recommend <u>meat</u>, <u>meat alternatives</u>, and <u>iron-fortified</u> cereal as an infant's first complementary foods." (Health Canada)

This Clinical Trial is being conducted to study the best foods to feed infants once they are ready to begin eating solid foods as well as receiving mother's milk. The purpose of this study is to find out what effects infant cereals and meat have on your infant's ability to meet iron needs. The University of Manitoba is initiating this study with researcher and member of the Health Canada professional advisory board on infant health Dr. James Friel as the principle investigator. The research will help us to understand how our current recommendations affect infant iron stores and help to shape future recommendations.

Acceptance criteria:

- · You have been exclusively breastfeeding your infant and plan to start feeding solid foods
- Your baby was born at or more than 37 gestational weeks
- Your baby's birth weight was more than 2500 g (5.5 pounds)
- · Your baby has not been diagnosed with any health concerns

### Why you should participate:

#### The results of this study will help families know what foods are best to feed their babies.

There will be 2 visits to your home. In case of blood withdrawal (optional), visits will take place at Health Sciences Centre.

When visits take place	What we request Instructions and supplies sent to you in advance
Before introduction of solids (baby's age ~ 4-6 months)	<ol> <li>Dietary record for 3 days</li> <li>Urine</li> </ol>
	<ul><li>3) Stool</li><li>4) Breast Milk sample</li><li>5) Drops of blood drawn from your baby's finger (optional)</li></ul>
3 weeks after feeding start	Same samples as first visit (above)

For more information and to participate please contact the research principal investigator Dr. James Friel

Sarah Jorgensen

Dr. Wafaa Qasem



University <u>of</u> Manitoba

## **Appendix 6: Participants consent form**





Faculty of Human Ecology Human Nutritional Sciences 203 RCFFN Winnipeg, Manitoba Canada R3T 2N2 Phone: (204) 474-8682 Fax: (204) 474-7552 frielj@cc.umanitoba.ca

#### RESEARCH PARTICIPANT INFORMATION AND CONSENT FORM

Title of Study: Assessment of Complementary Feeding of Canadian Infants Protocol #: H2011:166 Principal Investigator: James K. Friel Room 203 Richardson Center for Functional Foods and Nutraceuticals 196 Innovation Drive University of Manitoba Winnipeg, MB R3T 2N2

Co-Investigator: Trust Beta, Wafaa Qasem

Sponsor: CIHR

You are being asked to allow your child to participate in a human research study. Please take your time to review this consent form and discuss any questions you may have with the study staff. You may take your time to make your decision about your child participating in this study and you may discuss it with your regular doctor, friends and family before you make your decision. This consent form may contain words that you do not understand. Please ask the study doctor or study staff to explain any words or information that you do not clearly understand.

The study doctor and institution are receiving financial support to conduct this study.

#### PURPOSE OF STUDY

This Clinical Trial is being conducted to study the best solid food to feed infants once they are ready to begin solid foods as well as mother's milk (complementary feeding). You are being asked to allow your child to take part in this study because you have decided to exclusively breast-feed your infant, and are ready to begin introducing solids. A total of 120 participants will participate in this study.

The purpose of this study is to find out what effects (good and bad) infant cereals and meat has on your infant's ability to meet iron needs and reduce inflammation.

1

Page 1 of 5

October 25, 2013 Version 5

Participant's initials

itiale

This research is being done because we do not know which of these commonly used infant foods are better.

#### Study procedures

In this study, your child will be "randomized" into one of three study groups described below. "Randomized" means that your child is put into a group by chance, like flipping a coin. Your child will have an equal chance of being placed in any group. A computer will do the randomization. The three groups are: traditional rice cereal with iron; traditional rice cereal with iron; traditional rice cereal with iron and fruit; beef.

If you allow your child to take part in this study, your child will have the following tests and procedures: We will ask you to bring your child to our clinic, or we will conduct a visit to your home during the exclusive breastfeeding period, before introducing solids. The 2<sup>nd</sup> visit will take place approx. 3 weeks after you had introduced solids. For both visits, we will ask you to prepare a stool sample, a small urine sample and a dietary record of what foods your child is eating. We will provide the collection containers for the samples beforehand. We also ask for an (optional) blood sample from your child, a few drops of blood obtained by a finger poke at each visit. You are also being asked to provide samples of breast milk to be analyzed in addition to the samples you provide from your infant. We will ask you for 2 frozen breast milk samples: before feeding and after feeding, and one fresh breast milk sample pumped at either the 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> visit. We will ask you questions about your infant and health and background information on you the parents/guardians. We will also weigh and measure the length of your infant at each visit. We anticipate each visit to last no longer than 60 minutes.

We will store the samples in a locked site until five years after the study termination and then they will be discarded. No identifying information will leave the study site. All stored samples and data will be coded by letter-number in order to link to a master list held by the PI and no one else.

The researcher may decide to take your child off this study if that would be in the participant's medical best interest, funding is stopped, or failure to adhere to the diet as proscribed.

Your child can stop participating at any time. However, if you decide to stop your child from participating in the study, we encourage you to talk to the study staff and your regular doctor first.

Study results will be presented at the end of the study for the entire group.

#### **Risks and Discomforts**

While on the study, there is minimal risk from food consumption for your infant. All foods provided are commonly consumed first foods. The potential risks associated with drawing blood from your infant's finger include minimal discomfort and/or bruising and infection. Your infant's health may not improve or may worsen while participating in this study.

#### Benefits

By allowing your child to participate in this study, you will be providing information to the study doctors that will show the effects of solid food introduction and their ability to resist October 25, 2013 Version 5 2 Participant's initials

Page 2 of 5

inflammation for the infant. There may or may not be direct medical benefit to your infant from participating in this study. We hope the information learned from this study will benefit all breast-fed infants when their parents choose a first food in the future. All food for this study will be provided free of charge to participants.

#### <u>Costs</u>

All clinic and professional fees, diagnostic and laboratory tests, which will be performed as part of this study, are provided at no cost to you. There will be no cost for the study treatment that you will receive.

#### **Payment for participation**

You will be given ten dollars for parking per completed study visit to a maximum of thirty dollars upon termination of your participation in this research study.

#### Alternatives

Instead of allowing your child to be in this study, you may request to feed your infant whatever food you choose at whatever time. Choosing not to participate will have no effect on the care and treatment your infant receives while in hospital or at home.

You do not have to have your child participate in this study to receive information on best feeding practices for your infant. Please talk to your regular doctor about all your infant feeding options.

#### **Confidentiality**

Information gathered in this research study may be published or presented in public forums, however your name and other identifying information will not be used or revealed. Medical records that contain your identity will be treated as confidential in accordance with the Personal Health Information Act of Manitoba. Despite efforts to keep your personal information confidential, absolute confidentiality cannot be guaranteed. Your personal information may be disclosed if required by law. All study documents related to you will bear only your assigned subject code to be used for data storage and entry

Organizations that may inspect and/or copy your research and medical records for quality assurance and data analysis include groups such as the Health Protection Branch. Only study personnel will have access to your records on site.

The University of Manitoba Health Research Ethics Board may review research-related records for quality assurance purposes.

All records will be kept in a locked secure area and only those persons identified will have access to these records. If any of your research records need to be copied to any of the above, your name and all identifying information will be removed. No information revealing any personal information such as your name, address or telephone number will leave the University of Manitoba.

October 25, 2013 Version 5

3

Participant's initials

Page 3 of 5

Your Family Physician (GP) will be notified about your participation in this study

#### Voluntary Participation/Withdrawal From the Study

You decision to allow your child to participate is voluntary. You may refuse to allow your child to participate or you may withdraw your child from the study at any time. Your decision will not affect your child's other medical care at this site. If your study doctor feels that it is in your best interest to withdraw your child from the study, your study doctor will remove your child without your consent.

We will tell you about any new information that may affect your child's health, welfare, or your willingness to allow your child to stay in this study.

#### Medical Care for Injury Related to the Study

You are not waiving any of your legal rights by signing this consent form nor releasing the investigators or the sponsor from their legal and professional responsibilities.

#### Questions

You are free to ask any questions that you may have about your child's treatment and your child's rights as a research participant. If any questions come up during or after the study or if you have a research-related injury, contact the study doctor and the study staff:

Dr. James Friel

For questions about your child's rights as a research participant, you may contact The **University of Manitoba Health Research Ethics Board** at (204) 789-3389

Do not sign this consent form unless you have had a chance to ask questions and have received satisfactory answers to all of your questions.

#### Statement of Consent

I have read this consent form. I have had the opportunity to discuss this research study with James Friel and/or study staff. I have had my questions answered by them in language I understand. The risks and benefits have been explained to me. I believe that I have not been unduly influenced by any study team member to allow my child to participate in the research study by any statement or implied statements. Any relationship (such as employee, student or family member) I may have with the study team has not affected my decision to allow my child to participate. I understand that I will be given a copy of this consent form after signing it. I understand that my participation in this clinical trial is voluntary and that I may choose to withdraw my child at any time. I freely agree to allow my child to participate in this research study.

4

October 25, 2013 Version 5

Participant's initials

I understand that information regarding my personal identity or that of my child will be kept confidential, but that confidentiality is not guaranteed.

By signing this consent form, I have not waived any of the legal rights that I have for my child as a participant in a research study.

I agree to being contacted in relation to this study. Yes [] No []

Legal guardian's printed name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_ Legal guardian's signature\_\_\_\_\_ (day/month/year)

To be completed by study staff:

I, the undersigned, have fully explained the relevant details of this research study to the participant named above and believe that the participant has understood and has knowingly given their consent

Printed Name:	 Date _	
Signature:		(day/month/year)
Role in the study:		
Witness signature	Date	
Witness printed name:		(day/month/year)

October 25, 2013 Version 5

## Appendix 7: 3-day dietary record (1<sup>ST</sup> visit)

Name:		Age:	
Feeds	Feed type/amount	Feeding time	Feeding duration
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
Other			
comments			

## Day 1 Feeding Record, date:\_\_\_\_\_

Version 1 Sep 12, 2012

Name:		Age:		
Feeds	Feed type/amount	Feeding time	e Feeding duration	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
0.1				
Other comments				

## Day 2 Feeding Record, date:\_\_\_\_\_

Version 1 Sep 12, 2012

Name:		Age:		
Feeds	Feed type/amount	Feeding time	Feeding duration (if breastfeeds)	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
Other comments				

## Day 3 Feeding Record, date:\_\_\_\_\_

Version 1 Sep 12, 2012

## Appendix 8: 3-day dietary record (2<sup>nd</sup> visit)

DAY You	Y 1, Date r name, baby's nan	ne	
#	Time	Feeding Type (Breast milk = BM, vitamin, supplement, or solid food, please specify)	Duration (BM) or amount (solid food)
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			

## 2nd Dietary Record, After/During introduction of Solids

Other Comments:

### 2nd Dietary Record, After/During introduction of Solids

#	Time	Feeding Type (Breast milk $= BM$ ,	Duration (BM) or
		vitamin, supplement, or solid food, please	amount (solid food)
		specify)	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
Ĺ			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
15			

DAY 2, Date\_\_\_\_\_ Your name, baby's name

Other Comments:

## 2nd Dietary Record, After/During introduction of Solids

\_\_\_\_\_

\_

DAY 3, Date	
Your name, baby's name	

#	Time	Feeding Type (Breast milk = BM, vitamin, supplement, or solid food, please	Duration (BM) or amount (solid food)
		specify)	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			

Other Comments:

## **Appendix 9: Urine sample collection instructions**

#### **Urine Sample Instructions**

Provided to you are four (4) pediatric urine collectors. We hope that this is sufficient for both samples (before feeding and after feeding). If you have trouble and need more urine collectors, please let us know and we will happily provide more!

For Both Male and Female babies:

- 1) Make sure the genital area is **clean and dry**
- 2) The collector is **best applied directly before nursing**, because babies often urinate within minutes after nursing
- 3) To avoid spilling, it is best to <u>keep baby upright</u>, in a "standing" position after nursing. This allows the pressure from baby's abdomen to gently press on the bladder. Also, if baby is left lying down, the urine often spills out.
- 4) <u>Run a little water</u> in the sink after nursing, let baby touch the water and hear the sound (yes, this works with babies too!)
- 5) You will have the most success if you <u>dedicate a few minutes after nursing</u> to keeping baby upright and (ideally) naked so you can see when they urinate.
- 6) Immediately after collecting the sample, **pour it into the screw-cap tube**. If it looks like you got very little (just a few drops) this is ok place the entire urine collector inside the tube. Try again with another collector later or another day.

#### For Male Babies:

Remove the adhesive backing, place the opening over the penis, and gently press down around <u>all sides</u> of the adhesive portion. Try to gently pull out the collection bag so it is not lying directly against the skin, creating space. The bag should be pointing down.

#### **For Female Babies:**

Remove the adhesive backing, and place the oval opening over the vulva (see image). Gently press around <u>all sides</u> of the urine collector to avoid spilling (this is more important w/ female babies). Make sure the bag is pointing down, and fold/ pull the bag gently to create space for the urine to enter.

Note: The adhesive is not very strong, which is good because it will not harm baby upon removal. However, this means is it more likely to pop off and spill!

#### Additional Tips:

If you know your baby often urinates right before a bath, this is a good time to try and collect a sample. Some mothers have even been able to "catch" some urine directly into a tube right before a bath.

Some participants who had difficulty with the above methods were successful using a training potty to collect the samples. If you have this available to you, as long as the potty is clean and dry before attempting to collect a sample, you can attempt to collect urine this way.

Good Luck! ©

Bag pointing down toward feet + pulled/folded out and open

Female Babies: Cover the vulva, avoid placing hole too close to the anus (to avoid contamination) or too high that the urine spills out the bottom

Adhesive portion

Adhesive portion

Narrow end of opening can be used to pour urine sample into collection tube

## Appendix 10: Stool and urine sample collection instructions

Assessment of Complementary Feeding of Canadian Infants

May 21, 2013

#### Sample Collection Instructions

Thank you for providing us with a sample of your infant's stool and urine for our study! While you have likely experienced your baby's urine and stool on a daily basis, this time we ask that you COLLECT these bodily fluids for us, and in a sterile manner. This may take a few attempts, and we have included the necessary equipment you need for multiple tries. To reduce the contamination of the samples as much as possible, please follow the following guidelines:

- Please wear the gloves provided (This eliminates introduction of contaminants that may be present on your hands)
- Before applying the urine collector, please clean your baby's genital area with the alcohol swabs provided
- Open sterile screw-cap tubes only just before transferring urine and stool into them
- Once you have collected the urine and stool and they are in the sterile screwcap tubes (with blue lids), please place them in the freezer immediately

Below are more detailed instructions for each of the samples that we request:

#### Urine

The urine collector has gentle adhesive tape around the opening. Make sure the baby's genital area is cleaned with the alcohol swabs provided and dry, to aid in proper adhesion to the skin. We have had the most success collecting urine just after breastfeeding. You can then apply the urine collector and sit the baby upright, which will encourage urination through the gentle pressure of the baby's abdominal weight upon the bladder. Also, giving the baby a small sip of water or even turning on the tap for the baby to hear the running water is often a successful trick. When the baby passes urine it will be collected in the urine collector, and you can then gently peel it off the skin and pour the urine into the screw-top tube.

For obvious reasons, moms with baby boys have an easier time collecting urine. Know that this can be a little tricky with baby girls and note that we have included two urine collectors for you!

#### Stool

The key to collecting stool is to try to remove it from the diaper and place it in the collection tube as soon as possible. This prevents the stool, which is almost always runny in breastfed infants, from absorbing too much into the diaper.

Appendix 11: Aditional results and figures corresponding to the study described in

chapters V and VI



Comparison of the mean % contribution of energy and macronutrients to the recommended daily DRIs after introduction of solids



Figure 22. Comarison of mean % contribution of energy and macronutrients towards the daily recommended DRIs from before and after introduction of CFs



# Comparison of the mean % contribution of vitamins to the recommended daily DRIs before introduction of solids

Comparison of the mean % contribution of vitamins to the recommended daily DRIs after introduction of solids



Figure 23. Comparison of mean of % contribution of vitamins towards the daily recommended DRIs from before and after introdution of CFs



Comparison of the mean % contribution of minerals to the recommended daily DRIs before introduction of solids





Figure 24. Comparison of mean of % contribution of selective minerals towards the daily recommended DRIs from before and after introduction of CFs


# Comparison of the mean % contribution of energy and macronutrients to the recomended daily DRIs from CFs only



Comparison of the mean % contribution of selective vitamins to the recommended daily DRIs from CFs only



Figure 26. Comparison of mean of % contribution of selective vitamins towards the recommended daily DRIs from CFs only



# Comparison of the mean % contribution of selective minerals to the recommended daily DRIs from CFs only

Figure 27. Comparison of mean of % contribution of selective minerals towards the recommended daily DRIs from CFs only

# Additional detailed methods and results corresponding to fecal iron determination

# **Coefficient of variation**

To measure the variability of the values of this method, the coefficient of variation was determined. It is the measure of dispersion of a probability distribution and defined as the ratio of the standard deviation to the mean.

Three adult fecal samples were used to determine the CV for this test. From each adult sample, ten samples were prepared, incubated, and the iron values were calculated to determine whether the ten sub-samples from each adult sample have the same iron concentration based on the measured CV.

Table 18 shows the results of the analysis of the adult fecal samples.

				·			
Sample	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	SE	SD	CV
Adult 1	10	2.3	2.9	2.6	0.05	0.18	0.07 (%7)
Adult 2	10	4.0	5.2	4.5	0.14	0.45	0.10 (%10)
Adult 3	10	4.0	4.7	4.3	0.06	0.21	0.048 (%4.8)

Table 18. Fecal iron in the three adult samples

N.B. CV=coefficient of variation, N=number, SE=standard error, SD=standard deviation

The values of CV were less than 1.0, which is indicative of lower variance (low spread of the data) and higher precision.

# **Standard reference material**

The standard reference material (SRM) of bovine liver, non-fat milk powder and formula were analyzed for their iron content and compared to the manufacturer's reference. Following the manufacturer's instruction for drying the SRM, 10 to 15 grams (wet) of each SRM was incubated in a vacuum oven (Thermo Electron Precision Mechanical Incubator 30M, Thermo Fischer Scientific Inc, Waltham, MA, USA) at 60°C for 24 hours. After incubation, each SRM sample was weighed consequently every 2 hours until the weight of the SRM was stable. Following drying of the SRM, a total of five samples of each SRM was prepared, incubated and analyzed for their iron content following the aforementioned iron method steps.

As shown in table 19, the non-fat milk powder was the closest value to the manufacturer's reference value; therefore, the non-fat milk powder was used to determine the method's repeatability.

Sample	Iron content µg/g (mean±SD)
Bovine liver	2.8±1.1
Non-fat milk powder	3.1±1.2
Formula	5.0±0.6

### Table 19. Iron content in standard reference materials

Certified values; bovine liver:  $194\pm20.0 \ \mu g/g$ , non-fat milk powder:  $1.78\pm0.1 \ \mu g/g$ , formula:  $177\pm3.3 \ \mu g/g$ 

# Method repeatability

To assess repeatability of the method, the following samples were prepared, incubated and analyzed for iron content and compared to the corresponding previous results: five samples of the standard non-fat milk powder, three samples of infants stool with low iron content, three samples of infants stool with middle iron content, and three samples of infants stool with high iron content. The steps of the analysis of the samples for the residual iron is equivalent to the fecal iron method.

The results of the method repeatability are illustrated in table 20. The results obtained were comparable to the previous results, which support the repeatability of this method.

Sample	Previous results (Fe μg/g sample)	Re-test results (Fe µg/g sample)
Non-fat milk powder (mean±SD)	3.1±1.3	3.1±1.2
Low Fe content stool	1.9	2.1
	1.9	1.9
	2.0	1.9
Middle Fe content stool	3.6	3.2
	3.8	3.2
	3.6	3.9
High Fe content stool	7.9	7.3
	7.5	8.3
	7.5	7.9

Table 20. Iron content in non-fat milk powder and infants stool samples

### **Standard curves**

A primary standard curve was constructed from the readily available standard of the kit. Starting from the highest concentration (140  $\mu$ g/dl Fe<sup>3+</sup>), five series of ten-fold dilutions were prepared. Then the standards were read at 590 nm (Cary® 50 MPR spectrophotometer Varian, Agilent Technologies Canada, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada). Another five series (ten-fold dilutions) of the standard were prepared and treated under the same conditions of the fecal iron method (identically to the samples; digested and incubated). Then the treated standards were also read at 590 nm. The standard curve (of the treated standards) was constructed and compared to the primary standard curve (figure 28). Table 21 shows the comparison between the absorption of the standards (primary vs treated). The concentrations of the treated standards were comparable to the concentration of the primary standards.





Figure 28. Comparisons of standard curves

Table 21. Comparison between the absorptions of the primary standard and the treated standards

Standard concentration (µg/µl)	Standard absorption	Treated standard absorption
0.014	0.16	0.15
0.14	0.165	0.16
1.4	0.22	0.22
2.8	0.25	0.24
5.6	0.29	0.27

For screeing EBF infants, we suggest the following example (table 22) of how risk factors may be included in an iron status assessment score for breastfed infants. A future study may develop and validate a scoring system that would predict the need to check the iron profile of four to six months old infants.

Tuble 22, Example of fish factors in an iton status assessment score			
Variable	Iron status assessment score		
Gestational age	< 36 weeks	> 36 weeks	
Birth weight	< 2500 g	> 2500 g	
Maternal iron status	Iron deficient	Non-iron deficient	
Anemia during pregnancy	Yes	No	
Gestational diabetes	Yes	No	
Feeding method since birth	Breastfeeding only	Breastfeeding + Formula	
Estimated age of	At 6 months	Between 4-6 months	
introduction of solids			
Expected duration of EBF	6 months	< 6 months	
Introduction of cow's milk	Yes	No	
Low-iron formula	Yes	No	

# Appendix 12: Permissions to use copyrighted materials



 Title:
 Iron requirements, absorption and metabolism in infancy and childhood.

 Author:
 Domellof, Magnus

 Publication:
 Current Opinion in Clinical Nutrition and Metabolic Care

 Publisher:
 Wolters Kluwer Health

 Date:
 Jan 1, 2007

 Copyright © 2007, (C) 2007 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Inc.



#### Order Completed

Thank you very much for your order.

This is a License Agreement between Wafaa Qasem ("You") and Wolters Kluwer Health ("Wolters Kluwer Health"). The license consists of your order details, the terms and conditions provided by Wolters Kluwer Health, and the <u>payment terms and conditions</u>.

#### Get the printable license.

License Number	3580920625380
License date	Mar 02, 2015
Licensed content publisher	Wolters Kluwer Health
Licensed content publication	Current Opinion in Clinical Nutrition and Metabolic Care
Licensed content title	Iron requirements, absorption and metabolism in infancy and childhood.
Licensed content author	Domellof, Magnus
Licensed content date	Jan 1, 2007
Volume number	10
Issue Number	3
Type of Use	Dissertation/Thesis
Requestor type	Individual
Portion	Figures/table/illustration
Number of figures/tables/illustrations	1
Figures/tables/illustrations used	Figure 3
Author of this Wolters Kluwer article	No
Title of your thesis / dissertation	Assessment of Complementary feeding of Canadian Infants
Expected completion date	May 2015
Estimated size(pages)	270
Total	0.00 USD